

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<u>http://bmjopen.bmj.com</u>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email <u>info.bmjopen@bmj.com</u>

# **BMJ Open**

#### Cigarette smoking among university students aged 18-24 years in New Zealand - Results of the first (baseline) of two national surveys.

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2019-032590
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	26-Jun-2019
Complete List of Authors:	Wamamili, Ben; University of Canterbury, Health Sciences Wallace-Bell, Mark; Health Sciences Richardson, Ann; University of Canterbury Grace, Randolph; University of Canterbury Coope, Pat; University of Canterbury, Health Sciences
Keywords:	smoking, prevalence, cross-sectional, university students, New Zealand



### Title page

**Title:** Cigarette smoking among university students aged 18-24 years in New Zealand - Results of the first (baseline) of two national surveys.

### **Corresponding author**

Ben Musumba Wamamili, University of Canterbury, School of Health Sciences, Private Bag 4800, Christchurch 8140, New Zealand. E-mail: <u>ben.wamamili@pg.canterbury.ac.nz</u> Tel. +64 22 395 0413. Fax (C/o Student Services) +64 3 364 2174.

### **Co-authors**

Mark Wallace-Bell, School of Health Sciences, University of Canterbury, New Zealand, E-mail: <u>mark.wallacebell@gmail.com</u>.

Ann Richardson, School of Health Sciences, University of Canterbury, New Zealand, E-mail:

ann.richardson@canterbury.ac.nz.

Randolph Grace, School of Psychology, University of Canterbury, New Zealand. E-mail:

randolph.grace@canterbury.ac.nz.

Pat Coope, Statistical Advisor, College of Education, Health and Human Development, University of Canterbury, New Zealand. E-mail: <u>pat.coope@canterbury.ac.nz</u>.

Keywords: prevalence, cross-sectional survey, cigarette smoking, university students, New Zealand.

Word count: 3,475 (excluding title page, abstract, figures, tables and references).

### Abstract

#### Objectives

This study aimed to examine the prevalence of, and patterns of cigarette smoking among university students in New Zealand aged 18-24 years.

### Setting

Although smoking prevalence continues to decline in New Zealand overall, little is known about smoking among university students. A previous study reported daily smoking prevalence of 3%. However, the sample was not representative of the general New Zealand university student population.

#### Methods

Data came from a March-May 2018 survey of students from all New Zealand universities, on smoking, vaping and NZ's Smokefree 2025 goal. 1611 met the inclusion criteria; SPSS was used for analysis. Results are age, gender and ethnicity-specific.

### Participants

Of 1611 participants included, 980 (60.8%) were age 18-20 and 631 (39.2%) were 21-24 years; 600 (37.2%) male and 945 (58.7%) female; 134 (8.3%) Māori and 1477 (91.7%) non-Māori, and 1171 (73.0%) had lived in NZ for over 10 years.

#### Results

Of 1607 respondents, 51.2% reported ever smoking, 12.6% currently smoked (any frequency), 5.0% smoked at least daily, and 10.9% smoked at least once a month. Of current smokers, 69.1% smoked 1-5 cigarettes/day, 39.9% smoked at least daily, 75.8% smoked first cigarette >60 minutes after waking, 88.5% never/almost never smoked in indoor, and 66.7% in outdoor smokefree spaces, 69.2% planned to quit, and 32.8% had tried to quit.

#### **BMJ** Open

Ever smoking was significantly higher among those aged 21-24 than 18-20 years (p<.001); ever (p<.001), current (p=.003), and daily smoking (p=.004) were significantly higher in males, and ever smoking was significantly higher in Māori than non-Māori (p<.001).

#### Conclusions

Smoking prevalence among NZ university students aged 18-24 could be higher than previously thought. However, many appeared less addicted to nicotine, and willing to quit. We recommend increasing availability of smokefree services for students who wish to quit.

#### Strengths and limitations of this study

- This is the first study in New Zealand to examine the prevalence of cigarette smoking, and patterns of smoking in a sample of university students across the country.
- The sample used in this study was representative of the general university student population (aged 18-24 years) in terms of gender, ethnicity, and nationality (domestic or international).
- The two main limitations of this study are that sampling was not random, and not all universities were equally represented (some were over represented while others were under represented).

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies

### **INTRODUCTION**

Tobacco continues to be a leading cause of preventable morbidity and mortality in Aotearoa New Zealand (New Zealand or NZ), with an estimated 5,000 deaths each year linked to smoking[1]. Smoking is a major contributor to health inequalities in NZ, with mortality rates among Māori, the Indigenous population of NZ, roughly twice those of non- Māori non-Pacific people (mainly NZ European)[2]. Monitoring smoking behaviours is vital in order to inform tobacco control policies to reduce preventable deaths and morbidity, and to reduce inequalities.

Despite an overall decline in smoking prevalence in NZ over recent years, still around 15% of adults aged 15 years or older currently smoke [3] and the prevalence is much higher in people aged 18-24 (20%) and 25-34 (22%), and Pacific peoples (23%), and Māori (34%)[3].

#### Rationale

There is limited information on smoking among university students in NZ currently. A 2004 study that looked at smoking in students at the University of Otago[4] found 10% of respondents smoked daily and a further 10% reported occasional smoking. These estimates were however much lower than estimates of smoking prevalence among people in the same age-group in the general population at the time, that ranged between 18.8-26.8% in 15-19 year olds and 29.8-30.3% in 20-24 year olds[5]. In another study that estimated the prevalence of daily and occasional smoking among university students aged 17-25 years from five NZ universities in 2013, 14% of participants reported smoking occasionally and 3% reported smoking on a daily basis[6]. As in the previous study however, reported estimates in this study were much lower than those in the wider population within the same age-group[7].

University students experience fundamental changes in social contexts and identity, as they transition to life away from home (most but not all) and make new friends at university[8, 9]. This increased

 independence and new peers, may promote smoking among occasional smokers, and increase progression to daily smoking[8].

#### **Objectives**

The aims of this study were to estimate the prevalence, and patterns of smoking among university students aged 18-24 years in a national university student population.

#### Setting

Students enrolled at all universities in NZ (eight in total) in 2018.

### **METHODS**

We analysed data from the first of two surveys (the 'Baseline survey'), a cross-sectional survey conducted between March and May 2018 as part of the corresponding author's PhD thesis project. The survey collected data on the perceptions of university students in New Zealand on vaping, cigarette smoking, and the Smokefree 2025 goal. The Smokefree 2025 goal is an NZ government initiative to reduce the smoking prevalence in the country to 5% or less by the year 2025[10].

The Baseline survey aimed to recruit a minimum of 1,061 students from all eight NZ universities: 902 domestic and 160 international, using multiple approaches, to increase the participation of Māori and Pasifika students. Sample size calculations were based on the 2016 Universities NZ data[11] which showed the total NZ university students at 172,000, 85% of whom were domestic (11% Māori, 7.8% Pasifika and 81.2% non-Māori non-Pasifika) and 15% international students; a confidence interval (CI) of 95%; estimated smoking proportion of 0.5 (conservative estimate); margin of error of 3%, and estimated response rate of 10%. 10,610 students were to be invited, but far more were reached.

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

Random sampling was not feasible, because complete enrolment lists of students were not available. However, the questionnaire comprised both an online and printed version and was distributed widely, using social media and other forms of advertising, and direct contact with student volunteers. 2180 students participated and 1611 met the criteria for inclusion in the current study (i.e. they were studying at an NZ university and were aged 18-24 years). **Figure 1** describes how participants were selected.

#### The survey

In the online route, the project was advertised on students' association Facebook pages at respective universities, where this was possible, using a single advert message and photograph. In addition to adverts in general students' association social media platforms, further engagements were made with Māori and Pasifika students' associations. Printed questionnaires were distributed by research assistants (RAs) and volunteers, from participating universities. RAs were recruited from Student Job Search (SJS), a charitable organisation formed by student associations in NZ to help current students and recent graduates of tertiary education institutions in NZ find work[12].

The questionnaire used validated questions: the ethnicity question was based on the NZ census question[13], ever smoking question on NZ Tobacco Use Survey (NZTUS)[14], frequency of smoking question was adapted from Marsh and others who looked at the association of smoking with drinking in NZ university students[6], and NZTUS[14], cigarettes/day question was adapted from the Fagerstrom Test for Nicotine Dependence Questionnaire (FTNQ)[15], time to first cigarette question was adapted from NZTUS[14] and FTND[15], and quit intentions from NZTUS[14]. Questions on current smoking, smoking in smokefree spaces, and number of quit attempts were developed in-house. We piloted the questionnaire and survey methods on 22 students at the University of Canterbury (UC) in October 2017. Respondents were contacted using approaches described previously.

 Responses to two sections: demographic information and tobacco use, out of four sections asked in the Baseline survey are relevant to this paper and explained below.

### **Demographics**

Respondents provided information on:

- Age: 17 years or younger, 18-20 years, 21-24 years, 25-29 years, 30-34 years, 35-39 years, 40-44 years, and 45 years or older. Only those aged 18-24 years were included in the analysis.
- Gender: male, female, other or prefer not to say. Gender-specific analyses included only participants who identified as male or female. This was due to extremely small numbers of those who said 'Other', preferred not to identify their gender or did not respond.
- Ethnicity (based on the NZ census question): NZ European, Māori, Samoan, Cook Island Māori, Tongan, Niuean, Chinese, Indian or Other (participants were able to select multiple responses).
   Ethnicity-specific analyses compared Māori and non-Māori, as in previous studies[4, 16, 17].
- Country of birth: NZ, Australia or Other.
- Years lived in NZ: less than 1 year, 1-5 years, 6-10 years or more than 10 years.

University where participants were studying: Auckland University of Technology (AUT), Lincoln University (Lincoln), Massey University (Massey), University of Auckland (Auckland), UC, University of Otago (Otago), University of Waikato (Waikato), Victoria University of Wellington (VUW), or Other (participants were asked to state where in writing). More than one university could be selected.

#### Tobacco use

Respondents were asked 'Have you smoked cigarettes or tobacco at all, even just a few puffs?', and responses were: 'Yes' and 'No'. Those who answered 'Yes' (defined as 'ever smokers') were asked 'Do you currently smoke cigarettes or tobacco? This includes roll-your-own (RYO)', and responses

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

were: 'Yes' and 'No'. Those who answered yes (defined as 'current smokers') were asked 'Which of the following best describes how often you smoke cigarettes or tobacco now?', and responses were: 'At least once a day', 'At least once a week', 'At least once a month', and 'Less often than once a month'. Those who smoked at least once a month or more frequently, were defined as 'regular smokers'. A new variable was created to separate current smokers who smoked at least once a day from those who smoked less often than once a week.

Current smokers were further asked: 'During the past 30 days, on the days you smoked, how many cigarettes did you smoke per day?' and responses were: '1 to 5', '6 to 10', '11 to 20', '21 to 30', '31 or more', and 'Don't know'. During coding, two new variables were created, the first one having responses as: '1-5', '6-10', '11-20', and '21 or more', and the second with just two responses as: '1-5 cigarettes' and '>5 cigarettes'.

Time to smoking the first cigarette was asked using the question 'How soon after waking do you smoke your first cigarette?' and responses were: 'Within 5 minutes', '5-30 minutes', '31-60 minutes', and '>60 minutes'. During coding, only three groups were used: 'Within 30 minutes', '31-60 minutes', and '>60 minutes'. This was done because of small numbers in those who reported smoking within 5 minutes.

Smoking in spaces where smoking is banned was assessed by 'How often do you smoke in the following settings...? (1) In indoor spaces where smoking is banned, and (2) In outdoor spaces where smoking is banned', and responses for both were: 'Never', 'Almost never', 'Sometimes', 'Fairly often', and 'Very often'. During coding, those who said 'Never' and 'Almost never' were combined into 'Never/almost never', those who said 'Sometimes' stayed that way and those who said 'Fairly often' and 'Very often' were combined to form 'Fairly/very often'. This was done because of small numbers of those who said fairly or very often.

#### **BMJ** Open

The first question on quitting asked: 'Are you planning on giving up smoking?', and responses were: 'Yes, within 30 days', 'Yes, after 30 days but within 3 months', 'Yes, but not within the next 3 months', and 'No, I am not planning on giving up'. During coding, two groups were created: 'Yes, I plan to quit' (included all who said planned to quit, regardless of timeline) and 'Not planning to quit'. The second question on quitting asked 'Have you tried to quit smoking at any time in the last 12 months?', and responses were: 'Yes' and 'No'.

Those who had tried to quit were asked 'In the last 12 months, how many serious attempts to stop smoking did you make that lasted 24 hours or longer? Please include any attempts that you are currently making.', and responses were: '1-3', '4-5' and 'More than 5'. Because of small numbers of those who reported making '4-5' or 'More than 5' attempts, these were combined, resulting in just two groups: '1-3 attempts' and '>3 attempts'.

#### Data analysis

Chi-squared tests were used to compare smoking prevalence by age (18-20 vs. 21-24), gender (male vs. female) and ethnicity (Māori vs. non-Māori). All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistic 25 and two-sided p<.05 was considered statistically significant.

### RESULTS

Demographic characteristics of the sample are reported in **Table 1.** 56.2% identified as NZ European, 8.3% as Māori, 7.7% as Pasifika (in this study Pasifika included Samoan, Cook Island Māori, Tongan and Niuean), 15.1% as Chinese, 5.5% as Indian, and 21.4% as 'Other'. The total percentage exceeds 100% because, as in the NZ census, respondents could select as many ethnic groups as applied.

