BMJ Open

Physical Activity and Incident Asthma in Adults: The HUNT Study

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2016-013856
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	11-Aug-2016
Complete List of Authors:	Brumpton, Ben; Norges teknisk-naturvitenskapelige universitet, Department of Public Health and General Practice; QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute Langhammer, Arnulf; Norwegian University of Science and Technology, HUNT Research Centre, Department of Public Health and General Practice Ferreira, Manuel; QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute Chen, Yue; University of Ottawa, Department of Epidemiology and Community Medicine Mai, Xiao-Mei; Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Department of Public Health and General Practice
Primary Subject Heading :	Epidemiology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Respiratory medicine
Keywords:	Asthma < THORACIC MEDICINE, EPIDEMIOLOGY, PUBLIC HEALTH



Physical Activity and Incident Asthma in Adults: The HUNT Study

Ben M. Brumpton^{1, 2*}, Arnulf Langhammer¹, Manuel A. R. Ferreira², Yue Chen³ and Xiao-Mei Mai¹

¹Department of Public Health and General Practice, Faculty of Medicine, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, NTNU, Trondheim, Norway.

²QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Australia.

³School of Epidemiology, Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Canada.

*Corresponding author: Ben M. Brumpton, Department of Public Health and General Practice, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, NTNU, Postbox 8905, MTFS, NO-7491 Trondheim, Norway.

E-mail: ben.brumpton@ntnu.no

Phone: +47 73597564

Fax: +47 73597577

Word count: 2640

Key words: asthma; physical activity; leisure time activity; incidence

Abstract

Objective: We aimed to investigate the associations of physical activity and change in physical activity with incident asthma in a cohort of Norwegian adults.

Design: We conducted a prospective cohort study using data on self-reported physical activity from three waves of the Nord-Trondelag Health Study. Physical activity was reported at the first and second surveys (1985-1986 and 1995-1997). Physical activity was defined from the second survey as inactive or active and the active group was further defined as very low, low, moderate and high. Change in physical activity was defined from the first and second surveys.

Setting: A large population based health survey in Norway.

Participants: We followed 18,894 adults over 11 years who were free from asthma at baseline in 1995-1997.

Outcome: Incident asthma was reported in the third survey (2005-2007).

Results: The cumulative incidence of asthma was 3.6% over the 11 years. The adjusted odds ratio (OR) for incident asthma among active participants compared with inactive participants was 0.95 (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.69-1.34). The adjusted OR for those who were active in the first or second survey vs. those who were inactive in both surveys was 0.64 (95%, CI 0.34-1.38), however this association was strongly attenuated in sensitivity analysis (OR 0.93, 95% CI 0.38-3.09).

Conclusions: We did not observe significant associations of physical activity or change in physical activity with incident asthma in adults over the 11-year follow-up.

Word count: 231

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This study was one of the largest and longest prospective studies on physical activity and incident asthma.
- We had repeated measures of physical activity and comprehensive details of the participants which allowed us to investigating the association in subgroups by age, sex, body mass index, smoking status, family history of asthma and allergic rhinitis.
- The major criticism of epidemiological studies of asthma and a limitation of our study is the lack of a gold standard for asthma diagnosis, however previous studies have suggested that the validity and reliability of self-reported asthma are acceptable.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

INTRODUCTION

Physical activity (PA) has been suggested to be a protective factor against the development of asthma,¹ and given the strong association between body mass index (BMI) and incident asthma it could be expected that low levels of PA contribute to this risk. Additionally, studies have found a decrease in the risk of asthma exacerbations among the physically active,² and several cross-sectional studies have found an association between PA and asthma prevalence.³⁻⁵ However not all results from cross-sectional studies have been consistent, and longitudinal studies have shown conflicting results.⁶⁻⁹ A French cohort study which followed 51,080 women from 1993 to 2003 found no association between increased PA at baseline and incident asthma (HR 1.00 for the highest tertile of PA compared to lowest [95% CI, 0.81-1.24]).⁹ On the other hand, in a prospective study of 19,021 women from the Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health, Lucke et al. found that middle aged women (45-50 years) with no or low levels of PA were at a higher risk of incident asthma over a 5-7 year follow-up.¹⁰ This lack of consistency between previous studies should be addressed.

There may exist heterogeneity in effects of PA on asthma, and hidden subgroup effects due to variation in age periods, sex difference and extremes of PA have been suggested.¹ Additionally, previous studies may suffer from protopathic biases where PA is restricted due to other respiratory complications that precede asthma or high levels of PA might stimulate respiratory symptoms and advance the detection of asthma.¹

We thus aimed to investigate the associations of PA and change in PA with incident asthma in a relatively large population-based cohort of 18, 894 adults, over 11 years, as well as investigating

the potential associations in subgroups by age, sex, body mass index, smoking status, family history of asthma and allergic rhinitis.

METHODS

Study population

The Nord-Trøndelag Health Study (HUNT) is a large population based cohort study that has collected detailed clinical, anthropometric and demographic information over three surveys in the county of Nord-Trøndelag, Norway. The surveys called HUNT1, HUNT2 and HUNT3 were conducted in 1984-86, 1995-97 and 2006-08, respectively. At each survey the entire adult population (20 years or older) were invited to participate. Participation rates were 89.4%, 69.5% and 54.1%, respectively.¹¹ Detailed information about the HUNT can be found elsewhere.¹¹⁻¹³ Our analysis included participants who participated in HUNT2 and HUNT3 (n=37,071) with an average follow-up of 11 years. We excluded those who were \geq 65 years of age in HUNT3, did not have information on PA or asthma, leaving 18,894 adults in our analysis cohort. The age cutpoint was used to reduce misclassification of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and asthma. Additionally, we conducted analysis on 12,707 participants who had information on PA from HUNT2.

Physical activity

In HUNT2, participants were asked to record their average hours of light and hard PA during leisure time per week in the last year (None, less than 1 hour, 1-2 hours, 3 hours or more). Light activity was defined as no sweating or not being out of breath, and hard activity as sweating/out of breath. We defined inactive individuals as those who reported no light or hard PA, and active individuals as those who reported either light or hard PA. We further defined the active

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

individuals into 3 categories (very low, low, moderate and high). Very low PA was defined as less than 3 hours of light activity and no hard activity, low PA as 3 hours or more of light activity and no hard activity or less than 1 hour of hard activity with less than 3 hours of light activity, and moderate PA as 3 hours or more of light activity and less than 1 hour of hard activity or 1-2 hours hard activity with any light activity. High PA was defined as 3 hours or more of hard activity regardless of light activity. A moderate or high level of PA was assumed to be comparable to the current PA recommendations.

In HUNT1 participants were asked "*How often do you exercise*? (never, less than once a week, 2-3 times a week, and nearly every day)" with the accompanying text "*By exercise we mean going for walks, skiing, swimming and working out/sports*". We defined inactive individuals as those that answered never and active individuals as those that answered any other category. We then combined the inactive and active categories from HUNT1 and HUNT2 to create four categories; 1) Inactive both; 2) active only in HUNT1; 3) active only HUNT2; and 4) active in both.

Asthma

In both HUNT2 and HUNT3, participants were asked: "*Do you have or have you had asthma*?". Incident asthma was defined from the survey questionnaires as those who did not report ever asthma at HUNT2 but reported asthma at HUNT3. In a sensitivity analysis we included a stricter definition of asthma, *i.e.* self-reported incident asthma as above and use of asthma medication at follow-up, without report of attacks of wheezing at baseline versus the reference group with no asthma and no wheeze at baseline or follow-up. Participants were asked "Have you at any time during the last 5 years taken medicine for asthma, chronic bronchitis, emphysema or COPD?" at

BMJ Open

HUNT3 and "Have you had attacks of wheezing or breathlessness during the last 12 months?" at HUNT2 which we used to define medication use and wheezing, respectively.

Covariates

In HUNT2 information on health and lifestyle factors were collected in questionnaires and a clinical examination. Information included age, sex, body mass index (BMI), smoking status (never, former, current, unknown), education (<10, 10-12, \geq 13, unknown), family history of asthma (yes, no, unknown), social benefit (yes, no, unknown), economic difficulties (yes, no, unknown) and allergic rhinitis (yes, no, unknown).

Body mass index was calculated as weight (kilograms) divided by the squared value of height (meters). To define smoking status, participants reported whether they were never smokers, current smokers and or age of cessation. The variable was corrected with information from participants' answers in HUNT1 and HUNT3. Family history of asthma included any first-degree family member (father, mother, brother or sister) with asthma. Social benefit included participants who received sick pay, rehabilitation benefits, retraining benefits, disability pension, old age pension, family income supplement, unemployment benefits, transitional benefits, widow's pension or any other benefit. Economic difficulties included participants who had difficulties meeting the cost of food, transport, and/or housing. Allergic rhinitis included participants who reported hay fever or nasal allergies.

Statistical methods

We described the baseline characteristic of the analysis cohort in Table 1. Mean and standard deviation or number of observations and percentage for different characteristic of the analysis

cohort are presented. The 11-year cumulative incidence of asthma was calculated according to PA.

We used logistic regression to investigate the prospective association between levels of PA and subsequent risk of incident asthma. Each category of PA was compared to the inactive group. We repeated the above analyses using the stricter definition of asthma.

We included the potential confounding factors age, sex, BMI, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit, economic difficulties and allergic rhinitis in our models. We conducted several stratified analyses to assess whether the association between PA and incident asthma could be modified by other factors. This included stratification by age (dichotomized by age <45 years), sex, BMI (dichotomized by BMI <25), smoking status (never, ever smoker), family history of asthma (yes, no) and allergic rhinitis (yes, no). We formally tested the homogeneity of stratum specific relative risks using a likelihood ratio test. Finally, we performed several sensitivity analyses. To address potential protopathic biases from other respiratory complications we excluded participants who reported wheezing, breathlessness or coughing daily during the last 12 months in HUNT2. Additionally, in the analyses of change in PA, we excluded those reporting normally coughing in the morning or normally expectorating phlegm from their chest in the morning in HUNT1.

The statistical analyses were conducted using R 3.2.2 for Windows¹⁴.

Ethics approval

This study was approved by The Regional Committee for Medical Research Ethics, Norway (2014/102/REK midt) and all participants gave informed written consent.

RESULTS

Table 1 presents the characteristics of the analysis cohort according to the level of PA. The proportions of inactive, very low, low, moderate and high PA levels in HUNT2 was 5.1%, 21.0%, 25.2%, 35.9% and 12.9%, respectively. The 11-years cumulative incidence of asthma was 3.6%. The mean age and standard deviation was similar in each category of physical activity. There were fewer women in the inactive group than the combined active group. A similar proportion of participants had a family history of asthma between the categories of PA. Participants with higher levels of PA seemed to have higher levels of education, to report less social benefit and economic difficulties and more likely to be never smokers compared to inactive people. The proportion of participants who reported allergic rhinitis was slightly lower in the inactive group compared to all physically active groups.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.	
---	--

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the analysis cohort, Nord–Trøndelag Health Study, Norway, 1995-97 (n=18,894).

Characteristic	Inactive	,	Very Low		Low		Moderate	e	High	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Age	39.3	8.8	40.2	8.6	39.8	8.7	39	8.8	37.6	9.7
BMI	26.3	4.3	26	4	25.8	3.8	25.4	3.5	25.2	3.3
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Sex										
Men	543	56.2	1482	37.4	2170	45.7	3103	45.8	1561	64.2
Women	423	43.8	2482	62.6	2583	54.3	3675	54.2	872	35.8
Family history of asthma	l									
No	739	76.5	3075	77.6	3710	78.1	5313	78.4	1925	79.1
Yes	133	13.8	583	14.7	715	15	998	14.7	328	13.5
Don't know	94	9.7	306	7.7	328	6.9	467	6.9	180	7.4
Education										
Low	301	31.2	905	22.8	836	17.6	851	12.6	355	14.6
Mid	546	56.5	2174	54.8	2584	54.4	3553	52.4	1300	53.4
High	109	11.3	857	21.6	1302	27.4	2344	34.6	759	31.2
Missing	10	1	28	0.7	31	0.7	30	0.4	19	0.8
Social benefit										
No	547	56.6	2443	61.6	3138	66	4560	67.3	1618	66.5
Yes	219	22.7	748	18.9	797	16.8	1043	15.4	337	13.9
Missing	200	20.7	773	19.5	818	17.2	1175	17.3	478	19.6
Economic difficulties										
No	480	49.7	2226	56.2	2811	5 9.1	4108	60.6	1484	61
Yes	326	33.7	1140	28.8	1334	28.1	1811	26.7	566	23.3
Missing	160	16.6	598	15.1	608	12.8	859	12.7	383	15.7
Smoking status										
Never	349	36.1	1672	42.2	2188	46	3405	50.2	3405	50.2
Former	232	24	958	24.2	1230	25.9	1674	24.7	1674	24.7
Current	375	38.8	1311	33.1	1312	27.6	1653	24.4	1653	24.4
Missing	10	1	23	0.6	23	0.5	46	0.7	46	0.7
Allergic rhinitis										
No	649	67.2	2678	67.6	3283	69.1	4640	68.5	1604	65.9
Yes	111	11.5	570	14.4	711	15	1031	15.2	362	14.9
Missing	206	21.3	716	18.1	759	16	1107	16.3	467	19.2
Total	966	5.1	3964	21	4753	25.2	6778	35.9	2433	12.9

n: number of observations, SD: standard deviation.

