BMJ Open Examination of psychological risk factors for chronic pain following cardiac surgery: protocol for a prospective observational study

Michael H McGillion, ^{1,2} Shaunattonie Henry, ^{1,2} Jason W Busse, ^{3,4} Carley Ouellette, ^{1,2} Joel Katz, ⁵ Manon Choinière, ⁶ Andre Lamy, ^{2,4} Richard Whitlock, ^{2,4} Shirley Pettit, ² Jacqueline Hare, ² Krysten Gregus, ² Katheryn Brady, ² Nazari Dvirnik, ^{2,4} Stephen Su Yang, ^{2,4} Joel Parlow, ⁷ Deborah Dumerton-Shore, ⁸ Ian Gilron, ⁷ D Norman Buckley, ³ Harsha Shanthanna, ³ Sandra L Carroll, ¹ Peter C Coyte, ⁹ Shanil Ebrahim, ⁴ Wanrudee Isaranuwatchai, ⁹ Denise N Guerriere, ⁹ Jeffrey Hoch, ¹⁰ James Khan, ³ Joy MacDermid, ¹¹ Geralding Marterella, ¹² L Charles Victor, ⁹ Hudy Watt, Watton, ¹³ Geraldine Martorella, ¹² J Charles Victor, ⁹ Judy Watt-Watson, ¹³ Kimberly Howard-Quijano, ¹⁴ Aman Mahajan, ¹⁴ Matthew T V Chan, ¹⁵ Hance Clarke. 16 P J Devereaux 2,4

To cite: McGillion MH. Henry S, Busse JW, et al. Examination of psychological risk factors for chronic pain following cardiac surgery: protocol for a prospective observational study. BMJ Open 2019;9:e022995. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2018-022995

Prepublication history for this paper is available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi. org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-022995).

Received 5 July 2018 Revised 15 November 2018 Accepted 16 November 2018



@ Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2019. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Michael H McGillion; mmcgill@mcmaster.ca

ABSTRACT

Introduction Approximately 400 000 Americans and 36 000 Canadians undergo cardiac surgery annually, and up to 56% will develop chronic postsurgical pain (CPSP). The primary aim of this study is to explore the association of pain-related beliefs and genderbased pain expectations on the development of CPSP. Secondary goals are to: (A) explore risk factors for poor functional status and patient-level cost of illness from a societal perspective up to 12 months following cardiac surgery; and (B) determine the impact of CPSP on quality-adjusted life years (QALYs) borne by cardiac surgery, in addition to the incremental cost for one additional QALY gained, among those who develop CPSP compared with those who do not.

Methods and analyses In this prospective cohort study, 1250 adults undergoing cardiac surgery, including coronary artery bypass grafting and openheart procedures, will be recruited over a 3-year period. Putative risk factors for CPSP will be captured prior to surgery, at postoperative day 3 (in hospital) and day 30 (at home). Outcome data will be collected via telephone interview at 6-month and 12-month follow-up. We will employ generalised estimating equations to model the primary (CPSP) and secondary outcomes (function and cost) while adjusting for prespecified model covariates. QALYs will be estimated by converting data from the Short Form-12 (version 2) to a utility score.

Ethics and dissemination This protocol has been approved by the responsible bodies at each of the hospital sites, and study enrolment began May 2015. We will disseminate our results through CardiacPain. Net, a web-based knowledge dissemination platform, presentation at international conferences and publications in scientific journals.

Trial registration number NCT01842568.

36 000 Canadians undergo cardiac surgery annually, and these numbers are expected to rise as the population ages. 1-5 Despite the proven survival and symptom-related benefits of cardiac surgeries, mounting evidence suggests that chronic postsurgical pain (CPSP)—and related poor functional recovery—following these procedures are major clinical problems. 6-31 Moreover, the



economic consequences of persistent pain and dysfunction remain uncertain. Identification of factors associated with the development of CPSP could facilitate efforts to improve outcomes among high-risk patients, yet the majority of putative risk factors examined to date are not tenably modifiable in the perioperative context. Three psychological factors that do show promise as modifiable, potential risk factors for CPSP include pain-related beliefs, gender-based pain expectations and somatic preoccupation and coping. The purpose of this study is to examine whether these factors are associated with transition to CPSP following cardiac surgery.

CPSP following cardiac surgery

Due to conceptual and methodological differences in the assessment of pain, and conflicting opinions about the duration of 'chronicity', there is no one accepted definition of CPSP.³² However, there is consensus among experts ^{32–38} that CPSP should meet the minimum criteria, set forth by Macrae and Davies ³³ and others, ^{34–40} as follows. It must: (A) have developed after the surgical procedure, (B) be different from pain experienced prior to the procedure, (C) not be caused by other factors (eg, cancer recurrence and chronic infection), (D) be present for at least 2–3 months and (E) interfere significantly with health-related quality of life. ^{34–40}

Open cardiac surgeries involve many pain-sensitive structures, as they require a median sternotomy, retraction of the ribs and invasion of muscles and visceral tissues. In coronary artery bypass surgery (CABG), the grafting procedure requires harvesting at several sites including, most commonly, the internal mammary artery (IMA). The manipulation and retraction of the sternum as well as the use of electrocautery to dissect the IMA from the chest wall may result in nerve damage that leads to intercostal neuralgia. 41–44 The greater and lesser saphenous veins are also used as grafts in CABG surgery and require significant leg incisions. These procedures may result in pain that can last for variable periods and may be inflammatory or neuropathic in nature. CPSP in cardiac surgery patients is often experienced in the thorax and legs but has also been described, to a lesser degree, in the shoulders, back and neck. 10 12 45 The pathophysiological pathways underlying CPSP are multifactorial. Tissue damage leads to release of high concentrations of bradykinin, adenosine, lactate and potassium in the peripheral microenvironment, thereby causing nociceptor activation. 46 47 These mediators activate capsaicin-sensitive TRVP1 receptors, which serve as the primary transducer of the noxious stimulus.⁴⁷ Other neurochemicals, such as the neuropeptides substance P and calcitonin gene-related peptide, further augment pain.⁴⁷ These peripheral nociceptive processes are modulated in the central nervous system by mechanisms involving selection, abstraction and synthesis of information from the total sensory input.⁴⁸ The amount, quality and nature of the pain experienced are therefore dynamic and multidimensional products of sensory-discriminative,

cognitive-evaluative and affective-motivational components. As Like any form of chronic pain, ongoing pain after surgery can lead to pathological nervous system changes, collectively known as sensitisation affective affectively known as sensitisation affective. As function of what we now understand to be neuronal modifiability. Sensitisation of the nervous system may lead to increased pain sensitivity (hyperalgesia), augmentation of the normal duration (hyperpathia) amplitude of pain, perception of non-painful stimuli as painful (allodynia) and abnormal, unpleasant hypersensitivity (dysesthesia).

As Katz and Seltzer argued,³² critical to understanding the nature of CPSP is appreciating that in each case, the pain was once acute and involved a transition phase. There is much work to be done to continue to develop our understanding of risk factors, which predispose cardiac surgical patients to pain chronicity.

Prevalence and consequences

We reviewed 26 published/under review studies to date, across 14 countries, 6-31 which have examined the prevalence and/or factors associated with CPSP following cardiac surgery. On careful examination of the available data, it is important to recognise that cross-sectional and retrospective studies have generally reported higher prevalence rates (14%–56%)%) than those investigations with prospective designs (7.5%-45%). In the recent (2013)large-scale Canadian CARDpain study (n=1010), Choinière et al²⁸ reported CPSP prevalence rates of 40%, 22% and 17% at 3, 6, and 12 months following cardiac surgery, respectively. Routledge et al⁶¹ found similar prevalence rates of CPSP in their prospective extension (Women's Recovery from Sternotomy-Extension (WREST-E)) of a randomised clinical trial (Women's Recovery from Sternotomy (WREST)) (n=222) to examine the impact of a **3**. novel compression undergarment on women's recovery from median sternotomy (3 months postoperative [postop]: 41%; 12 months post-op: 16.7%). In contrast to CARDpain and WREST-E, 1 year CPSP prevalence rates as high as 39% and 45% have been reported in prospective studies of patients following CABG in Turkey²⁷ and the Netherlands.³⁰ Aside from differences in study design, the observed variability in reported prevalence rates of CPSP after cardiac surgery may be explained by the use of point prevalence versus cumulative prevalence, variability with respect to the operational definitions of CPSP, timing of outcome measurement and duration of follow-up period.

