BMJ Open Exploring the research needs, barriers and facilitators to the collection of biological data in adolescence for mental health research: a scoping review protocol paper

Courtney Worrell ⁽¹⁾, ¹ Rebecca Pollard ⁽¹⁾, ¹ Tyler Weetman, ² Zara Sadiq, ² Maria Pieptan,¹ Gillian Brooks,³ Matthew Broome,^{2,4} Niyah Campbell,² Nzinga Gardner,⁵ Seeromanie Harding,⁶ Anna Lavis,⁷ Rosemary R C McEachan,⁸ Valeria Mondelli,¹ Craig Morgan,^{9,10} Chiara Nosarti,^{11,12} Talya Porat,^{6,13} David Ryan,⁸ Lea Schmid,¹ Katy Shire ⁰,⁸ Anthony Woods,¹ Carmine M Pariante,¹ CELEBRATE Youth Expert Working Group, Paola Dazzan,¹ Rachel Upthegrove^{2,4}

ABSTRACT

To cite: Worrell C, Pollard R, Weetman T, et al. Exploring the research needs, barriers and facilitators to the collection of biological data in adolescence for mental health research: a scoping review protocol paper. BMJ Open 2024;14:e081360. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2023-081360

Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (https://doi.org/10.1136/ bmjopen-2023-081360).

Received 25 October 2023 Accepted 19 May 2024

Check for updates

C Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2024. Re-use permitted under CC BY. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to Courtney Worrell; courtney.worrell@kcl.ac.uk Introduction While research into adolescent mental health has developed a considerable understanding of environmental and psychosocial risk factors, equivalent biological evidence is lacking and is not representative of economic, social and ethnic diversity in the adolescent population. It is important to understand the possible barriers and facilitators to conduct this research. This will then allow us to improve our understanding of how biology interacts with environmental and psychosocial risk factors during adolescence. The objective of this scoping review is to identify and understand the needs, barriers and facilitators related to the collection of biological data in adolescent mental health research.

Methods and analysis Reviewers will conduct a systematic search of PubMed, Medline, Scopus, Cochrane, ERIC, EMBASE, ProQuest, EBSCO Global Health electronic databases, relevant publications and reference lists to identify studies published in the English language at any time. This scoping review will identify published studies exploring mental health/psychopathology outcomes, with biological measures, in participants between the ages of 11 and 18 and examine the reported methodology used for data collection. Data will be summarised in tabular form with narrative synthesis and will use the methodology of Levac et al, supplemented by subsequent recommendations from the Joanna Briggs Institute Scoping Review Methodology.

Ethics and dissemination Ethical approval is not required for this scoping review. The scoping review will be conducted with input from patient and public involvement. specifically including young people involved in our study ('Co-producing a framework of guiding principles for Engaging representative and diverse cohorts of young peopLE in Biological ReseArch in menTal hEalth'-www. celebrateproject.co.uk) Youth Expert Working Group. Dissemination will include publication in peer-reviewed journals, academic presentations and on the project website.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- \Rightarrow This review is being conducted according to methodological frameworks and guidance such as that reported by Levac et al and the Joanna Briggs Institute Scoping Review Methodology.
- \Rightarrow The systematic search will include grev literature which reduces publication bias.
- \Rightarrow The search will include seven different databases (as well as a manual search of the references) to maximise identification of relevant studies.
- \Rightarrow The iterative approach to charting data during extraction ensures that all relevant information will be captured.
- \Rightarrow The search is limited to work published in the English language which may exclude some relevant publications.

INTRODUCTION

data mining, AI training, and Adolescence is a distinct period of significant change. Between the ages of 10 and 19, adolescence sees a string of changes biologically, socially and psychologically. In this important time frame, the development that adolescents undergo has an undeniable impact on longer-term trajectories of health and wellbeing.¹ Due to these substantial changes, this **3** period provides a window of vulnerability, whereby adolescents may be at increased risk of developing poor mental health.

Mental health problems are a leading cause of health-related disability in children and adolescents, with reports that at least 10% globally experience a mental disorder.² The UK Office for National Statistics (2017) suggests that one in eight young people between the

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and

ages of 5 and 19 have experienced at least one form of psychopathology in the UK.³ In a large UK-based cohort, Cybulski et al have reported an increased incidence in the number of young people seeking support for mental health disorders between 2003 and 2018,⁴ suggesting an upward trend, one that has seemingly accelerated in the context of COVID-19. Indeed, following the COVID-19 pandemic, concerns about declining adolescent mental health are now being highlighted in the literature, with a meta-analysis indicating a twofold increase in symptoms of anxiety and depression.⁵ It is important to note, however, that approximately half of the studies included in this meta-analysis represent samples from China, and others represent North America and Asia, leading to arguments that they may not represent the global picture, and those from low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs).⁶ According to the World Health Organisation (WHO) (2021), depression, anxiety and behavioural disorders, such as attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, are the most common forms of mental disorders experienced by adolescents.⁷ Regarding mental disorders in adulthood, the available evidence suggests 75% have onset during adolescence⁸ showing clear evidence that adolescence is a critical window, and vulnerability during this time can have a substantial impact on longer-term health, specifically mental health. Poor mental health during this period can additionally have an impact on education, future employment and other longer-term consequences.

Researchers have explored the possible risk factors for mental health disorders in adolescents, focusing on genetic, environmental and psychosocial risk factors. Some of these factors include exposure to childhood trauma,^{9 10} bullying^{11 12} and difficult family relationships,¹³ all of which have been shown to play a role in increasing the risk of developing a mental health problem during adolescence. Other factors include environmental and socioeconomic inequalities.¹⁴ A systematic review including data from 23 countries demonstrated that children and adolescents with socioeconomic disadvantage (based on factors such as household income and poverty), were two to three times more likely to develop mental health difficulties, with a somewhat cumulative effect.¹⁵

Existing large adolescent cohorts with mental health data tend to lack biological measures. Existing cohorts typically explore only saliva or small-volume blood samples for genotyping and basic biomarkers.¹⁶ So, while this literature begins to demonstrate why adolescents may develop mental health disorders, there is a considerable gap in knowledge from a biological perspective. Specifically, in our understanding of how biology interacts with environmental and psychosocial risk factors to impact mental health during adolescence.¹⁷ Due to the high incidence of mental health disorders occurring during adolescence, it has been argued that it is important to explore biological markers at this early stage for understanding the development of mental health disorders.¹⁸

Biological evidence, such as fluid-based samples (blood, saliva, urine) and brain imaging can, therefore, be an invaluable addition to research in adolescent mental health as we aim to advance knowledge of biological mechanisms, treatment and stratification.

It is known that adolescents may be resistant to engaging with mental health services, particularly for traumarelated disorders, which has led to assumptions regarding their willingness to become involved in research. Where studies are beginning to explore richer biological measures, recruitment to such studies may encounter 2 several barriers. A school-based pilot study, conducted by Warne *et al* collecting salivary cortisol samples found that only 11.3% of parents provided consent for their child **Z** to participate, despite the coproduction of the protocol 8 with key stakeholders including parents and children,²⁰ demonstrating some of the possible barriers which can affect this research. When research in child and adolescent populations includes biological data collection, lower recruitment rates may be due to the burden and acceptability of the research; in a study exploring child and adolescent participation in research, Gattuso et al found high rates of refusal to participate, which often related to the use of data collection methods, such as blood sampling, which were perceived to be invasive and burdensome.²¹ In a feasibility study, young people were asked to report their subjective experience after completing mock clinical interviews, self-report forms, neuroimaging and blood samples.²² Most responses indicated that the young people felt safe in all the assessments, and the assessment viewed most favourably was the mock MRI scan, which the authors noted as an important $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ mock MRI scan, which the authors noted as an important as finding given the assumption that in research settings as a MRI is perceived as burdensome and distressing.²² The **B**. mock interviews and self-report measures, on the other hand, were often reported as stressful and too long.²² ≥ The topic of research being considered 'too sensitive' was another highly reported reason for refusal, which a may imply further difficulties when the topic of research surrounds mental ill health.²¹ Some studies also demonstrate issues with levels of attrition in such research. Mascarell Maričić et al discuss this issue in the context of the IMAGEN cohort, a longitudinal study of 2000 aged 14 years recruited and followed up to the age of 22. While discussing how attrition can have an impact on longitudinal multimodal statistical modelling, the authors note that they were able to still have complete data for 1300 of the cohort by age 22 which provided this particular study an opportunity to still explore the developmental **g** trajectories in focus.²³ However, a review by Dimolareva et al argued that it is generally difficult to understand more about the nature of recruitment challenges, noncompliance and attrition in research with adolescents as many relevant papers fail to report such information.²⁴

Even in the face of good recruitment targets, a lack of representativeness still hinders the generalisability of findings to adolescent populations and potentially those most at risk. For example, Warne *et al* acknowledged a lack of diversity in the sample recruited in the salivary cortisol study.²⁰ Predominantly, research looking at biological mechanisms of mental disorders has focused on adults, and there is a sparsity of biological research on mental disorders, such as depression, in adolescence.²⁵ Looking at the acceptability of biological data collection in children, Condon highlighted that those who drop out of research or do not participate efficiently, are possibly those who are most important to investigate, tending to be those from under-represented groups,²⁶ and other research highlights that higher levels of attrition are seen in those with lower socioeconomic status or mental health difficulties.²⁷ However, it should be noted that this review was again specific to the collection of salivary cortisol data in children and may therefore not be representative of all biological data collection. Where large-scale cohort studies do exist, such as the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development study, specific efforts have been employed to increase retention in this age group, especially where long-term follow-up occurs.²⁸ There is also an important gap in the distribution of biological research studies in adolescents between LMICs and high-income countries (HICs). With the exception of projects such as the Identifying Depression Early in Adolescence Risk Stratified Cohort recruiting adolescents in Brazil,²⁹ most studies in this area are conducted in HIC, despite the fact that 90% of adolescents worldwide live in LMIC.^{17 30} The lack of representatives for cohorts of ethnic minorities and vulnerable populations is particularly concerning given the widening inequalities in children's health and mental health, whereby children from deprived areas are facing the worst of the crisis.³¹

Due to these clear barriers to biological research in adolescence and mental health, and the importance of conducting research in this area, it is evident that advancements are needed in the field. While facilitators could be very important to this advancement, there is little dedicated research into possible facilitators for conducting research in this population. Facilitators to adolescents seeking help in a care setting are more widely reported, and mental health literacy, particularly having more information surrounding mental health, was reported as a facilitator.³² In a research setting, Warne *et* al note that engaging with stakeholders serves as a facilitator, similarly as it helps to increase understanding of the research and topic area, as well as improving protocols and recruitment.²

Given these limitations, and lack of focus on addressing the facilitators for improvement, the Co-producing a framework of guiding principles for Engaging representative and diverse cohorts of young peopLE in Biological ReseArch in menTal hEalth (CELEBRATE) project, co-led in equal partnership by academics and young people, aims to produce a framework of guiding principles as a methodological tool that will operationalise young people's and stakeholders' preferred approach for successfully engaging in biological research in mental health. This work will produce a methodological tool to facilitate biological research in large and representative mental health studies in young people, integrating

multiple biological measures, to enhance our ability to draw mechanistic insights into adolescent mental health. Being active decision-makers regarding their own role when participating in research, it is essential for young people to be engaged throughout such work to cocreate the knowledge. A plain language summary, accessible for young people, can be found in the online supplemental material.

It is, therefore, essential to identify the needs of both the population and the research, and the barriers to the research to address and overcome these in future research. There is also value in exploring possible existing, or perceived, facilitators to further aid the devel-Š opment of this important research subject. A preliminary 8 search of PROSPERO, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Open Science Framework, Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Evidence Synthesis and Medline Ovid revealed no current or ongoing scoping or systematic reviews on this topic.

