# **BMJ Open** Factors influencing physical activity in individuals with head and neck cancer: a scoping review

Martina Schmocker (1,2), Ramona Engst (1,2), Markus Wirz (1,2), Marika Bana (1,2), Marika Bana

To cite: Schmocker M, Engst R, Wirz M, et al. Factors influencing physical activity in individuals with head and neck cancer: a scoping review. BMJ Open 2024;14:e083852. doi:10.1136/ bmiopen-2023-083852

 Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (https://doi.org/10.1136/ bmjopen-2023-083852).

Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (https://doi.org/10.1136/ bmjopen-2023-083852).

Received 31 December 2023 Accepted 07 June 2024



C Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2024. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BM.L

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

**Correspondence to** Mrs Martina Schmocker; martina.schmocker@uzh.ch

### ABSTRACT

**Objectives** Higher physical activity (PA) levels are associated with better quality of life in people with head and neck cancer (HNC). Despite this positive association, most individuals with these cancer types have a sedentary or low-activity lifestyle. Limited knowledge exists regarding the factors that influence PA in this group. Therefore, we reviewed and mapped the available literature on factors that may influence PA in people with HNC.

**Design** We conducted a scoping review based on the framework of Arksey and O'Malley and the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guideline extension for scoping reviews. Data sources CINHAL, the Cochrane Library, EMBASE, PsycINFO, MEDLINE and Scopus were searched from inception to July 2023.

Eligibility criteria We included gualitative and quantitative studies that stated factors such as barriers. facilitators, beliefs, perceptions and views influencing PA in individuals with HNC. Furthermore, views and recommendations of healthcare professionals involved in the care of people affected by HNC and researchers in this domain were eligible for data extraction.

Data extraction and synthesis Data were extracted and synthesised by one reviewer according to the predefined items including characteristics, barriers, facilitators, beliefs, perceptions and views of people being affected and views and recommendations of experts. Quantitative data were charted descriptively, and qualitative data were analysed and summarised using a basic content analysis approach.

Results Of the 1351 publications, we included 19 in our review. Publications mainly focused on barriers to PA, with some studies reporting facilitators and collecting data on patients' and healthcare professionals' views on PA. Most research teams made recommendations for promoting PA in people with HNC.

Characteristics associated with activity levels included age, cancer type and stage, morbidity level and attitude towards being active. Prevalent barriers consisted of health-related factors, including fatigue, pain and nutritional issues, alongside personal and environmental impediments such as time constraints, lack of interest or motivation. Facilitating factors for PA included perceived or experienced mental and health-related benefits. Consensus among patients, healthcare professionals and researchers highlighted the necessity for enhanced information and education, emphasising individualised

### STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- $\Rightarrow$  This scoping review presents a comprehensive overview based on quantitative and qualitative findinas.
- $\Rightarrow$  Expert knowledge was compiled by including recommendations and views from healthcare professionals and researchers.
- $\Rightarrow$  A broad concept of different physical activity (PA) modalities included everyday activities and targeted PA such as exercise.
- $\Rightarrow$  No quality assessment of the included studies was performed.

approaches to promote PA throughout the cancer continuum.

**Conclusions** Numerous factors affect PA in individuals with HNC. Future research should concentrate on screening and addressing risk factors for sedentary behaviour and activity barriers and on optimal design and delivery of interventions to incorporate PA promotion into the care pathway.

## **BACKGROUND AND RATIONALE**

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, Head and neck cancer (HNC) ranks as the seventh most prevalent cancer type worldwide with its incidence growing.<sup>1</sup> The primary risk factors for HNC include persistent tobacco and alcohol consumption, as well as infection with the human papillomavirus for pharyngeal cancer.<sup>1</sup> Most HNCs are diagnosed in stage III or IV, prompting extensive treatments involving a combination of surgery and radiation therapy, potentially complemented by chemotherapy.<sup>2</sup> Individuals diagnosed  $\overline{\mathbf{g}}$ with HNC face a more than twofold risk for **3** disabilities compared with those with other cancer diagnoses<sup>3</sup> and exhibit higher levels of frailty.<sup>4</sup> HNC treatments can substantially increase morbidity due to treatment toxicity. Functional deficits related to swallowing and speaking, along with disfigurement following surgery and radiation, can significantly impact the quality of life for individuals with HNC.<sup>56</sup>

Physical activity (PA) is defined as 'any bodily movement produced by skeletal muscles that result in energy expenditure'.<sup>7</sup> Everyday PA are all activities during leisure time, at work or during transport to get from one place to another.<sup>8</sup> This includes walking, climbing stairs, gardening, doing household chores and many other activities during daily life. Exercise is a targeted form of PA, that is purposeful and organised, characterised by repetition and designed to enhance or preserve physical fitness and overall health.<sup>7</sup> A growing body of evidence demonstrates the positive effects of PA and exercise in individuals affected by cancer. Regular PA and exercise can improve many treatment side effects, enhance overall health and quality of life.<sup>9–11</sup> Accordingly, guidelines advise to integrate PA into the treatment and survivorship care of individuals with cancer.<sup>12-15</sup> Nevertheless, several factors hinder the implementation of these recommendations, including personal, social, environmental and health-related factors. Commonly cited barriers encompass treatment side effects, time constraints or inadequate information.<sup>16 17</sup> Depenbusch et al<sup>18</sup> demonstrated that 30%–60% of individuals diagnosed with various cancer types encounter structural barriers to PA.

Research findings indicate positive effects of PA and exercise interventions on the overall health status and quality of life among patients with HNC.<sup>19–21</sup> Samuel *et al*<sup>20</sup> showed that patients with HNC undergoing chemoradiotherapy could achieve a significant improvement of their functional capacity, their quality of life and could prevent worsening of fatigue when following an intensive structured in-patient exercise rehabilitation programme for 7 weeks followed by a home-based exercise programme for 4weeks. An observational longitudinal study by Huang et  $al^{22}$  showed that higher activity levels were associated with better quality of life. Nevertheless, individuals with HNC are especially susceptible to low activity levels or sedentary behaviour.<sup>23 24</sup> Already prior to diagnosis, this group appears to have low activity levels.<sup>23</sup> Barriers to being physically active include physical or psychological factors such as treatment-related side effects that interfere with PA, lack of knowledge and poor motivation.<sup>25</sup> Research exploring the contextual and influencing factors of PA in patients with HNC remains limited. Recent reviews have primarily focused on identifying barriers to and facilitators for engaging in PA.<sup>25 26</sup> A more comprehensive understanding of this topic is essential to inform the development of programmes and interventions aimed at promoting PA in individuals with HNC in the future. The research questions for our scoping review were as follows: (1) What factors are associated with PA in patients diagnosed with HNC? (2) What are known barriers to and facilitators for PA in this population? (3) What beliefs, perceptions and views do patients diagnosed with HNC express regarding PA? (4) What views and recommendations do healthcare professionals and researchers have for promoting PA in this group?