BMJ Open

Table 2 presents the crude and adjusted odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for incident asthma in relation to levels of PA. Being active at different levels was not associated with decreased risk of incident asthma compared to those who reported being inactive. After adjustment for potential confounding factors the ORs were attenuated and close to null.

Table 2. The association between physical activity at baseline and incident asthma in the Nord–Trøndelag Health Study, Norway, 1995 to 2005 (n=18,894).

Level of physical activity			Model 1		Model 2		Model 3	
	n	cases	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Inactive	966	41	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	
Very low	3964	147	0.87	0.62-1.25	0.92	0.65-1.34	0.91	0.64-1.32
Low	4753	170	0.84	0.6-1.2	0.96	0.68-1.38	0.95	0.67-1.37
Moderate	6778	225	0.77	0.56-1.1	0.93	0.66-1.33	0.91	0.65-1.31
High	2433	94	0.91	0.63-1.33	1.19	0.82-1.76	1.17	0.8-1.73
Any activity	18894	677	0.83	0.61-1.16	0.97	0.71-1.36	0.95	0.69-1.34

The 11-year cumulative incidence of asthma was calculated according to PA.

CI: confidence interval, n: number of observations, OR: odds ratio.

[#]Model 1: Crude

[¶]Model 2: Adjusted age, BMI, sex, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit and economic difficulties.

⁺Model 3: Adjusted age, BMI, sex, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit, economic difficulties and allergic rhinitis.

The crude OR for incident asthma for persons being active at both surveys compared to inactive at both surveys was 0.58 (95% CI, 0.31-1.23) (Table 3). After adjustment for potential confounding factors the OR was 0.66 (95% CI, 0.35-1.44). The adjusted OR for any activity in either survey vs. inactive at both surveys was 0.64 (95% CI, 0.34-1.38).

四

르	Protected by convright, including for uses related to text and data mining. Al training, and similar technologies	Enseignement Superieur (ABES) .	JJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographic
le c			hiqu

Model 1[#] Level of physical activity Model 2[¶] Model 3⁺ OR 95% CI OR 95% CI OR 95% CI cases n 150 Ref. Inactive 9 Ref. Ref. 0.41 Active survey 1 only 374 10 0.43 0.17-1.1 0.4 0.16-1.05 0.16-1.06 Active survey 2 only 815 25 0.5 0.23-1.14 0.53 0.25-1.23 0.53 0.24-1.23 Active both 11368 404 0.58 0.36-1.45 0.35-1.44 0.31-1.23 0.68 0.66

0.3-1.21

0.65

0.34-1.4

0.64

0.34-1.38

Table 3. The association between change in physical activity prior to baseline and incident asthma in the Nord–Trøndelag Health Study, Norway, 1985 to 2005 (n=12,707).

The 11-year cumulative incidence of asthma was calculated according to PA.

0.57

CI: confidence interval, n: number of observations, OR: odds ratio.

12557 439

[#]Model 1: Crude

Any activity

1 2 3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25 26

27

33 34

35 36 37

38 39

40 41 42

43 44

45 46 47

48 49

50 51 52

53 54

55 56 57

58 59

60

[¶]Model 2: Adjusted age, BMI, sex, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit and economic difficulties.

⁺Model 3: Adjusted age, BMI, sex, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit, economic difficulties and allergic rhinitis.

Restricting our analysis to those reporting asthma and use of asthma medication at follow-up, without report of asthma or wheeze at baseline did not change our estimates for the association between PA in HUNT2 and incident asthma (Supplementary Table 1). However, for the association between change in PA prior to baseline and incident asthma it strongly attenuated the association (Inactive vs. any activity adjusted OR 0.93, 95% CI 0.38-3.09, Supplementary Table

2).

The association between PA in HUNT2 and incident asthma did not differ in analyses stratified by age, sex, BMI, smoking status, family history of asthma and allergic rhinitis. P-values for interaction were 0.95, 0.18, 0.80, 0.28, 0.40 and 0.60, respectively. Regarding the association between change in PA prior to baseline and incident asthma, P-values for interaction were 0.58, 0.06, 0.11, 0.12, 0.33 and 0.93 respectively.

Page 13 of 26

BMJ Open

In the analyses excluding participants who reported other respiratory complaints at HUNT1 (normally coughing in the morning or normally expectorating phlegm from their chest in the morning) or HUNT2 (wheezing, breathlessness or coughing daily during the last 12 months) the estimates did not change considerably (Supplementary Table 3 and 4).

DISCUSSION

In this prospective study of 18,894 adults, we did not observe a decreased risk of incident asthma in physically active compared with inactive adults over the 11-year follow-up. Despites observing a protective point estimate for those physically active at any or both surveys, the estimate had a high degree of uncertainty and was not supported in our sensitivity analyses. We did not find any variation in subgroups by age, sex, BMI, smoking status, family history of asthma or allergic rhinitis. Finally, after restricting to participants without any other respiratory complaints at baseline we found similar results, suggesting that the findings are not affected by protopathic biases.

To our knowledge, this was the longest study to investigate the association between PA and incident asthma. The association between PA and asthma has been previously investigated in several cross-sectional and prospective studies. In a systematic review and meta-analysis, Eijkemans et al. reported on thirty-four cross-sectional studies which investigated PA and asthma prevalence. Thirteen of these studies reported an association between higher PA levels and lower asthma prevalence, 18 no association and 3 reported an association between higher PA levels and higher asthma prevalence.¹ In the same investigation five longitudinal studies investigating physical actively levels and incident asthma were pooled. The duration of these studies ranged

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

between 5 and 10 years studies and the studies were largely heterogeneous from each other (Chisquare test for heterogeneity, p-value = 0.07). The pooled OR for incident asthma was 0.88 (95% CI, 0.77-1.01) suggesting PA as a protective factor. This meta-analysis however did not control for potential confounding factors as the majority of the individual studies did not provide adjusted results and was unable to investigate possible subgroup variations. Following this metaanalysis two additional studies have been published.^{15, 16} The first did not find that PA was associated with wheezing or shortness of breath in children.¹⁵ The second which followed 1369 children to adulthood found a possible beneficial effect of PA on the development of respiratory symptoms (OR 0.97, 95% CI 0.95-0.99).¹⁶

Despite having a reasonably large sample size, long follow-up and comprehensive details of the participants, there are some potential limitations of our study. First, the definition of asthma was based on self-reported information and it is possible that disease misclassification may have influenced the results. However it has been reported that the validity of self-reported asthma is reasonably good.¹⁷ Additionally, we used a stricter definition of asthma based on reported asthma and medication use among those who did not report asthma or wheeze at baseline. This may have improved the validity of the diagnosis and reduced recall bias as the outcome window for medication use was 5 years.

Physical activity was measured by questionnaires as opposed to objective measurements such as motion sensors. However, the reliability and validity of the questionnaire used to define PA in HUNT2 have been investigated, and the question on hard PA, which predominately defined the two highest categories of physical activity (moderate and high), correlated well with other measures including VO_{2max} .¹⁸ The question on PA in HUNT1 have also been validated.¹⁹ The question on frequency showed high repeatability (spearman's correlation coefficient 0.87),

reliability (weighted Kappa coefficient 0.80), and moderate correlation with VO_{2max} (spearman's correlation coefficient 0.43).¹⁹ Despite not using an objective measure of PA, by evaluating PA at two different time-points we may have reduced the chance of spurious results due to exposure misclassification.

Observational studies inherently suffer from confounding. Previous studies have hypothesised that protopathic biases where PA is restricted due to other respiratory complications that precede asthma or high levels of PA that stimulate symptoms and advance the detection of asthma may overestimate or underestimate the association, respectively.¹ To investigate this potential bias, firstly we excluded those who reported wheezing or coughing daily at HUNT2 (n=16,079). We observed a similar OR to our main analysis (adjusted OR for any activity was 0.90, vs. 0.95, Supplementary Table 3). Secondly, in the analysis of change in PA, we additionally excluded those who reported normally coughing in the morning or normally expectorating phlegm in the morning at HUNT1. We observed a slightly elevated OR (adjusted OR for any activity in the first or second survey was 0.83 vs. 0.64 in the main analysis, Supplementary Table 4), which suggested that our original results for change in PA may have been overestimated due to other respiratory complications. Additionally, we speculated that even if those with a high level of PA were diagnosed earlier than other participants resulting in an underestimation of the association, we might expect to see an inverse association in those with low or moderate PA compared to inactive participants but there was no significant association in our findings. Finally, it is possible that any effect of PA on incident asthma is trivial and the statistical power of our study to detect this may have been an issue.

Our study was performed in a relatively uniform population in terms of age, ethnicity (97% Caucasians) and environmental factors, therefore our findings may not be directly generalised to

Conclusions

other populations.

In summary, we did not find strong evidence that higher PA levels or PA overtime are protective against asthma development. Future prospective studies with objectively measured PA and larger population size may help to shed light on this association.

BMJ Open

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Nord-Trøndelag Health Study (HUNT) is a collaboration between HUNT Research Centre (Faculty of Medicine, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, NTNU), Nord-Trøndelag County Council, Central Norway Health Authority, and the Norwegian Institute of Public Health.

CONTRIBUTIONS

BMB and XMM conceived and designed the study. AL and BMB acquired the samples and analysed the data. All authors interpreted the data. BMB drafted the manuscript. All authors wrote and revised the manuscript. BMB is accountable for the accuracy and integrity of all parts of the work. All authors read and approved the final manuscript to be published.

COMPETING INTERESTS

BMB reported having received funding support from the Central Norway Regional Health Authority and the Norwegian University of Science and Technology. No other disclosures were reported.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Liaison Committee between the Central Norway Regional Health Authority and the Norwegian University of Science and Technology.

DATA SHARING STATEMENT

No additional data are available.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES)

đ

text

data mining, AI training, and similar technologies

Protected by copyright, including for uses related

REFERENCES

1 Eijkemans M, Mommers M, Jos MT, *et al.* Physical activity and asthma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2012;7:e50775.

2 Garcia-Aymerich J, Varraso R, Antó JM, *et al.* Prospective study of physical activity and risk of asthma exacerbations in older women. *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine* 2009;179:999-1003.

3 Kilpeläinen M, Terho EO, Helenius H, *et al.* Body mass index and physical activity in relation to asthma and atopic diseases in young adults. *Respiratory medicine* 2006;100:1518-25.

4 Strine TW, Balluz LS, Ford ES. The associations between smoking, physical inactivity, obesity, and asthma severity in the general US population. *Journal of Asthma* 2007;44:651-8.

5 Teramoto M, Moonie S. Physical activity participation among adult Nevadans with self-reported asthma. *Journal of Asthma* 2011;48:517-22.

6 Beckett WS, Jacobs Jr DR, Yu X, *et al.* Asthma is associated with weight gain in females but not males, independent of physical activity. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 2001;164:2045-50.

7 Vogelberg C, Hirsch T, Radon K, *et al.* Leisure time activity and new onset of wheezing during adolescence. *European Respiratory Journal* 2007;30:672-6.

8 Chen Y, Dales R, Krewski D. Leisure-time energy expenditure in asthmatics and non-asthmatics. *Respiratory medicine* 2001;95:13-8.

9 Benet M, Varraso R, Kauffmann F, *et al.* The effects of regular physical activity on adult-onset asthma incidence in women. *Respiratory medicine* 2011;105:1104-7.

10 Lucke J, Waters B, Hockey R, *et al.* Trends in women's risk factors and chronic conditions: findings from the Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health. 2007.

11 Krokstad S, Langhammer A, Hveem K, *et al.* Cohort profile: the HUNT study, Norway. International journal of epidemiology 2013;42:968-77.

12 Holmen J, Midthjell K, Krüger Ø, *et al.* The Nord-Trøndelag Health Study 1995–97 (HUNT 2): objectives, contents, methods and participation. *Norsk epidemiologi* 2003;13:19-32.

13 Holmen J, Midthjell K, Forsen L, *et al.* [A health survey in Nord-Trondelag 1984-86. Participation and comparison of attendants and non-attendants]. *Tidsskrift for den Norske laegeforening: tidsskrift for praktisk medicin, ny raekke* 1990;110:1973-7.