### **Overall smoking**

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

Of 1607 (99.8%) students who responded to this question, 822 (51.2%) reported ever smoking, 203 (24.9% of ever smokers or 12.6% of the entire sample) currently smoked and 176 (86.7% of current smokers or 10.9% of the sample) smoked regularly. Of current smokers, 69.1% smoked 1-5 cigarettes/day, 18.8% smoked 6-10, 8.3% smoked 11-20 and 3.9% smoked 21 or more cigarettes/day; 39.9% (or 5.0% of the entire sample) smoked at least once a day, 25.6% at least once a week, 21.2% at least once a month and 13.3% smoked less often than once a month. 14.2% of smokers smoked their first cigarette within 30 minutes of waking, 10.0% within 31-60 minutes and 75.8% after more than 60 minutes of waking up; 88.5% reported never or almost never smoking in indoor and 66.7% in outdoor spaces where smoking is banned; 69.2% planned to quit; 32.8% reported trying to quit in the last 12-months, and 70.5% of those had made 1-3 serious attempts to quit smoking.

#### Smoking by age

Ever smoking (p<.001) was statistically significantly higher in students aged 21-24 than those aged 18-20 years; current smoking (p=.359), regular smoking (p=.794), smoking at least once a day (p=.159), number of cigarettes/day on the days the respondent smoked (p=.517), and trying to quit in the last 12 months (p=.149), were not statistically significantly different between the two groups (**Table 2**). 1607 (99.8%) of students responded to the question on ever smoking; 815 (99.1%) of ever smokers responded to the question on current smoking; 203 students responded to the question on regular smoking, and at least once daily smoking (**Table 2**.)

### Smoking by gender

Gender-specific analyses included 600 (38.8%) males and 945 (61.2%) females. Ever (p<.001), current (p=.003), and at least daily smoking (p=.004) were statistically significantly higher in males compared to females, but regular smoking (p=.331), number of cigarettes/day (p=.076), and trying to quit in the last 12 months (p=.859) were not statistically significantly different (**Table 3**).

 Ever smoking (p<.001) was statistically significantly higher in Māori compared to non-Māori; current smoking (p=.396), regular smoking (p=.292), at least daily smoking (p=.389), number of cigarettes/day (p=.924), and trying to quit smoking in the last 12 months (p=.415), were not statistically significantly different between Māori and non-Māori (**Table 4**).

### **DISCUSSION**

This study estimates, in a New Zealand university student population, the prevalence of current smoking (daily or occasionally) of 12.6%, regular smoking (at least once a month or more frequently) of 10.9%, and daily smoking of 5.0%. It also reports higher ever smoking, current smoking and daily smoking estimates in males compared to females, and higher ever smoking estimates in Māori compared to non-Māori students.

The two main limitations of the study lay in its inability to access vital information from universities to facilitate random sampling, and under-representation of some universities (fewer participants relative to university size). Using the 2017 university enrolments as a guide, lower participation was recorded from AUT (in our sample 4.0% vs. 16.2% of 2017 NZ university student population), Auckland (20.2% vs. 23.7%), and Massey (10.6% vs. 17.3%), while Lincoln (4.0% vs. 2.6%), UC (15.5% vs. 9.1%), Otago (16.8% vs. 11.7%), Waikato (9.2% vs. 6.9%), and VUW (15.8% vs. 12.5%), were slightly overrepresented. The highlighted factors are however unlikely to have biased our findings for three reasons, firstly, the overall sample was reasonably large, and had similar demographic characteristics to the general NZ university student population, in terms of age, sex and ethnicity[18], and secondly, the larger project from which our data were obtained included three broad areas (smoking, vaping, and the Smokefree 2025 goal), which would reasonably be of interest to a wider student population, than say smoking alone.

Our estimates are low compared with national smoking prevalence estimates in the same age-group[3]. Comparison with results of a previous study by Marsh and others[6] that looked at smoking in a sample of students aged 17-25, from five universities, suggests that the prevalence of current smoking among students decreased marginally while daily smoking increased by two thirds in the last 6 years. However, there are important differences in demographic characteristics of participants: there were more Māori (8.3% vs. 6.8%) and Pacifika students (7.7% vs. 4.0%), slightly fewer NZ European (56.2% vs. 62.3%), and more international students (about 18% vs. 8.7%) in our study, compared to Marsh and others[6]; students who had lived in NZ for five years or less are used as proxy for international students in our study.

The prevalence of smoking is generally higher in Māori and Pacifika than in non-Māori non-Pacifika[3], and a large proportion of international students in NZ come from countries with traditionally high smoking prevalence[18]. Combined, these could have a substantial contribution to observed prevalence estimates. It is also possible that students may have reduced the number of cigarettes/day without quitting entirely, due to increasing cost of cigarettes[19] as a result of annual cigarette tax increase[20]; about 69% of current smokers in our study smoked 1-5 cigarettes/day.

Smoking prevalence among male compared to female students, was of particular concern, with ever smoking 61.3% vs. 45.1%; current smoking 28.8% vs. 19.7%, and daily smoking 49.5% vs. 29.1%. One possibility might be that males are more likely to have smoking peers. A European study that looked at predictors of smoking behaviour of first year university students in Turkey found only 5% of students whose best friends were non-smokers were themselves smokers, while about 50% of those whose three best friends smoked were also regular smokers [21]. Another possibility is that males are more likely to live away from home, than females. Living with parents or boarding was found to be protective against smoking in a previous study[6].

Many current smokers however smoked five or fewer cigarettes/day (69.1%), smoked their first cigarette more than 60 minutes after waking (75.8%), did not smoke in places where smoking is banned (88.5% indoors and 66.7% outdoors), suggesting low nicotine dependence[15]. Furthermore, 69.2% planned to quit and 32.8% had tried to quit in the last 12 months. Combined, these characteristics makes this population of smokers more likely to successfully quit (if appropriately supported to do so)[22, 23], which is good news from a public health perspective.

It may be helpful to explore new approaches, specifically targeted at university students, and other tertiary educational institutions, to reduce smoking in this population group. For instance, Quitline[24] could work closely with student associations, student unions/clubs, or with university management teams to have information on its services included in orientation material, to reach a wider student population. Additionally, mobile phone-based interventions, including text messaging[25] and apps that can easily be localised and owned by students can be developed and tested. The vast majority of university students in NZ fall in the 16-34 year age-group which is thought to be highly familiar with mobile technology and is most likely to own a smart phone[26].

As far as we are aware, this is the first study to examine the prevalence of, and patterns of smoking in a national sample of university students aged 18-24 years in New Zealand. Repeat cross-sectional data are necessary to establish a clear picture of smoking in this population and to monitor any measures deployed to align smoking rates towards the Smokefree 2025 goal target[10].

Strengths of this study include a large sample that was similar to NZ university students[18], making it likely that our conclusions may be generalizable to the wider university student population. The questionnaire was both online and in print to reach a wider student community and to increase the response rate; prevalence estimates for online and paper questionnaire responses were similar.

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies

### CONCLUSIONS

Our findings suggest that smoking prevalence (daily smoking in particular) among university students in New Zealand aged 18-24 could be substantially higher than previously estimated from other surveys of university students, particularly among male students, and this is of concern. Many smokers however appear to have a low nicotine dependence, and are willing to quit, suggesting smoking prevalence in this population could substantially be reduced and aligned with the Smokefree 2025 goal target of 5% or less by the year 2025.

We recommend greater presence, and availability of smokefree services, and trialling of new mobilephone based interventions to support students who wish to quit do so. Follow-up studies on this segment of the population will help us better understand the problem and update interventions to tackle it.

### What this paper adds

### What is already known on this subject

- The smoking prevalence of New Zealand university students aged 18-24 years is substantially lower than of similar age group in the general population.
- The prevalence of smoking among Māori is generally higher than that of non-Māori.

### What important gaps in knowledge exist on this topic

- Few studies have examined the patterns of smoking in New Zealand university students
- To date, no study has estimated the prevalence of, and/or patterns of smoking using a sample drawn from all eight universities in New Zealand.

### What this paper adds

• The prevalence of daily smoking among New Zealand university students aged 18-24 years is likely higher than previously estimated.

• A large proportion of smokers however appear less addicted to nicotine, and are more willing to quit.

### Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge the university students across New Zealand who took part in this research. We also wish to extend our thanks to research assistants and staff of Student Job Search, who supported the in-person data collection process and enabled this research to be undertaken.

### Contributors

BMW was the primary author (and PhD student), and planned the study, collected and analysed data, and wrote the manuscript. MW-B (BMW's primary supervisor), AR (BMW's co-supervisor) and RG (BMW's co-supervisor) contributed to the planning, study design and manuscript. PC (statistical advisor) contributed to study design, data collection and analysis.

Funding This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial

or not-for-profit sectors.

Data sharing statement No additional data are available.

Ethics approval University of Canterbury Human Ethics Committee.

Patient involvement No patients were involved.

### References

- 1. Ministry of Health. *Health effects of smoking*. 2019 04 February 2019 [cited 2019 24 May]; Available from: <u>https://www.health.govt.nz/your-health/healthy-living/addictions/smoking/health-effects-smoking</u>.
- 2. Blakely, T., et al., What is the contribution of smoking and socioeconomic position to ethnic inequalities in mortality in New Zealand? The Lancet, 2006. **368**(9529): p. 44-52.
- 3. Ministry of Health. *Annual Data Explorer Tobacco use*. 2019 April 2019 [cited 2019 24 May]; Available from: <u>https://minhealthnz.shinyapps.io/nz-health-survey-2017-18-annual-data-explorer/w\_0811ceee/w\_4a6ab761/w\_cdfe1214/#!/explore-indicators</u>.
- 4. Kypri, K. and J. Baxter, *Smoking in a New Zealand university student sample.* This Issue of the Journal, 2004. **117**(1190): p. 1.
- 5. Ministry of Health, *Tobacco Trends 2007: A brief update on monitoring indicators*. 2008, Ministry of Health: Wellington.

1 6. Marsh, L., et al., The association of smoking with drinking pattern may provide opportunities to reduce 2 smoking among students. Kōtuitui: New Zealand Journal of Social Sciences Online, 2016. 11(1): p. 72-3 81. 4 7. Ministry of Health, Annual Update of Key Results 2013/14: New Zealand Health Survey. 2014, Ministry 5 6 of Health: Wellington. 7 Kenford, S.L., et al., Progression of college-age cigarette samplers: What influences outcome. 8. 8 Addictive behaviors, 2005. 30(2): p. 285-294. 9 9. Amin, S.A.E.-Z., H.M. Shaheen, and H.M.E.Y. Omran, Smoking among university students in Kafr El-10 Sheikh Universi. Menoufia Medical Journal, 2016. 29(4): p. 1092. 11 10. New Zealand Parliament, Government Response to the Report of the Maori Affairs Select Committee 12 on its Inquiry into the tobacco industry in Aotearoa and the consequences of tobacco use for Maori 13 (Final Response). 2011, New Zealand Parliament. 14 11. Universities New Zealand, New Zealand's Universities - Key Facts and Stats: summary of information 15 16 sources. 2016, Universities New Zealand: Online. 17 12. Student Job Search. Who can use us. 2019 [cited 2019 25 May]; Available from: 18 https://www.sjs.co.nz/content/students-who-can-use-us. 19 13. Stats NZ, 2013 Census definitions and forms. 2013, Statistics New Zealand: Online. 20 14. Ministry of Health. New Zealand Tobacco Use Survey (NZTUS). 2010 22 November 2010 [cited 2019 02 21 June]; Available from: 22 https://www.hpa.org.nz/sites/default/files/Questionnaire%20for%20HSC%20website-FINAL-23 120413.pdf. 24 25 15. Heatherton, T.F., et al., The Fagerström test for nicotine dependence: a revision of the Fagerstrom 26 Tolerance Questionnaire. British journal of addiction, 1991. 86(9): p. 1119-1127. 27 16. Kypri, K., et al., Drinking and alcohol-related harm among New Zealand university students: Findings 28 from a National Web-Based Survey. Alcoholism: Clinical and experimental research, 2009. 33(2): p. 29 307-314. 30 Bramley, D., et al., Smoking cessation using mobile phone text messaging is as effective in Maori as 17. 31 non-Maori. 2005. 32 Universities New Zealand, New Zealand's Universities Key Facts & Stats. 2018. 33 18. 34 19. Stats NZ. Cigarette price rise offsets cheaper petrol. 2019 17 April 2019 [cited 2019 03 June]; Available 35 from: <u>https://www.stats.govt.nz/news/cigarette-price-rise-offsets-cheaper-petrol</u>. 36 20. Stats NZ. Excise duty increase for cigarettes and tobacco. 2018 06 April 2018 [cited 2019 03 June]; 37 Available from: https://www.stats.govt.nz/methods/excise-duty-increase-for-cigarettes-and-tobacco. 38 21. Saatci, E., et al., Predictors of smoking behavior of first year university students: questionnaire survey. 39 Croat Med J, 2004. 45(1): p. 76-9. 40 22. Ussher, M., et al., Dependence and motivation to stop smoking as predictors of success of a quit 41 attempt among smokers seeking help to quit. Addictive Behaviors, 2016. 53: p. 175-180. 42 23. John, U., et al., Nicotine dependence, guit attempts, and guitting among smokers in a regional 43 44 population sample from a country with a high prevalence of tobacco smoking. Preventive medicine, 45 2004. 38(3): p. 350-358. 46 24. Quitline. Quitline's Help. 2019 May 2019 [cited 2019 03 June]; Available from: 47 https://quit.org.nz/en/help-to-quit. 48 25. Rodgers, A., et al., Do u smoke after txt? Results of a randomised trial of smoking cessation using 49 mobile phone text messaging. Tobacco control, 2005. 14(4): p. 255-261. 50 26. The Nielson Company, The Australian Online Consumer Landscape Review, August 2012. 2012: Online. 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

## **Tables and Figures**

Figure 1. Flowchart of selection of participants included in this study.



Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

Table 1	. Demographic	characteristics	of	particii	oants
I GOIC I	· Domographic	chai acter istres	•••	pai titi	Junio

Variable	Total sample (n = 1611) %	Variable	Total sample (n = 1611) %	
Age		Years lived in NZ		
18-20 years	980 (60.8)	Less than 1 year	144 (8.9)	
21-24 years	631 (39.2)	1-5 years	182 (11.3)	
Gender		6-10 years	107 (6.6)	
Male	600 (37.2)	More than 10 years	1171 (72.7)	
Female	945 (58.7)	Missing data	7 (0.4)	
Other	10 (0.6)	University		
Prefer not to say	9 (0.6)	AUT*	65 (4.0)	
Missing data	47 (2.9)	Lincoln University	65 (4.0)	
Ethnicity		Massey University	170 (10.6)	
NZ European	906 (56.2)	University of Auckland	325 (20.2)	
Māori	134 (8.3)	UC**	249 (15.5)	
Samoan	73 (4.5)	University of Otago	270 (16.8)	
Cook Island Māori	18 (1.1)	University of Waikato	149 (9.2)	
Tongan	27 (1.7)	VUW***	254 (15.8)	
Niuean	6 (0.4)	Other****	38 (2.4)	
Chinese	243 (15.1)	Missing data	26 (1.6)	
Indian	88 (5.5)			
Other	344 (21.4)			
Country of birth				
New Zealand	1005 (62.4)			
Australia	20 (1.2)			
Other	575 (35.7)			

\*Auckland University of Technology. \*\*University of Canterbury. \*\*\*Victoria University of Wellington. \*\*\*\*Stated in writing where they were studying.

Table 2. Ever, current, regular	and at least once dai	nd at least once daily smoking, number of cigarettes/day, and quit intentions; by Age group				
		18-20 years	21-24 years	Total	P-value	
Have you ever smoked	Yes	462 (47.3)	360 (57.1)	822 (51.2)		
cigarettes?	No	514 (52.7)	271 (42.9)	785 (48.8)	<.001	
	Total	976 (100.0)	631 (100.0)	1607 (100.0)		
Do you currently smoke?	Yes	106 (23.2)	93 (26.0)	199 (24.4)		
	No	351 (76.8)	265 (74.0)	616 (75.6)	.359	
	Total	457 (100.0)	358 (100.0)	815 (100.0)		
Do you smoke at least once a month?*	Yes	96 (87.3)	80 (86.0)	176 (86.7)		
	No	14 (12.7)	13 (14.0)	27 (13.3)	.794	
	Total	110 (100.0)	93 (100.0)	203 (100.0)		
Do you smoke at least once	Yes	39 (35.5)	42 (45.2)	81 (39.9)		
daily?	No	71 (64.5)	51 (54.8)	122 (60.1)	.159	
	Total	110 (100.0)	93 (100.0)	203 (100.0)		
Number of cigarettes per day	1-5 cigarettes	69 (71.1)	56 (66.7)	125 (69.1)		
	>5 cigarettes	28 (28.9)	28 (33.3)	56 (30.9)	.517	
	Total	97 (100.0)	84 (100.0)	181 (100.0)		
Tried to quit	Yes	31 (28.4)	35 (38.0)	66 (32.8)		
	No	78 (71.6)	57 (62.0)	135 (67.2)	.149	
	Total	109 (100.0)	92 (100.0)	201 (100.0)		

\*Regular smoker (smoked at least once a day, once a week or once a month).

		Male	Female	Total	P-value
Have you ever smoked	Yes	367 (61.3)	425 (45.1)	792 (51.4)	
cigarettes?	No	232 (38.7)	518 (54.9)	750 (48.6)	<.001
	Total	599 (100.0)	943 (100.0)	1542 (100.0)	
Do you currently smoke?	Yes	105 (28.8)	83 (19.7)	188 (23.9)	
	No	260 (71.2)	338 (80.3)	598 (76.1)	.003
	Total	365 (100.0)	421 (100.0)	786 (100.0)	
Do you smoke at least once a month?*	Yes	93 (88.6)	72 (83.7)	165 (86.4)	
	No	12 (11.4)	14 (16.3)	26 (13.6)	.331
	Total	105 (100.0)	86 (100.0)	191 (100.0)	
Smokes at least once daily	Yes	52 (49.5)	25 (29.1)	77 (40.3)	
	No	53 (50.5)	61 (70.9)	114 (59.7)	.004
	Total	105 (100.0)	86 (100.0)	191 (100.0)	
Cigarettes per day	1-5 cigarettes	57 (62.0)	59 (74.7)	116 (67.8)	
	>5 cigarettes	35 (38.0)	20 (25.3)	55 (32.2)	.076
	Total	92 (100.0)	79 (100.0)	171 (100.0)	
Tried to quit	Yes	36 (35.0)	29 (33.7)	65 (34.4)	
	No	67 (65.0)	57 (66.3)	124 (65.6)	.859
	Total	103 (100.0)	86 (100.0)	189 (100.0)	

\*Regular smoker (smoked at least once a day, once a week or once a month).

		Māori	Non-Māori	Total	P-value
Have you ever smoked	Yes	105 (78.4)	717 (48.7)	822 (51.2)	
cigarettes?	No	29 (21.6)	756 (51.3)	785 (48.8)	<.001
	Total	134 (100.0)	1473 (100.0)	1607 (100.0)	
Do you currently smoke?	Yes	21 (21.0)	178 (24.9)	199 (24.4)	
	No	79 (79.0)	537 (75.1)	616 (75.6)	.396
	Total	100 (100.0)	715 (100.0)	815 (100.0)	
Do you smoke at least once a month?*	Yes	20 (80.0)	156 (87.6)	176 (86.7)	
	No	5 (20.0)	22 (12.4)	27 (13.3)	.292
	Total	25 (100.0)	178 (100.0)	203 (100.0)	
Smokes at least daily	Yes	8 (32.0)	73 (41.0)	81 (39.9)	
	No	17 (68.0)	105 (59.0)	122 (60.1)	.389
	Total	25 (100.0)	178 (100.0)	203 (100.0)	
Cigarettes per day	1-5 cigarettes	15 (68.2)	110 (69.2)	125 (69.1)	
	>5 cigarettes	7 (31.8)	49 (30.8)	56 (30.9)	.924
	Total	22 (100.0)	159 (100.0)	181 (100.0)	
Tried to quit	Yes	10 (40.0)	56 (31.8)	66 (32.8)	
	No	15 (60.0)	120 (68.2)	135 (67.2)	.415
	Total	25 (100.0)	176 (100.0)	201 (100.0)	

\*Regular smoker (smoked at least once a day, once a week or once a month).

ây, Once ..

	Itom	Å	р
	Item No	Recommendation	
Title and abstract	1	( <i>a</i> ) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1-
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what	2
Induc du cdi cu		was done and what was found	
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	4
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	5
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	5
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	5
Participants	6	( <i>a</i> ) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	5
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	7
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	7
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	6
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	5
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	6-
Statistical methods	12	( <i>a</i> ) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	9
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	
		( <i>d</i> ) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	
Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	9
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	9,
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	10
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	10
Main results	16	( <i>a</i> ) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

3
4
5
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
20
50
31
32
33
34
35
36
20
3/
38
39
40
41
42
13
ر <del>ب</del>
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
50
21
52
53
54
55
56
57
57
58
59

60

1 2

		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	
		( <i>c</i> ) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions,	
		and sensitivity analyses	
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	11
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential	11
		bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential	
		bias	
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives,	12
		limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other	
		relevant evidence	
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	13
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present	15
		study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article	
		is based	

\*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

**Note:** An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

# **BMJ Open**

#### Cigarette smoking among university students aged 18-24 years in New Zealand - Results of the first (baseline) of two national surveys.

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2019-032590.R1
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	17-Sep-2019
Complete List of Authors:	Wamamili, Ben; University of Canterbury, Health Sciences Wallace-Bell, Mark; University of Canterbury, Health Sciences Richardson, Ann; University of Canterbury Grace, Randolph; University of Canterbury, School of Psychology, Speech and Hearing. Coope, Pat; University of Canterbury, Health Sciences
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Smoking and tobacco
Secondary Subject Heading:	Smoking and tobacco
Keywords:	smoking, prevalence, cross-sectional, university students, New Zealand



## Title page

**Title**: Cigarette smoking among university students aged 18-24 years in New Zealand - Results of the first (baseline) of two national surveys.

### **Corresponding author**

Ben Musumba Wamamili, University of Canterbury, School of Health Sciences, Private Bag 4800, Christchurch 8140, New Zealand. E-mail: <u>ben.wamamili@pg.canterbury.ac.nz</u> Tel. +64 22 395 0413. Fax (C/o Student Services) +64 3 364 2174.

### **Co-authors**

Mark Wallace-Bell, School of Health Sciences, University of Canterbury, New Zealand, E-mail: mark.wallace-bell@canterbury.ac.nz Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

Ann Richardson, School of Health Sciences, University of Canterbury, New Zealand, E-mail:

ann.richardson@canterbury.ac.nz

Randolph C. Grace, School of Psychology, Speech and Hearing, University of Canterbury, New

Zealand. E-mail: <a href="mailto:randolph.grace@canterbury.ac.nz">randolph.grace@canterbury.ac.nz</a>

Pat Coope, Statistical Advisor, College of Education, Health and Human Development, University of

Canterbury, New Zealand. E-mail: pat.coope@canterbury.ac.nz

Keywords: prevalence, cross-sectional survey, cigarette smoking, university students, New Zealand.

Word count: 3,164 (excluding title page, abstract, figures, tables and references).

### Abstract

### **Objectives**

Although the smoking prevalence continues to decline in New Zealand (NZ) overall, little is known about smoking in university students. A 2013 survey of students aged 17-25 years found 14% were current, and 3% daily smokers. However, the sample did not include students from all NZ universities. וא s study examine. ars. **Setting** University students across NZ. This study examines the prevalence of, and patterns of cigarette smoking among students aged 18-24

Data came from a March-May 2018 survey of students from all NZ universities, and were weighted to account for under- and over-sampling, based on university size. Chi-squared tests were used to compare smoking by age, gender and ethnicity.

### **Participants**

1540 participants were included: 952 (61.8%) aged 18-20 and 588 (38.2%) aged 21-24 years; 569 (36.9%) male and 907 (58.9%) female, 124 (8.1%) Maori and 1416 (91.9%) non-Maori.

#### **BMJ** Open

#### Results

49.3% (95% CI: 46.8-51.8) of respondents reported ever smoking, 10.9% (95% CI: 9.3-12.5) currently smoked (smoked at least once a month) and 5.5% (95% CI: 4.3-6.5) smoked at least daily (daily smokers). Of current smokers, 66.1% smoked 1-5 cigarettes/day, 43.0% smoked daily, 74.6% smoked first cigarette >60 minutes after waking, 86.8% never/almost never smoked in indoor and 67.1% in outdoor smokefree spaces, 67.8% planned to quit, and 29.5% had tried to quit.

Ever, current and daily smoking were significantly higher in 21-24 compared to 18-20 year olds, and in males compared to females. Older participants were more likely to report smoking more cigarettes/day. Māori were more likely to report ever smoking than non-Māori.

#### Conclusions

Current smoking among NZ university students aged 18-24 appears to be declining but daily smoking could be increasing. However, many students appeared less addicted to nicotine, and willing to quit. We recommend increasing availability of smokefree services for students who wish to quit.

#### Strengths and limitations of this study

- This is the first study in New Zealand to examine the prevalence of cigarette smoking, and patterns of smoking in a sample of university students across the country.
- The sample was weighted to account for university sizes and the general New Zealand university student population.
- The main limitation of this study is that sampling was not random.

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies

### **INTRODUCTION**

Tobacco continues to be a leading cause of preventable morbidity and mortality in Aotearoa New Zealand (New Zealand or NZ), with an estimated 5,000 deaths each year linked to smoking[1]. Smoking is a major contributor to health inequalities in NZ, with mortality rates among Māori, the Indigenous population of NZ, roughly twice those of non- Māori non-Pacific people (mainly NZ European)[2]. Monitoring smoking behaviours is vital in order to inform tobacco control policies to reduce preventable deaths and morbidity, and to reduce inequalities.

In March 2011 the NZ Government adopted the Smokefree Aotearoa 2025 goal (Smokefree 2025 or Smokefree goal) for NZ, in response to the recommendations of a landmark Parliamentary inquiry by the Māori Affairs select committee into the tobacco industry in Aotearoa and the consequences of tobacco use for Māori[3]. The goal aspires to reduce the prevalence of smoking and tobacco availability to minimal levels (5% or less) by the year 2025[3]. The Government has maintained a 10% tax increase (above inflation) on tobacco products (effected on 1<sup>st</sup> January) annually since 2010[4], among other measures to reduce smoking[5].