≥

#### **METHODS**

We conducted a scoping review to address our research questions by exploring the existing knowledge and prior research on factors that influence PA in patients with HNC.<sup>27</sup> Our methods were based on Arksey and O'Malley's framework,<sup>28</sup> best practice guidance by Peters *et al*<sup>29</sup> and the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guideline extension for scoping reviews.<sup>30</sup>

#### Search strategy and eligibility criteria

We adopted a broad search strategy for three concepts: (1) HNC, (2) influencing factors including barriers, facilitaŝ tors, beliefs, perceptions and views and (3) PA, exercise or physical training. A medical librarian reviewed our search 8 strategy. One researcher (MS) used the EBSCO host grinterface to execute the search in the CINHAL, Medline and APA PsychINFO databases, and then searched in Embase, Scopus and the Cochrane Library. The full search strategy is available in online supplemental S1. MS hand searched the reference lists of all included articles for additional relevant publications and added these for full-text screening if they met inclusion criteria. To locate full-text articles for study protocols, poster abstracts or study register entries, we conducted searches using the author's name and study title on Google Scholar or the website of the authors' affiliation. If unsuccessful, we contacted the authors. We last searched on 5 July 2023. Publications were eligible for inclusion if they focused on patients with HNC or incorporated a subgroup anal-ysis specific to this population. In addition, the concept of PA had to be analysed in the publication, either including everyday PA or targeted PA such as exercise. Finally, the publication had to address influencing factors for full-text screening if they met inclusion criteria. To locate

the publication had to address influencing factors for  $\exists$ PA. These factors included barriers, facilitators, beliefs, perceptions or views. We excluded studies on thyroid or oesophageal cancer<sup>1</sup> and full texts that were not in English or German. We placed no limit on study design or publication date.

#### **Study selection**

training, and We imported our search results into the review tool Covidence.<sup>31</sup> The screening of titles and abstracts was conducted independently by 2 reviewers (MS and a research assistent), who screened a common set of 20 titles and 10 abstracts to align their judgments. Fulltext screening was performed independently by three reviewers (MB, MS and RE), who collectively screened & the first five full-text articles to calibrate inclusion **g** decisions for the scoping review. The reviewers subsequently convened three more times to discuss and resolve any conflicts that arose during the screening process.

#### **Data extraction and charting**

MS extracted data about study characteristics such as design, study aim and population. To address our research questions, we extracted data on influencing factors such as barriers, facilitators, beliefs, views and perceptions regarding PA for people affected by HNC. Further, we extracted views and recommendations of healthcare professionals and researchers in the field. The data were sorted by personal, social, environmental and health-related factors and characteristics that influenced PA. For studies containing quantitative data, we charted their results descriptively. In cases involving qualitative data, we performed a basic content analysis<sup>32</sup> by deductively allocating concepts or characteristics into categories.<sup>33</sup> Healthcare professionals' or researchers' suggestions were extracted either from qualitative study results or the discussion sections of the studies.

#### Patient and public involvement

For the design of the scoping review, no patient or public involvement was applied. This review builds the basis for a subsequent project, in which people affected by HNC and their family members will be interviewed to explore how a PA promotion programme should be designed to best fit their needs.

## RESULTS Literature search results

Our literature search retrieved 1351 publications. After removing duplicates, we screened 650 studies following our predefined screening protocol (figure 1). Through the screening of references during or after the full-text review, we identified and added 18 additional studies; we contacted one research team to obtain unpublished data. We ultimately reviewed the full text of 79 studies and included 19 in our review.

#### **Characteristics of included studies**

All included studies were published within the last 15 by years, with nearly two-thirds (n=12) published within the last five years. Geographically, the studies were predominantly conducted in North America and Europe, with the majority (five) conducted in the USA, followed by Canada, the UK and the Netherlands (four each). Germany and Sweden each contributed one study. There were 11 quantitative studies,<sup>34–44</sup> six qualitative studies<sup>45–50</sup> and two mixed methods studies.<sup>51–52</sup> The majority (n=13) had a gross-sectional design, reporting outcomes derived from **6** 



Figure 1 Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses flowchart on study inclusion.

surveys or standardised questionnaires. Some included additional data from medical chart review. Three publications were feasibility studies, and one was a controlled pilot trial. Qualitative and mixed-method studies were primarily based on individual interviews, with one exception utilising focus group interviews (see table 1). For more details on the included studies, see online supplemental S2.

#### **Description of study participants**

Patients before, during and shortly after medical treatment for HNC were included,  $^{35\,38\,43\,47\,50\,52}$  as well as individuals within the first year after treatment, or during long-term care.<sup>34 36 37 39–42 44–46 48 49 51</sup> One study<sup>47</sup> included healthcare professionals. The quantitative studies analvsed data from 1530 participants; gualitative studies analysed data from 122 participants (table 1).

#### **Factors associated with PA**

Seven publications analysed associations between a variety of factors and PA levels, interest and intention for PA.<sup>34 35 37 39-41 52</sup> These factors included personal and health-related characteristics of the person, but also their attitude, perception and motivation.

Personal factors associated with PA levels included age,<sup>34 39</sup> educational level,<sup>41</sup> marital status,<sup>34</sup> alcohol consumption,<sup>35</sup> having worries about harm,<sup>52</sup> being committed to or motivated for PA,52 setting goals or perceiving barriers, enjoying PA or being self-efficient.<sup>35 37</sup> Health-related factors included cancer stage or type, sleep quality,<sup>34</sup> having comorbidities,<sup>34 35 39</sup> weight loss<sup>39</sup> or having a feeding tube.<sup>34</sup> Intention and interest for PA were influenced by the person's age, health condition<sup>40</sup> and attitude towards PA<sup>39 40</sup> or exercise history<sup>39</sup> The type and direction of the associations are presented in table 2.

#### **Barriers to and facilitators for PA**

Of the 19 studies included in this analysis, 13 reported barriers to PA,<sup>37 38 40-44 46 48-52</sup> while seven reported factors that facilitate engagement in PA.<sup>40 45 48–52</sup>

The prevailing barriers to PA were primarily associated with health, treatment or environmental factors, as outlined in table 3. Fatigue or low energy ranked highest in health-related reasons for inactivity or decisions not to exercise.<sup>40 41 44 48-52</sup> Pain, both in general,<sup>40 41 48 50 52</sup> and specifically in the head, neck and shoulder region,<sup>44 49</sup> as well as eating and feeding difficulties,<sup>37 40 41 49-51</sup> hindered PA. Environmental barriers to PA were primarily related to work and family responsibilities.42 43 49-51 Personal barriers to PA were mainly due to lack of time,<sup>42 43 49-51</sup> motivation, interest and intention. 37425152 Some participants mentioned laziness,<sup>48 49</sup> and some feared worsening their condition.<sup>41 48</sup>

Factors facilitating PA included an individuals' perception and experience of the health benefits, as well as support from their social network (table 3). The most frequently stated facilitators of PA engagement were feelingmentally and physically better, 47-50 52 and experiencing

ç

≥

or perceiving general health benefits.<sup>40 48-50</sup> PA was also enhanced by a sense of power and control and the positive feelings that resulted from PA.<sup>39 45 50 52</sup> Emotional and practical support from an individual's network, including partners and family members, was a major social factor that facilitated PA.45 48 52

#### Patients' beliefs, views and perceptions on PA

Individuals with HNC acknowledged the benefits of PA and expressed the need for more information on how to become physically active. Study participants reported that PA contributed to their well-being, both physically and mentally,<sup>47 52</sup> providing them with a sense of personal empowerment.<sup>45</sup> They were motivated to increase their PA levels to improve their physical and mental health, as well as their fitness **g** levels.<sup>48</sup> They suggested that they would benefit from more education and information about recovering from the side effects of cancer treatment.<sup>47</sup> Exercising in a group was found to have the advantage of facilitating the exchange of information and discussion about experiences.<sup>51</sup> Patients did not associate their health behaviour with morbidity, and felt that the information they received to change their health behaviour ₫ was too focused on prevention rather than function.<sup>46</sup> . uses

Participants suggested that a tailored programme to promote PA should consider personal preferences, address barriers and enhance facilitators.<sup>47</sup> Additionally, they high-lighted that PA promotion programmes should be superpromote PA should consider personal preferences, address vised by experts to minimise risk of injury and to enhance adherence and enjoyment.<sup>35</sup> Participants also emphasised that surgeons should support and encourage PA.<sup>474</sup>

#### Healthcare professionals' and researchers' views and recommendations on PA in people with HNC

and data m With the exception of three studies,<sup>37 38 44</sup> all of the included publications stated expert views and recommendations on PA promotion. From these data, five overarching themes emerged (see table 4). They included: addressing symptoms and barriers; providing information and education; addressing behaviour, attitude and intention; provision of support within the healthcare system and suggestions about **G** PA intervention delivery.

