Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

PEER REVIEW HISTORY

BMJ Open publishes all reviews undertaken for accepted manuscripts. Reviewers are asked to complete a checklist review form (http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/resources/checklist.pdf) and are provided with free text boxes to elaborate on their assessment. These free text comments are reproduced below.

ARTICLE DETAILS

TITLE (PROVISIONAL)	The Maternal Immunization and Antenatal Care Situation Analysis (MIACSA) study protocol: A multi-regional, cross-sectional analysis of maternal immunization delivery strategies to reduce maternal and neonatal morbidity and mortality
AUTHORS	Roos, Nathalie; Lambach, Philipp; Mantel, Carsten; Mason, Elizabeth; Munoz, Flor M.; Giles, Michelle; MIACSA, expert advisory panel group; Moran, A; Hombach, Joachim; Diaz, Theresa

VERSION 1 - REVIEW

REVIEWER	Joses Jain	
	Columbia University Medical Center, United States	
REVIEW RETURNED	11-Jul-2018	

GENERAL COMMENTS	The authors present an ambitious study protocol to evaluate
	factors related to maternal vaccine delivery in low and middle-
	income countries, using delivery of the tetanus vaccine as an
	example. Overall, this protocol is well-written and thoughtful
	consideration has been given to potential confounders and
	limitations. The only suggestion is that the statistical analysis plan
	is somewhat vague as written and may benefit from some more
	specifics pertaining to each data set that will be collected.
	Ultimately, the results of this study could prove very important in
	developing strategies to improve global maternal health.

REVIEWER	Paula Broeiro-Gonçalves
	Family Physician - UCSP Olivais Lisbon, Medicine Faculty invited
	teacher - Lisbon; Clinical expert of Portuguese Medicines Agency -
	INFARMED, Lisbon Epidemiology PhD student - National Public
	Health School, Lisbon.
REVIEW RETURNED	24-Jul-2018

GENERAL COMMENTS	The title suggests a longitudinal study – It should be reviewed Abstract:
	Given the prospect of introducing new maternal vaccines in the
	near future, it is essential to identify and understand current
	policies, practices, and unmet needs for introducing and/or scaling
	up MI in low and middle-income countries (LMICs).

- -This is not in accordance with the aim to determine how existing health care services can be further strengthened to improve maternal and neonatal outcomes, and how they could accommodate new MI vaccines.
- •Introduction:
- Before to respond to the objective some questions should be answered:
- 1. What do health services ensure good maternal immunization?
- 2. What strategies are used? Are these measures generalizable?
- 3. What health services cannot ensure good pre-natal maternal immunization? Why (include social health determinants)?
- The authors should reflect on the ethical issues related with:
- 1. The creation of new need without assuring that basic vaccines were right implemented.
- 2. The prioritisation of different maternal immunization by country
- The objective how they could accommodate new MI vaccines turns the study more complex. I suggest this aim for other studies after countries immunisation prioritisation (e.g., Group B Streptococcus in Nigeria).
- Data analyses:
- This section need review after the clarification the above points

Conclusion: Despite the objections this study will have an unquestionable social value and for public health. We suggest to authors:

- 1. A critical review
- 2. The clarification of the doubts
- 3. To argue the points of disagreement

After a major reflective review, this paper could be published.

VERSION 1 – AUTHOR RESPONSE

Reviewer responses

Reviewer 1: Joses Jain Institution and Country: Columbia University Medical Center, United States		
Comment	Response	
The authors present an ambitious study protocol to evaluate factors related to maternal vaccine delivery in low and middle-income countries, using delivery of the tetanus vaccine as an example. Overall, this protocol is well-written and thoughtful consideration has been given to potential confounders and limitations. The only suggestion is that the statistical analysis plan is somewhat vague as written and may benefit from some more specifics pertaining to each data set that will be collected. Ultimately, the results of this study could prove very important in developing strategies to improve global maternal health.	We thank the reviewer for the suggestion. We have expanded the section on data analysis plan in the manuscript. See lines 253 – 372 in the manuscript version with track changes.	

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Institution and Country: Family Physician - UCSP Olivais Lisbon, Medicine Faculty invited teacher - Lisbon; Clinical expert of Portuguese Medicines Agency - INFARMED, Lisbon Epidemiology PhD student - National Public Health School, Lisbon.		
Comn	nents	Responses
	tle suggests a longitudinal study – It d be reviewed	This study is not longitudinal as we are not following countries and examining change over time. The title in its current form clarifies that the study is cross sectional in design as for each phase of the project data collection occurs only once, for example during completion of an online survey (phase 2) or during telephone interviews (phase 3).
new n essen policie introd middle —This	act: Given the prospect of introducing naternal vaccines in the near future, it is stial to identify and understand current es, practices, and unmet needs for ucing and/or scaling up MI in low and e-income countries (LMICs). is not in accordance with the aim - to mine how existing health care services	Thank you for this comment. We have adjusted the aims of the project described in the Introduction section to be harmonized with what is written in the abstract. See lines 131-134 in the version with track changes.