Despite an overall decline in the prevalence of smoking, with current smoking reducing from 18.2% in 2011/12 to 14.9% in 2017/18 and daily smoking from 16.3% to 13.1% in the same period[6], the prevalence remains high in people aged 18-24 years (20%), 25-34 years (22%), Pasifika peoples (23%) and Māori (34%), compared to the general population[6].

There is limited information on smoking among university students in NZ currently. A 2013 survey that estimated the prevalence of daily and occasional smoking among university students aged 17-25 years from five NZ universities reported that 14% of participants smoked occasionally and 3% smoked on a

#### **BMJ** Open

daily basis[7]. These estimates were much lower than those in the wider population within the same age-group[8]. A previous survey of University of Otago students in 2002 found that 10% of respondents smoked daily and a further 10% reported occasional smoking[9]. These estimates were likewise much lower than estimates of smoking prevalence among people in the same age-group in the general population at the time, that ranged between 18.8-26.8% in 15-19 year olds and 29.8-30.3% in 20-24 year olds[10].

University students experience fundamental changes in social contexts and identity, as they transition to life away from home (most but not all) and make new friends at university[11, 12]. Greater independence and new peers may promote smoking among occasional smokers, and increase progression to daily smoking[12]. This study sought to estimate the prevalence, and patterns of smoking among university students aged 18-24 years in a national university student population.

### **METHODS**

We analysed data from the first of two surveys (the 'Baseline survey'), a cross-sectional survey conducted between March and May 2018 as part of the corresponding author's PhD thesis project. The survey collected data on the perceptions of university students in New Zealand on vaping, cigarette smoking, and the Smokefree 2025 goal. This paper concentrates on the data on smoking.

2.

The Baseline survey aimed to recruit a minimum of 1,061 students from all eight NZ universities: 902 domestic and 160 international, using multiple approaches, to increase the participation of Māori and Pasifika students. Sample size calculations were based on the 2016 Universities NZ data[13] which showed the total NZ university students at 172,000, 85% of whom were domestic (11% Māori, 7.8% Pasifika and 81.2% non-Māori non-Pasifika) and 15% international students; a confidence interval (CI)

of 95%; estimated smoking proportion of 0.5 (conservative estimate); margin of error of 3%, and estimated response rate of 10%. 10,610 students were to be invited, but far more were reached.

Random sampling was not feasible, because complete enrolment lists of students were not available from the universities. However, data were weighted to account for under- and over-sampling, by institution size, and the questionnaire comprised both an online and printed version and was distributed widely, using social media and other forms of advertising, and direct contact with student volunteers. 2180 students participated and 1540 met the criteria for inclusion in the current study (i.e. they were studying at an NZ university and were aged 18-24 years). Figure 1 describes how participants were selected.

#### The survey

 In the online route, the project was advertised on students' association Facebook pages at respective universities, where this was possible, using a single advert message and photograph. In addition to adverts in general students' association social media platforms, further engagements were made with Māori and Pasifika students' associations. Printed questionnaires were distributed by research assistants (RAs) and volunteers, from participating universities. RAs were recruited from Student Job Search (SJS), a charitable organisation formed by student associations in NZ to help current students and recent graduates of tertiary education institutions in NZ find work[14].

The questionnaire used validated questions: the ethnicity question was based on the NZ census[15], ever smoking question on NZ Tobacco Use Survey (NZTUS)[16], frequency of smoking question was adapted from Marsh and others who looked at the association of smoking with drinking in NZ university students[7], and NZTUS[16], cigarettes/day question was adapted from the Fagerstrom Test for Nicotine Dependence Questionnaire (FTNQ)[17], time to first cigarette question was adapted from

#### **BMJ** Open

NZTUS[16] and FTND[17], and quit intentions from NZTUS[16]. Questions on current smoking,
smoking in smokefree spaces, and number of quit attempts were developed in-house. We piloted the
questionnaire and survey methods on 22 students at the University of Canterbury (UC) in October 2017.
Respondents were contacted using approaches described previously.

#### **Patient and Public Involvement**

No patients were involved in this project.

#### Survey measures

Responses to two sections: demographic information and tobacco use, out of four sections asked in the Baseline survey are relevant to this paper and explained below.

CZ.

#### **Demographics**

Respondents provided information on age, gender, ethnicity, years lived in NZ and the university where they were studying. Only those aged 18-24 years were included in the analysis because two main reasons, firstly, we did not have much flexibility with recoding data from the original project (Baseline survey), to for example, include ages 17-25, which would have reduced the proportion of participants excluded based on age, and secondly, the 18-24 aged allowed for comparisons with national estimates that use a similar age band[6]. Gender-specific analyses included only participants who identified as male or female due to extremely small numbers of other genders. Ethnicity-specific analyses compared Māori and non-Māori, as in previous studies[9, 18, 19]. Years lived in NZ (five or less) was used as proxy for international students. Participants could select one or more of the eight universities: Auckland University of Technology (AUT), Lincoln University, Massey University, University of Auckland, UC, University of Otago, University of Waikato and Victoria University of Wellington (VUW).

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

#### <u>Tobacco use</u>

Respondents were asked 'Have you smoked cigarettes or tobacco at all, even just a few puffs?', and responses were: 'Yes' and 'No'. Those who answered 'Yes' (defined as 'ever smokers') were asked 'Do you currently smoke cigarettes or tobacco? This includes roll-your-own (RYO)', and responses were: 'Yes' and 'No'. Those who answered yes were asked 'Which of the following best describes how often you smoke cigarettes or tobacco now?', and responses were 'At least once a day', 'At least once a week', 'At least once a month', and 'Less often than once a month'. Those who smoked at least once a day were defined as 'daily smokers', and those who smoked at least once a month or more frequently were defined as 'current smokers'.

Current smokers were further asked: 'During the past 30 days, on the days you smoked, how many cigarettes did you smoke per day?' and responses were '1 to 5', '6 to 10', '11 to 20', '21 to 30', '31 or more', and 'Don't know'. A new variable was created with just two responses as '1-5 cigarettes' and '>5 cigarettes'.

Time to smoking the first cigarette was asked using the question 'How soon after waking do you smoke your first cigarette?' and responses were: 'Within 5 minutes', '5-30 minutes', '31-60 minutes', and '>60 minutes'. A new variable with only two levels: 'within 60 minutes', and '>60 minutes' was created. This was due to small numbers in the three response categories that fell within 60 minutes of waking. This variable was only used in overall prevalence analyses, not for analyses by age, gender or ethnicity.

Smoking in spaces where smoking is banned was assessed by 'How often do you smoke in the following settings...? (1) In indoor spaces where smoking is banned, and (2) In outdoor spaces where smoking is banned', and responses for both were: 'Never', 'Almost never', 'Sometimes', 'Fairly often', and 'Very often'. A new variable was created combining those who said 'Never' and 'Almost never' into 'Never/almost never' and the rest grouped together into 'Other'. This was due to small numbers of those

#### **BMJ** Open

who said sometimes, fairly or very often. This variable was only used in overall prevalence analyses, not for analyses by age, gender or ethnicity.

The first question on quitting asked: 'Are you planning on giving up smoking?', and responses were: 'Yes, within 30 days', 'Yes, after 30 days but within 3 months', 'Yes, but not within the next 3 months', and 'No, I am not planning on giving up'. During coding, a new variable was created with only two levels: 'Yes, I plan to quit' (included all who said planned to quit, regardless of timeline) and 'Not planning to quit'. The second question on quitting asked 'Have you tried to quit smoking at any time in the last 12 months?', and responses were 'Yes' and 'No'.

Those who had tried to quit were asked 'In the last 12 months, how many serious attempts to stop smoking did you make that lasted 24 hours or longer? Please include any attempts that you are currently making.', and responses were '1-3', '4-5' and 'More than 5'. Because of small numbers of those who reported making '4-5' or 'More than 5' attempts, these were combined, resulting in just two groups: '1-3 attempts' and '>3 attempts'. This variable was only used in overall prevalence analyses, not for analyses by age, gender or ethnicity.

#### Data analysis

Chi-squared tests were used to compare smoking prevalence by age (18-20 vs. 21-24), gender (male vs. female) and ethnicity (Māori vs. non-Māori). All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistic 25 and two-sided p<.05 was considered statistically significant. Confidence intervals (CI) were included where appropriate. Responses were weighted to account for under- and over-sampling, based on institution. Inconsistent reporting of summary data across universities meant that weights to account for under- and over-sampling with respect to gender, age and ethnicity could not be calculated.

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

## RESULTS

Demographic characteristics of the sample (unweighted) are reported in **Table 1.** 56.0% identified as NZ European, 8.1% as Māori, 7.3% as Pasifika (in this study Pasifika included Samoan, Cook Island Māori, Tongan and Niuean), 15.2% as Chinese, 5.5% as Indian, and 21.9% as 'Other'. The total percentage exceeds 100% because, as in the NZ census, respondents could select as many ethnic groups as applied.

Variable	Sample (n = 1540) %	Variable	Sample (n = 1540) %
Age		Years lived in NZ	
18-20 years	952 (61.8)	Less than 1 year	142 (9.2
21-24 years	588 (38.2)	1-5 years	171 (11.1
Gender		6-10 years	102 (6.6
Male	569 (36.9)	More than 10 years	1119 (72.9
Female	907 (58.9)	Missing data	6 (0.4
Other	10 (0.6)	University	
Prefer not to say	8 (0.5)	AUT*	65 (4.2
Missing data	46 (3.0)	Lincoln University	65 (4.2
Ethnicity		Massey University	170 (11.0
NZ European	863 (56.0)	University of Auckland	325 (21.1
Māori	124 (8.1)	University of Canterbury	249 (16.2
Samoan	65 (4.2)	University of Otago	270 (17.5
Cook Island Māori	16 (1.0)	University of Waikato	149 (9.7
Tongan	27 (1.8)	VUW**	254 (16.5
Niuean	5 (0.3)		
Chinese	234 (15.2)		
Indian	84 (5.5)		
Other	338 (21.9)		

Note: This table presents unweighted data. Respondents could select one or more universities. \*Auckland University of Technology. \*\*Victoria University of Wellington.

### **Overall smoking**

49.3% (95% CI: 46.8-51.8) of respondents reported ever smoking, 10.9% (95% CI: 9.3-12.5) currently smoked and 5.5% (95% CI: 4.3-6.5) smoked daily (**Table 2**). Of current smokers, 66.1% smoked 1-5 cigarettes/day, 19.3% smoked 6-10, 9.9% smoked 11-20 and 4.7% smoked 21 or more cigarettes/day; 43.0% smoked at least daily, 24.7% at least once a week, 18.7% at least once a month, and 13.6% smoked less often than once a month. 15.9% of smokers smoked their first cigarette within 30 minutes

#### **BMJ** Open

of waking, 9.5% within 31-60 minutes and 74.6% after more than 60 minutes of waking up; 86.8% reported never or almost never smoking in indoor and 67.1% in outdoor spaces where smoking is banned; 67.8% planned to quit; 29.5% reported trying to quit in the last 12-months, and 72.7% of those had made 1-3 serious attempts to quit smoking.

### Smoking by age

Statistically significantly more participants aged 21-24 years reported ever (54.3% vs. 46.2%, p=.002), current (13.6% vs. 9.2%, p=.008) and daily smoking (8.4% vs. 3.6%, p<.001), and smoking >5 cigarettes/day (42.5% vs. 26.4%, p=.026), than those aged 18-20 years, but quit intentions were not statistically significantly different (32.2% vs. 27.7%, p=.498) (**Table 2**).

		18 to 20 years	21 to 24 years	Total	P-value
Ever smoked?	Yes	434 (46.2)	315 (54.3)	749 (49.3)	
	No	505 (53.8)	265 (45.7)	770 (50.7)	.002
	Total	939 (100.0)	580 (100.0)	1519 (100.0)	
Currently smoke?	Yes	87 (9.2)	79 (13.6)	166 (10.9)	
	No	854 (90.8)	500 (86.4)	1354 (89.1)	.008
	Total	941 (100.0)	579 (100.0)	1520 (100.0)	
Smokes daily?	Yes	34 (3.6)	49 (8.4)	83 (5.5)	
	No	907 (96.4)	531 (91.6)	1438 (94.5)	<.001
	Total	941 (100.0)	580 (100.0)	1521 (100.0)	
Number of cigarettes/day	1-5 cigarettes	67 (73.6)	46 (57.5)	113 (66.1)	
	>5 cigarettes	24 (26.4)	34 (42.5)	58 (33.9)	.026
	Total	91 (100.0)	80 (100.0)	171 (100.0)	
Ever tried to quit	Yes	28 (27.7)	29 (32.2)	57 (29.8)	
	No	73 (72.3)	61 (67.8)	134 (70.2)	.498
	Total	101 (100.0)	90 (100.0)	191 (100.0)	

Note: the cells contain rounded weighted counts and sometimes the marginal totals are not exactly the sum of the component cells.

### Smoking by gender

Gender-specific analyses included only males and females. Ever (59.1% vs. 43.5%, p<.001), current (16.3% vs. 7.2%, p<.001), and daily smoking (9.7% vs. 2.8%, p<.001) were statistically significantly higher in males compared to females, but smoking >5 cigarettes/day (41.3% vs. 26.5%, p=.052) and quit intentions (28.2% vs. 33.8%, p=.419) were not statistically significantly different (**Table 3**).

