BMJ Open

Prescription Opioid Injection and Risk of Hepatitis C in Relation to Traditional Drugs of Abuse in a Prospective Cohort of Street Youth

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2014-005419
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	07-Apr-2014
Complete List of Authors:	Hadland, Scott; Boston Children's Hospital, Division of Adolescent / Young Adult Medicine Debeck, Kora; BC Centre for Excellence in HIV/AIDS, Kerr, Thomas; BC Centre for Excellence in HIV/AIDS, Feng, Cindy; BC Centre for Excellence in HIV/AIDS, Montaner, Julio; BC Centre for Excellence in HIV/AIDS, Wood, Evan; BC Centre for Excellence in HIV/AIDS,
Primary Subject Heading :	Addiction
Secondary Subject Heading:	HIV/AIDS, Infectious diseases, Public health
Keywords:	Hepatobiliary disease < GASTROENTEROLOGY, Epidemiology < INFECTIOUS DISEASES, INFECTIOUS DISEASES, Hepatology < INTERNAL MEDICINE, Child & adolescent psychiatry < PSYCHIATRY, Substance misuse < PSYCHIATRY

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

	rkes(TION AND RISK OF HEPAITIS C
	IN	RELATION TO	TRADITIO	ONAL DRUGS OF ABUSE IN A
		DROORFOT		
		PROSPECT	IVE COH	DRI OF STREET TOUTH
		S	Scott E. Had	lland. MD. MPH ¹
			Kora De	Beck PhD ^{2,3}
				Korr DbD ^{2,4}
			Inomas	Kerr, PhD
			Cindy I	Feng, PhD ²
			Julio S. M	ontaner, MD ^{2,4}
			Evan Woo	od. MD. PhD ^{2,4}
	1	Boston Children's	Hospital Div	ision of Adolescent & Young Adult
	1.	Medicine Departr	nent of Medic	sine 300 Longwood Avenue Roston MA
		USA 02115		
	2	British Columbia (Centre for Exc	cellence in HIV/AIDS St. Paul's Hospital
	۷.	608 - 1081 Burrar	d Street. Van	couver. BC. Canada. V6Z 1Y6
	3	University of Britis	sh Columbia	School of Population and Public Health
	0.	Mather Building, 5	5804 Fairview	Avenue, Vancouver, BC, Canada, V6T
		1Z3		,
	4.	University of Britis	h Columbia.	Faculty of Medicine, 317 - 2194 Health
		Sciences Mall, Va	ncouver, BC.	Canada, V6T 1Z3
		, -	, -,	
Son	d corres	nondence to:	Evan Wa	nod MD PhD
UGI			BC Cent	re for Excellence in HIV/AIDS
			St. Paul's	s Hospital
			608 - 108	81 Burrard Street
			Vancouv	er, BC
			Canada	V6Z 1Y6
			_ ·	
			Phone:	(604) 806-9116
			Fax:	
Abs	stract Wo	ord Count: 296		
Wo	rd Count	(excluding abstra	ct): 2.997	
Figu	ires: 2		,	
Tah				
	103. Z	Manday And 7 004	1.4	
5ub _	inittea:	wonday, April 7, 201		
Run	nning He	ad: Hepatitis C and	prescription of	opioid use
MeS	SH Term	s: hepatitis C; analg	esics, opioid;	heroin; drug abuse; adolescent

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

ABSTRACT

Objective: Despite dramatic increases in misuse of prescription opioids, the extent to which their intravenous injection places drug users at risk of acquiring hepatitis C virus (HCV) remains unclear. We sought to compare risk of HCV acquisition from injection of prescription opioid to that from other street drugs among high-risk street youth.

Design: Prospective cohort study.

Setting: Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, from September 2005 to November 2011.

Participants: The At-Risk Youth Study (ARYS) is a prospective cohort of actively drug-using adolescents and young adults aged 14-26 years. Participants were recruited through extensive street-based outreach and snowball sampling.

Primary Outcome Measure: HCV antibody seroconversion, measured every 6 months during study follow-up. Risk for seroconversion from injection of prescription opioids was compared to injection of other street drugs of abuse, including heroin, cocaine or crystal methamphetamine, using Cox proportional hazards regression, controlling for age, gender and active syringe sharing.

Results: Baseline HCV seropositivity was 10.6%. Among 512 HCV-seronegative youth contributing 860.2 person-years of total follow-up, 56 (10.9%) seroconverted, resulting in an incidence density of 6.5 per 100 person-years. In bivariate analyses, prescription opioid injection (hazard ratio [HR], 3.48; 95% CI, 1.57-7.70) predicted HCV seroconversion. However, in multivariate modeling, only injection of heroin (adjusted HR, 4.56; 95% CI, 2.39-8.70),

BMJ Open

cocaine (adjusted HR, 1.88; 95% CI, 1.00-3.54), and crystal methamphetamine (adjusted HR, 2.91; 95% CI, 1.57-5.38) remained independently associated with HCV seroconversion, whereas injection of prescription opioids did not (adjusted HR, 0.94; 95% CI, 0.40-2.21).

Conclusions: Although misuse of prescription opioids is on the rise, traditional street drugs still appeared to pose the greatest threat of HCV transmission in this setting. Nonetheless, the high prevalence and incidence of HCV seropositivity among Canadian street youth underscores the need for evidence-based drug prevention, treatment, and harm reduction interventions targeting this vulnerable population.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES)

data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- A strength of this prospective cohort study is that it followed street youth, a marginalized and difficult-to-reach population with a high prevalence of injection drug use and blood-borne infection, including human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV)
- An additional strength is that it is among the first studies to examine the association between injection of prescription opioids (including, for example, oxycodone and morphine) and acquisition of HCV; it has previously not been known how the risk for acquiring HCV from injecting prescription opioids compares to that from injection more traditionally studied street drugs, such as heroin, cocaine and crystal methamphetamine
- Findings demonstrate elevated risk for HCV seroconversion in relation to heroin, cocaine and crystal methamphetamine injection, but not prescription opioid injection following covariate adjustment; however, the relatively small number of youth injecting prescription opioids may have limited detection of marginal risk differences
- Since youth in the study were recruited by snowball sampling, results are not obtained from a truly random sample, but characteristics of the cohort are comparable to those from studies of street youth conducted elsewhere
- This study demonstrates novel findings that should prompt further study of risk for bloodborne infection among drug-injecting youth populations

INTRODUCTION

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide.¹⁻³ While the incidence of HCV may be decreasing in some age groups, infection rates appear to be increasing among adolescents and young adults.⁴ Street youth – that is, youth who spend all or part of their time working or living on the street^{5,6} – represent a marginalized and stigmatized population at elevated risk for HCV acquisition owing to a high prevalence of injection drug use.^{3,7,8}

The emergence of elevated rates of HCV among street youth coincides with important changes in patterns of youth substance use. In recent years, misuse of prescription opioids such as morphine, oxycodone and hydrocodone has emerged as a public health emergency.⁹ Although injection of illicit drugs is known to place users at high risk of blood-borne infection,^{10,11} the abundance of studies to date have focused on traditional street drugs, such as heroin and cocaine, rather than prescription opioids.¹²

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

This constitutes a serious gap in our understanding of HCV epidemiology given that, in many jurisdictions, overdose mortality attributed to prescription opioid use has surpassed that attributed to the use of heroin and cocaine combined.¹³ The prevalence of non-medical prescription opioid use is increasing in the general adolescent population,¹⁴ with approximately 8-10% of high school students in the United States reporting past-year use.¹⁵ Many prescription opioid formulations are readily injected,^{16,17} but despite their widespread availability, there is a paucity of epidemiologic data examining this practice or its risk for disease transmission.¹⁸

At this time, it remains unclear whether heroin injectors and prescription opioid injectors represent overlapping or distinct subpopulations of injection drug users.¹⁹ There is evidence that some users follow a trajectory from initially using prescription opioids to ultimately using heroin, since in some settings, heroin is less expensive and more potent and available.¹⁶ On

the other hand, there may be a sizeable subgroup of users who inject prescription opioids to the exclusion of heroin and other drugs.²⁰ Regardless, there is reason to believe that certain injection practices associated with heroin as compared with prescription opioids may place users at differential risk for infectious disease transmission.^{17,20}

Understanding how injection of prescription opioids may place users at risk for acquiring HCV is imperative, given that injection drug users represent the population at greatest risk for HCV infection in North America,^{21,22} and that mortality from HCV has increased to the extent that it recently surpassed that from human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in the United States.² We conducted the present study of HCV acquisition among a prospective cohort of street youth in Vancouver, Canada. Our study objective was to compare differences in risk for HCV seroconversion from injection of prescription opioids to that from injection of traditional street drugs.

METHODS

The At-Risk Youth Study (ARYS) is a cohort of street-involved youth in Vancouver, Canada.²³ Inclusion criteria for enrollment included age 14 to 26 years and use of an illicit drug other than marijuana during the month prior to enrollment. Recruitment relied on extensive daytime and nighttime street-based outreach and snowball sampling. After, full study details were disclosed and informed consent was obtained. At baseline and every six months thereafter, participants completed an interview and underwent HCV antibody testing. Participants were remunerated \$20 CAN per visit. ARYS was approved by the University of British Columbia/Providence Health Care Research Ethics Board.

We compared HCV prevalence at recruitment and subsequent HCV incidence among youth according to recent (*i.e.*, during the preceding six months) injection of prescription opioid

Page 7 of 27

BMJ Open

and recent injection of heroin, cocaine and crystal methamphetamine. Prescription opioids were broadly defined to include morphine, oxycodone, hydromorphone, meperidine, fentanyl or methadone. We also examined patterns of non-injection use of prescription opioids in the sample. All ARYS participants were included in the baseline HCV prevalence analyses. Participants who were HCV antibody negative at baseline and returned for ≥1 follow-up visit were included in the incidence analyses.