14 R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2012. ISBN 3-900051-07-0 2014.

Driessen LM, Kiefte-de Jong JC, Jaddoe VW, *et al.* Physical activity and respiratory symptoms in children: the generation R study. *Pediatric pulmonology* 2014;49:36-42.

16 Guldberg–Møller J, Hancox B, Mikkelsen D, *et al.* Physical fitness and amount of asthma and asthma-like symptoms from childhood to adulthood. *The clinical respiratory journal* 2015;9:314-21.

17 Toren K, Brisman J, Jarvholm B. Asthma and asthma-like symptoms in adults assessed by questionnaires: a literature review. *Chest* 1993;104:600-9.

18 Kurtze N, Rangul V, Hustvedt B-E, *et al.* Reliability and validity of self-reported physical activity in the Nord-Trøndelag Health Study (HUNT 2). *European journal of epidemiology* 2007;22:379-87.

rstve. Judy-HUNT 1.. Kurtze N, Rangul V, Hustvedt B-E, et al. Reliability and validity of self-reported physical activity in the Nord-Trøndelag Health Study—HUNT 1. Scandinavian journal of public health 2008;36:52-61.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES)

data mining, AI training, and similar technologies

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and

Supplementary tables

Physical activity and incident asthma in adults: The HUNT Study

Ben M. Brumpton, PhD^{1, 2*}, Arnulf Langhammer, MD, PhD¹, Manuel A. R. Ferreira, PhD², Yue Chen, MD, PhD³ and Xiao-Mei Mai, MD, PhD¹

¹Department of Public Health and General Practice, Faculty of Medicine, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway. ²QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Australia.

QIMR Bergnorer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Australia.

³School of Epidemiology, Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Canada.

*Corresponding author: Ben M. Brumpton, Department of Public Health and General Practice, Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), Postbox 8905, MTFS, NO-7491 Trondheim, Norway.

E-mail: ben.brumpton@ntnu.no

Phone: +47 73597564

Fax: +47 73597577

BMJ Open

Supplementary Table 1. The association between physical activity at baseline and incident asthma in the Nord–Trøndelag Health Study, Norway, 1995 to 2005 (n=17,477), using a stricter definition of asthma: *i.e.* self-reported asthma and use of asthma medication at follow-up, without report of attacks of wheezing at baseline versus the reference group with no asthma and no wheeze at baseline or follow-up.

Level of physical activity			Model	1	Model	2	Model	3
	n	cases	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Inactive	862	2 24	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	
Very low	364	3 93	0.91	0.59-1.47	0.98	0.63-1.58	0.98	0.63-1.58
Low	438	80 107	0.87	0.57-1.4	1.01	0.66-1.63	1.02	0.65-1.64
Moderate	633	2 149	0.84	0.55-1.33	1.02	0.67-1.63	1.02	0.66-1.63
High	226	50 53	0.84	0.52-1.39	1.11	0.68-1.85	1.11	0.68-1.85
Any activity	174	77 426	0.87	0.58-1.35	5 1.02	0.68-1.6	1.02	0.68-1.6

The 11-year cumulative incidence of asthma was calculated according to PA.

CI: confidence interval, n: number of observations, OR: odds ratio.

[#]Model 1: Crude

[¶]Model 2: Adjusted age, BMI, sex, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit and economic difficulties.

⁺Model 3: Adjusted age, BMI, sex, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit, economic difficulties and allergic rhinitis.

Supplementary Table 2. The association between change in physical activity prior to baseline and incident asthma in the Nord–Trøndelag Health Study, Norway, 1985 to 2005 (n=11,736), using a stricter definition of asthma: *i.e.* self-reported asthma and use of asthma medication at follow-up, without report of attacks of wheezing at baseline versus the reference group with no asthma and no wheeze at baseline or follow-up.

Level of physical activit	y		Model 1	#	Model 2	1	Model 3 ⁺	-
	n	cases	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Inactive	130	4	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	
Active survey 1 only	341	8	0.76	0.23-2.88	0.73	0.22-2.78	8 0.77	0.23-2.95
Active survey 2 only	738	13	0.56	0.2-2.03	0.61	0.21-2.21	0.64	0.22-2.32
Acitve both	10527	7 259	0.79	0.33-2.6	0.94	0.39-3.1	0.97	0.4-3.22
Any activity	11606	5 280	0.78	0.33-2.55	0.91	0.37-2.98	8 0.93	0.38-3.09

The 11-year cumulative incidence of asthma was calculated according to PA.

CI: confidence interval, n: number of observations, OR: odds ratio.

[#]Model 1: Crude

[¶]Model 2: Adjusted age, BMI, sex, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit and economic difficulties.

⁺Model 3: Adjusted age, BMI, sex, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit, economic difficulties and allergic rhinitis.

BMJ Open

Level of physical acti	ivity	7	Model 1			Model	2	Model	3
		n	cases	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Inactive		777	25	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	
Very low		3342	95	0.88	0.57-1.41	0.93	0.6-1.49	0.92	0.59-1.48
Low		4002	99	0.76	0.5-1.22	0.85	0.55-1.37	0.86	0.55-1.38
Moderate		5857	137	0.72	0.48-1.14	0.85	0.56-1.35	0.85	0.55-1.35
High		2101	57	0.84	0.53-1.37	1.08	0.67-1.78	1.09	0.68-1.80
Any activity		16079	413	0.78	0.53-1.21	0.90	0.60-1.40	0.90	0.60-1.40

The 11-year cumulative incidence of asthma was calculated according to PA. Incident asthma was defined from the survey questionnaires as those who did not report ever asthma at HUNT2 (1995-97) but reported asthma at HUNT3 (2006-08).

CI: confidence interval, n: number of observations, OR: odds ratio.

[#]Model 1: Crude

[¶]Model 2: Adjusted age, BMI, sex, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit and economic difficulties.

⁺Model 3: Adjusted age, BMI, sex, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit, economic difficulties and allergic rhinitis.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Supplementary Table 4. The association between physical activity at baseline and incident asthma in the Nord-Trøndelag Health Study, Norway, 1995 to 2005 (n=10,397), excluded participants who reported wheezing, breathlessness or daily cough during the last 12 months in HUNT2 or those reporting normally coughing in the morning or normally expectorating phlegm from their chest in the morning in HUNT1.

Level of physical activi	ty		Model 1	#	Model 2	ſ	Model 3 ⁺	-
	n	cases	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Inactive	105	4	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	
Active survey 1 only	293	5	0.44	0.11-1.8	0.44	0.11-1.82	2 0.49	0.12-2.05
Active survey 2 only	630	13	0.53	0.18-1.92	0.59	0.2-2.16	0.64	0.22-2.37
Acitve both	9369	236	0.65	0.27-2.14	0.8	0.33-2.67	0.87	0.35-2.91
Any activity	10292	254	0.64	0.27-2.1	0.77	0.31-2.56	6 0.83	0.33-2.79

The 11-year cumulative incidence of asthma was calculated according to PA. Incident asthma was defined from the survey questionnaires as those who did not report ever asthma at HUNT2 (1995-97) but reported asthma at HUNT3 (2006-08).

CI: confidence interval, n: number of observations, OR: odds ratio.

[#]Model 1: Crude

[¶]Model 2: Adjusted age, BMI, sex, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit and economic difficulties.

⁺Model 3: Adjusted age, BMI, sex, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit, economic difficulties and allergic rhinitis.

BMJ Open

STROBE 2007 (v4) Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of cohort studies
--

Section/Topic	ltem #	Recommendation	Reported on page #
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	2
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	2
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	4
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	4
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	5
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	5
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up	5
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	5-8
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	5-8
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	8
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	5
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	5-8
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	8
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	8
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	7
		(d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	8
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	
Results			

48 1 8 NJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de 1
49 Enseigneent Superieur (ABES) .
79 Protected by copyrights including find find field and find field and find of the find and find field and field and find field and field and

Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed	5
		eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	5
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential	9
		confounders	
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	10
		(c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	9
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	9
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence	11-12
		interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	12-13
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	13-14
Limitations			
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from	13-16
		similar studies, and other relevant evidence	
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	16
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on	17
		which the present article is based	

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

8 Albo Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de I 87 Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyrights including for use stated intervalue of the initiage of the initial of the initial of the initiage of the initial of the initiage of the initial of the initial

BMJ Open

Physical Activity and Incident Asthma in Adults: The HUNT Study

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2016-013856.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	22-Sep-2016
Complete List of Authors:	Brumpton, Ben; Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Department of Public Health and General Practice; QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute Langhammer, Arnulf; Norwegian University of Science and Technology, HUNT Research Centre, Department of Public Health and General Practice Ferreira, Manuel; QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute Chen, Yue; University of Ottawa, Department of Epidemiology and Community Medicine Mai, Xiao-Mei; Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Department of Public Health and General Practice
Primary Subject Heading :	Epidemiology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Respiratory medicine, Sports and exercise medicine
Keywords:	Asthma < THORACIC MEDICINE, EPIDEMIOLOGY, PUBLIC HEALTH



BMJ Open

Physical Activity and Incident Asthma in Adults: The HUNT Study

Ben M. Brumpton^{1, 2,3*}, Arnulf Langhammer¹, Manuel A. R. Ferreira², Yue Chen⁴ and Xiao-Mei Mai¹

¹Department of Public Health and General Practice, Faculty of Medicine, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, NTNU, Trondheim, Norway.

²QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Australia.

³ Department of Thoracic and Occupational Medicine, St. Olavs Hospital, Trondheim

University Hospital, Trondheim, Norway

⁴School of Epidemiology, Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Canada.

*Corresponding author: Ben M. Brumpton, Department of Public Health and General Practice, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, NTNU, Postbox 8905, MTFS, NO-7491 Trondheim, Norway.

E-mail: ben.brumpton@ntnu.no

Phone: +47 73597564

Fax: +47 73597577

Word count: 2640

Key words: asthma; physical activity; leisure time activity; incidence

Abstract

Objective: We aimed to investigate the associations of physical activity and change in physical activity with incident asthma in a cohort of Norwegian adults.

Design: We conducted a prospective cohort study using data on self-reported physical activity from three waves of the Nord-Trondelag Health Study. Physical activity was reported at the first and second surveys (1985-1986 and 1995-1997). Physical activity was defined from the second survey as inactive or active and the active group was further defined as very low, low, moderate and high. Change in physical activity was defined from the first and second surveys.

Setting: A large population based health survey in Norway.

Participants: We followed 18,894 adults over 11 years who were free from asthma at baseline in 1995-1997.

Outcome: Incident asthma was reported in the third survey (2006-2008).

Results: The cumulative incidence of asthma was 3.6% over the 11 years. The adjusted odds ratio (OR) for incident asthma among active participants compared with inactive participants was 0.95 (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.69-1.34). The adjusted OR for those who were active in the first or second survey vs. those who were inactive in both surveys was 0.64 (95%, CI 0.34-1.38), however this association was strongly attenuated in sensitivity analysis (OR 0.93, 95% CI 0.38-3.09).

Conclusions: We did not observe significant associations of physical activity or change in physical activity with incident asthma in adults over the 11-year follow-up.

Word count: 231

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This study was one of the largest and longest prospective studies on physical activity and incident asthma.
- We had repeated measures of physical activity and comprehensive details of the participants which allowed us to investigating the association in subgroups by age, sex, body mass index, smoking status, family history of asthma and allergic rhinitis.
- The major criticism of epidemiological studies of asthma and a limitation of our study is the lack of a gold standard for asthma diagnosis, however previous studies have suggested that the validity and reliability of self-reported asthma are acceptable.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

INTRODUCTION

Physical activity (PA) has been suggested to be a protective factor against the development of asthma,¹ and given the strong association between body mass index (BMI) and incident asthma it could be expected that low levels of PA contribute to this risk. Additionally, studies have found a decrease in the risk of asthma exacerbations among the physically active,² and several cross-sectional studies have found an association between PA and asthma prevalence.³⁻⁵ However not all results from cross-sectional studies have been consistent, and longitudinal studies have shown conflicting results.⁶⁻⁹ A French cohort study which followed 51,080 women from 1993 to 2003 found no association between increased PA at baseline and incident asthma (HR 1.00 for the highest tertile of PA compared to lowest [95% CI, 0.81-1.24]).⁹ On the other hand, in a prospective study of 19,021 women from the Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health, Lucke et al. found that middle aged women (45-50 years) with no or low levels of PA were at a higher risk of incident asthma over a 5-7 year follow-up.¹⁰ This lack of consistency between previous studies should be addressed.