The aim of this scoping review is to gather the current evidence of how to best collect biological data (such as brain imaging and fluid-based samples) from adolesfor uses related cent participants in mental health research, identifying barriers and facilitators affecting research in this field.

Review question

What are the needs of the population and of the research, the barriers and facilitators, in the collection of biological data in mental health research from adolescent populations?

METHODS This review will use the methodological framework of Levac et al,³³ supplemented by subsequent recommendations from the JBI Scoping Review Methodology.³⁴ The [BI guidance is a further refined set of guidance building on that of Levac et al, specifically focusing on rigorous synthesis. The JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis³⁵ has been referred to in the preparation of this work. The and similar technol design of this work began in early 2023, with the initial search performed in February 2023. The work is scheduled to finish at the end of 2024.

Search strategy

The search strategy will aim to locate published studies only. Search terms have been identified using the (patient, exposure, outcome) framework which identified the population & as young people/adolescents/teenagers/ages 11-18; the S exposure as participation in mental health research with biological measurements such as MRI and blood tests; and the outcome as attitudes and beliefs about participation, barriers to recruitment, retention or involvement (see online supplemental appendix I for full search term).

Systematic searches will be undertaken through PubMed, Medline, Scopus, Cochrane, ERIC, EMBASE, ProQuest, EBSCO Global Health electronic databases and manual exploration of relevant publications, as well

to text and

as identifying studies through relevant citations. The addition of grey literature aims to reduce the potential influence of publication bias and improve the comprehensiveness of the review, allowing us to identify as much of the relevant literature as possible. The use of grey literature in reviews is important.³⁶ The search strategy, including all identified keywords and index terms, will undergo minor revision as per the requirements of the databases. Two reviewers will independently conduct the search to ensure that the search is accurate and identifies all relevant publications across databases.

Studies published in the English language will be included and there will not be a time restriction on when they were published.

Eligibility criteria

- Inclusion criteria:
 - Studies focusing on people aged 11–18 years (studies which recruit participants within this age range will be included even if younger or older participants are also included, eg, child and adolescent samples, and adolescent and young adult samples). Samples which had children up to 11 are not included, and samples which recruited adults who are 18 and above are not included).
 - Research focusing on mental health or psychopathology outcomes and experiences.
 - Research that includes biological data collection methods, including but not limited to, neuroimaging or biological sample collection.
- Exclusion criteria:
 - Papers not written in the English language.
 - Papers without a description of data collection.

Context

Studies conducted globally will be included in the review. Samples will not be selected based on cultural, ethnicity or sex-based/gender-based factors.

Types of sources

This scoping review will consider all study designs, including both qualitative and quantitative methodologies. Reviews will be included, and opinion papers will be included if they specifically discuss the collection of biological data in this group.

Study/source of evidence selection

Following the search, all identified citations will be collated and uploaded into Zotero with duplicates removed. A pilot test will be conducted, with a random subset of 10 papers from the search selected for the independent reviewers to assess against the inclusion criteria, to be checked for consistency. Following the pilot, the titles and abstracts will then be screened by two independent reviewers, with a third reviewer to resolve discrepancies. Reviewers may also screen relevant 'discussion' sections, due to the nature of the research question, as the broader interpretation of results in context may be reported here. Potentially relevant sources will be reviewed in full and assessed against the inclusion and exclusion criteria by the two reviewers. Reasons for exclusion will be recorded and reported in a Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses extension for Scoping Review flow chart³⁷ in the scoping review report. Disagreements between the two reviewers will be resolved by the third reviewer.

