A Scoping Review Protocol to Map the Evidence of Experiences Related to Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis

Supplementary file 3. Data charting form.

Study Details and Characteristics:											
Citation:											
publication type:	academic:		grey:		unpublished:						
Type of study	primary:		secondary:		tertiary:		other:				
Study design:											
Origin/ country of origin: (where the study was conducted)											
Content:											
Aims/ objectives of the study:											
AIS as the problem:		Health conditions related to AIS as the problem:									
Diagnostics as the problem:		Screening as the problem:									
Treatment as the problem:		Other people as the problem:									
Cosmetics / appearance as the problem:		Other (e.g. physical activity/ sports/ lifestyle):									
Research questions (if applicable):											
Eligibility criteria:											
What is reported (e.g. views, opinions, experiences):											
How 'experience' is used (definition / understanding)											
Context:											
Country/ region/ state:											
Culture and societal aspects (e.g. education, religion, beliefs, norms):											
Setting (e.g. school, outpatient clinic, community/ home):											
Basis for the programme/ intervention/ treatment (national/ regional guidelines, statements, recommendations, individual programme, other):											
Scoliosis in the family:											
Other:											
Participants:											
affected person(s) □ relative(s) □ other person(s) (who):											
Age:		Number:									
Sex:		severity of AIS:									
Data on progression:											
Treated □		Untreated □									
Other characteristics (e.g. related to relatives/ other people, e.g. scoliosis in the family)											
Details/Results/ outcomes extracted from study (in relation to the **concept** of the scoping review):											
How diagnosed:											
Screening □	Visit □	Other (e.g. physiotherapist, leaflet used by parents):									
Diagnostic imaging:	YES / NO	Details, if YES (e.g. device, dose, no of exposures, area):									

Type of treatment(s)/ intervention(s) □	experiences related to untreated persons □
Length (and sequence, if applicable) of treatment(s):	
Primary (person-centred) outcomes:	
Related to effectiveness (e.g. hopes and expectations):	
Related to harms (e.g. stigma, labellisation, pain, discomfort, x-ray exposure):	
Related to time, daily routine, time management and finance:	
Other:	
Secondary outcomes (any related to the treatment process, as in biomedical literature):	
Effectiveness of intervention(s) (e.g. Cobb angle, progression):	
Harms (biomedical, e.g. x-ray exposure):	
Other:	
Additional information / notes:	
key findings that relate to the scoping review questions:	
gaps in the research/ practice:	

Date:

Reviewer: