Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining,

# BMJ Open Academic versus non-academic neurosurgeons in China: a national cross-sectional study on workload, burnout and engagement

Jinli Yu. 1,2 Jiming Gao. 3 Junyan Chen. 4 Yirui Sun 5

To cite: Yu J, Gao J, Chen J, et al. Academic versus nonacademic neurosurgeons in China: a national cross-sectional study on workload, burnout and engagement. BMJ Open 2019;9:e028309. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2018-028309

Prepublication history for this paper is available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi. org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-028309).

Received 01 December 2018 Revised 18 August 2019 Accepted 23 September 2019



@ Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2019. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

<sup>1</sup>Department of International Education and Cooperation. Huashan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, China <sup>2</sup>Continuing Medical Education, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, United States <sup>3</sup>Department of Medical Education, Huashan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai,

<sup>4</sup>The Artificial Intelligence and Big Data Business Division, Fast China Institute of Telecommunications, Shanghai,

<sup>5</sup>Department of Neurosurgery, Huashan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, China

# **Correspondence to**

Dr Yirui Sun; yirui.sun@live.cn

# **ABSTRACT**

**Objectives** Chinese neurosurgery has made great progress during the past decades; yet, little is known about the working status of neurosurgeons. This study aimed to evaluate the difference between academic and nonacademic neurosurgeons, focusing on their professional burnout, job satisfaction and work engagement.

Design Cross-sectional nationwide survey. Study setting The survey was conducted in China between 2017 and 2018.

Participants A total number of 823 academic neurosurgeons and 379 non-academic neurosurgeons participated in this study.

Outcome measures Professional burnout, job satisfaction and work engagement were assessed using the Maslach Burnout Inventory, the Job Descriptive Index and the Utrecht Work Engagement Scale, respectively.

**Results** The majority of respondents were male (92.93%), less than 45 years old (85.27%) and married (79.53%). Chinese neurosurgeons worked 63.91±11.04 hours per week, and approximately 45% experienced burnout. Compared with non-academic respondents, academic neurosurgeons had longer working hours (p<0.01), higher income (p<0.01) and were less willing to get married (p<0.01). In addition, they showed a lower degree of burnout (p<0.01), a higher level of job satisfaction (p<0.01) and were more enthusiastic at work (p=0.015). Multivariate regression analyses indicated that divorced (OR 7.02, 95% CI 2.37 to 15.08) and workplace violence (OR 1.52, 95% CI 1.18 to 2.24) were associated with burnout for both academic and non-academic respondents. Long working hours (≥71 hours per week) and low annual income (<1 00 000 RMB) were risk factors for burnout among academic neurosurgeons. For nonacademic neurosurgical surgeons (age 36-45 years). working as attending doctors, serving in public hospitals and having the first house-living child were all closely related to the incidence of burnout.

Conclusion Chinese neurosurgeons are under significant stress particularly for the non-academic neurosurgeons. Offering better opportunities for training, promotion, higher income and safer working environments could be solutions to relieve burnout and improve career satisfaction and engagement.

Trial registration number ChiCTR1800014762. This article is not linked to a clinical trial.

# Strengths and limitations of this study

- This is the first national cross-sectional survey to assess the working status of Chinese neurosurgeons.
- common questionnaires Worldwide ing Maslach Burnout Inventory-Human Services Survey, Index of Job Satisfaction and Utrecht Work Engagement Scale were applied in the survey. The results would facilitate a comparison with previous and future studies.
- Subgroup analyses were performed to illustrate burnout levels among junior and senior neurosurgeons.
- Despite a large number (1202) of respondents, the study covered approximately 16% of Chinese neurosurgeons. Selection bias may exist.
- A comparison with other clinical specialists would also be interesting for future investigations.

#### INTRODUCTION

Chinese neurosurgery has a relatively short history. The first formal record of neurosurgical procedure could be traced back to 1950, when the first craniotomy operation was performed by Dr Kefei Shan and Yuquan Shi in Shanghai. At that time, Chinese 9 neurosurgical facilities started with only a few pioneer surgeons and limited beds. 12 A remarkable milestone in the development of Chinese neurosurgery was the foundation of the Chinese Neurosurgical Society (CNS) in 1986.<sup>3 4</sup> To date, modern neurosurgical clinic centres have been established in many major cities, with some performing & over 8000 sophisticated operations annually. <sup>14</sup> According to the World Federation of Neurosurgical Societies (WFNS) and the CNS, 5 China has approximately 11 000 registered neurosurgeons, which is the largest group of neurosurgeons in the world. In addition, Chinese neurosurgery has made remarkable progress in academic research. It is indicated that China has contributed approximately 4.2% of published



neurosurgical research in the world (ranked fifth in the world behind USA, Japan, Germany and UK) and emerged as the only country among the top five with substantial growth of neurosurgical research productivity (121.9%±9.98% per year).

