BMJ Open Anti-factor Xa level monitoring of lowmolecular-weight heparin for prevention of venous thromboembolism in critically ill patients (AXaLPE): protocol of a randomised, open-label controlled clinical trial

Chunmei Wang ^(D),¹ Ya-chan Ning,¹ Li-po Song,¹ Pei-juan Li,¹ Feng-hua Wang,² Meng-xi Ding,¹ Li Jiang,¹ Meiping Wang ^(b), ¹ Qian-Qian Pei,³ Shi-min Hu,^{4,5,6} Haibo Wang D 7,8

ABSTRACT

To cite: Wang C. Ning Y. Song L. et al. Anti-factor Xa level monitoring of low-molecularweight heparin for prevention of venous thromboembolism in critically ill patients (AXaLPE): protocol of a randomised, open-label controlled clinical trial. BMJ Open 2023:13:e069742. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2022-069742

 Prepublication history for this paper is available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi. org/10.1136/bmiopen-2022-069742).

Received 21 November 2022 Accepted 11 September 2023



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2023. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Haibo Wang; hbwang2005@163.com and Dr Shi-min Hu; hushimin@xwhosp.org

Introduction Whether and when to monitor the amount of anti-factor Xa (aFXa) activity in critically ill patients with complex diseases to prevent venous thromboembolism (VTE) remain unclear. This study is a randomised controlled trial to investigate the effect of aFXa level monitoring on reducing VTE and to establish a new method for accurately preventing VTE in critically ill patients with low-molecularweight heparin (LMWH).

Methods and analysis A randomised controlled trial is planned in two centres with a planned sample size of 858 participants. Participants will be randomly assigned to three groups receiving LMWH prophylaxis at a 1:1:1 ratio: in group A, peak aFXa levels will serve as the guide for the LMWH dose; in group B, the trough aFXa levels will serve as the guide for the LMWH dose; and in group C, participants serving as the control group will receive a fixed dose of LMWH. The peak and trough aFXa levels will be monitored after LMWH (enoxaparin, 40 mg, once daily) reaches a steady state for at least 3 days. The monitoring range for group A's aFXa peak value will be 0.3-0.5 IU/mL, between 0.1 and 0.2 IU/mL is the target range for group B's aFXa trough value. In order to reach the peak or trough aFXa levels, groups A and B will be modified in accordance with the monitoring peak and trough aFXa level. The incidence of VTE will serve as the study's primary outcome indicator. An analysis using the intention-to-treat and per-protocol criterion will serve as the main outcome measurement

Ethics and dissemination The Xuanwu Hospital Ethics Committee of Capital Medical University and Peking University First Hospital Ethics Committee have approved this investigation. It will be released in all available worldwide, open-access, peer-reviewed publications. Trial registration number NCT05382481

BACKGROUND

Venous thromboembolism (VTE) includes venous thrombosis (DVT) and deep

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- \Rightarrow To minimise bias and confounding variables, this project is a two-centre, randomised and open-label controlled clinical trial.
- \Rightarrow It will explore whether to monitor anti-factor Xa and its timing when low-molecular-weight heparin is used to prevent venous thromboembolism (VTE) in critically ill patients.
- \Rightarrow The follow-up period of this study is short, and longterm VTE is not evaluated.

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mi pulmonary thromboembolism (PTE). The risk factors for PTE and DVT are the same, both are clinical manifestations of VTE in ≥ various parts and stages. DVT can be concurtraining, rent with PTE, which causes death. Additionally, it is the most prevalent and preventable reason for hospital deaths. The prevention of hospital-acquired VTE is a focus of health services and the first hospital strategy in the simi world to increase patient security.¹⁻⁶ Additionally, 20-50% of patients with VTE in the chronic phase can develop post-thrombotic syndrome,^{3 6} and chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension develops in up to o 5% of patients with PTE.⁶ Both conditions & have major impacts on a patient's quality of **8** life.

In comparison with fixed dosages, modifying doses based on anti-factor Xa (aFXa) monitoring did not reduce the incidence of VTE in patients, according to a 1994 study by Alhenc-Gelas et al.7 Fixed doses of lowmolecular-weight heparin (LMWH) has been employed for many years in thromboprophylaxis.^{8–11} However, in clinical application, this

dose was found to be inappropriate for pregnant women. children, obese patients and patients with renal insufficiency. Peak aFXa levels should be monitored to alter the dose of LMWH in these patients.^{12–14} This fixed dose of enoxaparin may be metabolized at various rates in critically ill patients with different complex conditions. When 40 mg once daily enoxaparin was administered, the monitoring of aFXa levels revealed that more than 50% of patients undergoing thoracic, plastic and abdominal surgery had insufficient anticoagulation.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ In a singlecentre, non-randomised clinical trial (RCT), Pannucci et al examined the effectiveness of 40 mg enoxaparin per day in preventing VTE in patients undergoing colorectal surgery. Peak aFXa levels were examined in 106 individuals, of whom 72 (67.9%) had insufficient anticoagulation (peak aFXa < 0.3 IU/mL) and 47 had undetectable aFXa trough levels; that is, in the majority of patients, anticoagulation was undetectable for at least 12 hours each day. Thus, fixed-dose enoxaparin anticoagulant prophylaxis is typically insufficient in patients undergoing colorectal surgery.¹⁸ Despite following the recommended LMWH prophylactic regimen, 'breakthrough' VTE episodes are frequently observed in surgical patients. Patients undergoing abdominal and pelvic surgery and taking 40 mg of enoxaparin daily experienced an incidence of symptomatic plus asymptomatic VTE ranging from 4.8% to 12.6% in numerous multicentre RCTs.^{19 20} After LMWH prophylaxis, VTE occurred in up to 37.2% of critically ill patients with sepsis.²¹ After prophylaxis with LMWH in critically ill patients with severe COVID-2019, 31 (28%) patients had VTE.²² Additional research revealed a strong correlation between asymptomatic and symptomatic VTE in patients with low postoperative aFXa levels.^{15 18}

The American Association for the Surgery of Trauma suggested modifying aFXa monitoring in surgical and trauma intensive care unit (ICU) patients in 2021 such that more patients have aFXa levels within the desired range. However, studies on whether VTE can be reduced are inconclusive.²³ Although little evidence exists, the 2020 Western Trauma Association advises patients with moderate to severe trauma, burns and surgical oncology requiring ICU hospitalisation consider monitoring enoxaparin aFXa levels.24 LMWH anticoagulation is safe and effective for preventing VTE in trauma patients, according to a meta-analysis. A meta-analysis of five studies with a combined total of 1617 patients, 724 in the aFXa surveillance group and 893 in the control group without aFXa surveillance, revealed the safety and effectiveness of LMWH anticoagulation for the prevention of VTE in trauma patients. In comparison with the control group, the VTE events in the aFXa monitoring group were considerably fewer (OR 0.44, 95% CI 0.29 to 0.68, p=0.0002). The incidence of bleeding events did not appear to differ between the aFXa monitoring group and the control group as a result of the small number of bleeding incidents. Anti-Xa monitoring might be beneficial for trauma patients receiving LMWH anticoagulation to prevent VTE. Subgroup analysis, peak and

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2022-069742 on 25 October 2023. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 13, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . data

. ح

trough aFXa monitoring were used in two trials and three studies, respectively, to determine the dosage of LMWH. The results showed no difference between the control group without aFXa monitoring (3 of 198) and the group with peak aFXa monitoring (3 of 158). Peak aFXa monitoring did not reduce VTE occurrence, but the incidence was low, and there was no VTE event in one of two investigations. The incidence of VTE was significantly lower in the aFXa trough level guided LMWH dosage group (27 of 502) than in the control group (78 of 637) without aFXa

monitoring in trauma patients.²⁵ Patients who are critically ill have complex medical situ-ations, such as sedation, mechanical ventilation, central venous catheters, prolonged immobility and severe inflammation, as well as modifications in renal clearance, **8** medication bioavailability and coagulation. Thus, these patients are at high risk of both VTE and bleeding.^{24 26} However, few studies have examined whether and when to monitor these patients for VTE prevention. To solve the problem of 'breakthrough' VTE in critically ill patients, this work proposes a randomised controlled õu study method to explore the effect of aFXa monitoringbased LMWH prevention to reduce VTE incidence in crituses ically ill patients. This study also plans to assess the timing of aFXa monitoring, whether peak or trough monitoring, related and to summarise the precise method of LMWH dosing to prevent VTE in critically ill patients, to decrease mortality and to reduce serious complications. to text and

METHODS AND ANALYSIS Study purpose

- 1. We will determine whether the dosage of LMWH in critically ill patients should be based on monitoring aFXa levels and whether it can reduce VTE in comparison with the LMWH dose-fixed group without aFXa monitoring.
- 2. In critically ill patients, the effects of LMWH dose adjustment based on aFXa peak and trough level monitoring will be compared to determine the best timing for VTE prevention with aFXa monitoring.

Study design

training, and simi This study will be a randomised, open-label controlled a clinical trial. The study started on 16 May 2022 and will end on 31 December 2024. Patients in critical condition who are eligible for LMWH to prevent VTE after signing **Q** the informed consent form will be randomly divided into **g** three groups. The LMWH dose will be guided by the peak aFXa levels in group A, the LMWH dose will be guided by the trough aFXa levels in group B and the no aFXa monitoring LMWH dose-fixed group will be the control group (group C). The incidence of VTE and bleeding will be compared among the three groups. ICU physicians from two hospitals will consecutively recruit participants. The primary outcome, VTE evaluation, will be evaluated by an impartial vascular sonographer who is blinded to the study assignment to avoid potential observer bias in the

assessment. Laboratory doctors blinded to trial assignment will monitor aFXa activity levels and haemoglobin for the secondary study result independent of the experiment, and data analysis of all primary and secondary outcomes will be performed by statisticians at the Peking University Clinical Research Institute.

Patient and public involvement

Patients and the public were not involved in the design of the study. However, we plan to involve our participants in communication and education during the research process to increase awareness and knowledge, to build confidence and control with self-management, and finally prevent VTE.

Patients or the patients' close relations (spouse/ partner, close relative, caregiver) will be informed about the patients' enrolment and the nature of the study. They will meet the investigator for an informative interview, to obtain the information leaflet and to sign a confirmation that they were informed about the patient's participation in the trial. While this document is a study protocol, the dissemination and implications of the study results will involve patient and peer-support organisations and public policymakers. We would like to thank all participants.

Ethical approval

The study procedures and informed consent form were approved by the ethics committees of all participating hospitals, and the study was registered as NCT05382481 at www.clinicaltrials.gov on 15 May 2022.

Study population

Participants will be recruited from 16 May 2022 at Xuanwu Hospital of Capital Medical University and Peking University First Hospital. The inclusion criteria are: (1) age ≥ 18 years old; (2) critically ill patients who need LMWH anticoagulation prophylaxis after VTE risk assessment; (3) voluntary participation in the study and signing of the informed consent form. The exclusion criteria are: (1) renal insufficiency with creatinine clearance <30 mL/min (creatinine clearance estimated by the Cockcroft-Gault equation); (2) patients with high bleeding risk or diseases that could cause severe bleeding, such as intracranial haemorrhage, traumatic brain injury, blood system diseases, etc; (3) a short estimated total hospitalisation time of 3 days; (4) patients allergic to LMWH; (5) pregnant women; (6) history of heparininduced thrombocytopenia; (7) patients diagnosed with iliac vein compression syndrome, which is a syndrome caused by venous reflux disorders in the lower extremities caused by iliac vein compression²⁷; (8) acceptance of nonenoxaparin drug prophylaxis according to the judgement of the attending physician. Participants can withdraw from the study at any time without affecting future treatment. For each participant withdrawing from the study, relevant information collected for early termination and reason for withdrawal will be recorded.

Randomisation and blinding

The random assignment algorithm was created by a statistician using SAS software, V.9.3, on a computer, and the patients will be randomised to nine blocks at a 1:1:1 ratio. Printed, opaque sealed envelopes will be used for the experimental and control groups. After determining the number of selected subjects, the corresponding opaque letter will be opened, and the researchers will select the method corresponding to the serial number to prevent VTE.

The sonographers, laboratory doctors and statisticians will be blinded to the grouping. All study outcomes will be assessed by an independent adjudication committee by copyright, includ that is unaware of the type of treatment. For VTE assessment, videos or photos of ultrasonography will be available as well as clinical files for bleeding events.

Interventions

Drug prophylaxis and physical prophylaxis are necessary for critically ill patients with intermediate, high and very high VTE risk (Caprini Risk Assessment Model²⁸). Patients who meet the criteria for LMWH prophylaxis uses I will be randomly divided into three groups. In group A, the LMWH dose will be guided by the peak value. In group B, the LMWH dose will be guided by the trough value. In group C, the LMWH dose will be fixed and not guided by aFXa monitoring; this group will serve 5 as the control group. A stable state should be obtained **5** after the third dose of LMWH in all three groups after they receive standard prophylaxis (enoxaparin, 40 mg, once daily). To track the peak and trough levels of aFXa, peripheral venous blood will be collected from groups a A, B and C at 4-6 hours and 12 hours after subcutaneous injection. The research method for the control group and the two intervention groups, groups A and B, is . ح shown in figure 1.

The target of monitoring the aFXa peak value in group A will be between 0.3 and $0.5 \text{ IU/mL}^{17 \text{ 19 20 26}}$; the target of monitoring the aFXa trough value in group B will be between 0.1 and $0.2 \text{IU/mL}^{17 \text{ I9 20}}$; and the doses in group A and group B can be changed in accordance with monitoring of the aFXa peak and trough targets (figures 2 and 3). Figure 4 depicts the flow chart for the group C fixed enoxaparin dose.

Before starting medication, deep vein ultrasound and blood coagulation tests will be performed on the three groups of patients. Every day, bleeding signs and VTE symptoms will be noted, every week, ultrasonography will be used to track the onset of DVT in both lower extremities. The patients will be monitored for adverse events, such as bruising, allergies, thrombocytopenia brought on by heparin and mortality.

The three patient groups will all undertake the same clinical standard physical precautions to avoid VTE.

All examinations will be completed according to the schedule for enrolment and follow-up.





Figure 1 Anti-factor Xa (aFXa) level guiding flow chart for a randomised controlled trial of low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH) in the prevention of venous thromboembolism (VTE) among critically ill patients. QD, once a day.

aFXa level measurement procedure

Venous blood samples will be collected in 3.2% buffered sodium citrate-containing vacuette tubes. The blood volume will be 3mL to the 3mL labelled tubes (a whole blood: sodium citrate ratio of 9:1). After collection, tubes will be capped and inverted 5-10 times to fully mix with the anticoagulant. Within 1 hour, after centrifugation for 15 min at 2500 g at room temperature, the samples will be put into the STA-R Evolution sample rack, and STA-Liquid

anti-FXa reagent will be applied for automatic detection in the STAGO STA-R Evolution automatic coagulation analyser. Blood collection and testing will be completed within 2 hours and recorded.

Primary outcome

The primary outcome measure is the incidence of VTE. The incidence of VTE includes symptomatic VTE and asymptomatic VTE.²⁹



Figure 2 The flow chart for adjusting the dose of enoxaparin based on the peak anti-factor Xa (aFXa) level in group A.

Symptomatic VTE is any imaging-confirmed symptomatic VTE event including VTE, central vein thrombosis (eg, inferior vena cava or portal vein) and/or pulmonary embolism.

Asymptomatic VTE is a common disease in the ICU that is caused by the patient's primary disease. Level 1A recommends vigilance against the occurrence of asymptomatic VTE in ICU patients.²⁹ Patients will be screened for lower extremity DVT with weekly deep vein ultrasonography.

Pulmonary embolism will be diagnosed according to the 2018 'China Thrombotic Disease Prevention and Control Guidelines' diagnostic process.

Ultrasound diagnostic criteria for lower extremity DVT

Venous duplex ultrasound combines two components to assess DVT: B-mode or greyscale imaging with transducer compression manoeuvres; and Doppler evaluation consisting of colour-flow Doppler imaging and spectral Doppler waveform analysis. Thrombi are typically referred to as acute, subacute or chronic. Acuity of the DVT is assessed by the appearance of the thrombus on B-mode imaging (eg, hypoechoic, isoechoic or hyperechoic), vein lumen size, vein wall appearance, venous compressibility, function of the venous valves and presence of collateral circulation. In this study, venous duplex ultrasound distinguished and diagnosed acute, subacute and chronic DVT.^{30 31}

CT pulmonary angiography diagnostic criteria for PTE

CT pulmonary angiography (CTPA) is regarded as the gold-standard imaging modality for the investigation of acute pulmonary embolism. It has become the preferred examination method for the diagnosis of PTE. The direct sign of CTPA is a filling defect in the pulmonary artery, which is partially or completely surrounded by opaque blood flow (orbital sign) or a complete filling defect, and the distal blood vessels are not visualised; the indirect signs include a wedgeshaped lung field and band-like density, increased shadow or discoid atelectasis, central pulmonary



Figure 3 The flow chart for adjusting the dose of enoxaparin based on the trough anti-factor Xa (aFXa) level in group B.

artery expansion and distal vascular branch reduction or disappearance.³²

Secondary outcomes

First, following fixed-dose LMWH prophylaxis as usual, the first aFXa peak and trough target-reaching rates will be observed following steady state. Second, bleeding rate. Severe bleeding consists of a haemoglobin drop of 2 g/L or transfusion requiring ≥ 2 units, occurring in any critical location (intracranial, intraspinal, intraocular, retroperitoneal, intrapericardium) or haemorrhage that could lead to death. If any of these conditions is met, it will be considered severe bleeding. Non-major bleeding consists of bleeding that does not meet the criteria for major bleeding but requires the discontinuation of prophylactic anticoagulants in the opinion of the lead clinician. Third, the number of hospitalised deaths.

Study data collection and follow-up plan Baseline assessment and follow-up

This study will collect the following information: demographic characteristics, medical history and laboratory testing (routine blood, biochemistry, urine tests and blood coagulation). Laboratory assays for blood coagulation (D-dimer, activated partial thromboplastin time (APTT), prothrombin time (PT) and fibrinogen) within 24 hours before enoxaparin administration will serve as baseline data. The blood coagulation will be followed up at 3 days, 7 days, 14 days, VTE and bleeding events after enoxaparin. The STAGO STA-R Evolution coagulation analyser (STAGO STA-R Evolution, France) will be used for measuring D-dimer, PT, APTT, fibrinogen and aFXa levels. After randomisation, the participants will receive the corresponding planned treatment and will be followed up based on the same schedule and procedures for the three groups. LMWH prophylaxis, detection of aFXa, VTE and bleeding signs and symptoms,



Figure 4 The flow chart for the group C fixed enoxaparin dose. aFXa, anti-factor Xa.

other concomitant medications and adverse events will be recorded in detail (table 1). The endpoint of this study will be VTE occurrence, haemorrhage, discharge, death and the 14th day after enrolment.

Sample size

The sample size was calculated using the NCSS-PASS software, V.11. Data in a previous meta-analysis study showed that the incidence of VTE in the aFXa trough level monitoring guidance group and the fixed-dose LMWH control group without aFXa monitoring was 5.4% (27 of 502) and 12.2% (78 of 637) among trauma patients, respectively.²⁵ Therefore, we expect that the incidence of VTE will be 5.4% in the trough aFXa level monitoring group, 5.4% in the peak aFXa level monitoring group and 12.2% in the control group without aFXa monitoring at a fixed dose of LMWH. With these assumptions,

Table 1 Follow-up schedule							
Follow-up content	0 day	1 day	2 days	3 days	4 days	7 days	14 days*
Informed consent	\checkmark						
Baseline data	\checkmark						
Demographic information	\checkmark						
VTE symptoms and signs	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
VTE ultrasound	\checkmark					\checkmark	\checkmark
VTE risk assessment	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Bleeding symptoms and signs	\checkmark						
Bleeding risk assessment	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Liver and kidney function†	\checkmark			\checkmark		\checkmark	
Blood coagulation‡	\checkmark			\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark
Anti-factor Xa level monitoring§				\checkmark			
Haematuria and stool routine testing	\checkmark			\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark
Adverse events¶		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark

*The 14th day after enrolment.

†Liver and kidney function tests include serum creatinine, ALT and AST.

‡Blood coagulation includes D-dimer, APTT, PT and fibrinogen.

§Anti-factor Xa monitoring: except for the third day, anti-factor Xa level monitoring is based on whether the peak or trough target is reached. Adverse events: bleeding, allergy, heparin-induced thrombocytopenia and death.

ALT, alanine transaminase; APTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; AST, aspartate transaminase; PT, prothrombin time; VTE, venous thromboembolism.

5

tex

t and

assuming a 5% loss to follow-up rate, enrolment of 858 participants in three arms (at 1:1:1 ratio, 286 participants in each group) is required to provide 80% power, with a two-sided significance level of 0.05.

Statistical consideration

Professional statistical software (SAS, V.9.3) will be used. A two-sided test will be used and p values less than 0.05 will be considered statistically significant. Quantitative indicators will be described as the mean and SD for normally distributed data, or the median and IQRs for non-normally distributed data, whereas categorical indicators will be described as the number of cases and percentages. Comparisons of the two groups will be analysed using appropriate methods according to the types of indicators. For comparisons between groups of quantitative data, the t-test or Wilcoxon rank-sum test will be used according to data distribution; for categorical data, the X² test or Fisher's exact probability method (if the X² test is not applicable) will be used.

The dataset will adopt the full analysis set (FAS) population: according to the basic principle of intention-totreat analysis, the FAS and the per-protocol set (PPS) will be analysed according to the protocol of the main study regarding the incidence of VTE. However, we mainly refer to the FAS. The safety dataset is defined as actual data on at least one treatment with recorded safety indicators.

Analysis of main research indicators

The primary outcome, the incidence of VTE, will be analysed by PPS and FAS at the same time. The X^2 test will be used to compare the incidence of VTE in groups A, B and C; the risk of VTE in the peak aFXa level monitoring group and trough aFXa level monitoring group will be compared (considering confounding factors). Logistic regression will be used to analyse the factors that influence VTE, and the OR value will be obtained.

Analysis of secondary research indicators

First, after routine fixed-dose prophylaxis with LMWH results in a steady-state concentration, the first peak and trough aFXa level target-reaching rates will be measured. The X^2 test will be used to compare the target-reaching rates of the two groups. Second, regarding bleeding rate, the X^2 test will be used to compare the incidence of bleeding among the three groups; the risk of VTE in the peak aFXa level monitoring group and the trough aFXa level monitoring group will be compared (considering confounding factors). Logistic regression will be used to analyse the factors that influence bleeding, and the OR value will be obtained. Third, regarding in-hospital mortality, the X^2 test will be used to compare the mortality of the three groups.

The study management

The clinical trial and data will be managed by the committees (including audit, etc). The investigators will be given access to the cleaned datasets. The committees will be responsible for the data sharing process. All personnel will abide by the principle of confidentiality and will have no competing interests.

