Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

BMJ Open

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<u>http://bmjopen.bmj.com</u>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email <u>editorial.bmjopen@bmj.com</u>

BMJ Open

Telomere length: Population epidemiology and concordance in 11-12 year old Australians and their parents

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-020263
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	24-Oct-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Nguyen, Minh Thien ; University of Melbourne, Department of Paediatrics; Murdoch Children's Research Institute Lycett, kate; University of Melbourne, Department of Paediatrics; Murdoch Children's Research Institute Vryer, Regan; University of Melbourne, Department of Paediatrics; Murdoch Children's Research Institute Burgner, David; University of Melbourne, Department of Paediatrics; Murdoch Children's Research Institute Ranganathan, Sarath ; Murdoch Children's Research Institute; Royal Children's Hospital Melbourne Grobler, Anneke; Murdoch Children's Research Institute Wake, Melissa; Murdoch Children's Research Institute Saffery, Richard; University of Melbourne, Department of Paediatrics; Murdoch Children's Research Institute
Primary Subject Heading :	Epidemiology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Paediatrics, Public health, Genetics and genomics
Keywords:	Telomeres, Reference values, Children, Aging, Inheritance patterns, Epidemiological studies

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

BMJ Open

Telomere length: Population epidemiology and concordance in 11-12 year old Australians and their parents

Minh Thien Nguyen,^{1,2} Kate Lycett,^{1,2} Regan Vryer,^{1,2} David Burgner,^{1,2,4} Sarath Ranganathan,^{2,3} Anneke Grobler,² Melissa Wake,^{1,2,5}* Richard Saffery^{1,2}*

Affiliations: *MW and RS are joint senior authors; ¹Department of Paediatrics, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia; ²Murdoch Children's Research Institute, Parkville, Victoria, Australia; ³The Royal Children's Hospital, Parkville, Victoria, Australia; ⁴Monash University, Clayton, Victoria, Australia; ⁵Department of Paediatrics and the Liggins Institute, University of Auckland, Grafton, Auckland, New Zealand

Correspondence to: Professor Melissa Wake,

Murdoch Children's Research Institute The Royal Children's Hospital 50 Flemington Road, Parkville 3052, VIC Australia. T: +61 3 9345 5761

E: melissa.wake@mcri.edu.au

Keywords: Inheritance, aging, telomeres, reference values, parents, children, inheritance patterns, correlation studies, epidemiologic studies, cross-sectional studies

Word count: 3363 words

Abbreviations: BMI: body mass index; CheckPoint: Child Health CheckPoint; CI: Confidence interval; CoV: coefficient of variation; Ct: cycle threshold; CVD: cardiovascular disease; Disadvantage Index: Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas Index of Relative Socioeconomic Disadvantage; EDTA: ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; LSAC: Longitudinal Study of Australian Children; MCRI: Murdoch Children's Research Institute; n: sample size

number; NHMRC: National Health and Medical Research Council; qPCR: quantitative realtime polymerase chain reaction; RC: regression coefficient; S: single copy gene; SD: standard deviation; SEIFA: Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas; T: telomeric DNA; β : estimated linear regression coefficient.

to beet to lew only

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Objectives: To (1) describe the epidemiology of child and adult telomere length, and (2) investigate parent-child telomere length concordance.

Design: Ppopulation-based cross-sectional study within the Longitudinal Study of Australian Children.

Setting: Assessment centres in six Australian capital cities and eight selected regional towns; February 2015 to March 2016.

Participants: Of 1874 participating families, telomere data were available for analysis for 1206 children and 1343 parents, of whom 1143 were parent-child pairs. There were 589 boys and 617 girls; 175 fathers and 1168 mothers.

Outcome measures: Relative telomere length (T/S ratio), calculated by comparing telomeric DNA (T) level to the single copy (S) beta-globin gene in venous blood derived genomic DNA by quantitative real-time PCR.

Results: Mean T/S ratio for all children, boys and girls was 1.09 (SD 0.56), 1.05 (SD 0.53) and 1.13 (SD 0.59), respectively. Mean T/S ratio for all parents, fathers and mothers was 0.81 (SD 0.37), 0.82 (SD 0.36) and 0.81 (SD 0.38), respectively. Parent-child T/S ratio concordance was moderate (correlation 0.24). In adjusted regression models, one unit higher parent T/S ratio was associated with 0.36 units (estimated linear regression coefficient (β); 95% CI 0.28 to 0.45) higher child T/S ratio. Concordance was strongest in the youngest parent-age tertile and weakest in the oldest tertile (β 0.49 units; 95% CI 0.34 to 0.64 vs. β 0.26 units; 95% CI 0.11 to 0.41, respectively). Father-child concordance was also higher than mother-child (β 0.34 units; 95% CI 0.18 to 0.48 versus β 0.48 vs. 0.22 units; 95% CI 0.17 to 0.28, respectively).

Conclusions: Relative telomere length was shorter in adults than children, as expected. There was modest evidence of parent-child concordance, which diminished with increasing parent age. While both parents contribute to child telomere length, the stronger father-child concordance suggests that at 11-12 years-old parent contributions vary.

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Strengths and limitations of this study

- Our sample is the largest telomere length dataset of children and parents of a population-based Australian cohort.
- A major strength of our study is the high-quality telomere data, with low inter-assay and intra-assay coefficient of variation.
- The cross-sectional design precludes telomere concordance assessment over the lifecourse.
- Robustness of father-child concordance are limited by a relatively small sample relative to mother-child pairs.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

INTRODUCTION

Telomeres are complex nucleoprotein structures on a scaffold of TTAGGG tandem repeats at the ends of linear DNA.¹⁻⁴ They protect DNA integrity and prevent fusion of adjoining chromosomal ends. Conventional DNA polymerases are unable to replicate the ends of linear chromosomes, so several base pairs from telomeric DNA are lost with each mitotic division, leading to progressive telomere shortening with age.^{5 6} When telomeres erode to a critical length, the resulting telomere dysfunction triggers cell cycle arrest or apoptosis. Accelerated telomere shortening has been associated with morbidity and mortality from both communicable and non-communicable diseases, including cardiovascular disease (CVD), hypertension and diabetes.⁷⁻¹² For example, in studies of older adults, shorter telomere length has been associated with an increased risk of all-cause and cardiovascular mortality,¹¹ and infectious diseases.^{13 14}

The extent to which telomere length is influenced by genetic and environmental factors is unclear.¹⁵⁻¹⁸ Initial studies were interpreted as telomere length being maternally inherited.^{17 19} However, subsequent data suggested that telomere length may be more strongly influenced by paternal factors.²⁰⁻²⁴ A study of 98 fathers and 129 mothers, father-child concordance (0.45) was 3-fold higher than mother-child pairs, independent of child sex.²² Older paternal age is also associated with longer offspring telomeres.²⁴⁻²⁶ However, a recent meta-analysis showed high heterogeneity across parent-offspring correlation studies, and suggested that evidence to date is inconclusive.²⁷

To date, telomere research has largely focused on environmental and specific genetic determinants and with associations between telomere length, morbidity and mortality. The majority of population studies have focused on healthy adults and relatively little is known about normative telomere lengths in healthy children. Establishing population-level telomere length data has potential to assist in harmonising future telomere research of similar structure, as well as allowing for international population comparisons. In addition, examination of age and sex-specific parental effects may be informative for understanding the determinants of telomere length.

In the Australian population-based Child Health CheckPoint (CheckPoint) study, we aimed to (1) describe the epidemiology of child and adult telomere length, and (2) investigate parentchild telomere length concordance, including (a) comparisons between father-child and mother-child pairs and (b) the effect of parental age on concordance.

METHODS

Study Design and Participants: In 2004, the Longitudinal Study of Australian Children (LSAC, or Growing Up in Australia) recruited two nationally-representative cohorts each comprising approximately 5,000 Australian children. LSAC participants have been seen at seven biennial Waves spanning 0-1 to 12-13 (B cohort) and 4-5 to 16-17 years (K cohort). The Child Health CheckPoint study (CheckPoint) was an additional cross-sectional wave on the B cohort, nested between LSAC's sixth and seventh.²⁸ It was a one-off comprehensive physical health and biomarker module of participants at age 11-12 years and their attending parents.

Ethics and Consent: The study protocol was approved by the Royal Children's Hospital Melbourne Human Research Ethics Committee (33225D) and Australian Institute of Family Studies Ethics Committee (14-26). The attending parent provided written informed consent for themselves and their child prior to participation, and the child provided assent.

Procedures: The data collection phase ran from February 2015 to March 2016. Data were collected across Australia in main (major cities) and mini (regional cities) assessment centres, with home visits offered to those who were unable to attend an assessment centre. Further details are described in the Growing Up in Australia's Child Health CheckPoint cohort summary and methodology.²⁹ Children and their attending parent rotated through a series of stations where different aspects of health was assessed, as well as the collection of biological samples including blood.

Blood samples: Whole venous blood was collected into vacutainer tubes containing EDTA and immediately transported to an on-site laboratory. The blood sample was processed into aliquots within two hours into 1.0mL FluidX tubes (FluidX, Cheshire, United Kingdom) and frozen at -80°C in an ultra-low temperature freezer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, United States). A Redcap database was used to track samples and allow for patient deidentification in the on-site laboratory.³⁰ Samples were transported on dry ice for storage at the biobank at the Murdoch Children's Research Institute, Melbourne, Australia, for long term storage in a -80°C ultra-low temperature freezer.

DNA isolation: Genomic DNA was isolated from whole blood or blood clot using the QIAamp 96 DNA Blood Kit (Qiagen, Venlo, Netherlands). Samples were randomised with child and parent pairs on the same plate to minimise batch effects when comparing parent-

child pairs using Stata random number generator. The sample retrieval, protocol optimisation, consumable acquisition, and isolation of genomic DNA spanned April 2016 to January 2017. Purity and integrity of genomic DNA was confirmed using NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Middleton, United States), Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, United States) and gel electrophoresis, prior to storage at -80°C. DNA was also isolated from three sets of control samples: (1) the K562 leukemic cell line, (2) newborn cord blood and (3) human placental tissue. These control samples have previously been described as having 'shorter', 'average' and 'longer' telomeres relative to peripheral blood samples.³¹⁻³⁴ The telomere lengths of control samples were validated using terminal restriction fragment (not shown). Genomic DNA from each of these control samples was used on all plates with telomere assay to assess day-to-day and batch (plate) effects.

Measures:

Telomere length measurement: Telomere length was measured with the widely used quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) method, originally described by Cawthon.³⁵ This method measures the amount of telomeric DNA (T) and a single copy gene (in this case beta-globin, S) for each sample. A ratio, known as the T/S ratio, is calculated by comparing the relative amount of 'T' and 'S' for each of these samples to a reference genomic DNA sample (i.e. the average T/S ratio of the three standard genomic DNA). Each sample was measured in quadruplicates comprising 4 μ l of diluted DNA sample at 5 ng/ μ l, 5 μl of SensiFAST SYBR No-ROX Kit (Bioline, Sydney, Australia) master mix and 0.5 μl of each forward and reverse primer at 2 μ M. The primer sequences were tella (5'- CGG TTT GTT TGG GTT TGG GTT TGG GTT TGG GTT TGG GTT), tel2a (5'- GGC TTG CCT TAC CCT TAC CCT TAC CCT TAC CCT TAC CCT), bg1a (5'- GCA GGA GCC AGG GCT GGG CAT AAA AGT CA) and bg2a (5'- GGG CCT CAC CAC CAA CTT CAT CCA CGT TC). All 'T' and 'S' reactions were performed in 384-well plates on a Lightcycler 480 Instrument II (Roche, Melbourne, Australia). The cycling condition began with incubation at 95 °C for 10 minutes, followed by 35 cycles of (i) 95 °C for 15 seconds and (ii) 62 °C for 60 seconds. The final 384-well layout included participant genomic DNA, three sets of genomic control DNA and a no-template control containing RNase-free water instead of a DNA template. Each of these were present in quadruplicates. All qPCR assays were performed using filtered pipette tips to prevent amplification of contaminants. Reactions were set up on ice to prevent DNA polymerase activity, non-specific amplification and to minimise potential

primer-dimerisation. Plate layout and additional details can be found in the Standard Operating Procedure on the Growing Up in Australia's Child Health CheckPoint website.³⁶

Other sample characteristics: Parent and child age was via questionnaire. Age was calculated to nearest week by calculating the days between the participant's date of birth and date of assessment. Participant height was measured using a portable stadiometer, without shoes, in light clothing, and in duplicate, to the nearest 0.1 cm. A third measurement was taken if the difference of the first two height measurements was greater than 0.5 cm; final height was the mean of all measurements made. Weight, to the nearest 0.1 kg, was measured with an InBody230 bio-electrical impedance analysis scale (Biospace Co. Ltd. Seoul, South Korea). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kg) divided by height (m) squared. For children, an age- and sex-adjusted BMI z-score was calculated using the United States Centers for Disease Control growth reference charts.³⁷ Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas (SEIFA) scores of the postcode region where the participating family lived were used as a measure of neighbourhood socioeconomic position. The SEIFA Index of Relative Socioeconomic Disadvantage (Disadvantage Index) score used was a standardised score by geographic area compiled from 2011 Australian Census data, to numerically summarise the social and economic conditions of Australian neighbourhoods (national mean of 1000 and a standard deviation of 100, where higher values represent less disadvantage).³⁸

Statistical Analysis: To assess the replicate reliability (ie the degree of variation between replicates on a qPCR plate), an intra-assay coefficient of variation (CoV) was calculated, as the ratio of the pooled cycle threshold's (Ct) standard deviation from all samples and the overall Ct mean, multiplied by 100. The Ct value is the cycle number at which the fluorescence generated within a polymerase chain reaction crosses the fluorescence threshold, a fluorescent signal significantly above the background fluorescence. To assess the degree of assay-to-assay and day-to-day consistency an inter-assay CoV was calculated using the pooled Ct's standard deviation divided by the overall Ct mean of all duplicated samples, and then multiplied by 100.

If less than two successful replicates out of the quadruplicates were measured then the sample data were discarded. If more than two successful replicates out of the quadruplicates were measured then a median was calculated, resulting in a median 'T' and a median 'S' for each sample. A Ct replicate of 5 to 28 was considered successful as values outside of this range have a high level of uncertainty. The final relative telomere length from each sample, based on the T/S ratio, was calculated as the ΔCt_{test} (Ct^(telomere)-Ct^(beta-globin)) normalised to the

BMJ Open

average T/S ratio of the three standard DNA samples on the same plate ΔCt_{ref} (Ct^(telomere)-Ct^(beta-globin)). Hence, the final equation was 2^{-($\Delta Cttest - \Delta Ctref$)} = 2^{- $\Delta \Delta Ct$}.

Stata 14.0 was used for all analyses. Statistical significance was determined at the five percent level. Population summary statistics and proportions were estimated by applying survey weights and survey procedures that corrected for sampling and participation biases and took into account clustering in the sampling frame. Standard errors were calculated taking into account the complex design and weights.³⁹ We examined distributions using means and standard deviations (SD) and density plots, applying survey weights and survey methodology. Parent and child telomere length concordance was assessed using the simple Pearson correlations, and linear regression models with parent telomere length as the independent variable, and child telomere length as the dependent variable. Linear regression models were adjusted for parent age, parent sex and child sex in models including both sexes. Analyses were also stratified by parent and child sex.

An analysis was also conducted to examine the effect of parental age on the concordance between parent-child telomere lengths. Interaction analysis was conducted with a parent telomere length-and parent age interaction term (both for parent age as a continuous and as an ordinal tertile variable), including parent sex and child sex. To better understand the pattern of results, linear regression models were conducted for parent age tertile groups (ie 28-41, 42-45 and 46-71 years of age).

Linear regression models were repeated by applying survey weights and taking into account clustering in the sampling frame as a sensitivity analyses. Applying survey methodology and survey weights produced the same results and are not presented. More detail on the calculation of weights is provided elsewhere.³⁹

RESULTS

Telomere Reliability: The mean intra-assay CoV between quadruplicates was 1.7% (SD 0.3; range: 0.9-2.6%). The inter-assay CoV between plates was 1.7% (SD 1.4; range: 0.3-6.2%).

Sample Characteristics: A total of 1874 families participated in CheckPoint (figure 1). Of these, 1510 attended an assessment centre and had venous blood available for telomere analysis. In total, whole blood or blood clot samples were available for 1216 children and 1350 adults. Telomere length data was successfully obtained for 2549 individuals (1206

children and 1343 adults), including 1143 parent-child pairs used for concordance analyses. The sample characteristics of parents and children are outlined in table 1, stratified by sex.

tor beer to we many

	Ch	nildren, mean (SI))	1	Adults, mean (SD)	
 Characteristic	All	Boys	Girls	All	Male	Female
	(n=1206)	(n=589)	(n=617)	(n=1343)	(n=175)	(n=1168)
T/S ratio*	1.09 (0.56)	1.05 (0.53)	1.13 (0.59)	0.81 (0.37)	0.82 (0.36)	0.81 (0.38)
Age (years)	12.0 (0.4)	12.0 (0.4)	12.0 (0.4)	43.8 (5.6)	43.4 (5.3)	44.2 (5.7)
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	19.4 (3.5)	19.2 (3.4)	19.6 (3.6)	28.5 (6.5)	28.3 (6.3)	28.7 (6.7)
Body z-score	0.37 (1.0)	0.37 (1.0)	0.36 (1.0)	-	-	-
Disadvantage Index	1011 (62)	1009 (65)	1012 (60)	1012 (62)	1010 (63)	1013 (60)

Table 1: Characteristics of participants.

Data are weighted mean (standard deviation).

*T/S ratio is the relative amount of telomeric DNA (T) to the beta-globin single copy gene, calibrated to a plate reference genomic DNA sample.

Disadvantage index: Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas Index of Relative Socioeconomic Disadvantage (national mean: 1000, SD 100), where higher scores represent less disadvantage); n: ich only sample size number; SD: standard deviation.

Dpen: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-020263 ميرطيايالاياك0494/999944 رومار من المنهاي ا Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

BES

/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement

The parent sample was predominantly women (n=1168, 89%) from relatively less disadvantaged areas (mean: 1011; SD 62), compared to the national average (mean: 1000; SD 100). Children were represented in similar proportions of each sex. Both child and parent BMI scores were similar to current day Australian norms, where one in four children and one in three adults are overweight/obese.⁴⁰

Epidemiology of Telomere Length: The mean T/S ratio of children (1.09 units; SD 0.56) was longer than that of adults (0.81 units; SD 0.37). Distributions of child and adult telomere lengths were normally distributed with minor right skewing, more pronounced in the children. Children's telomere lengths also displayed a greater spread and generally longer T/S ratios than parents (figure 2). Distributions did not appear to differ by sex for parents or children (data not presented, available on request).

Concordance Between Parent and Child Telomere Length: Table 2 and figure 3 show the simple Pearson correlations and adjusted linear regression results. adjusi

BMJ Open

Table 2: Concordance results for	parent-child	associations for	relative telon	iere length.
----------------------------------	--------------	------------------	----------------	--------------

		Pearsons Correlation	Ι	inear Reg	ression	
Relationship pairing	n	Coefficient (95% CI)	Coefficient* (95% CI)	p-value	p-value for interaction‡	p-trend§
Parent-child	1143	0.24 (0.19 to 0.30)	0.36 (0.28 to 0.45)	< 0.001	-	-
By parent age tertile†	-	-	-	-	-	-
Parent-child	-	-	-	-	0.2	-
Youngest	349	0.32 (0.22 to 0.41)	0.49 (0.34 to 0.64)	< 0.001	-	
Middle	383	0.25 (0.15 to 0.34)	0.35 (0.21 to 0.48)	< 0.001	-	0.04
Oldest	411	0.17 (0.07 to 0.26)	0.26 (0.11 to 0.41)	0.001	-	
Father-child	-		-	-	0.04	-
Youngest	27	0.57 (0.24 to 0.78)	0.83 (0.26 to 1.40)	0.006	-	
Middle	50	0.34 (0.07 to 0.56)	0.48 (0.10 to 0.87)	0.02	-	0.01
Oldest	66	0.18 (-0.07 to 0.40)	0.18 (-0.09 to 0.45)	0.2	-	
Mother-child	-	-		-	0.5	-
Youngest	322	0.28 (0.18 to 0.38)	0.43 (0.27 to 0.58)	< 0.001	-	
Middle	333	0.23 (0.12 to 0.33)	0.32 (0.17 to 0.47)	< 0.001	-	0.2
Oldest	345	0.17 (0.07 to 0.27)	0.28 (0.11 to 0.46)	0.002	-	
Sex-specific	-	-	_	-	-	-
Father-child	143	0.34 (0.18 to 0.48)	0.45 (0.24 to 0.67)	< 0.001	-	-
Father-son	78	0.37 (0.16 to 0.55)	0.48 (0.21 to 0.76)	0.001	6 -	-
Father-daughter	65	0.26 (0.02 to 0.47)	0.38 (0.01 to 0.76)	0.05		-
Mother-child	1000	0.22 (0.17 to 0.28)	0.34 (0.25 to 0.43)	< 0.001		-
Mother-son	473	0.19 (0.10 to 0.27)	0.27 (0.14 to 0.39)	< 0.001	_	-
Mother-daughter	527	0.26 (0.17 to 0.33)	0.40 (0.27 to 0.53)	< 0.001	-	-

*The estimated linear regression coefficient represents the change in childrens' T/S ratio for every one unit higher T/S ratio for parents.

⁺Youngest, middle and oldest parent tertiles aged 28-41, 42-45 and 46-71 years, respectively.

P-interaction is the p-value for the interaction term between parent age and parent telomere length in the linear regression model with parent sex (if applicable) and child sex included.

§P-trend is the p-value for the interaction term between parent age tertile variable and parent telomere length in the linear regression model with parent sex (if applicable) and child sex included. CI: confidence interval; n: sample size number.

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

theman president of the standing of the stand

Parent-child pairings: The correlation between child and parent T/S ratio was 0.24. Similarly, adjusted linear regression models revealed that a one unit higher parent T/S ratio was associated with two-thirds of a standard deviation higher child T/S ratio (0.36 units; 95% CI 0.28 to 0.45; figure 3).

Across parent-age tertiles, associations were strongest between parent-child T/S ratios in the youngest parent-age group and decreased with increasing parent age group. For example, in the youngest parent-age group, a one unit higher parent T/S ratio was associated with almost a one standard deviation higher child T/S ratio (0.49 units; 95% CI 0.34 to 0.64), compared to half a standard deviation higher (0.26 units; 95% CI 0.11 to 0.41) in the oldest parent-age group. When parent-age tertiles were examined at the father-child and mother-child level, the same parent-age group effects were seen across tertiles, and significant for father-child tertiles. For example, the strongest concordance was observed between father-child T/S ratios in the youngest father-age tertile, with a one unit higher father T/S ratio associated with almost a 1.5 standard deviation unit higher child T/S ratio (0.83 units; 95% CI 0.26 to 1.40). However, there was no evidence of an association for father-child concordance in the oldest parent-age tertile.

Sex-specific pairings: Overall correlations between T/S ratios in father-child pairings were stronger than mother-child pairings (correlation 0.34 vs. 0.22, respectively), and this pattern was also replicated in adjusted regression models. In fathers, concordance was strongest with sons, while in mothers it was strongest with daughters. For example, a one unit higher T/S ratio in fathers was associated with almost a one standard deviation higher T/S ratio in sons (0.48 units; 95% CI 0.21 to 0.76), while in daughters it was just under two-thirds of standard deviation (0.38 units; 95% CI 0.01 to 0.76). In mothers, a one unit higher T/S ratio was associated with almost two-thirds of a standard deviation higher T/S ratio in daughters (0.40 units; 95% CI 0.27 to 0.50) and just over half a standard deviation in sons (0.27 units; 95% CI 0.14 to 0.39).

Across all analyses, estimates of associations (ie SD, 95% CIs) were far less precise in fathers compared to mothers, given that parents were 87% mothers.

DISCUSSION

Principal findings: We describe the epidemiology of adult and child telomere length and parent-child concordance in a large population-based Australian cohort. As in other studies,

BMJ Open

children had longer telomeres than adults, but also showed a wider spread with greater skewing to high values. Telomere length did not differ by sex in both children and adults.^{5 6 23} ⁴¹ Parent-child telomere length concordance appear substantial which was somewhat higher in father-child than mother-child pairs, and when parents were younger.

Strengths and weaknesses: A major strength of our study is the high-quality T/S data, with low inter-assay and intra-assay CoV. Telomere length was quantified using a qPCR method, instead of the labour and sample intensive "gold standard" of Terminal Restriction Fragment analysis. The qPCR method has been validated against the Terminal Restriction Fragment assay with high correlation.³⁵ Our PCR-based assay requires smaller amounts of DNA, allows for high-throughput testing and is relatively low cost. This technique is therefore well suited for large epidemiological studies,³⁵ ⁴² ⁴³ but does not quantify absolute nor chromosome-specific telomere length. Future studies should also consider measuring the distribution of short telomeres, as some data suggest that the shortest telomeres, rather than the average telomere length, drive senescence.^{44 45} Limitations of our study include the crosssectional design and the limited number of adult male participants; nonetheless, our sample size compares favourably with similar studies internationally.^{19 21 22} Some findings from this population-based Australian cohort are likely to be generalisable, although we acknowledge the limitation that our cohort may be slightly more advantaged compared to the general Australian population, and is under-represented for Indigenous participants, and overrepresented for European-Caucasians. In terms of the epidemiology of T/S this could have skewed to higher averages, although our weighted analysis did not suggest this was the case. In addition, our concordance results did not change with weighted analyses.

Meaning and implications for clinicians and policymakers: We found some evidence of stronger telomere length correlation between father-child pairs than mother-child pairs, perhaps suggesting a paternal vertical transmission effect on offspring telomere length.^{21 25} One hypothesis is that the observed pattern of inheritance is a result of genomic imprinting, where one parental allele is more active than the other, leading to a parental-specific expression pattern.^{22 46} Genetic variation at several genes are known to contribute to overall telomere length,⁴⁷ but to date, none have been shown to be imprinted. Although there is clear evidence, including from twin studies,¹⁶ that telomere length is partly heritable,^{15 18 48} other factors are likely to play a role in determining telomere length postnatally.

Several population studies have reported longer telomeres in females at different ages.^{21 41 49} Similarly, a meta-analysis of 36 cohorts totalling 36,230 adults showing that, on average

females had longer telomeres than males, despite significant heterogeneity between studies.⁴⁹ This leads to the hypothesis (eg Njajou *et al*²¹) that such a difference might, to some extent, explain the longer lifespan in women compared with men. In contrast, our study found no strong evidence of adult sex differences in telomere lengths in either children or adults, although our study had a relatively small number of fathers. Interestingly, studies show no sex difference in telomere length at birth,^{34 41} suggesting that sex differences likely arise later in life. Further longitudinal studies are warranted to understand the dynamics, determinants and consequences of telomere attrition across the life course.

Our study did not support previously-reported associations between older paternal age and longer offspring telomere length.²⁴⁻²⁶ On the contrary, we found that child telomere length was associated with father telomere length most strongly with younger fathers, with the association diminishing with age. The oldest age group for fathers had a mean age of 58.6 years (SD 5.65), was comparable to the ages of other studies, but showed smaller father-child concordance.^{19 21 23} Niajou et al found a father-child concordance of 0.46 (correlation coefficient; CI was not reported) in 164 pairs with mean father age of 49.0 years (SD 17.0).²¹ The diminishing telomere length concordance with parent age that we observed in both mothers and fathers may be a result of the overall age gap between the parent and child. That is, older parents will have had greater unshared environmental exposures, resulting in lower telomere length concordance with their offspring. Alternatively, some element of vertical transmission prior to birth may be adversely altered by older parents. These noteworthy findings of varying parent-child concordance with age warrant further investigation.

Unanswered questions and future research: The present study is the first and largest telomere length dataset of children and parents reported in a population-based Australian cohort. Parent-child concordance of telomere length was moderate, and stronger in fatherchild than mother-child pairs. We report stronger concordance in younger parents, which was most pronounced in younger fathers. This suggests that at 11-12 years of age, fathers may have a stronger influence on child telomere length than mothers and that concordance may be stronger when the parent-child age gap is smaller, when there is potentially less time for unshared environmental influences. Our study may serve as a useful comparison with other populations of similar structure. Future studies should include both parents, longitudinal data with repeated measurements of telomere length, and detailed genetic and environmental data to investigate the complex inheritance patterns of telomere length.

BMJ Open

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: This paper uses unit record data from Growing Up in Australia, the Longitudinal Study of Australian Children. The study is conducted in partnership between the Department of Social Services (DSS), the Australian Institute of Family Studies (AIFS) and the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS). The findings and views reported in this paper are those of the author and should not be attributed to DSS, AIFS or the ABS.

REDCap (Research Electronic Data Capture) electronic data capture tools were used in this study. More information about this software can be found at: www.project-redcap.org.

We thank the LSAC and CheckPoint study participants, staff and students for their contributions.

COMPETING INTERESTS: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form at <u>www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf</u> and declare financial support for the submitted work **from** the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia, The Royal Children's Hospital Foundation, the Murdoch Children's Research Institute, The University of Melbourne, the National Heart Foundation of Australia, Financial Markets Foundation for Children and the Victoria Deaf Education Institute. Personal fees were received by MW from the Australian Department of Social Services; MTN, DB, KL, MW and RS from the NHMRC; DB and KL from the National Heart Foundation of Australia; SR from the Murdoch Children's Research Institute; RV from an Australian Postgraduate Award; and MW from Cure Kids, New Zealand for the submitted work. MW received grants from NZ Ministry of Business, Innovation & Employment and A Better Start/Cure Kids NZ, and support from Sandoz to present at a symposium outside the submitted work.

FUNDING: This work was supported by the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) of Australia (Project Grants 1041352, 1109355), The Royal Children's Hospital Foundation (2014-241), the Murdoch Children's Research Institute (MCRI), the Department of Social Services (DSS), The University of Melbourne, the National Heart Foundation of Australia (100660), Financial Markets Foundation for Children (2014-055, 2016-310) and the Victorian Deaf Education Institute. Research at the MCRI is supported by the Victorian Government's Operational Infrastructure Support Program. MTN was supported by an NHMRC Postgraduate Scholarship (1115167). DB was supported by an NHMRC Fellowship

(1064629) and an Honorary Future Leader Fellowship of the National Heart Foundation of Australia (100369). SR was supported by an MCRI Clinician Scientist Award. KL was supported by an NHMRC Early Career Fellowship (1091124) and a National Heart Foundation Postdoctoral Fellowship (101239). RV was supported by an Australian Postgraduate Award. MW was supported by an NHMRC Senior Research Fellowship (1046518) and Cure Kids New Zealand. RS was support NHMRC Senior Research Fellowship (1045161). The MCRI administered the research grants for the study and provided infrastructural support (IT and biospecimen management) to its staff and the study, but played no role in the conduct or analysis of the trial. DSS played a role in study design; however, no other funding bodies had a role in the study design and conduct; data collection, management, analysis, and interpretation; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

CONTRIBUTIONS: MTN, DB, SR, KL, MW and RS conceptualised and developed the CheckPoint study. MTN helped with sample collection, isolated DNA, quantified telomere length, analysed the data and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. MW is the lead investigator of the Child Health CheckPoint study. RS and RV supervised laboratory work and protocol optimisation. AG provided statistical support. All authors commented on the first and subsequent drafts and approved the final version of the manuscript.

DATA SHARING STATEMENT: Dataset and technical documents are available from *Growing Up in Australia*: The Longitudinal Study of Australian Children via low-cost license for bone fide researchers. More information is available at www.growingupinaustralia.gov.au.

FIGURE CAPTIONS AND FOOTNOTES:

Figure 1: The Child Health CheckPoint recruitment and telomere length measurement flow. qPCR, quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction.

Figure 2: Distribution of parent and children relative telomere length. Solid line: Children. Dotted line: Parents. Relative telomere length as represented by the telomere repeat number to the beta-globin single gene copy number, T/S ratio.

Figure 3: Parent and child relative telomere length concordance (top), and by sex-specific pairings (lower four). RC, estimated regression coefficient.

to beet the work

REFERENCES

1 2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56 57 58 1. Blackburn EH. Structure and function of telomeres. *Nature* 1991;350:569-73.

2. Songyang Z. Introduction to Telomeres and Telomerase: Humana Press, New York, NY 2017.

3. Opresko PL, Shay JW. Telomere-associated aging disorders. Ageing Res Rev 2017;33:52-66.

4. Victorelli S, Passos JF. Telomeres and Cell Senescence - Size Matters Not. EBioMedicine 2017;21:14-20.

5. Blasco MA. Telomeres and human disease: ageing, cancer and beyond. Nat Rev Genet 2005;6:611.

6. Oeseburg H, de Boer RA, van Gilst WH. Telomere biology in healthy aging and disease. Pflugers Arch 2010:459:259-68.

7. Fyhrquist F, Saijonmaa O. Telomere length and cardiovascular aging. Ann Med 2012;44:Sup1 S138-S42.

8. Tellechea ML, Pirola CJ. The impact of hypertension on leukocyte telomere length: a systematic review and meta-analysis of human studies. J Hum Hypertens 2016;31:99-105. 9. Baragetti A, Palmen J, Garlaschelli K, et al. Telomere shortening over 6 years is associated with increased subclinical carotid vascular damage and worse cardiovascular prognosis in the general population. J Intern Med 2015;277:478-87. doi: 10.1111/joim.12282

10. Havcock PC, Hevdon EE, Kaptoge S, et al. Leucocyte telomere length and risk of cardiovascular disease: systematic review and meta-analysis. Br Med J 2014;349:g4227.

