# **BMJ Open**

# Needs and rights awareness of stroke survivors and caregivers: a survey in China

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2016-013210
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	28-Jun-2016
Complete List of Authors:	Li, Xin; The Second Hospital of Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, China, Neurology Xia, Xiaoshuang; The Second Hospital of Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, China, Neurology Wang, Peilu; The Second Hospital of Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, China, Neurology Zhang, Shuting; Stroke Clinical Research Unit; West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China, Neurology Liu, M; Stroke Clinical Research Unit; West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China, Neurology Wang, Lin; The Second Hospital of Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, China, Geratology
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Neurology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Public health, Patient-centred medicine, Mental health, Medical education and training
Keywords:	needs, patients rights, Stroke < NEUROLOGY, caregivers, survey

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts

# Needs and rights awareness of stroke survivors and caregivers: a survey in China

Xin Li, Xiaoshuang Xia, Peilu Wang, Shuting Zhang, Ming Liu, Lin Wang

<sup>1</sup>From Department of Neurology, The Second Hospital of Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin,

<sup>2</sup>From Stroke Clinical Research Unit, Department of Neurology, West China Hospital,

Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

<sup>3</sup>Department of Geratology, The Second Hospital of Tianjin Medical University and Tianjin

Geriatric Institute, Tianjin, China

Correspondence to:

Xin Li, MD, PhD, Department of Neurology, The Second Hospital of Tianjin Medical University, No 22, Pingjiang Road, Hexi District, Tianjin 300211, China, lixinsci@126.com

or Ming Liu, MD, PhD, Stroke Clinical Research Unit, Department of Neurology, West China

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013210 on 5 October 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 11, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES)

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

Hospital, Sichuan University, No 37, Guo Xue Xiang, Chengdu 610041, China,

wyplmh@hotmail.com,

Key words: needs, patient rights, stroke, caregivers, survey

Word count: 4739

**Objectives:** The needs and rights awareness of stroke survivors have not been reported in China. This study investigated the needs and rights awareness in current Chinese stroke survivors and caregivers.

Setting: A survey launched by the World Stroke Organization (WSO) was conducted in Tianjin China. The questionnaire included demands of psychological support, treatment and care, social support, and information. Stroke survivors and their caregivers were interviewed face to face for the questionnaire. From June 2014 to February 2015, stroke survivors were invited to participate if they were over 18 years old, had suffered a stroke. Exclusion criteria were patients who had disorders of consciousness, significant cognitive impairment, aphasia, communication difficulties, or psychiatric disorders. Only caregivers who were family members of the patients were chosen. Paid caregivers were excluded.

Participants: 248 stroke survivors and 212 caregivers were enrolled.

**Primary outcome measures:** The correlations between levels of needs and potential effect factors were analyzed. Levels of different needs were compared by age, gender, and time since stroke.

**Results:** 248 stroke survivors and 212 caregivers completed the survey. 95.6% stroke survivors and 92.5% caregivers approved of each question listed in the questionnaire. The participants prioritized the needs for psychology support (99.4%), treatment and care(98.6%), social support (98%) and information (96.2%). The total score was negatively correlated with age (r=-0.255, P<0.01). The patients under 65 years old had higher scores than the elderly (65 years or older) (P<0.01), while the male patients also got higher scores than the female

patients (*P*<0.01).

*Conclusions*: The demands of psychological and emotional support, individual treatment, social support and information were eagerly reported by most stroke survivors. The Bill of Rights is also needed to be recognized by the society in China, providing an appropriate stroke care to every patient for optimizing stroke outcomes.

#### Strengths and limitations of this study

- Stroke survivors and caregivers do not have their own bill of rights when this study was performed, and their needs and rights awareness have not been reported in China.
- The demands of psychological and emotional support, individual treatment, social support and information were eagerly reported by most stroke survivors.
- The stroke survivors in China had strongest demand for emotional and psychological support, which should be recognized.
- Limitations include potential bias due to severe language or cognitive impairment patients excluded. And the number of participants was limited. Further multiple central and large sample research studies may be needed in the future.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Stroke is a major cause of death and disability worldwide. The burden of stroke is particularly serious in Asia[1], and its mortality is higher than that in Europe or North America[2]. In China, it is the leading cause of death and disability[3]. Stroke survivors cope with significant physical, cognitive, and emotional deficits, and 25% to 74% of these survivors require some assistance or are fully dependent on caregivers for activities of daily

living[4]. Although much has been done to control the disease, the stroke survivors' needs and rights have not received adequate attention. Bills of patient right's for several diseases have been developed to achieve higher degrees of patient satisfaction, such as for cancer[5] and Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD)[6]. Stroke survivors didn't have their own bill of rights, until the World Stroke Organization (WSO) have realized this urgent need and framed a global bill of rights for stroke patients[7]. WSO have numerous strategies in increasing stroke awareness, influencing policies for stroke prevention and improved health services, providing education and fostering the development of systems and organizations for the long-term support of stroke survivors and their families[8]. To determine what stroke survivors and caregivers require, the WSO has launched an online survey.

This survey was used to investigate the needs and rights awareness in Chinese stroke survivors and experience. This stroke was sirred to provide a reference for the improvement of

This survey was used to investigate the needs and rights awareness in Chinese stroke survivors and caregivers. This study was aimed to provide a reference for the improvement of stroke-related laws and bills, which could provide the stroke survivor with physical, mental and emotional support.

#### **METHODS**

# Study population

This study was approved by our local Ethics Committee in the Second Hospital of Tianjin Medical University. All the patients and caregivers gave informed consent.

From June 2014 to February 2015, 248 stroke survivors and 212 caregivers at the Department of Neurology of the Second Hospital of Tianjin Medical University were enrolled. Stroke survivors were invited to participate if they were over 18 years old, had suffered a stroke, and agreed to participate in the study. Exclusion criteria were patients who had

disorders of consciousness, significant cognitive impairment, aphasia, communication difficulties, or psychiatric disorders.

Caregivers who had been taking care of the stroke patients met the above criteria were recruited. Only caregivers who were family members of the patients were chosen. Paid caregivers were excluded.

# Development of the survey

The questionnaire was adapted from one designed by the WSO. Stroke survivors and caregivers were interviewed face to face by well-trained neurologists who were not the patients' treating doctors.

The final questionnaire included 17 questions covering: age, gender, level of education, time since the first stroke, demands of treatment and information about stroke, psychological and social support. Fourteen of the questions had five choices for each question. The five choices were strongly agree, agree, neutral, disagree and strongly disagree. The purpose of the survey and the procedure was explained fully to all participants.

The questionnaire translated to Chinese was tested again for the reliability in our population. The scales reliability of the stroke survivors' questionnaire was assessed with a total Cronbach's  $\alpha$  of 0.910, corrected by inter-item correlation above 0.70. The scales reliability of the stroke caregivers' questionnaire was assessed with a total Cronbach's  $\alpha$  of 0.817, corrected by inter-item correlation f above 0.70. The Cronbach's  $\alpha$  values were good for all scales for the study.

# Statistical Analysis

Frequencies and proportions were used to summarize levels of answers. The Spearman

Rank Relational Coefficient was used to analyze the correlations between levels of needs and potential effect factors. Levels of different needs were compared by age, gender, and time since stroke. Comparisons between groups were made using the Mann-Whitney U test. Statistical tests were performed at the 95% confidence level.

#### **RESULTS**

# Study population

The descriptive characteristics of stroke survivors and caregivers were summarized in Table 1.

248 stroke survivors included 123 women and 125 men between the ages of 33 and 92 with a mean age of 69.5. 170 (68.5%) patients had experienced their first stroke within one year. 115(46.4%) patients had been educated for more than 9 years.

212 caregivers included 117 women and 95 men between the ages of 20 and 88 with a mean age of 52.6. Stroke survivors they cared for were aged between 45 and 92 years with a mean age of 72.2. The duration of care for 132 (62.3%) of the patients was less than one year. 141(66.5%) caregivers had been educated for more than 9 years.

Table 1 Characteristic of stroke survivors and caregivers

		Stroke Survivors	Stroke Caregivers
		N(%)	N(%)
Total		248	212
Age			
	<45	3(1.2%)	49(23.1%)
	45-54	29(11.7%)	69(32.5%)
	55-64	54(21.8%)	65(30.7%)

 Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

	65-74	63(25.4%)	21(9.9%)
	75-84	73(29.4%)	5(2.4%)
	≥85	26(10.5%)	3(1.4%)
Gender			
	Male	125(50.4%)	95(44.8%)
	Female	123(49.6%)	117 (55.2%)
Time since stroke			
	<1y	170(68.5%)	132 (62.3%)
	1-3y	41(16.5%)	37(17.5%)
	4-7y	13(5.2%)	22(10.4%)
	8-10y	6(2.4%)	8(3.8%)
	>10y	18(7.3%)	13(6.1%)
Education			
	<3y	19(7.7%)	2(0.9%)
	3-6y	44(17.7%)	13(6.1%)
	6-9y	70(28.2%)	56(26.4%)
	9-12y	88(35.5%)	93(43.9%)
	>12y	27(10.9%)	48(22.6%)

95.6% of the stroke survivors approved of each question listed in the questionnaire. The right to receive treatment by a specialized team at all the stages of the disease, as well as psychology support was mostly favored by the stroke survivors (99.6%). The next most common demand was the right to receive treatment as an individual, taking into consideration their age, gender, culture and changing needs over time (99.2%).

The total score was negatively correlated with age (r=-0.255, P<0.01) and gender(r=-0.14, P=0.027). Weighing the total score of all questions, the patients under 65 years old scored higher than the older (65 years or older) (P<0.001), while male patients got higher scores than female patients (P=0.027). There were no differences in the total scores

All the needs in the questionnaire were divided into needs for psychological support, treatment and care, social support and information. The results of the survey showed the participants prioritized the needs for psychology supports (99.4%), treatment and care(98.6%), social support (98%) and information (96.2%).

# Psychological support

More than 99% of stroke survivors reported the need for psychological and emotional support. Scores for physiological needs were higher in patients under 65 years old (P<0.001), as well as in male stroke survivors (P=0.004). There was no difference in the request for psychological needs based on the level of education (P=0.420) or time since first stroke (P=0.466).

#### Needs for treatment and care

Over 98% of stroke survivors reported the needs for diagnosis, treatment, care and rehabilitation. 99.6% of patients prioritized the need for treatment by a specialized team at all stages of their journey (in hospital and during rehabilitation). The next most common request of stroke survivors, at 98.8%, was the need of individual treatment based on their age, gender, culture, goals and changing needs over time. Patients under 65 years old had greater needs related to stroke treatment and care than those 65 years or older (P=0.002). Male patients had higher scores than female patients (P=0.041). There was no difference between needs related to treatment and education (P=0.408) or time since first stroke (P=0.474).

#### Social support

98% of stroke survivors had the will to connect with other stroke survivors to gain and

provide support in recovery from stroke. Although stroke survivors suffered from different disabilities, 97.6% of them still want to participant in all aspects of society. Financial or other forms of social support for longer-term care was also demanded by 97.6% stroke survivors. The scores of needs related to social participation and support in stroke survivors under 65 were higher than those of 65 years or older (P<0.001). The male patients got higher scores than female patients (P=0.045). There was no difference between social needs and education (P=0.963) or time since first stroke(P=0.652).

#### Information needs

97.6% of stroke survivors reported wanting information about what had happened and living with stroke, while 94.8% of stroke survivors reported wanting information about the signs of stroke. As with the other needs, information needs in patients under 65 were greater than those 65 years or older (P<0.001). Unlike other needs, the desire to be fully informed about what has happened and about living with stroke was positively correlated with education level (P=0.04). There was no difference between information needs and gender (P=0.311) or time since first stroke (P=0.348).

#### Caregivers' opinion

92.5% of caregivers approved of each question listed in the questionnaire. Timely diagnosis and treatment appeared to be uppermost in caregivers' minds (99.1%). They also cared about financial support to aid in the patient's recovery (98.6%). The total score of caregivers was negatively correlated with the age of the caregivers (r=-0.197, P=0.004), while positively correlated with levels of education (r=2.259, P<0.001). No correlation was found between the scores and gender or time that the patients they cared for experienced their

# **DISCUSSION**

In this study, 95.6% stroke survivors and 92.5% of caregivers approved of each question, which showed that most patients were eager for a formal declaration of their rights. The participants prioritized the needs for psychology support (99.4%), treatment and care (98.6%), social support (98%) and information (96.2%). The Global Stroke Bill of Rights developed by the World Stroke Organization, which sets out the right of each stroke survivor to: receive the best stroke care, be informed and prepared, be supported in their recovery[9].

# The factors influencing the awareness of needs and rights

This survey showed that patients under 65 years old had more needs than those 65 years or older. The needs and awareness of rights were negatively correlated with the age of the patients. Because of different social and domestic duties between the younger and the older, the younger yearned for a higher quality of life after stroke. They seemed keener on requiring knowledge of stroke, appropriate therapies, as well as emotional and social support. In China, the older population's beliefs were more likely to follow traditional Chinese ideas and not demand much, while the younger population had a stronger awareness of their rights. This result did not match the findings of similar survey conducted in the UK[10], which had reported that there was no relationship between needs and age. In our survey, this cultural difference between the generations might be the cause of the different results. Patients over 65 age constitute 47.5% of the subjects in the British survey, while in this survey, 65.3% of the patients were above 65 years old. Also unlike other surveys, this survey found that male patients had a stronger demand and were more conscious of their rights. This phenomenon

could be due to the traditional thought of "male superiority to female" in Chinese tradition society.

