	Motion control shoes	Neutral shoes
	(n=18)	(n=22)
Multiple density midsole	6 (33)	5 (23)
Fixation		
Laces	12 (67)	16 (73)
Straps/buckles	3 (17)	1 (5)
Velcro	1 (6)	1 (5)
None	2 (11)	4 (18)
Heel counter stiffness		
Rigid	7 (39)	13 (59)
Moderate	3 (17)	4 (18)
Minimal	6 (33)	4 (18)
No heel counter	2 (11)	1 (5)
Midfoot sagittal stability		
Rigid	6 (33)	4 (18)
Moderate	1 (6)	2 (9)
Minimal	11 (61)	16 (73)
Midfoot torsional stability		
Rigid	11 (61)	16 (73)
Moderate	4 (22)	3 (14)
Minimal	3 (17)	3 (14)
Overall motion control feature score, mean (SD) ^a	6.2 (3)	6.4 (3)

Appendix Table 1. Motion control features of participants' usual shoes, reported as number (%) unless otherwise stated.

^a Measured using the Footwear Assessment Tool; scores range 0 to 11, with higher scores indicating more motion control features.

SD = standard deviation.

	Motion control shoes ^a	Neutral shoes [®]
Shoe wear in log books (hours/day), mean (SD):		
Month 1	7.1 (2.2)	7.9 (2)
Month 2	7.1 (4.0)	8.5 (3)
Month 3	7.0 (4.3)	7.8 (3)
Month 4	6.6 (3.7)	8.1 (2)
Month 5	7.5 (3.9)	7.4 (3)
Month 6	7.7 (3.9)	8.0 (3)
Overall	7.0 (3.4)	8.0 (2)
Participants classified as adherent ^c , n (%):		
Month 1	13 (72)	19 (86)
Month 2	10 (59)	18 (82)
Month 3	11 (61)	18 (82)
Month 4	10 (59)	18 (82)
Month 5	12 (75)	15 (71)
Month 6	12 (80)	18 (86)
Overall ^d	10 (56)	19 (86)
Self-rated adherence with allocated footwear over 6		
months (NRS), mean (SD)	7.9 (2.8)	8.5 (1.9)

Appendix Table 2. Adherence to allocated footwear across groups.

^a n=17 for shoe wear and participants classified as adherent at month 2 and month 4; n=16 for shoe wear and participants classified as adherent at month 5; n=15 for shoe wear and participants classified as adherent at month 6; n=18 for all other outcomes.

 b n=21 for shoe wear and participants classified as adherent at month 5 and month 6; n=22 for all other outcomes.

^c Adherent defined as an average of ≥ 6 hours/day shoe wear for that month;

^d Overall are participants who averaged ≥ 6 hours/day shoe wear over 6 months.

NRS = numerical rating scale, where 0 = shoes not worn at all and 10 = worn completely as instructed; SD = standard deviation.

Appendix Table 3. Reasons for participants to cease wearing shoes over the course of the trial, reported as number (%).

	Motion control shoes	Neutral shoes
	(n=18)	(n=22)
Fractured ankle (unrelated to shoes)	1ª (6)	0 (0)
Total	1 (6)	0 (0)

^a Participant ceased wearing shoes in month 2.

Appendix Table 4: Difference^a in change between groups, for the primary outcome, change in knee pain on walking (baseline -6 months), assuming full adherence^b (N=40).

	Difference in change between groups		
	Baseline to 6 months		
	Mean difference	D voluo	
	(95% CI)	r-value	
Knee pain on walking (NRS) ^c	0.6 (-1.7, 2.9)	0.59	

^a The complier average causal effect difference, adjusted for the outcome at baseline and radiographic severity (Kellgren & Lawrence Grade).

^b The treatment effect on the primary outcome assuming full adherence (where full adherence was defined as an average of \geq 6 hours/day shoe wear over 6 months) was estimated using an instrumental variables approach (where randomization was the instrument for adherence).^c For difference in change between groups, negative differences favour motion control shoe group.

CI=confidence intervals; NRS=numerical rating scale (0-10; higher scores indicate worse pain).

Appendix Table 5: Results of the moderation analysis for radiographic disease severity (Kellgren & Lawrence Grade) as a potential
binary moderator for the primary outcome, change in knee pain on walking, using complete case data. ^a

	Mean (SD) Motion control shoes ^b	Neutral shoes ^c	Neutral shoes – motion control shoes Mean difference ^d (95% CI)	Interaction P-value
Radiographic disease severity				0.70
Grade 2 (mild) or 3 (moderate)	1.50 (2.37)	1.69 (2.46)	0.16 (-1.65, 1.96)	
Grade 4 (severe)	1.38 (1.92)	1.78 (1.72)	0.73 (-1.44, 2.90)	

^a Presented as the mean scores on the primary outcome, change in average knee pain on walking (baseline -6 months), in each group in each radiographic disease severity category, as well as in terms of the estimated mean difference in effect between groups (neutral shoes - motion control shoes) on the primary outcome in each radiographic disease severity category, adjusted for the outcome at baseline.

^b n=10 for Grade 2 or 3; n=13 for Grade 4;

^c n=8 for Grade 2 or 3; n=9 for Grade 4.

^d Negative differences favour motion control shoes.

CI=confidence intervals; SD=standard deviation.

Appendix Table 6: Results of the moderation analysis for potential continuous moderators for the primary outcome, change in knee pain on walking, using complete case data^a.

Potential Moderator ^b (taken at baseline)	Motion control shoes Moderator Coeff. (95% CI)	P-value	Neutral shoes Moderator Coeff. (95% CI)	P-value	Difference ^c in coefficients, Neutral shoes – motion control shoes (95% CI)	Interaction P-value
Foot Posture Index ^d	0.09 (-0.29, 0.46)	0.64	0.11 (-0.15, 0.37)	0.41	0.02 (-0.44, 0.48)	0.92
Radiographic knee alignment (degrees)	0.15 (-0.03, 0.34)	0.11	-0.08 (-0.27, 0.12)	0.42	-0.23 (-0.49, 0.03)	0.085
KOOS sub-scale:						
Patellofemoral pain and OA	0.03 (-0.04, 0.10)	0.33	0.06 (-0.01, 0.13)	0.097	0.02 (-0.06, 0.11)	0.58
3	1 00 1 1					\ C

^a Presented in terms of the estimated mean effect on the primary outcome, change in average knee pain on walking (baseline -6 months), of a oneunit increase in the potential moderator in each of the motion control shoe group and neutral shoe group, adjusted for the outcome at baseline and radiographic severity (Kellgren & Lawrence Grade 2, 3 or 4).

^b n=32 for radiographic knee alignment, n=40 for all other potential moderators.

^cNegative differences favour motion control shoes.

^d Scored from -12 to 12; higher scores indicating a more pronated foot posture.

CI=confidence intervals; KOOS = Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (0 to 100; lower scores indicating worse pain/patellofemoral problems); OA = osteoarthritis.