11. Mons U, Muezzinler A, Schottker B, et al. Leukocyte Telomere Length and All-Cause, Cardiovascular Disease, and Cancer Mortality: Results From Individual-Participant-Data Meta-Analysis of 2 Large Prospective Cohort Studies. Am J Epidemiol 2017;185:1-10. 12. Zhan Y, Karlsson IK, Karlsson R, et al. Exploring the Causal Pathway From Telomere Length to Coronary Heart Disease: A Network Mendelian Randomization Study. Circ Res

2017;121:214-19.

13. Helby J, Nordestgaard BG, Benfield T, et al. Shorter leukocyte telomere length is associated with higher risk of infections: a prospective study of 75,309 individuals from the general population. Haematologica 2017;102:1457-65.

14. Aiello AE, Javabalasingham B, Simanek AM, et al. The impact of pathogen burden on leukocyte telomere length in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. Epidemiol Infect 2017:1-9.

15. Graakjaer J, Pascoe L, Der-Sarkissian H. The relative lengths of individual telomeres are defined in the zygote and strictly maintained during life. Aging Cell 2004;3:97-102.

16. Slagboom PE, Droog S, Boomsma DI. Genetic determination of telomere size in humans: a twin study of three age groups. Am J Hum Genet 1994;55:876-82.

17. Broer L, Codd V, Nyholt DR, et al. Meta-analysis of telomere length in 19713 subjects reveals high heritability, stronger maternal inheritance and a paternal age effect. Eur J Hum Genet 2013;21:1163-68.

18. Hjelmborg JB, Dalgård C, Möller S, et al. The heritability of leucocyte telomere length dynamics. J Med Genet 2015;52 doi: 10.1136/imedgenet-2014-102736

19. Nawrot TS, Staessen JA, Gardner JP, et al. Telomere length and possible link to X chromosome. Lancet 2004;363:507-10.

20. Al-Attas OS, Al-Daghri NM, Alokail MS, et al. Circulating leukocyte telomere length is highly heritable among families of Arab descent. BMC Med Genet 2012;13:1-5.

21. Njajou OT, Cawthon RM, Damcott CM. Telomere length is paternally inherited and is associated with parental lifespan. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2007;104:12135-39.

22. Nordfjäll K, Larefalk Å, Lindgren P, et al. Telomere length and heredity: Indications of paternal inheritance. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2005;102:16374-78.

1	
2	
3	23. Nordfjäll K, Svenson U, Norrback KF. Large-scale parent-child comparison confirms a
4	strong paternal influence on telomere length. Eur J Hum Genet 2010;18:385-89.
5	24. Kimura M, Cherkas LF, Kato BS, et al. Offspring's leukocyte telomere length, paternal
6	age, and telomere elongation in sperm. PLoS Genet 2008;4:e37.
7	25. Unryn BM, Cook LS, Riabowol KT. Paternal age is positively linked to telomere length
8	of children. Aging Cell 2005;4:97-101.
9	26. Meyer DT, Rietzschel ER. Paternal age at birth is an important determinant of offspring
10	telomere length. Hum Mol Genet 2007:16:3097-102.
11	27 Eisenberg DTA Inconsistent inheritance of telomere length (TL): is offspring TL more
12	strongly correlated with maternal or naternal TL? <i>Eur J. Hum Genet</i> 2014:22:8-9
13	28 Wake M Clifford S York E et al Introducing Growing Un in Australia's Child Health
14	CheckPoint: A physical and biomarkers module for the Longitudinal Study of Australian
15	Children Equily Matters 2014:94:15-23
10	20 Clifford S. Davies S. Wake M. Growing Up in Australia's Child Health CheckPoint
17	25. Childred S, Davies S, wake W. Olowing Op in Australia's Child Health Checki ont
10	20. Harris D. Taylor D. Thiellys D. at al. Descends electronic data conture (DEDCar)
20	30. Harris P, Taylor K, Thielke K, et al. Research electronic data capture (REDCap) – A
20	metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research
21	informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009;42:377-81.
22	31. Akiyama M, Yamada O, Kanda N, et al. Telomerase overexpression in K562 leukemia
23	cells protects against apoptosis by serum deprivation and double-stranded DNA break
25	inducing agents, but not against DNA synthesis inhibitors. <i>Cancer Lett</i> 2002;178:187-97.
26	32. Allsopp R, Shimoda J, Easa D, et al. Long telomeres in the mature human placenta.
27	<i>Placenta</i> 2007;28:324-27.
28	33. Martens DS, Plusquin M, Gyselaers W, et al. Maternal pre-pregnancy body mass index
29	and newborn telomere length. BMC Med 2016;14:148.
30	34. Okuda K, Bardeguez A, Gardner JP, et al. Telomere length in the newborn. Pediatr Res
31	2002;52:377-81.
32	35. Cawthon RM. Telomere measurement by guantitative PCR. Nucleic Acids Res
33	2002:30:e47.
34	36 Growing Up in Australia's Child Health CheckPoint Melbourne [Available from:
35	http://www.mcri.edu.au/research/projects/longitudinal-study-australian-childrens-child-
36	health-checkpoint accessed October 9 2017
37	37 Kuczmarski RI Ogden CL Grummer-Strawn LM et al CDC Growth Charts: United
38	States Advance data from vital and health statistics 2000:314:1-28
39	38 Pink B SEIFA Technical Paper Australian Burgan of Statistics 2011:2023 0 55 001
40	30. Ellul S. Hiscock P. Fiona M. et al. Longitudinal Study of Australian Children's Child
41	Health Check Doint: Weighting and Nen Despanse 2017 Melhourne: Murdech Children's
42	Desegue Institute
43	Research Institute
44 45	40. Australian Bureau of Statistics. 4304.0.55.003 Australian Health Survey: Updated Results
45	
40 47	http://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs(a).nst/Lookup/33C64022ABB5ECD5CA257B820017943
4/ 10	<u><i>T</i>?opendocument</u> accessed October 9 2017.
40	41. Factor-Litvak P, Susser E, Kezios K, et al. Leukocyte Telomere Length in Newborns:
- 1 -2 50	Implications for the Role of Telomeres in Human Disease. <i>Pediatrics</i> 2016;137:3927.
50	42. Montpetit AJ, Alhareeri AA, Montpetit M, et al. Telomere Length: A Review of Methods
52	for Measurement. Nurs Res 2014;63:289.
53	43. Lapham K, Kvale MN, Lin J, et al. Automated Assay of Telomere Length Measurement
54	and Informatics for 100,000 Subjects in the Genetic Epidemiology Research on Adult Health
55	and Aging (GERA) Cohort. Genetics 2015;200:1061-72.
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies

Ξ

/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement

44. Hemann MT, Strong MA, Hao LY, et al. The shortest telomere, not average telomere length, is critical for cell viability and chromosome stability. *Cell* 2001;107:67-77. 45. Xu Z, Duc KD, Holcman D, et al. The length of the shortest telomere as the major determinant of the onset of replicative senescence. Genetics 2013;194:847-57. 46. Barrett ELB, Richardson DS. Sex differences in telomeres and lifespan. Aging Cell 2011;10:913-21.

47. Codd V, Nelson CP, Albrecht E, et al. Identification of seven loci affecting mean telomere length and their association with disease. Nat Genet 2013;45:422. doi: 10.1038/ng.2528

48. Vasa-Nicotera M, Brouilette S, Mangino M. Mapping of a major locus that determines telomere length in humans. Am J Hum Genet 2005;76:147-51.

49. Gardner M, Bann D, Wiley L, et al. Gender and telomere length: systematic review and meta-analysis. Exp Gerontol 2014;51:15-27.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml



The Child Health CheckPoint recruitment and telomere length measurement flow. qPCR, quantitative realtime polymerase chain reaction.

153x139mm (300 x 300 DPI)

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml





Distribution of parent and children relative telomere length. Solid line: Children. Dotted line: Parents. Relative telomere length as represented by the telomere repeat number to the beta-globin single gene copy number, T/S ratio.

101x73mm (300 x 300 DPI)





190x259mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Section/Topic	ltem #	Recommendation	Reported on page #
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	Pg. 2
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	Pg. 2
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	Pg. 4
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	Pg. 4
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	Pg. 5
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	Pg. 5
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up	Pg. 5
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed	na
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	Pg. 7-8
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	Pg. 5-7
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	Pg. 5-7
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	Pg. 8-9 and Figure 1
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	Pg. 7-8
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	Pg. 7-8
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	Pg. 7-8
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	Pg. 7-8
		(d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	na
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	Pg. 7-8

Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-020263 من إيدابابي 2019. (ABES) . Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Page 27 of 28

BMJ Open

Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility,	Pg. 8-9 and
		confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	Figure 1
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	Pg. 8-9 and
			Figure 1
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	Figure 1
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and	Pg. 11 and
		potential confounders	Table 1
		U h	and Figure
			1
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	Pg. 8-9 and
			Figure 1
		(c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	na
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	Pg. 11 and
			Table 1
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence	Pg. 13 and
		interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	Table 2
			and Figure
			3
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	Pg. 8
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	na
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	Pg. 13 and
			Table 2
			and Figure
			3
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	Pg. 14
Limitations			
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from	Pg. 15
		similar studies, and other relevant evidence	
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	Pg. 15

Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on	Pg. 17
		which the present article is based	

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

Deer review only

BMJ Open

Telomere length: Population epidemiology and concordance in 11-12 year old Australians and their parents

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-020263.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	28-Dec-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Nguyen, Minh Thien ; University of Melbourne, Department of Paediatrics; Murdoch Children's Research Institute Lycett, kate; University of Melbourne, Department of Paediatrics; Murdoch Children's Research Institute Vryer, Regan; University of Melbourne, Department of Paediatrics; Murdoch Children's Research Institute Burgner, David; University of Melbourne, Department of Paediatrics; Murdoch Children's Research Institute Ranganathan, Sarath ; Murdoch Children's Research Institute; Royal Children's Hospital Melbourne Grobler, Anneke; Murdoch Children's Research Institute Wake, Melissa; Murdoch Children's Research Institute Saffery, Richard; University of Melbourne, Department of Paediatrics; Murdoch Children's Research Institute
Primary Subject Heading :	Epidemiology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Paediatrics, Public health, Genetics and genomics
Keywords:	Telomeres, Reference values, Children, Aging, Inheritance patterns, Epidemiological studies

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

BMJ Open

Telomere length: Population epidemiology and concordance in 11-12 year old Australians and their parents

Minh Thien Nguyen,^{1,2} Kate Lycett,^{1,2} Regan Vryer,^{1,2} David Burgner,^{1,2,4} Sarath Ranganathan,^{2,3} Anneke Grobler,² Melissa Wake,^{1,2,5}* Richard Saffery^{1,2}*

Affiliations: *MW and RS are joint senior authors; ¹Department of Paediatrics, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia; ²Murdoch Children's Research Institute, Parkville, Victoria, Australia; ³The Royal Children's Hospital, Parkville, Victoria, Australia; ⁴Monash University, Clayton, Victoria, Australia; ⁵Department of Paediatrics and the Liggins Institute, University of Auckland, Grafton, Auckland, New Zealand

Correspondence to: Professor Melissa Wake,

Murdoch Children's Research Institute The Royal Children's Hospital 50 Flemington Road, Parkville 3052, VIC Australia. T: +61 3 9345 5761

E: melissa.wake@mcri.edu.au

Keywords: Inheritance, aging, telomeres, reference values, parents, children, inheritance patterns, correlation studies, epidemiologic studies, cross-sectional studies

Word count: 3363 words

Abbreviations: BMI: body mass index; CheckPoint: Child Health CheckPoint; CI: Confidence interval; CoV: coefficient of variation; Ct: cycle threshold; CVD: cardiovascular disease; Disadvantage Index: Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas Index of Relative Socioeconomic Disadvantage; EDTA: ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; LSAC: Longitudinal Study of Australian Children; MCRI: Murdoch Children's Research Institute; n: sample size

number; NHMRC: National Health and Medical Research Council; qPCR: quantitative realtime polymerase chain reaction; RC: regression coefficient; S: single copy gene; SD: standard deviation; SEIFA: Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas; T: telomeric DNA; β : estimated linear regression coefficient.

to beet to lew only

Objectives: To (1) describe the epidemiology of child and adult telomere length, and (2) investigate parent-child telomere length concordance.

Design: Ppopulation-based cross-sectional study within the Longitudinal Study of Australian Children.

Setting: Assessment centres in six Australian capital cities and eight selected regional towns; February 2015 to March 2016.

Participants: Of 1874 participating families, telomere data were available for analysis for 1206 children and 1343 parents, of whom 1143 were parent-child pairs. There were 589 boys and 617 girls; 175 fathers and 1168 mothers.

Outcome measures: Relative telomere length (T/S ratio), calculated by comparing telomeric DNA (T) level to the single copy (S) beta-globin gene in venous blood derived genomic DNA by quantitative real-time PCR.

Results: Mean T/S ratio for all children, boys and girls was 1.09 (SD 0.56), 1.05 (SD 0.53) and 1.13 (SD 0.59), respectively. Mean T/S ratio for all parents, fathers and mothers was 0.81 (SD 0.37), 0.82 (SD 0.36) and 0.81 (SD 0.38), respectively. Parent-child T/S ratio concordance was moderate (correlation 0.24). In adjusted regression models, one unit higher parent T/S ratio was associated with 0.36 units (estimated linear regression coefficient (β); 95% CI 0.28 to 0.45) higher child T/S ratio. Concordance was strongest in the youngest parent-age tertile and weakest in the oldest tertile (β 0.49 units; 95% CI 0.34 to 0.64 vs. β 0.26 units; 95% CI 0.11 to 0.41, respectively). Father-child concordance was also higher than mother-child (β 0.34 units; 95% CI 0.18 to 0.48 vs. 0.22 units; 95% CI 0.17 to 0.28, respectively).

Conclusions: Relative telomere length was shorter in adults than children, as expected. There was modest evidence of parent-child concordance, which diminished with increasing parent age. While both parents contribute to child telomere length, the stronger father-child concordance suggests that at 11-12 years-old parent contributions vary.

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Strengths and limitations of this study

- Our sample is the largest telomere length dataset of children and parents of a population-based Australian cohort.
- A major strength of our study is the high-quality telomere data, with low inter-assay and intra-assay coefficient of variation.
- The cross-sectional design precludes telomere concordance assessment over the lifecourse.
- Robustness of father-child concordance are limited by a relatively small sample relative to mother-child pairs.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

INTRODUCTION

Telomeres are complex nucleoprotein structures on a scaffold of TTAGGG tandem repeats at the ends of linear DNA.^{1.4} They protect DNA integrity and prevent fusion of adjoining chromosomal ends. Conventional DNA polymerases are unable to replicate the ends of linear chromosomes, so several base pairs from telomeric DNA are lost with each mitotic division, leading to progressive telomere shortening with age.^{5 6} When telomeres erode to a critical length, the resulting telomere dysfunction triggers cell cycle arrest or apoptosis. Accelerated telomere shortening has been associated with morbidity and mortality from both communicable and non-communicable diseases, including cardiovascular disease (CVD), hypertension and diabetes.⁷⁻¹² For example, in studies of older adults, shorter telomere length has been associated with an increased risk of all-cause and cardiovascular mortality,¹¹ and infectious diseases.^{13 14}

The extent to which telomere length is influenced by genetic and environmental factors is unclear.¹⁵⁻¹⁸ Initial studies were interpreted as telomere length being maternally inherited.^{17 19} ²⁰ However, subsequent data suggested that telomere length may be more strongly influenced by paternal factors.²¹⁻²⁵ A study of 98 fathers and 129 mothers, father-child concordance (0.45) was 3-fold higher than mother-child pairs, independent of child sex.²³ Older paternal age is also associated with longer offspring telomeres.²⁵⁻²⁷ However, a recent meta-analysis showed high heterogeneity across parent-offspring correlation studies, and suggested that evidence to date is inconclusive.²⁸

To date, telomere research has largely focused on environmental and specific genetic determinants and with associations between telomere length, morbidity and mortality. The majority of population studies have focused on healthy adults and relatively little is known about normative telomere lengths in healthy children. Establishing population-level telomere length data has potential to assist in harmonising future telomere research of similar structure, as well as allowing for international population comparisons. In addition, examination of age and sex-specific parental effects may be informative for understanding the determinants of telomere length.

