# BMJ Open Why are male Chinese smokers unwilling to quit? A multicentre crosssectional study on smoking rationalisation and intention to quit

Xinyuan Huang,<sup>1,2,3</sup> Wenjie Fu,<sup>1,2,3</sup> Haiying Zhang,<sup>4</sup> Hong Li,<sup>4</sup> Xiaoxia Li,<sup>5</sup> Yong Yang,<sup>5</sup> Fan Wang,<sup>1,2</sup> Junling Gao,<sup>1,2</sup> Pinpin Zheng,<sup>1,2</sup> Hua Fu,<sup>1,2</sup> Simon Chapman. Ding Ding Ding 6,7

To cite: Huang X, Fu W, Zhang H, et al. Why are male Chinese smokers unwilling to quit? A multicentre cross-sectional study on smoking rationalisation and intention to quit. BMJ Open 2019;9:e025285. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2018-025285

Prepublication history and additional material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi. org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-025285).

Received 13 July 2018 Revised 29 October 2018 Accepted 13 December 2018



@ Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2019. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

#### **Correspondence to**

Dr Pinpin Zheng; zpinpin@shmu.edu.cn

#### **ABSTRACT**

**Objective** We aimed to describe the rationalisation beliefs endorsed by Chinese male smokers and to examine the association between rationalisation and the intention to quit.

Setting Questionnaires were conducted among male smokers in three cities (Shanghai, Nanning and Mudanjiang) which represent different geographical locations, economic development levels and legislative status of tobacco control in China.

Design and participants It was a multicentre crosssectional survey involved a total of 3710 male smokers over 18 years.

Outcome measures Primary outcomes were intention to quit, smoking rationalisation scores and sub scores in six dimensions. Smoking rationalisation was assessed using a newly developed Chinese rationalisation scale. Multivariable logistic regression was performed to examine the relationship between rationalisation and intention to

**Results** On average, smokers scored 3.3 out of 5 on the smoking rationalisation scale. With a one point increase in total rationalisation scale, the odds for intention to guit in the next 6 months decreased by 48% (OR=0.52, 95% CI: 0.44 to 0.61; p<0.001). Separate logistic regressions for six subscales of rationalisation shown consistent inverse associations with intention to guit (all p values <0.001). Believing that smoking was socially acceptable was the strongest predictor (OR=0.62, 95% CI: 0.55 to 0.71; p < 0.001).

Conclusions Rationalisation beliefs could be important barriers to smoking cessation. Some beliefs have stronger association with quit intention than others. Eroding rationalisation beliefs endorsed by smokers is a potential strategy for smoking cessation intervention.

#### INTRODUCTION

Despite having the world's largest population of smokers, China has one of the lowest smoking cessation rates. Data from the Global Adult Tobacco Survey revealed that China was among the highest countries which more than 80% of current smokers

# Strengths and limitations of this study

- It is the first study to confirm the inverse association between smoking rationalisation and intention to quit in China.
- We measured smoking rationalisation using a validated scale that was developed for Chinese male
- With a cross-sectional design, causality cannot be inferred between smoking rationalisation and guit intention.
- The study only focused on male smokers, so the results may not generalise to female smokers in China.

did not make an attempt to quit in the past 12 months or did not consider quitting in the next 12 months<sup>2</sup>. Only 23.6% of current **∃** smokers in China intend to guit, in contrast to Australia, Canada, UK and USA the percentages range from 65.3% to 81.1%, reported from Surveys of the International Tobacco Control. 1-3 National survey found only 17.6% of Chinese current smokers planned to quit smoking in the next 12 months in 2015, a figure unchanged from 2010.45

The behavioural theories such as Theory of Planned Behavior,<sup>6</sup> Transtheoretical Model of Behavior Change<sup>7</sup> consider behavioural tintention as an important component in behaviour change, which has been confirmed by empirical studies. 8-11 Population-based 2 research has also shown that having an & intention to quit predicts quit attempts and subsequent smoking cessation. 12 13 A comprehensive understanding of correlates and predictors of the intention to quit among Chinese smokers is important in addressing the smoking epidemic in China.

Previous studies have concluded that sociodemographic variables, including age, educational attainment and family income,



are associated with intention to quit. 14-18 Smoking-related factors, such as knowledge about smoking-related diseases, nicotine dependence and previous quit attempts also predict smokers' quit intention. 16-19 One important yet understudied modifiable predictor of intention to quit among Chinese smokers is smoking rationalisation. Smokers often subscribe to smoking rationalisation beliefs, also known as self-exempting beliefs, to justify or rationalise their smoking behaviours. Smoking rationalisation can predict a lack of intention to quit. 20-28 To date, most of the evidence was found from European, USA or Australian samples, a few from Asian countries like Thailand and Malaysia, and there is no evidence from Chinese smokers. The extent to which smoking rationalisation predicts intention to quit among Chinese smokers remains unknown.

