Appendix 3: AGREE II Score Sheet

Domain			AGREE II Rating								
	Item		1				_		7		
		1	Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	Strongly Agree		
Scope and purpose	1	<i>The overall objective(s) of the guideline</i> <i>is (are) specifically described.</i>									
	2	The health question(s) covered by the guideline is (are) specifically described.									
	3	The population (patients, public, etc.) to whom the guideline is meant to apply is specifically described.									
Stakeholder involvement	4	The guideline development group includes individuals from all the relevant professional groups.									
	5	The views and preferences of the target population (patients, public, etc.) have been sought.									
	6	<i>The target users of the guideline are clearly defined.</i>									
Rigor of development	7	<i>Systematic methods were used to search for evidence.</i>									
	8	The criteria for selecting the evidence are clearly described.									
	9	The strengths and limitations of the body of evidence are clearly described.									
	10	The methods for formulating the recommendations are clearly described.									
	11	The health benefits, side effects and risks have been considered in formulating the recommendations.									
	12	There is an explicit link between the recommendations and the supporting evidence.									

	13	The guideline has been externally reviewed by experts prior to its publication.							
	14	<i>A procedure for updating the guideline is provided.</i>							
	15	The recommendations are specific and unambiguous.							
Clarity of presentation	16	The different options for management of the condition or health issue are clearly presented.							
Applicability	17	<i>Key recommendations are easily identifiable.</i>							
	18	<i>The guideline describes facilitators and barriers to its application.</i>							
	19	The guideline provides advice and/or tools on how the recommendations can be put into practice.							
	20	The potential resource implications of applying the recommendations have been considered.							
Editorial	21	<i>The guideline presents monitoring and/ or auditing criteria.</i>							
	22	The views of the funding body have not influenced the content of the guideline.							
independence Overall Guideline Assessment		Competing interests of guideline development group members have been recorded and addressed.							
	1	Rate the overall quality of this guideline.	1 Lowest possible quality	2	3	4	5	6	7 Highest possible quality
Assessment	2	I would recommend this guideline for use.	Yes	Yes, with modification		ion		No	