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

		Male	Female	Total	P-value
Ever smoked?	Yes	334 (59.1)	384 (43.5)	718 (49.6)	
	No	231 (40.9)	499 (56.5)	730 (50.4)	<.001
	Total	565 (100.0)	883 (100.0)	1448 (100.0)	
Currently smoke?	Yes	92 (16.3)	64 (7.2)	156 (10.8)	
	No	474 (83.7)	820 (92.8)	1294 (89.2)	<.001
	Total	566 (100.0)	884 (100.0)	1450 (100.0)	
Smokes daily?	Yes	55 (9.7)	25 (2.8)	80 (5.5)	
	No	510 (90.3)	859 (97.2)	1369 (94.5)	<.001
	Total	565 (100.0)	884 (100.0)	1449 (100.0)	
Number of cigarettes/day	1-5 cigarettes	54 (58.7)	50 (73.5)	104 (65.0)	
	>5 cigarettes	38 (41.3)	18 (26.5)	56 (35.0)	.052
	Total	92 (100.0)	68 (100.0)	160 (100.0)	
Ever tried to quit	Yes	29 (28.2)	26 (33.8)	55 (30.6)	
	No	74 (71.8)	51 (66.2)	125 (69.4)	.419
	Total	103 (100.0)	77 (100.0)	180 (100.0)	

Note: the cells contain rounded weighted counts and sometimes the marginal totals are not exactly the sum of the component cells.

### Smoking by ethnicity

Ever smoking (75.9% vs. 47.3%, p<.001) was statistically significantly higher in Māori than in non-Māori, but current smoking (13.0% vs. 10.8%, p=.479), daily smoking (4.6% vs. 5.5%, p=.695), smoking >5 cigarettes/day (26.7% vs. 34.8%, p=.524), and quit intentions (38.9% vs. 28.3%, p=.349) were all not statistically significantly different between Māori and non-Māori (**Table 4**).

		Māori	Non-Māori	Total	P-value
Ever smoked?	Yes	82 (75.9)	667 (47.3)	749 (49.3)	
	No	26 (24.1)	744 (52.7)	770 (50.7)	<.001
	Total	108 (100.0)	1411 (100.0)	1519 (100.0)	
Currently smoke?	Yes	14 (13.0)	152 (10.8)	166 (10.9)	
	No	94 (87.0)	1261 (89.2)	1355 (89.1)	.479
	Total	108 (100.0)	1413 (100.0)	1521 (100.0)	
Smokes daily?	Yes	5 (4.6)	78 (5.5)	83 (5.5)	
	No	103 (95.4)	1335 (94.5)	1438 (94.5)	.695
	Total	108 (100.0)	1413 (100.0)	1521 (100.0)	
Number of cigarettes/day	1-5 cigarettes	11 (73.3)	101 (65.2)	112 (65.9)	
	>5 cigarettes	4 (26.7)	54 (34.8)	58 (34.1)	.524
	Total	15 (100.0)	155 (100.0)	170 (100.0)	
Ever tried to quit	Yes	7 (38.9)	49 (28.3)	56 (29.3)	
	No	11 (61.1)	124 (71.7)	135 (70.7)	.349
	Total	18 (100.0)	173 (100.0)	191 (100.0)	

Note: the cells contain rounded weighted counts and sometimes the marginal totals are not exactly the sum of the component cells.

### DISCUSSION

This study estimates, in a New Zealand university student population, the prevalence of current smoking (at least once a month or more frequently) of 10.9% and daily smoking of 5.5%. It also reports higher ever smoking, current smoking and daily smoking estimates students aged 21-24 years compared to those aged 18-20 years and in males compared to females, and higher ever smoking estimates in Māori compared to non-Māori students.

The two main limitations of the study lay in its inability to access vital information from universities to facilitate random sampling, and under-representation of some universities (fewer participants relative to university size); data weighting was done to address the latter. Volunteer bias could lead to underestimation of prevalence estimates, while affecting to a minor extent the associations between smoking and age, gender and ethnicity.

Our estimates are low compared with the national smoking prevalence estimates in the same agegroup[6]. Comparison with results of a previous study by Marsh and others[7] that looked at smoking in a sample of students aged 17-25, from five universities using 2013 data suggests that the prevalence of current smoking among students decreased marginally from 14.1% in 2013 to 10.9% in 2018 while daily smoking increased slightly from 2.9% to 5.5% in the same period. However, important differences exist in demographic characteristics of participants in these two surveys: there were more Pasifika students (7.3% vs. 4.0%), slightly fewer NZ European students (56.0% vs. 62.3%), more international students (20.3% vs. 8.7%) and slightly different age bands (18-24 years vs. 17-25 years), in the current study compared to Marsh and others[7]. Students who had lived in NZ for five years or less are used as proxy for international students in the current study. Our daily smoking prevalence estimate of 5.5% is nevertheless lower than the 10% reported in a 2002 survey of students at Otago[9]. Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

NZ is currently at Stage 4 of the smoking epidemic characterised by a marked downturn in smoking prevalence in both men and women[20, 21]. The prevalence of smoking is generally higher in Māori and Pasifika than in non-Māori non-Pasifika[6], and a large proportion of international students in NZ come from countries with traditionally high smoking prevalence[22]. Combined, these could have a substantial contribution to observed prevalence estimates. It is also possible that students may have reduced the number of cigarettes/day without quitting entirely, due to increasing cost of cigarettes[23] as a result of annual cigarette tax increase[4]; about 66% of current smokers in our study smoked 1-5 cigarettes/day. Most NZ universities also have smokefree campuses.

Smoking prevalence among male compared to female students, was of particular concern, with ever smoking 59.1% vs. 43.5%, current smoking 16.3% vs. 7.2%, and daily smoking 9.7% vs. 2.8%. One possibility might be that males are more likely to have many smoking peers. A European study that looked at predictors of smoking behaviour of first year university students in Turkey found only 5% of students whose best friends were non-smokers were themselves smokers, while about 50% of those whose three best friends smoked were also regular smokers[24]. Another possibility is that males are more likely to live away from home, than females. Living with parents or boarding was found to be protective against smoking in a previous study[7].

Many current smokers however smoked five or fewer cigarettes/day (66.1%), smoked their first cigarette more than 60 minutes after waking (74.6%), did not smoke in places where smoking is banned (86.8% indoors and 67.1% outdoors), suggesting low nicotine dependence[17]. Furthermore, 67.8% planned to quit and 29.5% had tried to quit in the last 12 months. Combined, these characteristics makes this population of smokers more likely to successfully quit (if appropriately supported to do so)[25, 26], which is good news from a public health perspective.

Besides the current tobacco control measures used in NZ, including higher cigarette taxes/prices, mass media campaigns, smokefree environments, publicly funded stop smoking medicines (nicotine

#### **BMJ** Open

replacement therapy), community-based stop smoking services, among others, it may be helpful to explore new approaches targeted at university/tertiary students, to reduce smoking in this population group. For instance, Quitline[27] could work closely with student associations, student unions/clubs, or with university management teams to have information on its services included in orientation material, to reach a wider student population. Additionally, mobile phone-based interventions, including text messaging[28] and apps that can easily be localised and owned by students can be developed and tested. The vast majority of university students in NZ fall in the 16-34 year age-group which is thought to be highly familiar with mobile technology and is most likely to own a smart phone[29].

As far as we are aware, this is the first study to examine the prevalence of, and patterns of smoking in a national sample of university students aged 18-24 years in New Zealand. Repeat cross-sectional data are necessary to establish a clear picture of smoking in this population and to monitor any measures deployed to align smoking rates towards the Smokefree 2025 goal target[3].

Strengths of this study include a reasonably large sample that was closely similar to NZ university students (**Table 5**), making it likely that our conclusions may be generalizable to the wider university student population. The questionnaire was available online and in print to reach a wider student community and to increase the response rate; prevalence estimates for online and paper questionnaire responses were similar.

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies

		This paper		NZ university student
		Unweighted (%)	Weighted (%)	population (%)
Student type	Domestic	79.7	78.3	84.1*
	International	20.3	21.7	15.9*
Ethnicity	Māori	8.1	7.1	9.6*
	Non-Māori	91.9	92.9	90.4*
Gender	Male	36.9	37.2	40**
	Female	58.9	58.1	60**
	All others***	4.2	4.7	N/A

\*Source: Universities NZ[22] \*\*Source: Otago Daily Times[30]. \*\*\*Includes those who said 'Other', 'Prefer not to say' or data was missing.

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

### **CONCLUSIONS**

Our findings suggest that the prevalence of current smoking among university students in New Zealand aged 18-24 is declining but daily smoking could be increasing, compared with previously reported estimates using 2013 data from five universities. Smoking among males and older students (ages 21-24 years) is of particular concern. However, many smokers appear to have a low nicotine dependence, and are willing to quit, suggesting smoking prevalence in this population could substantially be reduced and aligned with the Smokefree 2025 goal target of 5% or less by the year 2025.

We recommend greater presence, and availability of smokefree services, and trialling of new technologies such as mobile-phone based interventions to support students who wish to quit to do so. Follow-up studies on this segment of the population will help us better understand the problem and update interventions to tackle it.

### Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge the university students across New Zealand who took part in this research. We also wish to extend our thanks to research assistants and staff of Student Job Search, who supported the in-person data collection process and enabled this research to be undertaken.

#### Contributors

BMW (PhD student) planned the study, collected and analysed data, and wrote the manuscript.

MW-B, AR and RCG are BMW's supervisors and contributed to the planning, study design and writing the manuscript.

PC contributed to the study design, data collection, data weighting and analysis.

**Funding** This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

2 3

4 5 6

7 8

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

37

40

41

42

45

47

59

60

Data sharing statement No additional data are available.

Ethics approval University of Canterbury Human Ethics Committee. Research Ethics ID: HEC

2017/42/LR-PS.

### References

- 1. Ministry of Health. Health effects of smoking. 2019 04 February 2019 [cited 2019 24 May]; Available from: https://www.health.govt.nz/your-health/healthy-living/addictions/smoking/health-effectssmoking.
  - 2. Blakely, T., et al. What is the contribution of smoking and socioeconomic position to ethnic inequalities in mortality in New Zealand? The Lancet, 2006. 368(9529): p. 44-52.
- 3. New Zealand Parliament, Government Response to the Report of the Maori Affairs Select Committee on its Inquiry into the tobacco industry in Aotearoa and the consequences of tobacco use for Maori (Final Response). 2011, New Zealand Parliament: Wellington.
- Stats NZ. Excise duty increase for cigarettes and tobacco. 2018 06 April 2018 [cited 2019 05 4. September]; Available from: https://www.stats.govt.nz/methods/excise-duty-increase-for-cigarettesand-tobacco.
  - 5. Ministry of Health. Tobacco control in New Zealand. 2018 28 May 2018 [cited 2019 12 September]; Available from: https://www.health.govt.nz/our-work/preventative-health-wellness/tobaccocontrol/tobacco-control-new-zealand.
- Ministry of Health. Annual Data Explorer Tobacco use. 2019 April 2019 [cited 2019 24 May]; 6. Available from: https://minhealthnz.shinyapps.io/nz-health-survey-2017-18-annual-dataexplorer/ w 0811ceee/ w 4a6ab761/ w cdfe1214/#!/explore-indicators.
- 7. Marsh, L., et al. The association of smoking with drinking pattern may provide opportunities to reduce 36 smoking among students. Kotuitui: New Zealand Journal of Social Sciences Online, 2016. 11(1): p. 72-81. 38 39
  - Ministry of Health. Annual Update of Key Results 2013/14: New Zealand Health Survey. 2014, Ministry 8. of Health: Wellington.
  - Kypri, K. and J. Baxter. Smoking in a New Zealand university student sample. Kotuitui: New Zealand 9. Journal of Social Sciences Online, 2004. 117(1190): p. 72-81.
- 43 Ministry of Health. Tobacco Trends 2007: A brief update on monitoring indicators. 2008, Ministry of 10. 44 Health..
- Amin, S.A.E.-Z., H.M. Shaheen, and H.M.E.Y. Omran. Smoking among university students in Kafr El-11. 46 Sheikh Universi. Menoufia Medical Journal, 2016. 29(4): p. 1092.
- 48 12. Kenford, S.L., et al. Progression of college-age cigarette samplers: What influences outcome. Addictive 49 behaviors, 2005. 30(2): p. 285-294.
- 50 13. Universities New Zealand. New Zealand's Universities - Key Facts and Stats: summary of information 51 sources. 2016, Universities New Zealand: Online. 52
- 14. Student Job Search. Who can use us. 2019 [cited 2019 25 May]; Available from: 53 https://www.sjs.co.nz/content/students-who-can-use-us. 54
  - 15. Stats NZ. 2013 Census definitions and forms. 2013, Statistics New Zealand: Online.
- 55 16. Ministry of Health. New Zealand Tobacco Use Survey (NZTUS). 2010 22 November 2010 [cited 2019 02 56 June]; Available from: 57 58

https://www.hpa.org.nz/sites/default/files/Questionnaire%20for%20HSC%20website-FINAL-120413.pdf.

17. Heatherton, T.F., et al. The Fagerström test for nicotine dependence: a revision of the Fagerstrom Tolerance Questionnaire. British journal of addiction, 1991. 86(9): p. 1119-1127.