In China, such beliefs about smoking are widely held among smokers. For example, some smokers consider tobacco use to be an important social and cultural tradition, and some consider smoking to be a patriotic action because of beliefs that tobacco is important to the national economy.<sup>29</sup> Our previous work has identified six types of rationalisation beliefs with several of them unique to Chinese smokers. 30 Another study found that Chinese men with higher level of self-exempting beliefs were more likely to be daily smokers.<sup>31</sup> Taken together, it is plausible that smoking rationalisation plays an important role in the lack of intention to quit among Chinese smokers. In the present study, we described the rationalisation beliefs endorsed by Chinese male smokers and examined the association between rationalisation and the intention to quit.

#### **METHODS**

### **Design and setting**

We conducted a multicentre cross-sectional survey among male smokers in three Chinese cities (Shanghai, Nanning and Mudanjiang) during September and December 2013. The three cities represent different geographical locations, economic development levels and legislative status on tobacco control in China. Shanghai, located on east costal area, is one of the most developed cities in China. It is the first city to implement the antismoking legislation in China. Nanning, located in the southwestern area, is one of the moderately developed cities in China. The city is planning to introduce a smoke-free regulation in 2013. Mudanjiang, located in the northeastern area, is one of underdeveloped cities in China. There is no tobacco control legislation in Mudanjiang during the study period.

#### **Study population**

Participants were adult (18+) male smokers who smoked at least 100 cigarettes in their lifetime and had smoked more than one cigarette in the last month. Only male smokers were selected due to the much higher smoking prevalence among men compared with women in China

(52.9% for male, 2.4% for female). According to national survey, <sup>4</sup> current smoking prevalence among male smokers differs distinctly by age. Male aged 25-64 were the most likely to be current smokers, which also overlapped with the main working-age population. On the other hand, occupation was also an important determinant of smoking in males. Therefore, respondents were recruited by occupational categories from their workplaces. We used community sampling to supplement workplace sampling to recruit retirees. Officers and clerks in government/ institutions and corporations, professionals, businessmen, service employees, farmers and manual workers were sampled from their workplaces, students were sampled from universities/colleges and retirees were sampled from communities. The number of smokers recruited in different occupations reflected the distribution of occupational categories in each city, according to the Chinese Statistical Yearbook.<sup>32</sup> Facilitated by local Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), workplaces and communities were selected to reflect diverse geographical locations and sizes of employers/communities. If a workplace/community liaison group agreed to participate, all smokers from that workplace were invited to participate, until the target number was reached.

The survey yielded 3721 respondents. After excluding 11 with missing data (missing key information on age, cigarettes ever smoked or intention to quit), 3710 (99.7%) respondents were included in the following analyses.

# **Participants and public involvement**

Participants in this study were not involved in the development of the design, recruitment. Major findings from the study will be disseminated through international/national conference of tobacco control and will be reported by media.

#### Measures

#### Smoking rationalisation

Smoking rationalisations were measured by the Chinese smoking rationalisation scale. The scale was developed from a population-based sample of Chinese male smokers, within the context of Chinese social and cultural influence. It had shown good validity and reliability. The scale was the first one that was developed particularly for Chinese male smokers (more detail of the development of the Chinese smoking rationalisation scale can be found in our previous study $^{30}$ ). The scale consisted of 26  $\bigcirc$ items under six dimensions (subscales) (smoking functional beliefs, risk generalisation beliefs, social acceptability beliefs, safe smoking beliefs, self-exempting beliefs and harmful to quit beliefs)—see online supplementary appendix. Responses to each item were rated by participants on a 5-likert scale from 1 (totally disagree) to 5 (totally agree). The scale was scored by the arithmetic mean of its items (ie, sum the response score of all the items in the scale and then divided by the number of items).

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

Intention to quit was assessed by the question 'Which of the following options best describes your intention regarding quitting?' with four options 'never expect to quit', 'may quit in the future, but not in the next 6 months', 'will quit in the next 6 months' and 'will quit in the next month'. Smokers who answered 'will quit in the next 6 months' or 'will quit in the next month' were considered to have an intention to quit.<sup>33</sup>

#### Covariates

Sociodemographic characteristics, including ethnicity, educational attainment, annual household income, marital status and occupation were assessed as covariates. Smoking-related variables include knowledge of smoking-related diseases, nicotine dependence and quit attempt history. Knowledge of smoking-related disease was measured by items regarding four diseases: whether smoking causes heart disease, 34 pulmonary emphysema, 35 36 gastric cancer 37-39 and erectile dysfunction. 40 41 Respondents received one point for each correct answer (total score 0 to 4). Nicotine dependence was measured by the six-item Fagerstrom Test for Nicotine Dependence. 42 43 Smokers' nicotine dependence was calculated by summing scores of the six items (range 0-10), with higher scores representing greater nicotine dependence. Quit attempts were assessed by following question: 'During the past 12 months, have you ever stopped smoking for 1 day (24 hours) or longer?' with possible answers being 'Yes, I have tried with quitting period more than 1 day (24 hours)" and 'No, I have not tried to quit'.