Despite the remarkable achievement, to our knowledge, there have been few published studies revealing the working status of Chinese neurosurgeons. The training programme and career path of a neurosurgeon in China are different from that in the Western world. In China, the healthcare system involves hospitals of three levels. General practitioners usually work in level I hospitals, also known as community health centres. Level I hospitals provide primary healthcare and are not equipped with neurosurgical facilities. The level II hospitals, also known as regional medical centres, recruit specialists including neurosurgeons. Routine neurosurgical operations can be performed in these hospitals. The level III (tertiary) hospitals are usually university teaching hospitals and national medical centres. Neurosurgeons working in tertiary hospitals are required to not only take care of complex cases (usually transferred from regional hospitals) but also conduct clinical/basic research, teaching and tutoring. Therefore, the neurosurgical surgeons working in tertiary hospitals are usually considered academic neurosurgeons and those working in regional hospitals as the non-academic. For young doctors who are interested in neurosurgery, after graduation from medical school, they are recruited to work either in a regional or a tertiary hospital and start their training as neurosurgeon residents. The updated (since 2003) training programme requires a neurosurgeon resident to complete two stages (5–7 years in total) standardised training before they can apply for senior positions such as attending and then consulting neurosurgeons. Although young trainees may apply to work for a different hospital (eg, from a non-academic to academic centre), the number of successful applicants is very limited. A brief introduction of the Chinese neurosurgery system and training system can be found elsewhere.4

Due to the inherent difference of the academic and non-academic medical specialists in China, a natural belief holds that the academic neurosurgeons are under significant stress as a result of additional responsibilities. However, during our previous study to assess the working status of Chinese physicians from various specialties, subgroup analyses suggested that surgeons working in regional hospitals were actually more stressed (submitted and under review). Nevertheless, in-depth investigations could not be performed due to limited case numbers. Here, we reported the results on a national survey on Chinese neurosurgeons. The focus of this study is to reveal the working status, as well as the difference between academic and non-academic of neurosurgeons. Detailed methods and results are described below.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Study design and questionnaires

From June 2017 to September 2018, a national online survey was conducted in mainland China using both smartphone apps and website visiting under the support of the East Institution of China Academy of Information and Communications Technology. This study was part of a two-phase national survey on the working and living status of Chinese physicians, which was approved and registered with the Chinese Clinical Trial Registry. The  $\tau$ phase I investigation was conducted between June 2017 and February 2018, recruiting 1167 physicians of various specialties. This part focused on the comparison between specialties (submitted elsewhere and under review). The  $\xi$ phase II investigation was conducted between March ? and September 2018, in which the working and living status of each specialty is further investigated. Answers from resident/attending/senior neurosurgeons in both phase I and II investigations were analysed in this study. Potential respondents were invited to complete the online questionnaires via mailing lists of the Shanghai Medical Doctor Association, the national continuing education platform 'Neurosurgery News' and the professional networks of the authors. Neurosurgeons were also invited during national or local conferences via two-dimensional barcodes scanning. An introduction of the research purpose and methods was provided at the beginning of the online survey. Informed consent was received electronically from each respondent. All answers were collected anonymously. To avoid repeated participation, each IP address was restricted to fill out the questionnaire once.

The questionnaire consisted of 147 closed-end questions, organised in sections including demographic and practice characteristics, professional burnout, job satisfaction and work engagement. Most questions were derived from the well-established and standard scales (see below). A few questions were derived from previous studies<sup>7–9</sup> or were developed by the authors. Details for each section are described as follows.

# **Demographic and practice characteristics**

Respondents were asked to provide gender, marital and family status, job titles, nature of employers, weekly working hours, annual income, experience of workplace violence and whether a respondent would encourage his/her kid(s) to become a doctor. Neurosurgical respondents working in tertiary hospitals were considered academic neurosurgeons, and those from regional hospitals were considered as non-academic neurosurgeons.

#### **Burnout**

Professional burnout was measured by the 22-item Maslach Burnout Inventory-Human Services Survey (MBI-HSS). In previous studies on professional burnout, heterogeneities have been recognised regarding the different tools and questionnaire versions. However, the original 22-item version of MBI-HSS is still one of the

most commonly used tools to measure burnout. <sup>10</sup> The 22 items coving three dimensions: Emotional Exhaustion (EE, nine items), Depersonalisation (DP, five items) and Personal Accomplishments (PA, eight items). Answers to each question were designed according to the frequency of a respondent's encountering situations on a 7-point scale, from 'never' (0 points) to 'daily' (six points). MBI scores of each dimension were further graded as high, intermediate or low (low EE  $\leq$ 18; high EE  $\geq$ 27; low DP  $\leq$ 5, high DP  $\geq$ 10; low PA  $\leq$ 33; high PA  $\geq$ 40). <sup>11</sup> A respondent would be considered burnt out if he/she had high scores in the EE or DP assessment. <sup>11–13</sup> The internal consistency of the questionnaire was presented as Cronbach's  $\alpha$  values for each section (0.91, 0.90 and 0.85, respectively).

# Job satisfaction

The respondents' job satisfaction was assessed by the Index of Job Satisfaction (JDI). The JDI questionnaire contained questions on five independent dimensions, namely Work Itself (22 items), Pay (9 items), Promotion (7 items), Co-workers (11 items) and Supervision (14 items). Lach item was scored Yes=3, ?=1 and No=0, with negatively worded items being reverse-coded. A JDI score for each respondent was calculated as the sum of all his/her answers, with the scores of the Promotion and Pay sections being multiplied by 2. The Cronbach's  $\alpha$  values of the five JDI subscales were 0.89, 0.94, 0.88, 0.90 and 0.87, respectively.