CPSP has been associated with the development of anxiety and depressive disorders, ^{51–55} sleep disturbances and fatigue, ^{56–60} as well as poor self-rated health. ^{7 51 53 61} For example, among those with CPSP in the CARDpain study, over 50% reported significant pain-related interference with activities of daily living—including family and home responsibilities, recreation and employment—at 3, 6 and 12 months following cardiac surgery. ^{28 62}

Risk factors for CPSP

Several studies have attempted to establish risk factors for CPSP in cardiac surgery patients.

Their limitations can be summarised⁶³ as: (1) many studies focused on univariate analyses, or were insufficiently powered to employ multivariate modelling techniques, (2) the vast majority of risk factors examined to date are not tenably modifiable in the perioperative context, (3) psychological risk factors (affective and cognitive) are substantially understudied in comparison with demographic, clinical/surgical and analgesic risk factors, constituting a major gap and (4) although retrospective and cross-sectional studies provide some insight on potential variables associated with CPSP, cross-sectional studies lack the temporal orientation to make solid inferences about putative, causal relationships and retrospective studies can be limited by availability and quality of data. In addition, even robust retrospective may be limited in terms of risk factors explored and related data collection methods. Risk factors for CPSP can be classified into four categories: (A) demographic, (B) baseline clinical, technical-surgical, and hospitalisation-related factors, (C) acute post-op pain and (D) psychological factors.

Demographic factors

Demographic factors examined include age, sex, level of education, body mass index (BMI) and smoking history. Younger age has been positively associated with CPSP^{79 12 17 20 25 28} in multiple retrospective, cross-sectional and prospective studies, as observational data embedded within randomised controlled trials (RCTs); significant ORs have ranged from 1.43 to 7.03 in cases where this outcome was dichotomised (ie, younger vs older patients). However, four of the more recent published studies to date (one retrospective, ¹⁷ one cross-sectional, ¹⁸ one RCT⁵⁰ and one prospective²¹) have found no positive association between age and the development of CPSP. Conflicting findings have also been reported for sex. Although some studies indicate higher risk of CPSP with women, 21 29 30 multiple studies with divergent designs 9 12 14 18 20 28 48 have reported no significant association between sex and the development of CPSP. Examination of BMI as a risk factor for CPSP has also produced mixed results. While two studies (one cross-sectional⁷ and one RCT [embedded observational data],²⁰ ORs=1.34 and 9.05, respectively) provided supportive evidence, other cross- sectional 17'18 and prospective studies 9 28 found no association between CPSP and BMI (OR range: 1.02–1.1). Finally, we are aware of two prospective studies to date that have examined the association of CPSP with formal level of education²⁸ and smoking history, ¹⁴ respectively; no significant association was found in either case.

Baseline clinical, surgical and hospitalisation-related factors

Among baseline clinical factors, neither a history of diabetes mellitus 9 14 17 23 24 50 or peripheral arterial disease 24 have been significantly associated with the development of CPSP.

However, pre-existing peripheral arterial disease has been examined as a risk factor in just one retrospective

Study²⁴ to date. Similar to diabetes mellitus, the majority of prospective studies^{30 ± 1 ± 3} (including one RCT)²⁰ reported no predictive ability of baseline chronic pain conditions in the literature (OR=1.00-1.04, where reported). To date, CARDpain²⁸ is the only prospective examination to report that pre-existing chronic pain at baseline (non-anginal) is positively associated with CPSP (adjusted OR=1.44, 95% CI 1.12 to 1.86).²⁸

The evidence pertaining to the predictive value of preoperative angina is also mixed. Two cross-sectional studies reported preoperative angina that was positively problem of the preoperative angina that was positively associated with CPSP (OR, where reported=1.62)⁷ 1½, however, another cross-sectional¹⁷ and two additional large-scale prospective studies¹⁴ 28 found no significant risk factor for CPSP.

The majority of studies have reported no association studies reported preoperative angina is a significant risk factor for CPSP.

The majority of studies have reported no association studies (A) type of surgical technique, (B) number and type of bypass grafts per operation, (C) harvesting the studies and (D) total cross-clamp time (ie, total time aorta is clamped to separate systemic circulation from cardiac outflow) and the development of CPSP. The solution of the continuous and related adverse events (c) and the internal thoracic artery harvest (ie, harvesting it along with its surrounding pedicle of vascular tissue) is more diskell to move CPSP⁹¹; those who have undergone left diff. MA harvesting may also be at higher risk. 15 to general, to general, to general, to general to the post-op complications and related adverse events (c) as significant risk factor (OR=3.38). Cardiac surgeries and significant risk factor for CPSP at 1+tean surgeries and follow-up. The prospective study that identified post-op resternotomy as a significant risk factor for CPSP at 1-year follow-up. A variation of longer duration (ie, total OR time) 18 to a significant risk factor for CPSP at

developing CPSP for each unit increase in HADS-A scale scores (OR=1.10, 95% CI 1.06 to 1.14). Other psychological risk factors examined (catastrophising and depression) demonstrated no association.

Genetic factors

Several members of this investigative team (eg, HC and JK) are involved in studies investigating the influence of genetic polymorphisms on the development of CPSP after cardiac and other types of surgery. The science of pain genetics is evolving; investigations of this nature are complex, requiring extensive research infrastructure for genotyping and related proteomic methods. Controlling for the influence of genetic factors is beyond the scope this study.

Conceptual underpinnings and study focus

To address the above noted gap in the research to date, our primary objective is to examine the potential influence of psychological factors on the development of CPSP after cardiac surgery. Clear justification for the specific putative risk factors to be measured requires that we first explicate the conceptual underpinnings of our study. Given the complexity of the multidimensional pain experience, there are many ways to conceptualise CPSP.65 We are aligned with the biobehavioural view of pain, espoused by international leaders in the science of the cognitive and learning aspects of pain. 65 66 Fundamental to the biobehavioural perspective is the assertion that people learn to predict future events based on prior learning experiences and information processing. As such, patients' behaviours elicit responses from significant others, including healthcare professionals, which can reinforce both adaptive and maladaptive modes of thinking, feeling and behaving.⁶⁵ With this understanding, patients' pain-related cognitions and behaviours are of chief concern with respect to identifying factors that may contribute to the transition from acute post-op pain to chronic pain. In moving the science forward, we therefore give primacy to the cognitive-behavioural side of the global biobehavioural view of pain, as the conceptual premise for our primary objective. According to the fundamental tenets of the cognitive-behavioural perspective of pain⁶⁵ 66: (A) behaviour is reciprocally determined by the person and environment, (B) people can learn more adaptive ways of thinking and behaving and (C) people are capable of and should be involved as active agents in the change of maladaptive thoughts, amenable to intervention. 65 Our focus therefore will be on the contribution of patients' pain-related beliefs and expectations, as follows:

Pain-related beliefs

Decades of work⁹ ^{67–82} in the fields of post-op pain and anaesthesia has demonstrated that surgical patients have beliefs about pain and pain medication, which: (A) are based on incorrect information and (B) serve to block effective pain assessment and management. For example, one study found that among patients undergoing CABG

surgery (n=202), a majority (83%) reported that they would not voluntarily ask for pain medication when they needed it, although most reported unrelieved moderate-to-severe pain from post-op day 2 (80%) until day 5 (69%). As of 2013, data indicate that this unfortunate scenario remains largely unchanged. Cogan *et al* found that among cardiac surgery patients (n=564), 36% believed that 'pain medication should be spared until the pain is very severe', 20% believed that 'good patients do not speak of their pain' and 31% believed it is 'very easy to become addicted to pain medication' while recovering from surgery. The particular role of these beliefs per se in the development of CPSP has yet to be examined; we will do so in this study using the Pain Barriers Questionnaire (PBQ) (validated in multiple populations).