Our study is consistent with the UK study[10], where no significant correlation was found between the total scores and levels of education. There was also no correlation between the needs and the time since the first stroke. Similarly, Walsh et al[11] found no significant difference in time since stroke between those who reported multiple unmet needs and those who reported one or no unmet needs.

# Psychological support

As is shown in our results, the questions related to psychological needs got the highest score and the highest support rate, suggesting that the stroke survivors in China had strongest demand for emotional and psychological support. A sudden attack and poor prognosis had an appreciable effect on the psychology of stroke survivors. Some surveys have demonstrated that emotional problems among stroke survivors would be prejudicial to the treatment and rehabilitation after stroke[12-14]. As a doctor, we usually pay more attention to the therapy of disease rather than the emotional needs of the patients. A national survey carried out in Ireland showed that 77% of respondents suffered from emotional problems after stroke, while only 10% of the respondents had received community psychological service[11]. Since emotional and psychological needs are liable to be neglected, post-stroke depression was a common complication after stroke, which seriously impairs quality of life[15 16]. Psychological support has been gradually recognized, and recommended in the newest version of the Chinese Stroke Guidelines in particular. The results in this study remind us that psychological needs of stroke survivors shouldn't be ignored, and we should provide more

psychological and emotional support to our patients, which is essential for their recovery and rehabilitation.

# Needs for treatment and care

The needs for diagnosis, treatment, care and rehabilitation were second only to the need for psychological support. Patients were anxious for individualized treatment by a specialized team, taking into consideration their age, gender, culture, goals and changing needs over time. The strategies should vary depending on the cause, pathophysiological mechanisms, severity and prognosis to reduce the risk of a recurrent event[17]. Patient's individual risk should be assessed, such as those with atrial fibrillation, then antithrombotic therapy oral anticoagulation may be needed for effective stroke prevention[18]. Most stroke survivors suffer from different residual deficits; individualized and optimized care is also needed during inpatient and in chronic care and end-of-life settings[4]. As stroke is an emergency and disastrous disease, a timely and appropriate diagnosis and therapy made by specialized neurologists is vital to the survival and recovery of the patients. A well-organized continuing medical education system covering stroke for community doctors and non-neurologists should be established in China[19], as well as a stroke services system in accordance with World Stroke Organization Global Stroke Services Guidelines and Action Plan[20] which remind us stroke awareness, education, prevention, and treatment should always be feasible.

#### Social support

In this research, the stroke survivors exhibited their desire to return to the full range of activities and roles they had before their stroke, playing an active role in their own lives and

in their community. But in fact there is often a gap between their aspiration and what they actually experience. A cross sectional study showed that stroke survivors had more participation restrictions[21]. Physical/structural and services/assistance barriers were considered the dominant barriers to activity and participation for the stroke survivors in China[22]. This research also found that the needs had a negative correlation with age. In Ireland only 23% of those under the age of 66 got a full or part-time job after their stroke[11]. Those under retirement age would face a higher financial burden and social responsibility. The age-specific burden of stroke in low-income and middle-income countries is greater than in high-income countries[23]. In our survey, 97.6% of all the stroke survivors expected to get financial support, much higher than the Irish survey[11]. Social supports should be provided to stroke survivors, including barrier-free facilities and financial support, and what's more, social security system for stroke survivors needs to be improved in developing countries such as China.

In the present study, most individuals wanted more information about the signs of stroke, as well as what changes they would face after stroke. With more knowledge of stroke, they could identify the disease immediately, thus resulting in a decrease in the time from symptom onset to hospital arrival and increase in the number of patients who may receive appropriate interventions[24]. Knowing the individual's abilities and limitations would help them to prepare adequately for the future. Although stroke is a devastating disease for the individual, family and society, many times the knowledge about stroke is going unheeded. Individuals had extremely limited knowledge of stroke, which was reported in different countries[25-28].

Educational strategies to increase stroke knowledge are urgently needed as a major part to play in preventing and combating disease. As we had noticed this problem, efforts to health education about stroke have been made to improve the recognition in China, especially in our hospital, the education activities were held every world stroke day over the past few years to once a month this year. Local and regional healthcare workers should put a high premium on health education about stroke, not only to meet the needs of patients, but also to disseminate the knowledge to the public, policymakers, and health professionals[30].

#### The stroke caregivers' opinions

A stroke in the family can cause many shifts, whether it is relationship dynamics, finances, home modifications, or role changes. The views of the stroke caregivers would provide a valuable reference about the needs and rights of the stroke survivors, as the caregivers provide the physical and psychological support in the daily life of stroke survivors.

92.5% caregivers thought that stroke survivors should have the rights listed in our questionnaire. Not consistent with the stroke survivors, treatment and financial support for care was the ultimate concern of the caregivers, which revealed the heavy burden of stroke their family suffered and needs for social supports. The scores for these questions were negatively correlated to their age, while positively correlated to their level of education. A longitudinal study of caregivers' perspectives found that family caregivers expected to obtain assistance and related care information[31]. Relevant information and counseling provided to caregivers would be beneficial to the recovery and rehabilitation of stroke survivors.

#### Limitations

Firstly, patients that were not able to express their views due to severe language or cognitive impairment were excluded in the present study. The results could not reflect their needs, which may lead to some bias. Secondly, nearly 70% of stroke survivors who participated in this survey experienced their stroke less than one year ago, which fails to accurately identify long-term needs. Finally, the number of participants was limited, and data was only collected from one site, which may limit the generalizability of our results. Further multiple central and large sample research studies may be needed in the future.

#### Conclusion

The demands of psychological support, treatment and care, social support and information about stroke in China were much more than we expected. Stroke services should consider each individual stroke survivor's needs for psychological and physical care in the whole course starting from the onset of stroke all the way through to rehabilitation and reintegration into the community, which requires a more concerted effort across specialists in

#### **Disclosures:**

None

#### References

- 1. Bo Norrving BK. The global burden of stroke and need for a continuum of care. Neurology 2013;**80**(Suppl 2):S5-S12
- 2. JS K. Stroke in Asia: a global disaster. International Journal of Stroke 2014;9(7):856-57
- 3. Qian Jia L-PL, Yong-Jun Wang. Stroke in China. Clinical and Experimental Pharmacology and Physiology 2010;**37**:259-64
- 4. Miller EL ML, Richards L, Zorowitz RD, Bakas T, Clark P & Billinger SA. Comprehensive overview of nursing and interdisciplinary rehabilitation care of the stroke patient: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Stroke 2010;41:2402-48
- 5. Lawler M LCT, Banks I, Conte P, De Lorenzo F, Meunier F, Pinedo HM, Selby P, Murphy MJ, Johnston PG; European Cancer Concord (ECC). A Bill of Rights for patients with cancer in Europe. Lancet Oncol 2014;15(3):258-60
- 6. L. N. A Bill of "Rights" for patients with COPD: the "right" therapy for the "right" patient at the "right" time.

  Thorax 2010;65(1):2-3
- 7. Damrow J, Gaarder K, Chopra S, et al. Global stroke bill of rights. Int J Stroke 2014;**9**(8):964 doi: 10.1111/ijs.12399[published Online First: Epub Date]|.
- 8. Davis S, Norrving B. World Stroke Day: one world voice for stroke. Int J Stroke 2013;**8 Suppl A100**:2-3 doi: 10.1111/ijs.12185[published Online First: Epub Date]|.
- 9. Rights TftGBo. World stroke organization web site. http://www.world-stroke.org/newsletter/latest-updates/18-news/latest-updates/261-toolkit-for-the-gl obal-bill-of-rights:Accessed November 23, 2015.
- 10. McKevitt C FN, Redfern J, Sheldenkar A, Crichton S, Rudd AR, Forster A, Young J, Nazareth I, Silver LE, Rothwell PM, Wolfe CD. Self-reported long-term needs after stroke. Stroke 2011;42(5):1398-403
- 11. Walsh ME GR, Loughnane C, Macey C, Horgan NF. Community re-integration and long-term need in the first five years after stroke: results from a national survey. Disabil Rehabil 2014;13:1-5
- 12. Chau JP TD, Chang AM, Woo J, Twinn S, Cheung SK, Kwok T. Depression among Chinese stroke survivors six months after discharge from a rehabilitation hospital. J Clin Nurs 2010;19(21-22):3042-50
- 13. Ekstam L JU, Guidetti S, Eriksson G, Ytterberg C. The combined perceptions of people with stroke and their carers regarding rehabilitation needs 1 year after stroke: a mixed methods study. BMJ Open 2015;5(2):e006784
- 14. Matsuzaki S HM, Yuki S, Koyama A, Hirata Y, Ikeda M. The relationship between post-stroke depression and physical recovery. J Affect Disord 2015;**176**:56-60
- 15. Park GY IS, Oh CH, Lee SJ, Pae CU. The association between the severity of poststroke depression and clinical outcomes after first-onset stroke in Korean patients. Gen Hosp Psychiatry 2015;**37**(3):245-50
- Broomfield NM QT, Abdul-Rahim AH, Walters MR, Evans JJ. Depression and anxiety symptoms post-stroke/TIA: prevalence and associations in cross-sectional data from a regional stroke registry. BMC Neurol 2014;14:198
- 17. Kernan WN OB, Black HR, Bravata DM, Chimowitz MI, Ezekowitz MD, Fang MC, Fisher M, Furie KL, Heck DV, Johnston SC, Kasner SE, Kittner SJ, Mitchell PH, Rich MW, Richardson D, Schwamm LH, Wilson JA;

American Heart Association Stroke Council, Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing, Council on Clinical Cardiology, and Council on Peripheral Vascular Disease. Guidelines for the prevention of stroke in patients with stroke and transient ischemic attack: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke 2014;**45**(7):2160-236

- 18. Lip GY LD. Stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation: a systematic review. JAMA 2015;313(19):1950-62
- 19. Niu JW YJ, Gao S, Xu WH. Low awareness of stroke guidelines and preference for Chinese herbs in community physicians: a national survey in China. Ann Transl Med 2014;**2**(8):76
- 20. Lindsay P, Furie KL, Davis SM, Donnan GA, Norrving B. World Stroke Organization global stroke services guidelines and action plan. Int J Stroke 2014;9 Suppl A100:4-13
- 21. Skolarus LE BJ, Brown DL, Freedman VA. Understanding Stroke Survivorship: Expanding the concept of post-stroke disability. Stroke 2014;**45**(1):224-30
- 22. Zhang L, Yan T, You L, Li K. Barriers to activity and participation for stroke survivors in rural China. Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation 2015;**96**(7):1222-8
- 23. Feigin VL FM, Krishnamurthi R, Mensah GA, Connor M, Bennett DA, Moran AE, Sacco RL, Anderson L, Truelsen T, O'Donnell M, Venketasubramanian N, Barker-Collo S, Lawes CM, Wang W, Shinohara Y, Witt E, Ezzati M, Naghavi M, Murray C; Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors Study 2010 (GBD 2010) and the GBD Stroke Experts Group. Global and regional burden of stroke during 1990–2010: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet 2014;383(9913):245-54
- 24. Hachinski V, Donnan GA, Gorelick PB, et al. Stroke: working toward a prioritized world agenda. Stroke 2010;**41**(6):1084-99
- 25. Nakibuuka J SM, Katabira E, Ddumba E, Byakika-Tusiime J, Furlan AJ. Knowledge and Perception of Stroke: A Population-Based Survey in Uganda. ISRN Stroke 2014;**2014**
- 26. Hickey A OHA, McGee H, Donnellan C, Shelley E, Horgan F, O'Neill D. Stroke awareness in the general population: knowledge of stroke risk factors and warning signs in older adults. BMC Geriatr 2009;**9**:35
- 27. Akiyama H HY. Knowledge of transient ischemic attack among the Japanese. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis 2013;**22**(4):457-64
- 28. Zeng Y HG, Yi GH, Huang YJ, Zhang QH, He LL. Knowledge of stroke warning signs and risk factors among patients with previous stroke or TIA in China. J Clin Nurs 2012;21(19-20):2886-95
- 29. Hickey A HD, McGee H, Conroy R, Shelley E. Knowledge of stroke risk factors and warning signs in Ireland: development and application of the Stroke Awareness Questionnaire (SAQ). Int J Stroke 2012;**7**(4):298-306
- 30. Hachinski V. World Stroke Day Proclamation. stroke 2008;39:2409-20
- 31. Tsai PC YP, Tai JJ, Lou MF. Needs of family caregivers of stroke patients: a longitudinal study of caregivers' perspectives. Patient Prefer Adherence 2015;**9**:449-57

# **BMJ Open**

# Needs and rights awareness of stroke survivors and caregivers: a cross-sectional, single-centre questionnaire survey

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2016-013210.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	30-Nov-2016
Complete List of Authors:	Li, Xin; The Second Hospital of Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, China, Neurology Xia, Xiaoshuang; The Second Hospital of Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, China, Neurology Wang, Peilu; The Second Hospital of Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, China, Neurology Zhang, Shuting; Stroke Clinical Research Unit; West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China, Neurology Liu, M; Stroke Clinical Research Unit; West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China, Neurology Wang, Lin; The Second Hospital of Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, China, Geratology
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Neurology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Public health, Patient-centred medicine, Mental health, Medical education and training
Keywords:	needs, patients rights, Stroke < NEUROLOGY, caregivers, survey

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts

Needs and rights awareness of stroke survivors and caregivers: a cross-sectional, single-centre questionnaire survey