There may exist heterogeneity in effects of PA on asthma, and hidden subgroup effects due to variation in age periods, sex difference and extremes of PA have been suggested.¹ Additionally, previous studies may suffer from protopathic biases where PA is restricted due to other respiratory complications that precede asthma or high levels of PA might stimulate respiratory symptoms and advance the detection of asthma.¹

We thus aimed to investigate the associations of PA and change in PA with incident asthma in a relatively large population-based cohort of 18, 894 adults, over 11 years, as well as investigating

the potential associations in subgroups by age, sex, body mass index, smoking status, family history of asthma and allergic rhinitis.

METHODS

Study population

The Nord-Trøndelag Health Study (HUNT) is a large population based cohort study that has collected detailed clinical, anthropometric and demographic information over three surveys in the county of Nord-Trøndelag, Norway. The surveys called HUNT1, HUNT2 and HUNT3 were conducted in 1984-86, 1995-97 and 2006-08, respectively. At each survey the entire adult population (20 years or older) were invited to participate. Participation rates were 89.4%, 69.5% and 54.1%, respectively.¹¹ Detailed information about the HUNT can be found elsewhere.¹¹⁻¹³ Our analysis included participants who participated in HUNT2 and HUNT3 (n=37,071) with an average follow-up of 11 years. We excluded those who were \geq 65 years of age in HUNT3, did not have information on PA or asthma, leaving 18,894 adults in our analysis cohort. The age cutpoint was used to reduce misclassification of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and asthma. Additionally, we conducted analysis on 12,707 participants who had information on PA from HUNT2.

Physical activity

In HUNT2, participants were asked to record their average hours of light and hard PA during leisure time per week in the last year (None, less than 1 hour, 1-2 hours, 3 hours or more). Light activity was defined as no sweating or not being out of breath, and hard activity as sweating/out of breath. We defined inactive individuals as those who reported no light or hard PA, and active individuals as those who reported either light or hard PA. We further defined the active

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

individuals into 4 categories (very low, low, moderate and high). Very low PA was defined as less than 3 hours of light activity and no hard activity, low PA as 3 hours or more of light activity and no hard activity or less than 1 hour of hard activity with less than 3 hours of light activity, and moderate PA as 3 hours or more of light activity and less than 1 hour of hard activity or 1-2 hours hard activity with any light activity. High PA was defined as 3 hours or more of hard activity regardless of light activity. A moderate or high level of PA was assumed to be comparable to the current PA recommendations.

In HUNT1 participants were asked "*How often do you exercise*? (never, less than once a week, 2-3 times a week, and nearly every day)" with the accompanying text "*By exercise we mean going for walks, skiing, swimming and working out/sports*". We defined inactive individuals as those that answered never and active individuals as those that answered any other category. We then combined the inactive and active categories from HUNT1 and HUNT2 to create four categories; 1) Inactive both; 2) active only in HUNT1; 3) active only HUNT2; and 4) active in both.

Asthma

In both HUNT2 and HUNT3, participants were asked: "*Do you have or have you had asthma*?". Incident asthma was defined from the survey questionnaires as those who did not report ever asthma at HUNT2 but reported asthma at HUNT3. In a sensitivity analysis we included a stricter definition of asthma, *i.e.* self-reported incident asthma as above and use of asthma medication at follow-up, without report of attacks of wheezing at baseline versus the reference group with no asthma and no wheeze at baseline or follow-up. Participants were asked "Have you at any time during the last 5 years taken medicine for asthma, chronic bronchitis, emphysema or COPD?" at

BMJ Open

HUNT3 and "Have you had attacks of wheezing or breathlessness during the last 12 months?" at HUNT2 which we used to define medication use and wheezing, respectively.

Covariates

In HUNT2 information on health and lifestyle factors were collected in questionnaires and a clinical examination. Information included age, sex, body mass index (BMI), smoking status (never, former, current, unknown), education (<10, 10-12, \geq 13, unknown), family history of asthma (yes, no, unknown), social benefit (yes, no, unknown), economic difficulties (yes, no, unknown) and allergic rhinitis (yes, no, unknown).

Body mass index was calculated as weight (kilograms) divided by the squared value of height (meters). To define smoking status, participants reported whether they were never smokers, current smokers and or age of cessation. The variable was corrected with information from participants' answers in HUNT1 and HUNT3. Family history of asthma included any first-degree family member (father, mother, brother or sister) with asthma. Social benefit included participants who received sick pay, rehabilitation benefits, retraining benefits, disability pension, old age pension, family income supplement, unemployment benefits, transitional benefits, widow's pension or any other benefit. Economic difficulties included participants who had difficulties meeting the cost of food, transport, and/or housing. Allergic rhinitis included participants who reported hay fever or nasal allergies.

Statistical methods

We described the baseline characteristic of the analysis cohort in Table 1. Mean and standard deviation or number of observations and percentage for different characteristic of the analysis

cohort are presented. The 11-year cumulative incidence of asthma was calculated according to PA.

We used logistic regression to investigate the prospective association between levels of PA and subsequent risk of incident asthma. Each category of PA was compared to the inactive group. We repeated the above analyses using the stricter definition of asthma.

We included the potential confounding factors age, sex, BMI, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit, economic difficulties and allergic rhinitis in our models. We conducted several stratified analyses to assess whether the association between PA and incident asthma could be modified by other factors. This included stratification by age (dichotomized by age <45 years), sex, BMI (dichotomized by BMI <25), smoking status (never, ever smoker), family history of asthma (yes, no) and allergic rhinitis (yes, no). We formally tested the homogeneity of stratum specific relative risks using a likelihood ratio test. Finally, we performed several sensitivity analyses. To address potential protopathic biases from other respiratory complications we excluded participants who reported wheezing, breathlessness or coughing daily during the last 12 months in HUNT2. Additionally, in the analyses of change in PA, we excluded those reporting normally coughing in the morning or normally expectorating phlegm from their chest in the morning in HUNT1.

The statistical analyses were conducted using R 3.2.2 for Windows¹⁴.

Ethics approval

This study was approved by The Regional Committee for Medical Research Ethics, Norway (2014/102/REK midt) and all participants gave informed written consent.
RESULTS

Table 1 presents the characteristics of the analysis cohort according to the level of PA. The proportions of inactive, very low, low, moderate and high PA levels in HUNT2 was 5.1%, 21.0%, 25.2%, 35.9% and 12.9%, respectively. The 11-years cumulative incidence of asthma was 3.6%. The mean age and standard deviation was similar in each category of physical activity. There were fewer women in the inactive group than the combined active group. A similar proportion of participants had a family history of asthma between the categories of PA. Participants with higher levels of PA seemed to have higher levels of education, to report less social benefit and economic difficulties and more likely to be never smokers compared to inactive people. The proportion of participants who reported allergic rhinitis was slightly lower in the inactive group compared to all physically active groups.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.	
---	--

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the analysis cohort, Nord–Trøndelag Health Study, Norway, 1995-97 (n=18,894).

Characteristic	Inactive	,	Very Low		Low		Moderate	e	High	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Age	39.3	8.8	40.2	8.6	39.8	8.7	39	8.8	37.6	9.7
BMI	26.3	4.3	26	4	25.8	3.8	25.4	3.5	25.2	3.3
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Sex										
Men	543	56.2	1482	37.4	2170	45.7	3103	45.8	1561	64.2
Women	423	43.8	2482	62.6	2583	54.3	3675	54.2	872	35.8
Family history of asthma	l									
No	739	76.5	3075	77.6	3710	78.1	5313	78.4	1925	79.1
Yes	133	13.8	583	14.7	715	15	998	14.7	328	13.5
Don't know	94	9.7	306	7.7	328	6.9	467	6.9	180	7.4
Education										
Low	301	31.2	905	22.8	836	17.6	851	12.6	355	14.6
Mid	546	56.5	2174	54.8	2584	54.4	3553	52.4	1300	53.4
High	109	11.3	857	21.6	1302	27.4	2344	34.6	759	31.2
Missing	10	1	28	0.7	31	0.7	30	0.4	19	0.8
Social benefit										
No	547	56.6	2443	61.6	3138	66	4560	67.3	1618	66.5
Yes	219	22.7	748	18.9	797	16.8	1043	15.4	337	13.9
Missing	200	20.7	773	19.5	818	17.2	1175	17.3	478	19.6
Economic difficulties										
No	480	49.7	2226	56.2	2811	5 9.1	4108	60.6	1484	61
Yes	326	33.7	1140	28.8	1334	28.1	1811	26.7	566	23.3
Missing	160	16.6	598	15.1	608	12.8	859	12.7	383	15.7
Smoking status										
Never	349	36.1	1672	42.2	2188	46	3405	50.2	3405	50.2
Former	232	24	958	24.2	1230	25.9	1674	24.7	1674	24.7
Current	375	38.8	1311	33.1	1312	27.6	1653	24.4	1653	24.4
Missing	10	1	23	0.6	23	0.5	46	0.7	46	0.7
Allergic rhinitis										
No	649	67.2	2678	67.6	3283	69.1	4640	68.5	1604	65.9
Yes	111	11.5	570	14.4	711	15	1031	15.2	362	14.9
Missing	206	21.3	716	18.1	759	16	1107	16.3	467	19.2
Total	966	5.1	3964	21	4753	25.2	6778	35.9	2433	12.9

n: number of observations, SD: standard deviation.

Table 2 presents the crude and adjusted odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for incident asthma in relation to levels of PA. Being active at different levels was not associated with decreased risk of incident asthma compared to those who reported being inactive. After adjustment for potential confounding factors the ORs were attenuated and close to null.

Table 2. The association between physical activity at baseline and incident asthma in the Nord–Trøndelag Health Study, Norway, 1995-97 to 2006-08 (n=18,894).

Level of physical activity			Model 1		Model 2		Model 3	
	n	cases	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Inactive	966	41	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	
Very low	3964	147	0.87	0.62-1.25	0.92	0.65-1.34	0.91	0.64-1.32
Low	4753	170	0.84	0.6-1.2	0.96	0.68-1.38	0.95	0.67-1.37
Moderate	6778	225	0.77	0.56-1.1	0.93	0.66-1.33	0.91	0.65-1.31
High	2433	94	0.91	0.63-1.33	1.19	0.82-1.76	1.17	0.8-1.73
Any activity	18894	677	0.83	0.61-1.16	0.97	0.71-1.36	0.95	0.69-1.34

The 11-year cumulative incidence of asthma was calculated according to PA.

CI: confidence interval, n: number of observations, OR: odds ratio.

[#]Model 1: Crude

[¶]Model 2: Adjusted age, BMI, sex, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit and economic difficulties.

⁺Model 3: Adjusted age, BMI, sex, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit, economic difficulties and allergic rhinitis.

The crude OR for incident asthma for persons being active at both surveys compared to inactive at both surveys was 0.58 (95% CI, 0.31-1.23) (Table 3). After adjustment for potential confounding factors the OR was 0.66 (95% CI, 0.35-1.44). The adjusted OR for any activity in either survey vs. inactive at both surveys was 0.64 (95% CI, 0.34-1.38).

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Level of physical activit	у	I	Model 1 [#]			[Model 3 ⁺		
	n	cases	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	
Inactive	150	9	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		
Active survey 1 only	374	10	0.43	0.17-1.1	0.4	0.16-1.05	0.41	0.16-1.06	
Active survey 2 only	815	25	0.5	0.23-1.14	0.53	0.25-1.23	0.53	0.24-1.23	
Active both	11368	3 404	0.58	0.31-1.23	0.68	0.36-1.45	0.66	0.35-1.44	
Any activity	12557	439	0.57	0.3-1.21	0.65	0.34-1.4	0.64	0.34-1.38	

Table 3. The association between change in physical activity prior to baseline and incident asthma in the Nord–Trøndelag Health Study, Norway, 1984-86 to 2006-08 (n=12,707).

The 11-year cumulative incidence of asthma was calculated according to PA.

CI: confidence interval, n: number of observations, OR: odds ratio.

[#]Model 1: Crude

[¶]Model 2: Adjusted age, BMI, sex, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit and economic difficulties.

⁺Model 3: Adjusted age, BMI, sex, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit, economic difficulties and allergic rhinitis.

Restricting our analysis to those reporting asthma and use of asthma medication at follow-up, without report of asthma or wheeze at baseline did not change our estimates for the association between PA in HUNT2 and incident asthma (Supplementary Table 1). However, for the association between change in PA prior to baseline and incident asthma it strongly attenuated the association (any activity vs. inactive adjusted OR 0.93, 95% CI 0.38-3.09, Supplementary Table

2).

The association between PA in HUNT2 and incident asthma did not differ in analyses stratified by age, sex, BMI, smoking status, family history of asthma and allergic rhinitis. P-values for interaction were 0.95, 0.18, 0.80, 0.28, 0.40 and 0.60, respectively. Regarding the association between change in PA prior to baseline and incident asthma, P-values for interaction were 0.58, 0.06, 0.11, 0.12, 0.33 and 0.93 respectively.