DISCUSSION

Patients in critical condition have a higher risk of bleeding and VTE. Schizodimos *et al* concluded that the same fixed dose of LMWH is not appropriate for all patients, especially in critically ill patient populations, and all ICU patients require VTE prophylaxis but are frequently at high risk of bleeding. The balance between thrombosis and bleeding requires an individualised approach to thromboprophylaxis. Current fixed-dose prevention measures for VTE are imperfect, and further research is needed.³³

LMWH is the preferred choice for VTE prevention in **Y** critically ill patients, and novel oral anticoagulants and antiplatelet medications are not advised.^{2 4-6} A network meta-analysis and systematic review of RCTs examining the effectiveness of thromboprophylaxis in critically ill patients was recently carried out. A total of 9619 patients from 13 RCTs were included. According to this study's findings, LMWH reduced the incidence of DVT in critically ill patients compared with control medication, and it might be more effective than unfractionated heparin, making it the primary strategy for thromboprophylaxis.³⁴

Are the levels of aFXa activity measured? Should we monitor aFXa activity peaks or troughs? The answers to these questions are unknown in critically ill patients. In addition to the previously mentioned aFXa monitoring, LMWH anticoagulation in trauma patients lowers the incidence of VTE. In the subgroup analysis, three studies underwent aFXa trough monitoring to guide LMWH as dosages, whereas two studies employed aFXa peak monitoring.²⁵ Moreover, standard-dose and intermediate-dose thromboprophylaxis have been examined in severely G ≥ ill patients with COVID-19. In comparison with the increased-dose group in the intervention group, in which the median initial aFXa peak level was 0.26 (0.21-0.33), the standard-dose group's median initial aFXa peak level was 0.13 (IQR: 0.06–0.18). The doses of enoxaparin were 0.44 mg/kg and 0.93 mg/kg. The intervention group consisted of COVID-19-positive critically ill patients, and thromboprophylaxis doses were increased until aFXa levels were deemed acceptable for thromboprophylaxis.³⁵ Recent studies have shown that once daily enoxaparin prophylaxis is delivered, the peak range is best **Q** if at 0.3–0.5 IU/mL.^{15 17 18 24} The 0.1–0.2 IU/mL range represents the 12-hour blood trough value.¹⁵¹⁷¹⁸ As a result, in this trial, group A's peak target was set to 0.3-0.5 IU/ mL, and group B's trough target was set to 0.1-0.2 IU/ mL. Additionally, the trough value will be determined 12 hours rather than 24 hours prior to LMWH. This study is the first randomised controlled trial to use LMWH to monitor aFXa activity levels while preventing VTE in critically ill patients.

The primary outcome of this study is the occurrence of VTE in critically ill patients. Deep vein ultrasonography

will be used to screen for VTE once per week. The clinical manifestations of VTE are frequently more challenging to recognise because of the broad variations in the main diseases of patients and the influence of different circumstances, such as different types of catheterisation, mechanical ventilation, sedation and analgesia. Vascular ultrasonography is necessary to detect VTE. Arabi et al examined the association between surveillance for DVT among critically ill medical-surgical patients by ultrasonography and 90-day all-cause mortality. The surveillance group and the non-surveillance group consisted of 1682 patients and 383 patients, respectively. Surveillance ultrasonography was associated with an increase in DVT detection, earlier diagnosis of DVT and pulmonary embolism, and lower 90-day mortality.³⁶ Raskob et al's study of the association between asymptomatic proximal DVT and mortality in acutely ill medical treatment patients also showed that asymptomatic proximal DVT was associated with higher all-cause mortality than no VTE and remained a relevant endpoint to evaluate the efficacy of anticoagulant thromboprophylaxis.³⁷

This study has several limitations. First, the follow-up period of this study is short, and long-term VTE is not evaluated. Second, medical staff will be not blinded, but sonographers, examiners and statisticians will be blinded to reduce the bias of research results. Third, DVT diagnosis uses ultrasound diagnosis, not the 'gold-standard' angiography diagnosis, because critically ill patients are limited by their critical condition; thus, angiography is difficult to complete. Fourth, the dual-centre research, the laboratory testing will be carried out in their respective centres, rather than concentrated in one centre. However, both centres are teaching hospitals, the departments of their clinical laboratory have accredited by ISO 15189, and the operators will be professionally trained to ensure the quality of aFXa activity levels and other tests.

If the results of this study are confirmed, critically ill patients who are taking LMWH to prevent VTE must monitor the level of aFXa activity and alter their LMWH dosage in accordance with the aFXa peak or trough value. Lowering the incidence of DVT in critically ill patients and its impact on LMWH prophylaxis will be useful. It will be advantageous to lower the rate of LMWH preventive haemorrhage and the incidence of DVT in critically ill patients. This study aims to develop a new procedure for the precise prevention of VTE in critically ill patients using LMWH.