# Work engagement

Work engagement was measured using the 17-item Utrecht Work Engagement Scale (UWES). The UWES had three subscales: Vigour (six items), Dedication (five items) and Absorption (six items). All items were rated on a 7-point Likert scale (0–6). The sum of all items was used as a UWES score. <sup>16–18</sup> The internal consistency for the UWES questionnaire was presented as a Cronbach's  $\alpha$  value of 0.85.

#### **Data analysis**

Data analysis was performed using SPSS V.18 (SPSS). Quantitative data were presented as means±SD. Shapiro-Wilk W test and F test were performed for normality and homogeneity. Independent samples t-test, Wilcoxon rank-sum test and one-way analysis of variance were used for the quantitative data of independent groups. Chi-square and Fisher exact tests were used for nominal variables. Statistical significance was considered with a two-tailed p value <0.05.

# Patient and public involvement

No patients were involved in this study. The public has not been involved in the development of the research or in the study design. The study results will be disseminated to respondents via newsletters and publications.

#### **RESULTS**

#### **Demographic and practice characteristics of respondents**

A total number of 1202 neurosurgeons completed the survey. Considering the fact that approximately two-thirds of 11 000 registered neurosurgeons in China have received proper training and are active, <sup>19</sup> the pool of responding neurosurgeons in this study may represent approximately 16% of Chinese neurosurgeons.

The demographic and practice characteristics of responding neurosurgeons were summarised in table 1.  $\tau$ Their mean age was 34.32±7.21 years, with a male to female ratio of 13.14:1. Among the respondents, 956 (79.53%) were married and 50 (4.16%) were divorced. Approximately three-quarters of neurosurgeons had home-living children, and 23.87% (30.02% of the married) had more § than one child. The majority (91.93%) of responding neurosurgeons worked in public hospitals. They worked an average of 63.91±11.04 hours a week, with an annual income of 11.13±4.85 $\land$ 10<sup>4</sup> RMB (approximately 16 000±6990 USD). Interns, residents, attending doctors and senior/chief neurosurgeons constituted 3.00%, 27.95%, 42.35% and 26.71% of all respondents, respectively. Over one-third (37.94%) of surveyed neurosurgeons claimed that they had experienced or witnessed workplace violence against doctors or other medical workers in the last 5 years. Only 6.6% of respondents would encourage their offspring to become a doctor. their offspring to become a doctor.

Among the 1202 respondents, there were 823 (68.47%) academic and 379 (31.53%) non-academic neurosur- ត geons. The two groups had no statistical difference in terms of gender, age, marital status and job titles. However, a larger proportion of academic neurosurgeons were single compared with the non-academic (19.93% vs \$\overline{a}\$) 8.44%, p<0.01), and the former preferred to have fewer children after marriage (table 1). While the majority (91.93%) of academic neurosurgeons were working in public hospitals, nearly 18% of non-academic neurosurgeons chose to work in private medical facilities (p<0.01, table 1). Both academic and non-academic neurosurgeons spent approximately 60 hours per week on clinical work (56.17±9.12 vs 61.42±7.92 hours, p<0.01). For the academic neurosurgeons, they required additional 8.21±4.64 hours per week on teaching and research such as university lectures, laboratory work, clinical trials, and paper writing, making their average working hours significantly longer than that of the non-academic (p<0.01, table 1). Accordingly, the average annual income of the academic neurosurgeons was statistically higher than that & of their non-academic colleagues (11.37±4.29 $\land$ 10<sup>4</sup> RMB, **3**  $16\ 300\pm6100\ \text{USD}\ \text{vs}\ 10.02\pm5.21\land10^4\ \text{RMB},\ 14\ 300\pm7500$ USD, p<0.01). The gap in income was also reflected by the difference in proportions of high-income individuals (table 1). Some other notable difference included that the non-academic neurosurgeons had a higher risk of experiencing or witnessing workplace violence (44.06%) vs 35.12%, p<0.01). Additionally, it is worth noting that the academic neurosurgeons were less likely to encourage their child(ren) to become a doctor (p<0.01) (table 1).

	All neurosurgeons (n=1202)		Academic (	n=823)	Non-acad	demic (n=379)	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	P value*
Gender							
Male	1117	92.93	757	91.98	360	94.99	0.069
Female	85	7.07	66	8.02	19	5.01	0.069
Age (years)							
≤35	586	48.75	406	49.33	180	47.49	0.58
36–45	439	36.52	307	37.30	132	34.83	0.41
46–55	158	13.14	99	12.03	59	15.57	0.092
≥56	19	1.58	11	1.34	8	2.11	0.47
Marital status							
Married	956	79.53	630	76.55	326	86.02	<0.01
Unmarried	196	16.31	164	19.93	32	8.44	<0.01
Divorced	50	4.16	29	3.52	21	5.54	0.11
Number of kids	(married only	<i>'</i> )					
0	80	8.37	74	11.75	6	1.84	<0.01
1	589	61.61	392	62.22	197	60.43	0.59
≥2	287	30.02	164	26.03	123	37.73	<0.01
Nature of curre	nt employer						
Public	1105	91.93	794	96.48	311	82.06	<0.01
Private	97	8.07	29	3.52	68	17.94	<0.01
Professional sta	atus						
Intern	36	3.00	25	3.04	11	2.90	0.99
Resident	336	27.95	241	29.28	95	25.07	0.13
Attending doctor	509	42.35	342	41.56	167	44.06	0.41
Senior/chief	321	26.71	215	26.12	106	27.97	0.50
Working hours	per week (hou	ırs, mean±SD)					
Total	63.91±11.04	ļ.	64.39±9.63	-	61.92±8.3	4	<0.01
Clinic	58.19±10.34		56.17±9.12		61.42±7.9	2	<0.01
Research	5.72±3.67		8.21±4.64		0.53±0.36		<0.01
Annual income							
<6	202	16.81	121	14.70	81	21.37	<0.01
6–10	384	31.95	231	28.07	153	40.37	<0.01
11–15	366	30.45	264	32.08	102	26.91	0.071
16–20	172	14.31	140	17.01	32	8.44	<0.01
≥20	78	6.49	67	8.14	11	2.90	<0.01
Experience or v	vitness of wor	kplace violence in	the last 5 yea	ırs			
Yes	456	37.94	289	35.12	167	44.06	<0.01
Encouraging ch	nild to become	e a doctor					
Yes	80	6.66	55	6.68	25	6.60	0.99
No	876	72.88	573	69.62	303	79.95	<0.01
Not sure	246	20.47	195	23.69	51	13.46	<0.01