Many study teams recommended regular screening and adequate addressing of physical and psychological symptoms and patients' perceived barriers.<sup>34 35 40 41 46 48–50 52</sup> Tailored and individualised approaches were suggested to help people with a HNC diagnosis to increase their PA levels.<sup>4</sup> To increase the self-efficacy and competence of people with **o** HNC, standard care should include patient education about the benefits of PA and how to overcome barriers from the time of diagnosis onwards.<sup>41 43 47-49</sup>

Healthcare professionals should also be educated to increase their awareness of the benefits of PA for patients. They should take an active role in motivating and facilitating PA to enhance patients' recovery.<sup>41 47 49</sup> Individuals diagnosed with HNC tend to overestimate their activity level and may require special guidance and referrals to exercise specialists to help them prioritise PA and change their behaviour.<sup>48 52</sup> PA interventions should be integrated into the HNC care

Table 1         Overview of included studies					
Study-country	Aim of study	Study type/design	Type of PA	Participants	
Björklund <i>et al<sup>45</sup>—</i> SE	To explore health promotion from the perspective of individuals with HNC.	Semistructured individual interviews	Everyday PA	N=8 patients; 1–9 months after diagnosis	
Duffy et al <sup>34</sup> — USA	To analyse five health behaviours (smoking, problem drinking, nutrition, PA and sleep) in the first year after diagnosis.	Prospective, cohort study with online survey and chart review	Everyday PA	N=283 patients; within first year of diagnosis	
Rogers <i>et al<sup>35</sup>—</i> USA	To determine the most frequent and important PA barriers reported by patients with HNC.	Cross-sectional study with questionnaires and chart review	Everyday PA	N=59 patients; 86% on treatment, 14% off treatment	
Rogers <i>et al<sup>36</sup>—</i> USA	To explore exercise counselling and programming preferences.	Cross-sectional study with survey and chart review	Everyday PA	N=90 patients; 33% <4 months and 67% >4 months since treatment	
Rogers <i>et al<sup>37</sup>—</i> USA	To determine psychometric properties of different scales (on barriers, expectations, enjoyment, goal setting) including item reduction and to explore associations between constructs and PA levels.	Cross-sectional study with survey	Everyday PA	N=101 patients; mean time since diagnosis: 26.4 months (SD±43.9)	
Zhao et al <sup>38</sup> — USA	To assess the benefits of a resistance and walking exercise intervention during and shortly after chemoradiotherapy; and to assess self-reported and actual activity and barriers to exercise.	Pilot-controlled trial	Targeted PA	N=20 patients; 11 intervention, 9 control	
Henry <i>et al<sup>46</sup>—</i> CA	To explore needs and experiences of patients with HNC regarding behavioural change (tobacco use, alcohol misuse, diet, exercise and ultraviolet protection), as well as the barriers and facilitators to change.	Focus group interviews	Everyday PA	N=29 patients; mean time since diagnosis: 18.7 months (SD±12.3)	
Jackson <i>et al<sup>51</sup>—</i> CA	To examine the exercise preferences and barriers of HNC survivors and explore how these factors changed with exposure to an exercise intervention.	Mixed-method study: questionnaires and interviews	Everyday PA	N=60 patients for questionnaires, n=22 for interviews; mean time since diagnosis: 27,9 months (SD±6.5)	
Buffart <i>et al<sup>39</sup>—</i> NL	To identify social-cognitive correlates of PA using the theory of planned behaviour model in addition to demographic, clinical and lifestyle-related correlates.	Cross-sectional study with survey	Everyday PA	N=416 patients (combination of two studies); median time since treatment: 54 months (IQR: 33–120)	
Midgley <i>et al</i> <sup>40</sup> — UK	To establish exercise preferences, barriers and perceived benefits among HNC survivors and to investigate the level of interest in participating in an exercise programme.	Cross-sectional study with questionnaire pack	Everyday PA	N=437 patients; median time since diagnosis: 43 months (IQR: 30–58)	

Continued

Table 1 Continued				
Study-country	Aim of study	Study type/design	Type of PA	Participants
Rogers <i>et al<sup>41</sup>—</i> UK	To relate responses to activity and recreation domains to clinical characteristics and PA intensity, as well as perceived barriers and feeling able to participate in an exercise programme.	Cross-sectional study with questionnaire pack	Everyday PA	Same sample as Midgley <i>et al</i> <sup>40</sup>
Felser <i>et al</i> <sup>42</sup> — DE	To evaluate the feasibility and impact of a low-intensity to medium-intensity exercise intervention on physical function and quality of life.	Feasibility study	Targeted PA	N=12 patients; 67% more >5 years, 33% <5 years since diagnosis
Daun <i>et al<sup>47</sup>—</i> CA	To understand patient and healthcare professional perspectives on the role of multiphasic exercise prehabilitation.	Semistructured interviews	Targeted PA	N=20 interview participants; (10 patients: mean 10.5 days (SD±8.6) to surgery and 10 HCPs)
Hanika et al <sup>48</sup> — UK	To explore health-related behavioural changes and to identify barriers and motivators to achieving health recommendations.	Interviews with open and closed questions	Everyday PA	N=20 patients; post treatment
Kok et al <sup>43</sup> — NL	To assess the feasibility of a tailored exercise programme for patients with HNC during chemoradiotherapy.	Feasibility study	Targeted PA	N=34 patients with locally advanced HNC; during treatment
Rogers <i>et al<sup>49</sup>—</i> UK	To get insight into how and why patients with HNC would be interested in participating in an exercise programme.	Semistructured telephone interviews	Targeted PA	N=22 patients; subsample of Midgley <i>et al</i> <sup>40</sup>
Sealy <i>et al<sup>52</sup>—</i> NL	To explore HNC survivors' views on PA and to analyse self-perceived PA levels compared to objectively measured PA.	Mixed methods study	Everyday PA	N=9 patients before surgery with curative intent
Ntoukas <i>et al<sup>44</sup>—</i> CA	To test the feasibility and safety of a heavy lifting strength training programme.	Feasibility study	Targeted PA	N=9 patients; time since surgery: <5 years: 3 (33%), $\geq$ 5 years: 6 (67%)
Kok et al <sup>50</sup> — NL	To explore preferences and expectations of an exercise intervention during chemoradiotherapy and to identify factors influencing adherence, retention and compliance.	Semistructured interviews (pre and post intervention)	Targeted PA	N=14 patients; subsample of Kok <i>et al</i> <sup>43</sup>

CA, Canada; DE, Germany; HCP, healthcare professionals; HNC, head and neck cancer; NL, the Netherlands; PA, physical activity; SE, Sweden; UK, United Kingdom; USA, United States of America.

pathway as usual care<sup>40 47 50</sup> and should be promoted by all members of the healthcare team.<sup>42 47 49</sup>

The type and mode of delivery of PA interventions or programmes should be tailored to an individual's abilities, preferences and goals.<sup>40 43 47 49 50</sup> Furthermore, PA programmes should be flexible and take place at locations convenient for the patient.<sup>50 51</sup>

