# **BMJ Open** How the COVID-19 pandemic affected infant vaccination trends in rural and urban communities in Ibadan, Nigeria: a cross-sectional study

Awwal Adetunii Adegoke.<sup>1</sup> Folusho Mubowale Balogun

### ABSTRACT

To cite: Adegoke AA, Balogun FM. How the COVID-19 pandemic affected infant vaccination trends in rural and urban communities in Ibadan. Nigeria: a crosssectional study. BMJ Open 2024;14:e073272. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2023-073272

 Prepublication history for this paper is available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (https://doi. org/10.1136/bmjopen-2023-073272).

Received 01 March 2023 Accepted 19 June 2024



C Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2024. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Child Health, College of Medicine, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria <sup>2</sup>University College Hospital, Ibadan, Nigeria

### **Correspondence to**

Dr Folusho Mubowale Balogun; folushom@yahoo.com

### Objectives This study compared the infant vaccination trends a year before and a year after the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic in selected urban and rural communities in Ibadan, Nigeria.

Design This was a cross-sectional study in which data were extracted from infant vaccination records.

Setting Two rural and three urban vaccination centres in primary health clinics at Ibadan Southeast and Olúvòlé local government areas, respectively.

Participants Infant vaccination records 1 year before and 1 year after the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic (March 2019-February 2020 and March 2020-February 2021, respectively).

Outcome measures Timeliness of vaccination (vaccination taken within 2 weeks of appointment) and vaccination completion according to the Nigerian routine infant vaccination schedule.

Results 2000 vaccination records were included in the study (1013 (50.6%) for male infants). 840 (42.0%) of the records were from the rural immunisation clinics. There were 1194 (59.7%) and 806 (40.3%) records from before and after the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, respectively. Before the pandemic, birth dose vaccines were timelier among infants from urban communities, while vaccines given at 6 weeks were timelier in the rural areas. Following the onset of the pandemic, the rural communities had a higher proportion of infants with timelier and complete vaccination except for the birth dose vaccines. Overall, there was higher vaccination completion before the pandemic, and this was higher in the rural compared with the urban communities both before (54.8% vs 11.7%) and after (23.6% vs 1.0%) the onset of the pandemic.

**Conclusions** A decline in infant vaccination uptake. timeliness and completion persisted 1 year after the COVID-19 pandemic onset, and urban communities were more affected. More efforts are required to ensure optimal infant vaccination, especially in urban communities, to forestall outbreaks of vaccine-preventable diseases.

### **INTRODUCTION**

The COVID-19 pandemic had significant impact on systems globally and the health system was not left out. At the onset of the pandemic, measures were instituted to control

# STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- $\Rightarrow$  The comparison of vaccination records a year before and a year after the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic provided a substantial time frame and sizeable vaccination records to understand the dynamics of infant vaccine uptake.
- $\Rightarrow$  Consideration was given to both the timeliness and completion of the infant vaccines.
- $\Rightarrow$  The comparison of rural and urban vaccine uptake showcased the peculiarities of each settlement type.
- $\Rightarrow$  Findings from the other geopolitical zones of Nigeria may be different from those presented here.

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text the spread of the novel virus whose pathogenesis was yet to be understood.<sup>1 2</sup> These measures included restrictions in movement, social distancing, the use of face masks, hand hygiene and confinement or isolation of and a spectrum of the suspected and confirmed cases, respectively.<sup>34</sup> Even though these steps were designed with a positive intention, they subsequently had  $\geq$ negative impacts on both the provision and access to healthcare services, including vaccination services.<sup>5</sup> The WHO recommended that routine vaccination services should be 2 continued despite the pandemic with preventive measures instituted in immunisation clinics,<sup>6</sup> but infant vaccine uptake dipped globally during this period.<sup>7</sup> This dip was because people were afraid of visiting the healthcare facilities to avoid infection with **b** COVID-19.<sup>3 8</sup> Restriction in movement also **g** affected the access to vaccination centres and the movement of vaccines and other required consumables.<sup>8–11</sup> Social distancing increased commuters' fare due to the reduction in the number of passengers who could be transported per trip and the extra cost of sanitising the vehicles to prevent transmission. This was an added burden to majority of households whose income reduced or who could not earn a living during this period.<sup>12</sup>

an

Currently, the world is witnessing the largest decline in infant vaccination in the last 30 years.<sup>7</sup> Routine vaccination was the most disrupted health service during the lockdown period as a result of the pandemic with about 70% of countries reporting either complete or partial disruption.<sup>5</sup> Globally, this disruption was highest in April 2020 with over 4.6 million children missing their third dose of diphtheria, pertussis and tetanus (DPT) vaccine and 4.4 million missing measles-containing vaccine.<sup>13</sup> It is important to note that there was a lot of variation in the severity, pattern and duration of disruption in vaccination uptake across countries. While most of this disruption was in the first 2 months of the pandemic, there were reports of persistence of disruptions many months after the end of lockdown in some countries.<sup>14–16</sup> Also, some country data showed that the decline of vaccine uptake was matched with COVID-19 waves and severity.<sup>14</sup> There was also a report of more decrease in the uptake of vaccines given at older ages like measles vaccine, compared with those given at younger ages in some countries.<sup>14</sup> BCG was the vaccine with the highest dip during the lockdown in Pakistan,<sup>15</sup> but the uptake of the same vaccine did not reduce significantly in Malawi, Haiti, Lesotho, Liberia<sup>14</sup> and Iraq<sup>16</sup> at the same period.

Nigeria is 1 of the 10 countries where 60% of the 25 million children who were either completely unimmunised or partially immunised lived in 2021.<sup>17</sup> The country has been making slow progress in the improvement of infant vaccination coverage, but this progress has not been impressive despite multiple interventions to address the problem.<sup>18</sup> The DPT3 coverage improved from 33% in 2016/2017<sup>19</sup> to 57% in 2019, <sup>20</sup> but this is still lower than the 90% coverage that is required for herd immunity. It is therefore expected that the negative effect of the COVID-19 pandemic can be devastating to the slow progress that has been achieved in vaccination coverage over the decades. The Nigerian government instituted measures to control COVID-19 just like other African countries, and this affected infant vaccination. There was a 12% drop in DPT3 uptake between the first and second quarter of 2020 and 13% drop in measles-containing vaccine uptake at the same time in Nigeria.<sup>21</sup> Prior to the pandemic, Oyo State had the highest number of unvaccinated infants and the second lowest infant vaccination coverage in Southwest Nigeria.<sup>22</sup> Similar to the reports at country level, most co-administered vaccines were at their lowest in April 2020 in Oyo State. Birth dose hepatitis B vaccine (HBV) and BCG were lowest in August of the same year at 56.1% and yellow fever vaccine was 13.0%, while measles vaccine dipped by 12.4%.<sup>23</sup> Also, none of the planned fixed routine immunisation sessions had up to 100% coverage during the pandemic compared with 105% and 101% recorded in September and November 2019, respectively.<sup>23</sup>

There are many questions regarding the disruption of vaccination services because of the COVID-19 pandemic which should be answered because this will guide the focus of the catch-up activities to get the affected children

<page-header><text><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text>

# **Data source**

The data were from the infant vaccination records of the selected clinics. The records extracted spanned from 1 year before, and 1 year after the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic. That is, from March 2019 to February 2020, and March 2020 to February 2021, respectively.

## Training of research assistants

The research assistants were trained by AAA over a 2-day period about the ethics of research and procedures for extracting data correctly from the vaccination records using a proforma. The research assistants rehearsed the data collection process to ascertain that they had mastered the data extraction process.

# **Data collection procedures**

Data collection lasted for 10 weeks between September and November 2021. Data were extracted from the infant vaccination records of the selected vaccination clinics using a proforma. The name (used for data verification purpose only and deleted before data entry), date of birth, age at registration, sex of the infants and the appointment date for each vaccine were recorded. The vaccination record extracted included those for oral polio vaccine (OPV), BCG, HBV, pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV), pentavalent vaccine (consisted of DPT, HBV and Haemophilus influenzae vaccines) (PENTA), inactivated polio vaccine (IPV), measles and yellow fever vaccines. Each proforma was checked for completeness daily during the data collection period. Also, the content of randomly selected proforma was compared with the clinic records to ensure correctness at intervals.

# Data analysis

Data were initially entered into Microsoft Excel and then exported into SPSS V.26<sup>26</sup> for cleaning, sorting and analysis. For this study, vaccine uptake was considered timely when taken within 2 weeks after the due date as described in earlier Nigerian studies.<sup>27 28</sup> On the other hand, vaccine completion was defined as taking one dose each of BCG, HBV, IPV, measles and yellow fever vaccines, three doses of PENTA and four doses of OPV. The primary outcomes were the timeliness of each vaccine and completion of the schedule as defined. These translated to the proportion of infants who had the appropriate vaccines within 2weeks of their appointment and the proportion of those who completed the required vaccines, respectively. There were some missing data, and complete case analysis (the default method for addressing missing data on SPSS) was used to address it. This method excluded the missing data from the analysis. Association between timeliness and completion of each vaccine with type of settlement and timing of onset of the COVID-19 pandemic were determined using  $\chi^2$  test. The timeliness among the settlement types before and after the onset of the pandemic was also presented in diagrams. The level of statistical significance was set at 5%. The Strengthening the Reporting

of Observational Studies in Epidemiology cross-sectional reporting guidelines<sup>29</sup> were used in this article.

# Patient and public involvement

This study did not involve the patients' participation directly. The study findings were presented to the public at the Pediatric Association of Nigeria's conference in January 2023.

**RESULTS** Overall, 2000 infant vaccination records were included G in the study. Of these, 1013 (50.6%) were males and 840 (42.0%) were from the rural PHCs. There were 8 1194 (59.7%) records before the COVID-19 pandemic onset consisting of 659 (55.28%) from the urban and 535 (44.8%) from the rural PHCs. Also, there were 806 (40.3%) records after the onset of the pandemic, with 501 (62.2%) from the urban and 305 (37.8%) from the rural PHCs. There were some missing vaccine records with the least missed record being that of the birth dose vaccines (BCG, HBV0 and OPV0) at 6.0%, while measles and yellow fever vaccines had the highest missing values at 8.0%. Table 1 shows that overall, there were significantly less infants vaccinated after the COVID-19 pandemic less infants vaccinated after the COVID-19 pandemic onset for all vaccines except the birth dose vaccines and IPV. In table 2, the vaccination uptake was segregated  $\overline{\mathbf{s}}$ according to the settlement type and more infants were e vaccinated in the clinics located in the rural settings compared with the urban PHCs before the pandemic except OPV0 which had more uptake in urban settings (97.4% for urban clinics vs 92.0% for rural clinics). BCG uptake was not significantly different in both categories  $\Xi$ of clinics (95.5% for urban clinics and 100.0% for rural clinics). After the onset of the pandemic, a higher propor-≥ tion of infants had birth dose vaccines in the urban clinics than the rural clinics but only OPV0 (97.5% for urban clinics vs 93.0% for rural clinics) was statistically signifiŋġ, cant. All other infant vaccinations were significantly taken in higher proportion at the rural clinics compared with the urban clinics.

Table 3 shows that the overall timeliness of every infant vaccine reduced significantly after the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic. Before the pandemic, the birth dose vaccines (BCG, OPV0 and HBV0) were time-<u></u> lier in the urban clinics than the rural ones as shown in table 4. Also, the vaccines given at 6 weeks (OPV1, PCV1 🔓 and PENTA1) were timelier in the rural than the urban  $\Im$ clinics. There were no differences in the timeliness of the other vaccines between the two group of clinics. However, after the pandemic, every infant vaccine was timelier in the rural than the urban clinics, except the birth dose vaccines that remained timelier in the urban clinics. Figures 1 and 2 showed the pictorial view of how the timeliness of the BCG, PENTA3 and measles vaccines was before and after the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic for the rural and urban communities, respectively.

Asso

Table 1

Vaccine BCG

HBV0

**OPV0** 

OPV1

PCV1

PENTA1

OPV2

PCV2

PENTA2

OPV3

PCV3

PENTA3

Measles

Yellow fever

IPV

Uptake	Before COVID-19 onset n (%)	After COVID-19 onset n (%)	P value	
No	3 (0.3)	3 (0.4)	0.50	
Yes	1191 (99.7)	683 (99.6)		
No	84 (7.0)	40 (5.8)	0.31	
Yes	1110 (93.0)	646 (94.2)		
No	60 (5.0)	30 (4.4)	0.52	
Yes	1134 (95.0)	656 (95.6)		
No	184 (15.4)	192 (28.4)	<0.01	
Yes	1010 (84.6)	483 (71.6)		
No	184 (15.4)	192 (28.4)	<0.01	
Yes	1010 (84.6)	483 (28.4)		
No	184 (15.4)	191 (28.3)	<0.01	
Yes	1010 (84.6)	484 (71.7)		
No	268 (22.4)	226 (33.9)	<0.01	
Yes	926 (77.6)	440 (66.1)		
No	268 (22.4)	227 (32.0)	<0.01	
Yes	926 (77.6)	440 (68.0)		
No	268 (22.4)	228 (34.2)	<0.01	
Yes	926 (77.6)	439 (65.8)		
No	389 (32.6)	336 (50.4)	<0.01	
Yes	804 (67.4)	331 (49.6)		
No	391 (32.8)	336 (50.4)	<0.01	
Yes	801 (67.2)	331 (49.6)		
No	393 (32.9)	336 (50.4)	<0.01	
Yes	801 (67.1)	331 (49.6)		
No	607 (50.8)	356 (53.4)	0.29	
Yes	587 (49.2)	311 (46.6)		
No	648 (54.3)	539 (81.7)	<0.01	
Yes	546 (45.7)	121 (18.3)		
No	660 (55.3)	572 (87.7)	< 0.01	

\*There were some missing data.

HBV, hepatitis B vaccine; IPV, inactivated polio vaccine; OPV, oral polio vaccine; PCV, pneumococcal conjugate vaccine; PENTA, pentavalent vaccine.

Regarding vaccination completion, more infants in the rural clinics completed their vaccinations before (293 (54.8%) vs 11 (11.7%)) and after (72 (23.6%) vs 5 (1.0%)) the onset of the pandemic (p<0.01 in both cases) as shown in figure 3. The sex of the infants was not associated with the completion of vaccinations overall, before and after the onset of COVID-19 within each settlement.

## DISCUSSION

This study showed that the COVID-19 pandemic affected the uptake of infant vaccination in the study clinics, specifically, the timeliness and completion of the vaccines. There was also variation in these outcomes between the clinics located in the urban and rural communities. The general reduction in the number of infants who were vaccinated after the onset of the pandemic compared with before the pandemic was similar to reports from other countries.<sup>16 24 30</sup> These are important outcomes from this study that can guide infant vaccination policies and programmes in Nigeria to ensure optimal vaccination of infants. It is important to note that the data for this study were obtained from only one geopolitical zone in Nigeria which can limit the application of the results as there may be variations in the findings if data from the other five

Table 2 Association between vaccine uptake and settlement type before and after the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic in Ibadan, Nigeria\*

	Before COVID-19 onset				After CO			
Vaccine		Settlement type		P value	Uptake	Settlement type	•	
	Uptake	Urban n (%)	Rural n (%)			Urban n (%)	Rural n (%)	P value
BCG	No	3 (0.5)	0 (0.0)	0.06	No	3 (0.7)	0 (0)	0.07
	Yes	656 (95.5)	535 (100.0)		Yes	398 (99.3)	285 (100.0)	
OPV0	No	17 (2.6)	43 (8.0)	<0.01	No	10 (2.5)	20 (7.0)	<0.01
	Yes	642 (97.4)	492 (92.0)		Yes	391 (97.5)	265 (93.0)	
HBV0	No	44 (6.7)	40 (7.5)	0.59	No	21 (5.2)	19 (6.7)	0.43
	Yes	46 (93.3)	495 (92.5)		Yes	380 (94.8)	266 (93.3)	
OPV1	No	173 (26.3)	11 (2.1)	<0.01	No	169 (43.3)	23 (8.1)	<0.01
	Yes	485 (73.7)	524 (97.9)		Yes	221 (56.7)	262 (91.9)	
PCV1	No	173 (26.3)	11 (2.1)	<0.01	No	169 (43.3)	23 (8.1)	<0.01
	Yes	486 (73.7)	524 (97.9)		Yes	221 (56.7)	262 (91.9)	
PENTA1	No	173 (26.3)	11 (2.1)	<0.01	No	168 (43.1)	23 (8.1)	<0.01
	Yes	486 (73.7)	524 (97.9)		Yes	222 (56.9)	262 (91.9)	
OPV2	No	213 (32.3)	55 (10.3)	<0.01	No	181 (47.5)	45 (15.8)	<0.01
	Yes	446 (67.7)	480 (89.7)		Yes	200 (52.5)	240 (84.2)	
PCV2	No	213 (32.3)	55 (10.3)	<0.01	No	182 (47.6)	45 (15.8)	<0.01
	Yes	446 (67.7)	480 (89.7)		Yes	200 (52.4)	240 (84.2)	
PENTA2	No	213 (32.3)	55 (10.3)	<0.01	No	182 (47.6)	46 (16.1)	<0.01
	Yes	446 (67.7)	480 (89.7)		Yes	200 (52.4)	239 (83.9)	
DPV3	No	294 (44.7)	95 (17.8)	<0.01	No	253 (66.1)	83 (29.2)	< 0.01
	Yes	364 (45.3)	440 (82.2)		Yes	130 (33.9)	201 (70.8)	
PCV3	No	296 (45.0)	95 (17.8)	<0.01	No	253 (66.1)	83 (29.2)	<0.01
	Yes	362 (55.0)	439 (82.2)		Yes	130 (33.9)	201 (70.8)	
PENTA3	No	298 (45.2)	95 (17.8)	<0.01	No	253 (66.1)	83 (29.2)	<0.01
	Yes	361 (44.8)	440 (82.2)		Yes	130 (33.9)	201 (70.8)	
PV	No	508 (77.1)	99 (18.5)	<0.01	No	268 (70.0)	88 (31.0)	<0.01
	Yes	151 (22.9)	436 (81.5		Yes	115 (30.0)	196 (69.0)	
leasles	No	433 (65.7)	215 (40.2)	<0.01	No	333 (87.9)	206 (73.3)	<0.01
	Yes	226 (34.3)	320 (59.8)		Yes	45 (12.1)	75 (26.7)	
fellow fever	No	439 (66.7)	221 (41.3)	<0.01	No	366 (96.6)	206 (73.3)	0.92
	Yes	219 (33.3)	314 (58.7)		Yes	13 (34)	75 (26.7)	

geopolitical zones were considered. Also, the data were only from PHCs' records. Those from the secondary and tertiary health facilities may give different results. However, the PHCs are the main access for infant vaccination in Nigeria which makes the data used in this study to be appropriate and important. Despite these limitations, this study presents findings from a large number of vaccination records that spanned over 2 critical years, and brought to fore the details of how the COVID-19 pandemic affected infant vaccination uptake. The study also moved from the traditional focus of just vaccination

Nigeria was one of the nine countries in Africa where the monthly data of infants who received DPT3 and the first measles-containing vaccine dipped by more than 2 SDs in the second quarter of 2020.<sup>21</sup> This decline in Nigeria was specifically attributed to the difficulty of accessing the vaccination clinics because of the government-instituted movement restrictions,<sup>4</sup> as well as reduction in vaccine

		Timing in relation to			
/accine	Timeliness	Before n (%)	After n (%)	P value	
OPV0	Delayed	146 (12.4)	53 (6.7)	<0.01	
	Timely	1030 (87.6)	737 (93.3)		
HBV0	Delayed	139 (11.9)	50 (6.4)	< 0.01	
	Timely	1031 (88.1)	729 (93.6)		
BCG	Delayed	137 (11.5)	55 (6.9)	< 0.01	
	Timely	1056 (88.5)	744 (93.1)		
OPV1	Delayed	150 (13.8)	143 (23.0)	<0.01	
	Timely	940 (86.2)	478 (77.0)		
PCV1	Delayed	149 (13.7)	143 (23.1)	<0.01	
	Timely	941 (86.3)	477 (76.9)		
PENTA1	Delayed	149 (13.7)	143 (23.1)	<0.01	
	Timely	941 (86.3)	477 (76.9)		
OPV2	Delayed	206 (19.8)	157 (27.6)	<0.01	
	Timely	834 (80.2)	412 (72.4)		
PCV2	Delayed	206 (19.8)	159 (27.9)	<0.01	
	Timely	834 (80.2)	411 (72.1)		
PENTA2	Delayed	206 (19.8)	159 (27.9)	<0.01	
	Timely	834 (80.2)	411 (72.1)		
OPV3	Delayed	227 (23.5)	206 (40.4)	<0.01	
	Timely	737 (76.5)	304 (59.6)		
PCV3	Delayed	228 (23.7)	206 (40.4)	< 0.01	
	Timely	736 (76.3)	304 (59.6)		
PENTA3	Delayed	229 (23.8)	206 (40.5)	< 0.01	
	Timely	732 (76.2)	303 (59.5)		
PV	Delayed	293 (35.2)	224 (46.5)	< 0.01	
	Timely	540 (64.8)	258 (53.5)		
Veasles	Delayed	266 (41.1)	266 (73.9)	< 0.01	
	Timely	381 (58.9)	94 (26.1)		
fellow fever	Delayed	272 (42.2)	283 (79.1)	< 0.01	
	Timely	373 (57.8)	75 (20.9)		

\*There were some missing data.

HBV, hepatitis B vaccine; IPV, inactivated polio vaccine; OPV, oral polio vaccine; PCV, pneumococcal conjugate vaccine; PENTA, pentavalent vaccine.

confidence by both healthcare workers and community dwellers.<sup>31</sup> The lack of confidence was from misinformation about the pandemic and the concerns about safety from contracting the COVID-19 infection from clinic settings. This was similarly reported in the UK.<sup>32</sup> There was however no significant reduction in the uptake of the birth dose vaccines in this study. There were similar reports from other countries like Iraq,<sup>16</sup> Malawi, Haiti, Lesotho and Liberia where BCG uptake was not affected by the pandemic.<sup>14</sup> This was however contrary to the report from Pakistan where the BCG vaccine had the

highest dip among vaccines given to infants.<sup>15</sup> The maintenance of the birth dose vaccines in the study communities may be because the infants could easily be vaccinated since the mothers were more likely to still be on admission in the healthcare facility for hours post-delivery, thereby removing the barrier of physical access to the vaccination clinic. It may also be due to the social support that mothers of new infants enjoy in the study area from people in their social circle, who could assist with the infants' vaccination.

Association between vaccine timeliness and settlement type 1 year before and 1 year after the onset of the Table 4 COVID-19 pandemic in Ibadan, Nigeria\*

	Before COVID-19 onset				After COVID-19 onset			
Vaccine	Timeliness	Settlement type	type	– P value		Settlement 1	type	– P value
		Urban n (%)	Rural n (%)		Timeliness	Urban n (%)	Rural n (%)	
BCG	Delayed	97 (14.7)	40 (7.5)	<0.01	Delayed	35 (7.1)	20 (6.6)	0.76
	Timely	561 (85.3)	495 (92.5)		Timely	459 (92.9)	285 (93.4)	
OPV0	Delayed	97 (14.9)	49 (9.3)	<0.01	Delayed	30 (6.2)	23 (7.6)	0.43
	Timely	552 (85.1)	478 (90.7)		Timely	457 (93.8)	280 (92.4)	
HBV0	Delayed	90 (14.0)	49 (9.3)	<0.01	Delayed	26 (5.5)	24 (7.9)	0.17
	Timely	553 (86.0	478 (90.7)		Timely	451 (94.5)	278 (92.1)	
OPV1	Delayed	107 (19.3)	43 (8.0)	<0.01	Delayed	120 (36.3.)	23 (7.9)	<0.01
	Timely	448 (80.7)	492 (92.0)		Timely	211 (63.7)	267 (92.1)	
PCV1	Delayed	106 (19.1)	43 (8.0)	<0.01	Delayed	120 (36.3)	23 (8.0)	<0.01
	Timely	449 (80.9)	492 (92.0)		Timely	211 (63.7)	266 (92.0)	
PENTA1	Delayed	106 (19.1)	43 (8.0)	<0.01	Delayed	119 (36.0)	24 (8.3	< 0.01
	Timely	449 (80.9)	492 (92.0)		Timely	212 (64.0)	265 (91.7)	
)PV2	Delayed	108 (21.1)	98 (18.6)	0.32	Delayed	99 (34.4)	58 (20.6)	< 0.01
	Timely	405 (78.9)	429 (81.4)		Timely	189 (65.6)	223 (79.4)	
°CV2	Delayed	108 (21.1)	98 (18.6)	0.32	Delayed	100 (34.7)	59 (20.9	< 0.01
	Timely	405 (78.9)	429 (81.4)		Timely	188 (65.3)	223 (79.1)	
PENTA2	Delayed	108 (21.1)	98 (18.6)	0.32	Delayed	101 (35.1)	58 (20.6)	< 0.01
	Timely	405 (78.9)	429 (81.4)		Timely	187 (64.9)	224 (79.4)	
PV3	Delayed	102 (23.0)	125 (24.0)	0.61	Delayed	132 (52.8)	74 (28.5)	<0.01
	Timely	341 (77.0)	396 (76.0)		Timely	118 (47.2	186 (71.5)	
°CV3	Delayed	102 (23.0)	126 (24.2)	0.67	Delayed	132 (52.8)	74 (28.5)	< 0.01
	Timely	341 (77.0)	395 (75.8)		Timely	118 (47.2)	186 (71.5)	
PENTA3	Delayed	103 (23.4)	126 (24.2)	0.78	Delayed	132 (53.0)	74 (28.5)	< 0.01
	Timely	337 (76.6)	395 (75.8)		Timely	117 (47.0	186 (71.5)	
PV	Delayed	167 (53.5)	126 (24.2)	<0.01	Delayed	149 (66.2)	75 (29.2	< 0.01
	Timely	145 (46.2)	395 (75.8)		Timely	76 (33.8)	182 (70.8)	
leasles	Delayed	143 (42.9)	123 (39.2)	0.33	Delayed	161 (84.7	105 (61.8	< 0.01
	Timely	190 (57.1)	191 (60.8)		Timely	29 (15.3)	65 (38.2)	
fellow fever	Delayed	147 (44.5)	125 (39.7)	0.21	Delayed	177 (94.1)	106 (62.4)	< 0.01
	Timely	183 (55.5)	190 (60.3)		Timely	11 (5.9)	64 (37.6)	

The decline in infant vaccination uptake was reported to be worse in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) compared with developed countries<sup>33</sup> which may be due to the fragile health systems that the earlier countries have. For example, some developed countries introduced drive-through vaccination centres to reduce contact with people, while some rescheduled appointments to be able to reduce the number of infants presenting at the clinics each time and some redeployed more staff to vaccination clinics.<sup>34 35</sup> These strategies were not feasible in many LMICs as shortages of healthcare workers, heavy clinic

The decrease in infant vaccination uptake however did not persist but was reversed before the end of 2020 in some countries, specifically in Malawi, Haiti, Lesotho, Liberia<sup>14</sup> and the USA (Southern California).<sup>36</sup> This was not the case among the infants from the studied clinics just as reported from France.<sup>37</sup> This underscores the importance of this study which clearly showed that the apathy for infant vaccination had persisted months after





Figure 1 Infant vaccination timeliness 1 year before and 1 year after the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic in rural communities in Ibadan, Nigeria. Penta, pentavalent vaccine.

the onset of the pandemic. It is therefore imperative to take actions to reverse this trend as Nigeria has made a lot of investments to improve and support infant vaccination in the last few decades. There is a need to be back on track with this improvement.

Generally, infant vaccination coverage has been shown to be better in urban areas compared with the rural ones in Nigeria.<sup>38</sup> This study however showed that a higher proportion of infants were vaccinated in the rural areas for each vaccine (except for the birth dose vaccines) compared with the urban areas before the onset of the pandemic just as reported in an earlier study.<sup>39</sup> This could be because of the concerted efforts to improve vaccination coverage in the rural areas over the years. It could also be because of the urban slum vaccination coverage which is usually abysmally low. This could have reduced the aggregate vaccination coverage in the urban areas. A meta-analysis of vaccination coverage in African countries has reported that the difference between vaccination coverage in rural and urban areas was not significant but there were variations among countries.<sup>24</sup> Disaggregating data from urban areas may provide more details about this coverage and this can help in infant vaccination management.

After the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, a higher proportion of infants in the rural areas still had their vaccines (except the birth dose vaccines) compared with infants from the urban areas. A similar report by Alhaddad *et al* from Iraq showed that infants from the rural areas were two times likely to take DPT3 compared with infants from urban areas during the pandemic.<sup>16</sup> Reports from Pakistan also showed that there was more



Figure 2 Infant vaccination timeliness 1 year before and 1 year after the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic in urban communities in Ibadan, Nigeria. Penta, pentavalent vaccine.

120



Figure 3 Completion of infant vaccination 1 year before and 1 year after the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic in selected communities in Ibadan, Nigeria.

enrolment of infants for vaccination after the lockdown in the rural areas and urban slums compared with the urban areas.<sup>15</sup> This may be due to the stricter measures to reduce movement in the urban areas compared with the rural ones. Also, a lot of misinformation was spread in the urban areas on different social media which is likely to be more in urban areas due to the higher proportion of access to smart phones. This however was not supported by a study among African countries that reported that higher spread of misinformation was in the rural areas during the pandemic.<sup>25</sup> The other plausible reason is that those in urban areas have alternative source of vaccination like secondary, tertiary and privately owned health facilities where they can continue infant vaccination after the initial dose. This is however difficult to verify because there is no electronic record base for infant vaccination currently in Nigeria, making harmonisation of the records impossible.

Delay in vaccination is a form of vaccine hesitancy<sup>40</sup> because it can be a precursor for incomplete vaccination and the window of delay exposes the child to infection by vaccine-preventable diseases (VPDs). Vaccination delay is also a threat to herd immunity. Almost every vaccine (except birth dose and yellow fever vaccines) was timelier among the rural infants. This could be because of the distractions that the mothers in the urban areas experience from being employed (especially those working outside the home) and the reduced social support they have compared with the mothers in the rural areas. These could result in delay of infant presentation for vaccination. The reduced timeliness can also explain the reduction in completion rate of infant vaccination, and this worsened after the onset of the pandemic. The reason for this disparity in vaccine timeliness needs further research to adequately understand the problem.

The obvious negative effects of the COVID-19 pandemic in the study clinics were reduced timeliness and completion of infant vaccination. It is very important to take steps

to address these problems as it has persisted. The recent outbreak of diphtheria in Nigeria may be connected to these vaccination problems just like the measles outbreak that resulted from the waning of vaccination programmes in some West African countries following the Ebola outbreak.<sup>41</sup> First, it is important to carry community stake-holders along regarding these identified problems.<sup>42</sup> outbreak of diphtheria in Nigeria may be connected to They can pass the message to the populace effectively to get all stakeholders on board and information about vaccine safety should be resounded to improve vaccine confidence. Second, catch-up campaigns, specifically to identify children who have missed their vaccines, should be commenced with the full involvement of the stakeholders in its planning and execution.<sup>43</sup> Third, additional healthcare workers should be hired, and more vaccination clinics are required for adequate coverage if the catch-up campaigns are to be successful.<sup>44</sup> The challenge however is that more funding will be required to make these happen amid other competing health programmes in the country. Also, the ongoing brain drain from healthcare workers seeking greener pastures in developed countries is a threat to these strategies.

In conclusion, the COVID-19 pandemic significantly reduced infant vaccination uptake, timeliness and ar completion among communities in Ibadan, and the urban communities were most affected. Programmes to identify children who missed their vaccination and ensure catch-up are necessary to forestall the outbreak of VPDs. There should be full involvement of all srelevant stakeholders to ensure the success of these programmes.

Acknowledgements We appreciate all the clinic heads and staff for their cooperation and support during the data collection process.

**Contributors** AAA and FMB conceptualised and designed the study. AAA supervised the data collection process while FMB analysed the data. Both authors interpreted the results, wrote the manuscript and approved its final draft. FMB is the guarantor and takes full responsibility for the overall content of the manuscript.

**Funding** The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval The study protocol was approved by the Oyo State Ethics Research Committee (AD13/479/2433). Permission to access the vaccination records was obtained from the Oyo State Primary Healthcare Board and the Medical Officers of Health of both Ibadan Southeast and Olúyòlé local government areas.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available in a public, open access repository. The dataset for this study can be found in the Mendeley Data (DOI: 10.17632/ n4w7kcyvpk.2).

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

### **ORCID iD**

Folusho Mubowale Balogun http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2645-9106

### REFERENCES

- Lai C-C, Shih T-P, Ko W-C, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-Cov-2) and Coronavirus Disease-2019 (COVID-19): the epidemic and the challenges. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2020;55:S0924-8579(20)30067-4.
- 2 Chen J. Pathogenicity and Transmissibility of 2019-nCoV-A quick overview and comparison with other emerging viruses. *Microbes Infect* 2020;22:69–71.
- 3 Haider N, Osman AY, Gadzekpo A, *et al.* Lockdown measures in response to COVID-19 in nine sub-Saharan African countries. *BMJ Glob Health* 2020;5:e003319.
- 4 Nkengasong JN, Mankoula W. Looming threat of COVID-19 infection in Africa: act collectively, and fast. *Lancet* 2020;395:841–2.
- 5 WHO. n.d. Pulse survey on continuity of essential health services during the COVID-19 pandemic: interim report 2020:21.
- 6 Dinleyici EC, Borrow R, Safadi MAP, et al. Vaccines and routine immunization strategies during the COVID-19 pandemic. Hum Vaccin Immunother 2021;17:400–7.
- 7 UNICEF. COVID-19 pandemic fuels largest continued Backslide in Vaccinations in three decades. 2022.
- 8 Cardoso Pinto AM, Shariq S, Ranasinghe L, *et al.* Reasons for reductions in routine childhood Immunisation uptake during the COVID-19 pandemic in Low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review. *PLOS Glob Public Health* 2023;3:e0001415.
- 9 Bwire G, Ario AR, Eyu P, et al. The COVID-19 pandemic in the African continent. *BMC Med* 2022;20:167.
- 10 Hamzelou J. World in Lockdown. New Sci 2020;245:7.
- 11 Adamu AA, Jalo RI, Habonimana D, *et al.* COVID-19 and routine childhood immunization in Africa: Leveraging systems thinking and implementation science to improve immunization system performance. *Int J Infect Dis* 2020;98:161–5.
- 12 Siwatu G-L. Impact of COVID-19 on Nigerian Households. Baseline Results: World Bank Group, 2020.
- 13 Causey K, Fullman N, Sorensen RJD, et al. Estimating global and regional disruptions to routine childhood vaccine coverage during the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020: a Modelling study. *Lancet* 2021;398:522–34.
- 14 Connolly E, Boley EJ, Fejfar DL, et al. Childhood immunization during the COVID-19 pandemic: experiences in Haiti, Lesotho. Bull World Health Organ 2022;100:115–126C.
- 15 Chandir S, Siddiqi DA, Mehmood M, et al. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic response on uptake of routine immunizations in Sindh, Pakistan: an analysis of provincial electronic immunization Registry data. Vaccine 2020;38:7146–55.
- 16 Alhaddad AR, Ahmadnezhad E, Fotouhi A. The vaccination coverage rate in Under-5 children in Nasiriyah, Iraq before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Epidemiol Health* 2022;44:e2022035.
- 17 UNICEF. Immunization, secondary immunization. 2021. Available: https://data.unicef.org/topic/child-health/immunization