Page 13 of 26

BMJ Open

In the analyses excluding participants who reported other respiratory complaints at HUNT1 (normally coughing in the morning or normally expectorating phlegm from their chest in the morning) or HUNT2 (wheezing, breathlessness or coughing daily during the last 12 months) the estimates did not change considerably (Supplementary Table 3 and 4).

DISCUSSION

In this prospective study of 18,894 adults, we did not observe a decreased risk of incident asthma in physically active compared with inactive adults over the 11-year follow-up. Despites observing a protective point estimate for those physically active at any or both surveys, the estimate had a high degree of uncertainty and was not supported in our sensitivity analyses. We did not find any variation in subgroups by age, sex, BMI, smoking status, family history of asthma or allergic rhinitis. Finally, after restricting to participants without any other respiratory complaints at baseline we found similar results, suggesting that the findings are not affected by protopathic biases.

To our knowledge, this was the longest study to investigate the association between PA and incident asthma. The association between PA and asthma has been previously investigated in several cross-sectional and prospective studies. In a systematic review and meta-analysis, Eijkemans et al. reported on thirty-four cross-sectional studies which investigated PA and asthma prevalence. Thirteen of these studies reported an association between higher PA levels and lower asthma prevalence, 18 no association and 3 reported an association between higher PA levels and higher asthma prevalence.¹ In the same investigation five longitudinal studies investigating physical actively levels and incident asthma were pooled. The duration of these studies ranged

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

between 5 and 10 years and the studies were largely heterogeneous from each other (Chi-square test for heterogeneity, p-value = 0.07). The pooled OR for incident asthma was 0.88 (95% CI, 0.77-1.01) suggesting PA as a protective factor. This meta-analysis however did not control for potential confounding factors as the majority of the individual studies did not provide adjusted results and was unable to investigate possible subgroup variations. Following this meta-analysis two additional studies have been published.^{15, 16} The first did not find that PA was associated with wheezing or shortness of breath in children.¹⁵ The second which followed 1369 children to adulthood found a possible beneficial effect of PA on the development of respiratory symptoms (OR 0.97, 95% CI 0.95-0.99).¹⁶

Despite having a reasonably large sample size, long follow-up and comprehensive details of the participants, there are some potential limitations of our study. First, the definition of asthma was based on self-reported information and it is possible that disease misclassification may have influenced the results. However it has been reported that the validity of self-reported asthma is reasonably good.¹⁷ Additionally, we used a stricter definition of asthma based on reported asthma and medication use among those who did not report asthma or wheeze at baseline. This may have improved the validity of the diagnosis and reduced recall bias as the outcome window for medication use was 5 years.

An additional limitation of this study was that physical activity was subjective and self-reported in questionnaires as opposed to objective measurements such as motion sensors. However, the reliability and validity of the questionnaire used to define PA in HUNT2 have been investigated, and the question on hard PA, which predominately defined the two highest categories of physical activity (moderate and high), correlated well with other measures including VO_{2max} .¹⁸ The question on PA in HUNT1 have also been validated.¹⁹ The question on frequency showed high

repeatability (spearman's correlation coefficient 0.87), reliability (weighted Kappa coefficient 0.80), and moderate correlation with VO_{2max} (spearman's correlation coefficient 0.43).¹⁹ Despite not using an objective measure of PA, which is not very practical in large-scale epidemiological studies, by evaluating PA at two different time-points we may have reduced the chance of spurious results due to exposure misclassification.

Observational studies inherently suffer from confounding. Previous studies have hypothesised that protopathic biases where PA is restricted due to other respiratory complications that precede asthma or high levels of PA that stimulate symptoms and advance the detection of asthma may overestimate or underestimate the association, respectively.¹ To investigate this potential bias, firstly we excluded those who reported wheezing or coughing daily at HUNT2 (n=16,079). We observed a similar OR to our main analysis (adjusted OR for any activity was 0.90, vs. 0.95, Supplementary Table 3). Secondly, in the analysis of change in PA, we additionally excluded those who reported normally coughing in the morning or normally expectorating phlegm in the morning at HUNT1. We observed a slightly elevated OR (adjusted OR for any activity in the first or second survey was 0.83 vs. 0.64 in the main analysis, Supplementary Table 4), which suggested that our original results for change in PA may have been overestimated due to other respiratory complications. Additionally, we speculated that even if those with a high level of PA were diagnosed earlier than other participants resulting in an underestimation of the association. we might expect to see an inverse association in those with low or moderate PA compared to inactive participants but there was no significant association in our findings. It is possible that there is a weak association between PA and incident asthma which can only be detected with very precise measurements of PA and asthma.

Finally, our study was performed in a relatively uniform population in terms of age, ethnicity (97% Caucasians) and environmental factors, therefore our findings may not be directly generalised to other populations.

Conclusions

In summary, we did not find strong evidence that higher PA levels or PA overtime are protective against asthma development. Future prospective studies with objectively measured PA and larger population size may help to shed light on this association.

BMJ Open

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Nord-Trøndelag Health Study (HUNT) is a collaboration between HUNT Research Centre (Faculty of Medicine, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, NTNU), Nord-Trøndelag County Council, Central Norway Health Authority, and the Norwegian Institute of Public Health.

CONTRIBUTIONS

BMB and XMM conceived and designed the study. AL and BMB acquired the samples and analysed the data. All authors interpreted the data. BMB drafted the manuscript. All authors wrote and revised the manuscript. BMB is accountable for the accuracy and integrity of all parts of the work. All authors read and approved the final manuscript to be published.

COMPETING INTERESTS

BMB reported having received funding support from the Central Norway Regional Health Authority and the Norwegian University of Science and Technology. No other disclosures were reported.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Liaison Committee between the Central Norway Regional Health Authority and the Norwegian University of Science and Technology.

DATA SHARING STATEMENT

No additional data are available.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES)

đ

text

data mining, AI training, and similar technologies

Protected by copyright, including for uses related

REFERENCES

1 Eijkemans M, Mommers M, Jos MT, *et al.* Physical activity and asthma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2012;7:e50775.

2 Garcia-Aymerich J, Varraso R, Antó JM, *et al.* Prospective study of physical activity and risk of asthma exacerbations in older women. *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine* 2009;179:999-1003.

3 Kilpeläinen M, Terho EO, Helenius H, *et al.* Body mass index and physical activity in relation to asthma and atopic diseases in young adults. *Respiratory medicine* 2006;100:1518-25.

4 Strine TW, Balluz LS, Ford ES. The associations between smoking, physical inactivity, obesity, and asthma severity in the general US population. *Journal of Asthma* 2007;44:651-8.

5 Teramoto M, Moonie S. Physical activity participation among adult Nevadans with self-reported asthma. *Journal of Asthma* 2011;48:517-22.

6 Beckett WS, Jacobs Jr DR, Yu X, *et al.* Asthma is associated with weight gain in females but not males, independent of physical activity. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 2001;164:2045-50.

7 Vogelberg C, Hirsch T, Radon K, *et al.* Leisure time activity and new onset of wheezing during adolescence. *European Respiratory Journal* 2007;30:672-6.

8 Chen Y, Dales R, Krewski D. Leisure-time energy expenditure in asthmatics and non-asthmatics. *Respiratory medicine* 2001;95:13-8.

9 Benet M, Varraso R, Kauffmann F, *et al.* The effects of regular physical activity on adult-onset asthma incidence in women. *Respiratory medicine* 2011;105:1104-7.

10 Lucke J, Waters B, Hockey R, *et al.* Trends in women's risk factors and chronic conditions: findings from the Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health. 2007.

11 Krokstad S, Langhammer A, Hveem K, *et al.* Cohort profile: the HUNT study, Norway. International journal of epidemiology 2013;42:968-77.

12 Holmen J, Midthjell K, Krüger Ø, *et al.* The Nord-Trøndelag Health Study 1995–97 (HUNT 2): objectives, contents, methods and participation. *Norsk epidemiologi* 2003;13:19-32.

13 Holmen J, Midthjell K, Forsen L, *et al.* [A health survey in Nord-Trondelag 1984-86. Participation and comparison of attendants and non-attendants]. *Tidsskrift for den Norske laegeforening: tidsskrift for praktisk medicin, ny raekke* 1990;110:1973-7.

14 R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2012. ISBN 3-900051-07-0 2014.

Driessen LM, Kiefte-de Jong JC, Jaddoe VW, *et al.* Physical activity and respiratory symptoms in children: the generation R study. *Pediatric pulmonology* 2014;49:36-42.

16 Guldberg–Møller J, Hancox B, Mikkelsen D, *et al.* Physical fitness and amount of asthma and asthma-like symptoms from childhood to adulthood. *The clinical respiratory journal* 2015;9:314-21.

17 Toren K, Brisman J, Jarvholm B. Asthma and asthma-like symptoms in adults assessed by questionnaires: a literature review. *Chest* 1993;104:600-9.

18 Kurtze N, Rangul V, Hustvedt B-E, *et al.* Reliability and validity of self-reported physical activity in the Nord-Trøndelag Health Study (HUNT 2). *European journal of epidemiology* 2007;22:379-87.

rstve. Judy-HUNT 1.. Kurtze N, Rangul V, Hustvedt B-E, et al. Reliability and validity of self-reported physical activity in the Nord-Trøndelag Health Study—HUNT 1. Scandinavian journal of public health 2008;36:52-61.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES)

data mining, AI training, and similar technologies

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and

Supplementary tables

Physical activity and incident asthma in adults: The HUNT Study

Ben M. Brumpton, PhD^{1, 2,3*}, Arnulf Langhammer, MD, PhD¹, Manuel A. R. Ferreira, PhD², Yue Chen, MD, PhD⁴ and Xiao-Mei Mai, MD, PhD¹

¹Department of Public Health and General Practice, Faculty of Medicine, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway.

²QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Australia.

³ Department of Thoracic and Occupational Medicine, St. Olavs Hospital, Trondheim

University Hospital, Trondheim, Norway

⁴School of Epidemiology, Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Medicine,

University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Canada.

*Corresponding author: Ben M. Brumpton, Department of Public Health and General Practice,

Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), Postbox 8905, MTFS, NO-7491

Trondheim, Norway.

E-mail: ben.brumpton@ntnu.no

Phone: +47 73597564

Fax: +47 73597577

BMJ Open

Supplementary Table 1. The association between physical activity at baseline and incident asthma in the Nord–Trøndelag Health Study, Norway, 1995-97 to 2006-08 (n=17,477), using a stricter definition of asthma: *i.e.* self-reported asthma and use of asthma medication at follow-up, without report of attacks of wheezing at baseline versus the reference group with no asthma and no wheeze at baseline or follow-up.

Level of physical act	Model 1			Model	2	Model 3		
	n	cases	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Inactive	862	24	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	
Very low	3643	93	0.91	0.59-1.47	0.98	0.63-1.58	0.98	0.63-1.58
Low	4380	107	0.87	0.57-1.4	1.01	0.66-1.63	1.02	0.65-1.64
Moderate	6332	149	0.84	0.55-1.33	1.02	0.67-1.63	1.02	0.66-1.63
High	2260	53	0.84	0.52-1.39) 1.11	0.68-1.85	1.11	0.68-1.85
Any activity	17477	426	0.87	0.58-1.35	5 1.02	0.68-1.6	1.02	0.68-1.6

The 11-year cumulative incidence of asthma was calculated according to PA.

CI: confidence interval, n: number of observations, OR: odds ratio.

[#]Model 1: Crude

[¶]Model 2: Adjusted age, BMI, sex, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit and economic difficulties.

⁺Model 3: Adjusted age, BMI, sex, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit, economic difficulties and allergic rhinitis.

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text

and

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Supplementary Table 2. The association between change in physical activity prior to baseline and incident asthma in the Nord–Trøndelag Health Study, Norway, 1984-86 to 2006-08 (n=11,736), using a stricter definition of asthma: *i.e.* self-reported asthma and use of asthma medication at follow-up, without report of attacks of wheezing at baseline versus the reference group with no asthma and no wheeze at baseline or follow-up.

Level of physical activity	у	Model 1 [#]			Model 2	T	Model 3 ⁺		
	n	cases	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	
Inactive	130	4	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		
Active survey 1 only	341	8	0.76	0.23-2.88	0.73	0.22-2.78	3 0.77	0.23-2.95	
Active survey 2 only	738	13	0.56	0.2-2.03	0.61	0.21-2.21	0.64	0.22-2.32	
Acitve both	10527	7 259	0.79	0.33-2.6	0.94	0.39-3.1	0.97	0.4-3.22	
Any activity	11606	5 280	0.78	0.33-2.55	5 0.91	0.37-2.98	8 0.93	0.38-3.09	

The 11-year cumulative incidence of asthma was calculated according to PA.

CI: confidence interval, n: number of observations, OR: odds ratio.