## DISCUSSION

The objective of our scoping review was to provide an overview of the known factors that influence PA in people diagnosed with HNC, such as barriers, facilitators, beliefs, views and perceptions experienced by people being affected, as well as to compile views and recommendations from experts in the field. A variety of personal,

Enjoyment, task self-efficacy, perceived barriers, symptom index, alcohol use, comorbidity scores. <sup>35</sup>
<i>Directly after diagnosis:</i> stage III–IV cancer, low sleep quality, older age, not being married, having comorbidities, having oral cancer <sup>34</sup> . <i>At 1 year after diagnosis:</i> feeding tube dependency, low sleep quality, older age, not being married, having comorbidities, having cancer of the oral cavity <sup>34</sup> , being worried about harm of PA. <sup>52</sup>
Younger age, no unintentional weight loss, no comorbidities <sup>39</sup> ; having a higher education level <sup>41</sup> ; being committed to or motivated for PA <sup>52</sup> ; self-efficacy and goal setting. <sup>37</sup>
Individuals with a history of exercising, people with more positive attitudes, subjective norms and perceived behaviour control and perceived PA intention. <sup>39</sup>
Individuals with medical conditions impeding PA were more interested than those not stating any conditions, age >75 years was a strong indicator for not being interested; those not interested more often stated 'lack of enjoyment', 'exercise not

environmental, social and health-related factors can influence PA. Patients and experts suggest that PA should be integrated into the HNC treatment pathway. This should include providing information and education on how to manage symptoms and overcome barriers. Furthermore, PA promotion should actively support individual behaviour change, facilitating motivation and intention to increase PA levels.

#### Factors associated with PA

This review found an association between individual characteristics and PA levels. Personal and health-related factors were specifically linked to lower PA levels. This is consistent with a previous study which reported that lower PA levels were associated with educational level, number of comorbidities and tumour stage among newly diagnosed patients with HNC.<sup>23</sup>

#### **Barriers to and facilitators for PA**

Most of the included publications cite health- and treatmentrelated barriers as the most important barrier to PA. When comparing cancer types, individuals with HNC seem to be the most vulnerable group for having comorbidities that hinder PA. Gildea *et al*<sup> $p_3</sup>$  showed that two-thirds of patients</sup> with HNC stated comorbidities as a barrier to PA, whereas this percentage was lower for all other examined cancer types including multiple myeloma (50%), prostate cancer (25%), colorectal cancer (12%) and breast cancer (4%). The most common health-related barrier to PA in our review is fatigue. Fatigue, a prevalent issue for individuals with cancer, can be alleviated through exercise and PA.<sup>54</sup> Sharp et al<sup>55</sup> demonstrated that almost one-third of patients with HNC experienced clinically significant fatigue symptoms during the first year after diagnosis, with the peak occurring four months after diagnosis, affecting almost 45% of patients. International guidelines<sup>56 57</sup> recommend counselling for PA and exercise promotion. Further investigation into the potential of enhancing PA engagement through fatigue screening during

Protected by copyright, including and after the treatment phase is warranted. In our review, ō pain and eating problems are also among the most reported health-related barriers. According to a systematic review  $\mathbf{g}$  by van den Beuken *et al*,<sup>58</sup> patients with HNC had a higher  $\mathbf{g}$ re prevalence of pain compared with those with other cancer eating and feeding difficulties are also highly prevalent and specific to HNC, placing a significant burden on a<sup>60</sup> of concern has also been underscored in our review. The prolonged times required for eating or being fed through an enteral tube can contribute to the most common personal reason people with HNC cite for being inactive: lack of time. The shortage of time was frequently identified as a primary **a** barrier to PA in various cancer types<sup>26</sup> and seems to be most ⊳ prominent in the phase after treatment.<sup>53</sup>

Support from their social network is a major factor in facilitating PA for individuals affected by HNC as seen in our result. This is in line with research on other cancer types which also describe the importance of social support and guidance as a main facilitator for PA.<sup>53 62</sup> Therefore, interventions promoting PA should actively involve and encourage family members or other individuals from patients' networks to support PA. Osazuwa-Peters *et al*<sup>63</sup> demonstrated that being married reduced mortality rates for people with HNC by one-third, highlighting the significant positive impact of **a** having a partner. Given that not every person with HNC has a close network or a significant other for support, these individuals may require additional support. Family and network involvement should be subject of further research, as it has the potential to improve the situation.<sup>64</sup>

#### Patients' beliefs, views and perceptions on PA

This scoping review confirms that patients diagnosed with HNC are motivated to increase their PA to enhance their physical and mental health. Our findings align with

6

i/n*	BMJ Ope
	n: first p
4/63	ublished
3/31 1/20	as 10.1 Prote
	136/bmj cted by
2/31	open-20: copyrigh
1/60	23-( 1t, ir
1/60	)838 Inclu
1/22	352 on Iding fo
	17 J Brus
1/20	iuly inse
1/11	2024. Dow ignement elated to t
1/60	nloa Sup
1/60	idec erie and
1700	dat
1/14	m <mark>http</mark> ABES) a minii
1/9	://bmjopen.b ng, Al trainin
1/101	mj.com/ or ig, and simi
5/73	lar tech
	8, 20 1nol
2/72	25 : ogie
2/42	at A »s.
1/20	ıgei
1/14	nce
1/14	Biblic
inued	ogra
	phique de
	_

Table 3 Barri	le 3 Barriers to and facilitators for physical activity in patients with head and neck cancer				
	PA barriers*	f/n*	PA facilitators*	f/n*	
Personal	Characteristics				
Tactors	Older age <sup>50</sup>	1/22			
	Feelings/Emotions				
	Low emotional well-being/distress <sup>44 49 53</sup>	3/485	Feeling mentally/physically better and more normal <sup>47 48 51 53</sup>	4/63	
	Lack of confidence: fear of injury and making the condition worse <sup>45 48</sup>	2/457	Positive feelings (contentment, power and control, confidence, self-esteem) <sup>35 51 53</sup>	3/31	
	Not feeling comfortable: pressured by coaching approach <sup>53</sup> ; intimidation by group format <sup>42</sup>	2/74	Enjoyment of being outdoors <sup>48</sup>	1/20	
	Attitude				
	Lack of time <sup>42 46 49 50 53</sup>	5/142	Returning to normal life and better function as motivators <sup>40 48</sup> ;	2/31	
	Lack of motivation/ interest/enjoyment <sup>39</sup>	3/173	Not feeling anxious and having experienced the benefits (after intervention) <sup>42</sup>	1/60	
	Not having a preference concerning the source of counselling and exercise variability <sup>38</sup>	1/90	Making you feel better, improved attitude <sup>50</sup>	1/22	
	Overestimation of own PA levels <sup>48</sup>	1/20	Using terms "movement" or "physical activity" rather than "exercise"47	1/20	
	Lack of intention, no interest or aversion towards more PA <sup>51</sup>	1/9	After exercise participation decreased barrier: "lack of interest" and "exercise is boring" <sup>40</sup>	1/11	
	Behaviour				
	Laziness <sup>48 50</sup>	2/42	Enjoyment by social environment and accountability to instructors and group <sup>42</sup>	1/60	
	Missing structure and accountability after intervention <sup>42</sup>	1/60	Structured programme <sup>42</sup>	1/60	
	Lacking prior experiences/sporty attitude, loss of self-control <sup>53</sup>	1/14	Prior experiences/sporty attitude <sup>53</sup>	1/14	
	Being sedentary, but confident to have adequate PA level <sup>51</sup>	1/9	Most important motivator to continue exercise: beneficial, motivated, controllability <sup>52</sup>	1/9	
	Beliefs/Expectations				
	No need to increase PA levels, PA was considered irrelevant or pre-existing PA habits were considered sufficient. <sup>51</sup>	1/9	Outcome expectations: improvement of overall physical health, giving a higher energy level, increasing flexibility, improving overall health <sup>39</sup>	1/101	
Social factors	Lack of company <sup>45</sup>	1/437	Emotional and practical support from social network, <sup>35 48 50 51 53</sup>	5/73	
			Group setting and instructors created a positive atmosphere and a possibility to exchange and discuss experiences <sup>42 46</sup>	2/72	
			Social aspect of PA <sup>48 50</sup>	2/42	
			Hobbies <sup>48</sup>	1/20	
			Commitment to study programme, <sup>53</sup>	1/14	
			Personal coaching and empowerment with clear instruction, personalised intervention <sup>53</sup>	1/14	