We also examined an additional array of covariates including: gender, age (as a continuous variable), Aboriginal ancestry, high school education (having completed or currently enrolled in high school), self-reported gay/lesbian/bisexual orientation, recent homelessness, recent incarceration, recent sharing of injection syringes, recent inconsistent condom use (vaginal or anal penetrative sex without condom use 100% of the time), and recent sex work (having traded sex for money, drugs, shelter or gifts). In the baseline prevalence analysis, all participants were compared according to HCV serostatus through chi-square (for categorical variables) and Wilcoxin rank sum tests (for continuous variables). Similar statistics were also calculated to compare drug-related behaviors between Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal youth.²⁴

We then conducted the incidence analysis with the outcome of time to HCV seroconversion, limiting the sample to those who were HCV antibody negative at baseline and returned for \geq 1 follow-up visit. Youth with prior history of injection were first compared in univariate analyses.²⁵ We subsequently used Kaplan-Meier methods to plot the cumulative incidence of HCV seroconversion as a function of time. All follow-up data were included, even if a participant had missed an intervening follow-up appointment.

We also used Cox proportional hazards regression to determine unadjusted and adjusted hazard ratios (HR) for HCV seroconversion for the range of drug use-related variables and other covariates listed above. An interaction term between heroin and prescription opioid

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

injection was also tested. Time of seroconversion was estimated as the midpoint of the date of last known seronegativity and of that of first seropositivity.²⁶⁻²⁸ Independent variables were timeupdated in regression models if they referred to non-fixed characteristics or behaviors in the preceding six months. In the event of differential follow-up duration among participants recruited earlier in the study period compared to later in the study period, we examined the prevalence of prescription opioid injection and heroin in the first two years of study and that of the last two years of study to determine whether these behaviors were becoming more common with time.¹⁴

We sought to directly compare the risk for HCV seroconversion from injection of heroin and other traditional drugs of abuse to that of injection of prescription opioids,^{17,20} and created three multivariable models to do so. The first model included recent heroin injection but not recent prescription opioid injection; the second, recent prescription opioid injection but not recent heroin injection; and the third, both recent heroin injection and recent prescription opioid injection. To adjust for potential confounders, age and gender were included in multivariable models as well as covariates significant at p<0.05 in the initial bivariate Cox regression analyses of time to HCV seroconversion.

Analyses were conducted with SAS version 9.1 (SAS Institute, Inc, Cary, North Carolina). All p values were two-sided and tests were considered significant at p<0.05. Adjustments were not made for multiple comparisons given that this was a single-outcome, observational study.

RESULTS

From September 2005 to November 2011, 940 youth were recruited into the ARYS cohort and completed baseline HCV antibody testing. One-hundred youth (10.6%) were HCV-

seropositive at study enrollment. **Table 1** shows baseline characteristics and recent (*i.e.*, in the six months preceding study enrollment) drug-related and sexual risk behaviors according to HCV serostatus. Aboriginal youth comprised 224 (23.8%) of the sample. Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal youth did not differ with regard to recent non-injection prescription opioid use, recent injection of prescription opioids, heroin, cocaine, or crystal methamphetamine, or recent syringe sharing (p>0.05 for all). As shown, baseline HCV seropositivity was associated with older age, recent homelessness, recent incarceration, recent injection of prescription opioids, heroin, cocaine, and crystal methamphetamine, recent syringe sharing, and recent sex work. Recent injection of prescription opioids and of heroin were correlated (p<0.05).

Of the 840 youth who were HCV antibody negative at baseline, 512 (60.9%) had at least one follow-up visit and provided blood samples for HCV antibody testing. Among these 512 youth, 151 (29.5%) were female and 135 (67.2%) identified as Aboriginal. The mean age was 21.7 (standard deviation, 2.6) years. Compared with the 328 (29.1%) participants who were HCV antibody negative at baseline and did not provide follow-up data, the 512 participants included in subsequent incidence analyses tended to be older (p<0.05), but did not differ at baseline in terms of gender, Aboriginal ancestry, recent incarceration, recent sex work, recent injection of prescription opioids, heroin, cocaine or crystal methamphetamine, or recent syringe sharing. BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

At study enrollment, 166 (32.4%) of the 512 youth included in the incidence analysis reported prior drug injection. Compared to those who had not previously injected, those who had injected were more likely to be older (p<0.05), but otherwise did not differ by gender, Aboriginal ancestry, recent incarceration, or recent sex work. Of the 166 youth who had previously injected, 56 (33.7%) reported recently having injected two or more drugs among prescription opioids, heroin, cocaine and crystal methamphetamine, and 11 (6.6%) reported having injected three or more of these drugs.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de I Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

During the follow-up period (median follow-up, 18.5 months; median number of follow-up visits after baseline visit, 2 visits; total follow-up, 860.2 person-years), there were 56 (10.9%) HCV seroconversions, resulting in an incidence density of 6.5 per 100 person-years. As might be expected, median follow-up was longer in the earlier years of study enrollment (22.0 months in the first two years of enrollment *vs.* 17.0 months in the final two years; p<0.001). The median number of missed visits during follow-up was 1 visit. Individuals lost to follow up were censored at the time of their last visit.

Figure 1a shows the Kaplan-Meier cumulative incidence of HCV seroconversion according to heroin injection in the entire sample and Figure 1b shows the cumulative incidence according to heroin injection with the sample restricted to drug-injecting youth only. In both cases, heroin injectors had a markedly elevated risk of HCV seroconversion in comparison to others in the sample, including prescription opioid injectors (full data available from the corresponding author). Crude incidence density of HCV seroconversion among heroin-injecting youth was 20.8 per 100 person-years and among prescription opioid-injecting youth was 21.4 per 100 person-years. Mean number of visits prior to seroconversion did not differ between heroin and prescription opioid injectors (p>0.05). Over the study period, the prevalence of prescription opioid injection remained unchanged (4.2% in the first two years of enrollment vs. 4.4% in the last two years; p=0.880) as did that of heroin injection (13.5% vs. 11.8%; p=0.489). At baseline, recent heroin injectors and recent prescription opioid injectors did not differ in terms of age (mean, 21.8 vs. 22.3 years, respectively; p=0.524), gender (65.5% vs. 72.2% male; p=0.202), ethnicity (20.7% Aboriginal vs. 16.7% other; p=0.256), age of initiation of injection drug use (mean, 17.7 vs. 18.7 years; p=0.271) or in total number of years of injecting (mean, 4.1 vs. 3.6 years; p=0.567).

 Table 2 displays the results of the unadjusted and adjusted Cox proportional hazard

 regression analyses of the time to detected HCV seroconversion according to demographic

Page 11 of 27

BMJ Open

characteristics and risk behaviors. As shown, HCV seroconversion was significantly associated with female gender, prescription opioid injection, heroin injection, cocaine injection, crystal methamphetamine injection, and syringe sharing in unadjusted analyses. Age was not associated with HCV seroconversion. Additional variables not listed in the table that were not significantly associated with HCV seroconversion included Aboriginal ancestry (unadjusted hazard ratio [HR], 0.88; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.49-1.59; p=0.662), recent incarceration (HR, 1.25; 95% CI, 0.67-2.32; p=0.482), recent inconsistent condom use (unadjusted HR, 0.90; 95% CI, 0.52-1.55; p=0.703), and recent sex work (unadjusted HR, 0.91; 95% CI, 0.28-2.90; p=0.869). Additionally, the interaction term between heroin and prescription opioid injection was not significant.

The three multivariable models examining the relative effects of prescription opioid injection and heroin injection all were adjusted for gender and age, as well for variables significant at p<0.05 in unadjusted Cox regression analyses (cocaine injection, crystal methamphetamine injection, and syringe sharing). In the model including all covariates except prescription opioid injection, heroin injection remained significantly associated with HCV seroconversion (Model 1), whereas prescription opioid injection did not retain significance in the model including all covariates except heroin (Model 2). When both heroin injection and prescription opioid injection were included a combined model, heroin injection, but not prescription opioid injection, retained statistical significance (Model 3).

DISCUSSION

In this longitudinal study, we observed a high prevalence of HCV seropositivity among adolescents and young adults on the street, with more than one in ten youth infected with HCV at baseline, as well as high incidence HCV acquisition during follow-up. We observed that

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

injection of heroin, cocaine and crystal methamphetamine were all strongly associated with risk HCV seroconversion following adjustment for potential confounders. Injection of prescription opioids, by contrast, was not independently associated with HCV seroconversion in adjusted models, although it was associated with HCV seropositivity at baseline and with HCV seroconversion in unadjusted analyses. Taken together, these findings highlight street youth as a population that should remain a critical focus for evidence-based drug preventive and treatment services to prevent a worsening HCV epidemic.

Although misuse of prescription opioids is on the rise in North America,¹⁵ and although they are readily injected,^{16,17} we did not observe excess risk for HCV seroconversion from injection of prescription opioids among Vancouver street youth after controlling for other factors. There are several plausible explanations for the null finding in this setting. First, we acknowledge that despite a large sample of drug-using youth, the proportion of participants in the cohort who engaged in prescription opioid injection was relatively small and may have somewhat limited detection of marginal risk differences. Prescription opioid injection was significantly associated with HCV seroconversion in univariate incidence analyses, suggesting increased risk from this behavior. However, in the setting of polysubstance use, which was common in this setting, the contribution of prescription opioid injection to risk for HCV seroconversion appears to be relatively less important than that of traditional drugs of abuse including heroin, cocaine and crystal methamphetamine. We recommend that future studies actively recruit prescription opioid-injecting youth in order to improve estimates of risk for HCV.

