

# Risk factors for stress fracture in female endurance athletes: a retrospective cohort study

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2012-001920
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	10-Aug-2012
Complete List of Authors:	Duckham, Rachel; Loughborough University, School of Sport, Health and Exercise Sciences Peirce, Nicholas; Nottingham University Hospitals Trust, ; England and Wales Cricket Board, Meyer, Caroline; Loughborough University, School of Sport Exercise and Health Sciences Summers, Gregory; Royal Derby Hospital Trust, Cameron, Noël; Loughborough University, School of Sport, Health and Exercise Sciences Brooke-Wavell, Katherine; Loughborough University, Dept of Human Sciences
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Sports and exercise medicine
Secondary Subject Heading:	Communication, Sports and exercise medicine
Keywords:	Eating Disorders, Compulsive exercise, Amenorrhoea, endurance Athletes



# **BMJ Open**

Duckha	m R.L <sup>1</sup> , Peirce N <sup>2</sup> , Meyer C <sup>4</sup> , Summers G <sup>3</sup> , Cameron N <sup>1</sup> , Brooke-Wavell
Institutional	l affiliation of authors:
<sup>1</sup> Centre for ( Loughboroug	Global Health and Human Development, Loughborough University, SSEl gh, Leicestershire, LE11 3TU, United Kingdom
<sup>2</sup> Nottingham Loughboroug	n University Hospitals NHS Trust/ England and Wales Cricket Board, gh, United Kingdom
<sup>3</sup> Royal Derb	y Hospital, Derbyshire, United Kingdom
<sup>4.</sup> Loughboro Loughborouş	ugh University, Centre for Research into Eating Disorders (LUCRED), gh, United Kingdom
Correspond	ing Author: Dr. Rachel Duckham
Correspond	ing Author Address:
School of Sp	ort, Exercise and Health Sciences
Loughborou	gh University
Leicestershir	e
LE11 3TU, U	JK
Telephone:	+44 1509 222749
Fax:	+44 1509 223940
Email:	r.l.duckham@lboro.ac.uk
Key words:	compulsive exercise, amenorrhoea, eating psychopathology, eating d
endurance a	thletes
Word Coun	t: 2,929

# **Article Summary**

# **Article Focus**

- 1. To identify the factors related to stress fracture in female endurance athletes,
- 2. To evaluate associations of eating and exercise behaviours with stress fracture and to determine whether associations are independent of previously recognised risk factors.

# **Key Points**

- 1. Compulsive exercise and lower leg lean tissue mass, as well as amenorrhoea, are independently related to stress fracture risk
- 2. Eating disorder psychopathology was associated with increased risk of stress fracture in endurance athletes, but this may be mediated by menstrual dysfunction and compulsive exercise.

# **Strengths and Limitations**

- 1. Strength; the assessment of the Compulsive Exercise test (CET) and a more robust measure of eating psychopathology determined that compulsive exercise and eating psychopathology are associated with stress fracture risk.
- 2. Limitation, potential recruitment bias, self-reporting of history of stress fracture and the ability to recall data.
- 3. Limitation; measurements at variable intervals following stress fracture during which time athlete behaviours, and other risk factors, may have changed.

#### Abstract

**Objective:** To identify psychological and physiological correlates of stress fracture in female endurance athletes.

**Design:** A retrospective cohort design was used with history of stress fractures and potential risk factors assessed at one visit.

**Methods:** Female endurance athletes (58 runners and 12 triathletes) aged  $26.0\pm7.4$  years completed questionnaires on stress fracture history, menstrual history, athletic training, eating psychopathology and exercise cognitions. Bone mineral density, body fat content, and lower leg lean tissue mass (LLLTM) were assessed using dual x-ray absorptiometry. Variables were compared between athletes with a history of stress fracture (SF) and those without (controls; C) using chi-squared, ANOVA and Mann Whitney U tests.

**Results:** Nineteen (27%) athletes had previously been clinically diagnosed with stress fractures. The prevalence of current a/oligomenorrhoea and past amenorrhoea was higher in SF than C (p=0.008 and p=0.035 respectively). SF recorded higher global scores on the eating disorder examination questionnaire (p=0.049) and compulsive exercise test (p=0.006) and had higher LLLTM (p=0.029) compared to C. These findings persisted with weight and height as covariates. In multivariate logistic regression, compulsive exercise, amenorrhoea and LLLTM were significant independent predictors of stress fracture history (p=0.009, 0.005 and 0.37 respectively).

**Conclusion:** Eating psychopathology was associated with increased risk of stress fracture in endurance athletes, but this may be mediated by menstrual dysfunction and compulsive exercise. Compulsive exercise, as well as amenorrhoea, are independently related to stress fracture risk.

# Introduction

Stress fractures occur when microdamage caused by repetitive mechanical load exceeds the biological capacity of the bone (1). Stress fractures are the most common overuse injury in athletes, interrupting training and prematurely ending sporting careers (2). Over 40% of athletes report a history of stress fracture according to some previous research, although prevalence differs markedly between studies (3-5).

Risk factors for stress fracture have been studied in military recruits commencing physically demanding physical training programmes. The risk factors identified have included irregular menses; low bone mineral density (BMD); low lean mass, lower muscle size and strength; poor skeletal alignment (leg length discrepancy); narrow tibial cross sectional area, and low physical fitness compared to those who did not stress fracture (6-9). Whilst these studies have improved our understanding of the aetiology of stress fracture, these risk factors may not translate well to athletes, who are accustomed to training and have high levels of fitness (2). In female athletes, previously identified risk factors for stress fracture include small calf circumference, late age at menarche, menstrual dysfunction (both past and current), low bone density, current disordered eating, and abnormal gait (2, 5, 10-15). However, findings have often been inconsistent (e.g. low bone density is associated with increased risk in some studies but not others) (5).

Female endurance athletes have particularly high prevalence of the female athlete triad, which involves menstrual dysfunction, low bone mineral density and dietary restriction/energy deprivation (16). All of these may be risk factors for stress fractures (12, 13, 15) but previous studies on their role in athletic populations have had small sample sizes and yielded conflicting findings (5, 17). Increased awareness of the female athlete triad in recent decades may have affected management of the female athlete, perhaps to the extent that the associations reported in older studies may no longer persist. The current high prevalence (1-

#### **BMJ Open**

62%) (18) of disordered eating among female athletes could further increase stress fracture risk, as restrictive eating patterns were associated with increased risk in some of the previous studies (2, 19, 20).. Furthermore, restrictive eating patterns may be accompanied by compulsive exercise behaviours (21, 22), but the role of compulsive exercise has not been examined. Eating behaviours may have an independent effect on stress fracture risk, or this may be mediated through related exercise behaviours, menstrual dysfunction or low bone density. It is important to determine whether eating behaviours have an independent effect as this would demonstrate that resolving menstrual dysfunction and low bone density would not entirely resolve the increased risk of stress fracture.

There is thus a need for further research on the role of eating and exercise behaviours in stress fracture risk, using multivariate models to determine whether risk factors act independently. Therefore, this study aimed to identify the factors related to stress fracture in female endurance athletes, to evaluate associations of eating and exercise behaviours with stress fracture and to determine whether associations are independent of previously recognised risk factors.

#### Methods

#### Study design

A retrospective cohort design was used with history of stress fractures and potential risk factors assessed at one visit. Questionnaires were completed to assess stress fracture history, eating psychopathology, dietary intakes, menstrual dysfunction, contraceptive use and training history. A four day diet diary was provided for subsequent completion and return. Bone mineral density (BMD) bone mineral content (BMC), geometric properties and body composition were measured using dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA), and anthropometric measures were taken using standard techniques. The study received ethical

approval from the National Research Ethics Service (NRES) and Loughborough University Ethics Committee. All athletes gave written informed consent.

# **Participants**

Seventy female endurance athletes aged 18-45 years were recruited through the English Institute of Sport, UK athletics, British triathlon and UK registered running and triathlon clubs, via letters, posters, group meetings and word-of-mouth. Athletes were required to either be competing at international, national, or county level or training approximately 8-10 hours per week (runners) or 15-20 hours per week (triathletes) in events from 800m to the marathon or triathlon. Athletes were excluded from the study if they had not currently trained in the last six months and if they were currently pregnant or lactating or had been in the last 12-months.

### **Measurements**

#### **Injury history**

History of stress fractures/stress reactions (repetitive stress injuries on bone) was assessed by questionnaire. These were defined as a fracture/reaction clinically diagnosed by a sports physician and confirmed with a positive diagnosis on X-ray, CT, or MRI. For each stress fracture/reaction, athletes recorded the age when the stress fracture/reaction occurred, the anatomical location, time of year, and method of diagnosis.

### **Menstrual function**

Participants were classified as amenorrheic (0-3 periods per year) oligomenorrhoeic (4-9 periods per year) or eumenorrhoeic ( $\geq 10$  periods per year) based on their menstrual function in the last 12-months (23). Age at menarche, number of periods in the preceding 12 months, duration of a/oligomenorrhoea since menarche, and current use of hormonal contraception (HC) was assessed by questionnaire.

# Eating psychopathology and compulsive exercise cognitions

Athletes completed the Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire (EDE-Q) (23) and the Compulsive Exercise Test (CET) (17). The EDE-Q is a self reported version of the Eating Disorder Examination (EDE), the current gold standard for measuring eating disordered psychopathology (23). The EDE-Q is a 36-item questionnaire comprising four subscales (restraint, eating concern, shape concern, weight concern), a global score and a specific section of diagnostic questions related to bingeing/purging. Each item on the questionnaire is scored from 0-6 with high scores representative of concerns with eating behaviours and attitudes. The CET is a 24-item self reported questionnaire scored from 0-5 with high scores representative of compulsive exercise traits. It is designed to assess four domains of compulsive exercise (compulsivity, affect regulation, weight and shape driven exercise and behavioural rigidity) (17). The CET has not previously been used in competitive athletes but has been validated in a comparable group of young female exercisers (17).

### **Dietary behaviours**

Participants completed a food frequency questionnaire (European Prospective Investigation into Cancer; EPIC FFQ) (24, 25) and a four day diet diary. The EPIC FFQ provides general information of the number of portions consumed of a wide range of foods over the past 12-months. The four day food diary was completed by the participants over three week days and one weekend. The FFQ and the 4-day diet diary were coded and entered into a computerized software package (CompEat Pro, version 5.8) to determine energy, macro- and micro-nutrient intakes.

#### Bone and body composition

DXA was used to measure BMD and BMC of the total body, lumbar spine, femoral neck, and radius (Lunar Prodigy, GE Healthcare, Madison, WI, U.S.A version 12.2). Bone geometry at the femoral neck was estimated using Lunar Advanced Hip Structural Analysis (AHA)

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

algorithms to determine the cross-sectional moment of inertia (CSMI), cross-sectional area (CSA), minimal femoral neck width and section modulus (Z). All scans were conducted on the dominant side as this is reported to be the most prevalent side for injury (13).

# Anthropometric measures

Height and body mass were measured prior to the DXA measurements using standard protocols, via a stadiometer (Holtain Ltd, Pembrokeshire) and beam balance scale (Herbert and Sons Ltd, London) respectively. Body composition (mass of fat, lean and bone) was assessed from a total body DXA scan. The mass of bone-free lean tissue in the lower leg (LLLTM; defined as the region inferior to the knee joint space) was also determined from the DXA scan, as a measure related to calf muscle mass. Athletes were blinded to the body composition results until the end of the study to prevent any possible bias when answering questionnaires related to eating psychopathology. Circumferences of the dominant thigh and calf were measured with a tape measure held horizontally at the midpoint between the inguinal crease and the patella for the thigh, and midpoint between the proximal tibia border and the medial malleolus for the calf (26).

#### Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS18.0 (SPSS Chicago, Illinois, USA). The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to assess normal distribution. Athletes were classified into two groups: those with a history of SF and a control (C) group. Potential risk factors were compared between groups using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Mann-Whitney U-Tests. Chi-squared tests were used to determine whether frequencies of categorical variables differed between SF and C groups. Discriminators of stress fracture identified as statistically significant in univariate analyses were entered into a logistic regression to determine their independent contribution to stress fracture/reaction injury. For this analysis, eating

psychopathology and compulsive exercise variables were re-coded into two subgroups using a median split. Statistical significance was considered at the 5% probability level (p < 0.05).

# Results

70 female athletes (58 runners and 12 triathletes) were recruited, of whom 36% were at national or international standard. A high prevalence of menstrual dysfunction was evident, with 42.9% of athletes categorized as a/oligomenorrhoeic ( $\leq$  9 periods/year) and 57.1% eumenorrhoeic ( $\geq$  10 periods a year). Of the 70 athletes, 21 (30%; 4 a/oligomenorrhoeic and 17 eumenorrhoeic) were currently using hormonal contraceptives (HC).

Nineteen athletes (27%) reported having experienced a total of 24 stress fractures. Common stress fracture sites were the metatarsals (46%), tibia or fibula (38%), calcaneus (13%), and the femur (4%). The mean (SD) age at the first stress fracture was 21.4 (1.4) years, with stress fractures diagnosed on average 3.2 years from the start of training. Age, height, body mass and body fat did not differ between SF and C groups (P > 0.05) (Table 1).

Prevalence of current a/oligomenorrhoea and past amenorrhoea were significantly higher in the SF than C group (chi-squared test p=0.008 and 0.035 respectively; Table 1) although age at menarche did not differ significantly between groups. Dominant lower leg lean tissue mass was significantly higher in the SF than C group (p=0.029), and this finding persisted after adjustment for height and weight. Calf circumference measurements, bone measurements, energy, macronutrient intakes and calcium intakes did not differ between groups (Table 1).

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2012-001920 on 19 November 2012. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

2
2
3
4
5
6
7
0
0
9
10
11
12
12
13
14
15
16
17
17
18
19
20
21
22
22
23
24
25
26
20
21
28
29
30
21
31
32
33
34
35
26
30
37
38
39
40
40
41
42
43
44
45
40
46
47
48
49
50
50
51
52
53
54
54
55
56
57
58
50
59
60

# Table 1: Characteristics of athletes according to stress fracture (SF) history: mean (SD)

Characteristics	Stress Fracture (SF) group (n = 19)	Control (C) group (n = 51)
Age (yrs)	25.6 (6.4)	26.1 (7.8)
Height (m)	1.67 (0.05)	1.67 (0.05)
Weight (kg)	56.2 (4.8)	54.9(6.2)
Body fat (%)	16.6 (4.7)	17.4 (6.4)
Lower Leg lean tissue mass (kg)	2.61 (0.03)	2.46 (0.02)
Calf circumference (cm)	32.0 (2.3)	31.2 (1.80)
Training		
Time since commencing training (yrs)	7.4 (4.3)	7.8 (6.4)
Age at commencing competition (yrs)	18.3 (7.0)	18.4 (8.5)
Weekly training duration (hrs/week)	14.2 (4.3)	12.1 (4.3)
Bone Mineral Density (g/cm <sup>2</sup> )		
Total body	1 160 (0 070)	1 151 (0 064)
Femoral neck	1.068 (0.122)	1 089 (0 107)
Lumbar spine	1.144 (0.157)	1.119 (0.099)
Pour Min and contant (c)	()	()
Bone Mineral content (g)	2482 (205)	2425 (208)
Fomoral neek	2482(303)	2423 (298)
	4.9(0.4)	5.1(0.7)
Lumoai spine	00.3 (12.2)	00.2 (9.9)
Bone Geometry	154 (15)	155 (21)
CSA (mm <sup>2</sup> )	154 (17)	157 (21)
Minimal femoral neck width (mm)	28.9 (2.6)	28.4 (2.1)
Section Modulus (mm <sup>3</sup> )	677 (117)	674 (121)
Menstrual History		
Age at Menarche **	13.9 (1.7)	14.1(2.1)
History of amenorrhoea **	15 (78.9%)	26 (51.0%)
Current a/oligomenorrhoea**	13 (68.4%)	17 (33.3%)
Current eumenorrhoea **	6 (31.6%)	34 (66.7%)
Hormonal Contracantion		
Current hormonal contracention users (HC) **	4 (21.1%)	17 (33 3%)
Current hormonal contraception use in a/oligomenorrhoea (HCA) **	4(21.1%)	3(64.7%)
Equit day dist analysis	SE(n-17)	C(n=44)
	Sr (II=17)	U (II=44)
Energy Intake (kcal)	1862 (688)	18/5 (365)
CHU (%)	55.2 (5.3)	56.6 (5.3)
Protein (%)	14.8 (2.9)	16.2 (3.3)
Fat (%)	30.0 (4.9)	27.2 (5.3)
Calcium (mg)	887 (377)	944 (277)

\*significant difference between stress fracture and control groups: P < 0.05

\*\* Reported as the number of people and percentage

### **BMJ Open**

The SF group scored higher on the global scores for both the EDE-Q and CET Global score (p=0.049, p=0.006 respectively, Table 2) and had a higher behaviour rigidity score on the CET (p=0.038) than controls (Table 2).