# **Statistical analysis**

All analyses were conducted using SPSS (IBM SPSS V.19) in December 2016. Numbers with percentages are presented for the description of categorical variables and means with SD for continuous variables. Mean scores with SD of the total scale and each subscale (six types of the rationalisations) were calculated. We also counted numbers and percentages of participants who agreed or totally agreed with each statements in the smoking rationalisations scale.

The independent t test was conducted to compare the mean scores of the overall rationalisation scale and subscales between smokers with an intention to quit and those without. Binary logistic regression was performed to assess the association between smoking rationalisation (both the overall scale and subscales) and intention to quit (no/yes) in the overall sample and in each city separately.

#### **RESULTS**

# Sample characteristics

A total of 3710 participants were included in the analyses. As shown in table 1, participants were on average of slightly older than 40 years, most of them were Han

<b>Table 1</b> Demographic and smoking charact study sample	eristics of the
Characteristic	n (%)
Age (mean(SD)) Ethnicity	40.5 (14.4)
Han	3401 (91.6)
Others	293 (7.9)
Missing data	16 (0.4)
Education level	
Junior school or lower	1100 (29.6)
High school/technical school	1012 (27.3)
College, university or higher	1594 (43.0)
Missing data	4 (0.1)
Family monthly income per capita	
<2000¥*	703 (18.9)
2000–3999¥	1736 (46.8)
4000–5999¥	857 (23.1)
>6000¥	408 (11.0)
Missing data	6 (0.2)
Marital status	
Married	2702 (72.8)
Not married	996 (26.8)
Missing data	12 (0.3)
Occupation	
Professionals	842 (22.7)
Officers and clerks in government, institutions and corporations	554 (14.9)
Business or service employees	614 (16.5)
Farmers and manual workers	1085 (29.2)
Students	179 (4.8)
Retirees	433 (11.7)
Missing data	3 (0.1)
City	
Shanghai	1338 (36.1)
Nanning	1174 (31.6)
Mudanjiang	1198 (32.3)
History of quit attempt	
Yes	1925 (51.9)
No	1781 (48.0)
Missing data	4 (0.1)
	Mean (SD)
Smoking rationalisation beliefs (missing data of 52 participants)	3.3 (0.5)
Knowledge of smoking-related diseases (missing data of 11 participants)	1.6 (1.1)

Nicotine dependence (missing data of 29

Intention to quit in 6 months

participants)

Continued

2.9 (2.1)

Table 1 Continued	
Characteristic	n (%)
Yes	2966 (79.9)
No	744 (20.1)
*1\$=6.19¥ (2013).	

Chinese, married, university educated and with a family monthly per capita income higher than 2000¥ (323 US\$). The numbers of participants from three cities were nearly the same. Slightly over half of the participants had made more than one attempt to quit in the last year. Participants' average knowledge score of smoking-related diseases was 1.6, and nicotine dependence score was 2.9.

#### **Endorsement of smoking rationalisations**

Eight statements were most endorsed, with more than half of participants selecting 'agree' or 'strongly agree'. These statements were: (1) smoking can eliminate fatigue and can be refreshing (69.8%); (2) smoking can relieve tension and stress (69.7%); (3) smoking can bring people closer and make socialising easier (63.4%); (4) a lot of non-smokers also get lung cancer (59.8%); (5) smoking is a good way to kill time (54.8%); (6) smoking is good for inspiration and active thinking (54.7%); (7) air pollution, food safety and life stress are much more dangerous to health than smoking (54.7%); (8) smoking is pretty normal for men (51.6%) (see online supplementary appendix).