Second, as has been described elsewhere, populations of drug users often show great heterogeneity, with subpopulations exhibiting widely varying risk for blood-borne disease.¹⁹ In Vancouver, youth who inject prescription opioids, regardless of whether they also inject other drugs, may represent a distinct subpopulation from other higher risk youth who inject heroin, cocaine or crystal methamphetamine but not prescription opioids, as has been observed in

Page 13 of 27

BMJ Open

other settings.²⁰ It is possible prescription opioid-injecting youth may not be as entrenched in the local drug scene,²⁹ and as a result, may not associate as frequently with HCV-seropositive drug users. Similarly, youth who inject prescription opioids may have received different preventive messaging regarding safe injection practices, or have better access to harm reduction services. Better understanding the risk environment for prescription opioid users will prove important for preventing transmission of HCV in this high-risk population.

Although prescription opioid injection was not independently associated with risk for HCV seroconversion, more traditional risk factors, including injection of heroin, cocaine, and crystal methamphetamine were strongly and independently associated with HCV acquisition in this setting. These findings are consistent with those from previous youth studies.^{8,30-32} It is well established that HCV is spread when drug users share injection paraphernalia.^{33,34} Interestingly, although syringe sharing was associated with HCV seroconversion in the ARYS sample, it did not fully explain the risk for HCV associated with injection of heroin, cocaine and crystal methamphetamine in final multivariable models. A possible explanation may be that youth underreported syringe sharing, which might be perceived as a stigmatized behavior. The result of such socially desirable reporting could be the incomplete effect sizes observed in our statistical models.^{35,36} Regardless, attempts to prevent the spread of HCV among at-risk youth will require careful attention to factors that interfere with safe injection practices, including peer dynamics and chaotic injection environments.^{37,38}

The excess risk for HCV among street youth necessitates evidence-based strategies to prevent drug use and mitigate injection-related harm. Although maintenance therapy with methadone or buprenorphine is efficacious among adolescents and young adults,^{39,40} challenges remain in making these services accessible to street youth, who are a marginalized and difficult-to-reach population.^{41,42} Other effective harm reduction services such as needle exchange and supervised safe injection facilities are often developed for adult drug users and

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

may not effectively target younger drug users.³ Barriers to preventive and treatment modalities for young drug users are well documented, and include excessively long waiting lists, difficulty complying with program rules, program fees that exceed young people's ability to pay, and locations that are inconvenient for youth.⁴¹ Existing drug treatment and harm reduction services should be extended in a way that is sensitive to the unique circumstances of youth.

There are limitations to this study. First, as outlined above, we acknowledge a relatively small proportion of the sample who injected prescription opioids, which may have affected the precision of our estimates. Second, we employed snowball sampling in order to recruit heavily drug-involved youth, who are frequently homeless and represent a population 'hidden' from traditional population-based sampling. Although snowball sampling does not produce a truly random sample,²³ it is noteworthy that the characteristics of the ARYS cohort are similar to those of other at-risk youth in western Canada.^{43,44} Third, our study relied on self-report, which, as outlined above, may have resulted in social desirability bias for questions probing sensitive details. Finally, for polysubstance-using youth in sample, we cannot rule out that the risk for HCV our models attributed to heroin may have been better attributed to risky behaviors associated with injection of other drugs. However, we sought to explore the independent effects of other drugs in our modeling by controlling for injection of the most common of other substances of abuse.

In summary, we found the risk for HCV acquisition among street youth in this setting was alarmingly high, and that intravenous drug injection remains a primary risk factor. Interestingly, although prescription opioid misuse is on the rise in North America, in our sample, risk of HCV acquisition from injection of prescription opioids did not exceed that of traditional street drugs, including heroin, cocaine and crystal methamphetamine. Regardless, these results highlight an urgent need for evidence-based strategies, including educational programming, addiction treatment and harm reduction services, to prevent disease transmission among street youth.

Role of Funding Source

This work was supported by the US National Institutes of Health [grant number R01DA028532]; the Canadian Institutes of Health Research [grant number MOP–102742]; the Canada Research Chairs [Tier 1 Canada Research Chair in Inner City Medicine, E. W.]; Maternal Child Health Bureau of the Health Services Research Administration [Leadership Education in Adolescent Health, grant number T71 MC00009, S. E. H.]; and National Institute of Drug Abuse, United States National Institutes of Health [Avant-Garde award, grant number DP1DA026182, J. S. M.].

Conflict of Interest Statement

J. S. M. has received educational grants from, served as an ad hoc advisor to or spoken at various events sponsored by Abbott Laboratories, Agouron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc., Borean Pharma AS, Bristol–Myers Squibb, DuPont Pharma, Gilead Sciences, GlaxoSmithKline, Hoffmann–La Roche, Immune Response Corporation, Incyte, Janssen–Ortho Inc., Kucera Pharmaceutical Company, Merck Frosst Laboratories, Pfizer Canada Inc., Sanofi Pasteur, Shire Biochem Inc., Tibotec Pharmaceuticals Ltd. and Trimeris Inc.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the study participants for their contribution to the research, as well as current and past researchers and staff. We would specifically like to thank Deborah Graham, Peter Vann, Caitlin Johnston, Steve Kain, and Calvin Lai for their research and administrative assistance. We also appreciate support from Dr. Bob Vinci and the Boston Combined Residency Program, as well as Dr. Jean Emans and the Division of Adolescent / Young Adult Medicine at Boston Children's Hospital. The corresponding author affirms that all who contributed significantly to the work are acknowledged here. The corresponding author had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Contributors

Drs. Hadland, DeBeck, Kerr and Wood designed the study. Drs. Hadland, DeBeck and Wood wrote the protocol. Dr. Hadland conducted the literature review and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Dr. Feng undertook statistical analyses with additional input from Dr. Hadland. All authors contributed to and have approved the final manuscript.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http Enseignement Superieur (ABES)

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and

data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de

REFERENCES

- 1. Lavanchy D. The global burden of hepatitis C. *Liver Int.* Jan 2009;29 Suppl 1:74-81.
- Ly KN, Xing J, Klevens RM, Jiles RB, Ward JW, Holmberg SD. The increasing burden of mortality from viral hepatitis in the United States between 1999 and 2007. *Ann Intern Med.* Feb 21 2012;156(4):271-278.
- Miller CL, Spittal PM, Frankish JC, Li K, Schechter MT, Wood E. HIV and hepatitis C outbreaks among high-risk youth in Vancouver demands a public health response. *Can J Public Health*. Mar-Apr 2005;96(2):107-108.
- Hepatitis C virus infection among adolescents and young adults: Massachusetts, 2002-2009.
 MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. May 6 2011;60(17):537-541.
- The State of the World's Children 1997. 1997; <u>http://www.unicef.org/sowc97/download.htm</u>. Accessed 10 Jul, 2009.
- Street youth in Canada: findings from the enhanced surveillance of Canadian street youth, 1999-2003. 2006; <u>http://www.phac-aspc.gc.ca/std-mts/reports_06/pdf/street_youth_e.pdf</u>. Accessed 16 Jun, 2009.
- Hadland SE, Werb D, Kerr T, et al. Childhood sexual abuse and risk for initiating injection drug use: A prospective cohort study. *Prev Med.* Nov 2012;55(5):500-504.
- 8. Roy E, Haley N, Leclerc P, Boivin JF, Cedras L, Vincelette J. Risk factors for hepatitis C virus infection among street youths. *CMAJ*. 2001;165(5):557-560.
- CDC. Vital signs: overdoses of prescription opioid pain relievers---United States, 1999--2008. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2011;60:1487-1492.
- **10.** Des Jarlais DC, Friedman SR. HIV infection among intravenous drug users: epidemiology and risk reduction. *AIDS*. Jul 1987;1(2):67-76.
- **11.** Garfein RS, Vlahov D, Galai N, Doherty MC, Nelson KE. Viral infections in short-term injection drug users: the prevalence of the hepatitis C, hepatitis B, human immunodeficiency, and human T-lymphotropic viruses. *Am J Public Health.* 1996;86(5):655-661.
- **12.** Degenhardt L, Hall W. Extent of illicit drug use and dependence, and their contribution to the global burden of disease. *Lancet.* 2012;379(9810):55-70.

4

5 6

7

8 9 10

11

12 13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24 25

26

27 28

29 30

31 32

33 34

35 36

37 38

39 40

41

42 43 44

45

46 47 48

49

50 51

52

53

54 55

56

57

58 59

60

BMJ Open

13. Highlights of the 2009 Drug Abuse Warning Network (DAWN) Findings on Drug-Related Emergency Department Visits. 2010; http://www.samhsa.gov/data/2k10/dawnsr034edhighlights/edhighlights.htm. Accessed 2012, 20 Feb. 14. Miech R, Bohnert A, Heard K, Boardman J. Increasing Use of Nonmedical Analgesics Among Younger Cohorts in the United States: A Birth Cohort Effect. J Adolesc Health. 2013;52(1):35-41. 15. Results from the 2011 National Survey on Drug Use and Health: Summary of National Findings. 2012; http://www.samhsa.gov/data/NSDUH/2k11Results/NSDUHresults2011.htm. Accessed 09 Dec. 2012. 16. Lankenau SE, Teti M, Silva K, Bloom JJ, Harocopos A, Treese M. Initiation into prescription opioid misuse amongst young injection drug users. Int J Drug Policy. 2012;23(1):37-44. Epub 2011 Jun 2020. 17. Roy E, Arruda N, Bourgois P. The growing popularity of prescription opioid injection in downtown Montreal: new challenges for harm reduction. Subst Use Misuse. 2011;46(9):1142-1150. Epub 2011 Mar 1143. 18. Fischer B, Rehm J, Patra J, Cruz MF. Changes in illicit opioid use across Canada. CMAJ. Nov 21 2006;175(11):1385. 19. Shaw SY, Shah L, Jolly AM, Wylie JL. Identifying heterogeneity among injection drug users: a cluster analysis approach. Am J Public Health. 2008;98(8):1430-1437. Epub 2008 Jun 1412. 20. Bruneau J, Roy E, Arruda N, Zang G, Jutras-Aswad D. The rising prevalence of prescription opioid injection and its association with hepatitis C incidence among street-drug users. Addiction. Jul 2012;107(7):1318-1327. 21. Daniels D, Grytdal S, Wasley A, Centers for Disease C, Prevention. Surveillance for acute viral hepatitis - United States, 2007. MMWR Surveill Summ. May 22 2009;58(3):1-27. 22. Zou S, Tepper M, Giulivi A. Current status of hepatitis C in Canada. Can J Public Health. Jul-Aug. 2000;91 Suppl 1:S10-15, S10-16. 23. Wood E, Stoltz JA, Montaner JSG, Kerr T. Evaluating methamphetamine use and risks of injection initiation among street youth: the ARYS study. Harm Reduct J. 2006;3:18. 24. Wood E, Montaner JS, Li K, et al. Burden of HIV infection among aboriginal injection drug users in Vancouver, British Columbia. Am J Public Health. Mar 2008;98(3):515-519.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