Table 2: Eatin	ıg disorder	examination a	and compulsive	exercise test	questionnaire
responses acc	ording to st	ress fracture h	nistory: median	(inter-quart	ile range).

	Stress Fracture	Control (C)	
Characteristics	(SF) group	group	
	(N =19)	(N=51)	
EDE-Q			_
Restraint	1.6 (0.6-3.2)	1.0 (0.4-1.8)	
Eating Concerns	0.6 (0.2-1.4)	0.2 (0.2-0.6)	
Shape Concerns	1.9 (0.9-3.6)	1.3 (0.5-2.0)	
Weight Concerns	1.2 (0.6-3.0)	1.0 (0.4-1.8)	
Global Scores	1.7 (0.6-2.7)	0.9 (0.5-1.6)	*
CET			
Compulsive Exercise	3.4 (2.6-3.9)	2.8 (1.9-3.5)	
Shape and weight	2.4 (1.8-3.4)	2.0 (1.6-2.6)	
Mood Regulation	4.0 (3.4-5.0)	3.8 (3.0-4.4)	
Lack of exercise enjoyment	0.7 (0.0-1.3)	0.3 (0.0-1.0)	
Behaviour Rigidity	3.7 (3.0-4.0)	3.0 (2.7-3.7)	*
Global Scores	2.9 (2.4-3.1)	2.4 (2.1-2.8)	*

\*Significant difference between stress fracture and control groups: Mann Whitney-U test P < 0.05

The variables that differed according to SF in the univariate analysis, (current a/oligomenorrhoea, lower leg lean tissue mass, EDE-Q scores, and CET scores) were entered into a multiple logistic regression. A/oligomenorrhoea was associated with 4.3 fold greater odds of stress fracture relative to eumenorrhoea (p=0.011, Table 3, model A). This finding persisted when current HC was entered into the regression model (p=0.014, B=1.425) and

Table 3: Multivariate logistic regression models of stress fracture history: odds ratio (95% CI)

		BMJ Ope	en			Page 12 c
when CET, EDE-	Q and/or lower	leg lean tissue	were added to	the model (Tab	ole 3). CET	
score and lower le	eg lean tissue ma	ass, but not EDE	-Q scores contr	ributed to stress	fracture risk	
independently of a	a/oligomenorrho	ea. Athletes with	n CET scores at	pove the median	had 5.5 fold	
greater odds of pa	st stress fracture	e than those belo	w the median	( <i>p</i> =0.010, <i>B</i> =1.7	700; Table 3	_
models B and D).	. Each additiona	al kg of lower le	eg lean mass v	vas associated w	ith 9.7 fold	Protec
greater odds of fi	racture (p=0.37,	B=2.274) and	this persisted	with inclusion o	f other risk	ted by
factors (Table 3 m	odel E).					соруг
Table 3: Multiva (95% CI)	riate logistic reș	gression models	of stress fract	ure history: odd	ls ratio	ight, including f
	Model A	Model B	Model C	Model D	Model E	for us
Constant (B)	-1.7	-4.6	-2.5	-4.4	-11.8	es rela
$Cox \& Snell \mathbf{R}^2$	0.095	0.190	0.107	0.191	0.253	ated to
Variables			•			o text
Current a/oligomenorrhoea <sup>1</sup>	4.3 (1.4-13.4) **	5.8 (1.7-20.3) **	4.0 (1.3-12.6) *	6.2 (1.7-22.5) **	6.6 (1.7-26.1) **	and da
Global CET		5.5 (1.5-19.8) *		6.1 (1.4-26.1) *	7.4 (1.5-35.7) *	ta mir
Global EDE-Q			1.7 (0.6-5.4)	0.8 (0.2-3.0)	0.8 (0.2-3.5)	iing, A
Lower leg lean tissue mass (kg)					16.4 (1.5-182.3)	vi trainin *
Logistic regression **P <0.01, <sup>1</sup> =Eumenorrhoea a Disorder Examina	n with stress frac as the reference g tion-Questionna	cture history as th group, CET=Con ire.	he dependent va npulsive Exerc	ariable. * <i>P&lt; 0.0</i> ise Test, EDE-Q=	5, = Eating	g, and similar tec
Discussion						hnolo
Eating psychopath	hology, compul	sive exercise, n	nenstrual dysfu	unction and low	er leg lean	gies.
tissue mass were	associated with	increased stres	s fracture risk	in endurance a	thletes. The	
association of eati	ng psychopatho	logy was not ind	lependent of me	enstrual function	, suggesting	
that the influen	ce of disorde	ered eating on	n stress fract	ure is mediate	ed through	
For peer i	review only - htt	tp://bmjopen.bm	nj.com/site/abo	ut/guidelines.xh	12 Itml	

### Discussion

### BMJ Open

a/oligomenorrhoea. Compulsion to exercise and lower leg lean tissue mass, as well as menstrual dysfunction, were independently related to stress fracture risk and may play a role in stress fracture aetiology.

In this study, 27% of female athletes had a history of stress fracture. This is similar to the prevalence of 31% in a recent study in female cross-country runners (20), but substantially lower than the 50% in a classic study in endurance athletes (2). Differences in findings could be explained by factors such as: diagnostic techniques, athlete age, training intensity and volume and potential recruitment bias. It is also possible that findings from earlier studies, and increased awareness of female athlete triad, have led to improvements in athlete management contributing to lower prevalence of stress fracture in more recent studies. In our study, as in a recent study in distance runners (27), the most common site was the metatarsals, whilst in earlier studies more stress fractures occurred at the tibia in distance runners (2, 3, 28) and track and field athletes (2, 3, 29-31), although metatarsal and tarsal stress fractures were more common in sprinters and jumpers (28). Metatarsal SF prevalence is associated with changes in training surface, training volume, footwear and running biomechanics (32), so these factors may explain the differences in stress fracture/reaction locations.

Current menstrual dysfunction was prevalent in this sample of athletes, with 43% of athletes reporting current amenorrhoea or oligomenorrhoea. Both current and past menstrual dysfunction were significantly more frequent in athletes with a history of stress fracture, consistent with earlier findings (2, 10, 11, 33, 34). Furthermore, current menstrual dysfunction was an independent predictor of stress fracture history, with a/oligomenorrhoeic athletes 4.3 times more likely to have a history of stress fracture compared with those who were currently eumenorrhoeic. This is consistent with the 6-fold greater risk of stress fracture in athletes with menstrual dysfunction reported by Bennell et al, (2). The endurance athletes had an average menarcheal age of 14.0 years, compared to 12.3 years in a broader population indicating later

menarche occurs in endurance athletes, as has previously been reported in gymnasts (35). Age at menarche was not associated with an increased risk of stress fracture, although associations have been reported in some (2, 13, 36) although not all (11, 20) previous studies. As the results did not identify significant differences in either age at menarche or current BMD, it seems most likely that secondary amenorrhoea rather than primary amenorrhoea or delayed menarche was associated with stress fracture.

Athletes with a history of stress fracture scored higher on the EDE-Q than athletes with no stress fracture history, thus demonstrating a potential relationship with eating psychopathology. This finding supports earlier work which used a less robust measure of eating psychopathology (2). However, unlike previous studies (2) our findings did not show eating psychopathology to be a discriminator of stress fracture history independent of a/oligomenorrhoea. The relationship between eating psychopathology and stress fracture may therefore be mediated through menstrual dysfunction. Future intervention studies would be necessary to confirm whether treatment of disordered eating can reduce stress fracture risk.

A novel finding from the present study is that athletes with high (above median) CET scores had substantially (7.4 times) greater odds of stress fracture, perhaps indicating a greater tendency to train through discomfort. Compulsive exercise predicted stress fracture independently of a/oligomenorrhoea and eating psychopathology. It is therefore important to examine compulsive exercise, as well as menstrual status, when identifying athletes at risk for stress fracture.

Lower calf circumference has been reported to increase the risk of stress fracture in track and field athletes independently of all other factors (13) and this finding is assumed to be mediated by lower leg muscle mass. In this study, calf circumference did not differ according to stress fracture history, but lower leg lean tissue mass measured by DXA was *positively* 

#### **BMJ Open**

related with stress fracture, with athletes who had a greater lower leg lean being substantially more likely to have had a stress fracture, independent of other risk factors. Due to the retrospective nature of this study however, it is not possible to determine if greater lower leg lean tissue mass is a cause or effect of stress fracture history. Future studies should further examine the potential role of lower leg muscularity in stress fracture aetiology, using more robust measures than calf circumference, for instance DXA or magnetic resonance imaging.

Factors not significantly related to stress fracture in this study included dietary intakes, bone mineral density, bone geometry and calf circumference. Low dietary calcium intake was a significant predictor of stress fracture in some (20), but not all (13) previous research. Findings may differ depending on measurement tools and differences in dietary intakes, although mean calcium intake was above recommended values in all these studies. Similarly, low BMD was associated with SF risk in some (13, 15, 20) but not all previous studies (2, 10).

Strengths of this study include the assessment of CET. Our findings that compulsive attitudes towards exercise and eating psychopathology are associated with stress fracture risk highlight that those treating and coaching endurance athletes should consider attitudes to exercise and eating behaviours when assessing stress fracture risk. Future research should examine whether interventions that address these features can reduce stress fracture risk. The retrospective nature of this study incurs some limitations, such as potential recruitment bias, self reporting of history of stress fracture and the ability to recall data. However, the occurrence of a stress fracture during an athlete's career is usually event of such significance that it is unlikely to be misreported. Athletes were measured at variable intervals following stress fracture during which time their behaviours, and other risk factors, may have changed. Differences in stress fracture site and prevalence between recent and classic studies suggest that changes in athlete

management have occurred (perhaps following findings from earlier studies) so there is a need to conduct further prospective studies in contemporary female endurance athletes.

In conclusion disordered eating was associated with increased risk of stress fracture, but this may be mediated through menstrual dysfunction. Compulsive exercise cognitions, as well as amenorrhoea, were independently associated with stress fracture risk and may have a role in stress fracture aetiology.

# **Conflicts of Interest**

There are no perceived conflicts of interest associated with the research.

# Funding

 This research was funded by Loughborough University School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences and East Midlands Universities Association.

# **Contributorship Statement**

Contributors: RLD and KBW were responsible for the study design, data collection, statistical analysis, interpretation, reporting, and manuscript preparation and are the guarantors. NP, CM, GS and NC contributed to the study design, interpretation, and manuscript preparation. **Data Sharing Statement** 

There is no additional data available

# References

1. Schaffler MB, Radin EL, Burr DB. Long term fatigue behavior of compact bone at low strain magnitude and rate. *Bone*; 1990;**11**(5):321-6.

2. Bennell KL, Malcolm SA, Thomas SA, et al. Risk-factors for stress-fractures in female track and field athletes - A retrospective analysis. Clin J Sport Med; 1995;5(4):229-35.

3. Bennell KL, Malcolm SA, Thomas SA, et al . The incidence and distribution of stress fractures in competitive track and field athletes - A twelve-month prospective study. Am J Sports Med; 1996 ;24(2):211-7.

# **BMJ Open**

4.	Nattiv A, Puffer JC, Casper J, et al. Stress fracture risk factors, incidence and
distrib	ution: A 3 year prospective study in collegiate runners. Med Sci Sports
Exerc	;2000; <b>32</b> (5 Suppl.):S347.
5.	Snyder RA, Koester MC, Dunn WR. Epidemiology of stress fractures. Clin Sports
Med; 2	2006 ; <b>25</b> (1):37-52.
6.	Milgrom C, Giladi M, Simkin A. Analysis of the biomechanical mechanisms of tibial
stress	fractures among Israeli infantry recruits. Clin Orthop; 1988;231:216-21.
7.	Beck TJ, Ruff CB, Shaffer RA, Betsinger K, Trone DW, Brodine SK. Stress fracture in
militar	y recruits: Gender differences in muscle and bone susceptibility factors. Bone;
2000;2	<b>27</b> :437-44.
8.	Beck T. Measuring the structural strength of bone with dual-energy x-ray
absor	ptiometry: principals, technical limitations, and future possibilities. Osteoporos Int;
2003;'	<b>14</b> (supple 5):S81-S8.
9.	Giladi M, Milgrom C, Simkin A, Danon Y. Stress fractures -Identifiable risk factors.
Am J	Sports Med; 1991; <b>19</b> (6):647-52.
10.	Carbon R, Sambrook PN, Deakin V, et al. Bone-Density of Elite Female Athletes with
Stress	s-Fractures. <i>Med J Australia</i> ; 1990; <b>153</b> (7):373-6.
11.	Myburgh KH, Hutchins J, Fataar AB,et al . Low bone density is an etiologic factor for
stress	-fractors in athletes. Ann Intern Med; 1990;113(10):754-9.
12.	Bennell K, Matheson G, Meeuwisse W,et al . Risk factors for stress fractures. Sports
Med;	1999, <b>28</b> (2):91-122.
13.	Bennell KL, Malcolm SA, Thomas SA, et al. Risk factors for stress fractures in track
and fie	eld athletes - A twelve-month prospective study. AmJ Sports Med; 1996;24(6):810-8.
14.	Brukner P, Bradshaw C, Bennell K. Managing common stress fractures - Let risk level
guide	treatment. Physician Sportsmed; 1998 26(8):39-47.
15.	Nattiv A. Stress fractures and bone health in track and field athletes. J Sci Med Sport;
2000.	<b>3</b> (3):268-79.

16. Nattiv A, Loucks AB, Manore MM, et al. American College of Sports Medicine position stand. The female athlete triad. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*; 2007;**39**(10):1867-82.

17. Taranis L, Touyz S, Meyer C. Disordered eating and exercise: Development and preliminary validation of the compulsive exercise test (CET). *Eur Eat* 

DisordRev;2011;19:256-68.

 18. Sundgot-Borgen J, Torstveit M. The female football player, disordered eating, menstrual function and bone health. *Brit J Sports Med*; 2007;**41**:68-72.