Xin Li, Xiaoshuang Xia, Peilu Wang, Shuting Zhang, Ming Liu, Lin Wang

- <sup>1</sup>Department of Neurology, The Second Hospital of Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin,
- 7 China
- <sup>2</sup>Stroke Clinical Research Unit, Department of Neurology, West China Hospital, Sichuan
- 9 University, Chengdu, China
- <sup>3</sup>Department of Geratology, The Second Hospital of Tianjin Medical University and Tianjin
- 11 Geriatric Institute, Tianjin, China
- 12 Correspondence to:
- 13 Xin Li, MD, PhD, Department of Neurology, The Second Hospital of Tianjin Medical
- University, No 22, Pingjiang Road, Hexi District, Tianjin 300211, China, lixinsci@126.com
- or Ming Liu, MD, PhD, Stroke Clinical Research Unit, Department of Neurology, West China
- Hospital, Sichuan University, No 37, Guo Xue Xiang, Chengdu 610041, China,
- wyplmh@hotmail.com,

- 19 Key words: needs, patient rights, stroke, caregivers, survey
- 20 Word count: 4623

- 2 Objectives: The needs and rights awareness of stroke survivors have not been reported in
- 3 China. This study investigated the needs and rights awareness of stroke survivors and
- 4 caregivers in Tianjin, China.
- 5 Setting: A survey launched by the World Stroke Organization (WSO) was conducted in
- 6 Tianjin, China. The questionnaire included demands for psychological support, treatment and
- 7 care, social support, and information. Stroke survivors and their caregivers were interviewed
- 8 face to face for the questionnaire. Between June 2014 and February 2015, stroke survivors
- 9 were invited to participate if they were over 18 years old and had experienced a stroke.
- 10 Exclusion criteria were patients who had disorders of consciousness, significant cognitive
- impairment, aphasia, communication difficulties or psychiatric disorders. Only caregivers
- who were family members of the patients were chosen. Paid caregivers were excluded.
- *Participants:* Two hundred forty-eight stroke survivors and 212 caregivers were enrolled.
- *Primary outcome measures:* The correlations between levels of needs and potential effect
- factors were analysed. Levels of different needs were compared by age, gender and time
- since stroke.
- **Results:** Among the cohort, 95.6% stroke survivors and 92.5% caregivers agreed to each
- 18 question in the questionnaire. The participants prioritised the needs for psychological support
- 19 (99.4%), treatment and care (98.6%), social support (98%), and information (96.2%). The
- total score was negatively correlated with age (r=-0.255, P<0.01). Patients under 65 years old
- had higher scores than those 65 years or older (P<0.01), while male patients had higher
- scores than female patients (P<0.01).

- *Conclusions*: The needs for psychological and emotional support, individual treatment, social
- 2 support and information about stroke were eagerly reported by most survivors. The Bill of
- Rights must be recognised by the Chinese society, providing appropriate stroke care to every
- 4 patient to optimise stroke outcomes.
  - Strengths and limitations of this study
- 7 Stroke survivors and caregivers did not have their own bill of rights when this study was
- 8 performed, and their needs and rights awareness have not been reported in China.
- 9 The demands for psychological and emotional support, individual treatment, social
- support and information were eagerly reported by most stroke survivors.
- 11 The stroke survivors in China had the strongest demand for emotional and psychological
- support, which should be recognised.
- Limitations include potential bias due to the exclusion of patients with severe language
- or cognitive impairment. The number of participants was also limited. Further
- multiple-centre and large-sample research studies may be needed.

#### INTRODUCTION

- Stroke is a major cause of death and disability worldwide. The burden of stroke is
- particularly serious in Asia[1], and its mortality is higher than that in Europe or North
- America[2]. In China, it is the leading cause of death and disability[3]. Stroke survivors cope
- 21 with significant physical, cognitive and emotional deficits, and 25% to 74% of these
- survivors require some assistance or are fully dependent on their caregivers for activities of

4 exclusion criteria were patients who had disorders of consciousness, significant cognitive

impairment, aphasia, communication difficulties or psychiatric disorders.

Caregivers who had been taking care of the stroke patients who met the above criteria
were recruited. Only caregivers who were family members of the patients were chosen. Paid

caregivers were excluded.

# Development of the survey

The questionnaire was adapted from that designed by the WSO. Stroke survivors and caregivers were interviewed face to face during the patients' follow-up by well-trained neurologists who were not the patients' treating doctors.

The final questionnaire included 17 questions covering age, gender, level of education, time since the first stroke, and demands for treatment and information about stroke and psychological and social support. Fourteen of the questions had five choices for each question. The five choices were strongly agree, agree, neutral, disagree and strongly disagree. The Likert 5-grade score method was used to assign 1-5 points; higher scores indicated a greater degree of demand. The purpose of the survey and the procedure were explained fully to all participants.

The questionnaire translated to Chinese was tested again for the reliability in our population. The scales reliability of the stroke survivors' questionnaire was assessed with a total Cronbach's  $\alpha$  of 0.910, corrected by inter-item correlation above 0.70. The scales

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013210 on 5 October 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 11, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES) .

data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and

0.817, corrected by inter-item correlation f above 0.70. The Cronbach's α values were good

3 for all scales for the study.

# Statistical Analysis

- Frequencies and proportions were used to summarize levels of answers. The Spearman
- 7 Rank Relational Coefficient was used to analyze the correlations between levels of needs and
- 8 potential effect factors. Levels of different needs were compared by age, gender, and time
- 9 since stroke. Categorical variables are displayed as frequencies and percentages. Categorical
- variables were analyzed using a chi-square  $(\chi^2)$  test. Comparisons between groups were made
- using the Mann–Whitney *U* test. Statistical tests were performed at the 95% confidence level.

### RESULTS

#### 14 Study population

- The descriptive characteristics of stroke survivors and caregivers are summarised in
- 16 Table 1.
- The 248 stroke survivors included 123 women and 125 men between the ages of 33 and
- 18 92, with a mean age of 69.5. The 212 caregivers included 117 women and 95 men between
- the ages of 20 and 88, with a mean age of 52.6. Stroke survivors they cared for were aged
- between 45 and 92 years, with a mean age of 72.2.

# Table 1 Characteristics of stroke survivors and caregivers

Stroke Survivors	Stroke Caregivers
 N (%)	N (%)

Total		248	212
Age			
	<45	3(1.2%)	49(23.1%)
	45-54	29(11.7%)	69(32.5%)
	55-64	54(21.8%)	65(30.7%)
	65-74	63(25.4%)	21(9.9%)
	75-84	73(29.4%)	5(2.4%)
	≥85	26(10.5%)	3(1.4%)
ender			
	Male	125(50.4%)	95(44.8%)
	Female	123(49.6%)	117 (55.2%)
me since strol	xe .		
	<1y	170(68.5%)	132 (62.3%)
	1-3y	41(16.5%)	37(17.5%)
	4-7y	13(5.2%)	22(10.4%)
	8-10y	6(2.4%)	8(3.8%)
	>10y	18(7.3%)	13(6.1%)
ucation			
	<3y	19(7.7%)	2(0.9%)
	3-6y	44(17.7%)	13(6.1%)
	6-9y	70(28.2%)	56(26.4%)
	9-12y	88(35.5%)	93(43.9%)
	>12y	27(10.9%)	48(22.6%)
oe of stroke			
	Ischemic stroke	192(77.4%)	170(80.2%)
	Hemorrhagic stroke	56(22.6%)	42(19.8%)
HSS* Score			
		115(46.4%)	90(42.5%)

4-15	127(51.2%)	116(54.7%)
>15	6(2.4%)	6(2.8%)

\* NIHSS: National institutes of Health Stroke Scale

Among the cohort, 95.6% of the stroke survivors approved of each question in the questionnaire. The results of the survey showed that participants prioritised the need for psychological support (99.4%), treatment and care (98.6%), social support (98%) and information (96.2%). The total score and every aspect of need was negatively correlated with age (P<0.01). There were no correlations between needs and type of stroke or National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale score (*P*>0.05).

Table 2 The correlations between levels of needs and potential effect factors

	Total		Psycholo support	ogical	Inform	nation	Treatme	ent and	Social su	pport
	rho	P	Rho	P	Rh o	P	rho	P	Rho	P
Age	-0.255	<0.00	-0.211	0.001	-0.221	<0.00	-0.197	0.002	-0.245	<0.00
Gender	-0.14	0.027	-0.184	0.004	0.052	0.419	-0.130	0.041	-0.131	0.039
Time since stroke	-0.044	0.487	0.095	0.135	-0.063	0.324	-0.127	0.046	-0.029	0.647
Education	0.099	0.121	-0.000	0.998	0.051	0.427	-0.067	0.293	0.010	0.879
Type of stroke	-0.089	0.162	-0.017	0.791	0.075	0.242	-0.044	0.494	0.005	0.932
NIHSS Score	-0.088	0.169	0.010	0.877	-0.059	0.352	-0.087	0.172	-0.036	0.578

The total needs and every aspect of the needs of patients under 65 years old were higher than those of older patients (65 years or older) (P<0.05). Moreover, male patients had higher scores for total needs, psychological needs, needs for treatment and care and needs for social support than female patients (P<0.05) (Table 3 and Table 4).

	Total	Psychological	Information	Treatment	Social
		support		and care	support
<65	4.7(4.1-5.0)	5.0(4.0-5.0)	4.5(4.0-5.0)	4.6(4.0-5.0)	4.6(4.0-5.0)
≥65	4.2(4.0-4.7)	4.0(4.0-5.0)	4.0(4.0-4.5)	4.2(4.0-4.8)	4.0(4.0-4.8)
Z	-4.179	-3.314	-3.477	-3.090	-3.855
P	<0.001	0.001	0.001	0.002	<0.001

Total	Psychological Information		Treatment	Social
	support		and care	support
4.3(4.0-5.0)	4.5(4.0-5.0)	4.0(4.0-5.0)	4.4(4.0-5.0)	4.4(4.0-5.0)
4.1(4.0-4.9)	4.0(4.0-5.0)	4.0(4.0-5.0)	4.2(4.0-4.8)	4.2(4.0-4.8)
-2.210	-2.893	-0.810	-2.043	-2.063
0.027	0.004	0.418	0.041	0.039

# Caregivers' opinion

Among the caregivers, 92.5% approved of each question in the questionnaire. Timely diagnosis and treatment appeared to be uppermost in caregivers' minds (99.1%). They also cared about financial support to aid in the patient's recovery (98.6%). The total score of caregivers was negatively correlated with the age of the caregivers (r=-0.197, P=0.004), while positively correlated with levels of education (r=2.259, P<0.001). No correlation was

found between the scores and gender or time that the patients they cared for experienced their first stroke (P>0.05).

# **DISCUSSION**

In this study, 95.6% stroke survivors and 92.5% of caregivers approved of each question, which showed that most patients were eager for a formal declaration of their rights. The Global Stroke Bill of Rights, developed by the WSO, sets out the right of each stroke survivor to: receive the best stroke care, be informed and prepared, and be supported in their recovery[9].

# Factors that influenced the awareness of needs and rights

This survey showed that patients under 65 years old had more needs than younger patients. The needs and awareness of rights were negatively correlated with the age of the patients. Because of different social and domestic duties between younger and older patients, younger patients yearned for a higher quality of life after experiencing stroke. They seemed keener to acquire knowledge of stroke and appropriate therapies as well as emotional and social support. This result did not match the findings of a similar survey conducted in the UK[10], which reported that there was no relationship between needs and age. Patients over 65 years of age constituted 47.5% of the subjects in the British survey, while in this survey, 65.3% of the patients were above 65 years old. In addition, unlike other surveys, this survey found that male patients had a stronger demand for and were more conscious of their rights. This phenomenon could be due to the traditional thought of "male superiority to female" in Chinese tradition society. A study in China showed that there was a sex difference in the

social participation domain in Chinese stroke patients, and male patients had higher social desires[11].

Our study is consistent with the UK study[10], where no significant correlation was found between the total scores and levels of education. There was also no correlation between the needs and the time since the first stroke. Similarly, Walsh et al.[12] found no significant difference in time since stroke between those who reported multiple unmet needs and those who reported one or no unmet needs.

# Psychological support

As shown in our results, the questions related to psychological needs received the highest score and the highest support rate, suggesting that stroke survivors in China had the strongest demand for emotional and psychological support. A sudden attack and poor prognosis had an appreciable effect on the psychology of stroke survivors. Some surveys have demonstrated that emotional problems among stroke survivors would be prejudicial to treatment and rehabilitation after stroke[13-15]. As physicians, we usually pay more attention to treating the disease, rather than the emotional needs of the patients. A national survey carried out in Ireland showed that 77% of respondents suffered from emotional problems after stroke, while only 10% of the respondents had received community psychological service[12]. Since emotional and psychological needs are liable to be neglected, post-stroke depression was a common complication which seriously impairs quality of life[16 17]. Psychological expertise and formal psychological support were needed by stoke survivors[18]. The newest version of the Chinese Stroke Guidelines goes further, recommending psychological support.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013210 on 5 October 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 11, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

The needs for diagnosis, treatment, care and rehabilitation were second only to the need for psychological support. The strategies should vary depending on the cause, pathophysiological mechanisms, severity and prognosis to reduce the risk of a recurrent event[19]. Patients should be assessed for individual risks, such as atrial fibrillation, in which case antithrombotic therapy oral anticoagulation may be needed for effective stroke prevention[20]. Most stroke survivors suffer from different residual deficits; individualised and optimised care is also needed during inpatient and in chronic care and end-of-life settings[4]. Rehabilitation was usually ignored in busy clinical settings prior to discharge from hospital in China, and there was also a lack of post-discharge rehabilitation services. The patients perceived nurse-led coordination of rehabilitation and ongoing changes of rehabilitation goals in different stages of recovery[21]. A considerable unmet need for poststroke rehabilitation services was also found in other low- and middle-income countries, such as India[22]. As stroke is an emergency and disastrous disease, a timely and appropriate diagnosis and therapy made by specialised neurologists is vital to the survival and recovery of the patients. A well-organised continuing medical education system for community doctors and non-neurologists covering stroke should be established in China[23], as well as a stroke services system in accordance with the WSO Global Stroke Services Guidelines and Action Plan[24], reminding us that stroke awareness, education, prevention and treatment should always be feasible.