Data extraction

Data will be extracted from papers included in the scoping review by reviewers, with an independent reviewer checking the consistency of extraction. A data extraction form will be developed by the reviewers and will include details of the design, including sociodemographics of samples, recruitment and retention, biological measures and outcomes. Key issues to investigate include **g** engagement strategies with young people, partnerships 2 with other key stakeholders, cultural appropriateness of research processes, community engagement, feedback strategies and benefits to schools. Information will be charted on whether strategies were evaluated, appeared to work or not and why. The process of charting the data will be iterative, with reviewers constantly revising the form to ensure that all relevant data is extracted. Modiğ fications will be detailed in the scoping review report. Specific questions may emerge during the review, such as those focusing on culturally appropriate strategies for recruitment, study advocacy in relation to cultural and linguistic diversity, and more.

A draft extraction form is provided in online supplemental appendix II.

Patient and public involvement

Patient and public involvement (PPI) is at the centre of the CELEBRATE project, of which this scoping review is a part. Our project proposal was developed in consultation with young people and the project as a whole is being co-led by young people. Co-leader-ship is facilitated through regular meetings with the Youth Expert Working Group (YEWG) as well as the provision of opportunities to provide direct input into project-related activities, such as the approval of search terms specifically relating to this scoping review protocol. Other key stakeholders are also involved in the codesign and governance which includes one of our coauthors for this protocol paper.

Members of our YEWG supported the development of this proposal through a series of meetings in which we discussed search terms and anticipated findings and supported the writing of our plain language summary, found in online supplemental material.

Data analysis and presentation

Data will be summarised in tabular form with a narrative synthesis. We will perform subgroup analysis and/or reporting as data allows.

Ethics and dissemination

Ethical approval is not required to conduct this scoping review. The scoping review will be conducted with input from patient and public involvement (PPI), specifically including young people involved in our Youth Expert Working Group who will provide feedback on the review findings and interpretation.

Dissemination will include publication in peer-reviewed journals, academic presentations and on the project website www.celebrateproject.co.uk. The results of the scoping review will inform other aspects of the wider project, including topic guides for focus groups with stakeholders on the topic area.

Author affiliations

¹Department of Psychological Medicine, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology, and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK

²Institute for Mental Health, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

³King's Business School, King's College London, London, UK

⁴Birmingham Women's and Children's NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham, UK ⁵Public Review Member, NIHR, London, UK

⁶Department of Population Health Sciences, Faculty of Life Sciences and Medicine, King's College London, London, UK

⁷Institute of Applied Health Research, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK ⁸Bradford Institute for Health Research, Bradford, UK

⁹Health Service and Population Research Department, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology, and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK

¹⁰ESRC Centre for Society and Mental Health, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology, and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK

¹¹Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK

¹²Centre for the Developing Brain, Department of Perinatal Imaging and Health, School of Biomedical Engineering and Imaging Sciences, King's College London, London, UK

¹³Dyson School of Design Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Imperial College London, London, UK

X Courtney Worrell @cworrell26, Anna Lavis @Annalavis, Chiara Nosarti @ PretermResearch and Carmine M Pariante @ParianteLab

Acknowledgements Throughout this project, we have worked with members of the CELEBRATE Youth Expert Working Group (YEWG). This group is formed of young people aged 11–18 with vested interest in youth mental health research. Through online meetings, we engaged YEWG members in conversations and activities that provided us an insight into their views on how we can best conduct this research. Their input was particularly valuable in refining the terms we used to conduct this scoping review. We'd like to thank Abel, Daniel, Fawad, Fera, Kirsten, Lewis, Noa and Tash for all their support. This research is supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Mental Health Biomedical Research Centre (BRC) at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust. This research is supported by the NIHR Oxford Health Biomedical Research Centre.

Collaborators CELEBRATE Youth Expert Working Group.

Contributors CW has contributed significantly to the drafting and editing of the manuscript. RU, PD, VM and CN are leading this work, conceiving the idea and developing the study methods. SH has advised on methodology. RP, TW, ZS, MP, AW, CW and RU have contributed to the development of the search strategy, which was discussed with all authors, including GB, MB, NC, NG, SH, AL, RM, VM, CM, CN, TP, DR, LS, KS, CP, CELEBRATE YEWG and PD. The CELEBRATE YEWG, RP, PD and NC supported the development of the plain language summary. NC has led and facilitated YEWG meetings that have discussed this work, which the CELEBRATE YEWG, CW, RP, ZS, NG, DR, AW, PD and RU have contributed to. All authors, CW, RP, TW, ZS, MP, GB, MB, NC, NG, SH, AL, RM, VM, CM, CN, TP, DR, LS, KS, AW, CP, CELEBRATE YEWG, PD and RU, have contributed meaningfully to the drafting and editing of the manuscript.