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

18. Kypri, K., et al. Drinking and alcohol-related harm among New Zealand university students: Findings from a National Web-Based Survey. Alcoholism: Clinical and experimental research, 2009. 33(2): p. 307-314. 19. Bramley, D., et al. Smoking cessation using mobile phone text messaging is as effective in Maori as non-Maori. 2005. 20. World Health Organisation. Tobacco control country profiles (second edition). 2003, World Health Organisation (WHO): Helsinki, Finland. p. 1-12. Thun, M., et al. Stages of the cigarette epidemic on entering its second century. Tobacco control, 2012. 21. 10 21(2): p. 96-101. 11 22. Universities New Zealand. New Zealand's Universities Key Facts & Stats. 2018. 12 23. Stats NZ. Cigarette price rise offsets cheaper petrol. 2019 17 April 2019 [cited 2019 03 June]; Available 13 from: https://www.stats.govt.nz/news/cigarette-price-rise-offsets-cheaper-petrol. 14 24. Saatci, E., et al. Predictors of smoking behavior of first year university students: questionnaire survey. 15 16 Croat Med J, 2004. 45(1): p. 76-9. 17 25. Ussher, M., et al. Dependence and motivation to stop smoking as predictors of success of a quit 18 attempt among smokers seeking help to quit. Addictive Behaviors, 2016. 53: p. 175-180. 19 26. John, U., et al. Nicotine dependence, quit attempts, and quitting among smokers in a regional 20 population sample from a country with a high prevalence of tobacco smoking. Preventive medicine, 21 2004. 38(3): p. 350-358. 22 27. Quitline. Quitline's Help. 2019 May 2019 [cited 2019 03 June]; Available from: 23 https://quit.org.nz/en/help-to-quit. 24 25 28. Rodgers, A., et al. Do u smoke after txt? Results of a randomised trial of smoking cessation using 26 *mobile phone text messaging*. Tobacco control, 2005. **14**(4): p. 255-261. 27 29. The Nielson Company. The Australian Online Consumer Landscape Review, August 2012. 2012: Online. 28 McPhee, E. Uni women outnumber men 60:40. 2019 14 January 2019 [cited 2019 06 September]; 30. 29 Available from: https://www.odt.co.nz/news/dunedin/campus/university-of-otago/uni-women-30 outnumber-men-6040. 31 Z.C 32 33 34 35 36 **Figure legend** 37 Figure 1. Flowchart of selection of participants included in this study. 38 39 40



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
20 21
21
22
23 24
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
57
52 52
22
54 57
55
56
5/
58
59

STROBE Statement	-Checklist of items	s that should be incl	uded in reports of	f cross-sectional studies
STRODE Statement	Checkinst of hems	s mai snould be mer	uucu in reports of	Cross-sectional statics

	Item No	Recommendation	Page No
Title and abstract	1	( <i>a</i> ) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or	1
		the abstract	
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what	2 &
		was done and what was found	3
Introduction			1
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	4
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	5
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	5
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of	5
6		recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection	5
1		of participants	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders,	7-9
		and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods	7-9
measurement		of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment	
		methods if there is more than one group	
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	9
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	5-6
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If	7-9
		applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for	9
		confounding	
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	
		( <i>d</i> ) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling	
		strategy	
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	
Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers	10
1		potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included	
		in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical,	10
÷		social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of	11-
		interest	13
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	10-
			13

Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted	
		estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear	
		which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	
		( <i>b</i> ) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	
		( <i>c</i> ) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential	
		bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential	
		bias	
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives,	
		limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other	
		relevant evidence	
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study	
		and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is	
		based	

\*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

# **BMJ Open**

#### Cigarette smoking among university students aged 18-24 years in New Zealand - Results of the first (baseline) of two national surveys.

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2019-032590.R2
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	07-Nov-2019
Complete List of Authors:	Wamamili, Ben; University of Canterbury, Health Sciences Wallace-Bell, Mark; University of Canterbury, Health Sciences Richardson, Ann; University of Canterbury Grace, Randolph; University of Canterbury, School of Psychology, Speech and Hearing. Coope, Pat; University of Canterbury, Health Sciences
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Smoking and tobacco
Secondary Subject Heading:	Smoking and tobacco, Epidemiology
Keywords:	smoking, prevalence, cross-sectional, university students, New Zealand



## Title page

**Title**: Cigarette smoking among university students aged 18-24 years in New Zealand - Results of the first (baseline) of two national surveys.

### **Corresponding author**

Ben Musumba Wamamili, University of Canterbury, School of Health Sciences, Private Bag 4800, Christchurch 8140, New Zealand. E-mail: <u>ben.wamamili@pg.canterbury.ac.nz</u> Tel. +64 22 395 0413. Fax (C/o Student Services) +64 3 364 2174.

### **Co-authors**

Mark Wallace-Bell, School of Health Sciences, University of Canterbury, New Zealand, E-mail: mark.wallace-bell@canterbury.ac.nz Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

Ann Richardson, School of Health Sciences, University of Canterbury, New Zealand, E-mail:

ann.richardson@canterbury.ac.nz

Randolph C. Grace, School of Psychology, Speech and Hearing, University of Canterbury, New

Zealand. E-mail: <a href="mailto:randolph.grace@canterbury.ac.nz">randolph.grace@canterbury.ac.nz</a>

Pat Coope, Statistical Advisor, College of Education, Health and Human Development, University of

Canterbury, New Zealand. E-mail: pat.coope@canterbury.ac.nz

Keywords: prevalence, cross-sectional survey, cigarette smoking, university students, New Zealand.

Word count: 3,286 (excluding title page, abstract, figures, tables and references).

### Abstract

# **Objectives**

Although the smoking prevalence continues to decline in New Zealand (NZ) overall, little is known about smoking in university students. A 2013 survey of students aged 17-25 years found 14% were current, and 3% daily smokers. However, the sample did not include students from all NZ universities. is study examine ars. **Setting** University students across NZ. This study examines the prevalence of, and patterns of cigarette smoking among students aged 18-24

Data came from a March-May 2018 survey of students from all NZ universities, and were weighted to account for under- and over-sampling, based on gender and university size. Chi-squared tests were used to compare smoking by age, gender and ethnicity.

### **Participants**

1,476 participants were included: 919 (62.3%) aged 18-20 and 557 (37.7%) aged 21-24 years; 569 (38.6%) male and 907 (61.4%) female, 117 (7.9%) Māori and 1,359 (92.1%) non-Māori.

#### **BMJ** Open

#### Results

49.8% (95% CI: 47.2-52.4) of respondents reported ever smoking, 11.1% (95% CI: 9.5-12.9) currently smoked (smoked at least once a month) and 5.9% (95% CI: 4.8-7.3) smoked at least daily (daily smokers). Of current smokers, 63.6% smoked 1-5 cigarettes/day, 45.8% smoked daily, 73.4% smoked first cigarette >60 minutes after waking, 86.0% never/almost never smoked in indoor and 64.6% in outdoor smokefree spaces, 69.9% planned to quit, and 32.4% had tried to quit.

Ever, current and daily smoking were significantly higher in 21-24 compared to 18-20 year olds, and in males compared to females. Older participants were more likely to report smoking more cigarettes/day. Māori were more likely to report ever smoking than non-Māori.

#### Conclusions

Current smoking among NZ university students aged 18-24 appears to be declining but daily smoking could be increasing. However, many students appeared less addicted to nicotine, and willing to quit. We recommend increasing availability of smokefree services for students who wish to quit.

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

#### Strengths and limitations of this study

- This is the first study in New Zealand to examine the prevalence of cigarette smoking, and patterns of smoking in a sample of university students across the country.
- The sample was weighted by gender and university size to improve its representation of the general New Zealand university student population.
- The main limitation of this study is that sampling was not random.

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies

### **INTRODUCTION**

Tobacco continues to be a leading cause of preventable morbidity and mortality in Aotearoa New Zealand (New Zealand or NZ), with an estimated 5,000 deaths each year linked to smoking[1]. Smoking is a major contributor to health inequalities in NZ, with mortality rates among Māori, the Indigenous population of NZ, roughly twice those of non- Māori non-Pacific people (mainly NZ European)[2]. Monitoring smoking behaviours is vital in order to inform tobacco control policies to reduce preventable deaths and morbidity, and to reduce inequalities.

In March 2011 the NZ Government adopted the Smokefree Aotearoa 2025 goal (Smokefree 2025 or Smokefree goal) for NZ, in response to the recommendations of a landmark Parliamentary inquiry by the Māori Affairs select committee into the tobacco industry in Aotearoa and the consequences of tobacco use for Māori[3]. The goal aspires to reduce the prevalence of smoking and tobacco availability to minimal levels (5% or less) by the year 2025[3]. The Government has maintained a 10% tax increase (above inflation) on tobacco products (effected on 1<sup>st</sup> January) annually since 2010[4], among other measures to reduce smoking[5].

Despite an overall decline in the prevalence of smoking, with current smoking reducing from 18.2% in 2011/12 to 14.9% in 2017/18 and daily smoking from 16.3% to 13.1% in the same period[6], the prevalence remains high in people aged 18-24 years (20%), 25-34 years (22%), Pasifika peoples (23%) and Māori (34%), compared to the general population[6].

There is limited information on smoking among university students in NZ currently. A 2013 survey that estimated the prevalence of daily and occasional smoking among university students aged 17-25 years from five NZ universities reported that 14% of participants smoked occasionally and 3% smoked on a

#### **BMJ** Open

daily basis[7]. These estimates were much lower than those in the wider population within the same age-group[8]. A previous survey of University of Otago students in 2002 found that 10% of respondents smoked daily and a further 10% reported occasional smoking[9]. These estimates were likewise much lower than estimates of smoking prevalence among people in the same age-group in the general population at the time, that ranged between 18.8-26.8% in 15-19 year olds and 29.8-30.3% in 20-24 year olds[10].

University students experience fundamental changes in social contexts and identity, as they transition to life away from home (most but not all) and make new friends at university[11, 12]. Greater independence and new peers may promote smoking among occasional smokers, and increase progression to daily smoking[12]. This study sought to estimate the prevalence, and patterns of smoking among university students aged 18-24 years in a national university student population.

### **METHODS**

We analysed data from the first of two surveys (the 'Baseline survey'), a cross-sectional survey conducted between March and May 2018 as part of the corresponding author's PhD thesis project. The survey collected data on the perceptions of university students in New Zealand on vaping, cigarette smoking, and the Smokefree 2025 goal. This paper concentrates on the data on smoking.

2.

The Baseline survey aimed to recruit a minimum of 1,061 students from all eight NZ universities: 902 domestic and 160 international, using multiple approaches, to increase the participation of Māori and Pasifika students. Sample size calculations were based on the 2016 Universities NZ data[13] which showed the total NZ university students at 172,000, 85% of whom were domestic (11% Māori, 7.8% Pasifika and 81.2% non-Māori non-Pasifika) and 15% international students; a confidence interval (CI)

of 95%; estimated smoking proportion of 0.5 (conservative estimate); margin of error of 3%, and estimated response rate of 10%. 10,610 students were to be invited, but far more were reached.

Random sampling was not feasible, because complete enrolment lists of students were not available from the universities. However, data were weighted to account for under- and over-sampling, by gender (male and female) and institution size. Furthermore, the questionnaire comprised both an online and printed version and was distributed widely, using social media and other forms of advertising, and direct contact with student volunteers. 2,180 students participated in the Baseline survey and 1,476 met the criteria for inclusion in the current study (i.e. they were studying at an NZ university and were aged 18-24 years). **Figure 1** describes how participants were selected.

#### The survey

 In the online route, the project was advertised on students' association Facebook pages at respective universities, where this was possible, using a single advert message and photograph. In addition to adverts in general students' association social media platforms, further engagements were made with Māori and Pasifika students' associations. Printed questionnaires were distributed by research assistants (RAs) and volunteers, from participating universities. RAs were recruited from Student Job Search (SJS), a charitable organisation formed by student associations in NZ to help current students and recent graduates of tertiary education institutions in NZ find work[14].

The questionnaire used validated questions: the ethnicity question was based on the NZ census[15], ever smoking question on NZ Tobacco Use Survey (NZTUS)[16], frequency of smoking question was adapted from Marsh and others who looked at the association of smoking with drinking in NZ university students[7], and NZTUS[16], cigarettes/day question was adapted from the Fagerstrom Test for Nicotine Dependence Questionnaire (FTNQ)[17], time to first cigarette question was adapted from

#### **BMJ** Open

#### **Patient and Public Involvement**

No patients were involved in this project.

#### Survey measures

Responses to two sections: demographic information and tobacco use, out of four sections asked in the Baseline survey are relevant to this paper and explained below. For the benefit of the reader, a brief description of items on vaping (ever, current and daily vaping) is provided.

ic.

#### **Demographics**

Respondents provided information on age, gender, ethnicity, years lived in NZ and the university where they were studying. Only those aged 18-24 years were included in the analysis because this allowed for comparisons with national estimates that use a similar age band[6]. Gender-specific analyses included only participants who identified as male or female due to extremely small numbers of other genders. Ethnicity-specific analyses compared Māori and non-Māori, as in previous studies[9, 18, 19]. Years lived in NZ (five or less) was used as proxy for international students. Participants could select one or more of the eight universities: Auckland University of Technology (AUT), Lincoln University, Massey University, University of Auckland, UC, University of Otago, University of Waikato and Victoria University of Wellington (VUW).

#### <u>Tobacco use</u>

Respondents were asked 'Have you smoked cigarettes or tobacco at all, even just a few puffs?', and responses were: 'Yes' and 'No'. Those who answered 'Yes' (defined as 'ever smokers') were asked 'Do you currently smoke cigarettes or tobacco? This includes roll-your-own (RYO)', and responses were: 'Yes' and 'No'. Those who answered yes were asked 'Which of the following best describes how often you smoke cigarettes or tobacco now?', and responses were 'At least once a day', 'At least once a week', 'At least once a month', and 'Less often than once a month'. Those who smoked at least once a day were defined as 'daily smokers', and those who smoked at least once a month or more frequently were defined as 'current smokers'.