The mean score of the overall rationalisation scale was 3.3 and means of six subscales ranged from 3.1 to 3.6. Among the six subscales of rationalisation, 'smoking functional beliefs' scored the highest, followed by 'risk generalisation beliefs' and 'social acceptability beliefs'. Smokers with no intention to quit had consistently higher

 Table 2
 Smoking rationalisation scores by guit intention

	Mean (SD)			
Rationalisation	Have intention to quit n=744	No intention to quit n=2966	Total smokers n=3710	P value
Smoking functional beliefs	3.5 (0.7)	3.7 (0.7)	3.6 (0.7)	<0.001
Risk generalisation beliefs	3.3 (0.7)	3.6 (0.7)	3.5 (0.7)	<0.001
Social acceptability beliefs	3.1 (0.7)	3.4 (0.7)	3.3 (0.7)	<0.001
Safe smoking beliefs	3.0 (0.7)	3.2 (0.7)	3.1 (0.7)	<0.001
Self-exempting beliefs	2.9 (0.8)	3.1 (0.8)	3.1 (0.8)	<0.001
Quitting is harmful beliefs	3.0 (0.8)	3.2 (0.8)	3.2 (0.8)	<0.001
Total scale	3.2 (0.5)	3.4 (0.5)	3.3 (0.5)	<0.001

rationalisation scores than those with an intention to quit (see table 2).

# **Logistic regression for intention to quit**

Table 3 presents results of logistic regression. Adjusted for sociodemographic characteristics and smoking-related variables, higher smoking rationalisation was associated with lower intention to quit and the association was particularly strong in Shanghai. For each one point increase in the score of rationalisation, the odds for intention to quit decreased by 48% (OR=0.52, 95% CI: 0.44 to 0.61; p<0.001).

In addition, results from separate logistic regression models demonstrated that all types of rationalisation beliefs were consistently inversely associated with intention to quit (all p values <0.001). Among six subscales, the 'social acceptability beliefs' was the strongest predictor for of intention to quit (OR=0.62, 95% CI: 0.55 to 0.71; p<0.001) (see table 4).

#### **DISCUSSION**

The current study is the first to confirm the inverse association between smoking rationalisation and intention to quit in China. We measured smoking rationalisation using a validated scale that was particularly developed for Chinese male smokers within the context of the Chinese social culture. Results demonstrated that smokers with higher rationalisation were less likely to have an intention to quit, which was consistent with previous studies from other countries. 21 23-28 44 Festinger's Cognitive Dissonance Theory believes that people would feel uncomfortable when performing an action discordant to one's beliefs (ie, dissonance), such as smoking while knowing that **∃** smoking is harmful. To reduce dissonance, smokers can either change their behaviour (stop smoking) or their cognitions (ie, beliefs, opinions) towards the behaviour (ie, smoking). 45 Since a change in cognition will be generally much easier than changing a behaviour, smokers tend to align their beliefs towards smoking, which explains why smoking rationalisations are so prevalent among smokers.46

Our findings shown that smoking rationalisation beliefs were widespread among male smokers in China. We also noted that all six types of rationalisation were significantly associated with intention to quit, with adherence to social acceptability beliefs being the strongest predictor of the lack of intention to quit. Earlier report also found that Chinese male smokers felt less societal disapproval of smoking compared with smokers from western countries such as the USA and Canada. In fact, beliefs that smoking is 'socially acceptable', along with beliefs that 'quitting is harmful', were very common among Chinese smokers. Social acceptability beliefs, such as 'There are so many smokers in society, so it's difficult to be different' and 'Smoking is pretty normal for men', reflected the widespread social norms of smoking among men in China. The 2015 national survey reported that despite a