Funding This work is being supported by the Medical Research Council (grant number MR/X003078/1).

Disclaimer The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research. Refer to the Methods section for further details.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to copy, redistribute, remix, transform and build upon this work for any purpose, provided the original work is properly cited, a link to the licence is given, and indication of whether changes were made. See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

ORCID iDs

Courtney Worrell http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0539-2376 Rebecca Pollard http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6516-6891 Katy Shire http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2093-181X

REFERENCES

- Bonnie RJ, Stroud C. Investing in the health and well-being of young adults. Washington, DC National Academies Press; 2017.
- 2 Kieling C, Baker-Henningham H, Belfer M, et al. Child and adolescent mental health worldwide: evidence for action. *Lancet* 2011;378:1515–25.
- 3 Sadler K, Vizard T, Ford T, et al. Mental health of children and young people in England, 2017. NHS digital; 2018.1–35.
- 4 Cybulski L, Ashcroft DM, Carr MJ, *et al.* Temporal trends in annual incidence rates for psychiatric disorders and self-harm among children and adolescents in the UK, 2003–2018. *BMC Psychiatry* 2021;21:229.
- 5 Racine N, McArthur BA, Cooke JE, et al. Global prevalence of depressive and anxiety symptoms in children and adolescents during COVID-19: a meta-analysis. *JAMA Pediatr* 2021;175:1142–50.
- 6 Benton TD, Boyd RC, Njoroge WFM. Addressing the global crisis of child and adolescent mental health. JAMA Pediatr 2021;175:1108–10.
- 7 World Health Organisation. Adolescent mental health. 2021. Available: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ adolescent-mental-health
- 8 Kessler RC, Amminger GP, Aguilar-Gaxiola S, et al. Age of onset of mental disorders: a review of recent literature. Curr Opin Psychiatry 2007;20:359–64.
- 9 Adverse childhood events as risk factors for negative mental health outcomes. *Psychiatr Ann* 2007;37:359.
- 10 Mills R, Scott J, Alati R, *et al.* Child maltreatment and adolescent mental health problems in a large birth cohort. *Child Abuse Negl* 2013;37:292–302.
- 11 Kumpulainen K, Räsänen E. Children involved in bullying at elementary school age: their psychiatric symptoms and deviance in adolescence: an epidemiological sample. *Child Abuse & Neglect* 2000;24:1567–77.
- 12 Turner MG, Exum ML, Brame R, et al. Bullying victimization and adolescent mental health: general and typological effects across sex. J Crim Law 2013;41:53–9.
- 13 Moore GF, Cox R, Evans RE, et al. School, peer and family relationships and adolescent substance use, subjective wellbeing and mental health symptoms in Wales: a cross sectional study. *Child Ind Res* 2018;11:1951–65.
- 14 Cheng Y, Li X, Lou C, et al. The association between social support and mental health among vulnerable adolescents in five cities: findings from the study of the well-being of adolescents in vulnerable environments. J Adolesc Health 2014;55:S31–8.
- 15 Reiss F. Socioeconomic inequalities and mental health problems in children and adolescents: a systematic review. *Soc Sci Med* 2013;90:24–31.