Gender-based pain expectations

As with a number of fields in the health sciences, the study of sex and gender, as they relate to pain, is evolving. Our comprehensive review of risk factors for CPSP after cardiac surgery revealed that, thus far, investigation has been limited to the contribution of sex only as a risk factor. For the purposes of this study, we employ the following distinctions between sex and gender, set forth by Lips, which have been adopted in a number of well-cited pain studies sex: the biological distinction of being male or female; gender: learnt masculinity or femininity, related to socially-constructed roles and behaviours attributed to men and women in society.

Emerging evidence suggests that gender-based pain expectations defined as 'Sex-related stereotypic attributions about pain sensitivity, pain endurance, and willingness to report pain' 87 may lead to important differences in the experience of pain and related response. Robinson et al were among the first to investigate gender-based pain expectations, using the Gender Role Expectations > of Pain Questionnaire (GREP).⁸⁷ Their study of pain cognitions in 156 men and 235 women found that men were perceived to be less willing to report pain than women, women were perceived to be more sensitive and less enduring of pain than men and that men rated their pain endurance as higher than average. Further testing of the GREP by Wise et at^{94} found that after controlling for age, GREP scores accounted for 7%, 11% and 21%of the variance in pain threshold, tolerance and pain unpleasantness scores, respectively, for women (n=87) and men (n=61) exposed to thermal testing. A recent meta-analysis by Alabas et al, 91 for example, examined the role of gender-related cognitions in the experience of pain. 91 Pooling the results of six trials (406 men and 539 women), they found that those who considered themselves more masculine and less sensitive to pain, than the typical man, exhibited higher pain thresholds and tolerances in a variety of settings. Using the GREP, our study will be the first we know of to examine the role of gender-based pain expectations on the development of CPSP after cardiac surgery.

Health-related quality of life

Overwhelming evidence documents the deleterious impact of CPSP on health-related quality of life. 6-31 50-62

Cost of illness

We will examine the impact of CPSP on patient-level cost, calculated from a societal perspective, wherein all costs irrespective of payer are included thereby comprising private and public costs, using the Ambulatory Home Care Record. Data are available that indicate that from 20% to 30% of the occurrence of chronic pain is related to CPSP. 98 99 Given the rates of cardiac surgery in Canada, 45 literature has shown that CPSP contributes substantially to the \$22.2 billion in direct and indirect costs borne by cardiovascular interventions and services annually. 15 With a view to comprehensive examination of the impact of CPSP, we will: (A) estimate the extra cost, expressed in healthcare costs, for patients with CPSP compared with those without and (B) estimate an incremental cost-effectiveness ratio, that is, the incremental cost for one additional quality-adjusted life year (QALY) gained, by virtue of cardiac surgery, among those who develop CPSP compared with those who do not. QALY is a preference-based utility measure of health-related quality of life as perceived by the patient. 100 101 QALYs incorporate both length of life and quality of life into a single measure and are calculated by combining health- related quality of life measures with data on health state duration. As such, QALY is the gold standard measure of effectiveness recommended for economic evaluation and represents a universally comparable outcome measure. QALY will be derived from our Short Form-12 (SF-12) version 2 (SF-12v2) data.

Study objectives

Our primary objective is to examine the influence of pain-related beliefs and gender-based pain expectations on the development of chronic pain following cardiac surgery. Our secondary objectives are to: (A) examine the influence of pain-related beliefs and gender-based pain expectations on functional status and patient-level cost of illness following cardiac surgery; and (B) to determine the impact of CPSP on the QALY borne by cardiac surgery and the incremental cost for one additional QALY gained for patients, by virtue of cardiac surgery, among those who develop CPSP compared with those who do not.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS Design

This study is a substudy of the Vascular Events In Surgery patIents cOhort evaluatioN - Cardiac Surgery study (https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01842568), examining 30-day all-cause mortality, myocardial injury and related complications following cardiac surgery in 15000 participants. In this substudy, we propose to prospectively follow a cohort of patients who have undergone cardiac surgery for 1 year. Data on potential

predictors will be collected at baseline. The total follow-up period is 12 months, with pain, functional status and cost of illness-related data being collected at 6 months and 12 months following cardiac surgery.

Patient and public involvement

We collected patient testimonials to articulate the nature of the chronic pain problem following cardiac surgery from the patient perspective and establish the need for this study. Following the completion of the study, we will debrief the patient panel with the results of our findings.

Study population

The target population of 1250 cardiac surgery patients will be recruited from participating hospital sites in Canada, USA and Hong Kong. Patients eligible for our study will be undergoing a first-time cardiac surgery involving a median sternotomy, including CABG and all open heart procedures, such as valvular repairs/replacement. Eligible patients will also be able to read, speak and understand English and have a telephone allowing for follow-up. Patients will be ineligible if they: (A) have undergone previous cardiac surgery, thoracotomy or mastectomy, (B) are scheduled for an isolated pericardial window procedure (due to malignancy), pericardectomy, permanent pacemaker, or defibrillator implantation, (C) have a major cognitive disorder precluding participation, or (D) have a hearing impairment or speech impediment precluding telephone-based follow-up.

Cardiac surgery inpatients will be recruited in one of two ways: (1) from the hospital sites preoperative assessment clinic, if their surgery is prebooked, or (2) from the cardiac surgical ward, if they have been admitted to hospital via the hospital's emergency department or the heart investigation unit. A study nurse will obtain written, informed consent to participate among those willing and interested. The study enrolment period will conclude once the 1-year follow-up telephone interview is complete.

Data collection

Immediately following enrolment, standard baseline demographic, independent variable data (participants' age, sex, ethnicity, highest level of formal education, and marital and employment status) and data on baseline covariates (age and sex) will be collected by the study nurse via interview and chart audit. Postoperatively, the study nurse will collect data on surgical details via chart audit, and data on post-op day 3 cumulative & analgesic dose and pain intensity scores via chart audit & and participant interview, respectively. The study nurse will contact patients by phone at 30 days, and 6 and 12 months after surgery; the 30-day call will be for post-op pain monitoring, and the two subsequent calls will be for outcome assessment. Data on dependent variables will be measured at 6 months and 12 months following cardiac surgery. Table 1 outlines this visit schedule. The timing of this follow-up outcome measurement is in compliance with recommendations (2013) set forth by the Initiative

//bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 12, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l

Table 1 Visit schedule					
	Baseline	Postoperative day	3 Day 30	6 months	1 year
Pain Barriers Questionnaire	X				
Gender-based pain expectations	X				
Somatic Pre-Occupation and Coping	Χ				
State-Trait Anxiety Inventory	Χ				
Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS)	Х				
Short Form-12 (SF-12)	Χ		Χ	Χ	Χ
CPSP-related disability	Χ			Χ	Χ
Analgesic chart audit		Х			
Brief Pain Inventory		Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ
Ambulatory Home Care Record				Х	X

for Methods, Measurement, and Pain Assessment in Clinical Trials to standardise the timing of outcome assessment for prognostic studies of CPSP. 102

Dependent variables

Chronic postsurgical pain

The development of CPSP will be measured using a telephone structured interview protocol, defined as pain: (A) that developed after the surgical procedure, (B) is different from pain experienced prior to the procedure (eg, preopeative angina), (C) is not caused by other factors (eg, cancer recurrence and chronic infection), (D) is present for at least 2-3 months and (E) that interferes significantly with health-related quality of life.³⁴⁻⁴⁰