Author affiliations

¹Department of Intensive Care Medicine, Xuanwu Hospital Capital Medical University, Beijing, China

²Department of Emergency, PLA Rocket Force Characteristic Medical Center, Beijing, China

³Department of General Practice, Aerospace Center Hospital, Beijing, China

⁴Department of Neurology, Xuanwu Hospital Capital Medical University, Beijing, China ⁵Beijing Key Laboratory of Neuromodulation, Beijing, China

⁶Institute of Sleep and Consciousness Disorders, Center of Epilepsy, Beijing Institute for Brain Disorders, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China

⁷Peking University Clinical Research Institute, Peking University First Hospital, Beijing, China

⁸Key Laboratory of Epidemiology of Major Diseases (Peking University), Ministry of Education, Beijing, China

Acknowledgements The authors would like to acknowledge all clinical investigators for their great efforts in the study implementation and all study participants.

Contributors CW, HW, Y-cN, L-pS, LJ, MW and S-mH developed the protocol and grant proposal for this project and wrote the manuscript. F-hW, P-jL, M-xD and Q-QP assisted with the writing and editing of the manuscript. The manuscript was amended based on comments from all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding This study is supported by Capital's Funds for Health Improvement and Research (code SHOUFA 2022-2-2016), the Beijing Key Clinical Specialty Excellence Project (2021-135) and the National Key Clinical Specialty Construction Project (2021-451).

Disclaimer The funding source had no role in the design of this study and will not have any role during its execution, analyses, interpretation of the data or decision to submit results.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iDs

Chunmei Wang http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3526-3893 Meiping Wang http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3838-6204 Haibo Wang http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4726-8939

REFERENCES

- Wendelboe AM, Raskob GE. Global burden of thrombosis. Circ Res 2016;118:1340–7.
- 2 Schünemann HJ, CushmanM, BurnettAE, et al. American society of hematology 2018 guidelines for management of venous thromboembolism:prophylaxis for hospitalized and nonhospitalized medical patients. *Blood Adv* 2018:3198–225.
- 3 Vascular surgery group, Chinese Medical Association surgery branch, guidelines for diagnosis and treatment of deep vein thrombosis. *Chin J Vasc Surg* 2017;2:201–8.
- 4 Expert committee of "guidelines for prevention and treatment of thrombotic diseases in China", guidelines for prevention and treatment of thrombotic diseases in China. *Chin Med J* 2018;98:2861–88.
- 5 Nicholson M, Chan N, Bhagirath V, *et al.* Prevention of venous thromboembolism in 2020 and beyond. *J Clin Med* 2020;9:2467.
- 6 Ortel TL, Neumann I, Ageno W, et al. American society of hematology 2020 guidelines for management of venous thromboembolism: treatment of deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism. *Blood* Adv 2020;4:4693–738.
- 7 Alhenc-Gelas M, Guernic CJ-L, Vitoux JF, et al. Adjusted versus fixed doses of the low-molecular-weight heparin fragmin in the treatment of deep vein thrombosis. *Thromb Haemost* 1994;71:698–702.
- 8 Fleming F, Gaertner W, Ternent CA, *et al.* The American society of colon and rectal surgeons clinical practice guideline for the prevention of venous thromboembolic disease in colorectal surgery. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2018;61:14–20.
- 9 Kearon C, Akl EA, Comerota AJ, et al. Antithrombotic therapy for VTE disease: antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis, 9th Ed: American college of chest physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest* 2012;141(2 Suppl):e419S–e496S.
- 10 Brenner B, Hull R, AryaR, *et al.* Evaluation of unmet clinical needs in prophylaxis and treatment of venous thromboembolism in high-risk patient groups: cancer and critically ill. *Thromb J* 2019;17:6.