1 2 3

4

5 6 7

8

9 10 11

12

13 14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28 29 30

31

32 33 34

35

36 37

38 39

40 41

42 43

44 45

46 47

48 49

50

51 52 53

54

55 56

57 58 59

60

25. Hadland SE, Kerr T, Marshall BD, et al. Non-injection drug use patterns and history of injection among street youth. Eur Addict Res. 2010;16(2):91-98. 26. Hagan H, Thiede H, Des Jarlais DC. Hepatitis C virus infection among injection drug users: survival analysis of time to seroconversion. Epidemiology. Sep 2004;15(5):543-549. 27. Hahn JA, Page-Shafer K, Lum PJ, et al. Hepatitis C virus seroconversion among young injection drug users: relationships and risks. J Infect Dis. Dec 1 2002;186(11):1558-1564. 28. Shannon K, Kerr T, Marshall B, et al. Survival sex work involvement as a primary risk factor for hepatitis C virus acquisition in drug-using youths in a canadian setting. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. Jan 2010;164(1):61-65. 29. Fast D, Small W, Wood E, Kerr T. Coming 'down here': Young people's reflections on becoming entrenched in a local drug scene. Soc Sci Med. Aug 21 2009:21:21. 30. Garfein RS, Doherty MC, Monterroso ER, Thomas DL, Nelson KE, Vlahov D. Prevalence and incidence of hepatitis C virus infection among young adult injection drug users. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol. 1998;18 Suppl 1:S11-19. 31. Miller CL, Johnston C, Spittal PM, et al. Opportunities for prevention: hepatitis C prevalence and incidence in a cohort of young injection drug users. *Hepatology*. 2002;36(3):737-742. 32. Miller CL, Kerr T, Fischer B, Zhang R, Wood E. Methamphetamine injection independently predicts hepatitis C infection among street-involved youth in a Canadian setting. J Adolesc Health. Mar 2009;44(3):302-304. 33. Hagan H, Pouget ER, Williams IT, et al. Attribution of hepatitis C virus seroconversion risk in young injection drug users in 5 US cities. J Infect Dis. Feb 1 2010;201(3):378-385. 34. Thorpe LE, Ouellet LJ, Hershow R, et al. Risk of hepatitis C virus infection among young adult injection drug users who share injection equipment. Am J Epidemiol. Apr 1 2002;155(7):645-653. 35. Fennema JS, van Ameijden EJ, Coutinho RA, van den Hoek JA. Validity of self-reported sexually transmitted diseases in a cohort of drug-using prostitutes in Amsterdam: trends from 1986 to 1992. Int J Epidemiol. Oct 1995;24(5):1034-1041. 36. Koester S, Heimer R, Baron AE, Glanz J, Teng W. Re: "Risk of hepatitis C virus among young adult injection drug users who share injection equipment". Am J Epidemiol. Feb 15 2003;157(4):376; author reply 376-378.

.1136/bmjop¢ tected by co	en-2014-005 pyright, inc	5419 on 21 luding for	July 2014. Dov Enseignemer uses related to	wnloaded from ł ıt Superieur (AB ɔ text and data n	http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique d IES) . nining, Al training, and similar technologies.
ent. <i>BMJ</i> .	e-naloxone 7):2003-2011.	nt among a	ss risk for <i>Abuse.</i>	cent initiation r	virus among lications for
	ent. <i>BMJ.</i> tected by co	nt. <i>BMJ.</i> -naloxone):2003-2011.	e-naloxone 2):2003-2011. ent among a	tected by copyright, including for uses related to the series of the ser	tected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data r Ensk for Abuse.

ω

37. Marshall BD, Kerr T, Qi J, Montaner JS, Wood E. Public injecting and HIV risk behaviour among street-involved youth. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. Aug 1 2010;110(3):254-258.

- **38.** Racz J, Gyarmathy VA, Neaigus A, Ujhelyi E. Injecting equipment sharing and perception of HIV and hepatitis risk among injecting drug users in Budapest. *AIDS Care.* Jan 2007;19(1):59-66.
- Kimber J, Copeland L, Hickman M, et al. Survival and cessation in injecting drug users:
 prospective observational study of outcomes and effect of opiate substitution treatment. *BMJ*.
 2010;341:c3172.
- **40.** Woody GE, Poole SA, Subramaniam G, et al. Extended vs short-term buprenorphine-naloxone for treatment of opioid-addicted youth: a randomized trial. *JAMA*. Nov 5 2008;300(17):2003-2011.
- **41.** Hadland SE, Kerr T, Li K, Montaner JS, Wood E. Access to drug and alcohol treatment among a cohort of street-involved youth. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. Apr 1 2009;101(1-2):1-7.
- Miller CL, Strathdee SA, Li K, Kerr T, Wood E. A longitudinal investigation into excess risk for blood-borne infection among young injection drug users (IUDs). *Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse*. 2007;33(4):527-536.
- **43.** Miller CL, Strathdee SA, Kerr T, Li K, Wood E. Factors associated with early adolescent initiation into injection drug use: implications for intervention programs. *J Adolesc Health*. Apr 2006;38(4):462-464.
- **44.** Ochnio JJ, Patrick D, Ho M, Talling DN, Dobson SR. Past infection with hepatitis A virus among Vancouver street youth, injection drug users and men who have sex with men: implications for vaccination programs. *CMAJ.* Aug 7 2001;165(3):293-297.

		HCV-Se	ropositive		
	Total (%)	Yes (%)	No (%)	_	
Characteristic	(<i>n</i> = 940)	(<i>n</i> = 100)	(<i>n</i> = 840)	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	<i>p</i> Value
Sociodemographic factors					
Male gender	654 (69.6)	63 (63.0)	591 (70.4)	0.72 (0.47 – 1.11)	0.131
Mean age (SD) ^a	21.7 (2.7)	23.4 (2.5)	21.5 (2.7)	1.34 (1.23 – 1.47)	< 0.001
Aboriginal ancestry	224 (23.8)	30 (30.0)	194 (23.1)	1.43 (0.90 – 2.25)	0.126
High school education ^b	415 (64.8)	35 (35.0)	380 (45.2)	0.65 (0.42 – 1.00)	0.051
Gay/lesbian/bisexual	151 (16.1)	22 (22.0)	129 (15.4)	0.64 (0.39 – 1.07)	0.087
Recent homelessness ^c	348 (37.0)	54 (54.0)	294 (35.0)	2.18 (1.44 – 3.31)	< 0.001
Recent incarceration ^c	176 (18.7)	26 (26.0)	150 (17.9)	1.62 (1.00 – 2.61)	0.048
Substance use-related behaviors					
Mean years injecting (SD) ^d	4.3 (3.2)	4.0 (3.3)	4.6 (3.2)	0.94 (0.82 – 1.07)	0.350
Non-injection prescription opioid use ^{c}	90 (9.6)	12 (12.0)	78 (9.3)	1.33 (0.70 – 2.54)	0.383
Prescription opioid injection ^c	64 (6.8)	28 (28.0)	36 (4.3)	8.69 (5.01 – 15.1)	< 0.001
Heroin injection ^c	191 (20.3)	66 (66.0)	125 (14.9)	11.1 (7.04 – 17.5)	< 0.001
Cocaine injection ^c	93 (9.9)	31 (31.0)	62 (7.4)	5.54 (3.43 – 9.26)	< 0.001
Crystal methamphetamine injection ^c	154 (16.4)	50 (50.0)	104 (12.4)	7.08 (4.55 – 11.0)	< 0.001
Syringe sharing ^c	56 (6.0)	18 (18.0)	38 (4.5)	4.63 (2.53 – 8.48)	< 0.001
Sexual risk behaviors					
Inconsistent condom use ^c	433 (46.1)	40 (40.0)	393 (46.8)	0.76 (0.50 – 1.16)	0.198
Sex work ^c	65 (6.9)	14 (14.0)	51 (6.1)	2.52 (1.34 – 4.74)	0.003

----..... (110) (1 - 1 - 1 - 1

a. Odds ratio calculated per year older

b. Prior completion of or current enrollment in high school

c. During the six months preceding study enrollment

d. Includes only actively injecting youth

TABLE 2. Unadjusted and adjusted Cox proportional hazard analysis of time to hepatitis C infection among 512 drug-using youth: At-Risk Youth Study (ARYS), Vancouver, British Columbia, 2005-2011.