In the Australian population-based Child Health CheckPoint (CheckPoint) study, we aimed to (1) describe the epidemiology of child and adult telomere length, and (2) investigate parentchild telomere length concordance, including (a) comparisons between father-child and mother-child pairs and (b) the effect of parental age on concordance.
METHODS

Study Design and Participants: In 2004, the Longitudinal Study of Australian Children (LSAC, or Growing Up in Australia) recruited two nationally-representative cohorts each comprising approximately 5,000 Australian children. LSAC participants have been seen at seven biennial Waves spanning 0-1 to 12-13 (B cohort) and 4-5 to 16-17 years (K cohort). The Child Health CheckPoint study (CheckPoint) was an additional cross-sectional wave on the B cohort, nested between LSAC's sixth and seventh.²⁹ It was a one-off comprehensive physical health and biomarker module of participants at age 11-12 years and their attending parents.

Ethics and Consent: The study protocol was approved by the Royal Children's Hospital Melbourne Human Research Ethics Committee (33225D) and Australian Institute of Family Studies Ethics Committee (14-26). The attending parent provided written informed consent for themselves and their child prior to participation, and the child provided assent.

Procedures: The data collection phase ran from February 2015 to March 2016. Data were collected across Australia in main (major cities) and mini (regional cities) assessment centres, with home visits offered to those who were unable to attend an assessment centre. Further details are described in the Growing Up in Australia's Child Health CheckPoint cohort summary and methodology.³⁰ Children and their attending parent rotated through a series of stations where different aspects of health was assessed, as well as the collection of biological samples including blood. Only one parent/guardian was invited to participate in assessments; families were free to choose whether this was the mother or father, and in some cases another relative/guardian attended.

Blood samples: Whole venous blood was collected into vacutainer tubes containing EDTA and immediately transported to an on-site laboratory. The blood sample was processed into aliquots within two hours into 1.0mL FluidX tubes (FluidX, Cheshire, United Kingdom) and frozen at -80°C in an ultra-low temperature freezer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, United States). A Redcap database was used to track samples and allow for patient deidentification in the on-site laboratory.³¹ Samples were transported on dry ice for storage at the biobank at the Murdoch Children's Research Institute, Melbourne, Australia, for long term storage in a -80°C ultra-low temperature freezer.

DNA isolation: Genomic DNA was isolated from whole blood or blood clot using the QIAamp 96 DNA Blood Kit (Qiagen, Venlo, Netherlands). Samples were randomised with child and parent pairs on the same plate to minimise batch effects when comparing parent-child pairs using Stata random number generator. The sample retrieval, protocol optimisation, consumable acquisition, and isolation of genomic DNA spanned April 2016 to January 2017. Purity and integrity of genomic DNA was confirmed using NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Middleton, United States), Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, United States) and gel electrophoresis, prior to storage at -80°C. DNA was also isolated from three sets of control samples: (1) the K562 leukemic cell line, (2) newborn cord blood and (3) human placental tissue. These control samples have previously been described as having 'shorter', 'average' and 'longer' telomeres relative to peripheral blood samples.³²⁻³⁵ The telomere lengths of control samples were validated using terminal restriction fragment (not shown). Genomic DNA from each of these control samples was used on all plates with telomere assay to assess day-to-day and batch (plate) effects.

Measures:

Telomere length measurement: Telomere length was measured with the widely used quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) method, originally described by Cawthon.³⁶ This method measures the amount of telomeric DNA (T) and a single copy gene (in this case beta-globin, S) for each sample. A ratio, known as the T/S ratio, is calculated by comparing the relative amount of 'T' and 'S' for each of these samples to a reference genomic DNA sample (i.e. the average T/S ratio of the three standard genomic DNA). Each sample was measured in quadruplicates comprising 4 μ l of diluted DNA sample at 5 ng/ μ l, 5 µl of SensiFAST SYBR No-ROX Kit (Bioline, Sydney, Australia) master mix and 0.5 µl of each forward and reverse primer at 2 μ M. The primer sequences were tella (5'- CGG TTT GTT TGG GTT TGG GTT TGG GTT TGG GTT TGG GTT), tel2a (5'- GGC TTG CCT TAC CCT TAC CCT TAC CCT TAC CCT TAC CCT), bg1a (5'- GCA GGA GCC AGG GCT GGG CAT AAA AGT CA) and bg2a (5'- GGG CCT CAC CAC CAA CTT CAT CCA CGT TC). All 'T' and 'S' reactions were performed in 384-well plates on a Lightcycler 480 Instrument II (Roche, Melbourne, Australia). Corresponding 'T' and 'S' reactions were performed on the same plate. The cycling condition began with incubation at 95 °C for 10 minutes, followed by 35 cycles of (i) 95 °C for 15 seconds and (ii) 62 °C for 60 seconds. The final 384-well layout included participant genomic DNA, three sets of genomic control DNA

Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-020263 on 4 July 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

and a no-template control containing RNase-free water instead of a DNA template. Each of these were present in quadruplicates. All qPCR assays were performed using filtered pipette tips to prevent amplification of contaminants. Reactions were set up on ice to prevent DNA polymerase activity, non-specific amplification and to minimise potential primerdimerisation. Plate layout and additional details can be found in the Standard Operating Procedure on the Growing Up in Australia's Child Health CheckPoint website.³⁷

Other sample characteristics: Age and sex were collected via questionnaire, linkage to administration databases or provided by the Australian Bureau of Statistics. Participant height was measured using a portable stadiometer, without shoes, in light clothing, and in duplicate, to the nearest 0.1 cm. A third measurement was taken if the difference of the first two height measurements was greater than 0.5 cm; final height was the mean of all measurements made. Weight, to the nearest 0.1 kg, was measured with an InBody230 bio-electrical impedance analysis scale (Biospace Co. Ltd. Seoul, South Korea). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kg) divided by height (m) squared. For children, an age- and sexadjusted BMI z-score was calculated using the United States Centers for Disease Control growth reference charts.³⁸ Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas (SEIFA) scores of the postcode region where the participating family lived were used as a measure of neighbourhood socioeconomic position. The SEIFA Index of Relative Socioeconomic Disadvantage (Disadvantage Index) score used was a standardised score by geographic area compiled from 2011 Australian Census data, to numerically summarise the social and economic conditions of Australian neighbourhoods (national mean of 1000 and a standard deviation of 100, where higher values represent less disadvantage).³⁹

Statistical Analysis: To assess the replicate reliability (ie the degree of variation between replicates on a qPCR plate), an intra-assay coefficient of variation (CoV) was calculated, as the ratio of the pooled cycle threshold's (Ct) standard deviation from all samples and the overall Ct mean, multiplied by 100. The Ct value is the cycle number at which the fluorescence generated within a polymerase chain reaction crosses the fluorescence threshold, a fluorescent signal significantly above the background fluorescence. To assess the degree of assay-to-assay and day-to-day consistency an inter-assay CoV was calculated using the pooled Ct's standard deviation divided by the overall Ct mean of all duplicated samples, and then multiplied by 100.

If less than two successful replicates out of the quadruplicates were measured then the sample data were discarded. If more than two successful replicates out of the quadruplicates were

BMJ Open

measured then a median was calculated, resulting in a median 'T' and a median 'S' for each sample. A Ct replicate of 5 to 28 was considered successful as values outside of this range have a high level of uncertainty. The final relative telomere length from each sample, based on the T/S ratio, was calculated as the ΔCt_{test} (Ct^(telomere)-Ct^(beta-globin)) normalised to the average T/S ratio of the three standard DNA samples on the same plate ΔCt_{ref} (Ct^(telomere)-Ct^(beta-globin)). Hence, the final equation was 2^{-($\Delta Ctref$)} = 2^{- $\Delta \Delta Ct$}.

Stata 14.0 was used for all analyses. Statistical significance was determined at the five percent level. Population summary statistics and proportions were estimated by applying survey weights and survey procedures that corrected for sampling and participation biases and took into account clustering in the sampling frame. Standard errors were calculated taking into account the complex design and weights.⁴⁰ We examined distributions using means and standard deviations (SD) and density plots, applying survey weights and survey methodology. Comparisons between group means were conducted using the student's t-test. Parent and child telomere length concordance was assessed using the simple Pearson correlations, and linear regression models with parent telomere length as the independent variable, and child telomere length as the dependent variable. Linear regression models were adjusted for parent age, parent sex and child sex in models including both sexes, and Disadvantage Index. Analyses were also stratified by parent and child sex. Alternatively, we conducted a sensitivity analysis adjusting for parent age at birth instead of at child age 11-12 years, and there were no substantive differences (data not shown).

An analysis was also conducted to examine the effect of parental age on the concordance between parent-child telomere lengths. Interaction analysis was conducted with a parent telomere length-and parent age interaction term (both for parent age as a continuous and as an ordinal tertile variable), including parent sex and child sex. To better understand the pattern of results, linear regression models were conducted for parent age tertile groups (ie 28-41, 42-45 and 46-71 years of age).

Linear regression models were repeated by applying survey weights and taking into account clustering in the sampling frame as a sensitivity analysis. As the weighted and unweighted results were virtually identical, we report only the unweighted regression analyses. More detail on the calculation of weights is provided elsewhere.⁴⁰

RESULTS

Superieur (ABES) Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

/bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement

Telomere Reliability: The mean intra-assay CoV between quadruplicates was 1.7% (SD 0.3; range: 0.9-2.6%). The inter-assay CoV between plates was 1.7% (SD 1.4; range: 0.3-6.2%).

Sample Characteristics: A total of 1874 families participated in CheckPoint (figure 1). Of these, 1510 attended an assessment centre and had venous blood available for telomere analysis. In total, whole blood or blood clot samples were available for 1216 children and 1350 adults. Telomere length data was successfully obtained for 2549 individuals (1206 children and 1343 adults), including 1143 parent-child pairs used for concordance analyses. Telomere length was not obtained from 1197 individuals (one removed due to a lack of consent for the use of venous blood, 728 attended a home visit where blood was not collected, 451 attended an assessment centre but did not produce a venous blood sample, one did not have sufficient DNA, and 16 failed qPCR). The sample characteristics of parents and children are outlined in table 1, stratified by sex.



	Cl	Children, mean (SD)			Adults, mean (SD)		
 Characteristic	All	Boys	Girls	All	Male	Female	
	(n=1206)	(n=589)	(n=617)	(n=1343)	(n=175)	(n=1168)	
T/S ratio*	1.09 (0.56)	1.05 (0.53)	1.13 (0.59)	0.81 (0.37)	0.82 (0.36)	0.81 (0.38)	
Age (years)	12.0 (0.4)	12.0 (0.4)	12.0 (0.4)	43.8 (5.6)	43.4 (5.3)	44.2 (5.7)	
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	19.4 (3.5)	19.2 (3.4)	19.6 (3.6)	28.5 (6.5)	28.3 (6.3)	28.7 (6.7)	
Body z-score	0.37 (1.0)	0.37 (1.0)	0.36 (1.0)	-	-	-	
Disadvantage Index	1011 (62)	1009 (65)	1012 (60)	1012 (62)	1010 (63)	1013 (60)	

Table 1: Characteristics of participants.

Data are weighted mean (standard deviation).

*T/S ratio is the relative amount of telomeric DNA (T) to the beta-globin single copy gene, calibrated to a plate reference genomic DNA sample.

Disadvantage index: Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas Index of Relative Socioeconomic Disadvantage (national mean: 1000, SD 100), where higher scores represent less disadvantage); n: ich only sample size number; SD: standard deviation.

Dpen: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-020263 ممرط بالبالاي 2019.00 ممرط التحقيق التحقيق المناقبة الم

Superieur (ABES) Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

//bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement

The parent sample predominantly comprised women (n=1168, 89%) with a slightly higher mean (1011) and narrower spread (SD 62) than the national average (mean 1000, SD 100), meaning that families living in disadvantaged areas were under-represented. Children were represented in similar proportions of each sex. Both child and parent BMI scores were similar to current day Australian norms, where one in four children and one in three adults are overweight/obese.⁴¹ The proportion of families with Indigenous background in our sample was 2.0%, comparable to the estimated 2.8% in the national population.⁴²

Epidemiology of Telomere Length: The mean T/S ratio of children was longer than that of adults (1.09 vs. 0.81 units; p<0.001). Distributions of child and adult telomere lengths were normally distributed with minor right skewing, more pronounced in the children. Children's telomere lengths also displayed a greater spread and generally longer T/S ratios than parents (figure 2). Distributions did not appear to differ by sex for parents or children (data not presented, available on request).

Concordance Between Parent and Child Telomere Length: Table 2 and figure 3 show the simple Pearson correlations and adjusted linear regression results.

BMJ Open

	Pearsons Correlation		Linear Regression				
Relationship pairing	n	Coefficient (95% CI)	Coefficient* (95% CI)	p-value	p-value for interaction‡	p-trend§	
Parent-child	1143	0.24 (0.19 to 0.30)	0.36 (0.28 to 0.45)	< 0.001	-	-	
By parent age tertile [†]	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Parent-child	-	-	-	-	0.2	-	
Youngest	349	0.32 (0.22 to 0.41)	0.49 (0.34 to 0.64)	< 0.001	-		
Middle	383	0.25 (0.15 to 0.34)	0.35 (0.21 to 0.48)	< 0.001	-	0.04	
Oldest	411	0.17 (0.07 to 0.26)	0.26 (0.11 to 0.41)	0.001	-		
Father-child	-		-	-	0.04	-	
Youngest	27	0.57 (0.24 to 0.78)	0.83 (0.26 to 1.40)	0.006	-		
Middle	50	0.34 (0.07 to 0.56)	0.48 (0.10 to 0.87)	0.02	-	0.01	
Oldest	66	0.18 (-0.07 to 0.40)	0.18 (-0.09 to 0.45)	0.2	-		
Mother-child	-	-		-	0.5	-	
Youngest	322	0.28 (0.18 to 0.38)	0.43 (0.27 to 0.58)	< 0.001	-		
Middle	333	0.23 (0.12 to 0.33)	0.32 (0.17 to 0.47)	< 0.001	-	0.2	
Oldest	345	0.17 (0.07 to 0.27)	0.28 (0.11 to 0.46)	0.002	-		
Sex-specific	-	-	_	-	-	-	
Father-child	143	0.34 (0.18 to 0.48)	0.45 (0.24 to 0.67)	< 0.001	-	-	
Father-son	78	0.37 (0.16 to 0.55)	0.48 (0.21 to 0.76)	0.001	6 -	-	
Father-daughter	65	0.26 (0.02 to 0.47)	0.38 (0.01 to 0.76)	0.05		-	
Mother-child	1000	0.22 (0.17 to 0.28)	0.34 (0.25 to 0.43)	< 0.001	-	-	
Mother-son	473	0.19 (0.10 to 0.27)	0.27 (0.14 to 0.39)	< 0.001	_	-	
Mother-daughter	527	0.26 (0.17 to 0.33)	0.40 (0.27 to 0.53)	< 0.001	-	-	

*The estimated linear regression coefficient represents the change in childrens' T/S ratio for every one unit higher T/S ratio for parents.

⁺Youngest, middle and oldest parent tertiles aged 28-41, 42-45 and 46-71 years, respectively.

P-interaction is the p-value for the interaction term between parent age and parent telomere length in the linear regression model with parent sex (if applicable) and child sex included.

§P-trend is the p-value for the interaction term between parent age tertile variable and parent telomere length in the linear regression model with parent sex (if applicable) and child sex included. CI: confidence interval; n: sample size number.

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

theman president of the standing of the stand

Parent-child pairings: The correlation between child and parent T/S ratio was 0.24. Similarly, adjusted linear regression models revealed that a one unit higher parent T/S ratio was associated with two-thirds of a standard deviation higher child T/S ratio (0.36 units; 95% CI 0.28 to 0.45; figure 3).

Across parent-age tertiles, associations were strongest between parent-child T/S ratios in the youngest parent-age group and decreased with increasing parent age group. For example, in the youngest parent-age group, a one unit higher parent T/S ratio was associated with almost a one standard deviation higher child T/S ratio (0.49 units; 95% CI 0.34 to 0.64), compared to half a standard deviation higher (0.26 units; 95% CI 0.11 to 0.41) in the oldest parent-age group. When parent-age tertiles were examined at the father-child and mother-child level, the same parent-age group effects were seen across tertiles, and significant for father-child tertiles. For example, the strongest concordance was observed between father-child T/S ratios in the youngest father-age tertile, with a one unit higher father T/S ratio associated with almost a 1.5 standard deviation unit higher child T/S ratio (0.83 units; 95% CI 0.26 to 1.40). However, there was no evidence of an association for father-child concordance in the oldest parent-age tertile.