Current smokers were further asked: 'During the past 30 days, on the days you smoked, how many cigarettes did you smoke per day?' and responses were '1 to 5', '6 to 10', '11 to 20', '21 to 30', '31 or more', and 'Don't know'. A new variable was created with just two responses as '1-5 cigarettes' and '>5 cigarettes'.

Time to smoking the first cigarette was asked using the question 'How soon after waking do you smoke your first cigarette?' and responses were: 'Within 5 minutes', '5-30 minutes', '31-60 minutes', and '>60 minutes'. A new variable with only two levels: 'within 60 minutes', and '>60 minutes' was created. This was due to small numbers in the three response categories that fell within 60 minutes of waking. This variable was only used in overall prevalence analyses, not for analyses by age, gender or ethnicity.

Smoking in spaces where smoking is banned was assessed by 'How often do you smoke in the following settings...? (1) In indoor spaces where smoking is banned, and (2) In outdoor spaces where smoking is banned', and responses for both were: 'Never', 'Almost never', 'Sometimes', 'Fairly often', and 'Very often'. A new variable was created combining those who said 'Never' and 'Almost never' into 'Never/almost never' and the rest grouped together into 'Other'. This was due to small numbers of those

#### **BMJ** Open

who said sometimes, fairly or very often. This variable was only used in overall prevalence analyses, not for analyses by age, gender or ethnicity.

The first question on quitting asked: 'Are you planning on giving up smoking?', and responses were: 'Yes, within 30 days', 'Yes, after 30 days but within 3 months', 'Yes, but not within the next 3 months', and 'No, I am not planning on giving up'. A new variable was created with only two levels: 'Yes, I plan to quit' (included all who said planned to quit, regardless of timeline) and 'Not planning to quit'. The second question on quitting asked 'Have you tried to quit smoking at any time in the last 12 months?', and responses were 'Yes' and 'No'.

Those who had tried to quit were asked 'In the last 12 months, how many serious attempts to stop smoking did you make that lasted 24 hours or longer? Please include any attempts that you are currently making.', and responses were '1-3', '4-5' and 'More than 5'. Because of small numbers of those who reported making '4-5' or 'More than 5' attempts, these were combined, resulting in just two groups: '1-3 attempts' and '>3 attempts'. This variable was only used in overall prevalence analyses, not for analyses by age, gender or ethnicity.

#### <u>Electronic cigarette use (vaping)</u>

'Ever vaper' refers to those who said 'Yes' in response to the question, 'Have you ever tried an ecigarette or vaping device?', 'current vaper' refers to those who reported vaping at least once a month or more frequently in response to the question, 'How often do you currently use an e-cigarette or vaping device?', while 'daily vaper' refers to those who reported vaping at least once daily.

### Data analysis

Chi-squared tests were used to compare smoking prevalence by age (18-20 vs. 21-24), gender (male vs. female) and ethnicity (Māori vs. non-Māori). All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

Statistic 25 and two-sided p < .05 was considered statistically significant. CIs were included where appropriate. Responses were weighted to account for under- and over-sampling, based on gender and institution size. Inconsistent reporting of summary data across universities meant that weights to account for under- and over-sampling with respect to age and ethnicity could not be calculated.

### RESULTS

Demographic characteristics of the sample (unweighted) are reported in **Table 1.** 56.2% identified as NZ European, 7.9% as Māori, 7.4% as Pasifika (in this study Pasifika included Samoan, Cook Island Māori, Tongan and Niuean), 15.2% as Chinese, 5.4% as Indian, and 22.2% as 'Other'. The total percentage exceeds 100% because, as in the NZ census, respondents could select as many ethnic groups as applied. **Table 2** compares characteristics of students in this study (domestic or international, ethnicity and gender) with the general NZ university student population.

Variable	Sample (n = 1,476) %	Variable	Sample (n = 1,476) %
Age		Years lived in NZ	
18-20 years	919 (62.3)	Less than 1 year	139 (9.4
21-24 years	557 (37.7)	1-5 years	168 (11.4
Gender		6-10 years	99 (6.7
Male	569 (38.6)	More than 10 years	1,065 (72.2
Female	907 (61.4)	Missing data	5 (.3
Ethnicity		University	
NZ European	830 (56.2)	AUT*	59 (4.0
Māori	117 (7.9)	Lincoln University	64 (4.3
Samoan	62 (4.2)	Massey University	165 (11.2
Cook Island Māori	16 (1.1)	University of Auckland	306 (20.7
Tongan	26 (1.8)	University of Canterbury	243 (16.5
Niuean	5 (.3)	University of Otago	258 (17.5
Chinese	224 (15.2)	University of Waikato	142 (9.6
Indian	79 (5.4)	VUW**	246 (16.7
Other	327 (22.2)		

Note: This table presents unweighted data. Respondents could select one or more universities. \*Auckland University of Technology.

\*\*Victoria University of Wellington.

		This paper		NZ university student
		Unweighted (%)	Weighted (%)	population (%)*
Student type	Domestic	79.1	77.5	82.0
	International	20.9	22.5	18.0
Ethnicity	Māori	7.9	6.9	9.6
	Non-Māori	92.1	93.1	90.4
Gender	Male	38.6	40.8	41.8
	Female	61.4	59.2	58.2

\*Source: Ministry of Education.[20] Data extracted from Excel sheets ENR.31, ENR.32 and ENR.34.

### **Overall smoking**

49.8% (95% CI: 47.2-52.4) of respondents reported ever smoking, 11.1% (95% CI: 9.5-12.9) currently smoked and 5.9% (95% CI: 4.8-7.3) smoked daily (**Table 3**). Of current smokers, 63.6% smoked 1-5 cigarettes/day, 20.8% smoked 6-10, 10.5% smoked 11-20 and 5.1% smoked 21 or more cigarettes/day; 45.8% smoked at least daily, 20.9% at least once a week, 18.9% at least once a month, and 14.4% smoked less often than once a month. 17.3% of smokers smoked their first cigarette within 30 minutes of waking, 9.3% within 31-60 minutes and 73.4% after more than 60 minutes of waking up; 86.0% reported never or almost never smoking in indoor and 64.6% in outdoor spaces where smoking is banned; 69.9% planned to quit; 32.4% reported trying to quit in the last 12-months, and 71.7% of those had made 1-3 serious attempts to quit smoking.

### Smoking by age

Statistically significantly more participants aged 21-24 years reported ever (55.6% vs. 46.3%, p=.001), current (15.0% vs. 8.7%, p<.001) and daily smoking (9.8% vs. 3.6%, p<.001), and smoking >5 cigarettes/day (45.0% vs. 27.4%, p=.019), than those aged 18-20 years, but quit intentions were not statistically significantly different (32.6% vs. 31.9%, p=.919) (**Table 3**).

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

		18 to 20 years	21 to 24 years	Total	P-value
Ever smoked?	Yes	414 (46.3)	308 (55.6)	722 (49.9)	
	No	480 (53.7)	246 (44.4)	726 (50.1)	.001
	Total	894 (100.0)	554 (100.0)	1448 (100.0)	
Currently smoke?	Yes	78 (8.7)	83 (15.0)	161 (11.1)	
	No	817 (91.3)	471 (85.0)	1288 (88.9)	<.001
	Total	895 (100.0)	554 (100.0)	1449 (100.0)	
Smokes daily?	Yes	32 (3.6)	54 (9.8)	86 (5.9)	
	No	863 (96.4)	499 (90.2)	1362 (94.1)	<.001
	Total	895 (100.0)	553 (100.0)	1448 (100.0)	
Number of cigarettes/day	1-5 cigarettes	61 (72.6)	44 (55.0)	105 (64.0)	
	>5 cigarettes	23 (27.4)	36 (45.0)	59 (36.0)	.019
	Total	84 (100.0)	80 (100.0)	164 (100.0)	
Ever tried to quit	Yes	30 (31.9)	30 (32.6)	60 (32.3)	
	No	64 (68.1)	62 (67.4)	126 (67.7)	.919
	Total	94 (100.0)	92 (100.0)	186 (100.0)	

**Note:** the cells contain rounded weighted counts and sometimes the marginal totals are not exactly the sum of the component cells. Ever, current and daily smoking questions were answered by the entire sample. Cigarettes/day and quit intentions questions were answered by both current smokers (smoking at least once a month) and those who smoked less frequently than once a month.

### Smoking by gender

Gender-specific analyses included only males and females. Ever (59.8% vs. 43.0%, p<.001), current (16.6% vs. 7.3%, p<.001), and daily smoking (10.0% vs. 3.1%, p<.001) were statistically significantly higher in males compared to females, but smoking >5 cigarettes/day (40.8% vs. 28.8%, p=.115) and quit intentions (29.1% vs. 37.7%, p=.219) were not statistically significantly different (**Table 4**).

		Male	Female	Total	P-value
Ever smoked?	Yes	353 (59.8)	368 (43.0)	721 (49.9)	
	No	237 (40.2)	488 (57.0)	725 (50.1)	<.001
	Total	590 (100.0)	856 (100.0)	1446 (100.0)	
Currently smoke?	Yes	98 (16.6)	63 (7.3)	161 (11.1)	
	No	492 (83.4)	796 (92.7)	1288 (88.9)	<.001
	Total	590 (100.0)	859 (100.0)	1449 (100.0)	
Smokes daily?	Yes	59 (10.0)	27 (3.1)	86 (5.9)	
	No	532 (90.0)	831 (96.9)	1363 (94.1)	<.001
	Total	591 (100.0)	858 (100.0)	1449 (100.0)	
Number of cigarettes/day	1-5 cigarettes	58 (59.2)	47 (71.2)	105 (64.0)	
	>5 cigarettes	40 (40.8)	19 (28.8)	59 (36.0)	.115
	Total	98 (100.0)	66 (100.0)	164 (100.0)	
Ever tried to quit	Yes	32 (29.1)	29 (37.7)	61 (32.6)	
	No	78 (70.9)	48 (62.3)	126 (67.4)	.219
	Total	110 (100.0)	77 (100.0)	187 (100.0)	

**Note:** the cells contain rounded weighted counts and sometimes the marginal totals are not exactly the sum of the component cells. Ever, current and daily smoking questions were answered by the entire sample. Cigarettes/day and quit intentions questions were answered by both current smokers (smoking at least once a month) and those who smoked less frequently than once a month.

### Smoking by ethnicity

Ever smoking (75.2% vs. 48.0%, p<.001) was statistically significantly higher in Māori than in non-Māori, but current smoking (14.0% vs. 10.9%, p=.342), daily smoking (6.0% vs. 5.9%, p=.979), smoking >5 cigarettes/day (31.3% vs. 36.9%, p=.655), and quit intentions (41.2% vs. 31.4%, p=.409) were all not statistically significantly different between Māori and non-Māori (**Table 5**).

		Māori	Non-Māori	Total	P-value	
Ever smoked?	Yes	76 (75.2)	646 (48.0)	722 (49.9)		
	No	25 (24.8)	701 (52.0)	726 (50.1)	<.001	
	Total	101 (100.0)	1347 (100.0)	1448 (100.0)		
Currently smoke?	Yes	14 (14.0)	147 (10.9)	161 (11.1)		
	No	86 (86.0)	1201 (89.1)	1287 (88.9)	.342	
	Total	100 (100.0)	1348 (100.0)	1448 (100.0)		
Smokes daily?	Yes	6 (6.0)	80 (5.9)	86 (5.9)		
	No	94 (94.0)	1268 (94.1)	1362 (94.1)	.979	
	Total	100 (100.0)	1348 (100.0)	1448 (100.0)		
Number of cigarettes/day	1-5 cigarettes	11 (68.8)	94 (63.1)	105 (63.6)		
	>5 cigarettes	5 (31.3)	55 (36.9)	60 (36.4)	.655	
	Total	16 (100.0)	149 (100.0)	165 (100.0)		
Ever tried to quit	Yes	7 (41.2)	53 (31.4)	60 (32.3)		
	No	10 (58.8)	116 (68.6)	126 (67.7)	.409	
	Total	17 (100.0)	169 (100.0)	186 (100.0)		

**Note:** the cells contain rounded weighted counts and sometimes the marginal totals are not exactly the sum of the component cells. Ever, current and daily smoking questions were answered by the entire sample. Cigarettes/day and quit intentions questions were answered by both current smokers (smoking at least once a month) and those who smoked less frequently than once a month.

#### Vaping prevalence

39.8% of the sample reported ever vaping, 6.0% currently vaped (vaped at least once a month) and 1.6% vaped at least daily. Detailed data on vaping are under consideration in a separate paper.

### DISCUSSION

This study estimates, in a New Zealand university student population, the prevalence of current smoking (at least once a month or more frequently) of 11.1% and daily smoking of 5.9%. It also reports higher ever smoking, current smoking and daily smoking estimates in students aged 21-24 years compared to those aged 18-20 years and in males compared to females, and higher ever smoking estimates in Māori compared to non-Māori students.

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

The two main limitations of the study lay in its inability to access vital information from universities to facilitate random sampling, and under-representation of some universities (fewer participants relative to university size); data weighting was done to address the latter. Volunteer bias could lead to underestimation or overestimation of prevalence estimates, while affecting to a minor extent the associations between smoking and age, gender and ethnicity.