Introduction: Before to respond to the objective some questions should be answered:

can be further strengthened to improve maternal and neonatal outcomes, and how they could accommodate new MI vaccines.

Reviewer 2 : Paula Broeiro-Gonçalves

- **1.** What do health services ensure good maternal immunization?
- 2. What strategies are used? Are these measures generalizable? 3. What health services cannot ensure good pre-natal maternal immunization? Why (include social health determinants)?

Thank you for the questions to help frame the objective of the project. I will respond to the three questions point-by point.

- 1. Given the need for collaboration between two programs (EPI and ANC) to ensure pregnant women are vaccinated, there is a need to better understand what the determinants are for high coverage of maternal immunization. The MIACSA project aims to understand this from the global perspective through a desk review of globally available data and conducting an online survey in Low and Middle Income Countries.
- 2. MI strategies are not well defined as there are no globally recognized definitions for MI delivery strategies. The MIACSA project aims to further clarify, and if possible, categorize countries according to MI delivery strategies and their specific characteristics in relation to efficacy (PAB coverage levels).
- 3. This is an important question. An aim of the MIACSA project is indeed to try and

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

low coverage for maternal immunization and the social determinants which may contribute to this. Social determinants at the individual level will not be collected as the project focuses on national level data primarily, and during the country level visits on a small convenience sample of selected health facilities. The authors should reflect on the ethical issues Thank you for bringing up the ethical issues of introducing a new vaccine in the country. And we related with: also welcome the suggestion of looking deeper The creation of new need without into prioritization of maternal vaccines. assuring that basic vaccines were right implemented. The MIACSA project does not aim to introduce 2. The prioritization of different maternal any new vaccines to the visited countries, but to immunization by country - The objective how conduct an assessment of the status quo of they could accommodate new MI vaccines service delivery of Maternal Neonatal Tetanus turns the study more complex. I suggest this vaccination. Before the introduction of a new aim for other studies after countries vaccine into a country, there are many important immunization prioritization (e.g., Group B considerations as outlined by previous WHO Streptococcus in Nigeria). publications.¹. There are additional considerations when contemplating maternal vaccines such as existing antenatal care services quality and capacity. Another goal of the MIACSA project is to have a better understanding of the existing gaps and needs within existing antenatal care services. . 2. Although prioritization of new vaccines is very important for implementation decision making, the MIACSA project does not aim to make recommendations to countries in regard to this. Data analyses: The data analysis plan section has been - This section need review after the reviewed to be more detailed, however the clarification the above points above comments does not impact the data analysis plan. The above issues are rather to be discussed in the project report. See lines 253 -

understand what factors may contribute to high or

	372 for the updated data analysis plan in the version with track-changes.
Conclusion: Despite the objections this study will have an unquestionable social value and for public health. We suggest to authors:	We thank the reviewer for all the pertinent questions which we have addressed in a pointby point manner and also made the necessary
	changes to the manuscript.
A critical review	changes to the manuscript.
2. The clarification of the doubts	
3. To argue the points of disagreement	
After a major reflective review, this paper could	
be published.	

VERSION 2 – REVIEW

REVIEWER	Joses Jain
	United States
REVIEW RETURNED	21-Jan-2019

	- T
GENERAL COMMENTS	This is an important study protocol that aims to provide valuable
	information regarding current practices and areas for improvement
	in morbidity and mortality with respect to preventable illness. The
	study aims to collect information from multiple modalities to
	provide current information regarding practices of maternal
	vaccine administration in low and middle income countries. This
	updated version of the manuscript provides further detail regarding
	anticipated statistical analyses of the collected data, which will
	require additional review upon completion.

REVIEWER	Paula Broeiro-Gonçalves	
	Medicine Faculty, Lisbon University Health Ministry, UCSP Olivais,	
	ACES Lisboa Central, ARSLVT	
REVIEW RETURNED	21-Oct-2018	

GENERAL COMMENTS	Thank you for ameliorating the manuscript

VERSION 2 – AUTHOR RESPONSE

- 2. Reviewer: 2 (Paula Broeiro-Gonçalves)
- Thank you for ameliorating the manuscript

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Response: No action required

3. Reviewer: 1 (Joses Jain)

- This is an important study protocol that aims to provide valuable information regarding current practices and areas for improvement in morbidity and mortality with respect to preventable illness. The study aims to collect information from multiple modalities to provide current information regarding practices of maternal vaccine administration in low and middle income countries. This updated version of the manuscript provides further detail regarding anticipated statistical analyses of the collected data, which will require additional review upon completion.

Response: No action required