Cont

Table 3 Conti	able 3 Continued					
	PA barriers*	f/n*	PA facilitators*	f/n*		
Environmental	Work and family responsibilities <sup>38 42 46 48 51</sup>	5/191	External incentive, chemo dog <sup>53</sup>	1/14		
factors	Distance to training facility, lack of transportation or too time consuming <sup>45 51 53</sup>	3/460	Structure of daily life activities, home-based, simplicity of the intervention $^{\rm 53}$	1/14		
	Weather condition <sup>38 48 51</sup>	3/119				
	No or little advice on PA <sup>44 51</sup>	2/446				
	A hostile exercise environment <sup>38 48</sup>	2/110				
	Financial problems/constraints <sup>48 51</sup>	2/29				
	HCPs approach and focus on prevention rather than on resuming function <sup>44</sup>	1/437				
	Content of exercise programme unclear <sup>53</sup>	1/14				
Health- or treatment	Fatigue or loss of energy <sup>42 44 45 48 50-53</sup>	8/1008	Experienced or perceived general health benefits <sup>44 48 50 53</sup>	4/493		
related factors	General pain , <sup>44 45 48 51 53</sup> or pain specified to head, neck or shoulder <sup>50 52</sup>	7/948	Building up strength and fitness <sup>44 48 50</sup>	3/479		
	Other physical complaints <sup>42 49 50 52 53</sup>	5/762	Reducing risk of disease44	1/437		
	Problems with eating/feeding <sup>38 42 45 50 53</sup>	5/623	Increased energy levels, less fatigue <sup>42</sup>	1/60		
	Dry mouth or throat <sup>38 44 45 50</sup>	4/1105	Psychological benefits <sup>48</sup>	1/20		
	General treatment toxicity <sup>40 42 49 53</sup>	4/119				
	Pre-existing health problems, comorbidities <sup>45 50 51</sup>	3/468				
	General weakness <sup>44 48</sup>	2/457				
	Shoulder weakness, <sup>44 45</sup>	2/874				
	Difficulties with breathing <sup>44 45</sup> ; experience of choking feeling during exercise <sup>50</sup>	3/500				
	Weight loss <sup>53</sup>	1/14				
ŀ	Hospital admittance <sup>53</sup>	1/14				

\*f: frequency=number of publications in which this factor is stated; n: number of participants within these publications HCPs, healthcare professionals; PA, physical activity.

studies indicating that PA is linked to an improved health status and an improved sense of control and satisfaction for patients with HNC, as well as those with other cancer types.<sup>17 25 26</sup>

Our results suggest that facilitating behaviour change should be further explored and targeted in tailored interventions for individuals with HNC. Some patients with HNC may not intend to change their PA behaviour because they believe that they are sufficiently active or overestimate their personal PA levels.<sup>48,52</sup> Low health literacy or lack of knowledge about the effects of health behaviours may hinder PA uptake; nearly 50% of patients with HNC were found to be insufficiently health literate in the sample analysed by Clarke *et al*,<sup>65</sup> which has also been associated with being less self-efficient. Educating patients with HNC about the benefits of PA and providing access to interventions to promote self-efficacy, a precursor for behaviour change, may increase PA levels in this population.<sup>46,48</sup>

## Healthcare professionals' and researchers' views and recommendations on PA in people with HNC

Tailored interventions or PA programmes align with patients' a needs in reducing barriers to integrate PA into their lives, as demonstrated in the results of this review. Additionally, healthcare professionals are aware of PA benefits and the importance of screening risk factors for low PA levels during the HNC treatment pathway. However, there are currently no corresponding recommendations on how this should be implemented in clinical practise; this should be explored in more detail in the future.

The findings of this review suggest that PA should be an integral part of the treatment pathway for patients with HNC. In contrast to this recommendation, the clinical practice guideline for HNC of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network<sup>66</sup> in the USA and the guidelines of the European Society of Medical Oncology (ESMO)<sup>67</sup> have not yet incorporated this recommendation. Conversely, the American Cancer Society's HNC survivor guidelines<sup>68</sup> proposes PA for

