

SRQR Checklist for Drivers of HIV Testing A Snapshot of Barriers and Facilitators among ABYM

		Reporting Item	Line number
Title	#1	Concise description of the nature and topic of the study identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g. ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g. interview, focus group) is recommended	Line 1 and line 2
Abstract	#2	Summary of the key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results and conclusions	Line 21 to line 49
Introduction			
Problem formulation	#3	Description and significance of the problem / phenomenon studied: review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement	Line 22 to line 24
Purpose or research question	#4	Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions	Line 25 to line 27
Methods			
Qualitative approach and research paradigm	#5	Qualitative approach (e.g. ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g. postpositivist, constructivist / interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale. The rationale should briefly discuss the justification for choosing that theory, approach, method or technique rather than other options available; the assumptions and limitations implicit in those choices and how those choices influence study conclusions and transferability. As appropriate the rationale for several items might be discussed together.	Line 28 to line 33
Researcher characteristics and reflexivity	#6	Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications / experience, relationship with participants, assumptions and / or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results and / or transferability	Line 102 to line 113
Context	#7	Setting / site and salient contextual factors; rationale	Line 124 to line 136
Sampling strategy	#8	How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g. sampling saturation); rationale	Line 138 to line 152
Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects	#9	Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for	Line 181 to line 195

SRQR Checklist for Drivers of HIV Testing A Snapshot of Barriers and Facilitators among ABYM

		lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues	
Data collection methods	#10	Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources / methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale	Line 154 to line 163
Data collection instruments and technologies	#11	Description of instruments (e.g. interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g. audio recorders) used for data collection; if / how the instruments(s) changed over the course of the study	Line 156 to line 160
Units of study	#12	Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)	Line 198 to line 208
Data processing	#13	Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymisation / deidentification of excerpts	Line 165 to line 179
Data analysis	#14	Process by which inferences, themes, etc. were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale	Line 165 to line 179
Techniques to enhance trustworthiness	#15	Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g. member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale	Line 171 to line 179
Results/findings			
Syntheses and interpretation	#16	Main findings (e.g. interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory	Line 209 to line 328
Links to empirical data	#17	Evidence (e.g. quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings	Line 215 to line 328
Discussion			
Integration with prior work, implications, transferability and contribution(s) to the field	#18	Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application / generalizability; identification of unique contributions(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field	Line 331 to line 394
Limitations	#19	Trustworthiness and limitations of findings	Line 51 to line 60
Other			

SRQR Checklist for Drivers of HIV Testing A Snapshot of Barriers and Facilitators among ABYM

Conflicts of interest	#20	Potential sources of influence of perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed	Line 446 to line 447
Funding	#21	Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation and reporting	Line 449 to line 450