[#]Model 1: Crude

[¶]Model 2: Adjusted age, BMI, sex, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit and economic difficulties.

⁺Model 3: Adjusted age, BMI, sex, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit, economic difficulties and allergic rhinitis.

BMJ Open

Supplementary Table 3. The association between physical activity at baseline and incident asthma in the Nord–Trøndelag Health Study, Norway, 1995-97 to 2006-08 (n=16,079), excluded participants who reported wheezing, breathlessness or cough daily during the last 12 months in HUNT2.

Level of physical act	Model 1			Model	2	Model 3			
		n	cases	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Inactive		777	25	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	
Very low		3342	95	0.88	0.57-1.41	0.93	0.6-1.49	0.92	0.59-1.48
Low		4002	99	0.76	0.5-1.22	0.85	0.55-1.37	0.86	0.55-1.38
Moderate		5857	137	0.72	0.48-1.14	0.85	0.56-1.35	0.85	0.55-1.35
High		2101	57	0.84	0.53-1.37	1.08	0.67-1.78	1.09	0.68-1.80
Any activity		16079	9 413	0.78	0.53-1.21	0.90	0.60-1.40	0.90	0.60-1.40

The 11-year cumulative incidence of asthma was calculated according to PA. Incident asthma was defined from the survey questionnaires as those who did not report ever asthma at HUNT2 (1995-97) but reported asthma at HUNT3 (2006-08).

CI: confidence interval, n: number of observations, OR: odds ratio.

[#]Model 1: Crude

[¶]Model 2: Adjusted age, BMI, sex, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit and economic difficulties.

⁺Model 3: Adjusted age, BMI, sex, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit, economic difficulties and allergic rhinitis.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Supplementary Table 4. The association between physical activity at baseline and incident asthma in the Nord–Trøndelag Health Study, Norway, 1995-97 to 2006-08 (n=10,397), excluded participants who reported wheezing, breathlessness or daily cough during the last 12 months in HUNT2 or those reporting normally coughing in the morning or normally expectorating phlegm from their chest in the morning in HUNT1.

Level of physical activit	y	Model 1 [#]			Model 2	T	Model 3 ⁺		
	n	cases	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	
Inactive	105	4	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		
Active survey 1 only	293	5	0.44	0.11-1.8	0.44	0.11-1.82	2 0.49	0.12-2.05	
Active survey 2 only	630	13	0.53	0.18-1.92	0.59	0.2-2.16	0.64	0.22-2.37	
Acitve both	9369	236	0.65	0.27-2.14	0.8	0.33-2.67	0.87	0.35-2.91	
Any activity	10292	2 254	0.64	0.27-2.1	0.77	0.31-2.56	5 0.83	0.33-2.79	

The 11-year cumulative incidence of asthma was calculated according to PA. Incident asthma was defined from the survey questionnaires as those who did not report ever asthma at HUNT2 (1995-97) but reported asthma at HUNT3 (2006-08).

CI: confidence interval, n: number of observations, OR: odds ratio.

[#]Model 1: Crude

[¶]Model 2: Adjusted age, BMI, sex, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit and economic difficulties.

⁺Model 3: Adjusted age, BMI, sex, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit, economic difficulties and allergic rhinitis.

BMJ Open

STROBE 2007 (v4) Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of cohort studies
--

Section/Topic	ltem #	Recommendation	Reported on page #
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	2
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	2
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	4
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	4
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	5
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	5
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up	5
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	5-8
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	5-8
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	8
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	5
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	5-8
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	8
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	8
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	7
		(d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	8
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	
Results			

48 1 8 NJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de 1
49 Enseigneent Superieur (ABES) .
79 Protected by copyrights including find find field and find field and find of the find and find field and field and find field and field and

Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed	5
		eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	5
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential	9
		confounders	
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	10
		(c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	9
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	9
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence	11-12
		interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	12-13
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	13-14
Limitations			
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from	13-16
		similar studies, and other relevant evidence	
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	16
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on	17
		which the present article is based	

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

8 Albo Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de I 87 Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyrights including for use stated intervalue of the initiage of the initial of the initial of the initiage of the initial of the initial

BMJ Open

Physical Activity and Incident Asthma in Adults: The HUNT Study, Norway

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2016-013856.R2
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	03-Oct-2016
Complete List of Authors:	Brumpton, Ben; Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Department of Public Health and General Practice; QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute Langhammer, Arnulf; Norwegian University of Science and Technology, HUNT Research Centre, Department of Public Health and General Practice Ferreira, Manuel; QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute Chen, Yue; University of Ottawa, Department of Epidemiology and Community Medicine Mai, Xiao-Mei; Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Department of Public Health and General Practice
Primary Subject Heading :	Epidemiology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Respiratory medicine, Sports and exercise medicine
Keywords:	Asthma < THORACIC MEDICINE, EPIDEMIOLOGY, PUBLIC HEALTH



BMJ Open

Physical Activity and Incident Asthma in Adults: The HUNT Study, Norway.

Ben M. Brumpton^{1, 2,3*}, Arnulf Langhammer¹, Manuel A. R. Ferreira², Yue Chen⁴ and Xiao-Mei Mai¹

¹Department of Public Health and General Practice, Faculty of Medicine, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, NTNU, Trondheim, Norway.

²QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Australia.

³ Department of Thoracic and Occupational Medicine, St. Olavs Hospital, Trondheim

University Hospital, Trondheim, Norway

⁴School of Epidemiology, Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Canada.

*Corresponding author: Ben M. Brumpton, Department of Public Health and General Practice, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, NTNU, Postbox 8905, MTFS, NO-7491 Trondheim, Norway.

E-mail: ben.brumpton@ntnu.no

Phone: +47 73597564

Fax: +47 73597577

Word count: 2640

Key words: asthma; physical activity; leisure time activity; incidence

Abstract

Objective: We aimed to investigate the associations of physical activity and change in physical activity with incident asthma in a cohort of Norwegian adults.

Design: We conducted a prospective cohort study using data on self-reported physical activity from three waves of the Nord-Trondelag Health Study. Physical activity was reported at the first and second surveys (1985-1986 and 1995-1997). Physical activity was defined from the second survey as inactive or active and the active group was further defined as very low, low, moderate and high. Change in physical activity was defined from the first and second surveys.

Setting: A large population based health survey in Norway.

Participants: We followed 18,894 adults over 11 years who were free from asthma at baseline in 1995-1997.

Outcome: Incident asthma was reported in the third survey (2006-2008).

Results: The cumulative incidence of asthma was 3.6% over the 11 years. The adjusted odds ratio (OR) for incident asthma among active participants compared with inactive participants was 0.95 (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.69-1.34). The adjusted OR for those who were active in the first or second survey vs. those who were inactive in both surveys was 0.64 (95%, CI 0.34-1.38), however this association was strongly attenuated in sensitivity analysis (OR 0.93, 95% CI 0.38-3.09).

Conclusions: We did not observe significant associations of physical activity or change in physical activity with incident asthma in adults over the 11-year follow-up.

Word count: 231

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This study was one of the largest and longest prospective studies on physical activity and incident asthma.
- We had repeated measures of physical activity and comprehensive details of the participants which allowed us to investigating the association in subgroups by age, sex, body mass index, smoking status, family history of asthma and allergic rhinitis.
- Physical activity was self-reported as opposed to objectively measured and the reliance on such self-reported data is a weakness of this study.
- The major criticism of epidemiological studies of asthma and a limitation of our study is the lack of a gold standard for asthma diagnosis, however previous studies have suggested that the validity and reliability of self-reported asthma are acceptable.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

INTRODUCTION

Physical activity (PA) has been suggested to be a protective factor against the development of asthma,¹ and given the strong association between body mass index (BMI) and incident asthma it could be expected that low levels of PA contribute to this risk. Additionally, studies have found a decrease in the risk of asthma exacerbations among the physically active,² and several cross-sectional studies have found an association between PA and asthma prevalence.³⁻⁵ However not all results from cross-sectional studies have been consistent, and longitudinal studies have shown conflicting results.⁶⁻⁹ A French cohort study which followed 51,080 women from 1993 to 2003 found no association between increased PA at baseline and incident asthma (HR 1.00 for the highest tertile of PA compared to lowest [95% CI, 0.81-1.24]).⁹ On the other hand, in a prospective study of 19,021 women from the Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health, Lucke et al. found that middle aged women (45-50 years) with no or low levels of PA were at a higher risk of incident asthma over a 5-7 year follow-up.¹⁰ This lack of consistency between previous studies should be addressed.

There may exist heterogeneity in effects of PA on asthma, and hidden subgroup effects due to variation in age periods, sex difference and extremes of PA have been suggested.¹ Additionally, previous studies may suffer from protopathic biases where PA is restricted due to other respiratory complications that precede asthma or high levels of PA might stimulate respiratory symptoms and advance the detection of asthma.¹

We thus aimed to investigate the associations of PA and change in PA with incident asthma in a relatively large population-based cohort of 18, 894 adults, over 11 years, as well as investigating

the potential associations in subgroups by age, sex, body mass index, smoking status, family history of asthma and allergic rhinitis.

METHODS

Study population

The Nord-Trøndelag Health Study (HUNT) is a large population based cohort study that has collected detailed clinical, anthropometric and demographic information over three surveys in the county of Nord-Trøndelag, Norway. The surveys called HUNT1, HUNT2 and HUNT3 were conducted in 1984-86, 1995-97 and 2006-08, respectively. At each survey the entire adult population (20 years or older) were invited to participate. Participation rates were 89.4%, 69.5% and 54.1%, respectively.¹¹ Detailed information about the HUNT can be found elsewhere.¹¹⁻¹³ Our analysis included participants who participated in HUNT2 and HUNT3 (n=37,071) with an average follow-up of 11 years. We excluded those who were \geq 65 years of age in HUNT3, did not have information on PA or asthma, leaving 18,894 adults in our analysis cohort. The age cutpoint was used to reduce misclassification of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and asthma. Additionally, we conducted analysis on 12,707 participants who had information on PA from HUNT2.

Physical activity

In HUNT2, participants were asked to record their average hours of light and hard PA during leisure time per week in the last year (None, less than 1 hour, 1-2 hours, 3 hours or more). Light activity was defined as no sweating or not being out of breath, and hard activity as sweating/out of breath. We defined inactive individuals as those who reported no light or hard PA, and active individuals as those who reported either light or hard PA. We further defined the active

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

individuals into 4 categories (very low, low, moderate and high). Very low PA was defined as less than 3 hours of light activity and no hard activity, low PA as 3 hours or more of light activity and no hard activity or less than 1 hour of hard activity with less than 3 hours of light activity, and moderate PA as 3 hours or more of light activity and less than 1 hour of hard activity or 1-2 hours hard activity with any light activity. High PA was defined as 3 hours or more of hard activity regardless of light activity. A moderate or high level of PA was assumed to be comparable to the current PA recommendations.

In HUNT1 participants were asked "*How often do you exercise*? (never, less than once a week, 2-3 times a week, and nearly every day)" with the accompanying text "*By exercise we mean going for walks, skiing, swimming and working out/sports*". We defined inactive individuals as those that answered never and active individuals as those that answered any other category. We then combined the inactive and active categories from HUNT1 and HUNT2 to create four categories; 1) Inactive both; 2) active only in HUNT1; 3) active only HUNT2; and 4) active in both.

Asthma

In both HUNT2 and HUNT3, participants were asked: "*Do you have or have you had asthma*?". Incident asthma was defined from the survey questionnaires as those who did not report ever asthma at HUNT2 but reported asthma at HUNT3. In a sensitivity analysis we included a stricter definition of asthma, *i.e.* self-reported incident asthma as above and use of asthma medication at follow-up, without report of attacks of wheezing at baseline versus the reference group with no asthma and no wheeze at baseline or follow-up. Participants were asked "Have you at any time during the last 5 years taken medicine for asthma, chronic bronchitis, emphysema or COPD?" at

BMJ Open

HUNT3 and "Have you had attacks of wheezing or breathlessness during the last 12 months?" at HUNT2 which we used to define medication use and wheezing, respectively.

Covariates

In HUNT2 information on health and lifestyle factors were collected in questionnaires and a clinical examination. Information included age, sex, body mass index (BMI), smoking status (never, former, current, unknown), education (<10, 10-12, \geq 13, unknown), family history of asthma (yes, no, unknown), social benefit (yes, no, unknown), economic difficulties (yes, no, unknown) and allergic rhinitis (yes, no, unknown).

Body mass index was calculated as weight (kilograms) divided by the squared value of height (meters). To define smoking status, participants reported whether they were never smokers, current smokers and or age of cessation. The variable was corrected with information from participants' answers in HUNT1 and HUNT3. Family history of asthma included any first-degree family member (father, mother, brother or sister) with asthma. Social benefit included participants who received sick pay, rehabilitation benefits, retraining benefits, disability pension, old age pension, family income supplement, unemployment benefits, transitional benefits, widow's pension or any other benefit. Economic difficulties included participants who had difficulties meeting the cost of food, transport, and/or housing. Allergic rhinitis included participants who reported hay fever or nasal allergies.