Bold values indicate p values less than 0.05.

<sup>&</sup>quot;-" indicates not applicable.

<sup>\*</sup>The p values indicate the difference between the academic and the non-academic neurosurgeons. A p value less than 0.05 is considered as statistical significance.

Table 2 Burnout measured by MBI-HSS

Table 2 Bullout III	- Jacarca By							
	All neuro	surgeons (n=1202)	Academic (n=823)		Non-academic (n=379)			
Burnout subscales	n	%	n	%	n	%	P value*	
Emotional Exhaustion	า							
Low	195	16.22	136	16.52	59	15.57	0.68	
Intermediate	573	47.67	414	50.30	159	41.95	<0.01	
High	434	36.11	273	33.17	161	42.48	<0.01	
Depersonalisation								
Low	543	45.17	394	47.87	149	39.31	<0.01	
Intermediate	303	25.21	253	30.74	50	13.19	<0.01	
High	356	29.62	176	21.39	180	47.49	<0.01	
Personal Accomplish	ment							
Low	533	44.34	363	44.11	170	44.85	0.81	
Intermediate	518	43.09	376	45.69	142	37.47	<0.01	
High	151	12.56	84	10.21	67	17.68	<0.01	
Burnout	535	44.51	312	37.91	223	58.84	<0.01	

Bold values indicate p values less than 0.05.

MBI-HSS, Maslach Burnout Inventory-Human Services Survey.

#### **Career burnout**

Career burnout was assessed by the 22-item MBI-HSS questionnaire and summarised in table 2. Overall, 44.51% of responding neurosurgeons were burnout. There were 36.11% of respondents showing high levels of EE, 29.62% with high DP and 12.26% with a low sense of PA (table 2). Although the academic neurosurgeons had longer weekly working hours and more workload, the incidence of burnout was significantly lower among the academic neurosurgeons (37.91% vs 58.84%, p<0.01). In fact, the academic neurosurgeons scored better in all three assessed dimensions (p<0.01, p<0.01 and p<0.01, respectively, table 2). Additional analysis was performed to illustrate the difference in burnout rate among neurosurgeons with different job titles. Table 3 indicates that the non-academic neurosurgeons at all levels had higher burnout rates than their academic colleagues. In addition, for both academic and non-academic respondents,

the senior/chief neurosurgeons were less likely being burnout than intern/resident or attending neurosurgeons (table 3).

# Job satisfaction

Job satisfaction was measured by JDI and the five subscales (table 4). The mean JDI score for all surveyed neurosurgeons was  $102.60\pm36.63$ . Previous studies have been conducted in other countries using JDI to measure job satisfaction. For example, Rahnavard *et al*<sup>20</sup> and Lee *et al*<sup>21</sup> reported a mean JDI between 175 and 190 of mental health professionals and nursing staff. A JDI of 102 of this study is significantly lower than the scores reported by other authors, indicating a lower level of job satisfaction. Interestingly, the academic neurosurgeons showed significantly higher scores (being more satisfied) when they were asked to comment on Work Itself, Promotion and Supervision (p<0.01, p<0.01 and p<0.01, respectively,

Table 3 Burnout measured by MBI-HSS among neurosurgeons with different job titles

	All neurosurgeons		Acad	Academic		Non-academic		
	n	Burnout, n (%)	n	Burnout, n (%)	n	Burnout, n (%)	P value*	
Intern/resident	372	162 (43.54)	266	108 (40.60)	106	63 (59.43)	<0.01	
Attending doctor	509	253 (49.71)	342	145 (42.40)	167	115 (68.86)	<0.01	
Senior/chief	321	120 (37.38)†‡	215	59 (27.44)†‡	106	45 (42.45)†‡	<0.01	

Bold values indicate p values less than 0.05.

<sup>\*</sup>The p values indicate the difference between the academic and the non-academic neurosurgeons. A p value less than 0.05 is considered as statistical significance.

<sup>\*</sup>The p values indicate the difference between the academic and the non-academic neurosurgeons. A p value less than 0.05 is considered as statistical significance.

<sup>†</sup>There were statistic differences in the burnout rates between the group of intern/resident and the senior/chief neurosurgeons.

<sup>‡</sup>There were statistic differences in the burnout rate between the group of attending doctor and the senior/chief neurosurgeons.