If participants answer in the affirmative to each of these questions, it will be indicated that 'Yes' they have developed CPSP; otherwise, it will be indicated that 'No' they have not. Among those deemed to have developed CPSP (ie, 'yes') pain intensity, and its related interference with usual daily activities, will be measured via the Brief Pain Inventory-Short Form (BPI-SF). 103-107 The BPI-SF includes four 11-point NRSs of pain intensity, which measure 'average', 'least' and 'worst' pain intensity in the past 24 hours, respectively, as well as pain intensity 'now' (0=no pain, 10=pain as bad as you can imagine). As is common to studies of CPSP²⁸ ²⁹ ⁶² ⁶⁷ ¹⁰⁸ -113 (including cardiac surgery), participants will be asked for their 'worst' pain intensity rating both on rest and movement in the past 24hours. The BPI-SF interference subscale 103-107 will also be used, which measures the degree to which pain interferes with general activity, mood, walking, work, relations with others, sleep and enjoyment of life (NRS for each item; 0=does not interfere, 10=completely interferes). A total interference score is taken by calculating the sum of these seven items. The BPI-SF has strong psychometric properties with well-established reliability and validity across divergent surgical groups, 29 103-117 including those reporting acute and chronic pain following cardiac surgery. ²⁸ ²⁹ ⁶² ⁶⁷ ¹¹² ¹¹³ The BPI-SF also contains supplemental items, 103-106 for optional use (pain treatment and body diagram). Of these, only the body diagram will be used for descriptive purposes.

Functional status

Functional status will be measured with the SF-12v2), an established reliable and validated health status measure. 118 It consists of 12 items taken from the Short Form 36 (SF-36), which is a widely accepted instrument that was developed from the Medical Outcomes Study. 119-121 The developed from the Medical Outcomes Study. The SF-12v2 was developed to reduce respondent burden. It can be administered by telephone interview and consists of two scales that measure physical and mental health status. The SF-12v2 comprises eight domains, measured via eight subscales: (1) physical functioning; (2) role 5 limitations due to physical problems; (3) role limitations due to emotional problems; (4) bodily pain; (5) general health; (6) vitality; (7) social functioning; and (8) mental health. Results may be expressed as physical component summary (PCS) and mental component summary scores. These scores range from 0 (worst) to 100 (best). 118

Cost of illness

The Ambulatory and Home Care Record $(AHCR)^{122-132}$ will be used to measure patient-level cost of illness from a societal perspective. This approach gives equal consideration to health system costs and costs borne by patients and unpaid caregivers, such as family members and friends. Items in the AHCR can be categorised as publicly financed care (ie, resources paid for by the public sector) or privately financed care (ie, all out-of-pocket payments, third party insurance payments and time costs incurred by caregiver). Face validity of the AHCR has been assessed by several healthcare providers, health economists and 2 administrators who work in the field of ambulatory and ${\color{red} \underline{\mathfrak{C}}}$ home-based care. Reliability of the AHCR has been assessed via the level of agreement between self-reports of cost by cystic fibrosis care recipients and administrative data. 125 Moderate to almost perfect agreement was found between study participants' responses on the AHCR and administrative data (kappa=0.41-1.00). 125 The AHCR has since been used to evaluate various conditions, 124-132 including chronic cardiology patients who were interviewed over the phone ¹³¹ ¹³² Additionally, the AHCR has been used to assess costs for an array of patients, including

the elderly, middle-aged adults and children. ^{122–132} The AHCR has been used in telephone and face-to-face interviews as well as in mailed form; it has been translated into several languages. ^{122–132}

Independent variables

Pain-related beliefs

Pain-related beliefs will be examined at baseline using the PBQ⁷⁶ 77 version II (PBQ-II)⁷⁴ 76-79 133 The PBQ-II¹³⁴ includes 27 items divided into four subscales: erroneous beliefs regarding secondary effects of medication (12 items) and their harmful effects (six items), fatalism about the control of pain (three items) and attitudes regarding reporting pain to health professionals (six items). Each item is rated on a 0–5 scale (0: totally disagree; 5: totally agree). A total score and scores for each subscale can be calculated by taking the sum of the items. The PBQ-II has established validity, internal consistency and sensitivity to change ¹¹³ 135 136 and has recently been adapted and validated for use with cardiac surgical patients. ¹¹³

Gender-based pain expectations

Gender-based pain expectations will be measured at baseline using the GREP. The GREP⁸⁷ measures stereotypic attributions regarding three constructs: pain endurance, pain sensitivity and willingness to report of pain. Each construct includes four 100 mm visual analogue scales regarding how women and men perceive themselves and the opposite sex, relative to: (A) their own sex and (B) the opposite sex with respect to how much pain can males/ females endure, how sensitive to pain males/females are and how willing males/females are to report pain; respondents indicate their views on a 100 mm line anchored by 0 (far less) and 100 (far more). An average score is derived for each construct; greater scores indicate more stereotypical views. The GREP has now been used in multiple pain investigations. 87 89 91–93 137 138 Test–retest reliability is acceptable across items 87 (0.53 to 0.93), and internal consistency reliability testing has demonstrated high correlations (-0.71 to -0.81) between individual items which assess opposite perceived gender roles (eg, typical masculine vs feminine orientation to pain endurance).⁸⁷

Covariates

We will control for the following demographic, clinical and surgical covariates: sex, age, BMI, diabetes mellitus, peripheral arterial disease, preoperative chronic pain and angina (Canadian Cardiovascular Society class), non-skeletonised internal throacic artery harvest, re-sternotomy and operating time. Additional covariates include baseline functional status, anxiety and acute post-op pain.

Functional status

We will control for baseline functional status using the SF-12v2 PCS score. 118

Baseline anxiety

We will control for anxiety at baseline using the Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI), a widely used,

well-validated anxiety measure. ¹³⁹ ¹⁴⁰ The STAI has 40 items that comprise two domains: the State (STAI-S) and Trait (STAI-T) score, both ranging from 20 to 80, with higher scores representing higher levels of anxiety. The STAI-S measures the transitional emotional status evoked by a stressful situation, such as surgery. The STAI-T score reflects enduring individual differences in the likelihood of anxiety. ¹⁴¹ The STAI has been found reliable and valid among patients undergoing cardiac surgery (Cronbach's alpha=0.94) ¹⁴² and is commonly applied in studies capturing preoperative anxiety among cardiac surgery patients. ¹⁴³ ¹⁴⁴

Acute post-op pain

Pain on post-op days 3 and 30 will be measured with the BPI. Cumulative 24 hours analgesic on post-op day 3, as an indication of analgesic dosing in hospital during recovery, will be determined via chart audit using a tool we have used in previous cardiac studies. ^{28 62 67} Opioid dosage will be converted into parenteral morphine equivalents per day using standard dosage tables. ^{28 62 67}

Sample size

The primary analysis for this study is the association of pain-related beliefs and gender-based pain expectations with CPSP at 6 months and 12 months while adjusting for a number of prespecified covariates. Therefore, sample size was calculated based on the methods used by Hsieh and colleagues¹⁴⁵ for multivariable logistic regression. In this validated method, the sample size for a simple logistic regression modelling a single independent variable X1 on the outcome is inflated by a variance inflation factor equal to $1/(1-\rho 2\times 2...xp)$, where $\rho 2\times 2...xp$ is equal to the proportion of the variance of X1 explained by the regression \mathbf{S} relationship with X2...Xp. 145 Additionally, sample size was inflated to account for the clustered nature of the data (ie, 6-month and 12-month measurements) by incorporating an additional design effect equivalent to 1+ (m-1)*pICC, where m is the number of measurements per cluster (ie, two time points) and pICC represents the correlation of responses within clusters. A conservative scenario was assumed in which the correlation between the two follow-up measurements could be as high as 0.60, and the variance of the independent variables explained by covariates (ie, R^2) was 0.16, resulting in a requirement of 1250 participants to detect a significant change in the odds of post-op pain of 5% (ie, OR of 1.05). This calculation allows the prevalence of CPSP to be as low as 10% (as found in some previous studies). Should the prevalence of CPSP be higher, the correlation between measurements be smaller, or the variance explained in the independent variables be smaller, 1250 participants will provide >80% power. 145