# Social support

In this study, the stroke survivors exhibited a desire to return to their full range of

activities and roles they had before their stroke, playing an active role in their own lives and in their community. However, there is often a gap between their aspiration and what they actually experience. A cross-sectional study showed that stroke survivors had more participation restrictions[25]. Physical/structural and services/assistance were considered the dominant barriers to and participation in activities of daily life for stroke survivors in China[26]. This research also found that needs had a negative correlation with age. In Ireland, only 23% of those under the age of 66 obtained a full- or part-time job after stroke[11]. Those under retirement age would face a higher financial burden and social responsibility. The age-specific burden of stroke in low-income and middle-income countries is greater than in high-income countries[27]. In our survey, 97.6% of all the stroke survivors expected to receive financial support, much higher than in the Irish survey[11]. Social support should be provided to stroke survivors, including barrier-free facilities and financial support; furthermore, the social security system for stroke survivors needs to be improved in developing countries such as China.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013210 on 5 October 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 11, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

#### Information needs

In the present study, most individuals wanted more information about the signs of stroke, as well as what changes they would face afterwards. With more knowledge of stroke, they could identify the disease immediately, resulting in a decrease in the time from symptom onset to hospital arrival and increase in the number of patients who may receive appropriate interventions[28]. Knowing the individual's abilities and limitations would help them to prepare adequately for the future. Although stroke is a devastating disease for the individual, family and society, many times their knowledge about stroke goes unheeded. Individuals had

Educational strategies to increase stroke knowledge are urgently needed to combat and prevent disease. We have made efforts to educate physicians and patients about stroke to improve the recognition of this condition in China, especially in our hospital. Educational activities have been held every world stroke day over the past few years and once a month this year. Local and regional healthcare workers should put a high premium on health education about stroke, not only to meet the needs of patients, but also to disseminate the knowledge to the public, policymakers and health professionals[34].

could about stroke. Those with the least understanding of stroke were participants in an Irish

survey that only had a primary level of education[33].

# The stroke caregivers' opinions

A stroke in the family can cause many shifts, whether it is relationship dynamics, finances, home modifications or role changes. The views of caregivers of stroke survivors would provide a valuable reference about the needs and rights of these patients, as the

caregivers provide physical and psychological support in the daily life of stroke survivors.

Not consistent with the stroke survivors, the caregivers' ultimate concern was treatment and financial support, which revealed the heavy burden the family suffered due to stroke and need for social support. The scores for these questions were negatively correlated to their age, while positively correlated to their level of education. A longitudinal study of caregivers' perspectives found that family caregivers expected to obtain assistance and care-related information[35]. Providing caregivers with relevant information and counseling would be

beneficial to the recovery and rehabilitation of stroke survivors.

#### Limitations

First, patients who were not able to express their views due to severe language or cognitive impairment were excluded from the present study. The results could not reflect their needs, which may lead to some bias. Secondly, nearly 70% of stroke survivors who participated in this survey experienced their stroke less than one year ago; therefore, their long-term needs might not have been met accurately. Finally, the number of participants was limited, and data were only collected from one site, which may limit the generalisability of our results. In addition, we did not use in-depth interviews or focus groups to explore the reasons behind the patients' needs. Further multiple-centre and large-sample research studies may be needed in the future to explore the needs of stroke survivors using these techniques.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013210 on 5 October 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 11, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de
Enseignement Superieur (ABES) .
Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

#### Conclusion

The demands of psychological support, treatment and care, social support and information about stroke in China were much more than we expected. Stroke services should consider each individual stroke survivor's needs for psychological and physical care in the

2 reintegration into the community, which requires a more concerted effort across specialists in

stroke units, communities and social supports. The Bill of Rights must also be recognised by

Chinese society, providing appropriate stroke care to every patient to optimise stroke

5 outcomes.

# 6 Acknowledgments

- 7 The authors would like to acknowledge the World Stroke Organization (WSO), which
- 8 allowed us to adapt the questionnaire about the rights of stroke survivors and caregivers. We
- 9 acknowledge the doctors in the Department of Neurology, the Second Hospital of Tianjin
- 10 Medical University, who gave us help to complete this study.

# 11 Contributorship statement

- 12 Xin Li contributed conceived and designed the study and revised the manuscript. Ming Liu
- 13 conceived of the study and revised the manuscript. Xin Li and Ming Liu are
- 14 co-correspondence authors. Xiaoshuang Xia analysed the data and wrote the manuscript.
- 15 Peilu Wang collected the data from the survey. Shuting Zhang helped collect the data. Lin
- Wang helped perform the analysis with constructive discussions.

# 17 Competing interests

None declared.

# 19 Funding

- 20 This work is supported by the key project in the Science and Technology Foundation of
- 21 Tianjin Health and Family Planning [15KG136] and the Natural Science Foundation of
- Tianjin[16JCYBJC25500].

#### 1 Data sharing statement

2 No additional data are available.

#### 3 Disclosures:

4 None

5 References

- 1. Bo Norrving BK. The global burden of stroke and need for a continuum of care. Neurology 2013;80(Suppl
   2):S5-S12
- 8 2. JS K. Stroke in Asia: a global disaster. International Journal of Stroke 2014;9(7):856-57
  - 3. Qian Jia L-PL, Yong-Jun Wang. Stroke in China. Clinical and Experimental Pharmacology and Physiology 2010;**37**:259-64
  - 4. Miller EL ML, Richards L, Zorowitz RD, Bakas T, Clark P & Billinger SA. Comprehensive overview of nursing and interdisciplinary rehabilitation care of the stroke patient: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Stroke 2010;41:2402-48
- 5. Lawler M LCT, Banks I, Conte P, De Lorenzo F, Meunier F, Pinedo HM, Selby P, Murphy MJ, Johnston PG;
   European Cancer Concord (ECC). A Bill of Rights for patients with cancer in Europe. Lancet Oncol
   2014;15(3):258-60
- 6. L. N. A Bill of "Rights" for patients with COPD: the "right" therapy for the "right" patient at the "right" time.

  Thorax 2010;**65**(1):2-3
- 7. Damrow J, Gaarder K, Chopra S, et al. Global stroke bill of rights. Int J Stroke 2014;**9**(8):964 doi: 10.1111/ijs.12399[published Online First: Epub Date]|.
- 8. Davis S, Norrving B. World Stroke Day: one world voice for stroke. Int J Stroke 2013;8 Suppl A100:2-3 doi:
   10.1111/ijs.12185[published Online First: Epub Date] |
- Rights TftGBo. World stroke organization web site.
   http://www.world-stroke.org/newsletter/latest-updates/18-news/latest-updates/261-toolkit-for-the-gl
   obal-bill-of-rights:Accessed November 23, 2015.
- 10. McKevitt C FN, Redfern J, Sheldenkar A, Crichton S, Rudd AR, Forster A, Young J, Nazareth I, Silver LE,
   Rothwell PM, Wolfe CD. Self-reported long-term needs after stroke. Stroke 2011;42(5):1398-403
- 11. Wu X, Min L, Cong L, Jia Y, Liu C, Zhao H, Liu P, Luo Y. Sex differences in health-related quality of life among adult stroke patients in Northeastern China. J Clin Neurosci 2014; **21**(6):957-61
- 12. Walsh ME GR, Loughnane C, Macey C, Horgan NF. Community re-integration and long-term need in the first
   five years after stroke: results from a national survey. Disabil Rehabil 2014;13:1-5
- 13. Chau JP TD, Chang AM, Woo J, Twinn S, Cheung SK, Kwok T. Depression among Chinese stroke survivors six
   months after discharge from a rehabilitation hospital. J Clin Nurs 2010;19(21-22):3042-50
- 14. Ekstam L JU, Guidetti S, Eriksson G, Ytterberg C. The combined perceptions of people with stroke and their carers regarding rehabilitation needs 1 year after stroke: a mixed methods study. BMJ Open 2015;5(2):e006784
- 37 15. Matsuzaki S HM, Yuki S, Koyama A, Hirata Y, Ikeda M. The relationship between post-stroke depression and physical recovery. J Affect Disord 2015;**176**:56-60
- 39 16. Park GY IS, Oh CH, Lee SJ, Pae CU. The association between the severity of poststroke depression and

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

34. Hachinski \	V.	World	Stroke	Day	Proclamation.:	stroke	2008	;39:24	09-	20



1	
2	
3	
4 5 6 7 8	
5	
e	
7	
1	
8	
9	
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	っつ
1 1 1 1	ں 1
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1 1 1	8
1	q
2	ó
2	1
2	ı ص
_	_
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7
2	R
2	a
2	2
ა ი	J
ა ~	1
3	2
	_
3	3
3	3 4
3 3 3	3 4 5
3 3 3	3 4 5 6
3 3 3 3	3 4 5 6 7
3 3 3 3	3 4 5 6 7
333333	3 4 5 6 7 8 9
3333	3 4 5 6 7 8 9
4	0
4	0
4	0
4 4 4	0 1 2 3
4 4 4 4	0 1 2 3 4
4 4 4 4	0 1 2 3 4
4 4 4 4	0 1 2 3 4
4 4 4 4 4	0123456
4 4 4 4 4	012345 67
4 4 4 4 4	012345678
44444444	0123456789
44444445	01234567890
44444445	01234567890
4444444555	0123456789012
44444445555	01234567890123
444444455555	012345678901234
444444455555	012345678901234
444444455555	012345678901234
444444455555	1234567890123456

AGE:		EDUCAT	ION:		
ID:		DIAGNOSIS:		NIHSS SCORE:	
The Wor	ld Stroke	e Organizat	ion is mak	king a Bill of Ri	ghts for stroke patients
It will he	elp The E	Bill of Right	ts forms t	he basis to en	sure that every patien
has acce	ss to all	elements o	f appropr	iate stroke care	e, to optimize their bes
chance of	of surviva	al and reco	very. The	Stroke Bill of R	ights was developed b
a group	of stroke	survivors	and care	givers from ea	ch region of the world
They ne	ed help	to find ou	t what ar	e the most im	portant things in the
recovery	v. They w	ill be very	appreciate	ed if you take !	5-10 minutes to answe
this surv	ey. You	need to fin	ish all the	e 17 questions	This is for the patients
and the	other is f	for the care	ers.		
1. What	: is you	ur age?			
18 -	29	50 - 5	9	80-89	
30 -	39	60 - 6	9	90-99	
40 -	49	70 - 7	9	above 100	
2. What	is your	sex?			
male				female	
3. How I	ong has	it been	since y	ou had your	first stroke?
Withi	n3 year	3-6 ye	ars	6-9years	9-12yesrs above12
years			<u></u>		
4. I nee	d for i	informati	on about	the signs o	of stroke.
very	agree	agree	neutral	disagree	very disagree
5. I nee	d for a	a rapid d	iagnosis	so I can be	treated quickly.
very	agree	agree	neutral	disagree	very disagree
6. I nee	d for t	treatment	bv a sp	ecialized te	eam at all atages
				uring rehabi	
very		agree	neutral	disagree	

1	
2	
3	
4	
6	
7	
8	
9	
1	0
1	1
1	0 1 2 3 4 5
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8
1	/ 0
1	9
2	อ ก
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	012345678
2	7
2	8
2	9
3	0
3	1
ა 2	2
3	ა ⊿
3	5
3	6
3	45678901234567
	8
3	9
4	0
4	
4	
	3
4	
4	
4	
4	ر و
4	9
5	
5	1
5	2
	3
_	4
5	
5	6

