Page 21 of 22

## BMJ Open

STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *cross-sectional studies* 

Item No	Recommendation	Page No
1		1
	the abstract	
	(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what	1
	was done and what was found	
2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being	2-5
	reported	
3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	5
4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	5-7
5		6-9
6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of	5-6
	participants	
7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders,	8
	and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	
8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods	6
	of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment	
	methods if there is more than one group	
9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	7
10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	7-8
11		7-8
12		8
	confounding	
	(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	6
		6
		6
	strategy	
	(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	8
13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers	7-8
		6
		6
14*	- · · ·	6
		6
15*		8
	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted	8
10		1 0
16	estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear	
	No 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8* 9 10 11	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Page 22 of 22

Funding

		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	8
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	8
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	8
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	9
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	10
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	10
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	9
Other information			

BMJ Open

based

**Note:** An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study

and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is

<sup>\*</sup>Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.