Data analyses

Categorical data (eg, presence or absence of CPSP at 6 months and 1 year) will be summarised with frequencies and proportions. Continuous data (eg, functional disability scores) will be evaluated for normality using Shapiro-Wilk tests of normality and summarised using

measures of central tendency and dispersion (eg. means and SD for normally distributed factors and medians and IORs for non-normally distributed data). Generalised estimating equations (GEEs) will be used to model the primary analysis: the association between pain-related beliefs and gender-based pain expectations with the development of CPSP at 6 months and 1 year while adjusting for prespecified covariates. GEE models account for the lack of independence in outcome measurements introduced by multiple measurements. 146 We will enter all prespecified variables in the model and retain them throughout the analysis. For each model, the inclusion of an interaction term between the two independent variables of interest (pain belief scale and gender-based pain expectations) will be guided by 95% CIs and likelihood ratio significance tests. Model diagnostics will consist of influential observation examination and Breslow-Day tests for goodness of fit. 147 148 We will also assess for multicollinearity in our model via assessment of condition indices. 147 148

OALYs¹⁰⁰ will be estimated by converting SF-12v2 data collected in the study to utility score using a validated algorithm.¹⁴⁹ After estimating QALYs, we will analyse it as a dependent variable using regression to estimate the difference in expected QALYs between the two groups (ie, those with CPSP vs those without). In addition, after calculating total cost from the AHCR, we will analyse it as a dependent variable using regression to estimate the difference in expected healthcare cost between the two groups (ie, patients with CPSP vs those without). Employing regression will allow for the adjustment of potential confounders. With a variety of different types of regression (ie, ordinary least squares and generalised linear models), we will explore the impact of various modelling assumptions. In addition, we will compare parametric and non-parametric CIs using bootstrapping. In theory, an ordinary least squares model produces unbiased estimates even if the data are skewed; however, different estimation methods (eg, generalised linear models) and different uncertainty methods (eg, non-parametric bootstrapping) will facilitate careful investigation of the impact that various assumptions have on our conclusions. 150–153 The regression models will provide estimates of differences in QALYs and costs for participants who develop CPSP versus those who do not develop CPSP, which will allow us to calculate incremental cost for one QALY gained. A cost-effectiveness acceptability curve and 95% CI will be used to characterise the uncertainty of our findings. 153

Ethics and dissemination

Both integrated and end-of-grant dissemination strategies will be implemented. Study progress and results will be disseminated on CardiacPain.Net, 154 a web-based pain resource centre (http://cardiacpain.onlinecjc.ca/) linked to Elsevier's global online readership, featuring active knowledge 'push' mechanisms including e-banner advertising and opt-in email blasts. Final results will be

presented at international conferences and published in scientific journals.

Implications

CPSP is an important socioeconomic problem with well-documented deleterious consequences on functional status for cardiac patients. We aim to investigate putative psychological risk factors that could be targeted for preventative intervention. We will also examine the economic consequences of CEPSP comprehensively, including the impact on QALYs, with no additional data collection required. This study may contribute towards reducing the risk and impact of CPSP after cardiac surgery.

Author affiliations

"School of Nursing, McMaster University, Faculty of Health Sciences, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

"anesthesiology, Perioperative Medicine and Surgical Research Unit, Population Health Research Health Research Methods, Evidence and Impact, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

"Department of Anesthesiology, Not University, Toronto, Ontario, Canada
"Department of Anesthesiology, Not University of Celifornia, Davis, Davis, Cardionia, USA
"Department of Anesthesiology, Mingston General Hospital, Kingston, Ontario, Canada
"Department of Anesthesiology, Kingston General Hospital, Kingston, Ontario, Canada
"Department of Anesthesiology, Ningston General Hospital, Kingston, Ontario, Canada
"Department of Anesthesiology and Perioperative Medicine, Queen's University of Control, Coronto, Ontario, Canada
"Department of Anesthesiology, Parioperative Medicine at University of Control, Ontario, Canada
"Department of Anesthesiology and Perioperative Medicine at University of Control, Ontario, Canada
"Department of Anesthesiology and Perioperative Medicine at University of California, USA
"College of Musing, Florida State University, London, Ontario, Canada
"Department of Anesthesiology, David Geffen School of Medicine at University of California, Los Angeles, California, USA
"Department of Anesthesion of the manuscript to be published. The authors wish to the Analysia of the Publish Health and Publish Health Sciences Organizatio

for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies

Protected

by copyright,

including

Patient consent Obtained.

Ethics approval This protocol has been approved by the responsible bodies at each of the hospital sites. Hamilton Integrated Research Ethics Board.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; peer reviewed for ethical and funding approval prior to submission.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

REFERENCES

- 1. Public Health Agency of Canada. Tracking heart disease and stroke in canada 2009. http://www.phac-aspc.gc.ca/publicat/2009/cvdavc/index-eng.php (accessed Nov 2017).
- Yusuf S, Reddy S, Ounpuu S, et al. Global burden of cardiovascular diseases: Part II: variations in cardiovascular disease by specific ethnic groups and geographic regions and prevention strategies. Circulation 2001;104:2855-64.
- Svendsen A. The current status of cardiovascular disease in Canada--a call to action. Can J Cardiovasc Nurs 2004;14:5-7.
- Roger VL, Go AS, Lloyd-Jones DM, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics--2012 update: a report from the american heart association. Circulation 2012;125:e2-e220.
- Feindel CM. The current status of cardiac surgery workforce in Canada. 2010 http://www.royalcollege.ca/portal/pls/portal/!PWEB_ PORTAL.wwpob_page.show?_docname=509027.PDF.
- Bar-El Y, Gilboa B, Unger N, et al. Skeletonized versus pedicled internal mammary artery: impact of surgical technique on post CABG surgery pain. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2005;27:1065-9.
- 7. Bruce J, Drury N, Poobalan SA, et al. The prevalence of chronic chest and leg pain following cardiac surgery: a historical cohort study. Pain 2003;104:265-73.
- Eisenberg E, Pultorak Y, Pud D, et al. Prevalence and characteristics of post coronary artery bypass graft surgery pain (PCP). Pain
- Gjeilo KH, Klepstad P, Wahba A, et al. Chronic pain after cardiac surgery: a prospective study. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 2010:54:70-8.
- 10. Ho SC, Royse CF, Royse AG, et al. Persistent pain after cardiac surgery: an audit of high thoracic epidural and primary opioid analgesia therapies. Anesth Analg 2002;95:820-3.
- Jensen MK, Andersen C. Can chronic poststernotomy pain after cardiac valve replacement be reduced using thoracic epidural analgesia? Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 2004;48:871-4
- Kalso E, Mennander S, Tasmuth T, et al. Chronic post-sternotomy pain. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 2001;45:935–9.
- King KM, Parry M, Southern D, et al. Women's Recovery from Sternotomy-Extension (WREST-E) study: examining long-term pain and discomfort following sternotomy and their predictors. Heart 2008;94:493-7.
- 14. Lahtinen P, Kokki H, Hynynen M. Pain after cardiac surgery: a prospective cohort study of 1-year incidence and intensity. Anesthesiology 2006;105:794-800.
- Mailis A, Umana M, Feindel CM. Anterior intercostal nerve damage after coronary artery bypass graft surgery with use of internal thoracic artery graft. Ann Thorac Surg 2000;69:1455-8.
- Meyerson J, Thelin S, Gordh T, et al. The incidence of chronic poststernotomy pain after cardiac surgery--a prospective study. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 2001;45:940-4.
- Steegers MA, van de Luijtgaarden A, Noyez L, et al. The role of angina pectoris in chronic pain after coronary artery bypass graft surgery. J Pain 2007;8:667-73.
- Taillefer MC, Carrier M, Bélisle S, et al. Prevalence, characteristics, and predictors of chronic nonanginal postoperative pain after a cardiac operation: a cross-sectional study. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2006;131:1274-80.
- Ucak A, Onan B, Sen H, et al. The effects of gabapentin on acute and chronic postoperative pain after coronary artery bypass graft surgery. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2011;25:824-9.
- van Gulik L, Ahlers SJ, van de Garde EM, et al. Remifentanil during cardiac surgery is associated with chronic thoracic pain 1 yr after sternotomy. Br J Anaesth 2012;109:616-22.
- van Gulik L, Janssen LI, Ahlers SJ, et al. Risk factors for chronic thoracic pain after cardiac surgery via sternotomy. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2011;40:1309-13.