Nattiv A, Armsey TD. Stress injury to bone in the female athlete. *Clin Sports Med*;
 1997 16(2):197-224

20. Kelsey JL, Bachrach LK, Procter-Gray E, et al. Risk factors for stress fracture among young female cross-country runners. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*; 2007;**39**(9):1457-63.

21. Meyer C, Taranis L, Goodwin H, et al. Compulsive Exercise and Eating Disorders. Eur *Eat Disord Rev*; 2011;**19**(3):174-89.

22. Davis C, Kennedy SH, Ravelski E, et al. The role of physical activity in the development and maintenance of eating disorders. *Psychol Med*; 1994 **24**(4):957-67.

23. Fairburn CG, Beglin SJ. Assessment of eating disorders - Interview of self-reporting questionnaire. *Int J Eat Disord*; 1994 **16**(4):363-70.

24. Welsh AA. The CAFE computer program for nutritional analysis of the EPIC-Norfolk food frequency questionnaire and identification of extreme nutritient values. *J Hum Nutr Diet*; 2005;**18**:99-116.

25. Bingham SA, Gill C, Welch A, et al. Comparison of dietary assessment methods in nutritional epidemiology - Weighed records V 24-H recalls, food frequency questionnaires and estimated-diet records. *Brit J Nutr*; 1994;**72**(4):619-43.

26. Callaway C, Chumlea W, Bouchard C, et al. Circumferences. Anthropometric standardization reference manual. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics; 1988. p. 39-54.

Arendt E, Agel J, Heikes C, et al . Stress injuries to bone in college athletes - A
retrospective review of experience at a single institution. *Am J Sports Med*; 2003;**31**(6):959-68.

# **BMJ Open**

ა ⊿
4
5
6
1
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
20
21
20
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
70 /0
73 50
50
51
0Z
ວ <u>ປ</u>
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

28. Benazzo F, Barnabei G, Ferrario A, Castelli C, Fischetto G. Stress fractures in track and field athletics. *J Sport Traumatol*; 1992;**14**(1):51-65.

29. Barrow GW, Saha S. Menstrual irregularity and stress fractures in collegiate female distance runners. *Am J Sports Med*; 1988 **16**(3):209-16.

30. Bennell KL, Brukner PD. Epidemiology and site specificity of stress fractures. *Clin Sports Med*; 1997**16**(2):179-95.

31. Matheson GO, Clement DB, McKenzie DC, Taunton JE, Lloydsmith DR, Macintyre

JG. Stress fractures in athletes -A study of 320 cases. Am J Sports Med; 1987;15(1):46-58.

32. Crossley K, Bennell KL, Wrigley T, et al . Ground reaction forces, bone characteristics, and tibial stress fracture in male runners. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*; 1999;**31**(8):1088-93.

33. Grimston SK, Zernicke RF. Exercise-related stress responses in bone. *J Appl* 

*Biomech;* 1993;**9**(1):2-14.

34. Warren MP. Amenorrhea in endurance runners. *J Clin Endocrinal* 

Metab;1992;75(6):1393-7.

35. Malina R, Bouchard C. Characteristics of young athletes. Growth, Maturation, and Physical Activity. Champaig, IL: Human Kinetics; 1991. p. 443-63.

36. Carbon RJ. Exercise, amenorrhoea and the skeleton. Brit Med Bull;1992;48(3):546-

60.



# Risk factors for stress fracture in female endurance athletes: a cross-sectional study

Journal:	BMJ Open	
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2012-001920.R1	
Article Type:	Research	
Date Submitted by the Author:	25-Sep-2012	
Complete List of Authors:	Duckham, Rachel; Loughborough University, School of Sport, Health and Exercise Sciences Peirce, Nicholas; Nottingham University Hospitals Trust, ; England and Wales Cricket Board, Meyer, Caroline; Loughborough University, School of Sport Exercise and Health Sciences Summers, Gregory; Royal Derby Hospital Trust, Cameron, Noël; Loughborough University, School of Sport, Health and Exercise Sciences Brooke-Wavell, Katherine; Loughborough University, Dept of Human Sciences	
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Sports and exercise medicine	
Secondary Subject Heading:	Communication, Sports and exercise medicine	
Keywords:	Eating disorders < PSYCHIATRY, Compulsive exercise, Amenorrhoea, endurance Athletes	
	SCHOLARONE <sup>™</sup> Manuscripts	

# **BMJ Open**

Duckha	m R.L <sup>1</sup> , Peirce N <sup>2</sup> , Meyer C <sup>4</sup> , Summers G <sup>3</sup> , Cameron N <sup>1</sup> , Brooke-Wavell K <sup>1</sup>
Institutional	affiliation of authors:
<sup>1</sup> Centre for ( Loughboroug	Global Health and Human Development, Loughborough University, SSEHS, gh, Leicestershire, LE11 3TU, United Kingdom
<sup>2</sup> Nottingham Loughboroug	u University Hospitals NHS Trust/ England and Wales Cricket Board, gh, United Kingdom
<sup>3</sup> Royal Derb	y Hospital, Derbyshire, United Kingdom
<sup>4.</sup> Loughboro Loughboroug	ugh University, Centre for Research into Eating Disorders (LUCRED), gh, United Kingdom
Correspond	ing Author: Dr. Rachel Duckham
Correspond	ing Author Address:
School of Sp	ort, Exercise and Health Sciences
Loughboroug	gh University
Leicestershir	e
LE11 3TU, U	ЈК
Telephone:	+44 1509 222749
Fax:	+44 1509 223940
Email:	r.l.duckham@lboro.ac.uk
Key words:	compulsive exercise, amenorrhoea, eating psychopathology, eating disore
endurance a	thletes
Word Count	t: 3298

# **Article Summary**

# **Article Focus**

- 1. To identify the factors related to stress fracture in female endurance athletes,
- 2. To evaluate associations of eating and exercise behaviours with stress fracture and to determine whether associations are independent of previously recognised risk factors.

# **Key Points**

- 1. Compulsive exercise and lower leg lean tissue mass, as well as amenorrhoea, are independently related to stress fracture risk
- 2. Eating disorder psychopathology was associated with increased risk of stress fracture in endurance athletes, but this may be mediated by menstrual dysfunction and compulsive exercise.

# **Strengths and Limitations**

- 1. Strength; the assessment of the Compulsive Exercise test (CET) and a more robust measure of eating psychopathology determined that compulsive exercise and eating psychopathology are associated with stress fracture risk.
- 2. Limitation, potential recruitment bias, self-reporting of history of stress fracture and the ability to recall data.
- 3. Limitation; measurements at variable intervals following stress fracture during which time athlete behaviours, and other risk factors, may have changed.

# Abstract

**Objective:** To identify psychological and physiological correlates of stress fracture in female endurance athletes.

**Design:** A cross-sectional design was used with history of stress fractures and potential risk factors assessed at one visit.

**Methods:** Female endurance athletes (58 runners and 12 triathletes) aged  $26.0\pm7.4$  years completed questionnaires on stress fracture history, menstrual history, athletic training, eating psychopathology and exercise cognitions. Bone mineral density, body fat content, and lower leg lean tissue mass (LLLTM) were assessed using dual x-ray absorptiometry. Variables were compared between athletes with a history of stress fracture (SF) and those without (controls; C) using chi-squared, ANOVA and Mann Whitney U tests.

**Results:** Nineteen (27%) athletes had previously been clinically diagnosed with stress fractures. The prevalence of current a/oligomenorrhoea and past amenorrhoea was higher in SF than C (p=0.008 and p=0.035 respectively). SF recorded higher global scores on the eating disorder examination questionnaire (p=0.049) and compulsive exercise test (p=0.006) and had higher LLLTM (p=0.029) compared to C. These findings persisted with weight and height as covariates. In multivariate logistic regression, compulsive exercise, amenorrhoea and LLLTM were significant independent predictors of stress fracture history (p=0.006, 0.009 and 0.035 respectively).

**Conclusion:** Eating psychopathology was associated with increased risk of stress fracture in endurance athletes, but this may be mediated by menstrual dysfunction and compulsive exercise. Compulsive exercise, as well as amenorrhoea, are independently related to stress fracture risk.

# Introduction

Stress fractures occur when microdamage caused by repetitive mechanical load exceeds the biological capacity of the bone (1). Stress fractures are the most common overuse injury in athletes, interrupting training and prematurely ending sporting careers (2). Over 40% of athletes report a history of stress fracture according to some previous research, although prevalence differs markedly between studies (3-5).

Risk factors for stress fracture have been studied in military recruits commencing physically demanding physical training programmes. The risk factors identified have included irregular menses; low bone mineral density (BMD); low lean mass, lower muscle size and strength; poor skeletal alignment (leg length discrepancy); narrow tibial cross sectional area, and low physical fitness compared to those who did not stress fracture (6-9). Whilst these studies have improved our understanding of the aetiology of stress fracture, these risk factors may not translate well to athletes, who are accustomed to training and have high levels of fitness (2). In female athletes, previously identified risk factors for stress fracture include small calf circumference, late age at menarche, menstrual dysfunction (both past and current), low bone density, current disordered eating, and abnormal gait (2, 5, 10-15). However, findings have often been inconsistent (e.g. low bone density is associated with increased risk in some studies but not others) (5).

Female endurance athletes have particularly high prevalence of the female athlete triad, which involves menstrual dysfunction, low bone mineral density and dietary restriction/energy deprivation (16). All of these may be risk factors for stress fractures (12, 13, 15) but previous studies on their role in athletic populations have had small sample sizes and yielded conflicting findings (5, 17). Increased awareness of the female athlete triad in recent decades may have affected management of the female athlete, perhaps to the extent that the associations reported in older studies may no longer persist. The current high prevalence (1-

#### **BMJ Open**

62%) (18) of disordered eating among female athletes could further increase stress fracture risk, as restrictive eating patterns were associated with increased risk in some of the previous studies (2, 19, 20). Furthermore, restrictive eating patterns may be accompanied by compulsive exercise behaviours (21, 22), but the role of compulsive exercise has not been examined. Eating behaviours may have an independent effect on stress fracture risk, or this may be mediated through related exercise behaviours, menstrual dysfunction or low bone density. It is important to determine whether eating behaviours have an independent effect as this would demonstrate that resolving menstrual dysfunction and low bone density would not entirely resolve the increased risk of stress fracture.

There is thus a need for further research on the role of eating and exercise behaviours in stress fracture risk, using multivariate models to determine whether risk factors act independently. Therefore, this study aimed to identify the factors related to stress fracture in female endurance athletes, to evaluate associations of eating and exercise behaviours with stress fracture and to determine whether associations are independent of previously recognised risk factors.

#### Methods

#### Study design

A cross-sectional design was used with history of stress fractures and potential risk factors assessed at one visit. Questionnaires were completed to assess stress fracture history, eating psychopathology, dietary intakes, menstrual dysfunction, contraceptive use and training history. A four day diet diary was provided for subsequent completion and return. Bone mineral density (BMD) bone mineral content (BMC), geometric properties and body composition were measured using dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA), and anthropometric measures were taken using standard techniques. The study received ethical approval from the National Research Ethics Service (NRES) and Loughborough University Ethics Committee. All athletes gave written informed consent.

# **Participants**

Seventy female endurance athletes aged 18-45 years were recruited through the English Institute of Sport, UK athletics, British triathlon and UK registered running and triathlon clubs, via letters, posters, group meetings and word-of-mouth. Athletes were required to either, be competing at international, national, or county level and training approximately 8-10 hours per week (runners) or 15-20 hours per week (triathletes) in events from 800m to the marathon or triathlon. Athletes were excluded from the study if they had not currently trained in the last six months and if they were currently pregnant or lactating or had been in the last 12-months.

#### Measurements

#### **Injury history**

History of stress fracture was assessed by questionnaire. These were defined as a fracture/reaction clinically diagnosed by a sports physician and confirmed with a positive diagnosis on X-ray, CT, or MRI. For each stress fracture, athletes recorded the age when the stress fracture occurred, the anatomical location, time of year, and method of diagnosis.

### **Menstrual function**

Participants were classified as secondary amenorrhoeic (less than 4 menses per year) oligomenorrhoeic (4-9 periods per year, periods occurring at intervals greater than 35 days after onset of menses) or eumenorrhoeic (10 or more periods per year) based on their menstrual function in the last 12-months (23). For analysis purposes athletes who were classified with menstrual dysfunction were combined into one group (a/oligomenorrhoea, 0-9 periods per year) (24-26) and compared with the eumenorrhoeic athletes. Age at menarche,

#### **BMJ Open**

number of periods in the preceding 12 months, duration of a/oligomenorrhoea since menarche, and current use of hormonal contraception (HC) were assessed by questionnaire.

# Eating psychopathology and compulsive exercise cognitions

Athletes completed the Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire (EDE-Q) (27) and the Compulsive Exercise Test (CET) (17). The EDE-Q is a self reported version of the Eating Disorder Examination (EDE), the current gold standard for measuring eating disordered psychopathology (27). The EDE-Q is a 36-item questionnaire comprising four subscales (restraint, eating concern, shape concern, weight concern), a global score and a specific section of diagnostic questions related to bingeing/purging. Each item on the questionnaire is scored from 0-6 with high scores representative of concerns with eating behaviours and attitudes. The CET is a 24-item self reported questionnaire scored from 0-5 with high scores representative of compulsive exercise traits. It is designed to assess four domains of compulsive exercise (compulsivity, affect regulation, weight and shape driven exercise and behavioural rigidity) (17). The CET has not previously been used in competitive athletes but has been validated in a comparable group of young female exercisers (17).

### **Dietary behaviours**

Participants completed a food frequency questionnaire (European Prospective Investigation into Cancer; EPIC FFQ) (28, 29) and a four day diet diary. The EPIC FFQ provides general information of the number of portions consumed of a wide range of foods over the past 12-months. The four day food diary was completed by the participants over three week days and one weekend. The FFQ and the 4-day diet diary were coded and entered into a computerized software package (CompEat Pro, version 5.8) to determine energy, macro- and micro-nutrient intakes.

DXA was used to measure BMD and BMC of the total body, lumbar spine, femoral neck, and radius (Lunar Prodigy, GE Healthcare, Madison, WI, U.S.A version 12.2). Bone geometry at the femoral neck was estimated using Lunar Advanced Hip Structural Analysis (AHA) algorithms to determine the cross-sectional moment of inertia (CSMI), cross-sectional area (CSA), minimal femoral neck width and section modulus (Z). All scans were conducted on the dominant side as this is reported to be the most prevalent side for injury (13).

# Anthropometric measures

Height and body mass were measured prior to the DXA measurements using standard protocols, via a stadiometer (Holtain Ltd, Pembrokeshire) and beam balance scale (Herbert and Sons Ltd, London) respectively. Body composition (mass of fat, lean and bone) was assessed from a total body DXA scan. The mass of bone-free lean tissue in the lower leg (LLLTM; defined as the region inferior to the knee joint space) was also determined from the DXA scan, as a measure related to calf muscle mass. Athletes were blinded to the body composition results until the end of the study to prevent any possible bias when answering questionnaires related to eating psychopathology. Circumferences of the dominant thigh and calf were measured with a tape measure held horizontally at the midpoint between the inguinal crease and the patella for the thigh, and midpoint between the proximal tibia border and the medial malleolus for the calf (30).