Sex-specific pairings: Overall correlations between T/S ratios in father-child pairings were stronger than mother-child pairings (correlation 0.34 vs. 0.22, respectively), and this pattern was also replicated in adjusted regression models. In fathers, concordance was strongest with sons, while in mothers it was strongest with daughters. For example, a one unit higher T/S ratio in fathers was associated with almost a one standard deviation higher T/S ratio in sons (0.48 units; 95% CI 0.21 to 0.76), while in daughters it was just under two-thirds of standard deviation (0.38 units; 95% CI 0.01 to 0.76). In mothers, a one unit higher T/S ratio was associated with almost two-thirds of a standard deviation higher T/S ratio in daughters (0.40 units; 95% CI 0.27 to 0.50) and just over half a standard deviation in sons (0.27 units; 95% CI 0.14 to 0.39).

Across all analyses, estimates of associations (ie SD, 95% CIs) were far less precise in fathers compared to mothers, given that parents were 87% mothers.

DISCUSSION

Principal findings: We describe the epidemiology of adult and child telomere length and parent-child concordance in a large population-based Australian cohort. As in other studies,

BMJ Open

children had longer telomeres than adults, but also showed a wider spread with greater skewing to high values. Telomere length did not differ by sex in both children and adults. Parent-child telomere length concordance appear substantial which was somewhat higher in father-child than mother-child pairs, and when parents were younger.

Strengths and weaknesses: A major strength of our study is the high-quality T/S data, with low inter-assay and intra-assay CoV. Unfortunately, we were unable to compare our T/S ratios with other laboratories, but we have compared our T/S ratios with those generated from another cohort within the same laboratory (data not included). The T/S ratios show similar distributions and age-specific effects. Telomere length was quantified using a qPCR method, instead of the labour and sample intensive "gold standard" of Southern hybridisation. The qPCR method has been validated against the Terminal Restriction Fragment assay with high correlation.³⁶ Our PCR-based assay requires smaller amounts of DNA, allows for highthroughput testing and is relatively low cost. This technique is therefore well suited for large epidemiological studies,^{36 43 44} but does not quantify absolute nor chromosome-specific telomere length. Future studies should also consider measuring the distribution of short telomeres, as some data suggest that the shortest telomeres, rather than the average telomere length, drive senescence.^{45 46} Our findings regarding paternal characteristics should be interpreted with caution due to the limited number of fathers. Nonetheless, our sample size compares favourably with similar studies internationally.^{19 22 23} Some findings from this population-based Australian cohort are likely to be generalisable, but we acknowledge crosssectional design limitations, and that our cohort may under-represent Australian families in disadvantaged neighbourhoods. In terms of the epidemiology of T/S this could have skewed to higher averages, although our weighted analysis did not suggest this was the case. In addition, our concordance results did not change with weighted analyses.

Meaning and implications for clinicians and policymakers: We found some evidence of stronger telomere length correlation between father-child pairs than mother-child pairs, perhaps suggesting a paternal vertical transmission effect on offspring telomere length.^{22 26} One hypothesis is that the observed pattern of inheritance is a result of genomic imprinting, where one parental allele is more active than the other, leading to a parental-specific expression pattern.^{23 47} Genetic variation at several genes are known to contribute to overall telomere length,⁴⁸ but to date, none have been shown to be imprinted. Although there is clear evidence, including from twin studies,¹⁶ that telomere length is partly heritable,^{15 18 49} other factors are likely to play a role in determining telomere length postnatally.

Several population studies have reported longer telomeres in females at different ages.^{20 22 50} Similarly, a meta-analysis of 36 cohorts totalling 36,230 adults showing that, on average females had longer telomeres than males, despite significant heterogeneity between studies, and the size of this difference varying between measurement methods.⁵⁰ This leads to the hypothesis (eg Njajou *et al*²²) that such a difference might, to some extent, explain the longer lifespan in women compared with men. In contrast, our study found no strong evidence of adult sex differences in telomere lengths in either children or adults, although our study had a relatively small number of fathers. While the smaller father sample size means that their estimate was less precise, it is keeping with a previous meta-analysis that similarly found no difference according to sex using this type of qPCR analysis.⁵⁰ Differences between males and females were only reliably detected by Southern hybridisation. Interestingly, a another study showed no sex difference in telomere length at birth,³⁵ suggesting that sex differences likely arise later in life. Further longitudinal studies are warranted to understand the dynamics, determinants and consequences of telomere attrition across the life course.

We showed that parent-child telomere length concordance was greatest for younger fathers, and diminished with parent age. Our oldest father group had a mean age of 58.6 years (SD 5.65), which is comparable to the ages of other studies but showed smaller father-child concordance. (18 22 24) Njajou *et al* found a father-child correlation coefficient of 0.46 (CI not reported) in 164 pairs with mean father age of 49.0 years (SD 17.0).²² It is possible that genetics plays a larger role in parent-child concordance for younger parents because, the older an individual, the more likely their telomere length is influenced by environmental factors. Alternatively, some element of vertical transmission prior to birth may be different for older parents. All of these possibilities must be considered in light of the small father-child sample size but nonetheless warrant further investigation.

Unanswered questions and future research: The present study is the first and largest telomere length dataset of children and parents reported in a population-based Australian cohort. Parent-child concordance of telomere length was moderate, and stronger in father-child than mother-child pairs. We report stronger concordance in younger parents, which was most pronounced in younger fathers. This suggests that at 11-12 years of age, fathers may have a stronger influence on child telomere length than mothers and that concordance may be stronger when the parent-child age gap is smaller, when there is potentially less time for unshared environmental influences. Our study may serve as a useful comparison with other populations of similar structure. Future studies should include both parents, longitudinal data

with repeated measurements of telomere length, and detailed genetic and environmental data to investigate the complex inheritance patterns of telomere length.

to occurrences on the second

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: This paper uses unit record data from Growing Up in Australia, the Longitudinal Study of Australian Children. The study is conducted in partnership between the Department of Social Services (DSS), the Australian Institute of Family Studies (AIFS) and the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS). The findings and views reported in this paper are those of the author and should not be attributed to DSS, AIFS or the ABS.

REDCap (Research Electronic Data Capture) electronic data capture tools were used in this study. More information about this software can be found at: www.project-redcap.org.

We thank the LSAC and CheckPoint study participants, staff and students for their contributions.

COMPETING INTERESTS: All authors have completed the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors uniform disclosure form at <u>www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf</u> and declare financial support for the submitted work from the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia, The Royal Children's Hospital Foundation, the Murdoch Children's Research Institute, The University of Melbourne, the National Heart Foundation of Australia and the Financial Markets Foundation for Children. Personal fees were received by MW from the Australian Department of Social Services. MTN, DB, KL, MW and RS are supported by the National Health and Medical Research Council; DB and KL by the National Heart Foundation of Australia; SR by the Murdoch Children's Research Institute; RV by the Australian Postgraduate Award; and MW by Cure Kids New Zealand. MW received grants from New Zealand Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment and A Better Start/Cure Kids New Zealand, and support from Sandoz to present at a symposium outside the submitted work.

FUNDING: This work was supported by the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia (Project Grants 1041352, 1109355), The Royal Children's Hospital Foundation (2014-241), the Murdoch Children's Research Institute, the Department of Social Services, the University of Melbourne, the National Heart Foundation of Australia (100660) and the Financial Markets Foundation for Children (2014-055, 2016-310). MTN was supported by an National Health and Medical Research Council Postgraduate Scholarship (1115167). DB was supported by an National Health and Medical Research Council Fellowship (1064629) and an

Honorary Future Leader Fellowship of the National Heart Foundation of Australia (100369). SR was supported by a Murdoch Children's Research Institute Clinician Scientist Award. KL was supported by a National Health and Medical Research Council Early Career Fellowship (1091124) and a National Heart Foundation Postdoctoral Fellowship (101239). RV was supported by an Australian Postgraduate Award. MW was supported by an National Health and Medical Research Council Senior Research Fellowship (1046518) and Cure Kids New Zealand. RS was support National Health and Medical Research Council Senior Research Fellowship (1045161). The Murdoch Children's Research Institute administered the research grants and provided infrastructural support (IT and Biospecimen management) to its staff and the study, but played no role in the conduct or analysis of the trial. The Department of Social Services played a role in study design; however, no other funding bodies had a role in the study design and conduct; data collection, management, analysis, and interpretation; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication. Research at the Murdoch Children's Research Institute is supported by the Victorian Government's Operational Infrastructure Support Program.

CONTRIBUTIONS: MTN, DB, SR, KL, MW and RS conceptualised and developed the CheckPoint study. MTN helped with sample collection, isolated DNA, quantified telomere length, analysed the data and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. MW is the lead investigator of the Child Health CheckPoint study. RS and RV supervised laboratory work and protocol optimisation. AG provided statistical support. All authors commented on the first and subsequent drafts and approved the final version of the manuscript.

DATA SHARING STATEMENT: Dataset and technical documents are available from *Growing Up in Australia*: The Longitudinal Study of Australian Children via low-cost license for bone fide researchers. More information is available at www.growingupinaustralia.gov.au.

FIGURE CAPTIONS AND FOOTNOTES:

Figure 1: The Child Health CheckPoint recruitment and telomere length measurement flow. qPCR, quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction.

Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

//bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement

Figure 2: Distribution of parent and children relative telomere length. Solid line: Children. Dotted line: Parents. Relative telomere length as represented by the telomere repeat number to the beta-globin single gene copy number, T/S ratio.

Figure 3: Parent and child relative telomere length concordance (top), and by sex-specific pairings (lower four). RC, estimated regression coefficient.

to beet terien only

BMJ Open

- 1. Blackburn EH. Structure and function of telomeres. Nature 1991;350:569-73.
- 2. Songyang Z. Introduction to Telomeres and Telomerase: Humana Press, New York 2017.
- 3. Opresko PL, Shay JW. Telomere-associated aging disorders. Ageing Res Rev 2017;33:52-
- 66.
 - 4. Victorelli S, Passos JF. Telomeres and Cell Senescence Size Matters Not. *EBioMedicine* 2017;21:14-20.
- 5. Blasco MA. Telomeres and human disease: ageing, cancer and beyond. *Nature Reviews Genetics* 2005;6:611.
- 6. Oeseburg H, de Boer RA, van Gilst WH. Telomere biology in healthy aging and disease. *Pflugers Arch* 2010;459:259-68.
- 7. Fyhrquist F, Saijonmaa O. Telomere length and cardiovascular aging. *Ann Med* 2012;44:Sup1 S138-S42.
- 8. Tellechea ML, Pirola CJ. The impact of hypertension on leukocyte telomere length: a systematic review and meta-analysis of human studies. *J Hum Hypertens* 2016;31:99-105.
 9. Baragetti A, Palmen J, Garlaschelli K, et al. Telomere shortening over 6 years is associated
- with increased subclinical carotid vascular damage and worse cardiovascular prognosis in the general population. *J Intern Med* 2015;277:478-87.
- Haycock PC, Heydon EE, Kaptoge S, et al. Leucocyte telomere length and risk of cardiovascular disease: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br Med J* 2014;349:g4227.
 Mons U, Muezzinler A, Schottker B, et al. Leukocyte Telomere Length and All-Cause, Cardiovascular Disease, and Cancer Mortality: Results From Individual-Participant-Data Meta-Analysis of 2 Large Prospective Cohort Studies. *Am J Epidemiol* 2017;185:1-10.
- 12. Zhan Y, Karlsson IK, Karlsson R, et al. Exploring the Causal Pathway From Telomere Length to Coronary Heart Disease: A Network Mendelian Randomization Study. *Circ Res* 2017;121:214-19.
- 13. Helby J, Nordestgaard BG, Benfield T, et al. Shorter leukocyte telomere length is associated with higher risk of infections: a prospective study of 75,309 individuals from the general population. *Haematologica* 2017;102:1457-65.
- 14. Aiello AE, Jayabalasingham B, Simanek AM, et al. The impact of pathogen burden on leukocyte telomere length in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. *Epidemiol Infect* 2017:1-9.
- 15. Graakjaer J, Pascoe L, Der-Sarkissian H. The relative lengths of individual telomeres are defined in the zygote and strictly maintained during life. *Aging Cell* 2004;3:97-102.
- 16. Slagboom PE, Droog S, Boomsma DI. Genetic determination of telomere size in humans: a twin study of three age groups. *Am J Hum Genet* 1994;55:876-82.
- 17. Broer L, Codd V, Nyholt DR, et al. Meta-analysis of telomere length in 19 713 subjects reveals high heritability, stronger maternal inheritance and a paternal age effect. *Eur J Hum Genet* 2013;21:1163-68.
- 18. Hjelmborg JB, Dalgård C, Möller S, et al. The heritability of leucocyte telomere length dynamics. *J Med Genet* 2015;52:297–302.
- 19. Nawrot TS, Staessen JA, Gardner JP, et al. Telomere length and possible link to X chromosome. *Lancet* 2004;363:507-10.
- 20. Factor-Litvak P, Susser E, Kezios K, et al. Leukocyte Telomere Length in Newborns: Implications for the Role of Telomeres in Human Disease. *Pediatrics* 2016;137:3927.
- 21. Al-Attas OS, Al-Daghri NM, Alokail MS, et al. Circulating leukocyte telomere length is highly heritable among families of Arab descent. *BMC Med Genet* 2012;13:1-5.
- 22. Njajou OT, Cawthon RM, Damcott CM. Telomere length is paternally inherited and is associated with parental lifespan. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2007;104:12135-39.

paternal inheritance. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</i> 2005;102:16374-78.
24. Nordfjäll K, Svenson U, Norrback KF. Large-scale parent–child comparison confirms a strong paternal influence on telomere length. <i>Eur J Hum Genet</i> 2010;18:385-89.
25. Kimura M, Cherkas LF, Kato BS, et al. Offspring's leukocyte telomere length, paternal age, and telomere elongation in sperm. <i>PLoS Genet</i> 2008;4:e37.
26. Unryn BM, Cook LS, Riabowol KT. Paternal age is positively linked to telomere length of children. <i>Aging Cell</i> 2005;4:97-101.
27. Meyer DT, Rietzschel ER. Paternal age at birth is an important determinant of offspring telomere length <i>Hum Mol Genet</i> 2007:16:3097-102
28. Eisenberg DTA. Inconsistent inheritance of telomere length (TL): is offspring TL more strongly correlated with maternal or paternal TL? <i>Eur. J. Hum Genet</i> 2014:22:8-9
 29. Wake M, Clifford S, York E, et al. Introducing Growing Up in Australia's Child Health CheckPoint: A physical and biomarkers module for the Longitudinal Study of Australian Children, Esmila Mattern 2014;04:15–22
30. Clifford S, Davies S, Wake M. Growing Up in Australia's Child Health CheckPoint
31. Harris P, Taylor R, Thielke R, et al. Research electronic data capture (REDCap) – A
metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. <i>J Biomed Inform</i> 2009;42:377-81.
32. Akiyama M, Yamada O, Kanda N, et al. Telomerase overexpression in K562 leukemia
cells protects against apoptosis by serum deprivation and double-stranded DNA break inducing agonts, but not against DNA surthasis inhibitors. <i>Cancer Lett</i> 2002:178:187-07
Allsonn P. Shimoda I. Easa D. et al. Long telometes in the mature human placents.
<i>Placenta</i> 2007:28:324, 27
34. Martens DS, Plusquin M, Gyselaers W, et al. Maternal pre-pregnancy body mass index and newborn telomere length <i>BMC Med</i> 2016;14:1-10
35. Okuda K, Bardeguez A, Gardner JP, et al. Telomere length in the newborn. <i>Pediatr Res</i> 2002;52:377-81.
36. Cawthon RM. Telomere measurement by quantitative PCR. <i>Nucleic Acids Res</i> 2002;30:e47.
37. Growing Up in Australia's Child Health CheckPoint. Standard Operating Procedure: Telomere Length Quantification. <i>Melbourne: Murdoch Children's Research Institute</i> 2018 doi: doi.org/10.25374/MCRI.5715655
38. Kuczmarski RJ, Ogden CL, Grummer-Strawn LM, et al. CDC Growth Charts: United States Advance Data From Vital and Health Statistics 2000;314:1-28
 39. Pink B. SEIFA Technical Paper. <i>Australian Bureau of Statistics</i> 2011:2033.0.55.001 40. Ellul S, Hiscock R, Mensah F, et al. Longitudinal Study of Australian Children's Child Health CheckPoint Technical Paper 1: Weighting and Non-Response. <i>Melbourne: Murdoch</i>
Children's Research Institute 2018 doi: doi.org/10.25374/MCRI.5687593 41. Australian Bureau of Statistics. 4364.0.55.003 Australian Health Survey: Updated Results
[Available from: http://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@nsf/Lookup/33C64022ABB5ECD5CA257B820017943
7?opendocument accessed October 9 2017.
42. Australian Bureau of Statistics. 2016 Census shows growing Aboriginal and Torres Strait
Islander population [Available from:
nttp://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs(a).nst/MediaRealesesByCatalogue/02D50FAA9987D6B7
<u>CA2381480008/E03/OpenDocument</u> accessed December 8 201/.
45. Wompetit AJ, Amareen AA, Wompetit W, et al. Telomere Length: A Kevlew of Methods