ert views and recommendations on PA in people with head and neck cancer*	
<ul> <li>Address PA barriers and give patients advice on how to overcome them.<sup>40 41 48 49</sup></li> <li>Physical<sup>34 46 52</sup> and psychological<sup>50</sup> impairments (eg, distress, anxiety, depression) need to be adequately addressed.</li> <li>Symptoms or risk factors associated with low PA levels need to be covered.<sup>34 35</sup></li> <li>If necessary, rehabilitation should be recommended;<sup>34</sup> ongoing support should be offered by specialist rehabilitation teams.<sup>48</sup></li> <li>Referrals to specialists should be made for individuals with more needs/worries about exercise.<sup>52</sup></li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Give education and training for HCP and patients to be aware of benefits of exercise.<sup>40 47 49</sup></li> <li>Patient education about symptom management should be offered to enhance self-efficacy and PA<sup>35</sup>; access to resources relevant for recovery should be provided.<sup>47</sup></li> <li>Focus should be put on personal goals and knowledge gaps about benefits and perceived barriers.<sup>43</sup></li> <li>Information on exercise should ideally be given soon after time of diagnosis.<sup>40</sup></li> <li>Blended care or e-health apps can be helpful in providing patient-tailored information on activity level, personal goals and monitoring individual progress.<sup>50</sup></li> </ul>	Protected by co
<ul> <li>Health behaviour change interventions and psychological strength building should be offered to increase patient's self-efficacy and engagement.<sup>46 48</sup></li> <li>Assistance by medical professionals or exercise specialist should be given to find a suitable type of PA.<sup>36 46</sup></li> <li>Supporting the empowerment process is important.<sup>39</sup></li> <li>Some patients will need professional guidance to help prioritise PA.<sup>52</sup></li> <li>Patient education about exercise benefits should be given to increase confidence, competence, uptake and adherence.<sup>49</sup></li> <li>Attention should be put on dealing with the lack of perceived ability to participate; an expert should guide them.<sup>36</sup></li> <li>HCPs should improve awareness about actual PA levels of individuals.<sup>52</sup></li> <li>Provide access to HCPs at the end of treatment to guide lifestyle decisions.<sup>48</sup></li> <li>Potential intention-behaviour gap needs to be considered.<sup>39</sup></li> <li>Intention might need to be targeted; pedometers or accelerometers might improve awareness of actual PA levels.<sup>52</sup></li> <li>The health behaviour history needs to be included in the survivorship care plan.<sup>46</sup></li> </ul>	Enseignemer pyright, including for uses related to
<ul> <li>Exercise and PA interventions should be integrated within the oncological care pathway as usual care.<sup>40 47 50</sup></li> <li>There should be a culture shift towards more PA; necessary prescriptions should be provided.<sup>47 48</sup></li> <li>Surgeons should advise and encourage exercise.<sup>47 49</sup></li> <li>All members of the healthcare team should motivate and facilitate exercise as part of recovery.<sup>49</sup></li> <li>Exercise specialists should be involved in the care pathway.<sup>47</sup></li> <li>Exercise and PA interventions should start as early as possible.<sup>50</sup></li> </ul>	text and data mi
<ul> <li>Type of intervention:</li> <li>Programmes and interventions should be tailored to each patient's abilities and preferences.<sup>40,43,47,49</sup></li> <li>Collaborative, flexible, culturally sensitive and individualised approaches are needed.<sup>49</sup></li> <li>Exercise interventions should be tailored and personalised with regard to goal setting, training type, intensity, setting and timing and should be incorporated in ADLs.<sup>50</sup></li> <li>A flexible training programme should be offered with check-in policy after several missed classes at the end stage of treatment.<sup>51</sup></li> <li>Scheduling of exercise sessions need to be flexible around treatment appointments.<sup>50</sup></li> <li>Location:</li> <li>When it is safe: home-based, moderate intensity exercise should be included.<sup>36</sup></li> <li>Training should be at a location to the patients' convenience.<sup>50</sup></li> <li>Supervision:</li> <li>Supervision: supervision before treatment and remote supervision for home-based training during and shortly after chemoradiotherapy.<sup>50</sup></li> <li>It is assumed that attendance rate and effects are lower for unsupervised training interventions.<sup>42</sup></li> <li>Patients should be monitored before and during exercise.<sup>43</sup></li> <li>The physiotherapist can act as an important facilitator for motivation, mental support and increasing discipline to exercise.<sup>50</sup></li> <li>Others:</li> <li>Exercise/PA should be combined with intensive nutritional support and monitoring.<sup>43</sup></li> <li>Resources need to be built to support exercise into cancer survivorship and in community-based settings.<sup>47</sup></li> </ul>	S) . ining, Al training, and similar technologies.
	<ul> <li>tviews and recommendations on PA in people with head and neck cancer*</li> <li>Addresse PA barriers and give patients advice on how to overcome them.<sup>40414849</sup></li> <li>Physical<sup>44,460</sup> and psychological<sup>60</sup> impairments (eg. distress, anxiety, depression) need to be adequately addressed.</li> <li>Symptoms or risk factors associated with low PA levels need to be covered.<sup>21,35</sup></li> <li>If necessary, rehabilitation should be made for individuals with more needs/worries about exercise.<sup>52</sup></li> <li>Give aducation about symptom management should be offered to enhance self-efficacy and PA<sup>83</sup>; access to resources relevant for recovery should be provided.<sup>41</sup></li> <li>Patient education about symptom management should be offered to enhance self-efficacy and PA<sup>83</sup>; access to resources relevant for recovery should be given soon after time of diagnosit.<sup>60</sup></li> <li>Piented acre or e-health apps can be helpful in providing patient-tailored information on activity level, personal goals and monitoring individual progress.<sup>53</sup></li> <li>Health behaviour change interventions and psychological strength building should be offered to increase patient's self-efficacy and engagement.<sup>44,49</sup></li> <li>Assistance by medical professionals or exercise specialist should be given to find a suitable type of PA.<sup>58,60</sup></li> <li>Supporting the empowerment process is important.<sup>59</sup></li> <li>Some patients will need professional guidance to help prioritise PA.<sup>50</sup></li> <li>Patient education about swarcise bandita guidance to help orioritise FA.<sup>50</sup></li> <li>Provide access to HCPs at the end of trastment to guide lifestyle decisions.<sup>45</sup></li> <li>Provide access to HCPs at the end of trastment to guide lifestyle decisions.<sup>45</sup></li> <li>Provide access to HCPs at the end of trastment to guide lifestyle decisions.<sup>45</sup></li> <li>Provide access to HCPs at the end of trastment to guide lifestyle decisions.<sup>45</sup></li> <li>Provide access to HCPs at the end of trastment to guide lifestyle decisions.<sup>45</sup></li> <li>Provide access to HCPs at the end</li></ul>

\*All views and recommendations are extracted from the Discussion section of the publications with the exception of Daun et al<sup>47</sup> who used interviews with HCPs.

ADL, activities of daily living; HCPs, healthcare professionals; PA, physical activity.

a later period during the cancer care continuum, asserting that primary care clinicians should recommend PA. It should be considered to actively promote PA during the treatment phase, providing clinicians with the opportunity for 'teachable moments' to assist patients with HNC in integrating PA into their daily activities.<sup>69 70</sup>

Our review confirms that patients with HNC require customised programmes, consistent with the recommendations for PA promotion for patients affected by various cancer types.<sup>17 18 26 53</sup> However, it remains still unclear which intervention components are essential and when they should be delivered during the cancer journey to best address patients' needs. This scoping review affirms that healthcare professionals and researchers are convinced that more information and education on PA benefits should be provided to patients and professionals. Haussmann et al<sup>71</sup> confirm that in-depth PA counselling is necessary to enhance PA levels in patients with cancer, but is rarely delivered to them.

#### Implications for further research

There are several topics that require further investigation to advance the implementation of PA promotion within the care continuum of individuals with HNC.

- 1. Understanding how, when and by whom screening for relevant symptoms and barriers related to PA should be conducted.
- 2. Developing tailored information and effective education for individuals affected by HNC and for healthcare professionals involved in their care.
- 3. Improving understanding of the motivation for, intention to and behaviour change towards increased PA in individuals with HNC.

#### Strengths and limitations

A strength of this scoping review lies in its extensive examination of factors influencing PA in people with HNC. By incorporating views and recommendations from healthcare professionals and researchers, valuable expert knowledge is compiled. The review consolidate evidence on PA in patients diagnosed with HNC, affirming findings on barriers and facilitators from previous research.<sup>25 26</sup> Moreover, the review suggests open questions for future research to advance PA promotion in people affected by HNC.

The results of this scoping review should be interpreted cautiously because the concept of PA was defined broadly, and the context of PA was heterogeneous. The included studies investigated everyday PA, analysed exercise interventions within a study setting during treatment or analysed PA after treatment was completed. Our goal was to compile knowledge on influencing factors and recommendations from the literature and to suggest future exploration. Owing to the heterogeneous nature of the data sources and the different sample sizes of the studies, objective quantification of the various factors was not feasible. Instead, an approximation of the importance of a particular factor was only provided by indicating the frequency of citations. The results of this review are not generalisable since no quality assessment for the included studies was executed. Ouality assessment is not usually part of the methodology of a scoping review, which rather seeks to provide a comprehensive overview of the diverse existing evidence on a particular topic.<sup>28</sup>

#### Conclusion

Personal, social, environmental and health-related factors have an influence on PA in patients with HNC. These factors encompass personal characteristics such as age and comorbidities, as well as factors such as attitude, interest and motivation. Treatment side effects and the overall health condition of individuals place the most important barriers to PA, whereas perceived benefits and support from the persons' network act as facilitators to PA. Patients with HNC express a desire for personalised information and programmes tailored to their geneeds. Experts suggest that support and education should be provided within the healthcare system to overcome barriers and promote PA by addressing behaviour, attitude and intention. Further research is necessary to understand how to best luding encourage patients' PA participation and how and when to provide the necessary information and support to overcome potential PA barriers. for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies

#### Author affiliations

<sup>1</sup>Institute for Therapies and Rehabilitation, Cantonal Hospital Winterthur, Winterthur, Switzerland

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Medicine, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

<sup>3</sup>Institute of Nursing Sciences, Eastern Switzerland University of Applied Sciences, St. Gallen, Switzerland

<sup>4</sup>Institute of Physiotherapy, School of Health Sciences, Zurich University of Applied Sciences, Winterthur, Switzerland

<sup>5</sup>School of Health Sciences, University of Applied Sciences and Arts Western Switzerland, Fribourg, Switzerland

Acknowledgements Special thanks to Dr Martina A Gosteli, Liason Librarian Medicine, University Library, University of Zurich for her support with the search strategy, Natalie Meister, physiotherapist MSc at Cantonal Hospital Winterthur for her help with title and abstract screening and Dr Kali Tal, lecturer academic writing, University Library of Bern, for providing feedback on the paper.