#### **Statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS18.0 (SPSS Chicago, Illinois, USA). The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to assess normal distribution. Athletes were classified into two groups: those with a history of stress fracture (SF) and a control (C) group. Potential risk factors were compared between groups using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Mann-Whitney U-Tests. Chi-squared tests were used to determine whether frequencies of

categorical variables differed between SF and C groups. Discriminators of stress fracture identified as statistically significant in univariate analyses along with theory driven variables weight (as a measure for body size) and training duration were entered into a logistic regression to determine their independent contribution to stress fracture/reaction injury. The first model (model A), examined the contribution of a/oligomenorrhoea. Subsequent models (Model B, C, and D) examined the contributions of CET and EDE-Q independent of a/oligomenorrhoea and/or each other. The final model (Model E) includes all potential discriminators of stress fracture to determine which are independent of each other. For this analysis, eating psychopathology and compulsive exercise variables were re-coded into two subgroups using a median split. Statistical significance was considered at the 5% probability level (p < 0.05).

# Results

70 female athletes (58 runners and 12 triathletes) were recruited, of whom 36% were at national or international standard. A high prevalence of menstrual dysfunction was evident, with 42.9% of athletes categorized as a/oligomenorrhoeic ( $\leq$  9 periods/year) and 57.1% eumenorrhoeic ( $\geq$  10 periods a year). Of the 70 athletes, 21 (30%; 4 a/oligomenorrhoeic and 17 eumenorrhoeic) were currently using hormonal contraceptives (HC).

Nineteen athletes (27%; 24% at the national/international level and 29% at the sub-elite level) reported having experienced a total of 24 stress fractures. Common stress fracture sites were the metatarsals (46%), tibia or fibula (38%), calcaneus (13%) and the femur (4%). The mean (SD) age at the first stress fracture was 21.4 (1.4) years, with stress fractures diagnosed at a median (IQR) of 3.0 (6.8) years from the start of training. Age, height, body mass and body fat did not differ between SF and C groups (P > 0.05; Table 1).

Prevalence of current a/oligomenorrhoea and past amenorrhoea were significantly higher in the SF than C group (chi-squared test p=0.008 and 0.035 respectively; Table 1) although age at menarche did not differ significantly between groups. Dominant LLLTM was significantly higher in the SF than C group (p=0.029); this finding persisted after adjustment for weight and training duration (hrs/week) (p=0.035). Calf circumference measurements, bone measurements, energy, macronutrient intakes and calcium intakes did not differ between groups (Table 1).

# **BMJ Open**

2
2
3
4
5
ĉ
0
7
8
0
9
10
11
11
12
13
4.4
14
15
16
10
17
18
10
19
20
21
20
22
23
24
24
25
26
27
21
28
29
20
30
31
32
02
33
34
25
30
36
37
01
38
39
40
40
41
42
13
43
44
45
10
40
47
48
40
49
50
51
51
52
53
51
55
56
57
57
58
59
60
υU

Characteristics	Stress Fracture (SF) group (n = 19)	Control (C) group (n = 51)
Age (yrs)	25.6 (6.4)	26.1 (7.8)
Height (m)	1.67 (0.05)	1.67 (0.05)
Weight (kg)	56.2 (4.8)	54.9(6.2)
Body fat (%)	16.6 (4.7)	17.4 (6.4)
Lower leg lean tissue mass (kg)	2.61 (0.03)	2.46 (0.02)
Calf circumference (cm)	32.0 (2.3)	31.2 (1.80)
Time since stress fracture (yrs) (median (IQR)	2.0 (3.0)	-
Training		
Time since commencing training (vrs)	7.4 (4.3)	7.8 (6.4)
Age at commencing competition (vrs)	18.3 (7.0)	18.4 (8.5)
Weekly training duration (hrs/week)	14.2 (4.3)	12.1 (4.3)
Bone Mineral Density (g/cm)	1 1 (0 (0 070)	1 151 (0 0(4)
Total body	1.160(0.070)	1.131 (0.004)
Femoral neck	1.068 (0.122)	1.089 (0.107)
Lumbar spine	1.144 (0.157)	1.119 (0.099)
Bone Mineral content (g)		
Total body	2482 (305)	2425 (298)
Femoral neck	4.9 (0.4)	5.1 (0.7)
Lumbar spine	60.5 (12.2)	60.2 (9.9)
Bone Geometry		
CSA (mm <sup>2</sup> )	154 (17)	157 (21)
Minimal femoral neck width (mm)	28.9 (2.6)	28.4 (2.1)
Section Modulus (mm <sup>3</sup> )	677 (117)	674 (121)
Menstrual History		
Age at Menarche (vears)	13.9 (1.7)	14.1 (2.1)
History of amenorrhoea **	15 (78.9%)	26 (51.0%)
Current a/oligomenorrhoea**	13 (68 4%)	17 (33 3%)
Current eumenorrhoea **	6 (31.6%)	34 (66.7%)
		× /
Hormonal Contraception		
Current hormonal contraception users (HC) **	4 (21.1%)	17 (33.3%)
Current hormonal contraception use in a/oligomenorrhoea (HCA) **	4(21.1%)	3(64.7%)
Four-day diet analysis	SF (n=17)	C (n=44)
Energy Intake (kcal)	1862 (688)	1875 (365)
СНО (%)	55.2 (5.3)	56.6 (5.3)
Protein (%)	14.8 (2.9)	16.2 (3.3)
Fat (%)	30 0 (4 9)	272(53)
	20.0(1.7)	= 1.2 (3.3)

\*significant difference between stress fracture and control groups: P < 0.05

\*\* Reported as the number of people and percentage

The SF group scored higher on the global scores for both the EDE-Q and CET Global score (p=0.049, p=0.006 respectively, Table 2) and had a higher behaviour rigidity score on the CET (p=0.038) than controls (Table 2). There were no significant differences in global scores for either, EDE-Q (p=0.216) and CET (p=0.216) between the athletes at the national/international level and sub-elite level.

<b>Table 2: Eating</b>	disorder (	examination a	and compulsive	exercise test	t questionnaire
responses accor	ding to str	ess fracture	history: median	(inter-quar	tile range).

	<b>Stress Fracture</b>	Control (C)	
Characteristics	(SF) group	group	
	(N =19)	(N=51)	
EDE-Q			
Restraint	1.6 (0.6-3.2)	1.0 (0.4-1.8)	
Eating Concerns	0.6 (0.2-1.4)	0.2 (0.2-0.6)	
Shape Concerns	1.9 (0.9-3.6)	1.3 (0.5-2.0)	
Weight Concerns	1.2 (0.6-3.0)	1.0 (0.4-1.8)	
Global Scores	1.7 (0.6-2.7)	0.9 (0.5-1.6)	*
СЕТ			
Compulsive Exercise	3.4 (2.6-3.9)	2.8 (1.9-3.5)	
Shape and weight	2.4 (1.8-3.4)	2.0 (1.6-2.6)	
Mood Regulation	4.0 (3.4-5.0)	3.8 (3.0-4.4)	
Lack of exercise enjoyment	0.7 (0.0-1.3)	0.3 (0.0-1.0)	
Behaviour Rigidity	3.7 (3.0-4.0)	3.0 (2.7-3.7)	*
Global Scores	2.9 (2.4-3.1)	2.4 (2.1-2.8)	*

\*Significant difference between stress fracture and control groups: Mann Whitney-U test P < 0.05

The variables that differed according to SF in the univariate analysis, (current a/oligomenorrhoea, lower leg lean tissue mass, EDE-Q scores, and CET scores) were entered into a multiple logistic regression adjusted for weight (kg) and training duration (hrs). A/oligomenorrhoea was associated with 4.7 fold greater odds of stress fracture relative to eumenorrhoea (p=0.009, Table 3, model A). This finding persisted when current HC was

#### **BMJ Open**

entered into the regression model (p=0.012, B=1.506) and when CET, EDE-Q and/or LLLTM were added to the model (Table 3, models B-E). CET score and LLLTM, but not EDE-Q scores contributed to stress fracture risk independently of a/oligomenorrhoea. Athletes with CET scores above the median had 7.1 fold greater odds of past stress fracture than those below the median (p=0.006, B=1.956; Table 3 models B and D). Each additional SD of LLLTM was associated with 2.3 fold greater odds of fracture (p=0.035, B=0.843). Findings for all models were similar when not adjusted for weight and training duration.

 Table 3: Multivariate logistic regression models of stress fracture history: odds ratio

 (95% CI)

	Model A	Model B	Model C	Model D	Model E
Constant (B)	-3.9	-7.6	-4.7	-7.6	-3.2
Cox & Snell $\mathbf{R}^2$	0.137	0.245	0.149	0.248	0.253
Variables					
Current a/oligomenorrhoea <sup>1</sup>	4.7 (1.5-15.0) **	7.1 (1.9-27.1) **	4.5 (1.4-14.6) *	6.6 (1.9-31.1) **	8.6 (1.9-38.2) **
Global CET (>median to <median)< td=""><td></td><td>7.1 (1.8-28.4) **</td><td></td><td>8.8 (1.7-44.2) **</td><td>10.2 (1.7-36.4) *</td></median)<>		7.1 (1.8-28.4) **		8.8 (1.7-44.2) **	10.2 (1.7-36.4) *
Global EDE-Q (>median to <median)< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>1.8 (0.5-5.7)</td><td>0.7 (0.2-2.8)</td><td>0.7 (0.1-3.5)</td></median)<>			1.8 (0.5-5.7)	0.7 (0.2-2.8)	0.7 (0.1-3.5)
Lower leg lean tissue mass (SD)					2.3 (1.1-5.0)*

Logistic regression with stress fracture history as the dependent variable. \* P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01,

<sup>1</sup>=Eumenorrhoea as the reference group, CET=Compulsive Exercise Test, EDE-Q= Eating Disorder Examination-Questionnaire. The global CET and EDEQ variables represent a comparison with a median split. Models are adjusted for weight and training duration.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2012-001920 on 19 November 2012. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

# Discussion

Eating psychopathology, compulsive exercise, menstrual dysfunction and lower leg lean tissue mass were associated with increased stress fracture risk in endurance athletes. The association of eating psychopathology was not independent of menstrual function, suggesting that the influence of disordered eating on stress fracture is mediated through a/oligomenorrhoea. Compulsion to exercise and lower leg lean tissue mass, as well as menstrual dysfunction, were independently related to stress fracture risk and may play a role in stress fracture aetiology.

In this study, 27% of female athletes had a history of stress fracture. This is similar to the prevalence of 31% in a recent study in female cross-country runners (20), but substantially lower than the 50% in a classic study in endurance athletes (2). Differences in findings could be explained by factors such as: diagnostic techniques, athlete age, training intensity and volume and potential recruitment bias. It is also possible that findings from earlier studies, and increased awareness of female athlete triad, have led to improvements in athlete management contributing to lower prevalence of stress fracture in more recent studies. In our study, as in a recent study in distance runners (31), the most common site was the metatarsals, whilst in earlier studies more stress fractures occurred at the tibia in distance runners (2, 3, 32) and track and field athletes (2, 3, 26, 33, 34), although metatarsal and tarsal stress fractures were more common in sprinters and jumpers (32). Metatarsal SF prevalence is associated with changes in training surface, training volume, footwear and running biomechanics (35), so these factors may contribute to differences in stress fracture/reaction locations.

Current menstrual dysfunction was prevalent in this sample of athletes, with 43% of athletes reporting current amenorrhoea or oligomenorrhoea. Both current and past menstrual dysfunction were significantly more frequent in athletes with a history of stress fracture, consistent with earlier findings (2, 10, 11, 36, 37). Furthermore, current menstrual dysfunction

was an independent predictor of stress fracture history, with a/oligomenorrhoeic athletes having 4.3 times greater odds of stress fracture compared with those who were currently eumenorrhoeic. This is consistent with the 6-fold greater odds of stress fracture in athletes with menstrual dysfunction reported by Bennell et al, (2). The endurance athletes had an average menarcheal age of 14.0 years, compared to 12.3 years in a broader population indicating later menarche occurs in endurance athletes, as has previously been reported in gymnasts (38). Age at menarche was not associated with an increased risk of stress fracture, although associations have been reported in some (2, 13, 39) although not all (11, 20) previous studies. As the results did not identify significant differences in either age at menarche or current BMD, it seems most likely that secondary amenorrhoea rather than primary amenorrhoea or delayed menarche was associated with stress fracture.

Interestingly, although athletes with stress fracture had a higher prevalence of amenorrhoea, they had a lower prevalence of hormonal contraceptive use, although this difference did not reach statistical significance. It is possible that amenorrhoea not countered by HC use is associated with greater stress fracture risk; furthermore amenorrhoeic athletes are possibly less likely to comply with HC use in the fear of gaining weight (40). Alternatively it is possible that having a history of stress fracture influences HC prescribing strategies. Given the increased awareness of the female athlete triad, clinical physicians presented with an amenorrhoeic athlete with a stress fracture may attempt to determine the cause of, and resolve, the amenorrhoea rather than prescribing HC, although past research has shown a trend towards a reduction in stress fracture when HC was prescribed (11, 26, 40). Further studies of the role of HC use in stress fracture is needed.

Athletes with a history of stress fracture scored higher on the EDE-Q than athletes with no stress fracture history, thus demonstrating a potential relationship with eating psychopathology. This finding supports earlier work which used a less robust measure of BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2012-001920 on 19 November 2012. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

eating psychopathology (2). However, unlike previous studies (2) our findings did not show eating psychopathology to be a discriminator of stress fracture history independent of a/oligomenorrhoea. The relationship between eating psychopathology and stress fracture may therefore be mediated through menstrual dysfunction. Future intervention studies would be necessary to confirm whether treatment of disordered eating can reduce stress fracture risk.

A novel finding from the present study is that athletes with high (above median) CET scores had moderately (7.3 times) greater odds of stress fracture, perhaps indicating a greater tendency to train through discomfort. Compulsive exercise predicted stress fracture independently of a/oligomenorrhoea and eating psychopathology. It is therefore important to examine compulsive exercise, as well as menstrual status, when identifying athletes at risk for stress fracture.

Lower calf circumference has been reported to increase the risk of stress fracture in track and field athletes independently of all other factors (13) and this finding is assumed to be mediated by lower leg muscle mass. In this study, calf circumference did not differ according to stress fracture history, but lower leg lean tissue mass measured by DXA was *positively* related with stress fracture, with athletes who had a greater lower leg lean and running more being more likely to have had a stress fracture, independent of other risk factors. Due to the retrospective nature of this study however, it is not possible to determine if greater lower leg lean tissue mass is a cause or effect of stress fracture history. Future studies should further examine the potential role of lower leg muscularity in stress fracture aetiology, using more robust measures than calf circumference, for instance DXA or magnetic resonance imaging.

Factors not significantly related to stress fracture in this study included dietary intakes, bone mineral density, bone geometry and calf circumference. Low dietary calcium intake was a significant predictor of stress fracture in some (20), but not all (13) previous research.

## BMJ Open

Findings may differ depending on measurement tools and differences in dietary intakes, although mean calcium intake was above recommended values in all these studies. Similarly, low BMD was associated with SF risk in some (13, 15, 20) but not all previous studies (2, 10).