7. I	ne	ed	for	infor	matio	ns ab	out	what	has	ha	appene	d to	me	and
abo	ut	liv	ing	with	strok	e fo	as	long	as I	re	equire	it.		
	ery	agı	ree	agr	ee	neut	ral	d i	sagre	ee	ver	ry dis	agre	е
8. I	ha	ve	the	right	to b	e inc	luce	d in	all	asp	pects	of s	ocie	ty
reg	ard	les	s of	any	disab	ility	/ I n	nay h	ave.					
v	ery	agı	ree	agr	ee	neut	ral	d i	sagre	ее	ver	y dis	agre	е
9. I	ne	ed	for	psych	ologi	cals	suppo	orts	to p	rov	/ide h	ope '	for	the
bes	t p	oss	ible	reco	very	I car	n mak	ke no	w an	d i	nto t	he f	utur	e.
V	ery	agı	ree	agr	ee	neut	ral	d i	sagre	ee	ver	y dis	agre	е
10.	l n	eed	l for	cons	idera	te ca	are.							
V	ery	agı	ree	agr	ee	neut	ral	d i	sagre	ee	ver	ry dis	agre	е
11.	l n	eed	l for	Comm	unica	tions	s wit	th ot	her	str	oke s	uviv	ors	and
							_							
car	egi	ver	's sc	o I ma	y gai	n and	pro	ov i de	sup	por	rt in	my r	ecov	ery
			's so ke.	o I ma	y gai	n and	d pro	ov i de	sup	por	t in	my re	ecov	ery
fro		tro	ke.	<b>I ma</b>		<b>n and</b>		4	sagre			my re		
fro	<b>m s</b> ery	tro agi	ke.	agr		neut	ral	d i	sagre	ее	ver	y dis	agre	
fro  12.	m s ery I h	tro agi	ke. ree	agr	ee [	neut <b>eive</b>	ral psyc	_d i	sagre gica	ee 1 <b>a</b>	ver	y dis	agre	
fro 12.	m s ery I h	tro agi ave t i	ke. Tee the	agr	ee that	neut <b>eive</b>	ral psyc	di	sagre gica	ee I a	ver	y dis	agre <b>na l</b>	е
fro  12. sup	m s ery l ha por ery	agı ave t i	ree the n a	agr righ form agr	ee that	neut eive best neut	psyc meet	di	sagre gica nee	ee ds.	ver	ry dis <b>otio</b> ry dis	agre <b>na l</b>	е
12. sup	m some servery	agi ave t i agi	the the n a	agr righ form agr	ee  that ee	neut eive best neut that	psycomeet	dicholo	sagre gica nee	ee lads.	ver	ry dis notion ry dis an	agre <b>na l</b> agre	e e
fro  12. sup  13. ind	m s rery I ha por rery I na	agu ave t i agu eed	the naree	agr righ form agr trea	ee that ee tment	neut eive best neut that	psycomeet	dicholo	sagre gica nee	ee lads.	ver	ry dis notion ry dis an	agre <b>na l</b> agre	e e
fro  12. sup  13. ind cha	m s rery I ha por rery I na	age ave t i age eed dua	the n a ree	agr righ form agr trea	ee that ee tmentering	neut eive best neut that my age.	psycomeetral	cholo ts my di righ	sagre nee sagre et fo	eds.	ver	ry dis notion ry dis an goals	agre nal agre	e e <b>d my</b>
fro  12. sup  13. ind cha	m sivery I have been depended in the content of the	agrave t i agrave dua ng agra	the naree	agr righ form agr trea onside	ee that ee tmentering	neut eive best neut that my ag e. neut	psycomeetral : is	dicholo ts my di righ	sagre sagre sagre r, c	eee la adds.	ver  and em  ver  ne as  cure,	otion  y dis  an  goals	agre nal agre agre	e e d my
fro  12. sup  13. ind cha  14. car	m sivery I have been been been been been been been be	age	the n a ree l for need l for in	agr righ form agr trea onside agr	ee that ee timent ee cort(fonger	neut eive best neut that my ag e. neut	psycomeetral is is ral cial	cholo ts my di righ gende	sagre sagre sagre r, c	eee la ads.	ver and em ver ne as cure, ver	otion  y dis  an  goals	agre  agre  agre  ure	e d my e

15. I	need	for	support	to	return	to work	and/	or to	other	
activ	ities	s I r	nay choo	se t	to part	icipate	in at	fter my	y strok	e.
ver	y agre	ee	agree	r	neutral	disag	ree	very	disagre	е
16. I	need	for	treatme	nt r	regardle	ess of f	inand	cial s	ituatio	n,
gende	r, cu	ıltuı	re or pl	ace	that I	live.				
ver	y agre	ee	agree	r	neutral	disag	ree	very	disagre	е
17. I	need	for	formal	and	informa	al advoc	acy 1	to ass	ist me	with
acces	s to	the	service	s I	need.					
ver	y agre	ee	agree	∐r	neutral	disag	ree	very	disagre	е

# STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *cross-sectional studies*

	Item No	Recommendation	
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	✓
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was	<b>√</b>
		done and what was found	
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	✓
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	✓
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	✓
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of	✓
		recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of	✓
		participants	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and	✓
		effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of	NA
measurement		assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if	
D.	0	there is more than one group	
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	<u>√</u>
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	✓
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for	<b>√</b>
Statistical methods	12	confounding	V
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	<b>√</b>
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	NA
		(d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	<b>√</b>
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	✓
Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially	✓
		eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study,	
		completing follow-up, and analysed	
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	NA
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	NA
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social)	✓
_		and information on exposures and potential confounders	
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	NA
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	✓
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates	✓
		and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders	
		were adjusted for and why they were included	
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	✓
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for	✓

**Note:** An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

<sup>\*</sup>Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

# **BMJ Open**

# Needs and rights awareness of stroke survivors and caregivers: a cross-sectional, single-centre questionnaire survey

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2016-013210.R2
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	19-Feb-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Li, Xin; The Second Hospital of Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, China, Neurology Xia, Xiaoshuang; The Second Hospital of Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, China, Neurology Wang, Peilu; The Second Hospital of Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, China, Neurology Zhang, Shuting; Stroke Clinical Research Unit; West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China, Neurology Liu, M; Stroke Clinical Research Unit; West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China, Neurology Wang, Lin; The Second Hospital of Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, China, Geratology
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Neurology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Public health, Patient-centred medicine, Mental health, Medical education and training
Keywords:	needs, patients rights, Stroke < NEUROLOGY, caregivers, survey

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts

4

1	
2	
3	
3 4	
5	
5 6 7	
7	
8	
9	
40	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	
19	
20	
21	
22	
22 23 24	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
43 44	
4 <del>4</del> 45	
45 46	
46 47	
48	
49 50	
50	
51	

2	Needs and rights awareness of stroke survivors and caregivers: a
3	cross-sectional, single-centre questionnaire survey

- 5 Xin Li, <sup>1</sup> Xiaoshuang Xia, <sup>1</sup> Peilu Wang, <sup>1</sup> Shuting Zhang, <sup>2</sup> Ming Liu, <sup>2</sup> Lin Wang<sup>3</sup>
- 6 Department of Neurology, The Second Hospital of Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin,
- 7 China
- <sup>2</sup>Stroke Clinical Research Unit, Department of Neurology, West China Hospital, Sichuan
- 9 University, Chengdu, China
- <sup>3</sup>Department of Geratology, The Second Hospital of Tianjin Medical University and Tianjin
- 11 Geriatric Institute, Tianjin, China
- 12 Correspondence to:
- 13 Xin Li, MD, PhD, Department of Neurology, The Second Hospital of Tianjin Medical
- 14 University, No 22, Pingjiang Road, Hexi District, Tianjin 300211, China, lixinsci@126.com
- or Ming Liu, MD, PhD, Stroke Clinical Research Unit, Department of Neurology, West China
- Hospital, Sichuan University, No 37, Guo Xue Xiang, Chengdu 610041, China,
- wyplmh@hotmail.com,
- 19 Key words: needs, patient rights, stroke, caregivers, survey
- 20 Word count: 4623

21

52 53 54

60

- 2 Objectives: The needs and rights awareness of stroke survivors have not been reported in
- 3 China. This study investigated the needs and rights awareness of stroke survivors and
- 4 caregivers in Tianjin, China.
- 5 Setting: A survey launched by the World Stroke Organization (WSO) was conducted in
- 6 Tianjin, China. The questionnaire included demands for psychological support, treatment and
- 7 care, social support, and information. Stroke survivors and their caregivers were interviewed
- 8 face to face for the questionnaire. Between June 2014 and February 2015, stroke survivors
- 9 were invited to participate if they were over 18 years old and had experienced a stroke.
- 10 Exclusion criteria were patients who had disorders of consciousness, significant cognitive
- impairment, aphasia, communication difficulties or psychiatric disorders. Only caregivers
- who were family members of the patients were chosen. Paid caregivers were excluded.
- *Participants:* Two hundred forty-eight stroke survivors and 212 caregivers were enrolled.
- *Primary outcome measures:* The correlations between levels of needs and potential effect
- 15 factors were analysed. Levels of different needs were compared by age, gender and time
- since stroke.
- **Results:** Among the cohort, 95.6% stroke survivors and 92.5% caregivers agreed to each
- 18 question in the questionnaire. The participants prioritised the needs for psychological support
- 19 (99.4%), treatment and care (98.6%), social support (98%), and information (96.2%). The
- total score was negatively correlated with age (r=-0.255, P<0.01). Patients under 65 years old
- had higher scores than those 65 years or older (P<0.01), while male patients had higher
- scores than female patients (P < 0.01).

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

- *Conclusions*: The needs for psychological and emotional support, individual treatment, social
- 2 support and information about stroke were eagerly reported by most survivors. The Bill of
- Rights must be recognised by the Chinese society, providing appropriate stroke care to every
- 4 patient to optimise stroke outcomes.
  - Strengths and limitations of this study
- 7 Stroke survivors and caregivers did not have their own bill of rights when this study was
- 8 performed, and their needs and rights awareness have not been reported in China.
- 9 The demands for psychological and emotional support, individual treatment, social
- support and information were eagerly reported by most stroke survivors.
- 11 The stroke survivors in China had the strongest demand for emotional and psychological
- support, which should be recognised.
- Limitations include potential bias due to the exclusion of patients with severe language
- or cognitive impairment. The number of participants was also limited. Further
- multiple-centre and large-sample research studies may be needed.

#### INTRODUCTION

- Stroke is a major cause of death and disability worldwide. The burden of stroke is
- particularly serious in Asia[1], and its mortality is higher than that in Europe or North
- America[2]. In China, it is the leading cause of death and disability[3]. Stroke survivors cope
- 21 with significant physical, cognitive and emotional deficits, and 25% to 74% of these
- survivors require some assistance or are fully dependent on their caregivers for activities of

daily living[4]. Although much has been done to control the disease, the stroke survivors' needs and rights have not received adequate attention. Bills of patient rights for several diseases have been developed to achieve higher degrees of patient satisfaction, such as for cancer[5] and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease[6]. Stroke survivors did not have their own bill of rights until the World Stroke Organization (WSO) realised this urgent need and framed a global bill of rights for stroke patients[7]. The WSO has numerous strategies to increase stroke awareness, including influencing policies for stroke prevention and improved health services, providing education, and fostering the development of systems and organisations for the long-term support of stroke survivors and their families[8]. To determine what stroke survivors and caregivers require, the WSO has launched an online survey (https://www.surveymonkey.com/s/WSOStrokeSurvivor-Chinese and

https://www.surveymonkey.com/s/WSOStrokeCarer-Chinese).

This survey was used in this study to investigate the needs and rights awareness in Chinese stroke survivors and caregivers. This study was aimed to provide a reference for the improvement of stroke-related laws and bills, which could provide the stroke survivor with physical, mental and emotional support.

#### **METHODS**

# Study population

- This study was approved by our local Ethics Committee at the Second Hospital of Tianjin Medical University. All patients and their caregivers gave informed consent.
- Between June 2014 and February 2015, 248 stroke survivors and 212 caregivers from

Caregivers who had been taking care of the stroke patients who met the above criteria were recruited. Only caregivers who were family members of the patients were chosen. Paid caregivers were excluded.

#### Development of the survey

The questionnaire was adapted from that designed by the WSO. Stroke survivors and caregivers were interviewed face to face during the patients' follow-up by well-trained neurologists who were not the patients' treating doctors.

The final questionnaire included 17 questions covering age, gender, level of education, time since the first stroke, and demands for treatment and information about stroke and psychological and social support. Fourteen of the questions had five choices for each question. The five choices were strongly agree, agree, neutral, disagree and strongly disagree. The Likert 5-grade score method was used to assign 1-5 points; higher scores indicated a greater degree of demand. The purpose of the survey and the procedure were explained fully to all participants.

The questionnaire translated to Chinese was tested again for the reliability in our population. The scales reliability of the stroke survivors' questionnaire was assessed with a total Cronbach's  $\alpha$  of 0.910, corrected by inter-item correlation above 0.70. The scales reliability of the stroke caregivers' questionnaire was assessed with a total Cronbach's  $\alpha$  of 0.817, corrected by inter-item correlation f above 0.70. The Cronbach's  $\alpha$  values were good for all scales for the study.

#### Statistical Analysis

Frequencies and proportions were used to summarize levels of answers. The Spearman Rank Relational Coefficient was used to analyze the correlations between levels of needs and potential effect factors. Levels of different needs were compared by age, gender, and time since stroke. Categorical variables are displayed as frequencies and percentages. Categorical variables were analyzed using a chi-square ( $\chi^2$ ) test. Comparisons between groups were made using the Mann–Whitney U test. Statistical tests were performed at the 95% confidence level.

