Re: Quantifying the relative risk of harm to self and others from substance misuse – results from a national survey of experts.

Taylor et al, submitted Dec 2011

	Item No	Recommendation
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or
	Done, p1 & p2	the abstract
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of
		what was done and what was found
Introduction		
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation
	Done - p3	being reported
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses
	Done - p3	
Methods		
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper
	Done	
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of
	Done	recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection
	Done	of participants
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential
	Done	confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of
measurement	Done	methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of
		assessment methods if there is more than one group
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias
	In method &	
	discussion	
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at
	In method	
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If
	Done	applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for
	Done	confounding
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed
		(d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of
		sampling strategy
		(\underline{e}) Describe any sensitivity analyses
Results		
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers
	Done	potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible,
		included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage

		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical,
	Done	social) and information on exposures and potential confounders
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable
		of interest
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures
	NA	
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted
	Done where	estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear
	applicable	which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were
		categorized
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into
		absolute risk for a meaningful time period
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions,
	NA	and sensitivity analyses
Discussion		
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives
	Done	
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential
	Done	bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any
		potential bias
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives,
	Done	limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and
		other relevant evidence
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results
	Commented on	
Other information		
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present
	Done	study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based

^{*}Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.