Statistical methods

We described the baseline characteristic of the analysis cohort in Table 1. Mean and standard deviation or number of observations and percentage for different characteristic of the analysis

cohort are presented. The 11-year cumulative incidence of asthma was calculated according to PA.

We used logistic regression to investigate the prospective association between levels of PA and subsequent risk of incident asthma. Each category of PA was compared to the inactive group. We repeated the above analyses using the stricter definition of asthma.

We included the potential confounding factors age, sex, BMI, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit, economic difficulties and allergic rhinitis in our models. We conducted several stratified analyses to assess whether the association between PA and incident asthma could be modified by other factors. This included stratification by age (dichotomized by age <45 years), sex, BMI (dichotomized by BMI <25), smoking status (never, ever smoker), family history of asthma (yes, no) and allergic rhinitis (yes, no). We formally tested the homogeneity of stratum specific relative risks using a likelihood ratio test. Finally, we performed several sensitivity analyses. To address potential protopathic biases from other respiratory complications we excluded participants who reported wheezing, breathlessness or coughing daily during the last 12 months in HUNT2. Additionally, in the analyses of change in PA, we excluded those reporting normally coughing in the morning or normally expectorating phlegm from their chest in the morning in HUNT1.

The statistical analyses were conducted using R 3.2.2 for Windows¹⁴.

Ethics approval

This study was approved by The Regional Committee for Medical Research Ethics, Norway (2014/102/REK midt) and all participants gave informed written consent.

RESULTS

Table 1 presents the characteristics of the analysis cohort according to the level of PA. The proportions of inactive, very low, low, moderate and high PA levels in HUNT2 was 5.1%, 21.0%, 25.2%, 35.9% and 12.9%, respectively. The 11-years cumulative incidence of asthma was 3.6%. The mean age and standard deviation was similar in each category of physical activity. There were fewer women in the inactive group than the combined active group. A similar proportion of participants had a family history of asthma between the categories of PA. Participants with higher levels of PA seemed to have higher levels of education, to report less social benefit and economic difficulties and more likely to be never smokers compared to inactive people. The proportion of participants who reported allergic rhinitis was slightly lower in the inactive group compared to all physically active groups.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.	
---	--

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the analysis cohort, Nord–Trøndelag Health Study, Norway, 1995-97 (n=18,894).

Characteristic	Inactive	Very Low		Low			Moderate		High	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Age	39.3	8.8	40.2	8.6	39.8	8.7	39	8.8	37.6	9.7
BMI	26.3	4.3	26	4	25.8	3.8	25.4	3.5	25.2	3.3
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Sex										
Men	543	56.2	1482	37.4	2170	45.7	3103	45.8	1561	64.2
Women	423	43.8	2482	62.6	2583	54.3	3675	54.2	872	35.8
Family history of asthma	l									
No	739	76.5	3075	77.6	3710	78.1	5313	78.4	1925	79.1
Yes	133	13.8	583	14.7	715	15	998	14.7	328	13.5
Don't know	94	9.7	306	7.7	328	6.9	467	6.9	180	7.4
Education										
Low	301	31.2	905	22.8	836	17.6	851	12.6	355	14.6
Mid	546	56.5	2174	54.8	2584	54.4	3553	52.4	1300	53.4
High	109	11.3	857	21.6	1302	27.4	2344	34.6	759	31.2
Missing	10	1	28	0.7	31	0.7	30	0.4	19	0.8
Social benefit										
No	547	56.6	2443	61.6	3138	66	4560	67.3	1618	66.5
Yes	219	22.7	748	18.9	797	16.8	1043	15.4	337	13.9
Missing	200	20.7	773	19.5	818	17.2	1175	17.3	478	19.6
Economic difficulties										
No	480	49.7	2226	56.2	2811	59.1	4108	60.6	1484	61
Yes	326	33.7	1140	28.8	1334	28.1	1811	26.7	566	23.3
Missing	160	16.6	598	15.1	608	12.8	859	12.7	383	15.7
Smoking status										
Never	349	36.1	1672	42.2	2188	46	3405	50.2	3405	50.2
Former	232	24	958	24.2	1230	25.9	1674	24.7	1674	24.7
Current	375	38.8	1311	33.1	1312	27.6	1653	24.4	1653	24.4
Missing	10	1	23	0.6	23	0.5	46	0.7	46	0.7
Allergic rhinitis										
No	649	67.2	2678	67.6	3283	69.1	4640	68.5	1604	65.9
Yes	111	11.5	570	14.4	711	15	1031	15.2	362	14.9
Missing	206	21.3	716	18.1	759	16	1107	16.3	467	19.2
Total	966	5.1	3964	21	4753	25.2	6778	35.9	2433	12.9

n: number of observations, SD: standard deviation.

Table 2 presents the crude and adjusted odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for incident asthma in relation to levels of PA. Being active at different levels was not associated with decreased risk of incident asthma compared to those who reported being inactive. After adjustment for potential confounding factors the ORs were attenuated and close to null.

Table 2. The association between physical activity at baseline and incident asthma in the Nord–Trøndelag Health Study, Norway, 1995-97 to 2006-08 (n=18,894).

Level of physical activity			Model 1		Model 2		Model 3	
	n	cases	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Inactive	966	41	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	
Very low	3964	147	0.87	0.62-1.25	0.92	0.65-1.34	0.91	0.64-1.32
Low	4753	170	0.84	0.6-1.2	0.96	0.68-1.38	0.95	0.67-1.37
Moderate	6778	225	0.77	0.56-1.1	0.93	0.66-1.33	0.91	0.65-1.31
High	2433	94	0.91	0.63-1.33	1.19	0.82-1.76	1.17	0.8-1.73
Any activity	18894	677	0.83	0.61-1.16	0.97	0.71-1.36	0.95	0.69-1.34

The 11-year cumulative incidence of asthma was calculated according to PA.

CI: confidence interval, n: number of observations, OR: odds ratio.

[#]Model 1: Crude

[¶]Model 2: Adjusted age, BMI, sex, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit and economic difficulties.

⁺Model 3: Adjusted age, BMI, sex, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit, economic difficulties and allergic rhinitis.

The crude OR for incident asthma for persons being active at both surveys compared to inactive at both surveys was 0.58 (95% CI, 0.31-1.23) (Table 3). After adjustment for potential confounding factors the OR was 0.66 (95% CI, 0.35-1.44). The adjusted OR for any activity in either survey vs. inactive at both surveys was 0.64 (95% CI, 0.34-1.38).

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Level of physical activity		Model 1 [#]			Model 2	[Model 3 ⁺	
	n	cases	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Inactive	150	9	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	
Active survey 1 only	374	10	0.43	0.17-1.1	0.4	0.16-1.05	0.41	0.16-1.06
Active survey 2 only	815	25	0.5	0.23-1.14	0.53	0.25-1.23	0.53	0.24-1.23
Active both	11368	3 404	0.58	0.31-1.23	0.68	0.36-1.45	0.66	0.35-1.44
Any activity	12557	439	0.57	0.3-1.21	0.65	0.34-1.4	0.64	0.34-1.38

Table 3. The association between change in physical activity prior to baseline and incident asthma in the Nord–Trøndelag Health Study, Norway, 1984-86 to 2006-08 (n=12,707).

The 11-year cumulative incidence of asthma was calculated according to PA.

CI: confidence interval, n: number of observations, OR: odds ratio.

[#]Model 1: Crude

[¶]Model 2: Adjusted age, BMI, sex, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit and economic difficulties.

⁺Model 3: Adjusted age, BMI, sex, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit, economic difficulties and allergic rhinitis.

Restricting our analysis to those reporting asthma and use of asthma medication at follow-up, without report of asthma or wheeze at baseline did not change our estimates for the association between PA in HUNT2 and incident asthma (Supplementary Table 1). However, for the association between change in PA prior to baseline and incident asthma it strongly attenuated the association (any activity vs. inactive adjusted OR 0.93, 95% CI 0.38-3.09, Supplementary Table

2).

The association between PA in HUNT2 and incident asthma did not differ in analyses stratified by age, sex, BMI, smoking status, family history of asthma and allergic rhinitis. P-values for interaction were 0.95, 0.18, 0.80, 0.28, 0.40 and 0.60, respectively. Regarding the association between change in PA prior to baseline and incident asthma, P-values for interaction were 0.58, 0.06, 0.11, 0.12, 0.33 and 0.93 respectively.

Page 13 of 26

BMJ Open

In the analyses excluding participants who reported other respiratory complaints at HUNT1 (normally coughing in the morning or normally expectorating phlegm from their chest in the morning) or HUNT2 (wheezing, breathlessness or coughing daily during the last 12 months) the estimates did not change considerably (Supplementary Table 3 and 4).

DISCUSSION

In this prospective study of 18,894 adults, we did not observe a decreased risk of incident asthma in physically active compared with inactive adults over the 11-year follow-up. Despites observing a protective point estimate for those physically active at any or both surveys, the estimate had a high degree of uncertainty and was not supported in our sensitivity analyses. We did not find any variation in subgroups by age, sex, BMI, smoking status, family history of asthma or allergic rhinitis. Finally, after restricting to participants without any other respiratory complaints at baseline we found similar results, suggesting that the findings are not affected by protopathic biases.

To our knowledge, this was the longest study to investigate the association between PA and incident asthma. The association between PA and asthma has been previously investigated in several cross-sectional and prospective studies. In a systematic review and meta-analysis, Eijkemans et al. reported on thirty-four cross-sectional studies which investigated PA and asthma prevalence. Thirteen of these studies reported an association between higher PA levels and lower asthma prevalence, 18 no association and 3 reported an association between higher PA levels and higher asthma prevalence.¹ In the same investigation five longitudinal studies investigating physical actively levels and incident asthma were pooled. The duration of these studies ranged

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

between 5 and 10 years and the studies were largely heterogeneous from each other (Chi-square test for heterogeneity, p-value = 0.07). The pooled OR for incident asthma was 0.88 (95% CI, 0.77-1.01) suggesting PA as a protective factor. This meta-analysis however did not control for potential confounding factors as the majority of the individual studies did not provide adjusted results and was unable to investigate possible subgroup variations. Following this meta-analysis two additional studies have been published.^{15, 16} The first did not find that PA was associated with wheezing or shortness of breath in children.¹⁵ The second which followed 1369 children to adulthood found a possible beneficial effect of PA on the development of respiratory symptoms (OR 0.97, 95% CI 0.95-0.99).¹⁶

Despite having a reasonably large sample size, long follow-up and comprehensive details of the participants, there are some potential limitations of our study. First, the definition of asthma was based on self-reported information and it is possible that disease misclassification may have influenced the results. However it has been reported that the validity of self-reported asthma is reasonably good.¹⁷ Additionally, we used a stricter definition of asthma based on reported asthma and medication use among those who did not report asthma or wheeze at baseline. This may have improved the validity of the diagnosis and reduced recall bias as the outcome window for medication use was 5 years.

An additional limitation of this study was that physical activity was subjective and self-reported in questionnaires as opposed to objective measurements such as motion sensors. However, the reliability and validity of the questionnaire used to define PA in HUNT2 have been investigated, and the question on hard PA, which predominately defined the two highest categories of physical activity (moderate and high), correlated well with other measures including VO_{2max} .¹⁸ The question on PA in HUNT1 have also been validated.¹⁹ The question on frequency showed high

repeatability (spearman's correlation coefficient 0.87), reliability (weighted Kappa coefficient 0.80), and moderate correlation with VO_{2max} (spearman's correlation coefficient 0.43).¹⁹ Despite not using an objective measure of PA, which is not very practical in large-scale epidemiological studies, by evaluating PA at two different time-points we may have reduced the chance of spurious results due to exposure misclassification.

Observational studies inherently suffer from confounding. Previous studies have hypothesised that protopathic biases where PA is restricted due to other respiratory complications that precede asthma or high levels of PA that stimulate symptoms and advance the detection of asthma may overestimate or underestimate the association, respectively.¹ To investigate this potential bias, firstly we excluded those who reported wheezing or coughing daily at HUNT2 (n=16,079). We observed a similar OR to our main analysis (adjusted OR for any activity was 0.90, vs. 0.95, Supplementary Table 3). Secondly, in the analysis of change in PA, we additionally excluded those who reported normally coughing in the morning or normally expectorating phlegm in the morning at HUNT1. We observed a slightly elevated OR (adjusted OR for any activity in the first or second survey was 0.83 vs. 0.64 in the main analysis, Supplementary Table 4), which suggested that our original results for change in PA may have been overestimated due to other respiratory complications. Additionally, we speculated that even if those with a high level of PA were diagnosed earlier than other participants resulting in an underestimation of the association. we might expect to see an inverse association in those with low or moderate PA compared to inactive participants but there was no significant association in our findings. It is possible that there is a weak association between PA and incident asthma which can only be detected with very precise measurements of PA and asthma.