#### RESULTS

#### Study population

- The descriptive characteristics of stroke survivors and caregivers are summarised in Table 1.
- The 248 stroke survivors included 123 women and 125 men between the ages of 33 and 92, with a mean age of 69.5. The 212 caregivers included 117 women and 95 men between the ages of 20 and 88, with a mean age of 52.6. Stroke survivors they cared for were aged

#### Table 1 Characteristics of stroke survivors and caregivers

		Stroke Survivors	Stroke Caregivers
		N (%)	N (%)
Total		248	212
Age			
	<45	3(1.2%)	49(23.1%)
	45-54	29(11.7%)	69(32.5%)
	55-64	54(21.8%)	65(30.7%)
	65-74	63(25.4%)	21(9.9%)
	75-84	73(29.4%)	5(2.4%)
	≥85	26(10.5%)	3(1.4%)
Gender			
	Male	125(50.4%)	95(44.8%)
	Female	123(49.6%)	117 (55.2%)
Time since stro	ke		
	<1y	170(68.5%)	132 (62.3%)
	1-3y	41(16.5%)	37(17.5%)
	4-7y	13(5.2%)	22(10.4%)
	8-10y	6(2.4%)	8(3.8%)
	>10y	18(7.3%)	13(6.1%)
Education			
	<3y	19(7.7%)	2(0.9%)
	3-6y	44(17.7%)	13(6.1%)
	6-9y	70(28.2%)	56(26.4%)
	9-12y	88(35.5%)	93(43.9%)
	>12y	27(10.9%)	48(22.6%)
Type of stroke			
	Ischemic stroke	192(77.4%)	170(80.2%)
		7	

	Hemorrhagic stroke	56(22.6%)	42(19.8%)
NIHSS* Score			
	<4	115(46.4%)	90(42.5%)
	4-15	127(51.2%)	116(54.7%)
	>15	6(2.4%)	6(2.8%)

<sup>\*</sup> NIHSS: National institutes of Health Stroke Scale

 Among the cohort, 95.6% of the stroke survivors approved of each question in the questionnaire. The results of the survey showed that participants prioritised the need for psychological support (99.4%), treatment and care (98.6%), social support (98%) and information (96.2%). The total score and every aspect of need was negatively correlated with age (P<0.01). There were no correlations between needs and type of stroke or National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale score (*P*>0.05). (Table 2)

9 Table 2 The correlations between levels of needs and potential effect factors

	Total		otal Psychological support		Infor	nation	Treatm care	nent and	Social support	
	rho	P	Rho	P	Rh	P	rho	P	Rho	P
					o					
Age	-0.255	< 0.00	-0.211	0.001	-0.221	< 0.00	-0.197	0.002	-0.245	< 0.00
		1				1				1
Gender	-0.14	0.027	-0.184	0.004	0.052	0.419	-0.130	0.041	-0.131	0.039
Time since	-0.044	0.487	0.095	0.135	-0.063	0.324	-0.127	0.046	-0.029	0.647
stroke										
Education	0.099	0.121	-0.000	0.998	0.051	0.427	-0.067	0.293	0.010	0.879
Type of	-0.089	0.162	-0.017	0.791	0.075	0.242	-0.044	0.494	0.005	0.932
stroke										
NIHSS	-0.088	0.169	0.010	0.877	-0.059	0.352	-0.087	0.172	-0.036	0.578
Score										

The total needs and every aspect of the needs of patients under 65 years old were

social support than female patients (P<0.05) (Table 3 and Table 4).

#### 4 Table 3. Needs of stroke survivors by age

	Total	Psychological	Information	Treatment	Social
		support		and care	support
<65	4.7(4.1-5.0)	5.0(4.0-5.0)	4.5(4.0-5.0)	4.6(4.0-5.0)	4.6(4.0-5.0)
≥65	4.2(4.0-4.7)	4.0(4.0-5.0)	4.0(4.0-4.5)	4.2(4.0-4.8)	4.0(4.0-4.8)
Z	-4.179	-3.314	-3.477	-3.090	-3.855
P	< 0.001	0.001	0.001	0.002	< 0.001

# 6 Table 4. Needs of rural stroke survivors by gender

	Total	Psychological	Information	Treatment	Social
		support		and care	support
Male	4.3(4.0-5.0)	4.5(4.0-5.0)	4.0(4.0-5.0)	4.4(4.0-5.0)	4.4(4.0-5.0)
Female	4.1(4.0-4.9)	4.0(4.0-5.0)	4.0(4.0-5.0)	4.2(4.0-4.8)	4.2(4.0-4.8)
Z	-2.210	-2.893	-0.810	-2.043	-2.063
P	0.027	0.004	0.418	0.041	0.039

# Caregivers' opinion

Among the caregivers, 92.5% approved of each question in the questionnaire. Timely diagnosis and treatment appeared to be uppermost in caregivers' minds (99.1%). They also

- 1 cared about financial support to aid in the patient's recovery (98.6%). The total score of
- 2 caregivers was negatively correlated with the age of the caregivers (r=-0.197, P=0.004),
- while positively correlated with levels of education (r=2.259, P<0.001). No correlation was
- 4 found between the scores and gender or time that the patients they cared for experienced their
- 5 first stroke (*P*>0.05).

# DISCUSSION

- In this study, 95.6% stroke survivors and 92.5% of caregivers approved of each question,
- 9 which showed that most patients were eager for a formal declaration of their rights. The
- 10 Global Stroke Bill of Rights, developed by the WSO, sets out the right of each stroke
- survivor to: receive the best stroke care, be informed and prepared, and be supported in their
- recovery[9].

#### Factors that influenced the awareness of needs and rights

- This survey showed that patients under 65 years old had more needs than younger
- patients. The needs and awareness of rights were negatively correlated with the age of the
- patients. Because of different social and domestic duties between younger and older patients,
- younger patients yearned for a higher quality of life after experiencing stroke. They seemed
- 18 keener to acquire knowledge of stroke and appropriate therapies as well as emotional and
- social support. This result did not match the findings of a similar survey conducted in the
- 20 UK[10], which reported that there was no relationship between needs and age. Patients over
- 21 65 years of age constituted 47.5% of the subjects in the British survey, while in this survey,
- 65.3% of the patients were above 65 years old. In addition, unlike other surveys, this survey

found that male patients had a stronger demand for and were more conscious of their rights.

This phenomenon could be due to the traditional thought of "male superiority to female" in

Chinese tradition society. A study in China showed that there was a sex difference in the

social participation domain in Chinese stroke patients, and male patients had higher social

5 desires[11].

Our study is consistent with the UK study[10], where no significant correlation was found between the total scores and levels of education. There was also no correlation between the needs and the time since the first stroke. Similarly, Walsh et al.[12] found no significant difference in time since stroke between those who reported multiple unmet needs and those who reported one or no unmet needs.

## Psychological support

As shown in our results, the questions related to psychological needs received the highest score and the highest support rate, suggesting that stroke survivors in China had the strongest demand for emotional and psychological support. A sudden attack and poor prognosis had an appreciable effect on the psychology of stroke survivors. Some surveys have demonstrated that emotional problems among stroke survivors would be prejudicial to treatment and rehabilitation after stroke[13-15]. As physicians, we usually pay more attention to treating the disease, rather than the emotional needs of the patients. A national survey carried out in Ireland showed that 77% of respondents suffered from emotional problems after stroke, while only 10% of the respondents had received community psychological service[12]. Since emotional and psychological needs are liable to be neglected, post-stroke depression was a common complication which seriously impairs quality of life[16 17].

- 1 Psychological expertise and formal psychological support were needed by stoke
- 2 survivors[18]. The newest version of the Chinese Stroke Guidelines goes further,
- 3 recommending psychological support.

#### 4 Needs for treatment and care

The needs for diagnosis, treatment, care and rehabilitation were second only to the need for psychological support. The strategies should vary depending on the cause, pathophysiological mechanisms, severity and prognosis to reduce the risk of a recurrent event[19]. Patients should be assessed for individual risks, such as atrial fibrillation, in which case antithrombotic therapy oral anticoagulation may be needed for effective stroke prevention[20]. Most stroke survivors suffer from different residual deficits; individualised and optimised care is also needed during inpatient and in chronic care and end-of-life settings[4]. Rehabilitation was usually ignored in busy clinical settings prior to discharge from hospital in China, and there was also a lack of post-discharge rehabilitation services. The patients perceived nurse-led coordination of rehabilitation and ongoing changes of rehabilitation goals in different stages of recovery[21]. A considerable unmet need for poststroke rehabilitation services was also found in other low- and middle-income countries, such as India[22]. As stroke is an emergency and disastrous disease, a timely and appropriate diagnosis and therapy made by specialised neurologists is vital to the survival and recovery of the patients. A well-organised continuing medical education system for community doctors and non-neurologists covering stroke should be established in China[23], as well as a stroke services system in accordance with the WSO Global Stroke Services Guidelines and Action Plan[24], reminding us that stroke awareness, education, prevention and treatment should

# Social support

In this study, the stroke survivors exhibited a desire to return to their full range of activities and roles they had before their stroke, playing an active role in their own lives and in their community. However, there is often a gap between their aspiration and what they actually experience. A cross-sectional study showed that stroke survivors had more participation restrictions[25]. Physical/structural and services/assistance were considered the dominant barriers to and participation in activities of daily life for stroke survivors in China[26]. This research also found that needs had a negative correlation with age. In Ireland, only 23% of those under the age of 66 obtained a full- or part-time job after stroke[11]. Those under retirement age would face a higher financial burden and social responsibility. The age-specific burden of stroke in low-income and middle-income countries is greater than in high-income countries[27]. In our survey, 97.6% of all the stroke survivors expected to receive financial support, much higher than in the Irish survey[11]. Social support should be provided to stroke survivors, including barrier-free facilities and financial support; furthermore, the social security system for stroke survivors needs to be improved in developing countries such as China.

#### Information needs

In the present study, most individuals wanted more information about the signs of stroke, as well as what changes they would face afterwards. With more knowledge of stroke, they could identify the disease immediately, resulting in a decrease in the time from symptom onset to hospital arrival and increase in the number of patients who may receive appropriate

interventions[28]. Knowing the individual's abilities and limitations would help them to prepare adequately for the future. Although stroke is a devastating disease for the individual, family and society, many times their knowledge about stroke goes unheeded. Individuals had an extremely limited knowledge of stroke, which was also reported in other countries[29-32]. A survey among patients with previous stroke or transient ischemic attack in China showed that only 3.3% of patients knew all the stroke warning signs, and only 9.2% indicated that they would seek emergency service[32]. In this study, we also found that younger patients had a stronger need to know about the changes they would face after stroke. Stroke survivors had to adapt to changes in their bodies as a result of stroke and adjust their expectations, including roles within the home and community, particularly for those of working age. Another discovery in our research was that as the level of education increased, so did the request for more information on stroke. Well-educated patients had the desire to learn all they could about stroke. Those with the least understanding of stroke were participants in an Irish survey that only had a primary level of education[33].

Educational strategies to increase stroke knowledge are urgently needed to combat and prevent disease. We have made efforts to educate physicians and patients about stroke to improve the recognition of this condition in China, especially in our hospital. Educational activities have been held every world stroke day over the past few years and once a month this year. Local and regional healthcare workers should put a high premium on health education about stroke, not only to meet the needs of patients, but also to disseminate the knowledge to the public, policymakers and health professionals[34].

#### The stroke caregivers' opinions

A stroke in the family can cause many shifts, whether it is relationship dynamics, finances, home modifications or role changes. The views of caregivers of stroke survivors would provide a valuable reference about the needs and rights of these patients, as the caregivers provide physical and psychological support in the daily life of stroke survivors. Not consistent with the stroke survivors, the caregivers' ultimate concern was treatment and financial support, which revealed the heavy burden the family suffered due to stroke and need for social support. The scores for these questions were negatively correlated to their age, while positively correlated to their level of education. A longitudinal study of caregivers' perspectives found that family caregivers expected to obtain assistance and care-related information[35]. Providing caregivers with relevant information and counseling would be beneficial to the recovery and rehabilitation of stroke survivors.

#### Limitations

First, patients who were not able to express their views due to severe language or cognitive impairment were excluded from the present study. The results could not reflect their needs, which may lead to some bias. Secondly, nearly 70% of stroke survivors who participated in this survey experienced their stroke less than one year ago; therefore, their long-term needs might not have been met accurately. Finally, the number of participants was limited, and data were only collected from one site, which may limit the generalisability of our results. In addition, we did not use in-depth interviews or focus groups to explore the reasons behind the patients' needs. Further multiple-centre and large-sample research studies may be needed in the future to explore the needs of stroke survivors using these techniques.

## Conclusion

The demands of psychological support, treatment and care, social support and information about stroke in China were much more than we expected. Stroke services should consider each individual stroke survivor's needs for psychological and physical care in the whole course of their condition, from the onset of stroke through rehabilitation and reintegration into the community, which requires a more concerted effort across specialists in stroke units, communities and social supports. The Bill of Rights must also be recognised by Chinese society, providing appropriate stroke care to every patient to optimise stroke outcomes.

# Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the World Stroke Organization (WSO), which allowed us to adapt the questionnaire about the rights of stroke survivors and caregivers. We acknowledge the doctors in the Department of Neurology, the Second Hospital of Tianjin Medical University, who gave us help to complete this study.

## 14 Contributorship statement

15 Xin Li contributed conceived and designed the study and revised the manuscript. Ming Liu
16 conceived of the study and revised the manuscript. Xin Li and Ming Liu are
17 co-correspondence authors. Xiaoshuang Xia analysed the data and wrote the manuscript.
18 Peilu Wang collected the data from the survey. Shuting Zhang helped collect the data. Lin
19 Wang helped perform the analysis with constructive discussions.

#### 20 Competing interests

None declared.