MBI-HSS, Maslach Burnout Inventory-Human Services Survey.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-028309 on 16 October 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 14, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de

Table 4 Job satisfaction measured by JDI

	All neurosurgeons (n=1202)		Academic (n=823)		Non-acad	Non-academic (n=379)	
JDI subscales	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	P value*
JDI score	102.60	36.63	107.04	36.48	92.95	35.12	<0.01
Work itself	24.27	11.76	24.55	11.93	22.30	12.49	<0.01
Pay	7.44	4.22	7.60	4.09	7.11	4.84	0.059
Promotion	6.73	4.55	7.09	4.29	5.92	4.99	<0.01
Supervision	25.44	13.35	27.14	13.83	21.76	11.41	<0.01
Coworker	24.53	10.60	25.32	10.76	24.83	10.07	0.45

Bold values indicate p values less than 0.05.

table 4). There was no statistical difference in the comments on Pay and Coworker (p=0.059 and p=0.45, respectively, table 3).

#### Work engagement

The UWES scores indicated the difference in work engagement between the academic and the non-academic neurosurgeons (table 5). Overall, the academic neurosurgeons scored higher (being more enthusiastic for work) than their non-academic colleagues (p=0.015). For UWES subscales, academic neurosurgeons were more dedicated to work (p<0.01). Yet, the academic and non-academic neurosurgeons showed no difference in the Vigour and Absorption assessment (p=0.057, p=0.059, respectively, table 5).

### **Multivariate analysis**

Multivariate regression analysis indicated that, for both academic and non-academic neurosurgeons, being divorced, having one (or the first) home-living child, working as attending doctors, prolonged working hours (≥71 hours per week), low annual income (<100 000 RMB, ~14 400 USD) and workplace violence were significantly related to professional burnout (table 6). For non-academic neurosurgeons, working in public hospitals was an independent risk factor for burnout (OR 5.37, 95% CI 2.969 to 9.67, p<0.01). In addition, surgeons' age, number

of home-living children and job titles were associated with burnout among non-academic neurosurgeons but not the academic respondents (table 6). Subgroup analysis also indicated that academic neurosurgeons may have a relatively lower expectation of income. While the annual income between 110000 and 150000 RMB (14400–21 600 USD) was related to professional burnout among the academic neurosurgeons (OR 0.63, 95% CI 0.46 to 0.86, p<0.01), a similar association was only observed when the annual income exceeded 160 000 RMB (23000 USD) among the non-academic respondents (OR 0.29, 95% CI 0.15 to 0.58, p<0.01, table 6).

#### DISCUSSION

There is no doubt that Chinese neurosurgery has made a remarkable improvement in the past decades. <sup>3 4 6</sup> On the stage of today's neurosurgery, the voice of the Chinese can often be heard. In spite of the fact that Chinese neurosurgery has shown progresses not only in the case numbers and operating techniques but also in the amount and quality of academic research, little attention has been paid to the working status of Chinese neurosurgical surgeons to date.

In this study, a national survey was conducted to address this issue. Our survey indicates that Chinese

Table 5 Job engagement measured by UWES										
	All neuros	urgeons (n=1202)	Academic (n=823)		Non-acad	_				
UWES subscales	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	P value*			
UWES score	61.27	26.21	62.52	25.53	58.55	27.49	0.015			
Vigour	22.04	9.48	22.39	9.37	21.28	9.69	0.059			
Dedication	18.03	8.83	18.57	8.48	16.86	9.46	<0.01			
Absorption	21.20	9.75	21.57	9.55	20.41	10.13	0.057			

Bold values indicate p values less than 0.05.

<sup>\*</sup>The p values indicate the difference between the academic and the non-academic neurosurgeons. A p value less than 0.05 is considered as statistical significance.

JDI, Index of Job Satisfaction.

<sup>\*</sup>The p values indicate the difference between the academic and the non-academic neurosurgeons. A p value less than 0.05 is considered as statistical significance.

UWES, Utrecht Work Engagement Scale.

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar

0.10

0.99

0.13

0.17

0.24

< 0.01

< 0.01

≤55

≥71

<10

≥16

Yes

11-15

56-70

Annual income (10 000 RMB)