Strengths of this study include the assessment of CET. Our findings that compulsive attitudes towards exercise and eating psychopathology are associated with stress fracture risk highlight that those treating and coaching endurance athletes should consider attitudes to exercise and eating behaviours when assessing stress fracture risk. Future research should examine whether interventions that address these features can reduce stress fracture risk. The major limitation of this study is imposed by the experimental design. Due to the cross-sectional nature of this study, it is not possible to determine whether risk fractures are a cause or effect of the stress fracture as they have been measured after the outcome occurred. Also, as the study was not prospective we could not determine relative risks. It should be noted that as the prevalence of stress fractures is relatively high, odds ratios may be substantially greater than relative risks. Further limitations include potential recruitment bias, self-reporting of history of stress fracture and the ability to recall data. However, the occurrence of a stress fracture during an athlete's career is usually an event of such significance that it is unlikely to be misreported. Athletes were measured at variable intervals following stress fracture during which time their behaviours, and other risk factors, may have changed. Differences in stress fracture site and prevalence between recent and classic studies suggest that changes in athlete management have occurred (perhaps following findings from earlier studies) so there is a need to conduct further prospective studies in contemporary female endurance athletes.

In conclusion disordered eating was associated with increased risk of stress fracture, but this may be mediated through menstrual dysfunction. Compulsive exercise cognitions, as well as

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2012-001920 on 19 November 2012. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

amenorrhoea, were independently associated with stress fracture risk and may have a role in

stress fracture aetiology.

# **CONFLICT OF INTERESTS**

There are no perceived conflicts of interest associated with the research.

# FUNDING

This research was funded by Loughborough University School of Sport, Exercise and Health

Sciences and East Midlands Universities Association.

# COTRIBUTORHIP

Contributors: RLD and KBW were responsible for the study design, data collection, statistical analysis, interpretation, reporting, and manuscript preparation and are the guarantors. NP, CM, GS and NC contributed to the study design, interpretation, and manuscript preparation.

# DATA SHARING

There is no additional data available

# References

1. Schaffler MB, Radin EL, Burr DB. Long term fatigue behavior of compact bone at

low strain magnitude and rate Bone. 1990;11(5):321-6.

2. Bennell KL, Malcolm SA, Thomas SA, et al. Risk-factors for stress-fractures in

female track and field athletes - A retrospective analysis. Clin J Sport Med. 1995;5(4):229-35.

3. Bennell KL, Malcolm SA, Thomas SA, et al. The incidence and distribution of stress

fractures in competitive track and field athletes - A twelve-month prospective study. Am J

Sports Med. 1996;24(2):211-7.

4. Nattiv A, Puffer JC, Casper J, et al. Stress fracture risk factors, incidence and

distribution: A 3 year prospective study in collegiate runners. Med Sci Sports Exerc.

2000;32(5 Suppl.):S347.

 Snyder RA, Koester MC, Dunn WR. Epidemiology of stress fractures. Clin Sports Med. 2006;25(1):37-52. 

#### **BMJ Open**

6.	Milgrom C, Giladi M, Simkin A. Analysis of the biomechanical mechanisms of tibial
stress	fractures among Israeli infantry recruits. Clinl Orthop 1988;231:216-21.
7.	Beck TJ, Ruff CB, Shaffer RA, et al. Stress fracture in military recruits: Gender
differ	ences in muscle and bone susceptibility factors. Bone. 2000;27:437-44.
8.	Beck T. Measuring the structural strength of bone with dual-energy x-ray
absorj	ptiometry: principals, technical limitations, and future possibilities. Osteoporos Int.
2003;	<b>14</b> (supple 5):S81-S8.
9.	Giladi M, Milgrom C, Simkin A, et al. Stress fractures -Identifiable risk factors Am J
Sports	s Med. 1991; <b>19</b> (6):647-52.
10.	Carbon R, Sambrook PN, Deakin V, et al. Bone-Density of Elite Female Athletes with
Stress	-Fractures. Med J Australia. 1990;153(7):373-6.
11.	Myburgh KH, Hutchins J, Fataar AB, et al. Low bone density is an etiologic factor for
stress	-fractors in athletes. Ann InternMed. 1990;113(10):754-9.
12.	Bennell K, Matheson G, Meeuwisse W, et al. Risk factors for stress fractures. Sports
Med.	1999; <b>28</b> (2):91-122.
13.	Bennell KL, Malcolm SA, Thomas SA, et al. Risk factors for stress fractures in track
and fi	eld athletes - A twelve-month prospective study. Am J Sports Med. 1996;24(6):810-8.
14.	Brukner P, Bradshaw C, Bennell K. Managing common stress fractures - Let risk leve
guide	treatment. <i>Physician Sportsmed</i> ; <b>26</b> (8):39-47.
15.	Nattiv A. Stress fractures and bone health in track and field athletes. J Sci Med Sport.
2000;	<b>3</b> (3):268-79.
16.	Nattiv A, Loucks AB, Manore MM, et al. American College of Sports Medicine
positi	on stand. The female athlete triad. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2007;39(10):1867-82.
	۲۱ For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

17. Taranis L, Touyz S, Meyer C. Disordered eating and exercise: Development and preliminary validation of the compulsive exercise test (CET). Eur Eat Disord Rev. 2011;19:256-68.

Sundgot-Borgen J, Torstveit M. The female football player, disordered eating, menstrual function and bone health. Brit J Sports Med. 2007;41:68-72.

19. Nattiv A, Armsey TD. Stress injury to bone in the female athlete. Clin Sports Med. 1997;16(2):197-&.

20. Kelsey JL, Bachrach LK, Procter-Gray E, et al. Risk factors for stress fracture among young female cross-country runners. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2007;39(9):1457-63.

21. Meyer C, Taranis L, Goodwin H, et al. Compulsive Exercise and Eating Disorders. *Eur Eat Disord Rev.* 2011;**19**(3):174-89.

22. Davis C, Kennedy SH, Ravelski E, et al. The role of physical activity in the development and maintenance of eating disorders. *Psychol Med.* 1994 Nov;24(4):957-67.

23. Snow-Harter CM. Bone health and prevention of osteoporosis in active and athletic women. Clin J Sports Med. 1994;13:389-404.

24. Snead DB, Stubbs CC, Weltman JY, et al. Dietary patterns, eating behaviours, and bone mineral density in women runners Am J Clin Nutri. 1992;56(4):705-11.

25. Myburgh KH, Bachrach LK, Lewis B, et al. Low bone mineral density at axial and appendicular sites in amenorrheic athletes Med Sci Sports Exerc. 1993;25(11):1197-202.

26. Barrow GW, Saha S. Menstrual irregularity and stress fractures in collegiate female distance runners Am J Sports Med. 1988;16(3):209-16.

27. Fairburn CG, Beglin SJ. Assessment of eating disorders - Interview of self-reporting questionnaire Int J Eat Disord. 1994;16(4):363-70.

6

# **BMJ Open**

food	frequency questionnaire and identification of extreme nutritient values. J Hum Nutr Diet
2005;	<b>18</b> :99-116.
29.	Bingham SA, Gill C, Welch A, et al. Comparison of dietary assessment methods in
nutrit	ional epidemiology - Weighed records V 24-H recalls, food frequency questionnaires
and e	stimated-diet records <i>Brit J Nutr</i> . 1994; <b>72</b> (4):619-43.
30.	Callaway C, Chumlea W, Bouchard C, et al. Circumferences. Anthropometric
stand	ardization reference manual. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics; 1988. p. 39-54.
31.	Arendt E, Agel J, Heikes C, et al. Stress injuries to bone in college athletes - A
retros	spective review of experience at a single institution. Am J Sports Med, 2003; <b>31</b> (6):959-
68.	
32.	Benazzo F, Barnabei G, Ferrario A, et al. Stress fractures in track and field athletics $J$
Sport	s Traumatol 1992;14(1):51-65.
33.	Bennell KL, Brukner PD. Epidemiology and site specificity of stress fractures. Clin
Sport	s Med. 1997; <b>16</b> (2):179-95.
34.	Matheson GO, Clement DB, McKenzie DC, et al. Stress fractures in athletes -A study
of 32	0 cases Am J Sports Med. 1987;15(1):46-58.
35.	Crossley K, Bennell KL, Wrigley T, et al. Ground reaction forces, bone
chara	cteristics, and tibial stress fracture in male runners. Med Sci Sports Exerc.
1999;	<b>31</b> (8):1088-93.
36.	Grimston SK, Zernicke RF. Exercise-related stress responses in bone. J Appl Biomech
1993;	<b>9</b> (1):2-14.
37.	Warren MP. Amenorrhea in endurance runners. J Clin Endocrinal Metab.
1992-	75(6):1393-7

38. Malina R, Bouchard C. Characteristics of young athletes. Growth, Maturation, and Physical Activity. Champaig, IL: Human Kinetics; 1991. p. 443-63.

39. Carbon RJ. Exercise, amenorrhoea and the skeleton. *Brit Med Bull*, 1992;48(3):546-60.

40. Cobb KL, Bachrach IK, Sowers M, et al. The effect of oral contraceptives on bone mass and stress fractures in female runners. Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise. 34-73. 2007;39(9):1464-73.

# **BMJ Open**

Risk factor	rs for stress fracture in female endurance athletes: a cross-sectional study
Duckhan	n R.L <sup>1</sup> , Peirce N <sup>2</sup> , Meyer C <sup>4</sup> , Summers G <sup>3</sup> , Cameron N <sup>1</sup> , Brooke-Wavell K <sup>1</sup>
Institutional	affiliation of authors:
<sup>1</sup> Centre for G Loughborough	lobal Health and Human Development, Loughborough University, SSEHS, n, Leicestershire, LE11 3TU, United Kingdom
<sup>2</sup> Nottingham Loughborough	University Hospitals NHS Trust/ England and Wales Cricket Board, 1, United Kingdom
<sup>3</sup> Royal Derby	Hospital, Derbyshire, United Kingdom
<sup>4.</sup> Loughborou Loughborough	gh University, Centre for Research into Eating Disorders (LUCRED), n, United Kingdom
Correspondin	ng Author: Dr. Rachel Duckham
Correspondin	ng Author Address:
School of Spo	rt, Exercise and Health Sciences
Loughborough	n University
Leicestershire	
LE11 3TU, U	к
Telephone:	+44 1509 222749
Fax:	+44 1509 223940
Email:	r.l.duckham@lboro.ac.uk
Key words: c	ompulsive exercise, amenorrhoea, eating psychopathology, eating disorder,
endurance at	hletes
Word Count:	3298
	1

# **Article Summary**

# **Article Focus**

- 1. To identify the factors related to stress fracture in female endurance athletes,
- 2. To evaluate associations of eating and exercise behaviours with stress fracture and to determine whether associations are independent of previously recognised risk factors.

# **Key Points**

- 1. Compulsive exercise and lower leg lean tissue mass, as well as amenorrhoea, are independently related to stress fracture risk
- 2. Eating disorder psychopathology was associated with increased risk of stress fracture in endurance athletes, but this may be mediated by menstrual dysfunction and compulsive exercise.

# **Strengths and Limitations**

- 1. Strength; the assessment of the Compulsive Exercise test (CET) and a more robust measure of eating psychopathology determined that compulsive exercise and eating psychopathology are associated with stress fracture risk.
- 2. Limitation, potential recruitment bias, self-reporting of history of stress fracture and the ability to recall data.
- 3. Limitation; measurements at variable intervals following stress fracture during which time athlete behaviours, and other risk factors, may have changed.

### Abstract

**Objective:** To identify psychological and physiological correlates of stress fracture in female endurance athletes.

**Design:** A cross-sectional design was used with history of stress fractures and potential risk factors assessed at one visit.

**Methods:** Female endurance athletes (58 runners and 12 triathletes) aged  $26.0\pm7.4$  years completed questionnaires on stress fracture history, menstrual history, athletic training, eating psychopathology and exercise cognitions. Bone mineral density, body fat content, and lower leg lean tissue mass (LLLTM) were assessed using dual x-ray absorptiometry. Variables were compared between athletes with a history of stress fracture (SF) and those without (controls; C) using chi-squared, ANOVA and Mann Whitney U tests.

**Results:** Nineteen (27%) athletes had previously been clinically diagnosed with stress fractures. The prevalence of current a/oligomenorrhoea and past amenorrhoea was higher in SF than C (p=0.008 and p=0.035 respectively). SF recorded higher global scores on the eating disorder examination questionnaire (p=0.049) and compulsive exercise test (p=0.006) and had higher LLLTM (p=0.029) compared to C. These findings persisted with weight and height as covariates. In multivariate logistic regression, compulsive exercise, amenorrhoea and LLLTM were significant independent predictors of stress fracture history (p=0.006, 0.009 and 0.035 respectively).

**Conclusion:** Eating psychopathology was associated with increased risk of stress fracture in endurance athletes, but this may be mediated by menstrual dysfunction and compulsive exercise. Compulsive exercise, as well as amenorrhoea, are independently related to stress fracture risk.

# Introduction

Stress fractures occur when microdamage caused by repetitive mechanical load exceeds the biological capacity of the bone (1). Stress fractures are the most common overuse injury in athletes, interrupting training and prematurely ending sporting careers (2). Over 40% of athletes report a history of stress fracture according to some previous research, although prevalence differs markedly between studies (3-5).

Risk factors for stress fracture have been studied in military recruits commencing physically demanding physical training programmes. The risk factors identified have included irregular menses; low bone mineral density (BMD); low lean mass, lower muscle size and strength; poor skeletal alignment (leg length discrepancy); narrow tibial cross sectional area, and low physical fitness compared to those who did not stress fracture (6-9). Whilst these studies have improved our understanding of the aetiology of stress fracture, these risk factors may not translate well to athletes, who are accustomed to training and have high levels of fitness (2). In female athletes, previously identified risk factors for stress fracture include small calf circumference, late age at menarche, menstrual dysfunction (both past and current), low bone density, current disordered eating, and abnormal gait (2, 5, 10-15). However, findings have often been inconsistent (e.g. low bone density is associated with increased risk in some studies but not others) (5).

Female endurance athletes have particularly high prevalence of the female athlete triad, which involves menstrual dysfunction, low bone mineral density and dietary restriction/energy deprivation (16). All of these may be risk factors for stress fractures (12, 13, 15) but previous studies on their role in athletic populations have had small sample sizes and yielded conflicting findings (5, 17). Increased awareness of the female athlete triad in recent decades may have affected management of the female athlete, perhaps to the extent that the associations reported in older studies may no longer persist. The current high prevalence (1-

#### **BMJ Open**

62%) (18) of disordered eating among female athletes could further increase stress fracture risk, as restrictive eating patterns were associated with increased risk in some of the previous studies (2, 19, 20). Furthermore, restrictive eating patterns may be accompanied by compulsive exercise behaviours (21, 22), but the role of compulsive exercise has not been examined. Eating behaviours may have an independent effect on stress fracture risk, or this may be mediated through related exercise behaviours, menstrual dysfunction or low bone density. It is important to determine whether eating behaviours have an independent effect as this would demonstrate that resolving menstrual dysfunction and low bone density would not entirely resolve the increased risk of stress fracture.

There is thus a need for further research on the role of eating and exercise behaviours in stress fracture risk, using multivariate models to determine whether risk factors act independently. Therefore, this study aimed to identify the factors related to stress fracture in female endurance athletes, to evaluate associations of eating and exercise behaviours with stress fracture and to determine whether associations are independent of previously recognised risk factors.

#### Methods

#### Study design

A cross-sectional design was used with history of stress fractures and potential risk factors assessed at one visit. Questionnaires were completed to assess stress fracture history, eating psychopathology, dietary intakes, menstrual dysfunction, contraceptive use and training history. A four day diet diary was provided for subsequent completion and return. Bone mineral density (BMD) bone mineral content (BMC), geometric properties and body composition were measured using dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA), and anthropometric measures were taken using standard techniques. The study received ethical approval from the National Research Ethics Service (NRES) and Loughborough University Ethics Committee. All athletes gave written informed consent.

