

Author	Type of record	Dose range (mg/day)	Length of intervention (weeks)	Randomised to duloxetine	Randomised to placebo	Baseline HADS-17 scores duloxetine mean (SD)	Baseline HADS-17 scores Control mean (SD)	Participants' age (yrs) duloxetine mean (SD)	Participants' age (yrs) control mean (SD)	Exclusion of participants with chronic/treatment resistant depression	Placebo washout period
Batwin 2012	Published article	60	8	157	152	23.2 (4.2)	23.1 (4.6)	45.3 (12.0)	43.4 (12.5)	yes	yes
Boulimis 2014	Published article	60	8	147	158	25.3 (6.5)	25.2 (6.7)	45.6 (13.6)	48.1 (13.1)	no	no
Colle 2009	Published article	60	6	151	157	21.4 (4.1)	21.1 (3.7)	42.4 (13.7)	42.3 (12.6)	yes	yes
Delie 2002 A	Published article + CSR	60	9	123	122	20.3 (3.4)	20.46 (3.39)	41 (13.0)	41 (15.0)	yes	yes
Delie 2002 B	Published article + CSR	60	9	128	139	20.3 (3.4)	20.46 (3.39)	41 (13.0)	41 (15.0)	yes	yes
Delie 2004 A	Published article + CSR	60	8	96	46	19.8 (3.5)	19.8 (3.5)	41.3 (11.1)	43.7 (12.2)	yes	yes
Delie 2004 B	Published article + CSR	120	8	93	47	20.2 (3.4)	19.9 (3.6)	44.7 (11.1)	43.7 (12.2)	yes	yes
Goldstein 2004 A	Published article + CSR	40	8	86	44	18.7 (5.9)	17.2 (5.1)	40.7 (10.0)	40.1 (12.8)	yes	yes
Goldstein 2004 B	Published article + CSR	80	8	91	45	17.9 (4.6)	17.2 (5.1)	40.9 (11.9)	40.1 (12.8)	yes	yes
120, variable dose as physicians could refuse dose escalation for individual participants											
Goldschmid 2002	Published article + CSR	60	8	151	145	22.0 (4.9)	22.0 (4.9)	42.3 (10.8)	41.4 (13.3)	yes	yes
Keller 2002	Published article	60	8	152	161	NR	NR	43.4 (12.2)	42.4 (12.5)	yes	yes
Mahadevan 2015 A	Published article	60	8	152	153	NR	NR	42.7 (14.4)	42.6 (13.8)	yes	yes
Mahadevan 2015 B	Published article	60	8	210	194	NR	NR	45.1 (13.6)	45.1 (13.6)	yes	yes
Nierenberg 2007	Published article	60	8	273	137	17.6 (4.8)	17.7 (5.2)	41.1 (11.6)	42.5 (12.3)	yes	yes
Oakes 2012 A	Published article	60	12	257	127	22.9 (4.3)	22.8 (3.7)	42.2 (12.2)	43.7 (12.6)	yes	yes
Oakes 2012 B	Published article	60	12	261	131	22.9 (4.3)	22.8 (3.9)	42.2 (12.2)	43.7 (12.6)	yes	yes
Perinella 2006 A	Published article + CSR	80	8	93	49	21.3 (3.0)	20.6 (3.7)	46.5 (12.7)	44.7 (10.1)	yes	yes
Perinella 2006 B	Published article + CSR	120	8	103	50	21.4 (4.4)	20.6 (3.7)	44 (10.8)	44.7 (10.1)	yes	yes
Raskin 2007	Published article	60	8	207	104	18.6 (4.8)	18.6 (4.8)	43.8 (13.7)	43.8 (13.7)	no	yes
Robinson 2014	Published article	60	12	249	121	19.4 (5.6)	19.3 (5.8)	73 (6.2)	73 (5.6)	yes	yes
Rouillier 2010	Published article	60	8	159	164	20.1 (5.7)	20.1 (5.7)	43.9 (13.6)	43.9 (13.6)	no	no
FU-MC-HAM (A)	Abbreviated CSR	5	8	130	42	23.8 (3.9)	23.2 (3.7)	43.1 (12.1)	41.3 (12.4)	No	No
FU-MC-HAM (B)	Abbreviated CSR	10	8	129	42	23.7 (3.5)	23.2 (3.7)	42.4 (10.1)	41.3 (12.4)	No	yes
FU-MC-HAM (C)	Abbreviated CSR	20	8	131	42	23.6 (3.9)	23.2 (3.7)	41.5 (10.4)	41.3 (12.4)	No	yes
HBM-C-HQAC	Clinical study summary	120	4	35	35	17 (4.7)	38	16.6 (4.7)	16.6 (4.7)	no	yes
20, variable dose as dose adjustment was done for patients with adverse events or no response to 10-30 mg/day											
FU-MC-HAM (D)	Clinical study report	20	10	27	26	20.8 (3.3)	20.9 (4.2)	43.3 (10.5)	42.7 (9.3)	yes	In some participants
FU-MC-HAM (E)	Clinical study report	20-30	10	26	26	21 (4.5)	19.8 (4.7)	43.3 (11.2)	43.3 (14.5)	yes	In some participants
Higuchi 2009 (A)	Published article*	40	6	89	88	20.4 (3.2)	20.6 (3.2)	37.7 (9.7)	37.8 (10.2)	yes	yes
Higuchi 2009 (B)	Published article†	60	6	75	73	20.4	20.4	-	-	yes	yes
NCT01149755	Clinical trial registry	60	6	47	44	NR	NR	39.9	38.4	yes	NR
NR=not reported SD=standard deviation CSR=clinical study report Data from Cipriani et al 2018											