# **BMJ Open** Comparing responses to differently framed and formatted persuasive messages to encourage help-seeking for depression in Japanese adults: a crosssectional study with 2-month follow-up

Machi Suka, Takashi Yamauchi, Hiroyuki Yanagisawa

#### **To cite:** Suka M, Yamauchi T, Yanagisawa H. Comparing responses to differently framed and formatted persuasive messages to encourage help-seeking for depression in Japanese adults: a cross-sectional study with 2-month follow-up. *BMJ Open*

 bmjopen-2017-020823
Prepublication history and additional material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi. org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-020823).

2018;8:e020823. doi:10.1136/

Received 26 November 2017 Revised 26 July 2018 Accepted 14 September 2018



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2018. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

Department of Public Health and Environmental Medicine, The Jikei University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan

**Correspondence to** 

Dr Machi Suka; suka@jikei.ac.jp

### ABSTRACT

**Objective** To examine audience's responses to differently framed and formatted persuasive messages in the context of developing depression help-seeking messages.

**Design** Cross-sectional followed by 2-month follow-up study.

Setting and participants A web-based survey was conducted in July 2017 among Japanese adults aged 35–45 years. There were 1957 eligible respondents without psychiatric history. Of these, 1805 people (92.2%) completed the 2-month follow-up questionnaire.

Main outcome measures Six depression help-seeking messages were prepared with three frames (neutral, loss and gain framed)×2 formats (formatted and unformatted). Participants were asked to rate one of the messages in terms of comprehensibility, persuasiveness, emotional responses, design quality and intended future use. Help-seeking intention for depression was measured using vignette methodology before and after exposure to the messages. Subsequent 2-month help-seeking action for their own mental health (medical service use) was monitored by the follow-up survey.

**Results** The loss-framed messages more strongly induced negative emotions (surprise, fear, sadness and anxiety), while the gain-framed messages more strongly induced a positive emotion (happiness). The message formatting applied the Centres for Disease Control and Prevention Clear Communication Index, enhanced the emotional responses and increased the likelihood that the message will be read. The loss-framed formatted message alone had a significantly greater OR of having help-seeking intention for depression compared with the neutral-framed unformatted message as a reference group. All messages had little impact on maintaining help-seeking intention or increasing help-seeking action.

**Conclusion** Message framing and formatting may influence emotional responses to the depression helpseeking message, willingness to read the message and intention to seek help for depression. It would be recommendable to apply loss framing and formatting to depression help-seeking messages, to say the least, but further studies are needed to find a way to sustain the effect of messaging for a long time.

# Strengths and limitations of this study

- This study represents the first attempt to compare audience's responses to six depression help-seeking messages with three frames (neutral, loss and gain framed)×2 formats (formatted and unformatted).
- The 2-month follow-up survey was conducted to monitor changes in help-seeking intention and action after exposure to the messages.
- This study relied on self-reported information. It is almost impossible to eliminate the information bias completely.
- The study participants were limited to 35–45 years old selected from a nationwide panel of a research company. It is uncertain whether the messages will work equally well in other age groups or in other settings.

# INTRODUCTION

Mental disorders are the leading cause of disability worldwide, accounting for 21% of all non-fatal burden.<sup>1</sup> Failure and delay in , initial treatment contact for mental disorders have been recognised as an important public health problem.<sup>2</sup> <sup>3</sup> A systematic review and meta-analysis revealed that negative attitudes towards mental illness and help seeking are associated with less active help seeking in the general population.<sup>4</sup> There is a possibility that interventions for improving people's attitudes and intentions towards help seeking could facilitate access to mental health-care, in addition to those targeting people's behaviours itself.

A number of public health programmes have been launched to eliminate negative attitudes towards mental illness and help seeking to facilitate access to mental healthcare.<sup>5</sup> Communication is one of the components necessary for effective public health

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, A



programme implementation.<sup>6</sup> With better information, individuals and communities can make better decisions about their own health. Effective communication produce beneficial changes in people's behaviours towards health issues.<sup>7 8</sup> A systematic review revealed that communicating persuasive messages is effective in improving attitudes towards help seeking for depression.<sup>9</sup> Meanwhile, previous studies have suggested that depression helpseeking messages have the potential to backfire; exposure to the messages may result in increased self-stigma and increased reluctance to help seeking (ie, boomerang effect).<sup>10 11</sup> Further evidence is needed to identify strategies for successful public health messaging with the aim of promoting access to mental healthcare.