# **Participants**

Seventy female endurance athletes aged 18-45 years were recruited through the English Institute of Sport, UK athletics, British triathlon and UK registered running and triathlon clubs, via letters, posters, group meetings and word-of-mouth. Athletes were required to either, be competing at international, national, or county level and training approximately 8-10 hours per week (runners) or 15-20 hours per week (triathletes) in events from 800m to the marathon or triathlon. Athletes were excluded from the study if they had not currently trained in the last six months and if they were currently pregnant or lactating or had been in the last 12-months.

#### Measurements

#### **Injury history**

History of stress fracture was assessed by questionnaire. These were defined as a fracture/reaction clinically diagnosed by a sports physician and confirmed with a positive diagnosis on X-ray, CT, or MRI. For each stress fracture, athletes recorded the age when the stress fracture occurred, the anatomical location, time of year, and method of diagnosis.

### **Menstrual function**

Participants were classified as secondary amenorrhoeic (less than 4 menses per year) oligomenorrhoeic (4-9 periods per year, periods occurring at intervals greater than 35 days after onset of menses) or eumenorrhoeic (10 or more periods per year) based on their menstrual function in the last 12-months (23). For analysis purposes athletes who were classified with menstrual dysfunction were combined into one group (a/oligomenorrhoea, 0-9 periods per year) (24-26) and compared with the eumenorrhoeic athletes. Age at menarche,

#### **BMJ Open**

number of periods in the preceding 12 months, duration of a/oligomenorrhoea since menarche, and current use of hormonal contraception (HC) were assessed by questionnaire.

### Eating psychopathology and compulsive exercise cognitions

Athletes completed the Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire (EDE-Q) (27) and the Compulsive Exercise Test (CET) (17). The EDE-Q is a self reported version of the Eating Disorder Examination (EDE), the current gold standard for measuring eating disordered psychopathology (27). The EDE-Q is a 36-item questionnaire comprising four subscales (restraint, eating concern, shape concern, weight concern), a global score and a specific section of diagnostic questions related to bingeing/purging. Each item on the questionnaire is scored from 0-6 with high scores representative of concerns with eating behaviours and attitudes. The CET is a 24-item self reported questionnaire scored from 0-5 with high scores representative of compulsive exercise traits. It is designed to assess four domains of compulsive exercise (compulsivity, affect regulation, weight and shape driven exercise and behavioural rigidity) (17). The CET has not previously been used in competitive athletes but has been validated in a comparable group of young female exercises (17).

#### **Dietary behaviours**

Participants completed a food frequency questionnaire (European Prospective Investigation into Cancer; EPIC FFQ) (28, 29) and a four day diet diary. The EPIC FFQ provides general information of the number of portions consumed of a wide range of foods over the past 12-months. The four day food diary was completed by the participants over three week days and one weekend. The FFQ and the 4-day diet diary were coded and entered into a computerized software package (CompEat Pro, version 5.8) to determine energy, macro- and micro-nutrient intakes.

#### Bone and body composition

DXA was used to measure BMD and BMC of the total body, lumbar spine, femoral neck, and radius (Lunar Prodigy, GE Healthcare, Madison, WI, U.S.A version 12.2). Bone geometry at the femoral neck was estimated using Lunar Advanced Hip Structural Analysis (AHA) algorithms to determine the cross-sectional moment of inertia (CSMI), cross-sectional area (CSA), minimal femoral neck width and section modulus (Z). All scans were conducted on the dominant side as this is reported to be the most prevalent side for injury (13).

# Anthropometric measures

Height and body mass were measured prior to the DXA measurements using standard protocols, via a stadiometer (Holtain Ltd, Pembrokeshire) and beam balance scale (Herbert and Sons Ltd, London) respectively. Body composition (mass of fat, lean and bone) was assessed from a total body DXA scan. The mass of bone-free lean tissue in the lower leg (LLLTM; defined as the region inferior to the knee joint space) was also determined from the DXA scan, as a measure related to calf muscle mass. Athletes were blinded to the body composition results until the end of the study to prevent any possible bias when answering questionnaires related to eating psychopathology. Circumferences of the dominant thigh and calf were measured with a tape measure held horizontally at the midpoint between the inguinal crease and the patella for the thigh, and midpoint between the proximal tibia border and the medial malleolus for the calf (30).

#### **Statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS18.0 (SPSS Chicago, Illinois, USA). The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to assess normal distribution. Athletes were classified into two groups: those with a history of stress fracture (SF) and a control (C) group. Potential risk factors were compared between groups using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Mann-Whitney U-Tests. Chi-squared tests were used to determine whether frequencies of

categorical variables differed between SF and C groups. Discriminators of stress fracture identified as statistically significant in univariate analyses along with theory driven variables weight (as a measure for body size) and training duration were entered into a logistic regression to determine their independent contribution to stress fracture/reaction injury. The first model (model A), examined the contribution of a/oligomenorrhoea. Subsequent models (Model B, C, and D) examined the contributions of CET and EDE-Q independent of a/oligomenorrhoea and/or each other. The final model (Model E) includes all potential discriminators of stress fracture to determine which are independent of each other. For this analysis, eating psychopathology and compulsive exercise variables were re-coded into two subgroups using a median split. Statistical significance was considered at the 5% probability level (p< 0.05).

# Results

70 female athletes (58 runners and 12 triathletes) were recruited, of whom 36% were at national or international standard. A high prevalence of menstrual dysfunction was evident, with 42.9% of athletes categorized as a/oligomenorrhoeic ( $\leq$  9 periods/year) and 57.1% eumenorrhoeic ( $\geq$  10 periods a year). Of the 70 athletes, 21 (30%; 4 a/oligomenorrhoeic and 17 eumenorrhoeic) were currently using hormonal contraceptives (HC).

Nineteen athletes (27%; 24% at the national/international level and 29% at the sub-elite level) reported having experienced a total of 24 stress fractures. Common stress fracture sites were the metatarsals (46%), tibia or fibula (38%), calcaneus (13%) and the femur (4%). The mean (SD) age at the first stress fracture was 21.4 (1.4) years, with stress fractures diagnosed at a median (IQR) of 3.0 (6.8) years from the start of training. Age, height, body mass and body fat did not differ between SF and C groups (P > 0.05; Table 1).

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

Prevalence of current a/oligomenorrhoea and past amenorrhoea were significantly higher in the SF than C group (chi-squared test p=0.008 and 0.035 respectively; Table 1) although age at menarche did not differ significantly between groups. Dominant LLLTM was significantly higher in the SF than C group (p=0.029); this finding persisted after adjustment for weight and training duration (hrs/week) (p=0.035). Calf circumference measurements, bone measurements, energy, macronutrient intakes and calcium intakes did not differ between groups (Table 1).

# **BMJ Open**

2
3
4
5
5
6
7
8
õ
3
10
11
12
12
13
14
15
16
17
17
18
19
20
21
<u> </u>
22
23
24
25
20
26
27
28
20
29
30
31
32
33
33
34
35
36
27
37
38
39
40
⊿1
41
42
43
44
45
40
46
47
48
⊿0
73
50
51
52
52
55
54
55
56
57
50
20
59
60

Characteristics	Stress Fracture (SF) group (n = 19)	Control (C) group (n = 51)
Age (yrs)	25.6 (6.4)	26.1 (7.8)
Height (m)	1.67 (0.05)	1.67 (0.05)
Weight (kg)	56.2 (4.8)	54.9 (6.2)
Body fat (%)	16.6 (4.7)	17.4 (6.4)
Lower leg lean tissue mass (kg)	2.61 (0.03)	2.46 (0.02)
Calf circumference (cm)	32.0 (2.3)	31.2 (1.80)
Time since stress fracture (yrs) (median (IQR)	2.0 (3.0)	-
Training		
Time since commencing training (yrs)	7.4 (4.3)	7.8 (6.4)
Age at commencing competition (yrs)	18.3 (7.0)	18.4 (8.5)
Weekly training duration (hrs/week)	14.2 (4.3)	12.1 (4.3)
Bone Mineral Density (g/cm <sup>2</sup> )		
Total body	1.160 (0.070)	1.151 (0.064
Femoral neck	1.068 (0.122)	1.089 (0.107
Lumbar spine	1.144 (0.157)	1.119 (0.099
Bana Minand contant (a)		
Total body	2482 (305)	2425 (208)
Femoral neck	49(04)	2423 (298)
Lumbar spine	4.9(0.4)	5.1(0.7)
	00.5 (12.2)	00.2 (9.9)
Bone Geometry		157 (21)
CSA (mm <sup>-</sup> )	154 (17)	157 (21)
Minimal femoral neck width (mm)	28.9 (2.6)	28.4 (2.1)
Section Modulus (mm <sup>2</sup> )	677 (117)	674 (121)
Menstrual History		
Age at Menarche (years)	13.9 (1.7)	14.1 (2.1)
History of amenorrhoea **	15 (78.9%)	26 (51.0%)
Current a/oligomenorrhoea**	13 (68.4%)	17 (33.3%)
Current eumenorrhoea **	6 (31.6%)	34 (66.7%)
Hormonal Contraception		
Current hormonal contraception users (HC) **	4 (21 1%)	17 (33 3%)
Current hormonal contraception use in a/oligomenorrhoea (HCA) **	4(21.1%)	3(64.7%)
Four-day diet analysis	SF (n-17)	C(n-44)
Evener Intelio (keel)	1962(699)	1075 (205)
Energy Intake (KCal)	1802 (688)	18/3 (303)
	33.2 (3.3)	30.0 (3.3)
Protein (%)	14.8 (2.9)	16.2 (3.3)
Fat (%)	30.0 (4.9)	27.2 (5.3)
Calcium (mg)	887 (377)	944 (277)

\*significant difference between stress fracture and control groups: P < 0.05

\*\* Reported as the number of people and percentage

The SF group scored higher on the global scores for both the EDE-Q and CET Global score (p=0.049, p=0.006 respectively, Table 2) and had a higher behaviour rigidity score on the CET (p=0.038) than controls (Table 2). There were no significant differences in global scores for either, EDE-Q (p=0.216) and CET (p=0.216) between the athletes at the national/international level and sub-elite level.

Table 2: Eating disorder examination and compulsive exercise test questionnaire responses according to stress fracture history: median (inter-quartile range).

	<b>Stress Fracture</b>	Control (C)	
Characteristics	(SF) group	group	
	(N =19)	(N=51)	
EDE-Q			
Restraint	1.6 (0.6-3.2)	1.0 (0.4-1.8)	
Eating Concerns	0.6 (0.2-1.4)	0.2 (0.2-0.6)	
Shape Concerns	1.9 (0.9-3.6)	1.3 (0.5-2.0)	
Weight Concerns	1.2 (0.6-3.0)	1.0 (0.4-1.8)	
Global Scores	1.7 (0.6-2.7)	0.9 (0.5-1.6)	*
CET			
Compulsive Exercise	3.4 (2.6-3.9)	2.8 (1.9-3.5)	
Shape and weight	2.4 (1.8-3.4)	2.0 (1.6-2.6)	
Mood Regulation	4.0 (3.4-5.0)	3.8 (3.0-4.4)	
Lack of exercise enjoyment	0.7 (0.0-1.3)	0.3 (0.0-1.0)	
Behaviour Rigidity	3.7 (3.0-4.0)	3.0 (2.7-3.7)	*
Global Scores	2.9 (2.4-3.1)	2.4 (2.1-2.8)	*

\*Significant difference between stress fracture and control groups: Mann Whitney-U test P < 0.05

The variables that differed according to SF in the univariate analysis, (current a/oligomenorrhoea, lower leg lean tissue mass, EDE-Q scores, and CET scores) were entered into a multiple logistic regression adjusted for weight (kg) and training duration (hrs). A/oligomenorrhoea was associated with 4.7 fold greater odds of stress fracture relative to eumenorrhoea (p=0.009, Table 3, model A). This finding persisted when current HC was

#### **BMJ Open**

entered into the regression model (p=0.012, B=1.506) and when CET, EDE-Q and/or LLLTM were added to the model (Table 3, models B-E). CET score and LLLTM, but not EDE-Q scores contributed to stress fracture risk independently of a/oligomenorrhoea. Athletes with CET scores above the median had 7.1 fold greater odds of past stress fracture than those below the median (p=0.006, B=1.956; Table 3 models B and D). Each additional SD of LLLTM was associated with 2.3 fold greater odds of fracture (p=0.035, B=0.843). Findings for all models were similar when not adjusted for weight and training duration.

Table 3: Multivariate logistic regression models of stress fracture history: odds ratio (95% CI)

	Model A	Model B	Model C	Model D	Model E
Constant (B)	-3.9	-7.6	-4.7	-7.6	-3.2
Cox & Snell <b>R</b> <sup>2</sup>	0.137	0.245	0.149	0.248	0.253
Variables					
Current a/oligomenorrhoea <sup>1</sup>	4.7 (1.5-15.0) **	7.1 (1.9-27.1) **	4.5 (1.4-14.6) *	6.6 (1.9-31.1) **	8.6 (1.9-38.2) **
Global CET (>median to <median)< td=""><td></td><td>7.1 (1.8-28.4) **</td><td></td><td>8.8 (1.7-44.2) **</td><td>10.2 (1.7-36.4) *</td></median)<>		7.1 (1.8-28.4) **		8.8 (1.7-44.2) **	10.2 (1.7-36.4) *
Global EDE-Q (>median to <median)< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>1.8 (0.5-5.7)</td><td>0.7 (0.2-2.8)</td><td>0.7 (0.1-3.5)</td></median)<>			1.8 (0.5-5.7)	0.7 (0.2-2.8)	0.7 (0.1-3.5)
Lower leg lean tissue mass (SD)					2.3 (1.1-5.0)*

Logistic regression with stress fracture history as the dependent variable. \* P < 0.05, \*\**P* <0.01,

<sup>1</sup>=Eumenorrhoea as the reference group, CET=Compulsive Exercise Test, EDE-Q= Eating Disorder Examination-Questionnaire. The global CET and EDEQ variables represent a comparison with a median split. Models are adjusted for weight and training duration.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2012-001920 on 19 November 2012. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES)

to text and

ur (ABES) . data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

Protected by copyright, including for uses related

# Discussion

 Eating psychopathology, compulsive exercise, menstrual dysfunction and lower leg lean tissue mass were associated with increased stress fracture risk in endurance athletes. The association of eating psychopathology was not independent of menstrual function, suggesting that the influence of disordered eating on stress fracture is mediated through a/oligomenorrhoea. Compulsion to exercise and lower leg lean tissue mass, as well as menstrual dysfunction, were independently related to stress fracture risk and may play a role in stress fracture aetiology.

In this study, 27% of female athletes had a history of stress fracture. This is similar to the prevalence of 31% in a recent study in female cross-country runners (20), but substantially lower than the 50% in a classic study in endurance athletes (2). Differences in findings could be explained by factors such as: diagnostic techniques, athlete age, training intensity and volume and potential recruitment bias. It is also possible that findings from earlier studies, and increased awareness of female athlete triad, have led to improvements in athlete management contributing to lower prevalence of stress fracture in more recent studies. In our study, as in a recent study in distance runners (31), the most common site was the metatarsals, whilst in earlier studies more stress fractures occurred at the tibia in distance runners (2, 3, 32) and track and field athletes (2, 3, 26, 33, 34), although metatarsal and tarsal stress fractures were more common in sprinters and jumpers (32). Metatarsal SF prevalence is associated with changes in training surface, training volume, footwear and running biomechanics (35), so these factors may contribute to differences in stress fracture/reaction locations.