			Adjusted HR (95% CI)	
Characteristic	Unadjusted HR (95% CI)	Model 1 ^ª	Model 2 ^b	Model 3 ^c
Male gender	0.48 (0.28 – 0.81)	0.50 (0.28 – 0.90)	0.42 (0.24 – 0.75)	0.50 (0.28 – 0.90)
Age (per year older)	0.96 (0.87 – 1.06)	1.10 (0.91 – 1.10)	1.00 (0.91 – 1.11)	1.00 (0.91 – 1.10)
Prescription opioid injection	3.48 (1.57 – 7.70)	_	2.02 (0.89 - 4.61)	0.94 (0.40 – 2.21)
Heroin injection	9.89 (5.72 – 17.1)	4.49 (2.42 – 8.33)	_	4.56 (2.39 – 8.70)
Cocaine injection	5.69 (3.18 – 10.2)	1.87 (1.00 – 3.47)	2.20 (1.14 – 4.23)	1.88 (1.00 – 3.54)
Crystal methamphetamine injection	7.39 (4.36 – 12.5)	2.94 (1.62 – 5.34)	5.11 (2.79 – 9.34)	2.91 (1.57 – 5.38)
Syringe sharing	7.69 (3.93 – 15.0)	2.47 (1.20 – 5.09)	2.57 (1.24 – 5.32)	2.47 (1.20 – 5.10)

a. Model 1 includes all covariates listed except prescription opioid injection

b. Model 2 includes all covariates listed except heroin injection

c. Model 3 includes all covariates listed

FIGURE 1a. Cumulative incidence of hepatitis C infection among 512 drug-using youth, by injection heroin use: At-Risk Youth Study (ARYS), Vancouver, Canada, 2005-2010.

BMJ Open

FIGURE 1b. Cumulative incidence of hepatitis C infection among drug-injecting youth by heroin use: At-Risk Youth Study (ARYS), Vancouver, Canada, 2005-2010.

L

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de I Enseignement Superieur (ABES)

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.



Figure 1a 76x76mm (600 x 600 DPI)



For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml



Figure 1b 76x76mm (600 x 600 DPI)



BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de I Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de I Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

BMJ Open

STROBE Statement-checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

	Item No	Recommendation
Title and abstract	1 x	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done
		and what was found
Introduction		
Background/rationale	2 x	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported
Objectives	3 x	State specific objectives including any prespecified hypotheses
Methods		
Study design	4 x	Present key elements of study design early in the paper
Setting	5 x	Describe the setting locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment.
6		exposure, follow-up, and data collection
Participants	6 x	(a) Cohort study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of
		selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up
		Case-control study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of
		case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases
		and controls
		Cross-sectional study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of
		selection of participants
		(b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of
		exposed and unexposed
		Case-control study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of
		controls per case
Variables	7 x	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect
		modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable
Data sources/	8* x	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of
measurement		assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there
		is more than one group
Bias	9 x	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias
Study size	10 x	Explain how the study size was arrived at
Quantitative variables	11 x	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable,
		describe which groupings were chosen and why
Statistical methods	12 x	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed
		(d) Cohort study-If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed
		Case-control study-If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was
		addressed
		Cross-sectional study-If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of
		sampling strategy
		(<u>e</u>) Describe any sensitivity analyses
Continued on next page		

BMJ Open

Results		
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study-eg numbers potentially eligible,
	X	examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and
		analysed
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram
Descriptive	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and
data	х	information on exposures and potential confounders
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest
		(c) Cohort study—Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)
Outcome data	15*	Cohort study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time
	х	Case-control study-Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of
		exposure
		Cross-sectional study-Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures
Main results	16 x	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their
		precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and
		why they were included
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a
		meaningful time period
Other analyses	17 x	Report other analyses done-eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity
		analyses
Discussion		
Key results	18 x	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives
Limitations	19 x	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision.
		Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias
Interpretation	20 x	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations,
		multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence
Generalisability	21 x	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results
Other informati	on	
Funding	22 x	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable,
		for the original study on which the present article is based

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

BMJ Open

Prescription Opioid Injection and Risk of Hepatitis C in Relation to Traditional Drugs of Abuse in a Prospective Cohort of Street Youth

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2014-005419.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	17-Jun-2014
Complete List of Authors:	Hadland, Scott; Boston Children's Hospital, Division of Adolescent / Young Adult Medicine Debeck, Kora; BC Centre for Excellence in HIV/AIDS, Kerr, Thomas; BC Centre for Excellence in HIV/AIDS, Feng, Cindy; BC Centre for Excellence in HIV/AIDS, Montaner, Julio; BC Centre for Excellence in HIV/AIDS, Wood, Evan; BC Centre for Excellence in HIV/AIDS,
Primary Subject Heading :	Addiction
Secondary Subject Heading:	HIV/AIDS, Infectious diseases, Public health
Keywords:	Hepatobiliary disease < GASTROENTEROLOGY, Epidemiology < INFECTIOUS DISEASES, INFECTIOUS DISEASES, Hepatology < INTERNAL MEDICINE, Child & adolescent psychiatry < PSYCHIATRY, Substance misuse < PSYCHIATRY

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

Evan Wood, MD, PhD ^{3,5} bital, Division of Adolescent & Young Adult Medicine, Department wood Avenue, Boston, MA, USA, 02115 bl, Department of Pediatrics, 25 Shattuck Street 15 e for Excellence in HIV/AIDS, St. Paul's Hospital, 608 - 1081 ver, BC, Canada, V6Z 1Y6 umbia, School of Population and Public Health, Mather Buildi Vancouver, BC, Canada, V6T 1Z3 umbia, Faculty of Medicine, 317 - 2194 Health Sciences Mall, a, V6T 1Z3
ital, Division of Adolescent & Young Adult Medicine, Departm vood Avenue, Boston, MA, USA, 02115 I, Department of Pediatrics, 25 Shattuck Street I5 e for Excellence in HIV/AIDS, St. Paul's Hospital, 608 - 1081 ver, BC, Canada, V6Z 1Y6 umbia, School of Population and Public Health, Mather Buildi Vancouver, BC, Canada, V6T 1Z3 umbia, Faculty of Medicine, 317 - 2194 Health Sciences Mall, a, V6T 1Z3
ewan, School of Public Health, 107 Wiggins Road, Saskatoon, Evan Wood, MD, PhD BC Centre for Excellence in HIV/AIDS St. Paul's Hospital 608 - 1081 Burrard Street Vancouver, BC Canada V6Z 1Y6
Phone: (604) 806-9116 Fax: (604) 806-9044
S

С

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

ABSTRACT

Objective: Despite dramatic increases in misuse of prescription opioids, the extent to which their intravenous injection places drug users at risk of acquiring hepatitis C virus (HCV) remains unclear. We sought to compare risk of HCV acquisition from injection of prescription opioids to that from other street drugs among high-risk street youth.

Design: Prospective cohort study.

Setting: Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, from September 2005 to November 2011.

Participants: The At-Risk Youth Study (ARYS) is a prospective cohort of actively drug-using adolescents and young adults aged 14-26 years. Participants were recruited through extensive street-based outreach and snowball sampling.

Primary Outcome Measure: HCV antibody seroconversion, measured every 6 months during study follow-up. Risk for seroconversion from injection of prescription opioids was compared to injection of other street drugs of abuse, including heroin, cocaine or crystal methamphetamine, using Cox proportional hazards regression controlling for age, gender and active syringe sharing.

Results: Baseline HCV seropositivity was 10.6%. Among 512 HCV-seronegative youth contributing 860.2 person-years of total follow-up, 56 (10.9%) seroconverted, resulting in an incidence density of 6.5 per 100 person-years. In bivariate analyses, prescription opioid injection (hazard ratio [HR], 3.48; 95% CI, 1.57-7.70) predicted HCV seroconversion. However, in multivariate modeling, only injection of heroin (adjusted HR, 4.56; 95% CI, 2.39-8.70),

BMJ Open

cocaine (adjusted HR, 1.88; 95% CI, 1.00-3.54), and crystal methamphetamine (adjusted HR, 2.91; 95% CI, 1.57-5.38) remained independently associated with HCV seroconversion, whereas injection of prescription opioids did not (adjusted HR, 0.94; 95% CI, 0.40-2.21).

Conclusions: Although misuse of prescription opioids is on the rise, traditional street drugs still appeared to pose the greatest threat of HCV transmission in this setting. Nonetheless, the high prevalence and incidence of HCV seropositivity among Canadian street youth underscores the need for evidence-based drug prevention, treatment, and harm reduction interventions targeting this vulnerable population.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES)

data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- A strength of this prospective cohort study is that it followed street youth, a marginalized and difficult-to-reach population with a high prevalence of injection drug use and blood-borne infection, including human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV)
- An additional strength is that it is among the first studies to examine the association between injection of prescription opioids (including, for example, oxycodone and morphine) and acquisition of HCV; it is not well understood how the risk for acquiring HCV from injecting prescription opioids compares to that from injection of more traditionally studied street drugs, such as heroin, cocaine and crystal methamphetamine
- The study's findings demonstrate elevated risk for HCV seroconversion in relation to heroin, cocaine and crystal methamphetamine injection, but not prescription opioid injection after adjustment for covariates; however, the relatively small number of youth injecting prescription opioids may have limited detection of marginal risk differences
- Since youth in the study were recruited by snowball sampling, results are not obtained from a truly random sample, but characteristics of the cohort are comparable to those from studies of street youth conducted elsewhere
- This study demonstrates novel findings that should prompt further study of risk for bloodborne infection among drug-injecting youth populations

INTRODUCTION

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide.¹⁻³ While the incidence of HCV may be decreasing in some age groups, infection rates appear to be increasing among adolescents and young adults.⁴ Street youth – that is, youth who spend all or part of their time working or living on the street^{5,6} – represent a marginalized and stigmatized population at elevated risk for HCV acquisition owing to a high prevalence of injection drug use.^{3,7,8}

The emergence of elevated rates of HCV among street youth coincides with important changes in patterns of youth substance use. In recent years, misuse of prescription opioids such as morphine, oxycodone and hydrocodone has emerged as a public health emergency.⁹ Although injection of illicit drugs is known to place users at high risk of blood-borne infection,^{10,11} the abundance of studies to date have focused on traditional street drugs, such as heroin and cocaine, rather than prescription opioids.¹²