Health communication research has revealed that the effect of persuasive messages can depend on message characteristics. Well known is the framing effect, that is, health messages framed to highlight either the benefits of performing a behaviour (ie, gain framed) or the consequences of not performing a behaviour (ie, loss framed) will lead to different decisions and different health behaviours.<sup>12</sup> A systematic review and meta-analysis revealed that gain-framed messages are more likely than loss-framed messages to promote prevention behaviours, particularly for skin cancer prevention, smoking cessation and physical activity.<sup>13</sup> Meanwhile, the Cochrane Review Group reported that loss-framed messages led to more positive perception of effectiveness than gain-framed messages for screening messages and tended to be more persuasive for treatment messages.<sup>14</sup> These results do not unequivocally support the framing effect of health message. There seems to be some contexts in which lossframed messages are equally or more effective than gainframed messages. It is uncertain which message frame will more satisfactorily motivate people to seek mental healthcare, loss frame or gain frame.

Reading a message is the first step of the persuasion process. If recipients find difficulty in reading and understanding the given message, it is unlikely to have any persuasive impact. The Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) proposed a set of evidence-based criteria to plan and assess public health communication materials for diverse audiences, namely the Clear Communication Index.<sup>15</sup> On the basis of existing research-based evidence, the index represents the most important items that enhance clarity and aid understanding of public health messages and materials. The six core items applicable to all materials are: (1) include one main message statement, (2) put the main message first, (3) use visual cues to emphasise the main message, (4) include a visual that conveys the main message, (5) include one call to action and 6) use active voice. Previous studies have demonstrated that the materials revised using the Index are rated more favourably than the originals by possible audience members. The application of the index makes it more likely that audience can correctly identify the intended main message and understand the words in the materials.<sup>16 17</sup> However, to our knowledge, there have

# PATIENT AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

There were no patients involved in the design of the study or the recruitment to and conduct of the study.

# **Messages**

In order to examine the effects of message framing and formatting, six depression help-seeking messages were prepared with three frames (neutral, loss and gain framed)×2 formats (formatted and unformatted). The aim of messaging was to increase people's help-seeking intentions for depression. The target audience were either depressed or non-depressed people. The messages were designed as print advertisements to be inserted in the form of web-based surveys.

The formatted versions of depression help-seeking messages were shown in online supplementary appendix A. Each message consisted of three parts. The first part was the main message statement. The second part provided information on early signs of depression: depression can be recognised early by mental symptoms such as depressed mood, loss of interest, etc, and physical symptoms such as disturbed sleep, increased fatigue, etc. The last part was the call to action: if you suspect your depression, consult your familiar primary care doctor.

The three main message statements were selected from the text message list developed by Bell and colleagues<sup>26</sup> so as to be matched against the beliefs related to the top three reasons for having no help-seeking intention for depression, respectively<sup>24</sup>: (1) depression can happen to anyone, (2) depression needs treatment and (3) depression improves with treatment. The first one was neutral framed with additional information on incidence of depression: about 1 out of 15 people experience depression during their lifetime. The second one was loss framed (thereat appeal) with additional information on prognosis of untreated patients: about 80% of untreated patients will not recover. The third one was gain framed (benefit appeal) with additional information on prognosis of treated patients: about 80% of treated patients will recover.

For each of the three differently framed messages, the formatted and unformatted versions were prepared. The formatted (visual) messages were visually designed in accordance with the CDC Clear Communication Index User Guide.<sup>15</sup> The unformatted (plain) messages were in plain text without any colours or visuals.

### **Participants**

A web-based survey was conducted in July 2017 among Japanese adults aged 35–45 years. The Comprehensive Survey of Living Conditions revealed that people who were feeling stressed or distressed were most frequently observed in the 40–49 age group (58.7% in men and 48.6% in women).<sup>27</sup> In addition, the World Mental Health Japan Survey revealed that the 12-month prevalence of mental disorders was significantly higher in the younger age groups.<sup>28</sup> Therefore, people aged 35–45 years seemed

to be a suitable target for persuasive messages encouraging help-seeking for depression.

Participants in the survey were recruited from an online research panel of a leading research company in Japan (Cross Marketing, Tokyo, Japan). Medical professionals were excluded through a prescreening process. Applicants for participation in the survey were accepted in the order of receipt until the number of participants reached the quotas for gender, area and K6 score (1–4 and  $\leq$ 5 points). The Japanese version of the six-item Kessler Psychological Distress Scale (K6) has been established as a screener for depression in Japan.<sup>29</sup> A validation study revealed that a K6 score  $\geq$ 5 is a reasonable cut-off to distinguish between depressed and non-depressed people.<sup>30</sup> A total of 2520 **y** responses were obtained over 2 days of recruitment.