- 22. Wiklund L, Johansson M, Bugge M, et al. Early outcome and graft patency in mammary artery grafting of left anterior descending artery with sternotomy or anterior minithoracotomy. Ann Thorac Surg 2000;70:79-83.
- Lee W. Yan YY. Jensen MP. et al. Predictors and patterns of chronic pain three months after cardiac surgery in Taiwan. Pain Med 2010;11:1849-58.
- Garland R, Frizelle FA, Dobbs BR, et al. A retrospective audit of long-term lower limb complications following leg vein harvesting for coronary artery bypass grafting. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2003;23:950-5.
- 25. Herlitz J, Brandrup-Wognsen G, Evander MH, et al. Symptoms of chest pain and dyspnoea during a period of 15 years after coronary artery bypass grafting. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2010;37:112-8.
- Momeni M, De Kock M, Lavand'homme P, et al. Abnormal sensations evoked over the chest and persistent peri-incisional chest pain after cardiac surgery. Acta Anaesthesiol Belg 2010:61:55-62
- 27. Onan B, Onan IS, Kilickan L, et al. Effects of epidural anesthesia on acute and chronic pain after coronary artery bypass grafting. J Card Surg 2013;28:248-53.
- Choinière M, Watt-Watson J, Victor JC, et al. Prevalence of and risk factors for persistent postoperative nonanginal pain after cardiac surgery: a 2-year prospective multicentre study. CMAJ 2014;186:E2
- Parry M, Watt-Watson J, Hodnett E, et al. Pain experiences of men and women after coronary artery bypass graft surgery. J Cardiovasc Nurs 2010;25:E9-E15.
- 30. van Leersum NJ, van Leersum RL, Verwey HF, et al. Pain symptoms accompanying chronic poststernotomy pain: a pilot study. Pain Med 2010:11:1628-34.
- 31. Routledge FS, Tsuyuki RT, Hervas-Malo M, et al. The influence of coronary artery bypass graft harvest site on women's pain, functional status, and health services utilization throughout the first post-operative year: a longitudinal study. Int J Nurs Stud 2009;46:1054-60.
- Katz J, Seltzer Z. Transition from acute to chronic postsurgical pain: risk factors and protective factors. Expert Rev Neurother 2009:9:723-44
- Macrae WA, Davies HTO, et alChronic postsurgical pain. In: Crombie IK, Croft PR, Linton SJ, LeResche L, Von Korf M, . eds. Epidemiology of pain. Seattle: IASP Press, 1999:125-42.
- Kalso E, Perttunen K, Kaasinen S. Pain after thoracic surgery. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 1992;36:96-100.
- Perttunen K, Tasmuth T, Kalso E. Chronic pain after thoracic surgery: a follow-up study. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 1999;43:563-7.
- Jung BF, Ahrendt GM, Oaklander AL, et al. Neuropathic pain following breast cancer surgery: proposed classification and research update. Pain 2003;104:1-13.
- Callesen T, Bech K, Kehlet H. Prospective study of chronic pain after groin hernia repair. Br J Surg 1999;86:1528-31.
- Perkins FM, Kehlet H. Chronic pain as an outcome of surgery. A review of predictive factors. Anesthesiology 2000;93:1123-33.
- Werner MU, Kongsgaard UE. I. Defining persistent post-surgical pain: is an update required? Br J Anaesth 2014;113:1-4.
- Weinrib AZ, Azam MA, Birnie KA, et al. The psychology of chronic post-surgical pain: new frontiers in risk factor identification, prevention and management. Br J Pain 2017;11:169-77.
- Moore R, Follette DM, Berkoff HA. Poststernotomy fractures and pain management in open cardiac surgery. Chest 1994:106:1339-42.
- Eng J, Wells FC. Morbidity following coronary artery revascularisation with the internal mammary artery. Int J Cardiol 1991;30:55-9
- Conacher ID, Doig JC, Rivas L, et al. Intercostal neuralgia associated with internal mammary artery grafting. Anaesthesia 1993;48:1070-1.
- Defalque RJ, Bromley JJ. Poststernotomy neuralgia: a new pain syndrome. Anesth Analg 1989;69:81-2.
- Watts R, Davies R, Treasure T. Internal mammary artery grafting increases the incidence of shoulder girdle pain after cardiac surgery. J Brit Heart 1988;59:105-6.
- Wolf CJ, Salter M. Plasticity and pain. In: MacMahon SB, Koltzenburg M, eds. Wall and Melzack's Textbook of Pain. 5 edn. Philadelphia: Elsevier Churchill Livingstone, 2006:91-105.
- Basbaum A, Bushnell MC, Devor M. Pain: basic mechanisms. In: Castro-Lopes J, Raja S, Schmelz M, Pain 2008. An Updated Review Refresher Course Syllabus. Glasgow: IASP Press, 2008:3-10.
- Melzack R, Wall PD. Pain mechanisms: a new theory. Science 1965;150:971-8.