Open access

- 16 Medical Research Council. Maximising the value of UK population cohorts MRC strategic review of the largest UK population cohort studies. 2014. Available: https://www.ukri.org/wp-content/uploads/ 2021/12/MRC-23122021-UK-Population-cohorts.pdf
- 17 Zajkowska Z, Walsh A, Zonca V, et al. A systematic review of the association between biological markers and environmental stress risk factors for adolescent depression. J Psychiatr Res 2021;138:163–75.
- 18 Quinlan EB, Banaschewski T, Barker GJ, et al. Identifying biological markers for improved precision medicine in psychiatry. *Mol Psychiatry* 2020;25:243–53.
- 19 Vogel A, Comtesse H, Rosner R. Challenges in recruiting and retaining adolescents with abuse-related posttraumatic stress disorder: lessons learned from a randomized controlled trial. *Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health* 2020;14:14.
- 20 Warne N, Rook S, Bevan Jones R, *et al.* Collecting genetic samples and linked mental health data from adolescents in schools: protocol coproduction and a mixed-methods pilot of feasibility and acceptability. *BMJ Open* 2022;12:e049283.
- 21 Gattuso J, Hinds P, Tong X, *et al.* Monitoring child and parent refusals to enrol in clinical research protocols. *J Adv Nurs* 2006;53:319–26.
- 22 Youn S, Mamsa S, Allott K, *et al.* Acceptability and feasibility of a multidomain harmonized data collection protocol in youth mental health. *Early Interv Psychiatry* 2023;17:512–8.
- 23 Mascarell Maričić L, Walter H, Rosenthal A, et al. The IMAGEN study: a decade of imaging genetics in adolescents. *Mol Psychiatry* 2020;25:2648–71.
- 24 Dimolareva M, Gee NR, Pfeffer K, et al. Measuring Cortisol in the classroom with school-aged children—a systematic review and recommendations. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2018;15:1025.
- 25 Gabbay V, Klein RG, Alonso CM, et al. Immune system dysregulation in adolescent major depressive disorder. J Affect Disord 2009;115:177–82.

- 26 Condon EM. Psychosocial influences on acceptability and feasibility of salivary Cortisol collection from community samples of children. *Res Nurs Health* 2016;39:449–62.
- Najman JM, Clavarino A, McGee TR, *et al*. Timing and chronicity of family poverty and development of unhealthy behaviors in children: a longitudinal study. *J Adolesc Health* 2010;46:538–44.
 Feldstein Ewing SW, Chang L, Cottler LB, *et al*. Approaching
- 28 Feldstein Ewing SW, Chang L, Cottler LB, et al. Approaching retention within the ABCD study. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience* 2018;32:130–7.
- 29 Kieling C, Buchweitz C, Caye A, et al. The identifying depression early in adolescence risk stratified cohort (IDEA-Risco): rationale, methods, and baseline characteristics. *Front Psychiatry* 2021;12:697144.
- 30 Battel L, Cunegatto F, Viduani A, *et al.* Mind the brain gap: the worldwide distribution of neuroimaging research on adolescent depression. *NeuroImage* 2021;231:117865.
- 31 Mahase E. Child health is in crisis as government ignores widening inequalities, Paediatricians warn. *BMJ* 2023;381:765.
- 32 Aguirre Velasco A, Cruz ISS, Billings J, *et al.* What are the barriers, facilitators and interventions targeting help-seeking Behaviours for common mental health problems in adolescents? A systematic review. *BMC Psychiatry* 2020;20:293.
- 33 Levac D, Colquhoun H, O'Brien KK. Scoping studies: advancing the methodology. *Implement Sci* 2010;5:69.
- 34 Peters MD, Godfrey C, McInerney P, et al. Scoping reviews. Joanna Briggs Institute reviewer's manual; 2017.1–24.
- Aromataris E, Munn Z. JBI manual for evidence synthesis [JBI]. 2020. Available: https://jbi-global-wiki.refined.site/space/MANUAL
 Paez A. Gray literature: an important resource in systematic reviews.
- Paez A. Gray literature: an important resource in systematic reviews. *J Evid Based Med* 2017;10:233–40.

 Tricco AC, Lillie F. Zarin W. et al. PBISMA extension for Scoping
- 37 Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, et al. PRISMA extension for Scoping reviews (PRISMA-SCR): checklist and explanation. Ann Intern Med 2018;169:467–73.