A follow-up survey was conducted in September 2017 to monitor subsequent changes in help-seeking intention and action. Of the 2520 participants in the initial survey, 2315 people (91.9%) completed the follow-up questionnaire.

All participants voluntarily agreed to participate in the survey after reading a description of the purpose and procedure of the survey. Consent to participate was implied by the completion and submission of the survey.

#### Measures

Participants in the initial survey were randomly assigned to one of the six depression help-seeking messages. After they read the message for at least 15 s, they were asked to rate it in terms of comprehensibility, persuasiveness, emotional responses, design quality and intended future use. Help-seeking intention for depression was measured using vignette methodology before and after exposure to the messages. Moreover, participants in the follow-up survey were asked about help-seeking intention for depression and help-seeking action for their own mental health (medical service use) during the 2-month follow-up period.

The web questionnaire forms presented the questions one by one through the operation of a 'Next' button. Respondents answered one question per page and could not go back to the previous page.

#### Comprehensibility

Using the perceived effectiveness rating scales,<sup>23</sup> the five fitteness asked how easy or hard the information is to: (1) read, (2) understand, (3) remember, (4) locate important of information and (5) keep for future reference. All item gescores (range 1–5 points) were averaged to produce the gescomprehensibility score.

# Persuasiveness

Using the perceived effectiveness rating scales,<sup>23</sup> the seven items asked to what extent they agree or disagree that the information is: (1) believable, (2) convincing, (3) important to me, (4) help me feel confident about how best to do, (5) would help my family and friends, (6) put thoughts in my mind about wanting to do and (7)

agreeable. All item scores (range 1-5 points) were averaged to produce the persuasiveness score.

#### **Emotional responses**

Participants were asked 'when you read the message, to what extent you feel: (1) surprise, (2) anger, (3) fear, (4) sadness, (5) guilt, (6) anxiety and (7) happiness?'.<sup>18</sup> <sup>19</sup> Response options were from 1 (not at all) to 5 (extremely).

### Design quality

Six items for design quality were derived from the Consumer Information Rating Form developed by Krass and colleagues.<sup>31</sup> Participants were asked to rate the message on a five-point scale in terms of (1) organisation, (2) attractiveness, (3) size, (4) tone, (5) helpfulness and (6) spacing. Higher scores indicate higher quality.

### Intended future use

Three items for intended future use were derived from the Consumer Information Rating Form developed by Krass and colleagues.<sup>31</sup> Participants were asked 'If you saw the information in a newspaper or magazine, how likely would you [use, read, and keep] it?'. Response options were from 1 (very unlikely) to 5 (very likely).

#### Help-seeking intention

Help-seeking intention for depression was measured using vignette methodology. Participants were presented with a vignette describing a man (or woman) with depression and were then asked 'If you had health problems right now like Mr. A (or Ms. A), would you see a doctor?'.<sup>23–25</sup> Participants answered the question on a four-point scale (certainly yes/probably yes/probably not/certainly not). Those who gave affirmative answers (certainly yes and probably yes) were counted as having a positive helpseeking intention.

Help-seeking intention for depression was measured at three time points: (1) before exposure to the messages in the initial survey, (2) after exposure to the messages in the initial survey and (3) at the follow-up survey. Those who had a positive help-seeking intention at the second point but did not at the first point were counted as developing help-seeking intentions after exposure to the message. Those who had a positive help-seeking intention both at the second and third points were counted as maintaining help-seeking intention.

### Help-seeking action

Help-seeking action for their own mental health was measured in the follow-up survey by asking participants whether they had seen a doctor for their mental health problem in the previous 2 months.

### Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using the SAS V.9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA). Main and interaction effects of frame and format were assessed using two-way analysis of variance. The proportions of people who reported a positive help-seeking intention

for depression before and after exposure to the messages were compared using McNemar test. Multiple logistic regression analysis was further conducted to compare the likelihood of having help-seeking intention for depression across the differently framed and formatted messages. OR with 95% CI for help-seeking intention for depression were calculated with adjustment for gender, depressive status and help-seeking intention before exposure the messages. Significant levels were set at p<0.05.

A statistical power analysis was carried out using Cohen's **u** tables,<sup>32</sup> because there was no existing research to indi-cate a likely effect size. To detect a small-sized difference between independent means (d=0.20), 393 samples in each group give an alpha of 0.05 with a power of 0.80; the  $\clubsuit$ necessary sample size was 310 at an alpha of 0.10 and 586 at an alpha of 0.01 with the same power. The number of participants in each group ranged between 267 and 382, which were adequate to detect small effects at an alpha including of 0.05.