Table 3 Multiple logistic regression and city-stratified analyses between smoking rationalisation and intention to quit				
	Total (n=3710)	Shanghai (n=1338)	Nanning (n=1174)	Mudanjiang (n=1198)
Independent variables	OR (95% CI)			
Demographics				
Age (year)	0.98 (0.97 to 0.99)**	0.98 (0.96 to 1.00)*	0.99 (0.97 to 1.01)	0.99 (0.97 to 1.01)
Ethnicity				
Han	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)†	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
Others	1.00 (0.71 to 1.40)	-	0.99 (0.68 to 1.44)	1.20 (0.51 to 2.82)
Education level				
Low	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
Medium	0.87 (0.68 to 1.10)	0.72 (0.46 to 1.14)	1.02 (0.65 to 1.59)	0.75 (0.50 to 1.12)
High	0.78 (0.60 to 1.00)	0.66 (0.37 to 1.19)	1.39 (0.90 to 2.15)	0.48 (0.32 to 0.75)
Income (¥)				
<2000	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
2000~	1.07 (0.84 to 1.37)	0.81 (0.47 to 1.42)	0.81 (0.54 to 1.23)	1.42 (0.96 to 2.09)
4000~	1.05 (0.79 to 1.40)	0.77 (0.42 to 1.41)	0.91 (0.57 to 1.47)	1.36 (0.83 to 2.23)
6000~	0.86 (0.60 to 1.24)	0.47 (0.22 to 0.99)	0.69 (0.39 to 1.22)	1.42 (0.72 to 2.81)
Marital status				
Married	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
Not married	1.28 (1.01 to 1.64)*	0.74 (0.42 to 1.29)	0.76 (0.49 to 1.18)	0.87 (0.61 to 1.26)
Occupation				
Professionals	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)	1.00 (reference)
Officers and clerks in government, institutions and corporations	1.29 (0.97 to 1.70)	0.53 (0.26 to 1.08)	1.15 (0.71 to 1.84)	1.79 (1.17 to 2.75)
Business or service employees	1.00 (0.74 to 1.34)	0.64 (0.34 to 1.20)	1.10 (0.65 to 1.87)	1.24 (0.76 to 2.01)
Farmers and manual workers	0.65 (0.50 to 0.86)**	0.53 (0.27 to 1.02)	0.73 (0.45 to 1.18)	0.60 (0.39 to 0.93)*
Students	1.25 (0.80 to 1.95)	1.10 (0.49 to 2.46)	1.74 (0.81 to 3.74)	0.80 (0.35 to 1.81)
Retired people	0.85 (0.56 to 1.30)	0.99(0.462.10)	1.30 (0.60 to 2.85)	0.30 (0.13 to 0.70)**
Smoking-related variables				
Knowledge‡	0.88 (0.81 to 0.97)**	0.94 (0.80 to 1.11)	0.86 (0.74 to 1.00)*	0.87 (0.77 to 0.99)*
Nicotine dependence	0.85 (0.81 to 0.89)***	0.83 (0.76 to 0.91)***	0.90 (0.83 to 0.98)*	0.80 (0.74 to 0.87)***
History of quit attempt				
No	1.00(reference)	1.00(reference)	1.00(reference)	1.00(reference)
Yes	2.70 (2.25 to 3.25)***	3.98 (2.73 to 5.80)***	2.59 (1.88 to 3.56)***	2.11 (1.56 to 2.86)***
Smoking rationalisation	0.52 (0.44 to 0.61)***	0.30 (0.21 to 0.42)***	0.63 (0.47 to 0.84) ***	0.70 (0.52 to 0.95)*
City		_	-	-
Shanghai	1.00 (reference)			
Nanning	1.33 (1.04 to 1.70)*			
Mudanjiang	1.73 (1.38 to 2.16)***			

<sup>\*</sup>p<0.05; \*\*p<0.01; \*\*\*p<0.001.

slight decline from previous years, smoking prevalence among Chinese men was still as high as 52.1%. Additionally, smoking rates among doctors and teachers were also

high in China,  $^{5\,47\,48}$  which is reflected by one item within the social acceptability subscale 'Lots of doctors smoke, so they cannot convince me to quit.' Meanwhile, the

<sup>†</sup>There were only four non-Han ethnic smokers in Shanghai sample.

<sup>‡</sup>knowledge is knowledge of smoking-related diseases.

Al training, and similar technolog

**Table 4** Logistic regressions between smoking rationalisation and the intention to quit

Smoking rationalisation	COR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)†
Total rationalisation	0.43 (0.37 to 0.50)*	0.52 (0.44 to 0.61)*
Smoking functional beliefs	0.61 (0.54 to 0.69)*	0.67 (0.59 to 0.76)*
Risk generalisation beliefs	0.59 (0.53 to 0.66)*	0.65 (0.58 to 0.73)*
Social acceptability beliefs	0.55 (0.49 to 0.62)*	0.62 (0.55 to 0.71)*
Safe smoking beliefs	0.71 (0.64 to 0.79)*	0.81 (0.72 to 0.91)*
Self-exempting beliefs	0.67 (0.61 to 0.75)*	0.78 (0.69 to 0.87)*
Quitting is harmful beliefs	0.64 (0.57 to 0.71)*	0.71 (0.63 to 0.80)*

<sup>\*</sup>p<0.001.

tobacco industry strives to create positive attitudes toward cigarette use, such as promoting smoking as fashionable and promoting gifting cigarettes as a Chinese tradition. <sup>49</sup> All of these may lead prosmoking social norms in China: as celebrities, doctors, teachers and many others are smokers, it is commonly accepted and almost 'expected' that men will smoke, and therefore there is no need for them to quit.