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

This constitutes a serious gap in our understanding of HCV epidemiology given that, in many jurisdictions, overdose mortality attributed to prescription opioid use has surpassed that attributed to the use of heroin and cocaine combined.¹³ The prevalence of non-medical prescription opioid use is increasing in the general adolescent population,¹⁴ with approximately 8-10% of high school students in the United States reporting past-year use.¹⁵ Many prescription opioid formulations are readily injected,^{16,17} but despite their widespread availability, there is a paucity of epidemiologic data examining this practice or its risk for disease transmission.¹⁸

At this time, it remains unclear whether heroin injectors and prescription opioid injectors represent overlapping or distinct subpopulations of injection drug users.¹⁹ There is evidence that some users follow a trajectory from initially using prescription opioids to ultimately using heroin, since in some settings, heroin is less expensive and more potent and available.¹⁶ On

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

the other hand, there may be a sizeable subgroup of users who inject prescription opioids to the exclusion of heroin and other drugs.²⁰ Regardless, there is reason to believe that certain injection practices associated with heroin as compared with prescription opioids may place users at differential risk for infectious disease transmission.^{17,20}

Understanding how injection of prescription opioids may place users at risk for acquiring HCV is imperative, given that injection drug users represent the population at greatest risk for HCV infection in North America,^{21,22} and that mortality from HCV has increased to the extent that it recently surpassed that from human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in the United States.² We conducted the present study of HCV acquisition in Vancouver, Canada, among a prospective cohort of street youth, a population including a high proportion of Aboriginal youth who may be at elevated risk for blood-borne infection.²³ Our study objective was to examine the contribution of injection of prescription opioids and that of traditional street drugs of abuse to the risk for HCV seroconversion.

METHODS

The At-Risk Youth Study (ARYS) is a cohort of street-involved youth in Vancouver, Canada.²⁴ Inclusion criteria for enrollment included age 14 to 26 years and use of an illicit drug other than marijuana during the month prior to enrollment. Recruitment relied on extensive daytime and nighttime street-based outreach and snowball sampling, and was systematically conducted in parks, streets and alleyways of Vancouver where street youth are known to congregate. Although no inclusion criterion explicitly required a minimum amount of time on the street to qualify for the study, in practice, the street-based recruitment resulted in a sample of youth who spent substantial time on the street, a large proportion of whom were homeless.²⁵ Full study details were disclosed to participants and informed consent was obtained. At

baseline and every six months thereafter, participants completed an interview and underwent HCV antibody testing. Participants were remunerated \$20 CAN per visit. Additionally, a \$5 CAN incentive was provided to youth three months after their baseline interview to return to the study site to update their contact information in an attempt to improve study follow-up. ARYS was approved by the University of British Columbia/Providence Health Care Research Ethics Board.

We compared HCV prevalence at recruitment and subsequent HCV incidence among youth according to recent (*i.e.*, during the preceding six months) injection of prescription opioid and recent injection of heroin, cocaine and crystal methamphetamine. Prescription opioids were broadly defined to include morphine, oxycodone, hydromorphone, meperidine, fentanyl or methadone. The exact question used was, "In the last 6 months, when you were using, which of the following drugs did you inject and how often?", with possible answers including, "Less than once per month / One to three times per month / About once per week / Two or three times per week / At least daily". Using this question, each of the prescription opioids listed above was individually and sequentially probed. We also examined patterns of non-injection use of prescription opioids in the sample. All ARYS participants were included in the baseline HCV prevalence analyses. Participants who were HCV antibody negative at baseline and returned for ≥1 follow-up visit were included in the incidence analyses.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

We also examined an additional array of covariates including: gender, age (as a continuous variable), Aboriginal ancestry, high school education (having completed or currently enrolled in high school), self-reported gay/lesbian/bisexual orientation, recent homelessness, recent incarceration, recent sharing of injection syringes, recent inconsistent condom use (vaginal or anal penetrative sex without condom use 100% of the time), and recent sex work (having traded sex for money, drugs, shelter or gifts). In the baseline prevalence analysis, all participants were compared according to HCV serostatus through chi-square (for categorical
variables) and Wilcoxin rank sum tests (for continuous variables). Similar statistics were also calculated to compare drug-related behaviors between Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal youth.²³

We then conducted the incidence analysis with the outcome of time to HCV seroconversion, limiting the sample to those who were HCV antibody negative at baseline and returned for \geq 1 follow-up visit. Youth with prior history of injection were first compared in univariate analyses.²⁶ We subsequently used Kaplan-Meier methods to plot the cumulative incidence of HCV seroconversion as a function of time. All follow-up data were included, even if a participant had missed an intervening follow-up appointment.

We also used Cox proportional hazards regression to determine unadjusted and adjusted hazard ratios (HR) for HCV seroconversion for the range of drug use-related variables and other covariates listed above. An interaction term between heroin and prescription opioid injection was also tested. Time of seroconversion was estimated as the midpoint of the date of last known seronegativity and of that of first seropositivity.²⁷⁻²⁹ Independent variables were time-updated in regression models if they referred to non-fixed characteristics or behaviors in the preceding six months. In the event of differential follow-up duration among participants recruited earlier in the study period compared to later in the study period, we examined the prevalence of prescription opioid injection and heroin in the first two years of study and that of the last two years of study to determine whether these behaviors were becoming more common with time.¹⁴ We also examined prevalence of cocaine and crystal methamphetamine over the course of the study.

We sought to directly compare the risk for HCV seroconversion from injection of heroin and other traditional drugs of abuse to that of injection of prescription opioids,^{17,20} and created three multivariable models to do so. The first model included recent heroin injection but not recent prescription opioid injection; the second, recent prescription opioid injection but not

recent heroin injection; and the third, both recent heroin injection and recent prescription opioid injection. To adjust for potential confounders, age and gender were included in multivariable models as well as covariates significant at p<0.05 in the initial bivariate Cox regression analyses of time to HCV seroconversion. Finally, as a sub-analysis, we restricted the sample to drug-injecting youth and examined bivariate associations between injection of prescription opioids, heroin, cocaine and crystal methamphetamine, and HCV seroconversion. We also repeated the third multivariate model using this subsample.

Analyses were conducted with SAS version 9.1 (SAS Institute, Inc, Cary, North Carolina). All p values were two-sided and tests were considered significant at p<0.05. Adjustments were not made for multiple comparisons given that this was a single-outcome, observational study.

RESULTS

From September 2005 to November 2011, 940 youth were recruited into the ARYS cohort and completed baseline HCV antibody testing. One-hundred youth (10.6%) were HCV-seropositive at study enrollment. **Table 1** shows baseline characteristics and recent (*i.e.*, in the six months preceding study enrollment) drug-related and sexual risk behaviors according to HCV serostatus. The cohort spent a median of 12 hours on the street per day (IQR: 6–24 h). Aboriginal youth comprised 224 (23.8%) of the sample. Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal youth did not differ with regard to recent non-injection prescription opioid use, recent injection of prescription opioids, heroin, cocaine, or crystal methamphetamine, or recent syringe sharing (p>0.05 for all). As shown, baseline HCV seropositivity was associated with older age, recent homelessness, recent incarceration, recent injection of prescription opioids, heroin, cocaine, and crystal methamphetamine, recent syringe sharing, and recent sex work. Recent injection

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

of prescription opioids and of heroin were correlated (p<0.05).

Of the 840 youth who were HCV antibody negative at baseline, 512 (60.9%) had at least one follow-up visit and provided blood samples for HCV antibody testing. Among these 512 youth, 151 (29.5%) were female and 135 (67.2%) identified as Aboriginal. The mean age was 21.7 (standard deviation, 2.6) years. Compared with the 328 (29.1%) participants who were HCV antibody negative at baseline and did not provide follow-up data, the 512 participants included in subsequent incidence analyses tended to be older (p<0.05), but did not differ at baseline in terms of gender, Aboriginal ancestry, recent incarceration, recent sex work, recent injection of prescription opioids, heroin, cocaine or crystal methamphetamine, or recent syringe sharing.

At study enrollment, 166 (32.4%) of the 512 youth included in the incidence analysis reported prior drug injection. Compared to those who had not previously injected, those who had injected were more likely to be older (p<0.05), but otherwise did not differ by gender, Aboriginal ancestry, recent incarceration, or recent sex work. Of the 166 youth who had previously injected, 56 (33.7%) reported recently having injected two or more drugs among prescription opioids, heroin, cocaine and crystal methamphetamine, and 11 (6.6%) reported having injected three or more of these drugs.

During the follow-up period (median follow-up, 18.5 months; median number of follow-up visits after baseline visit, 2 visits; total follow-up, 860.2 person-years), there were 56 (10.9%) HCV seroconversions, resulting in an incidence density of 6.5 per 100 person-years. As might be expected, median follow-up was longer in the earlier years of study enrollment (22.0 months in the first two years of enrollment *vs.* 17.0 months in the final two years; *p*<0.001). The median number of missed visits during follow-up was 1 visit. Individuals lost to follow up were censored at the time of their last visit.

BMJ Open

Over the study period, the prevalence of prescription opioid injection remained relatively unchanged (4.2% of the entire sample in the first two years of enrollment *vs.* 4.4% in the last two years) as did that of heroin injection (13.5% *vs.* 11.8%). Similarly, there was very little change in the prevalence of cocaine injection (12.2% of the entire sample in the first two years of enrollment *vs.* 10.0% in the last two years) and crystal methamphetamine injection (18.0% *vs.* 16.8%). At baseline, recent heroin injectors and recent prescription opioid injectors did not differ in terms of age (mean, 21.8 *vs.* 22.3 years, respectively; *p*=0.524), gender (65.5% *vs.* 72.2% male; *p*=0.202), ethnicity (20.7% Aboriginal *vs.* 16.7% other; *p*=0.256), age of initiation of injection drug use (mean, 17.7 *vs.* 18.7 years; *p*=0.271) or in total number of years of injecting (mean, 4.1 *vs.* 3.6 years; *p*=0.567).