# RESULTS

Figure 1 shows the flow of participants through the study. uses rela In the initial survey, 2520 participants were randomly assigned to one of the six message groups. Excluding those who had an experience of receiving treatment for their mental illness, the remaining 1957 participants were included in the study. Of these, 1805 people (92.2%) who completed the follow-up questionnaire were included in the analysis of the follow-up data.

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the study participants. Of the 1957 participants, 45.6% had a university pants. Of the 1957 participants, 45.6% had a university degree, 56.3% were married and 60.1% had a full-time at job. As a result of the random assignment of participants to six message groups, no significant differences between the message groups were observed in sociodemographic 🧖 characteristics.

Table 2 shows the assessment of the depression helpseeking messages. The comprehensibility and persuasiveğ ness scores showed no significant differences between the frames or between the formats. For the emotional responses, significant main effects of frame were observed in five out of seven items (surprise, fear, sadness, anxiety and happiness). There was a significant effect of format on 'surprise' and significant frame×formatinteraction effects on 'anxiety' and 'happiness'. Compared with the neutral-framed, the loss-framed and gain-framed messages showed significant enhancements of emotional & responses to the formatted messages. For the design 3 quality, significant main effects of format were observed in four out of six items (attractiveness, size, helpfulness and spacing). There were significant main effects of frame on three items (attractiveness, tone and helpfulness) but no significant frame×format interaction. For the intended future use, a significant main effect of format was observed in one out of three items (read). There were no significant main effects of frame and no significant frame×formatinteraction.

ę

đ

e

and




Figure 1 Flow of participants through the study.

Table 3 shows the changes in help-seeking intention for depression before and after exposure to the messages. All messages except the neutral-plain message produced significant increase in help-seeking intention. Similar results were obtained when only those who were depressed (K6 score  $\geq$ 5) were analysed.

All items were scored on a 1-5-point scale. Two-way analysis of variance was used to assess main and interaction effects of frame and format.

Multiple logistic regression analysis was further conducted to compare the likelihood of having helpseeking intention for depression across the differently framed and formatted messages. The loss-visual message alone had a significantly greater OR of having helpseeking intention for depression compared with the neutral-plain message as a reference group: the adjusted OR (95% CI) of the neutral-visual, loss-plain, loss-visual, gain-plain and gain-visual messages were 1.31 (0.89 to 1.92), 1.29 (0.88 to 1.89), 1.57 (1.07 to 2.29), 1.39 (0.95 to 2.04) and 1.41 (0.97 to 2.06), respectively.

Of the 1805 participants in the follow-up survey, 1141 people had not possessed help-seeking intention before exposure to the messages, and 249 people (21.8%) developed their help-seeking intentions after exposure to the messages. Of these, 143 people (57.4%) maintained their help-seeking intentions up to the follow-up survey. The proportion of participants who maintain their help-seeking

intentions was not significantly different across the given messages: the percentages for the neutral-visual, lossplain, loss-visual, gain-plain and gain-visual messages were 65.7% (23/35), 57.9% (22/38), 48.0% (25/50), 23/44 (52.3%) and 67.5% (27/40), respectively (p=0.423).

≥ Table 4 shows the help-seeking action during the follow-up period. There were 66 people (3.7%) who had seen a doctor for their mental health problem during the follow-up period. The proportion of participants with help-seeking action was not significantly different across the given messages. Similar results were obtained similar technolog when only those who were depressed (K6 score  $\geq$ 5) were analysed.

# DISCUSSION

This study examined audience's responses to differently framed and formatted persuasive messages in the **8** context of developing depression help-seeking messages. Although depression help-seeking messages have the potential to backfire,<sup>10 11</sup> such boomerang effect was not evident in this study. All messages except the neutral-plain message produced significant increase in help-seeking intention after exposure to the messages. This result supports the effectiveness of communicating persuasive messages for increasing people's help-seeking intentions for depression. Moreover, multiple logistic regression

ata

Table 1     Characteristics of the study participants							
	Ν						
Gender							
Male	980	50.1%					
Female	977	49.9%					
Age							
Mean (SD)	40.9	(3.0)					
Education							
Compulsory education/high school	540	27.6%					
Junior college/vocational school	524	26.8%					
University or higher	893	45.6%					
Marital status							
Married	1101	56.3%					
Unmarried	767	39.2%					
Divorced/widowed	89	4.5%					
Occupation							
Full-time job	1176	60.1%					
Temporary or part-time job	329	16.8%					
No occupation	452	23.1%					
Household income*							
<2.0 million yen	230	11.8%					
2.0–3.9 million	394	20.1%					
4.0–5.9 million	552	28.2%					
6.0–7.9 million	400	20.4%					
8.0–9.9 million	205	10.5%					
10.0+ million	161	8.2%					
Missing	15	0.8%					

\*1 million yen was about US\$10000 at the time of the survey.

analysis indicated that the loss-visual message worked better than the other messages. Despite the potential limitations of this study, it would be recommendable to apply loss framing and formatting to depression helpseeking messages.