Table 6 Multivaria		sis on burnout	4000)	A 1			NI			
	All ne	urosurgeons (n=	=1202)	Acade	Academic (n=823)			Non-academic (n=379)		
	OR	95% CI	P value	OR	95% CI	P value	OR	95% CI	P value*	
Gender										
Male	1.43	0.91 to 2.26	0.14	1.44	0.84 to 2.49	0.23	1.04	0.41 to 2.65	0.99	
Age (years)										
≤35	1.07	0.85 to 1.35	0.55	1.11	0.84 to 1.47	0.46	1.045	0.69 to 1.58	0.82	
36–45	1.20	0.95 to 1.52	0.13	1.06	0.79 to 1.12	0.70	1.75	1.12 to 2.71	0.013	
≥46	0.61	0.43 to 0.85	<0.01	0.70	0.45 to 1.08	0.10	0.39	0.23 to 0.69	<0.01	
Marital status										
Married	0.71	0.54 to 0.94	0.018	0.54	0.39 to 0.75	<0.01	1.02	0.56 to 1.83	0.99	
Unmarried	0.92	0.68 to 1.26	0.61	1.45	1.03 to 20.6	0.033	0.29	0.13 to 0.62	<0.01	
Divorced	7.02	2.37 to 15.08	<0.01	4.53	1.98 to 10.37	<0.01	7.52	1.84 to 28.43	<0.01	
Number of kids (mari	ried only	)								
0	0.48	0.29 to 0.80	<0.01	0.78	0.46 to 1.33	0.43	0.17	0.075 to 0.38	<0.01	
1	1.47	1.12 to 1.92	<0.01	1.16	0.83 to 1.63	0.39	2.46	1.56 to 3.98	<0.01	
≥2	0.83	0.63 to 1.11	0.21	0.95	0.65 to 1.38	0.20	0.49	0.31 to 0.77	<0.01	
Nature of current em	ployer									
Public hospital	1.39	0.91 to 2.14	0.13	0.36	0.16 to 0.77	0.010	5.37	2.99 to 9.67	<0.01	
Job titles										
Intern/resident	0.88	0.69 to 1.12	0.29	0.99	0.74 to 1.33	0.99	0.82	0.52 to 1.28	0.41	
Attending doctor	1.40	1.12 to 1.76	<0.01	1.05	0.79 to 1.40	0.73	2.57	1.67 to 3.96	<0.01	
Senior/chief	0.74	0.57 to 0.97	0.031	0.94	0.67 to 1.32	0.71	0.41	0.25 to 0.64	<0.01	
Working hours per w	eek									

0.59

0.47

4.56

1.82

0.63

0.77

1.42

0.33 to 0.61

0.34 to 0.65

3.33 to 6.22

1.37 to 2.43

0.46 to 0.86

0.55 to 1.07

1.15 to 2.07

<0.01

< 0.01

< 0.01

< 0.01

<0.01

0.12

0.011

0.68

0.99

1.39

1.33

1.32

0.29

2.03

0.43 to 1.08

0.64 to 1.55

0.91 to 2.12

0.88 to 2.03

0.82 to 2.11

0.15 to 0.58

1.50 to 3.25

Bold values indicate p values less than 0.05.

0.49

0.63

3.12

1.85

0.76

0.55

1.52

0.38 to 0.63

0.49 to 0.81

2.43 to 3.99

1.47 to 2.32

0.59 to 0.98

0.41 to 0.74

1.18 to 2.24

< 0.01

< 0.01

< 0.01

< 0.01

< 0.01

< 0.01

0.033

Experience or witness of workplace violence in the last 5 years

neurosurgeons are under great pressure. Vast workload, prolonged working hours, high incidence of burnout and low job satisfaction have been noted among all levels of neurosurgeons. Professional burnout has been previously investigated among neurosurgeons in the USA (27%-56.7% of neurosurgeons being burnout) 11 13 and in Europe (one-quarter of neurosurgeons being burnout).<sup>22</sup> A recent meta-analysis found that the global prevalence of burnout of residents was 51%. <sup>23</sup> However, this study did not report the prevalence of burnout of neurosurgery residents. In this study, the burnout ratio of Chinese neurosurgeons was 44.51%, which was similar to the results of the USA but significantly higher than that of Europe. An interesting finding is that, although the academic neurosurgeons have heavier workloads and longer working

hours than the non-academic neurosurgeons, they are more satisfied with their jobs, more committed to the work and less likely to experience professional burnout. The reasons for the phenomena are complex, and yet, we speculate several possible explanations, discussed as **g**. follows.

First of all, the income gap may play an important role. Our survey indicated that one of the most apparent differences between Chinese academic and non-academic neurosurgeons is that the former had a higher annual income (table 1). It has been demonstrated in multiple industries that pay is one of the most important contributors for job satisfaction, work engagement and, consequently, professional burnout. 13 24-26 Currently, Chinese tertiary hospitals are attracting an increasing number of

<sup>\*</sup>A p value less than 0.05 is considered as statistical significance.

patients due to reputations and skilful physicians. Under the principle of performance appraisal, which has been widely accepted by Chinese healthcare facilities, academic neurosurgeons have good reasons to be paid better than those working in regional hospitals. However, it does not imply that neurosurgeons are individuals with high income. On the contrary, although neurosurgeons are paid approximately 100 000 to 110 000 RMB (14400–15 800 USD) annually, which is 1.11–1.22 times more than the average salary of Chinese urban employees (89 993 RMB, 13 000 USD, data from the National Bureau of Statistics of China, http://data.stats.gov.cn/easyquery.htm?cn=C01), neurosurgeons are actually underpaid considering their prolonged training, long working hours (nearly 70 hours per week) and vast workload.