BMJ Open

	Open: first pu
ement Health	ublished a
ere	аз 10.113 F
11	6/bmjopen-20 [.] ² rotected by c
nines	17-0202 opyrigh
w and	63 on 4 It, incluc
	July 20 ding for
	19. Dow uses re
	nloade Super slated to
	d from ieur (Al o text ar
	http://br BES) . nd data
	njopen. mining,
	bmj.co Al trair
	n/ on Ju ving, an
	une 10, Id simila
	2025 at ar techr
	Agenc
	e Biblio 3.
	graphic
	lue de l
	Enseig
	Inement

44. Lapham K, Kvale MN, Lin J, et al. Automated Assay of Telomere Length Measure and Informatics for 100,000 Subjects in the Genetic Epidemiology Research on Adult and Aging (GERA) Cohort. Genetics 2015;200:1061-72. 45. Hemann MT, Strong MA, Hao LY, et al. The shortest telomere, not average telomo length, is critical for cell viability and chromosome stability. Cell 2001;107:67-77. 46. Xu Z, Duc KD, Holcman D, et al. The length of the shortest telomere as the major determinant of the onset of replicative senescence. Genetics 2013;194:847-57. 47. Barrett ELB, Richardson DS. Sex differences in telomeres and lifespan. Aging Cell 2011;10:913-21. 48. Codd V, Nelson CP, Albrecht E, et al. Identification of seven loci affecting mean telomere length and their association with disease. Nat Genet 2013;45:422. 49. Vasa-Nicotera M, Brouilette S, Mangino M. Mapping of a major locus that determ telomere length in humans. Am J Hum Genet 2005;76:147-51. 50. Gardner M, Bann D, Wiley L, et al. Gender and telomere length: systematic review meta-analysis. Exp Gerontol 2014;51:15-27.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml





The Child Health CheckPoint recruitment and telomere length measurement flow. qPCR, quantitative realtime polymerase chain reaction.

153x139mm (300 x 300 DPI)







190x259mm (300 x 300 DPI)

BMJ Open

Section/Topic	ltem #	Recommendation	Reported on page #
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	Pg. 3
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	Pg. 3
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	Pg. 5
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	Pg. 6
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	Pg. 6
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up	Pg. 6
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed	Na
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	Pg. 6-8
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	Pg. 6-8
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	Pg. 9-10 and Figure 1
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	Pg. 8-9
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	Pg. 8-9
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	Pg. 8-9
		(d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	Na
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	Pg. 8-9

Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-020263 من إيدالي 2019. المحافظ لتحصير المناقبة والمناقبة والمن مناقبة والمناقبة والمن

Page 28	of 29
---------	-------

Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility,	Pg. 9-10 and
		confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	Figure 1
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	Pg. 9-10 and
			Figure 1
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	Figure 1
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and	Pg. 12, Table
		potential confounders	1 and Figure
			1
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	Pg. 9-10 and
			Figure 1
		(c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	Na
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	Pg. 12 and
			Table 1
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence	Pg. 14, Table
		interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	2 and Figure
			3
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	Pg. 9
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	Na
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	Pg. 9 and
			Table 2 and
		-/)/	Figure 3
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	Pg. 14-15
Limitations			
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from	Pg. 15
		similar studies, and other relevant evidence	
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	Pg. 15-16
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on	Pg. 18-19

Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-020263 من إيدالي 2019. المحافظ لتحصير المناقبة والمناقبة والمن مناقبة والمناقبة والمن

	which the present article is based
*Give information separa	tely for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.
Note: An Explanation and	I Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROE
http://www.annals.org/	and Enidemiology at http://www.enidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org
, (a	
	Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.
iangiezn⊒ i ep eupinden	Superieur (ABES)
and the second sec	

BMJ Open

Telomere length: Population epidemiology and concordance in 11-12 year old Australians and their parents

Journal:	BMJ Open		
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-020263.R2		
Article Type:	Research		
Date Submitted by the Author:	16-Feb-2018		
Complete List of Authors:	Nguyen, Minh Thien ; University of Melbourne, Department of Paediatrics; Murdoch Children's Research Institute Lycett, kate; University of Melbourne, Department of Paediatrics; Murdoch Children's Research Institute Vryer, Regan; University of Melbourne, Department of Paediatrics; Murdoch Children's Research Institute Burgner, David; University of Melbourne, Department of Paediatrics; Murdoch Children's Research Institute Ranganathan, Sarath ; Murdoch Children's Research Institute; Royal Children's Hospital Melbourne Grobler, Anneke; Murdoch Children's Research Institute Wake, Melissa; Murdoch Children's Research Institute Saffery, Richard; University of Melbourne, Department of Paediatrics; Murdoch Children's Research Institute		
Primary Subject Heading :	Epidemiology		
Secondary Subject Heading:	Paediatrics, Public health, Genetics and genomics		
Keywords:	Telomeres, Reference values, Children, Aging, Inheritance patterns, Epidemiological studies		

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

 Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies

Telomere length: Population epidemiology and concordance in 11-12 year old Australians and their parents

Minh Thien Nguyen,^{1,2} Kate Lycett,^{1,2} Regan Vryer,^{1,2} David Burgner,^{1,2,4} Sarath Ranganathan,^{2,3} Anneke Grobler,² Melissa Wake,^{1,2,5}* Richard Saffery^{1,2*}

Affiliations: *MW and RS are joint senior authors; ¹Department of Paediatrics, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia; ²Murdoch Children's Research Institute, Parkville, Victoria, Australia; ³The Royal Children's Hospital, Parkville, Victoria, Australia; ⁴Monash University, Clayton, Victoria, Australia; ⁵Department of Paediatrics and the Liggins Institute, University of Auckland, Grafton, Auckland, New Zealand

Correspondence to: Professor Melissa Wake,

Murdoch Children's Research Institute The Royal Children's Hospital 50 Flemington Road, Parkville 3052, VIC Australia. T: +61 3 9345 5761

E: melissa.wake@mcri.edu.au

Keywords: Inheritance, aging, telomeres, reference values, parents, children, inheritance patterns, correlation studies, epidemiologic studies, cross-sectional studies

Word count: 3444 words

Abbreviations: BMI: body mass index; CheckPoint: Child Health CheckPoint; CI: Confidence interval; CoV: coefficient of variation; Ct: cycle threshold; CVD: cardiovascular disease; Disadvantage Index: Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas Index of Relative Socioeconomic Disadvantage; EDTA: ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; LSAC: Longitudinal Study of Australian Children; MCRI: Murdoch Children's Research Institute; n: sample size number; NHMRC: National Health and Medical Research Council; qPCR: quantitative realtime polymerase chain reaction; RC: regression coefficient; S: single copy gene; SD: standard deviation; SEIFA: Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas; T: telomeric DNA; β : estimated linear regression coefficient.

to peet teriew only

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To (1) describe the epidemiology of child and adult telomere length, and (2) investigate parent-child telomere length concordance.

Design: Population-based cross-sectional study within the Longitudinal Study of Australian Children.

Setting: Assessment centres in six Australian capital cities and eight selected regional towns; February 2015 to March 2016.

Participants: Of 1874 participating families, telomere data were available for analysis for 1206 children and 1343 parents, of whom 1143 were parent-child pairs. There were 589 boys and 617 girls; 175 fathers and 1168 mothers.

Outcome measures: Relative telomere length (T/S ratio), calculated by comparing telomeric DNA (T) level to the single copy (S) beta-globin gene in venous blood derived genomic DNA by quantitative real-time PCR.

Results: Mean T/S ratio for all children, boys and girls was 1.09 (SD 0.56), 1.05 (SD 0.53) and 1.13 (SD 0.59), respectively. Mean T/S ratio for all parents, fathers and mothers was 0.81 (SD 0.37), 0.82 (SD 0.36) and 0.81 (SD 0.38), respectively. Parent-child T/S ratio concordance was moderate (correlation 0.24). In adjusted regression models, one unit higher parent T/S ratio was associated with 0.36 (estimated linear regression coefficient (β); 95% CI 0.28 to 0.45) higher child T/S ratio. Concordance was higher in the youngest parent-age tertile (β 0.49; 95% CI 0.34 to 0.64) compared to the middle (β 0.35; 95% CI 0.21 to 0.48) and oldest tertile (β 0.26; 95% CI 0.11 to 0.41; p-trend 0.04). Father-child concordance was 0.34 (95% CI 0.18 to 0.48), while mother-child was 0.22 (95% CI 0.17 to 0.28).

Conclusions: We provide telomere length population values for 11-12 year old children and their mid-life parents. Relative telomere length was shorter in adults than children, as expected. There was modest evidence of parent-child concordance, which diminished with increasing parent age.

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Strengths and limitations of this study

- Our sample is the largest telomere length dataset of children and parents of a population-based Australian cohort.
- A major strength of our study is the high-quality telomere data, with low inter-assay and intra-assay coefficient of variation.
- The cross-sectional design precludes telomere concordance assessment over the lifecourse.
- Robustness of father-child concordance are limited by a relatively small sample relative to mother-child pairs.

INTRODUCTION

Telomeres are complex nucleoprotein structures on a scaffold of TTAGGG tandem repeats at the ends of linear DNA.¹⁻³ They protect DNA integrity and prevent fusion of adjoining chromosomal ends. Conventional DNA polymerases are unable to replicate the ends of linear chromosomes, so several base pairs from telomeric DNA are lost with each mitotic division, leading to progressive telomere shortening with age.⁴ When telomeres erode to a critical length, the resulting telomere dysfunction triggers cell cycle arrest or apoptosis. Accelerated telomere shortening has been associated with morbidity and mortality from both communicable and non-communicable diseases, including cardiovascular disease (CVD), hypertension and diabetes.⁵⁻⁹ For example, in studies of older adults, shorter telomere length has been associated with an increased risk of all-cause and cardiovascular mortality,⁸ and infectious diseases.^{10 11}

The extent to which telomere length is influenced by genetic and environmental factors is unclear.¹² Several studies have found stronger maternal correlations with child telomere length,¹³⁻¹⁵ while others have reported stronger paternal influences.¹⁶⁻¹⁹ In a study of 98 fathers and 129 mothers, father-child concordance (0.45) was 3-fold higher than mother-child pairs, independent of child sex.¹⁷ Older paternal age is also associated with longer offspring telomeres.¹⁹⁻²¹ However, a recent meta-analysis showed high heterogeneity across parent-offspring correlation studies, and suggested that evidence to date is inconclusive.²²

To date, telomere research has largely focused on environmental and specific genetic determinants and with associations between telomere length, morbidity and mortality. The majority of population studies have focused on healthy adults and relatively little is known about normative telomere lengths in healthy children. Establishing population-level telomere length data has potential to assist in harmonising future telomere research of similar structure, as well as allowing for international population comparisons. In addition, examination of age and sex-specific parental effects may be informative for understanding the determinants of telomere length.

In the Australian population-based Child Health CheckPoint (CheckPoint) study, we aimed to (1) describe the epidemiology of child and adult telomere length, and (2) investigate parentchild telomere length concordance, including (a) comparisons between father-child and mother-child pairs and (b) the effect of parental age on concordance.

METHODS

 Study Design and Participants: In 2004, the Longitudinal Study of Australian Children (LSAC, or Growing Up in Australia) recruited two nationally-representative cohorts each comprising approximately 5,000 Australian children. LSAC participants have been seen at seven biennial Waves spanning 0-1 to 12-13 (B cohort) and 4-5 to 16-17 years (K cohort). Details of the LSAC study design and recruitment are outlined elsewhere.^{23 24} The Child Health CheckPoint study (CheckPoint) was an additional cross-sectional wave on the B cohort, nested between LSAC's sixth and seventh. It was a one-off comprehensive physical health and biomarker module of participants at age 11-12 years and their attending parents. Further details are described in the Growing Up in Australia's Child Health CheckPoint cohort summary and methodology.^{25 26}

Ethics and Consent: The study protocol was approved by the Royal Children's Hospital Melbourne Human Research Ethics Committee (33225D) and Australian Institute of Family Studies Ethics Committee (14-26). The attending parent provided written informed consent for themselves and their child prior to participation, and the child provided assent.

Patient and Public Involvement: Because LSAC is a population-based longitudinal study, no patient groups were involved in its design or conduct. To our knowledge, the public was not involved in the study design, recruitment or conduct of LSAC study or its CheckPoint module. Parents received a summary health report for their child and themselves at or soon after the CheckPoint assessment visit. They consented to take part knowing that they would not otherwise receive individual results about themselves or their child.

Procedures: The data collection phase ran from February 2015 to March 2016. Data were collected across Australia in main (major cities) and mini (regional cities) assessment centres, with home visits offered to those who were unable to attend an assessment centre. Children and their attending parent rotated through a series of stations where different aspects of health was assessed, as well as the collection of biological samples including blood. Only one parent/guardian was invited to participate in assessments; families were free to choose whether this was the mother or father, and in some cases another relative/guardian attended.

Blood samples: Whole venous blood was collected into vacutainer tubes containing EDTA and immediately transported to an on-site laboratory. The blood sample was processed into aliquots within two hours into 1.0mL FluidX tubes (FluidX, Cheshire, United Kingdom) and frozen at -80°C in an ultra-low temperature freezer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, United States). A Redcap database was used to track samples and allow for patient de-identification in the on-

BMJ Open

site laboratory.²⁷ Samples were transported on dry ice for storage at the biobank at the Murdoch Children's Research Institute, Melbourne, Australia, for long term storage in a -80°C ultra-low temperature freezer.

DNA isolation: Genomic DNA was isolated from whole blood or blood clot using the QIAamp 96 DNA Blood Kit (Qiagen, Venlo, Netherlands). Samples were randomised with child and parent pairs on the same plate to minimise batch effects when comparing parent-child pairs using Stata random number generator. The sample retrieval, protocol optimisation, consumable acquisition, and isolation of genomic DNA spanned April 2016 to January 2017. Purity and integrity of genomic DNA was confirmed using NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Middleton, United States), Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, United States) and gel electrophoresis, prior to storage at -80°C. DNA was also isolated from three sets of control samples: (1) the K562 leukemic cell line, (2) newborn cord blood and (3) human placental tissue. These control samples have previously been described as having 'shorter', 'average' and 'longer' telomeres relative to peripheral blood samples.²⁸⁻³¹ The telomere lengths of control samples were validated using terminal restriction fragment (not shown). Genomic DNA from each of these control samples was used on all plates with telomere assay to assess day-to-day and batch (plate) effects.