The three message frames elicited different patterns of emotional responses. The loss-framed messages more strongly induced negative emotions (surprise, fear, sadness and anxiety), while the gain-framed messages more strongly induced a positive emotion (happiness). Previous studies have suggested that emotional responses play a significant role in the persuasion process. <sup>18 19</sup> There was no significant difference in persuasiveness; however, the loss-framed and gain-framed messages seemed more likely to bring out the recipients' help-seeking intentions by inducing emotional responses than the neutral-framed messages.

The formatted messages were judged superior to the unformatted messages in design quality. The formatted messages consequently succeeded in increasing the likelihood that the message will be read. These results support the effectiveness of the CDC Clear Communication Index which helps provide easily understandable health messages and materials.<sup>16 17</sup> The significant frame×formatinteraction effects on 'anxiety' and 'happiness' indicated that the message formatting enhanced the recipients' emotional responses, both negative and positive. There was no significant difference in persuasiveness; however, the formatted messages seemed more likely to be perceived as attractive and helpful by the audience and more likely to increase the recipients' willingness to read than the unformatted messages.

As for the percentage changes in help-seeking intention for depression by message group (table 3), it is hard to say that the loss-framed messages were more effective than the gain-framed messages or vice versa. The lossplain message showed a smaller percentage increase than the gain-plain message (15.9% vs 23.1%). Meanwhile, the loss-visual message showed a greater percentage increase than the gain-visual message (29.1% vs 17.0%). The respective effects of loss framing and formatting on helpseeking intention were not pre-eminent, but multiple logistic regression analysis revealed that the loss-visual message alone had a significantly greater OR of having help-seeking intention for depression compared with the neutral-plain message as a reference group. Previous studies have not provided a conclusive answer as to which message frame will more satisfactorily motivate people to seek mental healthcare, loss frame or gain frame.<sup>13 14</sup> A literature review suggested that adding pictures to written e text will increase the likelihood that the text will be read; however, the effects of pictures on comprehension, recall and adherence have not yet been established.<sup>33</sup> The results of this study are insufficient to conclude, but it is a likely that loss framing and formatting act synergistically to increase help-seeking intention for depression. It would be recommendable to apply loss framing and formatting  $\boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$ to depression help-seeking messages, to say the least.

Of those who developed their help-seeking intention after exposure to the messages, 43.6% did not maintain ĝ their help-seeking intentions up to the 2-month follow-up survey. The depression help-seeking messages succeeded in possessing help-seeking intention for a short time after exposure, but the effect could not be sustained over time. Moreover, those who had taken help-seeking action during the 2-month follow-up period accounted for 3.7% of the total and for 5.5% of those who were depressed (K6 score  $\geq 5$ ). Seeing a message only once may be insufficient to induce help-seeking action. Although a number of  $\boldsymbol{\hat{G}}$ interventions have been conducted to promote access to 8 mental healthcare, very little is known about what interventions increase help-seeking action.<sup>9</sup> To our knowledge, there is no successful precedent that proved the effect of public health messaging on help-seeking action. Further studies are needed to find out effective strategies for maintaining help-seeking intention and increasing help-seeking action.

This study provides evidence for the effectiveness of depression help-seeking messages in middle-aged