Second, academic neurosurgeons may have better career development. As employees of university hospitals and national medical centres, academic neurosurgeons have more opportunities to get funding support and build collaborations, which is crucial for research breakthroughs and development of personal career. According to the records of WFNS (www.wfns.org), American Association of Neurological Surgeons (AANS, www. aans.org) and European Association of Neurosurgical Societies (EANS, www.eans.org), the three major organisations each holding an international neurosurgical conference annually, the majority of Chinese speakers or poster authors of those meetings came from tertiary hospitals. In addition, the database from ClinicalTrails ( www.clinicaltrials.gov) and Chinese Clinical Trial Registry (ChiCTR, www.chictr.org.cn) indicates that the majority of multicentre projects are led or exclusively recruit partners from tertiary hospitals. The advantages in career development, especially the chance of getting support at a national level and the opportunities of setting international collaborations with world-leading research facilities, may provide academic neurosurgeons a sense of superiority and, consequently, have positive impacts on job satisfaction and engagement.<sup>27</sup>

Third, tertiary hospitals provide a safer work environment. During the last two decades, the deterioration of the doctor-patient relationship has become a major issue in the Chinese medical system. Chinese doctors have been threatened by a surge of workplace violence. In 2017, the Chinese Medical Doctor Association (CMDA) released the latest version of 'White paper on medical practice in China', showing that 66% of Chinese physicians were involved in doctor-patient conflicts (www.cmda.net/u/ cms/www/201807/06181247ffex.pdf). The of doctor-patient conflicts has a significant impact on physician burnout, mental health, job satisfaction and drop-out. 9 28 In our survey, nearly 40% of neurosurgeons have encountered workplace violence in the last 5 years. Nevertheless, the incidence among academic neurosurgeons was significantly lower than that among non-academic neurosurgeons. One possible explanation is that university teaching hospitals and national medical centres provide better security service than regional hospitals do.

In addition, the public holds the view that surgeons in tertiary hospitals are more skilled and better equipped. It is believed that academic neurosurgeons represent the highest level in this country; hence, more respect and fewer conflicts are expected.

The gap of pay, career development and safety between academic and non-academic neurosurgeons have attracted an increasing number of young talents to work in tertiary hospitals. If the gap continues to exist or even widens further, an inevitable consequence is that patients  $\mathbf{v}$ would prefer to choose tertiary hospitals even if their disorders could be well treated in regional hospitals. This trend would lead to an imbalance in medical resources and affect the quality of medical care. In order to solve the problem, in 2016 the Chinese authority initiated a new standardisation training programme requiring all Chinese neurosurgeons, particularly those working in regional hospitals, to finish an extra 4 years of specialist training. The intention of this reform is to enable all Chinese neurosurgeons to receive standardised training and to narrow their gap in skills and knowledge. In the meanwhile, the research management agencies, such as the Nature Science Foundation of China and many local foundations, have also provided increasing support for projects from regional hospitals.

This study has a few limitations. First of all, selection bias may exist during the process of the survey. There are approximately 11000 registered neurosurgeons in China. This is the largest group of neurosurgical specialists in the world. Although the number of 1202 respondents was similar to the US study and significantly larger than studies in other countries, <sup>13</sup> <sup>22</sup> the results of this study may only represent 16% of all Chinese neurosurgeons. Individuals who suffer from significant burnout or 

∃ low morale were less likely to complete a voluntary survey. In other words, the survey may have provided more optimistic data. Moreover, the questionnaires were distributed electronically via smart-phone APPs and web pages, which may recruit more young respondents than elder surgeons. Second, for easier understanding and analysis, we simply considered neurosurgeons working in tertiary hospitals as the academic and those in regional hospitals as the non-academic. However, researches in regional hospitals are actually not down to zero. The Chinese healthcare system requires every doctor to publish at least two papers as one of the premise conditions for promotion, regardless of the type of hospital. In major cities such as Beijing and Shanghai, the conditions for promotion & are more demanding, requesting publications in high & standard international journals. Therefore, neurosurgeons in regional hospitals also conduct clinical or basic researches, although these researches are usually limited and under the help of tertiary hospitals. However, under the aforementioned new policy, it is a fact that some non-academic neurosurgeons were devoted to research and have made remarkable achievements. Although their number is limited, these 'non-academic' neurosurgeons could stay as potential confounders in this anonymous

survey. Finally, further studies are required to illustrate the difference in job satisfaction and work engagement among neurosurgeons of different titles. A comparison with other clinical specialists would also be interesting for future investigations.

# **CONCLUSION**

This study reveals the working status of Chinese neurosurgeons. It also illustrates the difference between the academic and the non-academic neurosurgeons in China. Our data suggest that Chinese neurosurgeons are under stress, particularly for those working in regional hospitals. We hope this study will promote reforms for better support and a safer working environment for Chinese neurosurgeons. We also hope this study may offer valuable information for medical students and young talents who would choose neurosurgery, a promising but challenging profession, as a career.

Fortunately, the Chinese authority recently has increasingly emphasised the legitimate rights and interests of medical workers. In addition, starting from 2018, it will be the August 19th of each year as the Chinese Physician's Day. All in all, having healthy and professionally satisfied physicians including neurosurgeons is the essential precondition for improved healthcare. The change may take a long time, but the future is promising.

**Acknowledgements** We thank Dr Fei Zou, Dr Jian Yu, Dr Jianping Song and Dr Jingjing Xia for supporting this study. We also thank Diane Bartram for proofreading and suggestions during the revision.

**Contributors** JY and YS drafted the manuscript and were involved in the interpretation of the data. JG and JC performed statistical analyses. JY, JC and YS played a major role in questionnaire development. All the authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding This work was supported by Shanghai Municipal Science and Technology Commission project (16411955300, 18441903300), Shanghai Municipal Commission of Health and Family Planning project (201840063, 201801075), The Major and Develop Project of Chinese Ministry of Science and Technology (2017ZX09304005), the Public Medical Artificial Intelligence Training Platform (XX-RGZN-01-17-4684) and Shanghai Minhang Nature Science Foundation project (2018MHZ007).