Measures:

Telomere length measurement: Telomere length was measured with the widely used quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) method, originally described by Cawthon.³² This method measures the amount of telomeric DNA (T) and a single copy gene (in this case beta-globin, S) for each sample. A ratio, known as the T/S ratio, is calculated by comparing the relative amount of 'T' and 'S' for each of these samples to a reference genomic DNA sample (i.e. the average T/S ratio of the three standard genomic DNA). Each sample was measured in quadruplicates comprising 4 μ l of diluted DNA sample at 5 ng/ μ l, 5 μ l of SensiFAST SYBR No-ROX Kit (Bioline, Sydney, Australia) master mix and 0.5 μ l of each forward and reverse primer at 2 μ M. The primer sequences were tella (5'- CGG TTT GTT TGG GTT TGG GTT TGG GTT TGG GTT TGG GTT, tel2a (5'- GGC TTG CCT TAC CCT TAC CCT TAC CCT), bg1a (5'- GCA GGA GCC AGG GCT GGG CAT AAA AGT CA) and bg2a (5'- GGG CCT CAC CAC CAA CTT CAT CCA CGT TC). All 'T' and 'S' reactions were performed in 384-well plates on a Lightcycler 480 Instrument II (Roche, Melbourne, Australia). Corresponding 'T' and 'S' reactions were performed on the same plate. The cycling condition began with incubation at 95 °C for 10 minutes, followed by

 35 cycles of (i) 95 °C for 15 seconds and (ii) 62 °C for 60 seconds. The final 384-well layout included participant genomic DNA, three sets of genomic control DNA and a no-template control containing RNase-free water instead of a DNA template. Each of these were present in quadruplicates. All qPCR assays were performed using filtered pipette tips to prevent amplification of contaminants. Reactions were set up on ice to prevent DNA polymerase activity, non-specific amplification and to minimise potential primer-dimerisation. Plate layout and additional details can be found in the Standard Operating Procedure on the Growing Up in Australia's Child Health CheckPoint website.³³

Other sample characteristics: Age and sex were collected via questionnaire, linkage to administration databases or provided by the Australian Bureau of Statistics. Participant height was measured using a portable stadiometer, without shoes, in light clothing, and in duplicate, to the nearest 0.1 cm. A third measurement was taken if the difference of the first two height measurements was greater than 0.5 cm; final height was the mean of all measurements made. Weight, to the nearest 0.1 kg, was measured with an InBody230 bio-electrical impedance analysis scale (Biospace Co. Ltd. Seoul, South Korea). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kg) divided by height (m) squared. For children, an age- and sex-adjusted BMI zscore was calculated using the United States Centers for Disease Control growth reference charts.³⁴ Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas (SEIFA) scores of the postcode region where the participating family lived were used as a measure of neighbourhood socioeconomic position. The SEIFA Index of Relative Socioeconomic Disadvantage (Disadvantage Index) score used was a standardised score by geographic area compiled from 2011 Australian Census data, to numerically summarise the social and economic conditions of Australian neighbourhoods (national mean of 1000 and a standard deviation of 100, where higher values represent less disadvantage).35

Statistical Analysis: To assess the replicate reliability (ie the degree of variation between replicates on a qPCR plate), an intra-assay coefficient of variation (CoV) was calculated, as the ratio of the pooled cycle threshold's (Ct) standard deviation from all samples and the overall Ct mean, multiplied by 100. The Ct value is the cycle number at which the fluorescence generated within a polymerase chain reaction crosses the fluorescence threshold, a fluorescent signal significantly above the background fluorescence. To assess the degree of assay-to-assay and day-to-day consistency an inter-assay CoV was calculated using the pooled Ct's standard deviation divided by the overall Ct mean of all duplicated samples, and then multiplied by 100.

If less than two successful replicates out of the quadruplicates were measured then the sample data were discarded. If more than two successful replicates out of the quadruplicates were measured then a median was calculated, resulting in a median 'T' and a median 'S' for each sample. A Ct replicate of 5 to 28 was considered successful as values outside of this range have a high level of uncertainty. The final relative telomere length from each sample, based on the T/S ratio, was calculated as the ΔCt_{test} (Ct^(telomere)-Ct^(beta-globin)) normalised to the average T/S ratio of the three standard DNA samples on the same plate ΔCt_{ref} (Ct^(telomere)-Ct^(beta-globin)). Hence, the final equation was 2^{-($\Delta Cttest - \Delta Ctref$)} = 2^{- $\Delta \Delta Ct$}.

Stata 14.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX) was used for all analyses. Statistical significance was determined at the five percent level. Population summary statistics and proportions were estimated by applying survey weights and survey procedures that corrected for sampling and participation biases and took into account clustering in the sampling frame. Standard errors were calculated taking into account the complex design and weights.³⁶ We examined distributions using means and standard deviations (SD) and density plots, applying survey weights and survey methodology. Comparisons between group means were conducted using the student's t-test. Parent and child telomere length concordance was assessed using the simple Pearson correlations, and linear regression models with parent telomere length as the independent variable, and child telomere length as the dependent variable. Linear regression models were adjusted for parent age, parent sex and child sex in models including both sexes, and Disadvantage Index. Analyses were also stratified by parent and child sex. Alternatively, we conducted a sensitivity analysis adjusting for parent age at birth instead of at child age 11-12 years, and there were no substantive differences (data not shown).

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-020263 on 4 July 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

An analysis was also conducted to examine the effect of parental age on the concordance between parent-child telomere lengths. Interaction analysis was conducted with a parent telomere length-and parent age interaction term (both for parent age as a continuous and as an ordinal tertile variable), including parent sex and child sex. To better understand the pattern of results, linear regression models were conducted for parent age tertile groups (ie 28-41, 42-45 and 46-71 years of age).

Linear regression models were repeated by applying survey weights and taking into account clustering in the sampling frame as a sensitivity analysis. As the weighted and unweighted results were virtually identical, we report only the unweighted regression analyses. More detail on the calculation of weights is provided elsewhere.³⁶

RESULTS

Telomere Reliability: The mean intra-assay CoV between quadruplicates was 1.7% (SD 0.3; range: 0.9-2.6%). The inter-assay CoV between plates was 1.7% (SD 1.4; range: 0.3-6.2%).

Sample Characteristics: A total of 1874 families participated in CheckPoint (figure 1). Of these, 1510 attended an assessment centre and had venous blood available for telomere analysis. In total, whole blood or blood clot samples were available for 1216 children and 1350 adults. Telomere length data was successfully obtained for 2549 individuals (1206 children and 1343 adults), including 1143 parent-child pairs used for concordance analyses. Telomere length was not obtained from 1197 individuals (one removed due to a lack of consent for the use of venous blood, 728 attended a home visit where blood was not collected, 451 attended an assessment centre but did not produce a venous blood sample, one did not have sufficient DNA, and 16 failed qPCR). The sample characteristics of parents and children are outlined in table 1, stratified by sex.

Page	1	1	of	27
------	---	---	----	----

opyright, including 7-020263 on 4 July

	Children, mean (SD)			ج B Adults, mean (SD)		
	All	Boys	Girls		Male	Female
	(n=1206)	(n=589)	(n=617)		(n=175)	(n=1168)
T/S ratio*	1.09 (0.56)	1.05 (0.53)	1.13 (0.59)	0.81 (0.3 5) e de f	0.82 (0.36)	0.81 (0.38)
Age (years)	12.0 (0.4)	12.0 (0.4)	12.0 (0.4)	43.8 (5.0) (5.0)	43.4 (5.3)	44.2 (5.7)
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	19.4 (3.5)	19.2 (3.4)	19.6 (3.6)	28.5 (6.5) E	28.3 (6.3)	28.7 (6.7)
Body mass index z-score	0.37 (1.0)	0.37 (1.0)	0.36 (1.0)))) , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	-	-
Disadvantage Index	1011 (62)	1009 (65)	1012 (60)	1012 (62)	1010 (63)	1013 (60)

 Disadvantage Index
 1011 (62)
 1009 (65)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 (00)
 1012 ((T) to the beta-globin single copy gene, calibrated to a plate reference genomic DNA sample, vreas Index of Relative Socioeconomic Disadvantage (national mean: 1000, SD 1000, and similar technologies. Provide the socioeconomic Disadvantage (national mean: 1000, SD 1000, SD
Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies

Superieur (ABES)

The parent sample predominantly comprised women (n=1168, 89%) with a slightly higher mean (1011) and narrower spread (SD 62) than the national average (mean 1000, SD 100), meaning that families living in disadvantaged areas were under-represented. Children were represented in similar proportions of each sex. Both child and parent BMI scores were similar to current day Australian norms, where one in four children and one in three adults are overweight/obese.³⁷ The proportion of families with Indigenous background in our sample was 2.0%, comparable to the estimated 2.8% in the national population.³⁸

Epidemiology of Telomere Length: The mean T/S ratio of children was longer than that of adults (1.09 vs. 0.81 units; p<0.001). Distributions of child and adult telomere lengths were normally distributed with minor right skewing, more pronounced in the children. Children's telomere lengths also displayed a greater spread and generally longer T/S ratios than parents (figure 2). Distributions did not appear to differ by sex for parents or children (data not presented, available on request).

Concordance Between Parent and Child Telomere Length: Table 2 and figure 3 show the simple Pearson correlations and adjusted linear regression results.

Review only

		BMJ Open BMJ Open BMJ Open BMJ Open BMJ Open BMJ Open				
Table 2: Concordance rest	ılts for par	ent-child associations for Pearsons Correlation	relative telomere leng I	th. Jinear Reg	ing for ession	
Relationship pairing	n	Coefficient (95% CI)	Coefficient* (95% CI)	p-value	gntgraction‡	p-tren
Parent-child	1143	0.24 (0.19 to 0.30)	0.36 (0.28 to 0.45)	< 0.001	load Sup	-
By parent age tertile [†]	-	-	-	-	led - erie	-
Parent-child	-	-	-	-	E To 0.2	-
Youngest	349	0.32 (0.22 to 0.41)	0.49 (0.34 to 0.64)	< 0.001		
Middle	383	0.25 (0.15 to 0.34)	0.35 (0.21 to 0.48)	< 0.001	da	0.04
Oldest	411	0.17 (0.07 to 0.26)	0.26 (0.11 to 0.41)	0.001	ta r	
Father-child	-	¹ Do	-	-	ni <mark>5</mark> 0.04	-
Youngest	27	0.57 (0.24 to 0.78)	0.83 (0.26 to 1.40)	0.006	ng, -	
Middle	50	0.34 (0.07 to 0.56)	• 0.48 (0.10 to 0.87)	0.02	A mj	0.01
Oldest	66	0.18 (-0.07 to 0.40)	0.18 (-0.09 to 0.45)	0.2	traii	
Mother-child	-	-		-		-
Youngest	322	0.28 (0.18 to 0.38)	0.43 (0.27 to 0.58)	< 0.001	, ar	
Middle	333	0.23 (0.12 to 0.33)	0.32 (0.17 to 0.47)	< 0.001		0.2
Oldest	345	0.17 (0.07 to 0.27)	0.28 (0.11 to 0.46)	0.002	• 10	
Sex-specific	-	-	- 1	-	, 20 lar 1	-
Father-child	143	0.34 (0.18 to 0.48)	0.45 (0.24 to 0.67)	< 0.001	25 a	-
Father-son	78	0.37 (0.16 to 0.55)	0.48 (0.21 to 0.76)	0.001	inol	-
Father-daughter	65	0.26 (0.02 to 0.47)	0.38 (0.01 to 0.76)	0.05	gen ogi	-
Mother-child	1000	0.22 (0.17 to 0.28)	0.34 (0.25 to 0.43)	< 0.001	LCE L	-
Mother-son	473	0.19 (0.10 to 0.27)	0.27 (0.14 to 0.39)	< 0.001	Bibl -	-
Mother-daughter	527	0.26 (0.17 to 0.33)	0.40 (0.27 to 0.53)	< 0.001	iog -	-

*The estimated linear regression coefficient represents the change in childrens' T/S ratio for every one unit higher T/S ratio for parents.

⁺Youngest, middle and oldest parent tertiles aged 28-41, 42-45 and 46-71 years, respectively.

P-interaction is the p-value for the interaction term between parent age and parent telomere length in the linear regression model with parent sex (if applicable) and child sex included.

aphique

§P-trend is the p-value for the interaction term between parent age tertile variable and parent telomere length in the linear regression model with parent sex (if applicable) and child sex included. For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtmp CI: confidence interval; n: sample size number.

Parent-child pairings: The correlation between child and parent T/S ratio was 0.24. Similarly, adjusted linear regression models revealed that a one unit higher parent T/S ratio was associated with two-thirds of a standard deviation higher child T/S ratio (β 0.36; 95% CI 0.28 to 0.45; figure 3).

Across parent-age tertiles, associations were strongest between parent-child T/S ratios in the youngest parent-age group and decreased with increasing parent age group. For example, in the youngest parent-age group, a one unit higher parent T/S ratio was associated with almost a one standard deviation higher child T/S ratio (β 0.49; 95% CI 0.34 to 0.64), compared to half a standard deviation higher (β 0.26; 95% CI 0.11 to 0.41) in the oldest parent-age group. When parent-age tertiles were examined at the father-child and mother-child level, the same parent-age group effects were seen across tertiles, and significant for father-child tertiles (p-trend 0.01). For example, the strongest concordance was observed between father-child T/S ratios in the youngest father-age tertile, with a one unit higher father T/S ratio associated with almost a 1.5 standard deviation unit higher child T/S ratio (β 0.83; 95% CI 0.26 to 1.40). However, there was no evidence of an association for father-child concordance in the oldest parent-age tertile (β 0.18; 95% CI -0.09 to 0.45).

Sex-specific pairings: Pearson correlations between T/S ratios were 0.34 (95% CI 0.18 to 0.48) for father-child pairs, and 0.22 (95% CI 0.17 to 0.28) for mother-child pairs. Relationships were similar in adjusted regression models. In both father-child and mother-child pairs, T/S ratio correlations were similar for sons and daughters.

Across all analyses, estimates of associations (ie SD, 95% CIs) were far less precise in fathers compared to mothers, given that parents were 87% mothers.

DISCUSSION

 Principal findings: We describe the epidemiology of adult and child telomere length and parent-child concordance in a large population-based Australian cohort. As in other studies, children had longer telomeres than adults, but also showed a wider spread with greater skewing to high values. Telomere length did not differ by sex in both children and adults. Parent-child telomere length concordance appears substantial for both father-child and mother-child pairs. The degree of concordance may be higher with younger parents.

Strengths and weaknesses: A major strength of our study is the high-quality T/S data, with low inter-assay and intra-assay CoV. Unfortunately, we were unable to compare our T/S ratios

with other laboratories, but we have compared our T/S ratios with those generated from another cohort within the same laboratory (data not included). The T/S ratios show similar distributions and age-specific effects. Telomere length was quantified using a qPCR method, instead of the labour and sample intensive "gold standard" of Southern hybridisation. The qPCR method has been validated against the Terminal Restriction Fragment assay with high correlation.³² Our PCR-based assay requires smaller amounts of DNA, allows for high-throughput testing and is relatively low cost. This technique is therefore well suited for large epidemiological studies,³⁹ ⁴⁰ but does not quantify absolute nor chromosome-specific telomere length. Future studies should also consider measuring the distribution of short telomeres, as some data suggest that the shortest telomeres, rather than the average telomere length, drive senescence.^{41 42} Our findings regarding paternal characteristics should be interpreted with caution due to the limited number of fathers. Nonetheless, our sample size compares favourably with similar studies internationally.^{13 16 17} Some findings from this population-based Australian cohort are likely to be generalisable, but we acknowledge cross-sectional design limitations, and that our cohort may under-represent Australian families in disadvantaged neighbourhoods. In terms of the epidemiology of T/S this could have skewed to higher averages, although our weighted analysis did not suggest this was the case. In addition, our concordance results did not change with weighted analyses.

Meaning and implications for clinicians and policymakers: Due to our small number of fathers and overlapping confidence intervals, we cannot tell whether the larger father-child than mother-child concordance (0.34 vs 0.22) is a chance or real difference. Either way, it is clear from this and other studies (including from twins) that children's telomere length is partly heritable as a complex trait with significant contributions from genetics, prenatal and postnatal environmental factors.^{12 43-45} In the case of mothers, this likely includes shared maternal factors in pregnancy that influences both maternal and offspring telomere length. Indeed, there are several maternal characteristics that have been shown to associate with fetal telomere length, including chemical exposure, stress during pregnancy and maternal diet.⁴⁵

Several population studies have reported longer telomeres in females at different ages.^{15 16 46} Similarly, a meta-analysis of 36 cohorts totalling 36,230 adults showing that, on average females had longer telomeres than males, despite significant heterogeneity between studies, and the size of this difference varying between measurement methods.⁴⁶ This leads to the hypothesis (eg Njajou *et al*¹⁶) that such a difference might, to some extent, explain the longer lifespan in women compared with men. In contrast, our study found no strong evidence of adult

relationship.

Unanswered questions and future research: The present study is the first and largest telomere length dataset of children and parents reported in a population-based Australian cohort. Parent-child concordance of telomere length was substantial for both father-child and mother-child pairs. We report stronger concordance in younger parents, which was most pronounced in younger fathers. This suggests that at 11-12 years of age, both parents contribute to child telomere length and that concordance may be stronger when the parent-child age gap is smaller. Our study may serve as a useful comparison with other populations of similar structure. Future studies should include both parents, longitudinal data with repeated measurements of telomere length, and detailed genetic and environmental data to investigate the complex inheritance patterns of telomere length.

sex differences in telomere lengths in either children or adults, although our study had a relatively small number of fathers. While the smaller father sample size means that their estimate was less precise, it is keeping with a previous meta-analysis that similarly found no difference according to sex using this type of qPCR analysis.⁴⁶ Differences between males and females were only reliably detected by Southern hybridisation. Interestingly, another study showed no sex difference in telomere length at birth,³¹ suggesting that sex differences likely arise later in life. Further longitudinal studies are warranted to understand the dynamics, determinants and consequences of telomere attrition across the life course.