		Message	Message							
		Neutral- plain	Neutral- visual	Loss- plain	Loss- visual	Gain- plain	Gain- visual	P values Frame (A)	Format (B)	A× B
Comprehensibility										
	Mean	3.74	3.81	3.79	3.80	3.82	3.82	0.554	0.472	0.757
	SD	0.79	0.78	0.87	0.83	0.83	0.78			
Persuasiveness										
	Mean	3.15	3.13	3.20	3.18	3.10	3.17	0.168	0.732	0.352
	SD	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.62	0.67	0.64			
Emotional responses										
(1) Surprise	Mean	2.47	2.57	2.60	2.81	2.49	2.63	0.005	0.002	0.636
	SD	1.03	1.10	1.06	1.01	1.10	1.02			
(2) Anger	Mean	1.91	1.91	1.94	2.01	1.90	1.99	0.411	0.202	0.596
	SD	0.95	0.92	0.92	0.96	0.92	0.93			
(3) Fear	Mean	2.51	2.43	2.55	2.64	2.22	2.41	<0.001	0.163	0.061
	SD	1.03	1.05	1.06	1.07	1.01	0.98			
(4) Sadness	Mean	2.44	2.43	2.53	2.61	2.24	2.38	<0.001	0.124	0.413
	SD	1.03	1.10	1.05	1.04	1.01	0.95			-
(5) Guilt	Mean	2.09	2.07	2.08	2.18	2.02	2.09	0.326	0.247	0.440
	SD	0.91	0.96	0.93	0.94	0.92	0.86			
(6) Anxiety	Mean	2.63	2.50	2.59	2.74	2.34	2.45	<0.001	0.370	0.035
(0) / ""///00	SD	1.04	1.12	1.06	1.08	1.02	1.03	(0.001	0.010	0.000
(7) Нарру	Mean	1.93	1.83	1.99	1.98	2.17	2.35	<0.001	0.657	0.024
(Г) Парру	SD	0.96	0.92	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.95	<0.001	0.007	0.02
Design quality	00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
(1) Organisation	Mean	3.67	3.65	3.72	3.80	3.64	3.71	0.113	0.258	0.557
(1) Organisation	SD	0.87	0.90	0.91	0.84	0.88	0.87	0.115	0.200	0.007
(2) Attractiveness	Mean	3.10	3.22	3.18	3.37	3.08	3.26	0.029	<0.001	0.749
(2) Attractiveness	SD	0.84	0.89	0.86	0.84	0.91	0.90	0.029	<0.001	0.748
								0 177	0.027	0.006
(3) Size	Mean	3.38	3.38	3.37	3.52	3.32	3.41	0.177	0.037	0.296
	SD	0.81	0.88	0.91	0.86	0.79	0.86	0.004	0.000	0.00
(4) Tone	Mean	3.16	3.11	3.20	3.13	3.22	3.33	0.004	0.966	0.084
	SD	0.72	0.79	0.82	0.83	0.77	0.83	0.040	0.010	0.400
(5) Helpfulness	Mean	3.38	3.36	3.39	3.57	3.37	3.48	0.048	0.019	0.109
	SD	0.82	0.84	0.90	0.80	0.87	0.87	0.000	0.004	0.000
(6) Spacing	Mean	3.35	3.50	3.26	3.56	3.24	3.52	0.633	<0.001	0.220
	SD	0.77	0.80	0.91	0.78	0.85	0.80			
Intended future use		_		_	_		_	_	_	_
(1) Read	Mean	3.17	3.23	3.28	3.28	3.12	3.36	0.286	0.016	0.052
	SD	0.90	0.91	0.92	0.95	0.95	0.87			
(2) Use	Mean	2.77	2.71	2.87	2.83	2.72	2.86	0.063	0.666	0.065
	SD	0.84	0.86	0.84	0.85	0.82	0.79			
(3) Keep	Mean	2.36	2.34	2.38	2.48	2.34	2.46	0.332	0.142	0.332
	SD	0.98	0.95	0.97	0.98	0.91	0.90			

Japanese people. On the contrary, it has a number of potential limitations. First, the web-based survey was self-administered, so that the accuracy of responses would depend on participants' understanding of the questions and their motivation to answer questions accurately. The understandability of the wording of items was checked prior to the survey. The use of the internet and the provision of anonymity would be expected to elicit

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.	BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-020823 on 12 November 2018. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 7, 2025 at Agence Bibliogr Enseignement Superjeur (ABES)
--	---

de

Table 3	ble 3 Changes in help-seeking intention for depression									
	All				_	Depressed (K6 score≥5)				
	N Positive intention		_	N Positive intention			-			
Message	•	Before	After	Change	P values		Before	After	Change	P values
Neutral- plain	335	115	128		0.128	142	40	48		0.131
		34.3%	38.2%	+11.3%			28.2%	33.8%	+20.0%	
Neutral- visual	317	116	139		0.003	128	34	52		<0.001
		36.6%	43.8%	+19.8%			26.6%	40.6%	+52.9%	
Loss- plain	325	126	146		0.017	130	41	51		0.033
		38.8%	44.9%	+15.9%			31.5%	39.2%	+24.4%	
Loss- visual	324	117	151		<0.001	137	40	56		0.003
		36.1%	46.6%	+29.1%			29.2%	40.9%	+40.0%	
Gain- plain	323	117	144		0.001	137	36	48		0.011
		36.2%	44.6%	+23.1%			26.3%	35.0%	+33.3%	
Gain- visual	333	135	158		0.003	150	58	72		0.004
		40.5%	47.4%	+17.0%			38.7%	48.0%	+24.1%	