Findings from this study may inspire novel strategies for population-level smoking prevention and intervention. Because of widespread smoking rationalisation, simply disseminating the knowledge of health risk of smoking is insufficient, comprehensive interventions targeting smoking rationalisation as well as health risk education may be more powerful to persuade smokers to quit. Moreover, according to the city-stratified logistic regression, the association between smoking rationalisation and intention to quit was stronger in Shanghai, suggesting that interventions targeting smoking rationalisation may be more effective in a city like Shanghai. Since 'social acceptability' beliefs are deeply grounded in Chinese culture, health communication interventions that target changing this existing prosmoking social norms and establishing a new smoke-free culture could be promising. In fact, there has been a recent successful example of this in China. During 2009, a campaign named 'giving cigarettes is giving harm' was launched through various media (TV, mobile media, outdoor electronic billboards, posters, etc) tackling China's 'cigarettes gifting culture'. Evaluations shown significant improvement in knowledge and attitude towards smoking among smokers in intervention cities.<sup>50</sup>

Beliefs about 'safe smoking', 'self-exemptions' and 'quitting being harmful' may be targeted by comprehensive health education programmes seeking to subvert these particular misconceptions, as has proven effective in other countries. <sup>51 52</sup> For example, in 2012 the US CDC launched an education campaign 'Tips from Former

Smokers' nationally, communicating the serious health consequences of smoking through true stories from victims of smoking. Evaluation indicated that exposure to the campaign was associated with increased knowledge of smoking-related diseases and greater cessation intention among US smokers. <sup>51 52</sup>

Our study still had some limitations. First of all, as limited by a cross-sectional data, the causality cannot be inferred between smoking rationalisation and quit intention. Second, although a multicentre design was used T geographical locations, economic development levels and legislative status on tobacco control in China, our participants were sampled from only the participants were sampled from only three distinct sites, leaving out male smokers that from other areas, especially § the northwestern part of China. The results may not be generalisable to the entire population of male smokers in China. However, as shown by national census, <sup>53</sup> only 6% of national population was living in the northwestern part of China (partitioning by the Heihe-Tengchong Line), we believe that participants in our study could be representative of the population of general male smokers in China. Third, the study only focused on male smokers, so the results may not generalise to female smokers in China. In fact, there was a great gender gap in smoking in China (52.9% for male, 2.4% for female). Evidence suggested that there were different motivations for continuing or ceasing smoking between men and women. 5455 We expect 5 that smoking rationalisation and its association with quit intention among Chinese females may be different from those among Chinese males. Future studies may explore relationship between smoking rationalisation and intention to quit among female smokers.

In conclusion, despite the limitations, our findings suggest that smoking rationalisation plays an important role in the lack of intention to quit among Chinese male smokers. Future interventions should tackle smoking rationalisation beliefs as a strategy to promote smoking cessation.

#### Author affiliations

<sup>1</sup>Department of Preventive Medicine and Health Education, Key Laboratory of Public Health Safety, Ministry of Education, Fudan University, Shanghai, China <sup>2</sup>Fudan University Pudong Institute of Preventive Medicine, Shanghai, China <sup>3</sup>Shanghai Pudong New Area Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Shanghai,

<sup>4</sup>School of Public Health, Guangxi Medical University, Nanning, China
 <sup>5</sup>School of Public Health, Mudanjiang Medical University, Mudanjiang, China
 <sup>6</sup>Sydney School of Public Health, The University of Sydney, Camperdown, New South Wales, Australia

<sup>7</sup>Charles Perkins Centre, The University of Sydney, Camperdown, New South Wales, Australia

Acknowledgements The authors thank all the smokers who participated in the study.

Contributors XH, WF, PZ: conceived the study. XH: performed the data analysis and drafted the manuscript. XH, WF: collected the data of Shanghai. HZ, HL: collected the data of Nanning. XL, YY: collected the data of Mudanjiang. FW, JG, HF, SC: participated in study design. SC, DD: contributed to the intellectual content and revised the manuscript. PZ: was the principal investigator, she conceived and led the overall study, critically reviewed the manuscript and

<sup>†</sup>With other demographic and smoking characteristics adjusted

coordinated input from other authors. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

**Funding** This study was funded by National Nature Science Foundation of China (#71573047), National Institute of Health (R01TW010666) and Shanghai Municipal Commission of Health and Family Planning (15GWZK1001). DD is funded by a Future Leader Fellowship from Heart Foundation of Australia (#101234).

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent Obtained.

Ethics approval The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the School of Public Health, Fudan University (no. IRB00002408 and FWA00002399).

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**Data sharing statement** Data were shared among the institutions as part of a data-sharing agreement. These data are not available for public sharing.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