- Katz J, Rosenbloom BN, Fashler S. Chronic pain, psychopathology, and dsm-5 somatic symptom disorder. *Can J Psychiatry* 2015:60:160–7.
- Markman PL, Rowland MA, Leong JY, et al. Skeletonized internal thoracic artery harvesting reduces chest wall dysesthesia after coronary bypass surgery. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2010;139:674–9.
- Gureje O, Von Korff M, Simon GE, et al. Persistent pain and wellbeing: a world health organization study in primary care. JAMA 1998;280:147–51.
- Gureje O, Simon GE, Von Korff M. A cross-national study of the course of persistent pain in primary care. *Pain* 2001;92:195–200.
- 53. Blyth FM, March LM, Brnabic AJ, et al. Chronic pain in Australia: a prevalence study. *Pain* 2001:89:127–34.
- McWilliams LA, Cox BJ, Enns MW. Mood and anxiety disorders associated with chronic pain: an examination in a nationally representative sample. *Pain* 2003;106:127–33.
- Ohayon MM, Schatzberg AF. Using chronic pain to predict depressive morbidity in the general population. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2003;60:39–47.
- Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. Acta Psychiatr Scand 1983;67:361–70.
- Ashburn MA, Staats PS. Management of chronic pain. The Lancet 1999;353:1865–9.
- Fricker J. Pain in Europe: Mundipharma International Ltd. www. painineurope.com, 1-23. 2003.
- Becker N, Sjøgren P, Bech P, et al. Treatment outcome of chronic non-malignant pain patients managed in a danish multidisciplinary pain centre compared to general practice: a randomised controlled trial. Pain 2000;84:203–11.
- Wilson KG, Mikail SF, D'Eon JL, et al. Alternative diagnostic criteria for major depressive disorder in patients with chronic pain. Pain 2001;91:227–34.
- Mäntyselkä PT, Turunen JH, Ahonen RS, et al. Chronic pain and poor self-rated health. JAMA 2003;290:2435–42.
- Watt-Watson J, Choinière CJ. Prevalence characteristics and risk factors of persistent post-operative pain after cardiac surgery. Montreal, Canada: The 13th International Association for the Study of Pain World Congress Proceedings, 2010:2956.
- Gilron I, Vandenkerkhof E, Katz J, et al. Evaluating the association between acute and chronic pain after surgery: impact of pain measurement methods. Clin J Pain 2017;33:588–94.
- Boodhwani M, Lam BK, Nathan HJ, et al. Skeletonized internal thoracic artery harvest reduces pain and dysesthesia and improves sternal perfusion after coronary artery bypass surgery: a randomized, double-blind, within-patient comparison. *Circulation* 2006;114:766–73.
- Flor H, Turk DC. Cognitive and learning aspects. In: MacMahon SB, Koltzenburg M, eds. Wall and Melzack's Textbook of Pain. 5 edn. Philadelphia: Elsevier Churchill Livingstone, 2006:241–58.
- Turk DC, Flor H. The cognitive-behavioural approach to pain management. In: McMahon S, Koltzenburg M, eds. Wall & Melzack's textbook of pain. London: Elsevier, 2006:339–48.
- Watt-Watson J, Stevens B, Katz J, et al. Impact of preoperative education on pain outcomes after coronary artery bypass graft surgery. Pain 2004;109:73–85.
- Gunnarsdottir S, Serlin RC, Ward S. Patient-related barriers to pain management: the icelandic Barriers Questionnaire II. *J Pain* Symptom Manage 2005;29:273–85.
- Leegaard M, Nåden D, Fagermoen MS. Postoperative pain and selfmanagement: women's experiences after cardiac surgery. J Adv Nurs 2008;63:476–85.
- Lorentzen V, Hermansen IL, Botti M. A prospective analysis of pain experience, beliefs and attitudes, and pain management of a cohort of Danish surgical patients. *Eur J Pain* 2012;16:278–88.
- Macrae WA. Chronic post-surgical pain: 10 years on. Br J Anaesth 2008:101:77–86.
- McDonald DD, McNulty J, Erickson K, et al. Communicating pain and pain management needs after surgery. Appl Nurs Res 2000;13:70–5.
- Murnion BP, Gnjidic D, Hilmer SN. Prescription and administration of opioids to hospital in-patients, and barriers to effective use. *Pain Med* 2010;11:58–66.
- Ward S, Gatwood J. Concerns about reporting pain and using analgesics. A comparison of persons with and without cancer. Cancer Nurs 1994:17:200–6.
- Watt-Watson J, Stevens B, Garfinkel P, et al. Relationship between nurses' pain knowledge and pain management outcomes for their postoperative cardiac patients. J Adv Nurs 2001;36:535–45.

- Ward SE, Berry PE, Misiewicz H. Concerns about analgesics among patients and family caregivers in a hospice setting. Res Nurs Health 1996;19:205–11.
- Ward S, Donovan HS, Owen B, et al. An individualized intervention to overcome patient-related barriers to pain management in women with gynecologic cancers. Res Nurs Health 2000;23:393–405.
- Ward SE, Goldberg N, Miller-McCauley V, et al. Patient-related barriers to management of cancer pain. Pain 1993;52:319–24.
- Ward SE, Hernandez L. Patient-related barriers to management of cancer pain in Puerto Rico. *Pain* 1994;58:233–8.
- Watt-Watson J, Garfinkel P, Gallop R, et al. The impact of nurses' empathic responses on patients' pain management in acute care. Nurs Res 2000:49:191–200.
- Watt-Watson J, Pain MA. In: Watt-Watson J, Donovan M, Pain management: Nursing perspective. St, Louis: Mosby, 1992:36–58.
- Cogan J, Ouimette MF, Vargas-Schaffer G, et al. Patient attitudes and beliefs regarding pain medication after cardiac surgery: barriers to adequate pain management. Pain Manag Nurs 2014;15:574–9.
- Lips HM. Sex and Gender: An Introduction. Mountain View, CA: Mayfield, 1993.
- Tolver MA, Strandfelt P, Rosenberg J, et al. Female gender is a risk factor for pain, discomfort, and fatigue after laparoscopic groin hernia repair. Hernia 2013;17:321–7.
- Uchiyama K, Kawai M, Tani M, et al. Gender differences in postoperative pain after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Surg Endosc 2006;20:448–51.
- Campesi I, Fois M, Franconi F. Sex and gender aspects in anesthetics and pain medication. *Handb Exp Pharmacol* 2012;214:265–78.
- 87. Robinson ME, Riley JL, Myers CD, et al. Gender role expectations of pain: relationship to sex differences in pain. J Pain 2001;2:251–7.
- 88. Hunt K, Adamson J, Hewitt C, et al. Do women consult more than men? A review of gender and consultation for back pain and headache. J Health Serv Res Policy 2011;16:108–17.
- 89. Robinson ME, Wise EA, Gagnon C, et al. Influences of gender role and anxiety on sex differences in temporal summation of pain. *J Pain* 2004;5:77–82.
- Soetanto AL, Chung JW, Wong TK. Gender differences in pain perception: a signal detection theory approach. *Acta Anaesthesiol Taiwan* 2004;42:15–22.
- Alabas OA, Tashani OA, Tabasam G, et al. Gender role affects experimental pain responses: a systematic review with metaanalysis. Eur J Pain 2012:16:1211–23.
- Defrin R, Shramm L, Eli I. Gender role expectations of pain is associated with pain tolerance limit but not with pain threshold. *Pain* 2009;145:230–6.
- Defrin R, Eli I, Pud D. Interactions among sex, ethnicity, religion, and gender role expectations of pain. Gend Med 2011;8:172–83.
- Wise EA, Price DD, Myers CD, et al. Gender role expectations of pain: relationship to experimental pain perception. Pain 2002;96:335–42.
- Wandner LD, Scipio CD, Hirsh AT, et al. The perception of pain in others: how gender, race, and age influence pain expectations. J Pain 2012;13:220–7.
- Alabas OA, Tashani OA, Johnson MI. Gender role expectations of pain mediate sex differences in cold pain responses in healthy Libyans. *Eur J Pain* 2012;16:300–11.
- Robinson ME, Gagnon CM, Riley JL, et al. Altering gender role expectations: effects on pain tolerance, pain threshold, and pain ratings. J Pain 2003;4:284–8.
- Semple T. Post-surgical pain syndromes the medical insurance group. 2010 www.miga.com.au/riskresources/library/10RRAR07. pdf.
- Davies HTO, Crombie IK, Macrae WA, et al. Pain clinic patients in northern britain. Pain Clin 1992;5:129–35.
- Gold M, Siegel J, Russell L, et al. Cost-effectiveness in health and medicine. New York: Oxford University Press, 1996.
- Drummond M, Sculpher M, Torrance G, et al. Methods for the economic evaluation of health care programmes. 3rd ed. New York: Oxford University Press, 2005.
- 102. VanDenKerkhof EG, Peters ML, Bruce J. Chronic pain after surgery: time for standardization? A framework to establish core risk factor and outcome domains for epidemiological studies. *Clin J Pain* 2013;29:2–8.
- Cleeland C. Pain assessment in cancer. Osoba D, Effect of cancer on quality of life. Florida: CRC Press, 1999:293–305.
- 104. Cleeland C. The Brief Pain Inventory user guide. Houston, Texas: M. D Anderson 2009, Cancer Centre.
- Cleeland CS, Ryan KM. Pain assessment: global use of the brief pain inventory. Ann Acad Med Singapore 1994;23:129–38.