Help-seeking intention for depression was assessed before and after exposure to the messages. McNemar test was used to assess changes in help-seeking intention.

more truthful responses, by minimising social desirability pressures.<sup>34</sup> However, it is almost impossible to eliminate the information bias completely. Second, the study participants were selected from a nationwide panel of a research company. According to the national census,<sup>35</sup>

Table 4     Help-seeking action during the follow-up period								
	All		Depressed (K6 score≥					
Message	Ν	Action	Ν	Action				
Neutral- plain	307	12	132	8				
		3.9%		6.1%				
Neutral- visual	295	12	121	5				
		4.1%		4.1%				
Loss-plain	296	8	120	4				
		2.7%		3.3%				
Loss-visual	305	9	128	6				
		3.0%		4.7%				
Gain-plain	301	14	127	11				
		4.7%		8.7%				
Gain-visual	301	11	141	8				
		3.7%		5.7%				
	P=0.815		P=0.516					

Help-seeking action for their own mental health in the previous 2 months was measured in the follow-up survey.

the percentage of the Japanese population aged 35–44 years with university degrees were 22.0% in 2010, considerably lower than that of this study (45.6%). The selection bias may have influenced the results to some extent. Third, the study participants were limited to 35–45 years old. It is uncertain whether the messages will work equally well in other age groups. Moreover, because of cultural differences, the findings from this study may not be applicable to non-Japanese populations. Now we are planning to conduct a population-based interventional study to assess the effectiveness of a public health communication programme using the depression help-seeking messages. We will discuss the channels and activities that will be most likely to successfully reach target audience in the future study.

# CONCLUSION

This study compared audience's responses to six depression help-seeking messages with three frames (neutral, loss and gain framed)×2 formats (formatted and unformatted). The message formatting applied the CDC Clear Communication Index, enhanced the recipients' emotional responses and increased the likelihood that the message will be read. Multiple logistic regression analysis indicated that the loss-framed formatted message worked better than the other messages. According to these results, message framing and formatting may influence emotional responses to the depression help-seeking

message, willingness to read the message and intention to seek help for depression. It would be recommendable to apply loss framing and formatting to depression helpseeking messages, to say the least, but further studies are needed to find a way to sustain the effect of messaging for a long time.

Contributors MS was responsible for the design and conduct of the study, the collection, analysis and interpretation of data and the writing of the article. TY and HY contributed to the data interpretation and discussion of the implications of this work. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding This work was supported by the JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 16K09147 and the Uehara Memorial Foundation Research Grant.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent Not required.

Ethics approval The study protocol was approved by the ethics committees of the Jikei University School of Medicine and has been conducted in accordance with the Ethical Guidelines for Medical and Health Research Involving Human Subjects by the Japanese Government

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement No additional data are available.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Global Burden of Disease Study 2013 Collaborators. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 301 acute and chronic diseases and injuries in 188 countries, 1990-2013: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2013. Lancet 2015;386:743-800.
- 2. Wang PS, Angermeyer M, Borges G, et al. Delay and failure in treatment seeking after first onset of mental disorders in the World Health Organization's World Mental Health Survey Initiative. World sychiatry 2007;6:177-85.
- 3. Wang PS, Aguilar-Gaxiola S, Alonso J, et al. Use of mental health services for anxiety, mood, and substance disorders in 17 countries in the WHO world mental health surveys. Lancet 2007;370:841-50.
- Δ Schnyder N, Panczak R, Groth N, et al. Association between mental health-related stigma and active help-seeking: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Psychiatry* 2017;210:261–8. Henderson C, Evans-Lacko S, Thornicroft G, *et al.* help seeking, and
- 5. public health programs. Am J Public Health 2013;103:777-80.
- 6 Frieden TR. Six components necessary for effective public health program implementation. Am J Public Health 2014;104:17-22.
- National Cancer Institute. Making health communication programs 7. work (Pink Book). https://www.cancer.gov/publications/healthcommunication (accessed 15 Jul 2018).
- 8 Abroms LC, Maibach EW. The effectiveness of mass communication to change public behavior. Annu Rev Public Health 2008;29:219-34.
- 9 Gulliver A, Griffiths KM, Christensen H, et al. A systematic review of help-seeking interventions for depression, anxiety and general psychological distress. BMC Psychiatry 2012;12:81.
- 10. Lienemann BA, Siegel JT, Crano WD. Persuading people with depression to seek help: respect the boomerang. Health Commun 2013;28:718-28.
- Niederkrotenthaler T, Reidenberg DJ, Till B, et al. Increasing help-11. seeking and referrals for individuals at risk for suicide by decreasing stigma: the role of mass media. Am J Prev Med 2014;47(3):S23 -S243.
- 12. Rothman AJ, Salovey P. Shaping perceptions to motivate healthy behavior: the role of message framing. Psychol Bull 1997;121:3-19.