Our estimates are low compared with the national smoking prevalence estimates in the same agegroup[6]. Comparison with results of a previous study by Marsh and others[7] that looked at smoking in a sample of students aged 17-25, from five universities using 2013 data suggests that the prevalence of current smoking among students decreased marginally from 14.1% in 2013 to 11.1% in 2018 while daily smoking increased slightly from 2.9% to 5.9% in the same period. However, important differences exist in demographic characteristics of participants in these two surveys: there were more Pasifika students (7.4% vs. 4.0%), slightly fewer NZ European students (56.2% vs. 62.3%), more international students (20.8% vs. 8.7%) and slightly different age bands (18-24 years vs. 17-25 years), in the current study compared to Marsh and others[7]. Students who had lived in NZ for five years or less are used as proxy for international students in the current study. Our daily smoking prevalence estimate of 5.9% is nevertheless lower than the 10% reported in a 2002 survey of students at Otago[9].

NZ is currently at Stage 4 of the smoking epidemic characterised by a marked downturn in smoking prevalence in both men and women[21, 22]. The prevalence of smoking is generally higher in Māori and Pasifika than in non-Māori non-Pasifika[6], and a large proportion of international students in NZ come from countries with traditionally high smoking prevalence[23]. Combined, these could have a substantial contribution to observed prevalence estimates. It is also possible that students may have reduced the number of cigarettes/day without quitting entirely, due to increasing cost of cigarettes[24] as a result of annual cigarette tax increase[4]; about 64% of current smokers in our study smoked 1-5 cigarettes/day. Most NZ universities also have smokefree campuses.

Smoking prevalence among male compared to female students, was of particular concern, with ever smoking 59.8% vs. 43.0%, current smoking 16.6% vs. 7.3%, and daily smoking 10.0% vs. 3.1%. One possibility might be that males are more likely to have many smoking peers. A European study that looked at predictors of smoking behaviour of first year university students in Turkey found only 5% of students whose best friends were non-smokers were themselves smokers, while about 50% of those whose three best friends smoked were also regular smokers[25]. Another possibility is that males are more likely to live away from home, than females. Living with parents or boarding was found to be protective against smoking in a previous study[7].

Many current smokers however smoked five or fewer cigarettes/day (63.6%), smoked their first cigarette more than 60 minutes after waking (73.4%), did not smoke in places where smoking is banned (86.0% indoors and 64.6% outdoors), suggesting low nicotine dependence[17]. Furthermore, 69.9% planned to quit and 32.4% had tried to quit in the last 12 months. Combined, these characteristics makes this population of smokers more likely to successfully quit (if appropriately supported to do so)[26, 27], which is good news from a public health perspective.

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

Besides the current tobacco control measures used in NZ, including higher cigarette taxes/prices, mass media campaigns, smokefree environments, publicly funded stop smoking medicines (nicotine replacement therapy), community-based stop smoking services, among others, it may be helpful to explore new approaches targeted at university/tertiary students, to reduce smoking in this population group. For instance, Quitline[28] could work closely with student associations, student unions/clubs, or with university management teams to have information on its services included in orientation material, to reach a wider student population. Additionally, mobile phone-based interventions, including text messaging[29] and apps that can easily be localised and owned by students can be developed and tested. The vast majority of university students in NZ fall in the 16-34 year age-group which is thought to be highly familiar with mobile technology and is most likely to own a smart phone[30].

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

As far as we are aware, this is the first study to examine the prevalence of, and patterns of smoking in a national sample of university students aged 18-24 years in New Zealand. Repeat cross-sectional data are necessary to establish a clear picture of smoking in this population and to monitor any measures deployed to align smoking rates towards the Smokefree 2025 goal target[3].

Strengths of this study include a reasonably large sample that was closely similar to NZ university students (**Table 2**), making it likely that our conclusions may be generalizable to the wider university student population. The questionnaire was available online and in print to reach a wider student community and to increase the response rate; prevalence estimates for online and paper questionnaire responses were similar.

### **CONCLUSIONS**

Our findings suggest that the prevalence of current smoking among university students in New Zealand aged 18-24 is declining but daily smoking could be increasing, compared with previously reported estimates using 2013 data from five universities. Smoking among males and older students (ages 21-24 years) is of particular concern. However, many smokers appear to have a low nicotine dependence, and are willing to quit, suggesting smoking prevalence in this population could substantially be reduced and aligned with the Smokefree 2025 goal target of 5% or less by the year 2025.

We recommend greater presence, and availability of smokefree services, and trialling of new technologies such as mobile-phone based interventions to support students who wish to quit to do so. Follow-up studies on this segment of the population will help us better understand the problem and update interventions to tackle it.

#### **BMJ** Open

Acknowledgements The authors wish to acknowledge the university students across New Zealand who took part in this research. We also wish to extend our thanks to research assistants and staff of Student Job Search, who supported the in-person data collection process and enabled this research to be undertaken.

**Contributors** BMW (PhD student) planned the study, collected and analysed data, and wrote the manuscript. MW-B, AR and RCG are BMW's supervisors and contributed to the planning, study design and writing the manuscript. PC contributed to the study design, data collection, data weighting and analysis.

**Funding** This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing Interests None declared.

Data sharing statement No additional data are available.

Ethics approval University of Canterbury Human Ethics Committee. Research Ethics ID: HEC

2017/42/LR-PS.

### References

- 1. Ministry of Health. *Health effects of smoking*. 2019 04 February 2019 [cited 2019 24 May]; Available from: <u>https://www.health.govt.nz/your-health/healthy-living/addictions/smoking/health-effects-smoking</u>.
- 2. Blakely, T., et al., *What is the contribution of smoking and socioeconomic position to ethnic inequalities in mortality in New Zealand?* The Lancet, 2006. **368**(9529): p. 44-52.
- 3. New Zealand Parliament, *Government Response to the Report of the Maori Affairs Select Committee* on its Inquiry into the tobacco industry in Aotearoa and the consequences of tobacco use for Maori (*Final Response*). 2011, New Zealand Parliament: Wellington.
- 4. Stats NZ. *Excise duty increase for cigarettes and tobacco*. 2018 06 April 2018 [cited 2019 05 September]; Available from: <u>https://www.stats.govt.nz/methods/excise-duty-increase-for-cigarettes-and-tobacco</u>.
- Ministry of Health. *Tobacco control in New Zealand*. 2018 28 May 2018 [cited 2019 12 September]; Available from: <u>https://www.health.govt.nz/our-work/preventative-health-wellness/tobaccocontrol/tobacco-control-new-zealand</u>.

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

2	6.	Ministry of Health. Annual Data Explorer - Tobacco use. 2019 April 2019 [cited 2019 24 May];
3		Available from: <a href="https://minhealthnz.shinyapps.io/nz-health-survey-2017-18-annual-data-">https://minhealthnz.shinyapps.io/nz-health-survey-2017-18-annual-data-</a>
<u>л</u>		explorer/ w 0811ceee/ w 4a6ab761/ w cdfe1214/#!/explore-indicators.
5	7	Marsh L et al. The association of smoking with drinking nattern may provide opportunities to reduce
6	<i>,</i> .	smoking among students. Kotuitui: New Zealand Journal of Social Sciences Online, 2016, 11(1): n. 72-
7		shoking unong students. Rotaltul. New Zealand Journal of Social Sciences Online, 2010. 11(1). p. 72-
0	_	81.
0	8.	Ministry of Health, Annual Update of Key Results 2013/14: New Zealand Health Survey. 2014, Ministry
9		of Health: Wellington.
10	9.	Kypri, K. and J. Baxter, Smoking in a New Zealand university student sample. New Zealand Medical
11		lournal 2004, <b>117</b> (1190); p. 1-6.
12	10	Ministry of Health Tabacco Trends 2007: A brief undate on manitoring indicators 2008 Ministry of
13	10.	Winnstry of freatth, robucco fremus 2007. A binej update on monitoring indicators. 2008, Winnstry of
14		Health,
15	11.	Amin, S.A.EZ., H.M. Shaheen, and H.M.E.Y. Omran, Smoking among university students in Kafr El-
16		Sheikh Universi. Menoufia Medical Journal, 2016. <b>29</b> (4): p. 1092.
17	12.	Kenford, S.L., et al., <i>Progression of college-age cigarette samplers: What influences outcome.</i>
18		Addictive behaviors, 2005, <b>30</b> (2); p. 285-294.
19	13	Universities New Zealand New Zealand's Universities - Key Facts and Stats: summary of information
20	10.	courses 2016 Universities New Zealand: Online
21	1.4	Student Jah Council M/ha converse 2010, [sited 2010 25 March Ausilable frame
22	14.	Student Job Search. <i>Who can use us</i> . 2019 [cited 2019 25 May]; Available from:
23		https://www.sjs.co.nz/content/students-who-can-use-us.
24	15.	Stats NZ, 2013 Census definitions and forms. 2013, Statistics New Zealand: Online.
25	16.	Ministry of Health. New Zealand Tobacco Use Survey (NZTUS). 2010 22 November 2010 [cited 2019 02
26		Junel: Available from:
27		https://www.hpa.org.pz/sites/default/files/Questionpaire%20for%20HSC%20website-FINAL-
28		120412 pdf
29		<u>120413.pui</u> .
30	17.	Heatherton, I.F., et al., The Fagerstrom test for nicotine dependence: a revision of the Fagerstrom
31		<i>Tolerance Questionnaire</i> . British journal of addiction, 1991. <b>86</b> (9): p. 1119-1127.
32	18.	Kypri, K., et al., Drinking and alcohol-related harm among New Zealand university students: Findings
33		from a National Web-Based Survey. Alcoholism: Clinical and experimental research, 2009. <b>33</b> (2): p.
34		307-314.
35	10	Bramley D et al. Smoking cessation using mobile phone text messaging is as effective in Maori as
36	19.	non Maari New Zooland Madicel Journal, 2005, <b>119</b> (1210); p. 1.10
37	•	
38	20.	Ministry of Education. Students enrolled at New Zealand's tertiary institutions: Provider based
39		enrolments - Statistical Tables. 2018 June 2019 [cited 2019 05 November]; Available from:
40		https://www.educationcounts.govt.nz/statistics/tertiary-education/participation.
40 41	21.	World Health Organisation, <i>Tobacco control country profiles</i> (second edition). 2003, World Health
/) /)		Organisation (WHO): Helsinki, Finland, p. 1-12.
42	22	Thun M et al. Stages of the cigarette enidemic on entering its second century. Tohacco control
45	22.	
44	•	
45	23.	Universities New Zealand, New Zealand's Universities Key Facts & Stats. 2018.
40	24.	Stats NZ. Cigarette price rise offsets cheaper petrol. 2019 17 April 2019 [cited 2019 03 June]; Available
47		from: <a href="https://www.stats.govt.nz/news/cigarette-price-rise-offsets-cheaper-petrol">https://www.stats.govt.nz/news/cigarette-price-rise-offsets-cheaper-petrol</a> .
48	25.	Saatci, E., et al., Predictors of smoking behavior of first year university students: guestionnaire survey.
49		Croat Med L 2004. <b>45</b> (1): n 76-9
50	26	Useber M et al. Dependence and motivation to stan smaking as predictors of success of a quit
51	20.	ossiler, IVI., et al., Dependence and motivation to stop smoking as predictors of success of a quit
52		allempt among smokers seeking help to quit. Addictive Benaviors, 2016. 53: p. 175-180.
53	27.	John, U., et al., Nicotine dependence, quit attempts, and quitting among smokers in a regional
54		population sample from a country with a high prevalence of tobacco smoking. Preventive medicine,
55		2004. <b>38</b> (3): p. 350-358.
56	28.	Quitline. Quitline's Help. 2019 May 2019 [cited 2019 03 June]; Available from:
57		https://quit.org.nz/en/help-to-quit.
58	20	Rodgers A et al. Dou smake after tyt? Posults of a randomised trial of smaking cossation using
59	23.	motile phone text meaning. Tehooos sextral 2005 (44/4), p. 255-264
60		mobile priore text messaging. Tobacco control, 2005. 14(4): p. 255-261.
	30.	The Nielson Company, <i>The Australian Online Consumer Landscape Review, August 2012</i> . 2012: Online.

Figure 1. Flowch	art of selection of participa	ants included in th	is study.





	Item No	Recommendation	Pa N
Title and abstract	1	( <i>a</i> ) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what	28
		was done and what was found	3
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	4
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	5
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	5
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	5
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	5
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	7-9
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods	7-9
measurement		of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment	
		methods if there is more than one group	
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	9,
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	5-6
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If	7-9
		applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	
Statistical methods	12	( <i>a</i> ) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	9,
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	
		( <i>d</i> ) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	
		( <u>e</u> ) Describe any sensitivity analyses	
Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers	10
		potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included	11
		in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical,	10
		social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	11
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of	11
		interest	13
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	10-
			13

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

2
3
4
5
5
6
7
8
0
9
10
11
12
12
15
14
15
16
17
17
١ð
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
20
20
27
28
29
20
50
31
32
33
24
54
35
36
37
20
20
39
40
41
42
72 42
43
44
45
46
47
47
48
49
50
50 E 1
21
52
53
54
57
22
56
57
58
50
74

60

1

Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted	
		estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear	
		which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were	
		categorized	
		( <i>c</i> ) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute	
		risk for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions,	
		and sensitivity analyses	
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	13
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential	14
		bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential	
		bias	
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives,	13-
		limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other	16
		relevant evidence	
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	16
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present	17
		study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article	
		is based	

\*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

**Note:** An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

