

PEER REVIEW HISTORY

BMJ Open publishes all reviews undertaken for accepted manuscripts. Reviewers are asked to complete a checklist review form (<http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/resources/checklist.pdf>) and are provided with free text boxes to elaborate on their assessment. These free text comments are reproduced below.

ARTICLE DETAILS

TITLE (PROVISIONAL)	IMPROVING THE EVALUATION OF WORLDWIDE BIOMEDICAL RESEARCH OUTPUT: CLASSIFICATION METHOD AND STANDARDIZED BIBLIOMETRIC INDICATORS BY DISEASE
AUTHORS	van de Laar, Lissy; de Kruif, Thijs; Waltman, Ludo; Meijer, Ingeborg; Gupta, Anshu; Hagenaars, Niels

VERSION 1 – REVIEW

REVIEWER	Andrew Balas Augusta University United States of America
REVIEW RETURNED	13-Dec-2017

GENERAL COMMENTS	The revised manuscript addressed my concerns. I look forward to seeing the published version.
-------------------------	---

REVIEWER	Raul Rodriguez-Esteban Roche Pharmaceuticals, Switzerland
REVIEW RETURNED	02-Jan-2018

GENERAL COMMENTS	The authors have addressed my concerns.
-------------------------	---

VERSION 1 – AUTHOR RESPONSE

Please find enclosed the most recent version of the manuscript, appendix and figures, in the requested word and high resolution jpeg-format. They are also uploaded in section 6 of this submission-form (step 6: file upload)

I have included a statement in the Methods section of the manuscript under the sub-heading 'Patient and Public Involvement'. No patients and public were involved in this study.

I have completed the 'manuscript information' in the Scholar One submission system.