- Gallagher KM, Updegraff JA. Health message framing effects on 13. attitudes, intentions, and behavior: a meta-analytic review. Ann Behav Med 2012;43:101-16.
- 14. Akl EA, Oxman AD, Herrin J, et al. Framing of health information messages. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2011;12:CD006777.
- 15 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. CDC Clear Communication Index. http://www.cdc.gov/ccindex (accessed 2017.10.1).
- 16. Baur C, Prue C. The CDC Clear Communication Index is a new evidence-based tool to prepare and review health information. Health Promot Pract 2014;15:629-37.
- 17. Porter KJ, Alexander R, Perzynski KM, et al. Using the clear communication index to improve materials for a behavioral intervention. Health Commun 2018;8:1-7.
- Dillard JP, Peck E. Affect and persuasion: Emotional responses 18. to public service announcements. Communication Research 2000;27:461-95.
- 19. Dillard JP, Shen L, Vail RG. Does perceived message effectiveness cause persuasion or vice versa? 17 Consistent Answers. Hum Commun Res 2007;33:467-88.
- 20 Dillard JP, Weber KM, Vail RG. The relationship between the perceived and actual effectiveness of persuasive messages: A metaanalysis with implications for formative campaign research. J Commun 2007;57:613-31.
- 21. Bylund CL, Peterson EB, Cameron KA. A practitioner's guide to interpersonal communication theory: an overview and exploration of selected theories. Patient Educ Couns 2012;87:261-7.
- Suka M, Odajima T, Okamoto M, et al. Reading comprehension of health checkup reports and health literacy in Japanese people. Environ Health Prev Med 2014;19:295-306.
- 23. Suka M, Yamauchi T, Yanagisawa H. Perceived effectiveness rating scales applied to insomnia help-seeking messages for middle-aged Japanese people: a validity and reliability study. Environ Health Prev Med 2017;22:69.
- 24. Suka M, Yamauchi T, Sugimori H. Help-seeking intentions for early signs of mental illness and their associated factors: comparison across four kinds of health problems. BMC Public Health 2016;16:301.
- 25. Suka M, Yamauchi T, Yanagisawa H. Development of persuasive messages encouraging help-seeking for depression among people with various depressive status. BMC Public Health.
- 26. Bell RA, Paterniti DA, Azari R, et al. Encouraging patients with depressive symptoms to seek care: a mixed methods approach to message development. Patient Educ Couns 2010;78:198-205.
- 27. Ministry of Health, Labour, and Welfare, 2016. Comprehensive survey of living conditions 2016 (in Japanese) http://www.mhlw.go.jp/toukei/ saikin/hw/k-tyosa/k-tyosa16/ (accessed 22 Apr 2018).
- 28. Ishikawa H, Kawakami N, Kessler RC. World Mental Health Japan Survey Collaborators. Lifetime and 12-month prevalence, severity and unmet need for treatment of common mental disorders in japan: Results from the final dataset of world mental health Japan Survey. Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci 2016;25:217-29.
- Kawakami N, Kondo K, Yanagida K, et al. Mental health research on 29 the preventive measure against suicide in adulthood. Ueda S, ed. Report of the research grant for the implementation of preventive measure based on the current status of suicide from the ministry of health, labour and welfare. Tokyo: Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, 2005:147-57.
- 30. Sakurai K, Nishi A, Kondo K, et al. Screening performance of K6/K10 and other screening instruments for mood and anxiety disorders in Japan. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci 2011;65:434–41.
- Koo MM, Krass I, Aslani P. Evaluation of written medicine 31. information: validation of the consumer information rating form. Ann Pharmacother 2007;41:951-6.
- Cohen J. A power primer. Psychol Bull 1992;112:155-9. 32
- Houts PS, Doak CC, Doak LG, et al. The role of pictures in improving health communication: a review of research on attention, comprehension, recall, and adherence. Patient Educ Couns 2006;61:61173-90.
- 34. Joinson A, desirability S. anonymity, and Internet-based questionnaires. Behav Res Methods Instrum Comput 1999.31.433-8
- Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications. National Census 35. 2017 https://www.e-stat.go.jp/SG1/estat/GL02100104.do?tocd= 00200521 (accessed 1 oct 2017).

similar technologies