- Cleeland CS, Syrjala KL. How to assess cancer pain. In: Turk DC, Melzack R, eds. Handbook of pain assessment. New York, NY:
- Tittle MB, McMillan SC, Hagan S. Validating the brief pain inventory for use with surgical patients with cancer. Oncol Nurs Forum 2003:30:325-30.
- Watt-Watson J, Chung F, Chan VW, et al. Pain management following discharge after ambulatory same-day surgery. J Nurs Manag 2004;12:153-61.
- Wilson R. A randomized control trial of an individualized preoperative education intervention for symptom management following total knee arthroplasty (Doctoral dissertation: University of Toronto, 2011.
- 110. Mendoza T, Mayne T, Rublee D, et al. Reliability and validity of a modified brief pain inventory short form in patients with osteoarthritis. Eur J Pain 2006;10:353-61.
- 111. Ochroch EA, Gottschalk A, Augoustides JG, et al. Pain and physical function are similar following axillary, muscle-sparing vs posterolateral thoracotomy. Chest 2005;128:2664-70.
- Gjeilo KH, Stenseth R, Wahba A, et al. Validation of the brief pain inventory in patients six months after cardiac surgery. J Pain Symptom Manage 2007;34:648-56.
- Martorella G, Côté J, Racine M, et al. Web-based nursing intervention for self-management of pain after cardiac surgery: pilot randomized controlled trial. J Med Internet Res 2012;14:e177.
- Klepstad P, Loge JH, Borchgrevink PC, et al. The Norwegian brief pain inventory questionnaire: translation and validation in cancer pain patients. J Pain Symptom Manage 2002;24:517–25.
- Ochroch EA, Gottschalk A, Troxel AB, et al. Women suffer more short and long-term pain than men after major thoracotomy. Clin J Pain 2006;22:491-8.
- 116. Dworkin RH, Turk DC, Farrar JT, et al. Core outcome measures for chronic pain clinical trials: IMMPACT recommendations. Pain 2005;113(1-2):9-19.
- Larue F, Colleau SM, Brasseur L, et al. Multicentre study of cancer pain and its treatment in France. BMJ 1995;310:1034-7.
- Ware J, Kosinski M, Keller SD. A 12-Item Short-Form Health Survey: construction of scales and preliminary tests of reliability and validity. Med Care 1996;34:220-33.
- Ware JE, Sherbourne CD. The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36). I. Conceptual framework and item selection. Med Care 1992;30:473-83.
- McHorney CA, Ware JE, Lu JF, et al. The MOS 36-item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36): III. Tests of data quality, scaling assumptions, and reliability across diverse patient groups. Med Care 1994;32:40-66.
- Ware JE, SF-36 Physical & Mental Health Summary Scales: A User's Manual. Lincoln, RI: Quality Metric Inc, 1997.
- Guerriere DN, Ungar WJ, Corey M, et al. Evaluation of the ambulatory and home care record: Agreement between selfreports and administrative data. Int J Technol Assess Health Care 2006;22:203-10.
- Stevens B, Guerriere D, McKeever P, et al. Economics of home vs. hospital breastfeeding support for newborns. J Adv Nurs 2006;53:233-43.
- Drummond MF, O'Brien B, Stoddart GL, et al. Methods for the Economic Evaluation of Health Care Programmes. Toronto: Oxford University Press, 1997.
- Guerriere DN, Tullis E, Ungar WJ, et al. Economic burden of ambulatory and home-based care for adults with cystic fibrosis. Treat Respir Med 2006;5:351-9.
- Guerriere DN, Wong AY, Croxford R, et al. Costs and determinants of privately financed home-based health care in Ontario, Canada. Health Soc Care Community 2008;16:126-36.
- 127. Guerriere DN, Tranmer JE, Ungar WJ, et al. Valuing care recipient and family caregiver time: a comparison of methods. Int J Technol Assess Health Care 2008;24:52-9.
- Guerriere DN, Choinière M, Dion D, et al. The Canadian STOP-PAIN project - Part 2: What is the cost of pain for patients on waitlists of multidisciplinary pain treatment facilities? Can J Anaesth 2010:57:549-58.
- Guerriere DN, Zagorski B, Fassbender K, et al. Cost variations in ambulatory and home-based palliative care. Palliat Med
- Leong VW, Guerriere DN, Croxford R, et al. The magnitude, share and determinants of private costs incurred by clients (and their

- caregivers) of in-home publicly financed care. Healthc Policy 2007:3:141-e159.
- 131. McGillion MH, Watt-Watson J, Stevens B, et al. Randomized controlled trial of a psychoeducation program for the selfmanagement of chronic cardiac pain. J Pain Symptom Manage 2008:36:126-40.
- 132. McGillion MH, Croxford R, Watt-Watson J, et al. Cost of illness for chronic stable angina patients enrolled in a self-management education trial. Can J Cardiol 2008;24:759-64.
- Ward SE, Carlson-Dakes K, Hughes SH, et al. The impact on quality of life of patient-related barriers to pain management. Res Nurs Health 1998;21:405-13.
- 134. Gunnarsdottir S, Donovan HS, Serlin RC, et al. Patient-related barriers to pain management: the Barriers Questionnaire II (BQ-II). Pain 2002;99:385-96.
- Griffee D. Questionnaire translation and questionnaire validation: are they the same? Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Association of Applied Linguistics. St Louis: MO URL,
- 136. Racine M, Tousignant-Laflamme Y, Kloda LA, et al. A systematic literature review of 10 years of research on sex/gender and pain perception - part 2: do biopsychosocial factors alter pain sensitivity differently in women and men? Pain 2012;153:619-35.
- 137. Chapman CR. Psychological aspects of pain patient treatment. Arch Surg 1977;112:767-72.
- 138. Scott LE, Clum GA, Peoples JB. Preoperative predictors of postoperative pain. Pain 1983;15:283-93.
- Spielberger CD, Grambow SC, Machado C, et al. Manual for the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press, 1983.
- 140. Spielberger CD, Gorsuch A, Lushane R, et al. Manual for the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory. Palo Alto, California: Consulting Psychologists Press, 1970.
- 141. Cserép Z, Losoncz E, Balog P, et al. The impact of preoperative anxiety and education level on long-term mortality after cardiac surgery. J Cardiothorac Surg 2012;7:86.
- 142. Cserép Z, Balog P, Székely J, et al. Psychosocial factors and major adverse cardiac and cerebrovascular events after cardiac surgery. Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg 2010;11:567-72.
- 143. Detroyer E, Dobbels F, Verfaillie E, et al. Is preoperative anxiety and depression associated with onset of delirium after cardiac surgery in older patients? A prospective cohort study. J Am Geriatr Soc 2008;56:2278-84.
- 144. Székely A, Balog P, Benkö E, et al. Anxiety predicts mortality and morbidity after coronary artery and valve surgery--a 4-year followup study. Psychosom Med 2007;69:625-31.
- 145. Hsieh FY, Bloch DA, Larsen MD. A simple method of sample size calculation for linear and logistic regression. Stat Med 1998:17:1623-34.
- 146. Hubbard AE, Ahern J, Fleischer NL, et al. To GEE or not to GEE: comparing population average and mixed models for estimating the associations between neighborhood risk factors and health. *Epidemiology* 2010;21:467–74.
- 147. Harrell FE. Regression modeling Strategie. New York: Springer,
- 148. Babyak MA. What you see may not be what you get: a brief, nontechnical introduction to overfitting in regression-type models. Psychosom Med 2004;66:411-21.
- 149. Brazier J, Rowen D, Hanmer J. Revised SF-6D scoring programmes: A summary of improvements. PRO newsletter 2008:40:14-15.
- Briggs A, Nixon R, Dixon S, et al. Parametric modelling of cost data: some simulation evidence. Health Econ 2005;14:421-8.
- 151. Barber J, Thompson S. Multiple regression of cost data: use of generalised linear models. J Health Serv Res Policy 2004;9:197-204.
- Glick HA, Doshi JA, Sonnad SS, et al. Economic evaluation in clinical trials: OUP Oxford, 2014.
- 153. Hoch JS, Rockx MA, Krahn AD. Using the net benefit regression framework to construct cost-effectiveness acceptability curves: an example using data from a trial of external loop recorders versus Holter monitoring for ambulatory monitoring of "community acquired" syncope. BMC Health Serv Res 2006;6:68.
- 154. Arthur MM. No amount of pain is satisfactory: New perspectives on persistent cardiac pain. Can J Cardiol 2012;28:2.