- 18 Ushie BA, Fayehun OA, Ugal DB. Trends and patterns of Under-5 vaccination in Nigeria, 1990-2008: what manner of progress *Child Care Health Dev* 2014;40:267–74.
- 19 National Bureau of Statistics (NBS), United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey 2016-17, Survey Findings Report Abuja. Nigeria, 2017.
- 20 UNICEF. Immunization Regional Snapshot 2019: West and Central Africa. 2020.
- 21 Masresha BG, Luce R Jr, Shibeshi ME, et al. The performance of routine immunization in selected African countries during the first six months of the COVID-19 pandemic. Pan Afr Med J 2020;37:12.
- 22 National Immunization Coverage Survey (NICS): National Brief. MICS/NICS 2016/17. 2018.
- 23 Babatunde OA, Olatunji MB, Omotajo OR, et al. Impact of COVID-19 on routine immunization in Oyo state, Nigeria: trend analysis of immunization data in the Pre- and post-index case period; 2019-2020. Pan Afr Med J 2022;41:54.
- 24 Ali HA, Hartner A-M, Echeverria-Londono S, *et al.* Vaccine equity in low and middle income countries: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Int J Equity Health* 2022;21:82.
- 25 Okereke M, Ukor NÁ, Ngaruiya LM, *et al*. COVID-19 misinformation and Infodemic in rural Africa. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2020;104:453–6.
- 26 IBM Corp. IBM SPSS statistics for windows, version 26.0. Secondary IBM SPSS statistics for windows, version 26.0. 2019.
- 27 Sadoh AE, Eregie CO. Timeliness and completion rate of immunization among Nigerian children attending a clinic-based immunization service. J Health Popul Nutr 2009;27:391–5.
- 28 Balogun FM, Bamgboye EA, Akindolire AE. Improving timeliness and completion of infant vaccination among infants in Nigerian urban slums through older women's participation. *Front Public Health* 2022;10:898636.
- 29 Elm E von, Altman DG, Egger M, et al. Strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. BMJ 2007;335:806–8.
- 30 Shapira G, Ahmed T, Drouard SHP, et al. Disruptions in maternal and child health service utilization during COVID-19: analysis from eight sub-Saharan African countries. *Health Policy Plan* 2021;36:1140–51.
- 31 Essoh T-A, Adeyanju GC, Adamu AA, *et al.* Early impact of SARS-Cov-2 pandemic on immunization services in Nigeria. *Vaccines* (*Basel*) 2022;10:1107.
- 32 Skirrow H, Barnett S, Bell S, et al. Women's views and experiences of Accessing pertussis vaccination in pregnancy and infant Vaccinations during the COVID-19 pandemic: A multi-methods study in the UK. Vaccine 2022;40:4942–54.
- 33 Saso A, Skirrow H, Kampmann B. Impact of COVID-19 on immunization services for maternal and infant vaccines: results of a survey conducted by imprint-the Immunising pregnant women and infants network. *Vaccines (Basel)* 2020;8:556.
- 34 Saxena S, Skirrow H, Bedford H. Routine vaccination during COVID-19 pandemic response. *BMJ* 2020;369:m2392.
- 35 Nelson R. COVID-19 disrupts vaccine delivery. Lancet Infect Dis 2020;20:S1473-3099(20)30304-2.
- 36 Ackerson BK, Sy LS, Glenn SC, et al. Pediatric vaccination during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Pediatrics* 2021;148:e2020047092.
- 37 Taine M, Offredo L, Drouin J, *et al.* Mandatory infant Vaccinations in France during the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020. *Front Pediatr* 2021;9:666848.
- 38 National Population Commision, ICF. Nigeria demographic and health survey 2018. Abuja, Nigeria, Rockville, Maryland, USA. 2019.
- 39 Wiysonge CS, Uthman OA, Ndumbe PM, et al. Individual and Contextual factors Asociated with low childhood immunization coverage in sub-Saharan Africa: A Multilevel analysis. PLoS One 2012;7:e37905.
- 40 Oduwole EO, Pienaar ED, Mahomed H, et al. Current tools available for investigating vaccine hesitancy: a Scoping review protocol. BMJ Open 2019;9:e033245.
- 41 Masresha BG, Luce R, Weldegebriel G, *et al.* The impact of a prolonged Ebola outbreak on measles elimination activities in guinea, Liberia and Sierra Leone, 2014-2015. *Pan Afr Med J* 2020;35:8.
- 42 Ogundele OA, Omotoso AA, Fagbemi AT. COVID-19 outbreak: a potential threat to routine vaccination programme activities in Nigeria. *Hum Vaccin Immunother* 2021;17:661–3.
- 43 Ota MOC, Badur S, Romano-Mazzotti L, *et al.* Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on routine immunization. *Ann Med* 2021;53:2286–97.
- 44 Sell H, Assi A, Driedger SM, et al. Continuity of routine immunization programs in Canada during the COVID-19 pandemic. Vaccine 2021;39:5532–7.
- 45 Balogun FM, Awwal AA. Data from: infant vaccination uptake before and after COVID-19 pandemic in Ibadan, Nigeria. Mendeley data. 2023. Available: https://doi:10.17632/n4w7kcyvpk.2