#### 22 Funding

- 1 This work is supported by the key project in the Science and Technology Foundation of
- 2 Tianjin Health and Family Planning [15KG136] and the Natural Science Foundation of
- 3 Tianjin[16JCYBJC25500].
- 4 Data sharing statement
- 5 No additional data are available.
- 6 Disclosures:
- 7 None

8 References

- 9 1. Bo Norrving BK. The global burden of stroke and need for a continuum of care. Neurology 2013;**80**(Suppl 2):S5-S12
- 11 2. JS K. Stroke in Asia: a global disaster. International Journal of Stroke 2014;9(7):856-57
- 3. Qian Jia L-PL, Yong-Jun Wang. Stroke in China. Clinical and Experimental Pharmacology and Physiology 2010;**37**:259-64
- 4. Miller EL ML, Richards L, Zorowitz RD, Bakas T, Clark P & Billinger SA. Comprehensive overview of nursing and
   interdisciplinary rehabilitation care of the stroke patient: a scientific statement from the American
   Heart Association. Stroke 2010;41:2402-48
- 5. Lawler M LCT, Banks I, Conte P, De Lorenzo F, Meunier F, Pinedo HM, Selby P, Murphy MJ, Johnston PG;
   European Cancer Concord (ECC). A Bill of Rights for patients with cancer in Europe. Lancet Oncol
   2014;15(3):258-60
- 20 6. L. N. A Bill of "Rights" for patients with COPD: the "right" therapy for the "right" patient at the "right" time.
  21 Thorax 2010;65(1):2-3
- 7. Damrow J, Gaarder K, Chopra S, et al. Global stroke bill of rights. Int J Stroke 2014;**9**(8):964 doi: 10.1111/ijs.12399[published Online First: Epub Date]|.
- 8. Davis S, Norrving B. World Stroke Day: one world voice for stroke. Int J Stroke 2013;8 Suppl A100:2-3 doi:
  10.1111/ijs.12185[published Online First: Epub Date]|.
- Rights TftGBo. World stroke organization web site.
   http://www.world-stroke.org/newsletter/latest-updates/18-news/latest-updates/261-toolkit-for-the-gl
   obal-bill-of-rights:Accessed November 23, 2015.
- 10. McKevitt C FN, Redfern J, Sheldenkar A, Crichton S, Rudd AR, Forster A, Young J, Nazareth I, Silver LE,
   Rothwell PM, Wolfe CD. Self-reported long-term needs after stroke. Stroke 2011;42(5):1398-403
- 31 11. Wu X, Min L, Cong L, Jia Y, Liu C, Zhao H, Liu P, Luo Y. Sex differences in health-related quality of life among 32 adult stroke patients in Northeastern China. J Clin Neurosci 2014; **21**(6):957-61
- 12. Walsh ME GR, Loughnane C, Macey C, Horgan NF. Community re-integration and long-term need in the first
   five years after stroke: results from a national survey. Disabil Rehabil 2014;13:1-5
- 13. Chau JP TD, Chang AM, Woo J, Twinn S, Cheung SK, Kwok T. Depression among Chinese stroke survivors six
   months after discharge from a rehabilitation hospital. J Clin Nurs 2010;19(21-22):3042-50

- 14. Ekstam L JU, Guidetti S, Eriksson G, Ytterberg C. The combined perceptions of people with stroke and their carers regarding rehabilitation needs 1 year after stroke: a mixed methods study. BMJ Open 2015;5(2):e006784
- 15. Matsuzaki S HM, Yuki S, Koyama A, Hirata Y, Ikeda M. The relationship between post-stroke depression and physical recovery. J Affect Disord 2015;**176**:56-60
- 16. Park GY IS, Oh CH, Lee SJ, Pae CU. The association between the severity of poststroke depression and clinical outcomes after first-onset stroke in Korean patients. Gen Hosp Psychiatry 2015;**37**(3):245-50
- 8 17. Broomfield NM QT, Abdul-Rahim AH, Walters MR, Evans JJ. Depression and anxiety symptoms
  9 post-stroke/TIA: prevalence and associations in cross-sectional data from a regional stroke registry.
  10 BMC Neurol 2014;14:198
- 18. Harrison M, Ryan T, Gardiner C, Jones A. Psychological and emotional needs, assessment, and support post-stroke: a multi-perspective qualitative study. Top Stroke Rehabil 2016;**16**:1-7
- 19. Kernan WN OB, Black HR, Bravata DM, Chimowitz MI, Ezekowitz MD, Fang MC, Fisher M, Furie KL, Heck DV,
   Johnston SC, Kasner SE, Kittner SJ, Mitchell PH, Rich MW, Richardson D, Schwamm LH, Wilson JA;
   American Heart Association Stroke Council, Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing, Council on
   Clinical Cardiology, and Council on Peripheral Vascular Disease. Guidelines for the prevention of stroke
   in patients with stroke and transient ischemic attack: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the
   American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke 2014;45(7):2160-236
  - 20. Lip GY LD. Stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation: a systematic review. JAMA 2015;313(19):1950-62
- 21. Chen L, Xiao LD, De Bellis A. First-time stroke survivors and caregivers' perceptions of being engaged in rehabilitation. J Adv Nurs 2016;72(1):73-84
- 22. Kamalakannan S, Gudlavalleti Venkata M, Prost A, Natarajan S, Pant H, Chitalurri N, Goenka S, Kuper H.
   Rehabilitation Needs of Stroke Survivors After Discharge From Hospital in India. Arch Phys Med
   Rehabil 2016;97(9):1526-1532.e9
- 23. Niu JW YJ, Gao S, Xu WH. Low awareness of stroke guidelines and preference for Chinese herbs in community physicians: a national survey in China. Ann Transl Med 2014;**2**(8):76
- 24. Lindsay P, Furie KL, Davis SM, Donnan GA, Norrving B. World Stroke Organization global stroke services
   guidelines and action plan. Int J Stroke 2014;9 Suppl A100:4-13
- 25. Skolarus LE BJ, Brown DL, Freedman VA. Understanding Stroke Survivorship: Expanding the concept of post-stroke disability. Stroke 2014;**45**(1):224-30
- 26. Zhang L, Yan T, You L, Li K. Barriers to activity and participation for stroke survivors in rural China. Archives
   of physical medicine and rehabilitation 2015;96(7):1222-8
- 27. Feigin VL FM, Krishnamurthi R, Mensah GA, Connor M, Bennett DA, Moran AE, Sacco RL, Anderson L,
   Truelsen T, O'Donnell M, Venketasubramanian N, Barker-Collo S, Lawes CM, Wang W, Shinohara Y, Witt
   E, Ezzati M, Naghavi M, Murray C; Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors Study 2010
   (GBD 2010) and the GBD Stroke Experts Group. Global and regional burden of stroke during
   1990–2010: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet 2014;383(9913):245-54
- 38 28. Hachinski V, Donnan GA, Gorelick PB, et al. Stroke: working toward a prioritized world agenda. Stroke 39 2010;**41**(6):1084-99
- 29. Nakibuuka J SM, Katabira E, Ddumba E, Byakika-Tusiime J, Furlan AJ. Knowledge and Perception of Stroke: A
   Population-Based Survey in Uganda. ISRN Stroke 2014;2014
- 30. Hickey A OHA, McGee H, Donnellan C, Shelley E, Horgan F, O'Neill D. Stroke awareness in the general population: knowledge of stroke risk factors and warning signs in older adults. BMC Geriatr 2009;**9**:35
- 44 31. Akiyama H HY. Knowledge of transient ischemic attack among the Japanese. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

of	2

2013: <b>22</b> (4):457	7 ( 1

- 32. Zeng Y HG, Yi GH, Huang YJ, Zhang QH, He LL. Knowledge of stroke warning signs and risk factors among patients with previous stroke or TIA in China. J Clin Nurs 2012;21(19-20):2886-95
- 33. Hickey A HD, McGee H, Conroy R, Shelley E. Knowledge of stroke risk factors and warning signs in Ireland:
- 34. Hachinski V. World Stroke Day Proclamation. stroke 2008;39:2409-20
- 35. Tsai PC YP, Tai JJ, Lou MF. Needs of family caregivers of stroke patients: a longitudinal study of caregivers'



1	
2	
3	
4 5 6 7 8	
5	
e	
7	
1	
8	
9	
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	っつ
1 1 1 1	ں 1
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1 1 1	8
1	q
2	ó
2	1
2	ı ص
_	_
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7
2	R
2	a
2	2
ა ი	J
ა ~	1
3	2
	_
3	3
3	3 4
3 3 3	3 4 5
3 3 3	3 4 5 6
3 3 3 3	3 4 5 6 7
3 3 3 3	3 4 5 6 7
333333	3 4 5 6 7 8 9
3333	3 4 5 6 7 8 9
4	0
4	0
4	0
4 4 4	0 1 2 3
4 4 4 4	0 1 2 3 4
4 4 4 4	0 1 2 3 4
4 4 4 4	0 1 2 3 4
4 4 4 4 4	0123456
4 4 4 4 4	012345 67
4 4 4 4 4	012345678
44444444	0123456789
44444445	01234567890
44444445	01234567890
4444444555	0123456789012
44444445555	01234567890123
444444455555	012345678901234
444444455555	012345678901234
444444455555	012345678901234
444444455555	1234567890123456

AGE:		EDUCAT	ION:		
ID:		DIAGNOSIS:		NIHSS SCORE:	
The Wor	ld Stroke	e Organizat	ion is mak	king a Bill of Ri	ghts for stroke patients
It will he	elp The E	Bill of Right	ts forms t	he basis to en	sure that every patien
has acce	ss to all	elements o	f appropr	iate stroke care	e, to optimize their bes
chance of	of surviva	al and reco	very. The	Stroke Bill of R	ights was developed b
a group	of stroke	survivors	and care	givers from ea	ch region of the world
They ne	ed help	to find ou	t what ar	e the most im	portant things in the
recovery	v. They w	ill be very	appreciate	ed if you take !	5-10 minutes to answe
this surv	ey. You	need to fin	ish all the	e 17 questions	This is for the patients
and the	other is f	for the care	ers.		
1. What	: is you	ur age?			
18 -	29	50 - 5	9	80-89	
30 -	39	60 - 6	9	90-99	
40 -	49	70 - 7	9	above 100	
2. What	is your	sex?			
male				female	
3. How I	ong has	it been	since y	ou had your	first stroke?
Withi	n3 year	3-6 ye	ars	6-9years	9-12yesrs above12
years			<u></u>		
4. I nee	d for i	informati	on about	the signs o	of stroke.
very	agree	agree	neutral	disagree	very disagree
5. I nee	d for a	a rapid d	iagnosis	so I can be	treated quickly.
very	agree	agree	neutral	disagree	very disagree
6. I nee	d for t	treatment	bv a sp	ecialized te	eam at all atages
				uring rehabi	
very		agree	neutral	disagree	

•	_
1	
3	
4	
5	
6 7 8	
/	
a	
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4 5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1 つ
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	012345678901234567890123456
2	o a
3	0
3	1
3	2
3	3
3	4
3	ร ค
3	7
3	8
3	9
4	0
4	
4	3
4	4
4	
4	
4	7
4	8 9
5	
	1
5	2
5	3
_	4
5 5	-
J	J

7. I need for informations about what has happened to me and
about living with stroke fo as long as I require it.
very agree agree neutral disagree very disagree
8. I have the right to be induced in all aspects of society
regardless of any disability I may have.
very agree agree neutral disagree very disagree
9. I need for psychological supports to provide hope for the
best possible recovery I can make now and into the future.
very agree agree neutral disagree very disagree
10. I need for considerate care.
very agree agree neutral disagree very disagree
11. I need for Communications with other stroke suvivors and
caregivers so I may gain and provide support in my recovery
from stroke.
very agree agree neutral disagree very disagree
12. I have the right receive psychological and emotional
support in a form that best meets my needs.
very agree agree neutral disagree very disagree
13. I need for treatment that is right for me as an
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
individual considering my age, gender, culture, goals and my
individual considering my age, gender, culture, goals and my
individual considering my age, gender, culture, goals and my changing needs over time.
13. I need for treatment that is right for me as an individual considering my age, gender, culture, goals and my changing needs over time.  Very agree agree neutral disagree very disagree  14. I need for support (financial or otherwise) to ensure I am cared for in the longer term.