We showed that parent-child telomere length concordance was greatest for younger fathers, and diminished with parent age. Our oldest father group had a mean age of 58.6 years (SD 5.65), which is comparable to the ages of other studies but showed smaller father-child concordance.^{13 16 18} Njajou *et al* found a father-child correlation coefficient of 0.46 (CI not reported) in 164 pairs with mean father age of 49.0 years (SD 17.0).¹⁶ Complex interactions between prenatal and postnatal environment are likely to influence parent-child telomere length correlations in addition to well described genetic variants.⁴³ Given the general stability of the genome across the lifecourse, any parental age effect may be due to environmental influence over time, potentially manifesting in altered telomere length in the gametes (and progeny) as has previously been suggested.⁴⁷⁻⁴⁹ Indeed, more than one study has linked elongated telomeres in progeny with advanced paternal age,^{21 50} an effect not noted in our study. Further larger powered studies comprising offspring and both parents will shed light on this complex relationship.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: This paper uses unit record data from Growing Up in Australia, the Longitudinal Study of Australian Children. The study is conducted in partnership between the Department of Social Services (DSS), the Australian Institute of Family Studies (AIFS) and the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS). The findings and views reported in this paper are those of the author and should not be attributed to DSS, AIFS or the ABS.

We thank the LSAC and CheckPoint study participants, staff and students for their contributions.

COMPETING INTERESTS: All authors have completed the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors uniform disclosure form at <u>www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf</u> and declare financial support as described in the funding section. MW received support from Sandoz to present at a symposium outside the submitted work.

FUNDING: This work was supported by the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia (Project Grants 1041352, 1109355), The Royal Children's Hospital Foundation (2014-241), the Murdoch Children's Research Institute, the Department of Social Services, the University of Melbourne, the National Heart Foundation of Australia (100660) and the Financial Markets Foundation for Children (2014-055, 2016-310). MTN was supported by an National Health and Medical Research Council Postgraduate Scholarship (1115167). DB was supported by an National Health and Medical Research Council Fellowship (1064629) and an Honorary Future Leader Fellowship of the National Heart Foundation of Australia (100369). SR was supported by a Murdoch Children's Research Institute Clinician Scientist Award. KL was supported by a National Health and Medical Research Council Early Career Fellowship (1091124) and a National Heart Foundation Postdoctoral Fellowship (101239). RV was supported by an Australian Postgraduate Award. MW was supported by an National Health and Medical Research Council Senior Research Fellowship (1046518) and Cure Kids New Zealand. RS was support National Health and Medical Research Council Senior Research Fellowship (1045161). The Murdoch Children's Research Institute administered the research grants and provided infrastructural support (IT and Biospecimen management) to its staff and the study, but played no role in the conduct or analysis of the trial. The Department of Social Services played a role in study design; however, no other funding bodies had a role in the study design and conduct; data collection, management, analysis, and interpretation; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication. Research at the Murdoch Children's Research Institute is supported by the Victorian Government's Operational Infrastructure Support Program.

CONTRIBUTIONS: MTN, DB, SR, KL, MW and RS conceptualised and developed the CheckPoint study. MTN helped with sample collection, isolated DNA, quantified telomere length, analysed the data and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. MW is the lead investigator of the Child Health CheckPoint study. RS and RV supervised laboratory work and protocol optimisation. AG provided statistical support. All authors commented on the first and subsequent drafts and approved the final version of the manuscript.

DATA SHARING STATEMENT: Dataset and technical documents are available from *Growing Up in Australia*: The Longitudinal Study of Australian Children via low-cost license for bone fide researchers. More information is available at <u>www.growingupinaustralia.gov.au</u>.

FIGURE CAPTIONS AND FOOTNOTES:

Figure 1: The Child Health CheckPoint recruitment and telomere length measurement flow. qPCR, quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction.

Figure 2: Distribution of parent and children relative telomere length. Solid line: Children. Dotted line: Parents. Relative telomere length as represented by the telomere repeat number to the beta-globin single gene copy number, T/S ratio.

Figure 3: Parent and child relative telomere length concordance (top), and by sex-specific pairings (lower four). RC, estimated regression coefficient.

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47 48

49

50

51

52

53

54 55

56

- 1. Blackburn EH. Structure and function of telomeres. Nature 1991;**350**:569-73.
- 2. Songyang Z. Introduction to Telomeres and Telomerase: Humana Press, New York, 2017.
 - 3. Victorelli S, Passos JF. Telomeres and Cell Senescence Size Matters Not. EBioMedicine
- 2017;**21**:14-20.
- 4. Oeseburg H, de Boer RA, van Gilst WH. Telomere biology in healthy aging and disease. Pflugers Arch 2010;**459**:259-68.
- 5. Tellechea ML, Pirola CJ. The impact of hypertension on leukocyte telomere length: a
- systematic review and meta-analysis of human studies. J Hum Hypertens 2016;**31**:99-105. 6. Baragetti A, Palmen J, Garlaschelli K, et al. Telomere shortening over 6 years is associated with increased subclinical carotid vascular damage and worse cardiovascular prognosis in the general population. J Intern Med 2015;**277**:478-87.
- 7. Haycock PC, Heydon EE, Kaptoge S, et al. Leucocyte telomere length and risk of
 cardiovascular disease: systematic review and meta-analysis. Br Med J 2014;**349**:g4227.
 - 8. Mons U, Muezzinler A, Schottker B, et al. Leukocyte Telomere Length and All-Cause, Cardiovascular Disease, and Cancer Mortality: Results From Individual-Participant-Data Meta-Analysis of 2 Large Prospective Cohort Studies. Am J Epidemiol 2017;**185**:1-10.
 - 9. Zhan Y, Karlsson IK, Karlsson R, et al. Exploring the Causal Pathway From Telomere Length to Coronary Heart Disease: A Network Mendelian Randomization Study. Circ Res 2017;**121**:214-19.
 - 10. Helby J, Nordestgaard BG, Benfield T, et al. Shorter leukocyte telomere length is associated with higher risk of infections: a prospective study of 75,309 individuals from the general population. Haematologica 2017;**102**:1457-65.
 - 11. Aiello AE, Jayabalasingham B, Simanek AM, et al. The impact of pathogen burden on leukocyte telomere length in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. Epidemiol Infect 2017:1-9.
 - 12. Hjelmborg JB, Dalgård C, Möller S, et al. The heritability of leucocyte telomere length dynamics. J Med Genet 2015;**52**:297–302.
 - 13. Nawrot TS, Staessen JA, Gardner JP, et al. Telomere length and possible link to X chromosome. Lancet 2004;**363**:507-10.
 - 14. Broer L, Codd V, Nyholt DR, et al. Meta-analysis of telomere length in 19 713 subjects reveals high heritability, stronger maternal inheritance and a paternal age effect. Eur J Hum Genet 2013;**21**:1163-68.
 - 15. Factor-Litvak P, Susser E, Kezios K, et al. Leukocyte Telomere Length in Newborns: Implications for the Role of Telomeres in Human Disease. Pediatrics 2016;**137**:3927.
 - 16. Njajou OT, Cawthon RM, Damcott CM. Telomere length is paternally inherited and is associated with parental lifespan. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2007;**104**:12135-39.
 - 17. Nordfjäll K, Larefalk Å, Lindgren P, et al. Telomere length and heredity: Indications of paternal inheritance. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005;**102**:16374-78.
 - 18. Nordfjäll K, Svenson U, Norrback KF. Large-scale parent–child comparison confirms a strong paternal influence on telomere length. Eur J Hum Genet 2010;**18**:385-89.
 - 19. Kimura M, Cherkas LF, Kato BS, et al. Offspring's leukocyte telomere length, paternal age, and telomere elongation in sperm. PLoS Genet 2008;4:e37.
 - 20. Meyer DT, Rietzschel ER. Paternal age at birth is an important determinant of offspring telomere length. Hum Mol Genet 2007;**16**:3097-102.
 - 21. Eisenberg DTA, Kuzawa CW. The paternal age at conception effect on offspring telomere length: mechanistic, comparative and adaptive perspectives. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 2018;**373**(1741).
- 58
 59
 60
 22. Eisenberg DTA. Inconsistent inheritance of telomere length (TL): is offspring TL more strongly correlated with maternal or paternal TL? Eur J Hum Genet 2014;22:8-9.

Z	
3	23 Sanson A. Johnstone R. The LSAC Research Consortium & FaCS LSAC Project Team
4	Growing Un in Australia takag ita first stong. Family Matters 2004:67:46-52
5	Chowing Op in Australia takes its first steps. Failing Matters 2004,07.40-55.
6	24. Edwards B. Growing Up in Australia: The Longitudinal Study of Australian Children
7	Entering adolescence and becoming a young adult. Family Matters 2014;95:5-14.
8	25. Wake M, Clifford S, York E, et al. Introducing Growing Up in Australia's Child Health
9	CheckPoint Family Matters 2014-94-15-23
10	26 Clifford S. Davios S. Wake M. Child Health Check Point: Cohort summary and
11	20. Children S, Davies S, wake W. Child Health CheckFolint. Conort summary and
12	methodology of a physical health and biospecimen module for the Longitudinal Study of
13	Australian Children. Submitted to BMJ Open October 2017
14	27. Harris P, Taylor R, Thielke R, et al. Research electronic data capture (REDCap) – A
15	metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research
16	informatics support J Biomed Inform 2009.42.377-81
17	28 Akiyama M. Vamada O. Kanda N. et al. Telomerase overexpression in K562 leukemia
18	colla protocta against anontocia by garum donrivation and double stranded DNA brook
19	cens protects against apoptosis by serum deprivation and double-stranded DNA bleak
20	inducing agents, but not against DNA synthesis inhibitors. Cancer Lett 2002;178:187-97.
21	29. Allsopp R, Shimoda J, Easa D, et al. Long telomeres in the mature human placenta.
22	Placenta 2007; 28 :324-27.
23	30. Martens DS, Plusquin M, Gyselaers W, et al. Maternal pre-pregnancy body mass index
24	and newborn telomere length BMC Med 2016.14.1-10
25	31 Okuda K Bardequez A Gardner IP et al Telomere length in the newborn Pediatr Res
26	2002:52.277.91
27	2002,52.577-61.
28	32. Cawthon RM. Telomere measurement by quantitative PCR. Nucleic Acids Res
29	2002; 30 :e47.
30	33. Growing Up in Australia's Child Health CheckPoint. Standard Operating Procedure:
31	Telomere Length Quantification. Melbourne: Murdoch Children's Research Institute 2018.
32	doi.org/10.25374/MCRL5715655
33	34 Kuczmarski RI Ogden CL Grummer-Strawn IM et al CDC Growth Charts: United
34	States Advance Date From Vital and Health Statistics 2000-214:1 29
35	States Auvalice Data Floin vital and fleatin Statistics 2000, 514 . 1-20.
36	35. Pink B. SEIFA Technical Paper. Australian Bureau of Statistics 2011:2033.0.55.001
37	36. Ellul S, Hiscock R, Mensah F, et al. Longitudinal Study of Australian Children's Child
38	Health CheckPoint Technical Paper 1: Weighting and Non-Response. Melbourne: Murdoch
39	Children's Research Institute 2018. doi.org/10.25374/MCRI.5687593
40	37. Australian Bureau of Statistics, 4364.0.55.003 Australian Health Survey: Updated
41	Results Secondary 4364 0 55 003 Australian Health Survey: Undated Results
42	www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@.nsf/Loolaun/22C64022APD5ECD5CA257P82001704272ono
43	www.a0s.gov.au/aussiais/a0s(@,iisi/L00kup/55C04022ADD5ECD5CA257D6200179457?0pe
44	
45	38. Australian Bureau of Statistics. 2016 Census shows growing Aboriginal and Torres Strait
46	Islander population. Secondary 2016 Census shows growing Aboriginal and Torres Strait
47	Islander population.
48	www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@.nsf/MediaRealesesByCatalogue/02D50FAA9987D6B7CA25
49	814800087E03?OpenDocument
50	39 Montpetit AI Albareeri AA Montpetit M et al Telomere Length: A Review of Methods
51	for Moosymmet Nyrs Des 2014(2:280
52	for Measurement. Nurs Res 2014,03.289.
53	40. Lapham K, Kvale MN, Lin J, et al. Automated Assay of Telomere Length Measurement
54	and Informatics for 100,000 Subjects in the Genetic Epidemiology Research on Adult Health
55	and Aging (GERA) Cohort. Genetics 2015;200:1061-72.
56	41. Hemann MT, Strong MA, Hao LY, et al. The shortest telomere, not average telomere
57	length is critical for cell viability and chromosome stability. Cell 2001.107.67-77
58	42 Xu Z Duc KD Holeman D et al. The length of the shortest talomara as the major
59	determinent of the enget of realizative generating. Constitute 2012,104,947.57
60	determinant of the onset of replicative senescence. Genetics 2013;194:84/-5/.

43. Codd V, Nelson CP, Albrecht E, et al. Identification of seven loci affecting mean telomere length and their association with disease. Nat Genet 2013;45:422.
44. Dugdale HL, Richardson DS. Heritability of telomere variation: it is all about the environment! Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 2018;373(1741).

45. Whiteman VE, Goswami A, Salihu HM. Telomere length and fetal programming: A review of recent scientific advances. Am J Reprod Immunol 2017;77(5).

46. Gardner M, Bann D, Wiley L, et al. Gender and telomere length: systematic review and meta-analysis. Exp Gerontol 2014;**51**:15-27.

47. Haussmann MF, Heidinger BJ. Telomere dynamics may link stress exposure and ageing across generations. Biol Lett 2015;**11**(11).

48. Bekaert S, Derradji H, Baatout S. Telomere biology in mammalian germ cells and during development. Dev Biol 2004;**274**(1):15-30.

49. Dong M-Y, Wang F-F, Pan J-X, et al. Adverse Intrauterine Environment and Gamete/Embryo-Fetal Origins of Diseases. Gamete and Embryo-fetal Origins of Adult Diseases, 2014:61-78.

50. Kimura M, Cherkas LF, Kato BS, et al. Offspring's leukocyte telomere length, paternal age, and telomere elongation in sperm. PLoS Genet 2008;4(2):e37.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-020263 on 4 July 2019. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10,

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies

Superieur

(ABES

2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml





The Child Health CheckPoint recruitment and telomere length measurement flow. qPCR, quantitative realtime polymerase chain reaction.

153x139mm (300 x 300 DPI)







190x259mm (300 x 300 DPI)

BMJ Open

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	-
45	ţ
46	

STROBE 2007 (v4) Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of cohort studies

Section/Topic	Item #	Recommendation	Reported on page #
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	Pg. 3
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	Pg. 3
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	Pg. 5
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	Pg. 5
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	Pg. 6
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	Pg. 6
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up	Pg. 6
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed	Na
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	Pg. 7-8
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	Pg. 6-8
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	Pg. 6-8
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	Pg. 9-10 and Figure 1
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	Pg. 8-9
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	Pg. 8-9
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	Pg. 8-9
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	Pg. 8-9
		(d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	Na
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	Pg. 8-9

Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-020263 من طرحانا 2019 من 1001 من 1001 من 1000 من 1000 من 1001 من 1001 من 1000 من 1001 من 1001 من 1000 من

Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	Pg. 9-10 and Figure 1
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	Pg. 9-10 and
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	Figure 1
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	Pg. 12, Table 1 and Figure 1
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	Pg. 9-10 and Figure 1
		(c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	Na
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	Pg. 12 and
			Table 1
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence	Pg. 14,
		interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	Table 2 and
			Figure 3
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	Pg. 9
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	Na
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done-eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	Pg. 9 and
			Figure 3
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	Pg. 14-15
Limitations			
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	Pg. 15
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	Pg. 15-16
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on	Pg. 18-19

Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-020263 من إيدالي 2019. المحافظ لتحصير المناقبة والمناقبة والمن مناقبة والمناقبة والمن

	which the present article is based		
*Give information sepa	rately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if ap	plicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in coh	ort and cross-sectional studies.
Note: An Explanation a checklist is best used in http://www.annals.org/,	nd Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web site and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information	s methodological background and published examples of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.c	oles of transparent reporting. The STROBE org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at obe-statement.org.
	Or L		
	nining, Al training, and similar technologies.	Superieur (ABES) : t, including for uses related to text and data r	Protected by copyright
ıəngiə≳n∃ I əb əupiಗq	sıpoildi8 əonəpA is 2 <u>0</u> 5.0£ອາເຟ _{້າ} ຍູລ, ໄຫຼຊີຍາອຸຝູນ າດ	;϶ͺϐ Ϣϐͺϫϯ ϐͶ;ϝϴͿʹϧϥϦϴͷϴϳϙ϶ͼϳͼϥʹʹϏϾϿϢʹϦϔϼϿʹϐͶͷ	}2020-710S-n9qoįmd\∂£11.01 ss b9dzi