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

**Ethics approval** The Ethics Review Committee of Huashan Hospital, Fudan University, approved the study design and the collection of the data through the questionnaire.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**Data availability statement** All data relevant to the study are included in the article or uploaded as supplementary information.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Wang C, Mao Y, Zhu J-H, et al. The Department of neurosurgery at Shanghai HUASHAN Hospital. *Neurosurgery* 2008;62:947–53. discussion 52-3.
- 2 Chou SN. Perspectives in international neurosurgery: a glimpse of neurosurgery in China. Neurosurgery 1978;3:120–2.
- 3 Ausman Jl. The rise of Chinese neurosurgery and neurosurgery in the developing world, and scientific colonialism. Surg Neurol 2007;67:323–5.
- 4 Zhao J-Z, Zhou L-F, Zhou D-B, et al. The status quo of neurosurgery in China. Neurosurgery 2008;62:516–21. discussion 20-1.
- 5 Societies WFoN. Global neurosurgical workforce MAP. Available from. Available: https://www.wfns.org/menu/61/global-neurosurgicalworkforce-map [Accessed cited 2018 September 27].
- 6 Hauptman JS, Chow DS, Martin NA, et al. Research productivity in neurosurgery: trends in globalization, scientific focus, and funding. J Neurosurg 2011;115:1262–72.10.3171/2011.8.JNS11857
- 7 Wu D, Wang Y, Lam KF, et al. Health system reforms, violence against doctors and job satisfaction in the medical profession: a cross-sectional survey in Zhejiang Province, eastern China. BMJ Open 2014;4:e006431.
- 8 Tijdink JK, Vergouwen ACM, Smulders YM. Emotional exhaustion and burnout among medical professors; a nationwide survey. BMC Med Educ 2014;14:183.
- 9 Wáng Y-XJ, Káplár Z, L YT. AME survey-003 A1-part 2: the motivation factors of medical doctors in China. Quant Imaging Med Surg 2015;5:917–24.
- 10 Rotenstein LS, Torre M, Ramos MA, et al. Prevalence of burnout among physicians: a systematic review. JAMA 2018;320:1131–50.
- 11 Klimo P, DeCuypere M, Ragel BT, et al. Career satisfaction and burnout among U.S. neurosurgeons: a feasibility and pilot study. World Neurosurg 2013;80:e59–68.
- 12 Shanafelt TD, Balch CM, Bechamps GJ, et al. Burnout and career satisfaction among American surgeons. Ann Surg 2009;250:463–71.
- 13 McAbee JH, Ragel BT, McCartney S, et al. Factors associated with career satisfaction and burnout among US neurosurgeons: results of a nationwide survey. J Neurosurg 2015;123:161–73.
- 14 Hulin CL, Smith PC. A linear model of job satisfaction. *Journal of Applied Psychology* 1965;49:209–16.
- 15 Smith PC, Kendall LM, Hulin CL. The measurement of satisfaction in work and retirement. Chicago: Rand McNally, 1969.
- 16 Schaufeli WB, Salanova M, González-romá V, et al. The measurement of engagement and burnout: a two sample confirmatory factor analytic approach. J Happiness Stud 2002;3:71–92.
- 17 Schaufeli WB, Bakker AB, demands J. Job demands, job resources, and their relationship with burnout and engagement: a multi-sample study. J Organ Behav 2004;25:293–315.
- 18 Fong TC-tat, Ng S-man. Measuring engagement at work: validation of the Chinese version of the Utrecht work engagement scale. Int J Behav Med 2012;19:391–7.
- 19 Zhang YQ, Xue C, Mao Y, et al. Current status and development of Chinese neurosurgeons]. Chinese Journal of Neurosurgery 2011;27:217–9.
- 20 Lee CD, del Carmen Montiel E. The correlation of mentoring and job satisfaction: a pilot study of mental health professionals. *Community Ment Health J* 2011;47:482–7.
- 21 Rahnavard F, Sadati AK, Hemmati S, *et al.* The impact of environmental and demographic factors on nursing job satisfaction. *Electron Physician* 2018:10:6712–7.
- 22 Pranckeviciene A, Tamasauskas A, Deltuva VP, et al. Professional burnout and its correlates in Lithuanian neurosurgeons. Acta Neurochir 2016;158:1437–45.
- 23 Low ZX, Yeo KA, Sharma VK, et al. Prevalence of burnout in medical and surgical residents: a meta-analysis. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2019;16:1479.
- 24 Judge TA, Piccolo RF, Podsakoff NP, et al. The relationship between pay and job satisfaction: a meta-analysis of the literature. J Vocat Behav 2010;77:157–67.
- 25 Kulikowski K, Sedlak P. Can you buy work engagement? the relationship between pay, fringe benefits, financial bonuses and work engagement. Current Psychology 2017;22:1–11.
- 26 Stringer C, Didham J, Theivananthampillai P. Motivation, pay satisfaction, and job satisfaction of front-line employees. *Qualitative Res Acc & Man* 2011;8:161–79.
- 27 de Oliveira Vasconcelos Filho P, de Souza MR, Elias PEM, Elias PEM, et al. Physicians' job satisfaction and motivation in a public academic hospital. *Hum Resour Health* 2016;14:75.
- 28 Wang Y, Fang M, Wang Y. How to decrease violence against doctors in China? Int J Cardiol 2016;211:66.