#### REFERENCES

- ITC Project and Office of Tobacco Control CC. ITC China Project ReportFindings from the Wave 1 to 3 Surveys (2006-2009. Beijing: Chinese Modern Economic Publishing House, 2012.
- Feng G, Jiang Y, Li Q, et al. Individual-level factors associated with intentions to quit smoking among adult smokers in six cities of China: findings from the ITC China Survey. Tob Control 2010:19:i6-i11.
- Hyland A, Laux FL, Higbee C, et al. Cigarette purchase patterns in four countries and the relationship with cessation: findings from the International Tobacco Control (ITC) Four Country Survey. Tob Control 2006;15:iii59–iii64.
- Yang G. Global Adult Tobacco Survey (GATS)\_China 2010 Country Report. Beijing: Chinese Three Gorges Publishing House, 2011.
- Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. China Adult Tobacco Survey Report, 2015.
- Ajzen I. The theory of planned behavior. Organ Behav Hum Decis Process 1991;50:179–211.
- DiClemente CC, Prochaska JO, Fairhurst SK, et al. The process of smoking cessation: an analysis of precontemplation, contemplation, and preparation stages of change. J Consult Clin Psychol 1991;59:295–304.
- Godin G, Kok G. The theory of planned behavior: a review of its applications to health-related behaviors. Am J Health Promot 1996:11:87–98.
- Webb TL, Sheeran P. Does changing behavioral intentions engender behavior change? A meta-analysis of the experimental evidence. Psychol Bull 2006;132:249–68.
- Norman P, Conner M, Bell R. The theory of planned behavior and smoking cessation. *Health Psychol* 1999;18:89–94.
- Hennrikus DJ, Jeffery RW, Lando HA. The smoking cessation process: longitudinal observations in a working population. *Prev Med* 1995;24:235–44.
- Tseng YF, Wang KL, Lin CY, et al. Predictors of smoking cessation in Taiwan: using the theory of planned behavior. Psychol Health Med 2018:23:270–6.
- Li L, Feng G, Jiang Y, et al. Prospective predictors of quitting behaviours among adult smokers in six cities in China: findings from the International Tobacco Control (ITC) China Survey. Addiction 2011;106:1335–45.
- Myung SK, Seo HG, Cheong YS, et al. Association of sociodemographic factors, smoking-related beliefs, and smoking restrictions with intention to quit smoking in Korean adults: findings from the ITC Korea Survey. J Epidemiol 2012;22:21–7.
- Panda R, Venkatesan S, Persai D, et al. Factors determining intention to quit tobacco: exploring patient responses visiting public health facilities in India. Tob Induc Dis 2014;12:1.
- Dhumal GG, Pednekar MS, Gupta PC, et al. Quit history, intentions to quit, and reasons for considering quitting among tobacco users in India: findings from the Tobacco Control Policy Evaluation India Wave 1 Survey. *Indian J Cancer* 2014;51:S39.
- 17. Abdullah AS, Yam HK. Intention to quit smoking, attempts to quit, and successful quitting among Hong Kong Chinese smokers:

