Supplementary file 1. Pain education curriculum for Nepalese with nonspecific low back pain.

Learner or patient characteristics

Learners are adult patients who present to the Physiotherapy Department in Kathmandu, Nepal for the management of their low back pain (LBP). This education was be delivered to patients with any duration of LBP. Every participant who understood and spoke Nepali could be the learner for the planned curriculum of pain education. These individuals may have a very low literacy level. Patients who have diagnosed psychiatric illness was excluded from this intervention.

Deliverer or physiotherapist

A physiotherapist (Saurab Sharma) who has undergone "Explain Pain" course twice (in 2015 and 2017) delivered the pain education to all the participants in the study. The physiotherapist was supervised by Prof. G. Lorimer Moseley, one of the developers of the concept.

Number of learners or patients

A total of 40 learners participated in the study. All interventions were delivered individually to each participant. No other concurrent interventions were provided. However, patients were encouraged to perform physical activities at home for one week.

Unique needs of the learners

These learners are from Nepal, many of them did not have any formal education, and education as the core component of treatment may be new to these patients. Thus, this group may be a challenging group to provide Explain Pain intervention. Therefore, the contents were simplified and adapted to their need to match their level of understanding, and culture.

Delivery methods

The study physiotherapist delivered approximately an hour long session of oneon-one Explain Pain to every study participant. Every participant were provided with a take away education booklet, which included details of target concept, pictures and stories to strengthen their education provided by the physiotherapist. Participants read the booklet if they could read, or the family members read out for them if they cannot read themselves. All the participants provided with an additional audio-visual information on neurophysiology knowledge of pain, if they could operate these at home (or office) to reinforce the learning so that the participants hear this every day before the post treatment assessment at 1 week.

Place

A private room at a physiotherapy facility (Sahara Care Hospital) in Kathmandu, Nepal.

Patient consideration

- 1. Physiotherapist extracted learner's personal goal of treatment. Nepalese generally struggle to bring out their own goals in general, therefore this was a difficult task.
- 2. Involvement of family throughout the education session were encouraged if they accompanied the patients. Role of family members were highlighted in the education program along with the prognosis of the individuals with LBP.
- 3. All the participants were reminded to perform their home-based tasks (reading, listening/watching audio-visual support, and exercising) by a text message every day for five days a week.

Aims

The deliverer intended to:

- 1. Advise patient that educate is an important aspect of treatment of pain.
- 2. Provide contemporary knowledge about pain biology in relation to low back pain in an individual face-to-face teaching and learning environment.
- 3. Provide a comprehensive patient education by providing relevant information on graded exposure, pacing, and self-management.
- 4. Use pictures, metaphors, and relevant stories related to pain to explain details and complexities related to pain.

Objectives

At the end of the session learner will:

- 1. Have contemporary knowledge of pain biology that is relevant to their low back pain.
- 2. Understand importance of pain knowledge as a therapy.
- 3. Use the pain knowledge in changing the danger messages into safety signals.
- 4. Use exercises to pave the track for recovery.

3

Part 1: Question and answer

Physiotherapist first asked two questions to the learners.

Question 1- "Is there anything in particular that you would like to learn about your low back pain, or pain in general?"

Physiotherapist answered to any questions that arose.

Question 2- "Do you know what caused your low back pain? Can you please explain the cause of your low back pain from what you have understood, or what you have been told?"

Physiotherapist addressed any misconceptions and acknowledged or appreciated healthy/sound understanding about their pain. Scan findings were discussed where appropriate.

Part 2: Discuss the key concepts of pain biology. [40 minutes]

- 1. Pain is normal and almost everyone gets it in life.
- 2. Body sends danger signals, and brain decides whether to produce pain.
- 3. Learning about pain changes pain; and anything associated with it can influence it.
- 4. Body learns pain and becomes overprotective over time.
- 5. Additional concept: Pain and tissue damage are poorly related.

[see the table below for the details]

[5 - 10 minutes] Part 3: Do you want to learn ways to train your system?

Teach 1 - 2 ways to train the system.

End: Patients were asked if they had a cell phone. If yes, they were further asked if they wanted to receive a daily reminder to perform home-based tasks, and learn more about pain.

The phone number was recorded and daily information were sent when applicable.

[10 – 15 minutes]

					I		
SN	Target	Time	Other ways of expressing	Content	Delivery and	Reinforcement/	Did the patient
	concepts	required	the target concept		resources	Experiential	understand?
						learning	Assessment
1	Pain is normal and almost everyone gets it in life.	10 minutes	 Everyone has some pain in lifetime so you are not alone. Pain is normal. Your LBP is yours, is unique to you and real, and only you can control your pain. 	 There is no test for pain or love. Emotional and physical pain are one. Pain is always a conscious event. 	 Stories Brief pain epidemiology 	 Pain should not be a reason to worry about, and stop you from enjoying life, and fulfilling life goals. 	 Ask- so who suffers pain or how many people suffer pain? Answer may be- almost everyone.
2	Body sends danger signals, and brain decides whether to produce pain.	10 minutes	 How danger signal travels in the body and how pain is perceived. Brain is needed to create/ perceive pain. Human body has danger sensors not pain sensors. Pain depends on the balance between danger and safety. 	 Nociceptive pathway. Pain is created in the brain. Ask patient if they had pain when they did not have tissue injury (or use aggravating factors). 	 Use a picture/ animation of how danger signals reach brain? Use the earthquake story. 	 Have you ever experienced having an injury and no pain? Have you ever experienced pain when there was no injury? Use the scale protectometer to describe. 	 So what creates pain, or which part of the body creates pain? Answer: brain!!!
3	Learning about pain changes pain; and anything associated with it can influence it.	10 minutes	 Knowing about pain can reduce pain. Education is analgesic. Retraining your system can reduce sensitization. 	 Understanding your pain can reduce your pain. Wrong understanding can increase your pain. 	 Student's pain story. 	Hear other's pain stories and analyse how it can be changed.	

Explain Pain Curriculum Plan

				-			1	
4	Body learns pain and becomes overprotective over time.	10 minutes	 Out of many outputs of the brain, pain is only one protective output. As pain persists, body systems can be over protective. Multiple systems protect us from threats, and allow us to learn and heal. You can train your body systems to be less protective. 	•	As pain persists, body becomes sensitized and over protective. This can be changed by training our systems.	The bending and lifting story.	 Ask the patient what are the other symptoms they get with pain? [Examples are: sweating, no sleep, stress, anxiety, fear, anger etc]. 	 Ask, do you understand this and think if this is logical? Reinforce this by summarizing research findings if applicable.
Optional	Pain and tissue damage are poorly related	5 minutes	 Pain is an unreliable indicator of tissue damage. Pain and scans do not correlate. 	•	Tissue stop hurting a long time before they heal. Recent evidences regarding poor correlation between pain and scan reports.	Bad scan results in pain free individuals.	Reinforce by saying scan changes like degeneration are normal ageing process.	