**Contributors** MS acts as the guarantor and planned the study with the support of MB and MW. MS carried out the literature search and did the screening of all titles and abstracts with the help of a research assistent. MS, MB and RE did the screening of full texts and the literature review, MS drafted the manuscript. MB, RE and MW assisted with data interpretation and drafting the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement The data sheet on the extracted data is available on reasonable request from the study authors. Additional materials with the detailed search strategy and more detailed overview of included studies are available as online supplemental S1 and S2. Further material can be provided on request.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content

## **Open** access

includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

#### **ORCID** iDs

Martina Schmocker http://orcid.org/0009-0006-9159-7536 Ramona Engst http://orcid.org/0009-0004-1913-1198 Markus Wirz http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6716-8527 Marika Bana http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9988-6299

#### REFERENCES

- 1 Gormley M, Creaney G, Schache A, *et al*. Reviewing the epidemiology of head and neck cancer: definitions, trends and risk factors. *Br Dent J* 2022;233:780–6.
- 2 Johnson DE, Burtness B, Leemans CR, et al. Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Nat Rev Dis Primers 2020;6:1–22.
- 3 Warinner CB, Bergmark RW, Sethi R, *et al.* Cancer-related activity limitations among head and neck cancer survivors. *Laryngoscope* 2022;132:593–9.
- 4 Bras L, Driessen DAJJ, Vries J, *et al*. Patients with head and neck cancer: are they frailer than patients with other solid malignancies *Eur J Cancer Care* 2020;29:e13170.
- 5 Parke SC, Langelier DM, Cheng JT, *et al*. State of rehabilitation research in the head and neck cancer population: functional impact vs. *Curr Oncol Rep* 2022;24:517–32.
- 6 Hammermüller C, Hinz A, Dietz A, *et al.* Depression, anxiety, fatigue, and quality of life in a large sample of patients suffering from head and neck cancer in comparison with the general population. *BMC Cancer* 2021;21:94.
- 7 Caspersen CJ, Powell KE, Christenson GM. Physical activity, exercise, and physical fitness: definitions and distinctions for healthrelated research. *Public Health Rep* 1974;100:126–31.
- 8 World Health Organization. Physical activity. Available: https://www. who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/physical-activity [Accessed 10 Nov 2022].
- 9 Cormie P, Zopf EM, Zhang X, *et al*. The impact of exercise on cancer mortality, recurrence, and treatment-related adverse effects. *Epidemiol Rev* 2017;39:71–92.
- 10 Schmitz KH, Campbell AM, Stuiver MM, et al. Exercise is medicine in oncology: engaging clinicians to help patients move through cancer. CA Cancer J Clin 2019;69:468–84.
- 11 Mctiernan A, Friedenreich CM, Katzmarzyk PT, *et al.* Physical activity in cancer prevention and survival: a systematic review. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2019;51:1252–61.
- 12 Campbell KL, Winters-stone KM, Wiskemann J, *et al.* Exercise guidelines for cancer survivors: consensus statement from international multidisciplinary roundtable. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2019;51:2375–90.
- 13 Rock CL, Thomson CA, Sullivan KR, et al. American cancer society nutrition and physical activity guideline for cancer survivors. CA Cancer J Clin 2022;72:230–62.
- 14 Cormie P, Atkinson M, Bucci L, et al. Clinical oncology society of Australia position statement on exercise in cancer care. Med J Aust 2018;209:184–7.
- 15 Hayes SC, Newton RU, Spence RR, et al. The exercise and sports science australia position statement: exercise medicine in cancer management. J Sci Med Sport 2019;22:1175–99.
- 16 Chan A, Ports K, Neo P, et al. Barriers and facilitators to exercise among adult cancer survivors in Singapore. Support Care Cancer 2022;30:4867–78.
- 17 Clifford BK, Mizrahi D, Sandler CX, et al. Barriers and facilitators of exercise experienced by cancer survivors: a mixed methods systematic review. Support Care Cancer 2018;26:685–700.
- 18 Depenbusch J, Wiskemann J, Haussmann A, et al. Impact and determinants of structural barriers on physical activity in people with cancer. Int J Behav Med 2022;29:308–20.
- 19 Bye A, Sandmael JA, Stene GB, *et al.* Exercise and nutrition interventions in patients with head and neck cancer during curative

treatment: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutrients* 2020;12:3233.

- 20 Samuel SR, Maiya AG, Fernandes DJ, et al. Effectiveness of exercise-based rehabilitation on functional capacity and quality of life in head and neck cancer patients receiving chemo-radiotherapy. Support Care Cancer 2019;27:3913–20.
- 21 Lynch PT, Horani S, Lee R, *et al.* Effectiveness of physical activity interventions in improving objective and patient- reported outcomes in head and neck cancer survivors: a systematic review. *Oral Oncol* 2021;117:105253.
- 22 Huang S, Zhan Y, Jeon S, et al. Longitudinal associations among physical activity, inflammatory markers, and quality of life in patients with head and neck cancer. *Head Neck* 2023;45:1952–66.
- 23 Douma JAJ, Verdonck-de Leeuw IM, Leemans CR, et al. Demographic, clinical and lifestyle-related correlates of accelerometer assessed physical activity and fitness in newly diagnosed patients with head and neck cancer. Acta Oncol 2020;59:342–50.
- 24 Fang Y-Y, Wang C-P, Chen Y-J, et al. Physical activity and fitness in survivors of head and neck cancer. Support Care Cancer 2021;29:6807–17.
- 25 Ning Y, Wang Q, Ding Y, et al. Barriers and facilitators to physical activity participation in patients with head and neck cancer: a scoping review. Support Care Cancer 2022;30:4591–601.
- 26 Doughty HC, Hill RA, Riley A, et al. Barriers to and facilitators of physical activity in adults living with and beyond cancer, with special emphasis on head and neck cancer: a systematic review of qualitative and mixed methods studies. Support Care Cancer 2023;31:471.
- 27 Khalil H, Peters MD, Tricco AC, et al. Conducting high quality scoping reviews-challenges and solutions. J Clin Epidemiol 2021;130:156–60.
- 28 Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. Int J Soc Res Methodol 2005;8:19–32.
- 29 Peters MDJ, Godfrey C, McInerney P, et al. Best practice guidance and reporting items for the development of scoping review protocols. JBI Evid Synth 2022;20:953–68.
- 30 Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, et al. PRISMA extension for Scoping reviews (PRISMA-SCR): checklist and explanation. Ann Intern Med 2018;169:467–73.
- 31 Covidence. Covidence better systematic review management. Available: https://www.covidence.org/ [Accessed 24 May 2022].
- 32 Elo S, Kyngäs H. The qualitative content analysis process. *J Adv Nurs* 2008;62:107–15.
- 33 Peters MDJ, Marnie C, Tricco AC, et al. Updated methodological guidance for the conduct of scoping reviews. JBI Evid Implement 2021;19:3–10.
- 34 Duffy SA, Khan MJ, Ronis DL, *et al.* Health behaviors of head and neck cancer patients the first year after diagnosis. *Head Neck* 2008;30:93–102.
- 35 Rogers LQ, Courneya KS, Robbins KT, et al. Physical activity correlates and barriers in head and neck cancer patients. Support Care Cancer 2008;16:19–27.
- 36 Rogers LQ, Malone J, Rao K, et al. Exercise preferences among patients with head and neck cancer: prevalence and associations with quality of life, symptom severity, depression, and rural residence. *Head & Neck* 2009;31:994–1005.
- 37 Rogers LQ, Fogleman A, Verhulst S, et al. Refining measurement of social cognitive theory factors associated with exercise adherence in head and neck cancer patients. J Psychosoc Oncol 2015;33:467–87.
- 38 Zhao SG, Alexander NB, Djuric Z, et al. Maintaining physical activity during head and neck cancer treatment: results of a pilot controlled trial. *Head Neck* 2016;38 Suppl 1:E1086–96.
- 39 Buffart LM, de Bree R, Altena M, et al. Demographic, clinical, Lifestyle-related, and social-cognitive correlates of physical activity in head and neck cancer survivors. Support Care Cancer 2018;26:1447–56.
- 40 Midgley AW, Lowe D, Levy AR, et al. Exercise program design considerations for head and neck cancer survivors. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 2018;275:169–79.
- 41 Rogers SN, Travers A, Lowe D, et al. Importance of activity and recreation for the quality of life of patients treated for cancer of the head and neck. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2019;57:125–34.
- 42 Felser S, Behrens M, Liese J, et al. Feasibility and effects of a supervised exercise program suitable for independent training at home on physical function and quality of life in head and neck cancer patients: a pilot study. *Integr Cancer Ther* 2020;19:1534735420918935.
- 43 Kok A, Passchier E, May AM, et al. Feasibility of a supervised and home-based tailored exercise intervention in head and neck cancer

