Appendix B

The questionnaire for prognostic factors and prediction models in acute aortic dissection

1. Study basic information

•	
1.1 First author	
1.2 Year of Publication	
1.3 Region	
1.4 Period of Data Collection	
1.5 Dissection type	1) A
	2) B
	3) A/B
1.6 Outcome (such as in-hospital	
mortality, one-year mortality)	
1.7 age(SD)(years)	
1.8 male(%)	
1.9 Study purpose	1) Prediction performance of prognostic factors
	2) Develop a model without validation
	3) External validation

2. performance information of prognostic factors or prediction models

2.1 Prognostic factors	
2.1.1 predictors 1	
The name of the predictors	
Cut-off value(or score)	
AUC(95% CI)	
P value of Hosmer-Lemeshow test	
sensitivity	
specificity	
2.1.2 predictors 2	
The name of the predictors	
Cut-off value(or score)	
AUC(95% CI)	
P value of Hosmer-Lemeshow test	
sensitivity	
specificity	
2.1.3 predictors 3	
The name of the predictors	
Cut-off value(or score)	
AUC(95% CI)	
P value of Hosmer-Lemeshow test	
sensitivity	

specificity	
2.2 Prediction models	
2.2.1 Number of predictors in model, please	
specify the name of the predictors.	
2.2.2 the type of model	1) derivation model
Check all that apply	2) internal validation
	3) external validation
2.2.2.1 Sampling method used for internal	1) Bootstrapping
validation	2) Cross validation
Check all that apply	3) Split-sample
	4) Jackknifing procedure
	5) Leave-one-out method
	6) Monte Carlo simulations
	Other, specify
2.2.2.2 External validation	1) Temporal validation
Check all that apply	2) Geographical validation
	3) Other, specify
2.2.3 What was the method used for assess	1) R ²
the overall performance	2) Nagelkerke's R ²
Check all that apply	3) Brier Score
	4) Other, specify
2.2.3.1 The reported value of the overall	
performance	
2.2.4 What was the method used for	1) C statistic (ROC curve)
assessing discrimination	2) Harrell's overall c statistic
Check all that apply	3) Discrimination Slope(Box plots)
	4) Lorenz curve
	5) Log-rank
	6) Other, specify
2.2.4.1 The reported value of discrimination	
2.2.5 What was the method used for	1) P value of Hosmer-Lemeshow test
assessing calibration	2) Calibration plot
Check all that apply	3) Calibration slope
	4) Other, specify
2.2.5.1 The reported value or judge of	
calibration	
2.2.6 Reclassification NRI, % (95% CI/P	
Value)(NRI, Net reclassification Index)	
2.2.7 Reclassification IDI, % (95% CI/P	
Value)(IDI, Integrative Discriminative	
Index)	

3. The questionnaire about the methodological characteristics consists of five domains

Domain 1: Study design

1.1 No. of Centers	
1.2 No. of patients	
1.3 No. of Events	
1.4 Source of data (e.g., cohort, case-control,	
randomized trial participants, EMR or registry	
data)	
1.5 Study design (Retrospective cohort,	
Prospective cohort、Nested case-control、	
Case-control study)	

Domain 2: Participants

2.1 Were appropriate data sources used, e.g., cohort, RCT, or	1) Yes, specify
nested case-control study data	2) No, specify
	3) Not reported
2.2 Whether did the study clearly describe inclusion criteria	1) yes
	2) no
	3) Not reported
2.3 Whether did the study clearly describe exclusion criteria	1) yes
	2) no
	3) Not reported

Domain 3: Predictors

3.1 Consistent definition/diagnostic criteria of predictors used in	1) Yes
all participants	2) No
	3) Not reported
3.2 Consistent measurement of predictors used in all participants	1) Yes
	2) No
	3) Not reported

Domain 4: Outcome

4.1 Consistent definition/diagnostic criteria of outcomes used in all participants	1) Yes 2) No 3) Not reported
4.2 Consistent measurement of outcomes used in all participants	1) Yes
	2) No3) Not reported

Domain 5: Analysis

5.1 Were all enrolled participants inclu-	led in the 1) yes	
analysis?	2) no	

	3)	Not reported
5.2 Number of outcomes/events in relation to the	1)	≥20
number of predictors in multivariable analysis (Events	2)	10-20
Per Variable: EPVs)	3)	<10
5.3 Statistical method for selecting predictors during	1)	Backward selection
addressing prognostic factors or prediction models	2)	Forward selection
Check all that apply	3)	Added a specific predictor for
		existing model
	4)	All predictors included regardless
		of statistical significance
	5)	Univariate analysis of predictors
		by p value
	6)	Other, specify:
	7)	Not reported
5.4 Handling the predictors for addressing prognostic	1)	Continuous predictor was
factors or prediction models		transformed into categories
	2)	Non-linear transformation
Check all that apply	3)	Not reported
	4)	Other, specify
5.5 Were missing outcome data reported, and the	1)	Yes, specify
methods handling missing outcome	2)	No
	3)	Not reported
5.6 Was any missing predictor data reported, and the	1)	Yes, specify
methods handling missing predictor	2)	No
	3)	Not reported
5.7 Model structure used in the study	1)	Linear regression
	2)	Logistic regression
	3)	Multinomial logistic
	4)	Cox regression
	5)	Decision tree
	6)	Bayesian (and logistic)
	7)	Machine learning
	8)	Artificial neural network
	9)	Partial least squares-discriminant
		analysis
	10)	7 1 3
5.8 Were relevant model performance measures	1)	Both calibration and
evaluated for addressing prognostic factors or prediction		discrimination are evaluated
models	2)	Only calibration is evaluated
Check all that apply	3)	Only discrimination is evaluated
	4)	Other, specify