Finally, our study was performed in a relatively uniform population in terms of age, ethnicity (97% Caucasians) and environmental factors, therefore our findings may not be directly generalised to other populations.

Conclusions

In summary, we did not find strong evidence that higher PA levels or PA overtime are protective against asthma development. Future prospective studies with objectively measured PA and larger population size may help to shed light on this association.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Nord-Trøndelag Health Study (HUNT) is a collaboration between HUNT Research Centre (Faculty of Medicine, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, NTNU), Nord-Trøndelag County Council, Central Norway Health Authority, and the Norwegian Institute of Public Health.

CONTRIBUTIONS

BMB and XMM conceived and designed the study. AL and BMB acquired the samples and analysed the data. BMB, AL, MAF, YC and XMM interpreted the data. BMB drafted the manuscript. BMB, AL, MAF, YC and XMM wrote and revised the manuscript. BMB is accountable for the accuracy and integrity of all parts of the work. BMB, AL, MAF, YC and XMM read and approved the final manuscript to be published.

COMPETING INTERESTS

BMB reported having received funding support from the Central Norway Regional Health Authority and the Norwegian University of Science and Technology. No other disclosures were reported.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Liaison Committee between the Central Norway Regional Health Authority and the Norwegian University of Science and Technology.

DATA SHARING STATEMENT

No additional data are available.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

data mining, Al training, and similar technologies
BMJ Open

REFERENCES

1 Eijkemans M, Mommers M, Jos MT, *et al.* Physical activity and asthma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2012;7:e50775.

2 Garcia-Aymerich J, Varraso R, Antó JM, *et al.* Prospective study of physical activity and risk of asthma exacerbations in older women. *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine* 2009;179:999-1003.

3 Kilpeläinen M, Terho EO, Helenius H, *et al.* Body mass index and physical activity in relation to asthma and atopic diseases in young adults. *Respiratory medicine* 2006;100:1518-25.

4 Strine TW, Balluz LS, Ford ES. The associations between smoking, physical inactivity, obesity, and asthma severity in the general US population. *Journal of Asthma* 2007;44:651-8.

5 Teramoto M, Moonie S. Physical activity participation among adult Nevadans with self-reported asthma. *Journal of Asthma* 2011;48:517-22.

6 Beckett WS, Jacobs Jr DR, Yu X, *et al.* Asthma is associated with weight gain in females but not males, independent of physical activity. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 2001;164:2045-50.

7 Vogelberg C, Hirsch T, Radon K, *et al.* Leisure time activity and new onset of wheezing during adolescence. *European Respiratory Journal* 2007;30:672-6.

8 Chen Y, Dales R, Krewski D. Leisure-time energy expenditure in asthmatics and non-asthmatics. *Respiratory medicine* 2001;95:13-8.

9 Benet M, Varraso R, Kauffmann F, *et al.* The effects of regular physical activity on adult-onset asthma incidence in women. *Respiratory medicine* 2011;105:1104-7.

10 Lucke J, Waters B, Hockey R, *et al.* Trends in women's risk factors and chronic conditions: findings from the Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health. 2007.

11 Krokstad S, Langhammer A, Hveem K, *et al.* Cohort profile: the HUNT study, Norway. International journal of epidemiology 2013;42:968-77.

12 Holmen J, Midthjell K, Krüger Ø, *et al.* The Nord-Trøndelag Health Study 1995–97 (HUNT 2): objectives, contents, methods and participation. *Norsk epidemiologi* 2003;13:19-32.

13 Holmen J, Midthjell K, Forsen L, *et al.* [A health survey in Nord-Trondelag 1984-86. Participation and comparison of attendants and non-attendants]. *Tidsskrift for den Norske laegeforening: tidsskrift for praktisk medicin, ny raekke* 1990;110:1973-7.

14 R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2012. ISBN 3-900051-07-0 2014.

BMJ Open

Driessen LM, Kiefte-de Jong JC, Jaddoe VW, *et al.* Physical activity and respiratory symptoms in children: the generation R study. *Pediatric pulmonology* 2014;49:36-42.

16 Guldberg–Møller J, Hancox B, Mikkelsen D, *et al.* Physical fitness and amount of asthma and asthma-like symptoms from childhood to adulthood. *The clinical respiratory journal* 2015;9:314-21.

17 Toren K, Brisman J, Jarvholm B. Asthma and asthma-like symptoms in adults assessed by questionnaires: a literature review. *Chest* 1993;104:600-9.

18 Kurtze N, Rangul V, Hustvedt B-E, *et al.* Reliability and validity of self-reported physical activity in the Nord-Trøndelag Health Study (HUNT 2). *European journal of epidemiology* 2007;22:379-87.

rstve. Judy-HUNT 1.. Kurtze N, Rangul V, Hustvedt B-E, et al. Reliability and validity of self-reported physical activity in the Nord-Trøndelag Health Study—HUNT 1. Scandinavian journal of public health 2008;36:52-61.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES)

data mining, AI training, and similar technologies

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and

Supplementary tables

Physical activity and incident asthma in adults: The HUNT Study

Ben M. Brumpton, PhD^{1, 2,3*}, Arnulf Langhammer, MD, PhD¹, Manuel A. R. Ferreira, PhD², Yue Chen, MD, PhD⁴ and Xiao-Mei Mai, MD, PhD¹

¹Department of Public Health and General Practice, Faculty of Medicine, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway.

²QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Australia.

³ Department of Thoracic and Occupational Medicine, St. Olavs Hospital, Trondheim

University Hospital, Trondheim, Norway

⁴School of Epidemiology, Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Medicine,

University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Canada.

*Corresponding author: Ben M. Brumpton, Department of Public Health and General Practice,

Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), Postbox 8905, MTFS, NO-7491

Trondheim, Norway.

E-mail: ben.brumpton@ntnu.no

Phone: +47 73597564

Fax: +47 73597577

BMJ Open

Supplementary Table 1. The association between physical activity at baseline and incident asthma in the Nord–Trøndelag Health Study, Norway, 1995-97 to 2006-08 (n=17,477), using a stricter definition of asthma: *i.e.* self-reported asthma and use of asthma medication at follow-up, without report of attacks of wheezing at baseline versus the reference group with no asthma and no wheeze at baseline or follow-up.

Level of physical activity			Model 1			Model	2	Model 3	
		n	cases	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Inactive		862	24	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	
Very low		3643	93	0.91	0.59-1.47	0.98	0.63-1.58	0.98	0.63-1.58
Low		4380	107	0.87	0.57-1.4	1.01	0.66-1.63	1.02	0.65-1.64
Moderate		6332	149	0.84	0.55-1.33	1.02	0.67-1.63	1.02	0.66-1.63
High		2260	53	0.84	0.52-1.39) 1.11	0.68-1.85	1.11	0.68-1.85
Any activity		17477	426	0.87	0.58-1.35	5 1.02	0.68-1.6	1.02	0.68-1.6

The 11-year cumulative incidence of asthma was calculated according to PA.

CI: confidence interval, n: number of observations, OR: odds ratio.

[#]Model 1: Crude

[¶]Model 2: Adjusted age, BMI, sex, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit and economic difficulties.

⁺Model 3: Adjusted age, BMI, sex, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit, economic difficulties and allergic rhinitis.

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Supplementary Table 2. The association between change in physical activity prior to baseline and incident asthma in the Nord–Trøndelag Health Study, Norway, 1984-86 to 2006-08 (n=11,736), using a stricter definition of asthma: *i.e.* self-reported asthma and use of asthma medication at follow-up, without report of attacks of wheezing at baseline versus the reference group with no asthma and no wheeze at baseline or follow-up.

Level of physical activity			Model 1 [#]			1	Model 3 ⁺	
	n	cases	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Inactive	130	4	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	
Active survey 1 only	341	8	0.76	0.23-2.88	0.73	0.22-2.78	8 0.77	0.23-2.95
Active survey 2 only	738	13	0.56	0.2-2.03	0.61	0.21-2.21	0.64	0.22-2.32
Acitve both	10527	7 259	0.79	0.33-2.6	0.94	0.39-3.1	0.97	0.4-3.22
Any activity	11606	5 280	0.78	0.33-2.55	0.91	0.37-2.98	0.93	0.38-3.09

The 11-year cumulative incidence of asthma was calculated according to PA.

CI: confidence interval, n: number of observations, OR: odds ratio.

[#]Model 1: Crude

[¶]Model 2: Adjusted age, BMI, sex, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit and economic difficulties.

⁺Model 3: Adjusted age, BMI, sex, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit, economic difficulties and allergic rhinitis.

BMJ Open

Supplementary Table 3. The association between physical activity at baseline and incident asthma in the Nord–Trøndelag Health Study, Norway, 1995-97 to 2006-08 (n=16,079), excluded participants who reported wheezing, breathlessness or cough daily during the last 12 months in HUNT2.

Level of physical act	Model 1			Model 2		Model 3			
		n	cases	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Inactive		777	25	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	
Very low		3342	95	0.88	0.57-1.41	0.93	0.6-1.49	0.92	0.59-1.48
Low		4002	99	0.76	0.5-1.22	0.85	0.55-1.37	0.86	0.55-1.38
Moderate		5857	137	0.72	0.48-1.14	0.85	0.56-1.35	0.85	0.55-1.35
High		2101	57	0.84	0.53-1.37	1.08	0.67-1.78	1.09	0.68-1.80
Any activity		16079	9 413	0.78	0.53-1.21	0.90	0.60-1.40	0.90	0.60-1.40

The 11-year cumulative incidence of asthma was calculated according to PA. Incident asthma was defined from the survey questionnaires as those who did not report ever asthma at HUNT2 (1995-97) but reported asthma at HUNT3 (2006-08).

CI: confidence interval, n: number of observations, OR: odds ratio.

[#]Model 1: Crude

[¶]Model 2: Adjusted age, BMI, sex, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit and economic difficulties.

⁺Model 3: Adjusted age, BMI, sex, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit, economic difficulties and allergic rhinitis.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Supplementary Table 4. The association between physical activity at baseline and incident asthma in the Nord–Trøndelag Health Study, Norway, 1995-97 to 2006-08 (n=10,397), excluded participants who reported wheezing, breathlessness or daily cough during the last 12 months in HUNT2 or those reporting normally coughing in the morning or normally expectorating phlegm from their chest in the morning in HUNT1.

Level of physical activit	Model 1 [#]			Model 2	T	Model 3 ⁺		
	n	cases	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Inactive	105	4	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	
Active survey 1 only	293	5	0.44	0.11-1.8	0.44	0.11-1.82	2 0.49	0.12-2.05
Active survey 2 only	630	13	0.53	0.18-1.92	0.59	0.2-2.16	0.64	0.22-2.37
Acitve both	9369	236	0.65	0.27-2.14	0.8	0.33-2.67	0.87	0.35-2.91
Any activity	10292	2 254	0.64	0.27-2.1	0.77	0.31-2.56	5 0.83	0.33-2.79

The 11-year cumulative incidence of asthma was calculated according to PA. Incident asthma was defined from the survey questionnaires as those who did not report ever asthma at HUNT2 (1995-97) but reported asthma at HUNT3 (2006-08).

CI: confidence interval, n: number of observations, OR: odds ratio.

[#]Model 1: Crude

[¶]Model 2: Adjusted age, BMI, sex, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit and economic difficulties.

⁺Model 3: Adjusted age, BMI, sex, smoking status, education, family history of asthma, social benefit, economic difficulties and allergic rhinitis.

BMJ Open

STROBE 2007 (v4) Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of cohort studies
--

Section/Topic	ltem #	Recommendation	Reported on page #			
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	2			
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	2			
Introduction						
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	4			
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	4			
Methods						
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	5			
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	5			
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up	5			
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed				
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	5-8			
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	5-8			
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	8			
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	5			
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	5-8			
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	8			
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	8			
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	7			
		(d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	8			
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses				
Results						

48 1 8 NJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de 1
49 Enseigneent Superieur (ABES) .
79 Protected by copyrights including find find field and find field and find of the find and find field and field and find field and field and

BMJ Open

Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed	5
		eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	5
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential	9
		confounders	
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	10
		(c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	9
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	9
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence	11-12
		interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	12-13
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	13-14
Limitations			
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from	13-16
		similar studies, and other relevant evidence	
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	16
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on	17
		which the present article is based	

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

8 Albo Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013856 on 18 November 2016. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de I 87 Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyrights including for use stated intervalue of the initiage of the initial of the initial of the initiage of the initial of the initial