Current menstrual dysfunction was prevalent in this sample of athletes, with 43% of athletes reporting current amenorrhoea or oligomenorrhoea. Both current and past menstrual dysfunction were significantly more frequent in athletes with a history of stress fracture, consistent with earlier findings (2, 10, 11, 36, 37). Furthermore, current menstrual dysfunction

was an independent predictor of stress fracture history, with a/oligomenorrhoeic athletes having 4.3 times greater odds of stress fracture compared with those who were currently eumenorrhoeic. This is consistent with the 6-fold greater odds of stress fracture in athletes with menstrual dysfunction reported by Bennell et al, (2). The endurance athletes had an average menarcheal age of 14.0 years, compared to 12.3 years in a broader population indicating later menarche occurs in endurance athletes, as has previously been reported in gymnasts (38). Age at menarche was not associated with an increased risk of stress fracture, although associations have been reported in some (2, 13, 39) although not all (11, 20) previous studies. As the results did not identify significant differences in either age at menarche or current BMD, it seems most likely that secondary amenorrhoea rather than primary amenorrhoea or delayed menarche was associated with stress fracture.

Interestingly, although athletes with stress fracture had a higher prevalence of amenorrhoea, they had a lower prevalence of hormonal contraceptive use, although this difference did not reach statistical significance. It is possible that amenorrhoea not countered by HC use is associated with greater stress fracture risk; furthermore amenorrhoeic athletes are possibly less likely to comply with HC use in the fear of gaining weight (40). Alternatively it is possible that having a history of stress fracture influences HC prescribing strategies. Given the increased awareness of the female athlete triad, clinical physicians presented with an amenorrhoeic athlete with a stress fracture may attempt to determine the cause of, and resolve, the amenorrhoea rather than prescribing HC, although past research has shown a trend towards a reduction in stress fracture when HC was prescribed (11, 26, 40). Further studies of the role of HC use in stress fracture is needed.

Athletes with a history of stress fracture scored higher on the EDE-Q than athletes with no stress fracture history, thus demonstrating a potential relationship with eating psychopathology. This finding supports earlier work which used a less robust measure of BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2012-001920 on 19 November 2012. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) .

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

eating psychopathology (2). However, unlike previous studies (2) our findings did not show eating psychopathology to be a discriminator of stress fracture history independent of a/oligomenorrhoea. The relationship between eating psychopathology and stress fracture may therefore be mediated through menstrual dysfunction. Future intervention studies would be necessary to confirm whether treatment of disordered eating can reduce stress fracture risk.

A novel finding from the present study is that athletes with high (above median) CET scores had moderately (7.3 times) greater odds of stress fracture, perhaps indicating a greater tendency to train through discomfort. Compulsive exercise predicted stress fracture independently of a/oligomenorrhoea and eating psychopathology. It is therefore important to examine compulsive exercise, as well as menstrual status, when identifying athletes at risk for stress fracture.

Lower calf circumference has been reported to increase the risk of stress fracture in track and field athletes independently of all other factors (13) and this finding is assumed to be mediated by lower leg muscle mass. In this study, calf circumference did not differ according to stress fracture history, but lower leg lean tissue mass measured by DXA was *positively* related with stress fracture, with athletes who had a greater lower leg lean and running more being more likely to have had a stress fracture, independent of other risk factors. Due to the retrospective nature of this study however, it is not possible to determine if greater lower leg lean tissue mass is a cause or effect of stress fracture history. Future studies should further examine the potential role of lower leg muscularity in stress fracture aetiology, using more robust measures than calf circumference, for instance DXA or magnetic resonance imaging.

Factors not significantly related to stress fracture in this study included dietary intakes, bone mineral density, bone geometry and calf circumference. Low dietary calcium intake was a significant predictor of stress fracture in some (20), but not all (13) previous research.

### BMJ Open

Findings may differ depending on measurement tools and differences in dietary intakes, although mean calcium intake was above recommended values in all these studies. Similarly, low BMD was associated with SF risk in some (13, 15, 20) but not all previous studies (2, 10).

Strengths of this study include the assessment of CET. Our findings that compulsive attitudes towards exercise and eating psychopathology are associated with stress fracture risk highlight that those treating and coaching endurance athletes should consider attitudes to exercise and eating behaviours when assessing stress fracture risk. Future research should examine whether interventions that address these features can reduce stress fracture risk. The major limitation of this study is imposed by the experimental design. Due to the cross-sectional nature of this study, it is not possible to determine whether risk fractures are a cause or effect of the stress fracture as they have been measured after the outcome occurred. Also, as the study was not prospective we could not determine relative risks. It should be noted that as the prevalence of stress fractures is relatively high, odds ratios may be substantially greater than relative risks. Further limitations include potential recruitment bias, self-reporting of history of stress fracture and the ability to recall data. However, the occurrence of a stress fracture during an athlete's career is usually an event of such significance that it is unlikely to be misreported. Athletes were measured at variable intervals following stress fracture during which time their behaviours, and other risk factors, may have changed. Differences in stress fracture site and prevalence between recent and classic studies suggest that changes in athlete management have occurred (perhaps following findings from earlier studies) so there is a need to conduct further prospective studies in contemporary female endurance athletes.

In conclusion disordered eating was associated with increased risk of stress fracture, but this may be mediated through menstrual dysfunction. Compulsive exercise cognitions, as well as

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2012-001920 on 19 November 2012. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

amenorrhoea, were independently associated with stress fracture risk and may have a role in stress fracture aetiology.

# **Conflicts of Interest**

There are no perceived conflicts of interest associated with the research.

# Funding

This research was funded by Loughborough University School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences and East Midlands Universities Association.

#### References

Schaffler MB, Radin EL, Burr DB. Long term fatigue behavior of compact bone at 1. low strain magnitude and rate Bone. 1990;11(5):321-6.

2. Bennell KL, Malcolm SA, Thomas SA, et al. Risk-factors for stress-fractures in female track and field athletes - A retrospective analysis. Clin J Sport Med. 1995;5(4):229-35.

3. Bennell KL, Malcolm SA, Thomas SA, Wark JD, Brukner PD. The incidence and distribution of stress fractures in competitive track and field athletes - A twelve-month prospective study. Am J Sports Med. 1996;24(2):211-7.

4. Nattiv A, Puffer JC, Casper J, et al. Stress fracture risk factors, incidence and distribution: A 3 year prospective study in collegiate runners. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2000;**32**(5 Suppl.):S347.

5. Snyder RA, Koester MC, Dunn WR. Epidemiology of stress fractures. Clin Sports Med. 2006;25(1):37-52.

Milgrom C, Giladi M, Simkin A. Analysis of the biomechanical mechanisms of tibial 6. stress fractures among Israeli infantry recruits. *Clinl Orthop* 1988;231:216-21.

1

#### **BMJ Open**

7.	Beck TJ, Ruff CB, Shaffer RA, Betsinger K, Trone DW, Brodine SK. Stress fracture
in mili	tary recruits: Gender differences in muscle and bone susceptibility factors. Bone.
2000; <b>2</b>	<b>7</b> :437-44.
8.	Beck T. Measuring the structural strength of bone with dual-energy x-ray
absorp	tiometry: principals, technical limitations, and future possibilities. Osteoporos Int.
2003;1	<b>4</b> (supple 5):S81-S8.
9.	Giladi M, Milgrom C, Simkin A, Danon Y. Stress fractures -Identifiable risk factors
Am J S	ports Med. 1991; <b>19</b> (6):647-52.
10.	Carbon R, Sambrook PN, Deakin V, et al. Bone-Density of Elite Female Athletes with
Stress-	Fractures. Med J Australia. 1990;153(7):373-6.
11.	Myburgh KH, Hutchins J, Fataar AB, Hough SF, Noakes TD. Low bone density is an
etiolog	ic factor for stress-fractors in athletes. Ann InternMed. 1990;113(10):754-9.
12.	Bennell K, Matheson G, Meeuwisse W, Brukner P. Risk factors for stress fractures.
Sports	Med. 1999; <b>28</b> (2):91-122.
13.	Bennell KL, Malcolm SA, Thomas SA, et al. Risk factors for stress fractures in track
and fie	ld athletes - A twelve-month prospective study. Am J Sports Med. 1996;24(6):810-8.
14.	Brukner P, Bradshaw C, Bennell K. Managing common stress fractures - Let risk level
guide t	reatment. Physician Sportsmed;26(8):39-47.
15.	Nattiv A. Stress fractures and bone health in track and field athletes. J Sci Med Sport.
2000; <b>3</b>	(3):268-79.
16.	Nattiv A, Loucks AB, Manore MM, et al. American College of Sports Medicine
positio	n stand. The female athlete triad. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2007;39(10):1867-82.
17.	Taranis L, Touyz S, Meyer C. Disordered eating and exercise: Development and
prelim	inary validation of the compulsive exercise test (CET). Eur Eat Disord Rev.
2011; <b>1</b>	<b>9</b> :256-68.
	19 For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2012-001920 on 19 November 2012. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) .

data mining, AI training, and similar technologies

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and

18. Sundgot-Borgen J, Torstveit M. The female football player, disordered eating, menstrual function and bone health. Brit J Sports Med. 2007;41:68-72. 19. Nattiv A, Armsey TD. Stress injury to bone in the female athlete. Clin Sports Med. 1997;**16**(2):197-&. 20. Kelsey JL, Bachrach LK, Procter-Gray E, et al. Risk factors for stress fracture among young female cross-country runners. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2007;39(9):1457-63. 21. Meyer C, Taranis L, Goodwin H, Haycraft E. Compulsive Exercise and Eating Disorders. Eur Eat Disord Rev. 2011;19(3):174-89. 22. Davis C, Kennedy SH, Ravelski E, Dionne M. The role of physical activity in the development and maintenance of eating disorders. *Psychol Med.* 1994 Nov;**24**(4):957-67. 23. Snow-Harter CM. Bone health and prevention of osteoporosis in active and athletic women. Clin J Sports Med. 1994;13:389-404. 24. Snead DB, Stubbs CC, Weltman JY, et al. Dietary patterns, eating behaviours, and bone mineral density in women runners Am J Clin Nutri. 1992;56(4):705-11. 25. Myburgh KH, Bachrach LK, Lewis B, Kent K, Marcus R. Low bone mineral density at axial and appendicular sites in amenorrheic athletes Med Sci Sports Exerc. 1993;25(11):1197-202.

26. Barrow GW, Saha S. Menstrual irregularity and stress fractures in collegiate female distance runners Am J Sports Med. 1988;16(3):209-16.

27. Fairburn CG, Beglin SJ. Assessment of eating disorders - Interview of self-reporting questionnaire Int J Eat Disord. 1994;16(4):363-70.

28. Welsh AA. The CAFE computer program for nutritional analysis of the EPIC-Norfolk food frequency questionnaire and identification of extreme nutritient values. J Hum Nutr Diet. 2005;18:99-116.

# **BMJ Open**

29.	Bingham SA, Gill C, Welch A, et al. Comparison of dietary assessment methods in						
nutriti	onal epidemiology - Weighed records V 24-H recalls, food frequency questionnaires						
and estimated-diet records Brit J Nutr. 1994;72(4):619-43.							
30.	Callaway C, Chumlea W, Bouchard C, et al. Circumferences. Anthropometric						
standa	rdization reference manual. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics; 1988. p. 39-54.						
31.	Arendt E, Agel J, Heikes C, Griffiths H. Stress injuries to bone in college athletes - A						
retrosj	pective review of experience at a single institution. Am J Sports Med, 2003; <b>31</b> (6):959-						
68.							
32.	Benazzo F, Barnabei G, Ferrario A, Castelli C, Fischetto G. Stress fractures in track						
and fie	eld athletics J Sports Traumatol 1992;14(1):51-65.						
33.	Bennell KL, Brukner PD. Epidemiology and site specificity of stress fractures. Clin						
Sports	Med. 1997; <b>16</b> (2):179-95.						
34.	Matheson GO, Clement DB, McKenzie DC, Taunton JE, Lloydsmith DR, Macintyre						
JG. St	ress fractures in athletes -A study of 320 cases Am J Sports Med. 1987;15(1):46-58.						
35.	Crossley K, Bennell KL, Wrigley T, Oakes BW. Ground reaction forces, bone						
charac	eteristics, and tibial stress fracture in male runners. Med Sci Sports Exerc.						
1999; <b>31</b> (8):1088-93.							
36.	Grimston SK, Zernicke RF. Exercise-related stress responses in bone. J Appl Biomech.						
1993;	9(1):2-14.						
37.	Warren MP. Amenorrhea in endurance runners. J Clin Endocrinal Metab.						
1992;	<b>75</b> (6):1393-7.						
38.	Malina R, Bouchard C. Characteristics of young athletes. Growth, Maturation, and						
Physic	cal Activity. Champaig, IL: Human Kinetics; 1991. p. 443-63.						

39. Carbon RJ. Exercise, amenorrhoea and the skeleton. *Brit Med Bull*, 1992;48(3):546-60.

40. Cobb KL, Bachrach IK, Sowers M, et al. The effect of oral contraceptives on bone mass and stress fractures in female runners. Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise. 2007;39(9):1464-73.

# BMJ Open

**Instructions:** Listed below are a series of statements regarding exercise. Please read each statement carefully and circle the number that best indicates how true each statement is of you. Please answer <u>all</u> the questions as honestly as you can.