Figure 1a shows the Kaplan-Meier cumulative incidence of HCV seroconversion according to heroin injection in the entire sample and **Figure 1b** shows the cumulative incidence according to heroin injection with the sample restricted to drug-injecting youth only. In both cases, heroin injectors had a markedly elevated risk of HCV seroconversion in comparison to others in the sample, including prescription opioid injectors (full data available from the corresponding author). Crude incidence density of HCV seroconversion among heroin-injecting youth was 20.8 per 100 person-years and among prescription opioid-injecting youth was 21.4 per 100 person-years. Mean number of visits prior to seroconversion did not differ between heroin and prescription opioid injectors (p>0.05).

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Table 2 displays the results of the unadjusted and adjusted Cox proportional hazard regression analyses of the time to detected HCV seroconversion according to demographic characteristics and risk behaviors. As shown, HCV seroconversion was significantly associated with female gender, prescription opioid injection, heroin injection, cocaine injection, crystal methamphetamine injection, and syringe sharing in unadjusted analyses. Age was not associated with HCV seroconversion. Additional variables not listed in the table that were not

Page 12 of 53

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

BMJ Open

significantly associated with HCV seroconversion included Aboriginal ancestry (unadjusted hazard ratio [HR], 0.88; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.49-1.59; p=0.662), recent incarceration (HR, 1.25; 95% CI, 0.67-2.32; p=0.482), recent inconsistent condom use (unadjusted HR, 0.90; 95% CI, 0.52-1.55; p=0.703), and recent sex work (unadjusted HR, 0.91; 95% CI, 0.28-2.90; p=0.869). Additionally, the interaction term between heroin and prescription opioid injection was not significant. [xxx]

The three multivariable models examining the relative effects of prescription opioid injection and heroin injection all were adjusted for gender and age, as well for variables significant at p<0.05 in unadjusted Cox regression analyses (cocaine injection, crystal methamphetamine injection, and syringe sharing). In the model including all covariates except prescription opioid injection, heroin injection remained significantly associated with HCV seroconversion (Model 1), whereas prescription opioid injection did not retain significance in the model including all covariates except heroin (Model 2). When both heroin injection and prescription opioid injection, were included a combined model, heroin injection, but not prescription opioid injection, retained statistical significance (Model 3).

When the sample was restricted to only drug-injecting youth (n=166), prescription opioid injection was not associated with HCV seroconversion in bivariate analyses (unadjusted HR, 1.27; 95% CI, 0.57-2.84; p=0.555). Heroin injection was associated with HCV seroconversion in this subsample (unadjusted HR, 2.93; 95% CI, 1.77-6.13; p<0.001), as was cocaine injection (unadjusted HR, 2.02; 95% CI, 1.11-3.68; p=0.021), but not crystal methamphetamine injection (unadjusted HR, 4.52; 95% CI, 0.61-33.3; p=0.136). Syringe sharing was also associated with HCV seroconversion (unadjusted HR, 2.93; 95% CI, 1.48-5.79; p=0.002). When Model 3 was rerun using this subsample, variables remaining significantly associated with HCV seroconversion included heroin injection (adjusted HR, 2.79; 95% CI, 1.46-5.34; p=0.002) and syringe sharing (adjusted HR 2.47; 95% CI, 1.22-4.98; p=0.012), but not prescription opioid

injection (adjusted HR, 0.60; 95% CI, 0.25-1.47; *p*=0.268), cocaine injection (adjusted HR, 1.83; 95% CI, 0.98-3.40; *p*=0.057), or crystal methamphetamine injection (adjusted HR, 4.13; 95% CI, 0.47-36.0; *p*=0.199).

DISCUSSION

In this longitudinal study, we observed a high prevalence of HCV seropositivity among adolescents and young adults on the street, with more than one in ten youth infected with HCV at baseline, as well as high incidence HCV acquisition during follow-up. We observed that injection of heroin, cocaine and crystal methamphetamine were all strongly associated with risk HCV seroconversion following adjustment for potential confounders. Injection of prescription opioids, by contrast, was not independently associated with HCV seroconversion in adjusted models, although it was associated with HCV seropositivity at baseline and with HCV seroconversion in unadjusted analyses. Taken together, these findings highlight street youth as a population that should remain a critical focus for evidence-based drug preventive and treatment services to prevent a worsening HCV epidemic.

Although misuse of prescription opioids is on the rise in North America,¹⁵ and although they are readily injected,^{16,17} we did not observe excess risk for HCV seroconversion from injection of prescription opioids among Vancouver street youth after controlling for other factors. There are several plausible explanations for the null finding in this setting. First, we acknowledge that despite a large sample of drug-using youth, the proportion of participants in the cohort who engaged in prescription opioid injection was relatively small and may have somewhat limited detection of marginal risk differences. Prescription opioid injection was significantly associated with HCV seroconversion in univariate incidence analyses, suggesting increased risk from this behavior. However, in the setting of polysubstance use, which was

common in this setting, the contribution of prescription opioid injection to risk for HCV seroconversion appears to be relatively less important than that of traditional drugs of abuse including heroin, cocaine and crystal methamphetamine. Indeed, because injection of prescription opioids and of heroin were correlated in our sample, our results are consistent with other reports that many heroin injectors also inject prescription opioids when they cannot easily locate heroin or cannot afford it.¹⁷ We recommend that future studies actively recruit prescription opioid-injecting youth in order to improve estimates of risk for HCV.

Second, as has been described elsewhere, populations of drug users often show great heterogeneity, with subpopulations exhibiting widely varying risk for blood-borne disease.¹⁹ In Vancouver, youth who inject prescription opioids, regardless of whether they also inject other drugs, may represent a distinct subpopulation from other higher risk youth who inject heroin, cocaine or crystal methamphetamine but not prescription opioids, as has been observed in other settings.²⁰ It is possible prescription opioid-injecting youth may not be as entrenched in the local drug scene,³⁰ and as a result, may not associate as frequently with HCV-seropositive drug users. Similarly, youth who inject prescription opioids may have received different preventive messaging regarding safe injection practices, or have better access to harm reduction services. Better understanding the risk environment for prescription opioid users will prove important for preventing transmission of HCV in this high-risk population.

Although prescription opioid injection was not independently associated with risk for HCV seroconversion, more traditional risk factors, including injection of heroin, cocaine, and crystal methamphetamine were strongly and independently associated with HCV acquisition in this setting. These findings are consistent with those from previous youth studies.^{8,31-33} It is well established that HCV is spread when drug users share injection paraphernalia.^{34,35} Interestingly, although syringe sharing was associated with HCV seroconversion in the ARYS sample, it did not fully explain the risk for HCV associated with injection of heroin, cocaine and

crystal methamphetamine in final multivariable models. A possible explanation may be that youth underreported syringe sharing, which might be perceived as a stigmatized behavior. The result of such socially desirable reporting could be the incomplete effect sizes observed in our statistical models.^{36,37} Regardless, attempts to prevent the spread of HCV among at-risk youth will require careful attention to factors that interfere with safe injection practices, including peer dynamics and chaotic injection environments.^{38,39}

The excess risk for HCV among street youth necessitates evidence-based strategies to prevent drug use and mitigate injection-related harm. Although maintenance therapy with methadone or buprenorphine is efficacious among adolescents and young adults,^{40,41} challenges remain in making these services accessible to street youth, who are a marginalized and difficult-to-reach population.^{42,43} Other effective harm reduction services such as needle exchange and supervised safe injection facilities are often developed for adult drug users and may not effectively target younger drug users.³ Barriers to preventive and treatment modalities for young drug users are well documented, and include excessively long waiting lists, difficulty complying with program rules, program fees that exceed young people's ability to pay, and locations that are inconvenient for youth.⁴² Existing drug treatment and harm reduction services should be extended in a way that is sensitive to the unique circumstances of youth.

There are limitations to this study. First, as outlined above, we acknowledge a relatively small proportion of the sample who injected prescription opioids, which may have affected the precision of our estimates. Second, we employed snowball sampling in order to recruit heavily drug-involved youth, who are frequently homeless and represent a population 'hidden' from traditional population-based sampling. Although snowball sampling does not produce a truly random sample,²⁴ it is noteworthy that the characteristics of the ARYS cohort are similar to those of other at-risk youth in western Canada.^{44,45} A final point regarding representativeness is the refusal rate among youth who are approached for enrolment into the study. Unfortunately,

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

as youth often self-refer and street-based outreach often requires a very low threshold approach commonly involving repeated contact, rates of refusal can only be estimated. Study staff estimate that 30% of youth first approached for participation ultimately agree to be assessed for eligibility. Third, our study relied on self-report, which, as outlined above, may have resulted in social desirability bias for questions probing sensitive details. Finally, for polysubstance-using youth in sample, we cannot rule out that the risk for HCV our models attributed to heroin may have been better attributed to risky behaviors associated with injection of other drugs. However, we sought to explore the independent effects of other drugs in our modeling by controlling for injection of the most common of other substances of abuse.

In summary, we found the risk for HCV acquisition among street youth in this setting was alarmingly high, and that intravenous drug injection remains a primary risk factor. Interestingly, although prescription opioid misuse is on the rise in North America, in our sample, risk of HCV acquisition from injection of prescription opioids did not exceed that of traditional street drugs, including heroin, cocaine and crystal methamphetamine. Nonetheless, prescription opioid injection should be the focus of further study to explore this emerging and poorly understood practice. Given the high prevalence and incidence of HCV seropositivity among street youth, there is an urgent need for evidence-based strategies, including educational programming, addiction treatment and harm reduction services, to prevent disease transmission in this vulnerable population.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the study participants for their contribution to the research, as well as current and past researchers and staff. We would specifically like to thank Deborah Graham, Peter Vann, Caitlin Johnston, Steve Kain, and Calvin Lai for their research and administrative assistance. We also appreciate support from Dr. Bob Vinci and the Boston Combined Residency Program, as well as Dr. Jean Emans and the Division of Adolescent / Young Adult Medicine at Boston Children's Hospital. The corresponding author affirms that all who contributed significantly to the work are acknowledged here. The corresponding author had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Role of Funding Source

This work was supported by the US National Institutes of Health [grant number R01DA028532]; the Canadian Institutes of Health Research [grant number MOP–102742]; the Canada Research Chairs [Tier 1 Canada Research Chair in Inner City Medicine, E. W.]; Maternal Child Health Bureau of the Health Services Research Administration [Leadership Education in Adolescent Health, grant number T71 MC00009, S. E. H.]; and National Institute of Drug Abuse, United States National Institutes of Health [Avant-Garde award, grant number DP1DA026182, J. S. M.].