15. I	need	for	support	to	return	to w	ork an	d/or	to o	ther
activ	/ities	s I n	may choos	se t	o part	icipa <sup>.</sup>	te in	after	my	stroke.
ver	ry agr	ee	agree	r	neutral	d i	sagree	ve	ry d	isagree
			treatme		_			ncial	sit	uation,
			re or pla			live.				
ver	ry agr	ee	agree	r	eutral	d i	sagree	ve	ry d	isagree
17. I	need	for	formal	and	inform	al ad	vocacy	to a	ssis	t me with
acces	ss to	the	services	s I	need.					
ver	ry agr	ee	agree	∐r	eutral	d i	sagree	ve	ry d	isagree

Care		Item No	Recommendation	
the title or the abstract (6) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found  Introduction  Background/rationale  2 Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported  Objectives  3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses page 4, lines 13–16  Methods  Study design  4 Present key elements of study design early in the paper page 5, lines 13–15  Setting  5 Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including page 4, lines 22 page 5, lines 12–2  Participants  6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants  Variables  7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable  Data sources/  measurement  8 For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if their is more than one group  Bias  9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias page 5, lines 10–11 page 5, lines 13–15  Study size  10 Explain how the study size was arrived at  Quantitative  11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why  Statistical methods  12 (6) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions  (c) Explain how missing data were addressed  (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy  (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses  Results  Results  Participants  13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg nage 6, lines14  (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage  (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage  (c) Consider use of a flow diagram  NA  Descriptive data  14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, page 6, lines18–22 clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential	Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in	page 1, lines 2-3
Introduction  Background/rationale 2 Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported  Objectives 3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses page 4, lines 1–10 investigation being reported  Objectives 3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses page 4, lines 13–16  Methods  Study design 4 Present key elements of study design early in the paper page 5, lines 13–15  Setting 5 Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection page 4, lines 22 page 5, lines 1–2  Participants 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants  Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, prodictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable  Data sources/ 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment methods if there is more than one group  Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias page 5, lines 10–11  Explain how the study size was arrived at page 5, lines 1–6  Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at page 6, lines 1–6  Wariables 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why  Statistical methods (c) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions (c) Explain how missing data were addressed NA (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses page 6, lines 14  Results  Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage NA NA participants (c) Consider use of a flow diagram page 6, lines 12 page 7, lines 1 page 6, lines 14* (a)			• •	
Introduction  Background/rationale 2 Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported  Objectives 3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses page 4, lines 13–16  Methods  Study design 4 Present key elements of study design early in the paper page 5, lines 13–15  Setting 5 Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including page 4, lines 22 page 5, lines 12–2  Participants 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants  Other of Clearly define all outcomes, exposure, follow-up, and data collection page 5, lines 14–12  Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable  Data sources/ 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group  Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias page 5, lines 10–11  Explain how the study size was arrived at page 5, lines 1–6  Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at page 6, lines 1–6  Wariables 12 (a) Describe any elforts be which groupings were chosen and why  Statistical methods (b) Describe any sensitivity analyses were handled in the analyses, If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of control for confounding (b) Describe any sensitivity analyses page 6, lines 1–13 ampling strategy (c) Describe any sensitivity analyses page 6, lines 14  Results  Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage (c) Consider use of a flow diagram  Descriptive data 14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, page 6, lines 12 clinical, social) and information on expos			(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced	page 2
Introduction Background/rationale Background/rationale Background/rationale 2 Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported Objectives 3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Page 4, lines 13–16  Methods Study design 4 Present key elements of study design early in the paper page 5, lines 13–15 Setting 5 Describe the settling, locations, and relevant dates, including page 4, lines 22 page 5, lines 12–2 page 5, lines 12–2 page 5, lines 12–2 page 5, lines 4–11 selection of participants Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of data and details of methods of assessment methods if there is more than one group  Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Page 5, lines 10–11 page 5, lines 13–15 Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why  Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of page 6, lines 11–13 interactions (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage (c) Consider use of a flow diagram  Descriptive data  14 (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, page 6, lines 12–22 clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential			summary of what was done and what was found	
Objectives 3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses  Methods  Study design 4 Present key elements of study design early in the paper  page 5, lines 13–15  Setting 5 Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including  periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection  page 4, lines 22  page 5, lines 14–12  page 5, lines 14–12   Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential  confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if  applicable   Data sources/ 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of  methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability  of assessment methods if there is more than one group   Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias  page 5, lines 10–11  page 5, lines 10–11  page 5, lines 10–11  page 5, lines 13–15  Page 6, lines 13–15  Page 6, lines 13–16  Page 6, lines 13–15  Page 6, lines 13–15  Page 6, lines 11–13  interactions  (c) Explain how the study size was arrived at  page 6, lines 1–1  (c) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and  interactions  (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of  sampling strategy  (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses   Results  Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg  numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility,  confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow- up, and analysed  (b) Give reasons for non-participants (eg demographic,  colinical, social) and information on exposures and potential  page 6, lines10–22  elines 10–21  page 6, lines10–22  elines 10–22  elines 10–22  elines 10–22  elines 11–13  page 6, lines 10–22  elines 11–13  interactions  13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage  14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic,  colinical, social) and information on exposures and potential	Introduction		·	
State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses   page 4, lines 13–16	Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the	page 4, lines 1-10
Study design   4   Present key elements of study design early in the paper   page 5, lines 13–15			investigation being reported	
Study design 4 Present key elements of study design early in the paper page 5, lines 13–15 Setting 5 Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection page 4, lines 22 page 5, lines 1–2 Participants 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Data sources/ 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias page 5, lines 10–11 page 5, lines 13–15 Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at page 6, lines 2–4 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions (c) Explain how missing data were addressed NA (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses page 6, lines12  Results  Results  Results  Results  Results  Results  (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage (c) Consider use of a flow diagram NA (d) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential  14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential	Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	page 4, lines 13-16
Setting 5 Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection page 5, lines1-2 page 5, lines1-1 page 6, lines1-1 page	Methods			
Setting 5 Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection page 5, lines 1-2 page 5, lines 4-11 selection of participants  Orall Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable  Oral a sources/ 8 For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group  Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias page 5, lines 10-11 page 5, lines 13-15  Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at page 6, lines 1-6  analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why  Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding  (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions  (c) Explain how missing data were addressed NA  (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses page 6, lines 14  Results  Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for cligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed  (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage (b) NA  (c) Consider use of a flow diagram NA  Describive data 14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential page 6, lines 18-22 clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential	Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	page 5, lines 13-15
Participants  6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants  Variables  7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable  Data sources/  8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group  Bias  9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias  Page 5, lines 10–12  Explain how the study size was arrived at page 5, lines 13–15  Study size  10 Explain how the study size was arrived at page 6, lines 1–6  Quantitative  variables  11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why  Statistical methods  (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding  (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions  (c) Explain how missing data were addressed  (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy  (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses  Results  Participants  13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed  (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage  (c) Consider use of a flow diagram  NA  Descriptive data  14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential  page 5, lines 1–12  page 5, lines 1–22  page 6, lines 18–22  page 6, lines 18  Page 6, lines 18–22  page 6, lines 18–22  page 6, lines 18–22	Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including	
Participants 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants  Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable  Data sources/ 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group  Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias page 5, lines10-11 page 5, lines10-11 page 5, lines13-15  Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at page 5, lines 1-6  Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why  Statistical methods (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions  (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses page 6, lines12  Results  Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage (c) Consider use of a flow diagram NA  Descriptive data 14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential page 6, lines18-22 page 7, lines 1	-		periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	page 5, lines1-2
Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable  Data sources/ measurement  8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group  Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias  Page 5, lines 10–11  page 6, lines 1–10  Explain how the study size was arrived at page 6, lines 1–6  variables  11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why  Statistical methods  12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding  (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions  (c) Explain how missing data were addressed  (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy  (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses  Results  Participants  13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed  (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage  (c) Consider use of a flow diagram  NA  Descriptive data  14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential  14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential	Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of	
Confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable  Data sources/ measurement  Bias  9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias page 5, lines10-11 page 5, lines10-15  Study size  10 Explain how the study size was arrived at page 6, lines 1-6  variables  11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why  Statistical methods  12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding  (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions  (c) Explain how missing data were addressed  (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy  (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses  Participants  13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed  (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage  (c) Consider use of a flow diagram  NA  Descriptive data  14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential  page 6, lines 10-11  page 5, lines 10-11  page 6, lines 10-11  page 6, lines 11-13	_		selection of participants	
Data sources/ measurement	Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential	page 5, lines 16-22
Data sources/ measurement  8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group  Bias  9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias  Page 5, lines10-11 page 5, lines13-15  Study size  10 Explain how the study size was arrived at  Quantitative  11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why  Statistical methods  12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding  (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions  (c) Explain how missing data were addressed  (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy  (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses  Participants  13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed  (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage  (c) Consider use of a flow diagram  NA  Descriptive data  14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential  page 5, lines10-11 page 6, lines9-14 page 6, lines11-13 interactions  (c) Explain how missing data were addressed NA (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses page 6, lines10-11 page 6, lines10			confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if	
measurement methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group  Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias page 5, lines 10–11 page 5, lines 13–15  Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at page 6, lines 2–4  Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why  Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions (c) Explain how missing data were addressed NA  (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses page 6, lines14  Results  Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage NA  Descriptive data 14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential page 7, lines 1				
Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias page 5, lines10-11 page 5, lines13-15  Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at page 6, lines 2-4  Quantitative variables Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why  Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions (c) Explain how missing data were addressed NA  (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses page 6, lines14  Results  Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage NA  Descriptive data 14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential page 5, lines10-11 page 5, lines 10-11 page 5, lines 10-10 page 6, lines 1-6 variables were handled in the analyses of bage 6, lines 1-6 variables were handled in the study.  Page 6, lines 1-13 page 6, lines 1-14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential page 7, lines 1	Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of	NA
Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias page 5, lines10-11 page 5, lines13-15  Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at page 5, lines 2-4  Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why  Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions (c) Explain how missing data were addressed NA  (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses page 6, lines14  Results  Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage NA  Descriptive data 14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential page 7, lines 1	measurement		methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability	
Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias page 5, lines10-11 page 5, lines13-15  Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at page 5, lines 2-4  Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why  Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions (c) Explain how missing data were addressed NA  (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses page 6, lines14  Results  Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage NA  Descriptive data 14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential page 7, lines 1				
Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at page 5, lines 13–15  Study size 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the variables analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why  Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions (c) Explain how missing data were addressed NA (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses page 6, lines14  Results  Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage NA  Descriptive data 14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential page 7, lines 1	Bias	9		page 5, lines10-11
Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at page 5, lines 2-4  Quantitative variables 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why  Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions (c) Explain how missing data were addressed NA  (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses page 6, lines14  Results  Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage NA  Descriptive data 14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential page 7, lines 1				
Statistical methods  12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses  Participants  13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage (c) Consider use of a flow diagram  NA  Descriptive data  14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential  page 6, lines11-13  page 6, lines12-21  page 6, lines20-21  NA  NA  Page 6, lines20-21  page 6, lines20-21  page 6, lines20-21  page 6, lines20-21	Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	
Statistical methods  12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses  Participants  13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage (c) Consider use of a flow diagram  NA  Descriptive data  14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential  page 6, lines11-13  page 6, lines12-21  page 6, lines20-21  NA  NA  Page 6, lines20-21  page 6, lines20-21  page 6, lines20-21  page 6, lines20-21	Quantitative	11		
Statistical methods    12	variables			
control for confounding  (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions  (c) Explain how missing data were addressed  (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy  (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses  Participants  13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed  (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage  (c) Consider use of a flow diagram  NA  Descriptive data  14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential  page 6, lines11-13  NA  NA  Descriptive data				
(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions  (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of page 6, lines12 sampling strategy (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses  Participants  13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage (c) Consider use of a flow diagram  NA  Descriptive data  14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential  page 6, lines11–13  NA  Page 6, lines12–21  NA  NA  Page 6, lines18–22  clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential  page 7, lines 1	Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to	page 6, lines9-14
interactions  (c) Explain how missing data were addressed NA  (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of page 6, lines12 sampling strategy (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses  Participants  13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage NA (c) Consider use of a flow diagram  NA  Descriptive data  14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, page 6, lines18–22 clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential  page 7, lines 1				
interactions  (c) Explain how missing data were addressed NA  (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of page 6, lines12 sampling strategy  (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses  Participants  13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed  (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage NA  (c) Consider use of a flow diagram  NA  Descriptive data  14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, page 6, lines18–22 clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential  page 7, lines 1			(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and	page 6, lines11-13
(d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy  (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses page 6, lines12  Results  Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed  (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage NA  (c) Consider use of a flow diagram NA  Descriptive data 14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential page 7, lines 1			interactions	
sampling strategy  (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses page 6, lines14  Results  Participants  13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed  (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage NA  (c) Consider use of a flow diagram  NA  Descriptive data  14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, page 6, lines18–22 clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential page 7, lines 1			(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	NA
(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses page 6, lines14  Results  Participants  13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed  (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage (c) Consider use of a flow diagram  NA  Descriptive data  14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, page 6, lines18–22 clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential  page 7, lines 1			(d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of	page 6, lines12
(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses page 6, lines14  Results  Participants  13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed  (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage (c) Consider use of a flow diagram  NA  Descriptive data  14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, page 6, lines18–22 clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential  page 7, lines 1			sampling strategy	
Participants  13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed  (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage  (c) Consider use of a flow diagram  NA  Descriptive data  14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, page 6, lines18–22 clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential  page 7, lines 1				page 6, lines14
Participants  13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow- up, and analysed  (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage NA (c) Consider use of a flow diagram NA  Descriptive data  14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, page 6, lines18–22 clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential page 7, lines 1	Results			
numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow- up, and analysed  (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage NA (c) Consider use of a flow diagram NA  Descriptive data  14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, page 6, lines18–22 clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential page 7, lines 1	Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg	page 6, lines20-21
confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow- up, and analysed  (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage NA (c) Consider use of a flow diagram NA  Descriptive data  14*  (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, page 6, lines18–22 clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential page 7, lines 1	•			- <del>-</del> /
up, and analysed  (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage NA  (c) Consider use of a flow diagram  NA  Descriptive data  14*  (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential  page 7, lines 1				
(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage NA (c) Consider use of a flow diagram NA  Descriptive data 14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential page 7, lines 1				
(c) Consider use of a flow diagram  NA  Descriptive data  14*  (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential page 7, lines 1				NA
Descriptive data  14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, page 6, lines18–22 clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential page 7, lines 1				
clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential page 7, lines 1	Descriptive data	14*		
	1			
			confounders	Table 1

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013210 on 5 October 2017. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 11, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES) .

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	NA
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	page 8, lines3-6
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder- adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	page 8, lines9
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	page 8, lines11 page 9, lines 1
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	NA
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	page 9, lines2-4
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	page 10, lines13-22 page 11-14 page 15, lines1-11
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	page 15, lines13-21
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	page 16, lines1-6
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	page 16, lines6-8
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	page 17, lines1-3

<sup>\*</sup>Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

**Note:** An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.