- 11 McGowan KE, Makari J, Diamantouros A, *et al.* Reducing the hospital burden of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia:impact of an avoid-heparin program. *Blood* 2016;127:1954–9.
- 12 GarciaDA, Baglin TP, Weitz JI, et al. Parenteral anticoagulants: AntithromboticTherapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of ChestPhysicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. Chest 2012;141(2 Suppl):e24S-e43S.
- 13 MonagleP, ChanAKC, GoldenbergNA, et al. Antithrombotic therapy in neonates and children: antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis, 9th Ed: American college of chest physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest* 2012;141 (2 Suppl):e737S-e801S.
- 14 TianY, HaoB, YangT. Research progress of anti-Xa assay in monitoring low molecular weight heparin. *Chin J Vasc Surg* 2019;4 (2):128–30.
- 15 Pannucci CJ, Rockwell WB, Ghanem M, *et al.* Inadequate enoxaparin dosing predicts 90-day venous thromboembolism risk among plastic surgery Inpatients: an examination of enoxaparin pharmacodynamics. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2017;139:1009–20.
- 16 Baumgartner JM, McKenzie S, Block S, et al. Prophylactic enoxaparin doses may be inadequate in patients undergoing abdominal cancer surgery. J Surg Res 2018;221:183–9.
- 17 Pannucci CJ, Fleming KI, Holoyda K, et al. Enoxaparin 40 mg per day is inadequate for venous thromboembolism prophylaxis after thoracic surgical procedure. Ann Thorac Surg 2018;106:404–11.
- 18 Pannucci CJ, Fleming KI, Bertolaccini CB, et al. Assessment of anti-factor Xa levels of patients undergoing colorectal surgery given once-daily enoxaparin prophylaxis. *JAMA Surg* 2019;154:697–704.
- 19 Bergqvist D, Agnelli G, Cohen AT, et al. ENOXACAN II investigators. Duration of prophylaxis against venous thromboembolism with enoxaparin after surgery for cancer. N Engl J Med 2002;346:975–80.
- 20 Simonneau G, Laporte S, Mismetti P, et al. Fx140 study investigators. A randomized study comparing the efficacy and safety of nadroparin 2850 IU (0.3 ml) vs. enoxaparin 4000 IU (40 mg) in the prevention of venous thromboembolism after colorectal surgery for cancer. J Thromb Haemost 2006;4:1693–700.
- 21 Kaplan D, Casper TC, Elliott CG, *et al.* VTE incidence and risk factors in patients with severe sepsis and septic shock. *Chest* 2015;148:1224–30.
- 22 Maatman TK, Jalali F, Feizpour C, *et al.* Routine venous thromboembolism prophylaxis may be inadequate in the hypercoagulable state of severe coronavirus disease 2019. *Critical Care Medicine* 2020;48:e783–90.
- 23 Rappold JF, Sheppard FR, Carmichael li SP, et al. Venous thromboembolism prophylaxis in the trauma intensive care unit: an American association for the surgery of trauma critical care committee clinical consensus document. *Trauma Surg Acute Care Open* 2021;6:e000643.

- 24 Ley EJ, Brown CVR, Moore EE, et al. Updated guidelines to reduce venous thromboembolism in trauma patients: a western trauma association critical decisions algorithm. J Trauma Acute Care Surg 2020;89:971–81.
- 25 Wu T, Xia X, Chen W, *et al*. The effect of anti-Xa monitoring on the safety and efficacy of low-molecular-weight heparin anticoagulation therapy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Pharm Ther* 2020;45:602–8.
- 26 Cauchie P, Piagnerelli M. What do we know about thromboprophylaxis and its monitoring in critically ill patients. *Biomedicines* 2021;9:864.
- 27 Poyyamoli S, Mehta P, Cherian M, et al. May-thurner syndrome. Cardiovasc Diagn Ther 2021;11:1104–11.
- 28 Pandor A, Tonkins M, Goodacre S, et al. Risk assessment models for venous thromboembolism in hospitalised adult patients: a systematic review. BMJ Open 2021;11:e045672.
- 29 Intensive medicine branch of Chinese medical association, guidelines for the prevention of deep vein thrombosis in intensive care unit patients. *China Journal of Critical Care* 2009;21:514–8.
- 30 Gornik HL, Sharma AM. Duplex ultrasound in the diagnosis of lowerextremity deep venous thrombosis. *Circulation* 2014;129:917–21.
- 31 Kolecki RV, Sigel B, Justin J, et al. Determining the acuteness and stability of deep venous thrombosis by ultrasonic tissue characterization. J Vasc Surg 1995;21:976–84.
- 32 Pulmonary Embolism and Pulmonary Vascular Disease Group in Respiratory Disease Branch of Chinese Medical Association, Pulmonary Embolism and Pulmonary Vascular Disease Working Committee of the Chinese Medical Doctor Association, & National Collaborative Group on Prevention and Treatment of Pulmonary Embolism and Pulmonary Vascular Disease. Guideline to diagnosis, treatment and prevention of pulmonary thromboembolism (in Chinese). Chin Med J 2018;98:1060–87.
- 33 Schizodimos T, Soulountsi V, lasonidou C, et al. Thromboprophylaxis in critically ill patients: balancing on a tightrope. *Minerva Anestesiol* 2021;87:1239–54.
- 34 Fernando SM, Tran A, Cheng W, *et al.* VTE prophylaxis in critically ill adults: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Chest* 2022;161:418–28.
- 35 Hamilton DO, Main-Ian A, Tebbutt J, et al. Standard- versus intermediate-dose enoxaparin for anti-factor Xa guided thromboprophylaxis in critically ill patients with COVID-19. Thromb J 2021;19:87.
- 36 Arabi YM, Burns KEA, Alsolamy SJ, et al. Surveillance or no surveillance ultrasonography for deep vein thrombosis and outcomes of critically ill patients: a pre-planned sub-study of the PREVENT trial. Critical care trials group. *Intensive Care Med* 2020;46:737–46.
- 37 Raskob GE, Spyropoulos AC, Cohen AT, et al. Association between asymptomatic proximal deep vein thrombosis and mortality in acutely ill medical patients. J Am Heart Assoc 2021;10:e019459.