0         1         2         3         4         5           1)         I feel happier and/or more positive after I exercise.         0         1         2         3         4         5           2)         I exercise to improve my appearance.         0         1         2         3         4         5           3)         I like my days to be organised and structured of which exercise         0         1         2         3         4         5           4)         I feel less anxious after I exercise.         0         1         2         3         4         5           5)         I find exercise a chore.         0         1         2         3         4         5           6)         If I feel I have eaten too much, I will do more exercise.         0         1         2         3         4         5           7)         My weekly pattern of exercise is repetitive.         0         1         2         3         4         5           9)         If I cannot exercise I feel low or depressed.         0         1         2         3         4         5           10)         I feel extremely guilty if I miss an exercise esession.         0         1         2         3		Never true	Rarely true	Sometimes true	Often true	Usuall	ually true		Always true			
1)       I feel happier and/or more positive after I exercise.       0       1       2       3       4       5         2)       I exercise to improve my appearance.       0       1       2       3       4       5         3)       I like my days to be organised and structured of which exercise       0       1       2       3       4       5         4)       I feel less anxious after I exercise.       0       1       2       3       4       5         5)       I find exercise a chore.       0       1       2       3       4       5         6)       If I feel I have eaten too much, I will do more exercise.       0       1       2       3       4       5         7)       My weekly pattern of exercise is repetitive.       0       1       2       3       4       5         9)       If I cannot exercise I feel low or depressed.       0       1       2       3       4       5         10)       I feel extremely guilty if I miss an exercise session.       0       1       2       3       4       5         11)       I usually continue to exercise despite injury or illness, unless I       0       1       2       3       4       5		0	1	2	3	4				5		
2)       I exercise to improve my appearance.       0       1       2       3       4       5         3)       I like my days to be organised and structured of which exercise       0       1       2       3       4       5         4)       I feel less anxious after I exercise.       0       1       2       3       4       5         5)       I find exercise a chore.       0       1       2       3       4       5         6)       If I feel I have eaten too much, I will do more exercise.       0       1       2       3       4       5         7)       My weekly pattern of exercise is repetitive.       0       1       2       3       4       5         9)       If I cannot exercise I feel low or depressed.       0       1       2       3       4       5         10)       I feel extremely guilty if I miss an exercise session.       0       1       2       3       4       5         11)       I usually continue to exercise despite injury or illness, unless I       0       1       2       3       4       5         12)       I enjoy exercising.       0       1       2       3       4       5         13) <t< td=""><td>1)</td><td>I feel happier</td><td>and/or more po</td><td>ositive after I ex</td><td>ercise.</td><td></td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>5</td></t<>	1)	I feel happier	and/or more po	ositive after I ex	ercise.		0	1	2	3	4	5
3)       1 like my days to be organised and structured of which exercise       0       1       2       3       4       5         4)       1 feel less anxious after I exercise.       0       1       2       3       4       5         5)       I find exercise a chore.       0       1       2       3       4       5         6)       If I feel I have eaten too much, I will do more exercise.       0       1       2       3       4       5         7)       My weekly pattern of exercise is repetitive.       0       1       2       3       4       5         8)       I do not exercise to be slim.       0       1       2       3       4       5         9)       If I cannot exercise I feel low or depressed.       0       1       2       3       4       5         10)       I feel extremely guilty if I miss an exercise session.       0       1       2       3       4       5         11)       I usually continue to exercise despite injury or illness, unless I       0       1       2       3       4       5         12)       I enjoy exercising.       0       1       2       3       4       5         13)       I exe	2)	I exercise to improve my appearance.					0	1	2	3	4	5
4)       I feel less anxious after I exercise.       0       1       2       3       4       5         5)       I find exercise a chore.       0       1       2       3       4       5         6)       If I feel I have eaten too much, I will do more exercise.       0       1       2       3       4       5         7)       My weekly pattern of exercise is repetitive.       0       1       2       3       4       5         8)       I do not exercise to be slim.       0       1       2       3       4       5         9)       If I cannot exercise to be slim.       0       1       2       3       4       5         10)       I feel extremely guilty if I miss an exercise session.       0       1       2       3       4       5         11)       I usually continue to exercise despite injury or illness, unless I       0       1       2       3       4       5         12)       I enjoy exercising.       0       1       2       3       4       5         13)       I exercise to burn calories and lose weight.       0       1       2       3       4       5         14)       I feel less stressed and/or tense af	3)	I like my days is just one par	to be organise rt.	ed and structure	ed of which ex	ercise	0	1	2	3	4	5
5)       I find exercise a chore.       0       1       2       3       4       5         6)       If I feel I have eaten too much, I will do more exercise.       0       1       2       3       4       5         7)       My weekly pattern of exercise is repetitive.       0       1       2       3       4       5         8)       I do not exercise to be slim.       0       1       2       3       4       5         9)       If I cannot exercise I feel low or depressed.       0       1       2       3       4       5         10)       I feel extremely guilty if I miss an exercise session.       0       1       2       3       4       5         11)       I usually continue to exercise despite injury or illness, unless I       0       1       2       3       4       5         12)       I enjoy exercising.       0       1       2       3       4       5         13)       I exercise to burn calories and lose weight.       0       1       2       3       4       5         14)       I feel less stressed and/or tense after I exercise.       0       1       2       3       4       5         15)       If I mis	4)	I feel less anxious after I exercise.					0	1	2	3	4	5
6)       If I feel I have eaten too much, I will do more exercise.       0       1       2       3       4       5         7)       My weekly pattern of exercise is repetitive.       0       1       2       3       4       5         8)       I do not exercise to be slim.       0       1       2       3       4       5         9)       If I cannot exercise 1 feel low or depressed.       0       1       2       3       4       5         10)       I feel extremely guilty if I miss an exercise session.       0       1       2       3       4       5         11)       I usually continue to exercise despite injury or illness, unless I       0       1       2       3       4       5         12)       I enjoy exercising.       0       1       2       3       4       5         13)       I exercise to burn calories and lose weight.       0       1       2       3       4       5         14)       I feel less stressed and/or tense after I exercise.       0       1       2       3       4       5         15)       If I miss an exercise session, I will try and make up for it when I       0       1       2       3       4       5	5)	I find exercise	a chore.				0	1	2	3	4	5
7)       My weekly pattern of exercise is repetitive.       0       1       2       3       4       5         8)       I do not exercise to be slim.       0       1       2       3       4       5         9)       If I cannot exercise I feel low or depressed.       0       1       2       3       4       5         10)       I feel extremely guilty if I miss an exercise session.       0       1       2       3       4       5         11)       I usually continue to exercise despite injury or illness, unless I       0       1       2       3       4       5         12)       I enjoy exercising.       0       1       2       3       4       5         13)       I exercise to burn calories and lose weight.       0       1       2       3       4       5         14)       I feel less stressed and/or tense after I exercise.       0       1       2       3       4       5         15)       If I cannot exercise I feel agitated and/or irritable.       0       1       2       3       4       5         16)       If I cannot exercise, I worry that I will gain weight.       0       1       2       3       4       5 <t< td=""><td>6)</td><td>lf I feel I have</td><td>eaten too muc</td><td>h, I will do more</td><td>e exercise.</td><td></td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>5</td></t<>	6)	lf I feel I have	eaten too muc	h, I will do more	e exercise.		0	1	2	3	4	5
8)       I do not exercise to be slim.       0       1       2       3       4       5         9)       If I cannot exercise I feel low or depressed.       0       1       2       3       4       5         10)       I feel extremely guilty if I miss an exercise session.       0       1       2       3       4       5         11)       I usually continue to exercise despite injury or illness, unless I am very ill or too injured.       0       1       2       3       4       5         12)       I enjoy exercising.       0       1       2       3       4       5         13)       I exercise to burn calories and lose weight.       0       1       2       3       4       5         14)       I feel less stressed and/or tense after I exercise.       0       1       2       3       4       5         15)       If I miss an exercise session, I will try and make up for it when I next exercise.       0       1       2       3       4       5         16)       If I cannot exercise, I worry that I will gain weight.       0       1       2       3       4       5         17)       Exercise improves my mood.       0       1       2       3       4	7)	My weekly pa	ttern of exercis	e is repetitive.			0	1	2	3	4	5
9)       If I cannot exercise I feel low or depressed.       0       1       2       3       4       5         10)       I feel extremely guilty if I miss an exercise session.       0       1       2       3       4       5         11)       I usually continue to exercise despite injury or illness, unless I       0       1       2       3       4       5         12)       I enjoy exercising.       0       1       2       3       4       5         12)       I enjoy exercising.       0       1       2       3       4       5         13)       I exercise to burn calories and lose weight.       0       1       2       3       4       5         14)       I feel less stressed and/or tense after I exercise.       0       1       2       3       4       5         15)       If I miss an exercise I feel agitated and/or irritable.       0       1       2       3       4       5         16)       If I cannot exercise, I worry that I will gain weight.       0       1       2       3       4       5         19)       I follow a set routine for my exercise sessions e.g. walk or run the same route, particular exercises, same amount of time, and so on.       0       1       2<	8)	I do not exerc	ise to be slim.				0	1	2	3	4	5
10)I feel extremely guilty if I miss an exercise session.01234511)I usually continue to exercise despite injury or illness, unless I01234512)I enjoy exercising.01234513)I exercise to burn calories and lose weight.01234514)I feel less stressed and/or tense after I exercise.01234515)If I miss an exercise session, I will try and make up for it when I01234516)If I cannot exercise I feel agitated and/or irritable.01234517)Exercise improves my mood.01234518)If I cannot exercise, I worry that I will gain weight.01234519)I follow a set routine for my exercise sessions e.g. walk or run the same route, particular exercises, same amount of time, and so on.01234520)If I cannot exercise I feel angry and/or frustrated.01234521)I do not enjoy exercising.01234522)I feel like I've let myself down if I miss an exercise session.01234523)If I cannot exercise I feel anxious.01234524)I feel less	9)	If I cannot exe	ercise I feel low	or depressed.			0	1	2	3	4	5
11)I usually continue to exercise despite injury or illness, unless I01234512)I enjoy exercising.01234513)I exercise to burn calories and lose weight.01234514)I feel less stressed and/or tense after I exercise.01234515)If I miss an exercise session, I will try and make up for it when I01234516)If I cannot exercise I feel agitated and/or irritable.01234517)Exercise improves my mood.01234518)If I cannot exercise, I worry that I will gain weight.01234519)I follow a set routine for my exercise sessions e.g. walk or run the same route, particular exercises, same amount of time, and so on.01234520)If I cannot exercise I feel angry and/or frustrated.01234521)I do not enjoy exercising.01234523)If I cannot exercise I feel anxious.01234524)I feel less depressed or low after I exercise.01234524)I feel less depressed or low after I exercise.012345	10)	l feel extreme	ly guilty if I mis	s an exercise s	ession.		0	1	2	3	4	5
12)I enjoy exercising.01234513)I exercise to burn calories and lose weight.01234514)I feel less stressed and/or tense after I exercise.01234515)If I miss an exercise session, I will try and make up for it when I01234516)If I cannot exercise I feel agitated and/or irritable.01234517)Exercise improves my mood.01234518)If I cannot exercise, I worry that I will gain weight.01234519)I follow a set routine for my exercise sessions e.g. walk or run the same route, particular exercises, same amount of time, and so on.01234520)If I cannot exercise I feel angry and/or frustrated.01234521)I do not enjoy exercising.01234523)If I cannot exercise I feel anxious.01234524)I feel less depressed or low after I exercise.012345	11)	I usually cont am very ill or	inue to exercis too injured.	se despite injury	y or illness, ur	nless I	0	1	2	3	4	5
13)I exercise to burn calories and lose weight.01234514)I feel less stressed and/or tense after I exercise.01234515)If I miss an exercise session, I will try and make up for it when I01234516)If I cannot exercise I feel agitated and/or irritable.01234517)Exercise improves my mood.01234518)If I cannot exercise, I worry that I will gain weight.01234519)I follow a set routine for my exercise sessions e.g. walk or run the same route, particular exercises, same amount of time, and so on.01234520)If I cannot exercise I feel angry and/or frustrated.01234521)I do not enjoy exercising.01234522)I feel like I've let myself down if I miss an exercise session.01234523)If I cannot exercise I feel anxious.01234524)I feel less depressed or low after I exercise.012345	12)	I enjoy exercis	sing.				0	1	2	3	4	5
14)I feel less stressed and/or tense after I exercise.01234515)If I miss an exercise session, I will try and make up for it when I next exercise.01234516)If I cannot exercise I feel agitated and/or irritable.01234517)Exercise improves my mood.01234518)If I cannot exercise, I worry that I will gain weight.01234519)I follow a set routine for my exercise sessions e.g. walk or run the same route, particular exercises, same amount of time, and so on.01234520)If I cannot exercise I feel angry and/or frustrated.01234521)I do not enjoy exercising.01234522)I feel like I've let myself down if I miss an exercise session.01234523)If I cannot exercise I feel anxious.01234524)I feel less depressed or low after I exercise.012345	13)	I exercise to b	ourn calories ar	nd lose weight.			0	1	2	3	4	5
15)If I miss an exercise session, I will try and make up for it when I next exercise.01234516)If I cannot exercise I feel agitated and/or irritable.01234517)Exercise improves my mood.01234518)If I cannot exercise, I worry that I will gain weight.01234519)I follow a set routine for my exercise sessions e.g. walk or run the same route, particular exercises, same amount of time, and so on.01234520)If I cannot exercise I feel angry and/or frustrated.01234521)I do not enjoy exercising.01234522)I feel like I've let myself down if I miss an exercise session.01234523)If I cannot exercise I feel anxious.01234524)I feel less depressed or low after I exercise.012345	14)	I feel less stre	essed and/or te	nse after I exer	cise.		0	1	2	3	4	5
16)If I cannot exercise I feel agitated and/or irritable.01234517)Exercise improves my mood.01234518)If I cannot exercise, I worry that I will gain weight.01234519)I follow a set routine for my exercise sessions e.g. walk or run the same route, particular exercises, same amount of time, and so on.01234520)If I cannot exercise I feel angry and/or frustrated.01234521)I do not enjoy exercising.01234522)I feel like I've let myself down if I miss an exercise session.01234523)If I cannot exercise I feel anxious.01234524)I feel less depressed or low after I exercise.012345	15)	If I miss an ex next exercise.	ercise session	, I will try and m	ake up for it w	hen I	0	1	2	3	4	5
17)Exercise improves my mood.01234518)If I cannot exercise, I worry that I will gain weight.01234519)I follow a set routine for my exercise sessions e.g. walk or run the same route, particular exercises, same amount of time, and so on.01234520)If I cannot exercise I feel angry and/or frustrated.01234521)I do not enjoy exercising.01234522)I feel like I've let myself down if I miss an exercise session.01234523)If I cannot exercise I feel anxious.01234524)I feel less depressed or low after I exercise.012345	16)	If I cannot exe	ercise I feel agi	tated and/or irrit	table.		0	1	2	3	4	5
18)If I cannot exercise, I worry that I will gain weight.01234519)I follow a set routine for my exercise sessions e.g. walk or run the same route, particular exercises, same amount of time, and so on.01234520)If I cannot exercise I feel angry and/or frustrated.01234521)I do not enjoy exercising.01234522)I feel like I've let myself down if I miss an exercise session.01234523)If I cannot exercise I feel anxious.01234524)I feel less depressed or low after I exercise.012345	17)	Exercise impr	oves my mood	•			0	1	2	3	4	5
19)I follow a set routine for my exercise sessions e.g. walk or run the same route, particular exercises, same amount of time, and so on.01234520)If I cannot exercise I feel angry and/or frustrated.01234521)I do not enjoy exercising.01234522)I feel like I've let myself down if I miss an exercise session.01234523)If I cannot exercise I feel anxious.01234524)I feel less depressed or low after I exercise.012345	18)	If I cannot exe	ercise, I worry t	hat I will gain w	eight.		0	1	2	3	4	5
20)If I cannot exercise I feel angry and/or frustrated.01234521)I do not enjoy exercising.01234522)I feel like I've let myself down if I miss an exercise session.01234523)If I cannot exercise I feel anxious.01234524)I feel less depressed or low after I exercise.012345	19)	I follow a set r the same rout so on.	routine for my e e, particular ex	exercise sessior cercises, same a	ns e.g. walk or amount of time	run e, and	0	1	2	3	4	5
21) I do not enjoy exercising.01234522) I feel like I've let myself down if I miss an exercise session.01234523) If I cannot exercise I feel anxious.01234524) I feel less depressed or low after I exercise.012345	20)	If I cannot exe	ercise I feel ang	gry and/or frustr	ated.		0	1	2	3	4	5
22)I feel like I've let myself down if I miss an exercise session.01234523)If I cannot exercise I feel anxious.01234524)I feel less depressed or low after I exercise.012345	21)	l do not enjoy	exercising.				0	1	2	3	4	5
23)If I cannot exercise I feel anxious.01234524)I feel less depressed or low after I exercise.012345	22)	l feel like l've	let myself dowi	n if I miss an ex	ercise session	۱.	0	1	2	3	4	5
24)I feel less depressed or low after l exercise.012345	23)	If I cannot exe	ercise I feel anx	kious.			0	1	2	3	4	5
	24)	I feel less dep	pressed or low a	after I exercise.			0	1	2	3	4	5

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.