- population prevalence and predictors. *Am J Health Promot* 2005:19:346–54
- Owusu D, Quinn M, Wang KS, et al. Intentions to quit tobacco smoking in 14 low- and middle-income countries based on the transtheoretical model. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2017;178:425–9.
   Abdullah AS, Ho LM, Kwan YH, et al. Promoting smoking cessation
- Abdullah AS, Ho LM, Kwan YH, et al. Promoting smoking cessation among the elderly: what are the predictors of intention to quit and successful quitting? J Aging Health 2006;18:552–64.
- Chapman S, Wong WL, Smith W. Self-exempting beliefs about smoking and health: differences between smokers and ex-smokers. Am J Public Health 1993;83:215–9.
- Oakes W, Chapman S, Borland R, et al. "Bulletproof skeptics in life's jungle": which self-exempting beliefs about smoking most predict lack of progression towards quitting? Prev Med 2004;39:776–82.
- Weinstein ND, Marcus SE, Moser RP. Smokers' unrealistic optimism about their risk. *Tob Control* 2005;14:55–9.
- Kleinjan M, van den Eijnden RJ, Dijkstra A, et al. Excuses to continue smoking: the role of disengagement beliefs in smoking cessation. Addict Behav 2006;31:2223–37.
- Peretti-Watel P, Halfen S, Grémy I. Risk denial about smoking hazards and readiness to quit among French smokers: an exploratory study. Addict Behav 2007;32:377–83.
- Borland R, Yong HH, Balmford J, et al. Do risk-minimizing beliefs about smoking inhibit quitting? Findings from the International Tobacco Control (ITC) Four-Country Survey. Prev Med 2009;49(2-3):219–23.
- Lee WB, Fong GT, Zanna MP, et al. Regret and rationalization among smokers in thailand and malaysia: findings from the international tobacco control southeast asia survey. Health Psychol 2009:28:457–64.
- Guillaumier A, Bonevski B, Paul C, et al. Self-exempting beliefs and intention to quit smoking within a socially disadvantaged australian sample of smokers. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2016;13:118.
- Jiraniramai S, Jiraporncharoen W, Pinyopornpanish K, et al.
   Functional beliefs and risk minimizing beliefs among Thai healthcare
   workers in Maharaj Nakorn Chiang Mai hospital: its association with
   intention to quit tobacco and alcohol. Subst Abuse Treat Prev Policy
   2017:12:34.
- 29. Ma S, Hoang MA, Samet JM, et al. Myths and attitudes that sustain smoking in China. J Health Commun 2008;13:654–66.
- Huang X, Fu W, Zhang H, et al. Development and validation of a smoking rationalization scale for male smokers in China. J Health Psychol 2017:135910531772027.
- Yang XY, Kelly BC, Yang T. The influence of self-exempting beliefs and social networks on daily smoking: a mediation relationship explored. *Psychol Addict Behav* 2014;28:921–7.
- 32. Department of Population and Employment Statistics National Bureau of Statistics of China. *Chines Population & Employment Statistics Yearbook 2013*. Beijing: China Statistics Press, 2013.
- Prochaska JO, Norcross JC. Stages of change. psychotherapy: theory, research, practice. *Training* 2001;38:443–8.
- 34. Thompson D. Smoking and heart disease. Humana Press. 1982.
- Janoff A. Biochemical links between cigarette smoking and pulmonary emphysema. J Appl Physiol Respir Environ Exerc Physiol 1983:55:285–93.
- Forey BA, Thornton AJ, Lee PN. Systematic review with metaanalysis of the epidemiological evidence relating smoking to COPD, chronic bronchitis and emphysema. BMC Pulm Med 2011;11:36.
- Trédaniel J, Boffetta P, Buiatti E, et al. Tobacco smoking and gastric cancer: review and meta-analysis. Int J Cancer 1997;72:565–73.
- Ladeiras-Lopes R, Pereira AK, Nogueira A, et al. Smoking and gastric cancer: systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. Cancer Causes Control 2008;19:689–701.
- 39. Sun X, Huang Y, Wang B. The relationship between smoking and gastric cancer in chinese population: a meta-analysis. *Chin J Prevent Control Chronic Dis* 2009;17:247–51.
- Dorey G. Is smoking a cause of erectile dysfunction? A literature review. Br J Nurs 2001:10:455–65.
- Gades NM, Nehra A, Jacobson DJ, et al. Association between smoking and erectile dysfunction: a population-based study. Am J Epidemiol 2005;161:346–51.
- 42. Fagerstrom KO, Heatherton TF, Kozlowski LT. Nicotine addiction and its assessment. *Ear Nose Throat J* 1990;69:763–5.
- Heatherton TF, Kozlowski LT, Frecker RC, et al. The Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence: a revision of the Fagerström Tolerance Questionnaire. Br J Addict 1991;86:1119–27.
- Fotuhi O, Fong GT, Zanna MP, et al. Patterns of cognitive dissonance-reducing beliefs among smokers: a longitudinal analysis from the International Tobacco Control (ITC) Four Country Survey. Tob Control 2013;22:52–8.

- Festinger L. A theory of cognitive dissonance: Stanford University Press, 1957.
- McMaster C, Lee C. Cognitive dissonance in tobacco smokers. Addict Behav 1991;16:349–53.
- Jiang Y, Wei X, Tao J, et al. Smoking behavior of doctors in 6 cities, China. Chin J Health Educ 2005;21:403–7.
- 48. Zhang L, Li Y, Tian D, *et al.* Investigation on the status of smoking behavior among medical personnel,teachers and government employees in 5 cities in Liaoning Province. *Chin J Health Educ* 2009:17–19.
- Chu A, Jiang N, Glantz SA. Transnational tobacco industry promotion of the cigarette gifting custom in China. *Tob Control* 2011:20:e3.
- 50. Huang LL, Thrasher JF, Jiang Y, et al. Impact of the 'Giving Cigarettes is Giving Harm' campaign on knowledge and attitudes of Chinese smokers. *Tob Control* 2015;24 Suppl 4:iv28–iv34.

- 51. Duke JC, Davis KC, Alexander RL, *et al.* Impact of a U.S. antismoking national media campaign on beliefs, cognitions and quit intentions. *Health Educ Res* 2015;30:466–83.
- Huang LL, Thrasher JF, Abad EN, et al. The U.S. national tips from former smokers antismoking campaign: promoting awareness of smoking-related risks, cessation resources, and cessation behaviors. Health Educ Behav 2015;42:480–6.
- National Bureau of Statistics of China. Tabulation on 2010 population census of the People's Republic of China: China Statistics Press, 2012.
- Ding D, Gebel K, Oldenburg BF, et al. An early-stage epidemic: a systematic review of correlates of smoking among Chinese women. Int J Behav Med 2014;21:653–61.
- WHO Department of Gender. Gender, health and tobacco. 2003 http://www.who.int/gender-equity-rights/knowledge/gender\_ tobacco\_leaflet/en/.