## 6

patients during chemoradiotherapy. *European J Cancer Care* 2022;31:e13662.

- 44 Ntoukas SM, McNeely ML, Seikaly H, et al. Feasibility and safety of heavy lifting strength training in head and neck cancer survivors post-surgical neck dissection (the LIFTING trial). Support Care Cancer 2023;31:348.
- 45 Björklund M, Sarvimäki A, Berg A. Health promotion and empowerment from the perspective of individuals living with head and neck cancer. *Eur J Oncol Nurs* 2008;12:26–34.
- 46 Henry M, Bdira A, Cherba M, et al. Recovering function and surviving treatments are primary Motivators for health behavior change in patients with head and neck cancer: qualitative focus group study. *Palliat Support Care* 2016;14:364–75.
- 47 Daun JT, Twomey R, Dort JC, *et al.* A qualitative study of patient and healthcare provider perspectives on building multiphasic exercise prehabilitation into the surgical care pathway for head and neck cancer. *Curr Oncol* 2022;29:5942–54.
- 48 Hanika C, Porter N, Blick K, et al. Lifestyle choices following head and neck cancer treatment: a qualitative study. Nutr Health 2024;30:175–85.
- 49 Rogers SN, Lowe D, Midgley AW. Patients' views of physical activity whilst living with and beyond head and neck cancer. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2022;51:323–31.
- 50 Kok A, Passchier E, May AM, et al. Expectations and experiences of participating in a supervised and home-based physical exercise intervention in patients with head and neck cancer during chemoradiotherapy: a qualitative study. *Curr Oncol* 2024;31:885–99.
- 51 Jackson C, Dowd AJ, Capozzi LC, et al. A turning point: head and neck cancer patients' exercise preferences and barriers before and after participation in an exercise intervention. *Eur J Cancer Care* 2018;27:e12826.
- 52 Sealy MJ, Stuiver MM, Midtgaard J, *et al.* Perception and performance of physical activity behavior after head and neck cancer treatment: exploration and integration of qualitative and quantitative findings. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2021;19:287.
- 53 Gildea GC, Spence RR, Jones TL, et al. Barriers, facilitators, perceptions and preferences influencing physical activity participation, and the similarities and differences between cancer types and treatment stages - a systematic rapid review. *Prev Med Rep* 2023;34:102255.
- 54 Cramp F, Byron-Daniel J. Exercise for the management of cancer-related fatigue in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012;11:CD006145.
- 55 Sharp L, Watson L-J, Lu L, et al. Cancer-related fatigue in head and neck cancer survivors: longitudinal findings from the head and neck 5000 prospective clinical cohort. *Cancers (Basel)* 2023;15:4864.

- 56 Fabi A, Bhargava R, Fatigoni S, et al. Cancer-related fatigue: ESMO clinical practice guidelines for diagnosis and treatment. Ann Oncol 2020;31:713–23.
- 57 National Comprehensive Cancer Network. NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology - cancer-related fatigue. 2023. Available: https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\_gls/pdf/fatigue.pdf [Accessed 22 Nov 2023].
- 58 van den Beuken-van Everdingen MHJ, de Rijke JM, Kessels AG, *et al.* Prevalence of pain in patients with cancer: a systematic review of the past 40 years. *Ann Oncol* 2007;18:1437–49.
- 59 Khawaja SN, Jamshed A, Hussain RT. Prevalence of pain in oral cancer: a retrospective study. *Oral Dis* 2021;27:1806–12.
- 60 Ringash J, Bernstein LJ, Devins G, et al. Head and neck cancer survivorship: learning the needs, meeting the needs. Semin Radiat Oncol 2018;28:64–74.
- 61 Vermaire JA, Raaijmakers CPJ, Monninkhof EM, *et al*. The course of swallowing problems in the first 2 years after diagnosis of head and neck cancer. *Support Care Cancer* 2022;30:9527–38.
- 62 Elshahat S, Treanor C, Donnelly M. Factors influencing physical activity participation among people living with or beyond cancer: a systematic scoping review. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2021;18:50.
- 63 Osazuwa-Peters N, Christopher KM, Cass LM, *et al.* What's love got to do with it? Marital status and survival of head and neck cancer. *Eur J Cancer Care* 2019;28:e13022.
- 64 Zeng Q, Ling D, Chen W, et al. Family caregivers' experiences of caring for patients with head and neck cancer. *Cancer Nurs* 2022;Publish Ahead of Print:E41–61.
- 65 Clarke N, Dunne S, Coffey L, *et al.* Health literacy impacts selfmanagement, quality of life and fear of recurrence in head and neck cancer survivors. *J Cancer Surviv* 2021;15:855–65.
- 66 Pfister DG, Spencer S, Adelstein D, et al. Head and neck cancers, version 2.2020, NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology. J Natl Compr Canc Netw 2020;18:jnccnGLS1807:873–98:.
- 67 ESMO. Clinical practice guidelines-squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck, 2020. Available: https://www.esmo.org/guidelines/ guidelines-by-topic/head-and-neck-cancers/squamous-cellcarcinoma-of-the-head- and-neck [Accessed 22 Nov 2023].
- 68 Cohen EEW, LaMonte SJ, Erb NL, et al. American cancer society head and neck cancer survivorship care guideline. CA Cancer J Clin 2016;66:203–39.
- 69 Karvinen K, Bruner B, Truant T. The Teachable moment after cancer diagnosis: perceptions from oncology nurses. *ONF* 2015;42:602–9.
- 70 Bluethmann SM, Basen-Engquist K, Vernon SW, et al. Grasping the 'Teachable moment': time since diagnosis, symptom burden and health behaviors in breast, colorectal and prostate cancer survivors. *Psychooncology* 2015;24:1250–7.
- 71 Haussmann A, Ungar N, Tsiouris A, et al. Physical activity counseling to cancer patients: how are patients addressed and who benefits most? Patient Educ Couns 2021;104:2999–3007.