Conflict of Interest Statement

J. S. M. has received educational grants from, served as an ad hoc advisor to or spoken at various events sponsored by Abbott Laboratories, Agouron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc., Borean Pharma AS, Bristol–Myers Squibb, DuPont Pharma, Gilead Sciences, GlaxoSmithKline, Hoffmann–La Roche, Immune Response Corporation, Incyte, Janssen–Ortho Inc., Kucera Pharmaceutical Company, Merck Frosst Laboratories, Pfizer Canada Inc., Sanofi Pasteur, Shire Biochem Inc., Tibotec Pharmaceuticals Ltd. and Trimeris Inc.

Contributors

Drs. Hadland, DeBeck, Kerr and Wood designed the study. Drs. Hadland, DeBeck and Wood wrote the protocol. Dr. Hadland conducted the literature review and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Dr. Feng undertook statistical analyses with additional input from Dr. Hadland. All authors contributed to and have approved the final manuscript.

Data Sharing Statement

Statistical code and data maintained by the corresponding author at the British Columbia Centre

for Excellence in HIV/AIDS. The Centre provides a permanent home for the data set. Data is

available by emailing the Corresponding Author at uhri-ew@cfenet.ubc.ca.

REFERENCES

- 1. Lavanchy D. The global burden of hepatitis C. *Liver Int.* Jan 2009;29 Suppl 1:74-81.
- 2. Ly KN, Xing J, Klevens RM, Jiles RB, et al. The increasing burden of mortality from viral hepatitis in the United States between 1999 and 2007. *Ann Intern Med.* Feb 21 2012;156(4):271-278.
- **3.** Miller CL, Spittal PM, Frankish JC, et al. HIV and hepatitis C outbreaks among high-risk youth in Vancouver demands a public health response. *Can J Public Health*. Mar-Apr 2005;96(2):107-108.
- Hepatitis C virus infection among adolescents and young adults:Massachusetts, 2002-2009.
 MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. May 6 2011;60(17):537-541.
- The State of the World's Children 1997. 1997; <u>http://www.unicef.org/sowc97/download.htm</u>.
 Accessed 10 Jul, 2009.
- 6. Street youth in Canada: findings from the enhanced surveillance of Canadian street youth, 1999-2003. 2006; <u>http://www.phac-aspc.gc.ca/std-mts/reports_06/pdf/street_youth_e.pdf</u>. Accessed 16 Jun, 2009.
- Hadland SE, Werb D, Kerr T, et al. Childhood sexual abuse and risk for initiating injection drug use: A prospective cohort study. *Prev Med.* Nov 2012;55(5):500-504.
- Roy E, Haley N, Leclerc P, et al. Risk factors for hepatitis C virus infection among street youths. CMAJ. 2001;165(5):557-560.
- 9. CDC. Vital signs: overdoses of prescription opioid pain relievers---United States, 1999--2008.
 MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2011;60:1487-1492.
- **10.** Des Jarlais DC, Friedman SR. HIV infection among intravenous drug users: epidemiology and risk reduction. *AIDS.* Jul 1987;1(2):67-76.
- **11.** Garfein RS, Vlahov D, Galai N, et al. Viral infections in short-term injection drug users: the prevalence of the hepatitis C, hepatitis B, human immunodeficiency, and human T-lymphotropic viruses. *Am J Public Health.* 1996;86(5):655-661.
- **12.** Degenhardt L, Hall W. Extent of illicit drug use and dependence, and their contribution to the global burden of disease. *Lancet.* 2012;379(9810):55-70.

1		
2 3 4	13.	Highlights of the 2009 Drug Abuse Warning Network (DAWN) Findings on Drug-Related
5 6		Emergency Department Visits. 2010;
7		http://www.samhsa.gov/data/2k10/dawnsr034edhighlights/edhighlights.htm. Accessed
8 9		2012, 20 Feb.
10 11 12 13	14.	Miech R, Bohnert A, Heard K, et al. Increasing Use of Nonmedical Analgesics Among Younger Cohorts in the United States: A Birth Cohort Effect. <i>J Adolesc Health</i> . 2013;52(1):35-41.
14 15 16	15.	Results from the 2012 National Survey on Drug Use and Health: Summary of National Findings. 2013;
17 18		http://www.samhsa.gov/data/NSDUH/2012SummNatFindDetTables/NationalFindings/N
19		SDUHresults2012.htm. Accessed 30 Dec, 2013.
20 21		
22	16.	Lankenau SE, Teti M, Silva K, et al. Initiation into prescription opioid misuse amongst young
23 24		injection drug users. Int J Drug Policy. 2012;23(1):37-44. Epub 2011 Jun 2020.
25 26	17	Roy E Arruda N Bourgois P. The growing popularity of prescription opioid injection in downtown
27		Montreal: new challenges for harm reduction <i>Subst Use Misuse</i> 2011;46(9):1142-1150
28 29		
30	18.	Fischer B, Rehm J, Patra J, et al. Changes in illicit opioid use across Canada. CMAJ. Nov 21
31 32		2006;175(11):1385.
33 24	40	Chew SV. Sheh L. Jolly AM, et al. Identifying betarganaity among injection drug years: a gluster
34 35	19.	shaw ST, Shan L, Johy AM, et al. Identifying neterogeneity among injection drug users. a cluster
36 27		analysis approach. An J Fublic Health. 2008,96(6). 1430-1437. Epub 2008 Juli 1412.
37 38	20.	Bruneau J, Roy E, Arruda N, et al. The rising prevalence of prescription opioid injection and its
39		association with hepatitis C incidence among street-drug users. Addiction. Jul 2012;107(7):1318-
40 41		1327.
42		
43 44	21.	Daniels D, Grytdal S, Wasley A, Centers for Disease C, Prevention. Surveillance for acute viral
45		hepatitis - United States, 2007. MMWR Surveill Summ. May 22 2009;58(3):1-27.
46 47	22	Zou S. Topper M. Ciuliui A. Current statue of henotitie C in Conside. Con J. Bublie Health, Jul Aug
48	22.	2000-04 Supplet Alson 45, Sto 46
49 50		2000,91 Suppi 1:S10-15, S10-16.
51	23.	Wood E, Montaner JS, Li K, et al. Burden of HIV infection among aboriginal injection drug users
52 53		in Vancouver, British Columbia. Am J Public Health. Mar 2008;98(3):515-519.
54 55		
ວວ 56	24.	Wood E, Stoltz JA, Montaner JSG, et al. Evaluating methamphetamine use and risks of injection
57		initiation among street youth: the ARYS study. <i>Harm Reduct J.</i> 2006;3:18.
วช 59		
60		19

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

25. Marshall BD, Kerr T, Shoveller JA, et al. Homelessness and unstable housing associated with an increased risk of HIV and STI transmission among street-involved youth. *Health Place.* Sep 2009;15(3):753-760.

- **26.** Hadland SE, Kerr T, Marshall BD, et al. Non-injection drug use patterns and history of injection among street youth. *Eur Addict Res.* 2010;16(2):91-98.
- **27.** Hagan H, Thiede H, Des Jarlais DC. Hepatitis C virus infection among injection drug users: survival analysis of time to seroconversion. *Epidemiology.* Sep 2004;15(5):543-549.
- **28.** Hahn JA, Page-Shafer K, Lum PJ, et al. Hepatitis C virus seroconversion among young injection drug users: relationships and risks. *J Infect Dis.* Dec 1 2002;186(11):1558-1564.
- **29.** Shannon K, Kerr T, Marshall B, et al. Survival sex work involvement as a primary risk factor for hepatitis C virus acquisition in drug-using youths in a canadian setting. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* Jan 2010;164(1):61-65.
- **30.** Fast D, Small W, Wood E, et al.Coming 'down here': Young people's reflections on becoming entrenched in a local drug scene. *Soc Sci Med.* Aug 21 2009;21:21.
- Garfein RS, Doherty MC, Monterroso ER, et al. Prevalence and incidence of hepatitis C virus infection among young adult injection drug users. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol*. 1998;18 Suppl 1:S11-19.
- **32.** Miller CL, Johnston C, Spittal PM, et al. Opportunities for prevention: hepatitis C prevalence and incidence in a cohort of young injection drug users. *Hepatology*. 2002;36(3):737-742.
- **33.** Miller CL, Kerr T, Fischer B, et al. Methamphetamine injection independently predicts hepatitis C infection among street-involved youth in a Canadian setting. *J Adolesc Health.* Mar 2009;44(3):302-304.
- **34.** Hagan H, Pouget ER, Williams IT, et al. Attribution of hepatitis C virus seroconversion risk in young injection drug users in 5 US cities. *J Infect Dis.* Feb 1 2010;201(3):378-385.
- **35.** Thorpe LE, Ouellet LJ, Hershow R, et al. Risk of hepatitis C virus infection among young adult injection drug users who share injection equipment. *Am J Epidemiol.* Apr 1 2002;155(7):645-653.
- **36.** Fennema JS, van Ameijden EJ, Coutinho RA, et al. Validity of self-reported sexually transmitted diseases in a cohort of drug-using prostitutes in Amsterdam: trends from 1986 to 1992. *Int J Epidemiol.* Oct 1995;24(5):1034-1041.

BMJ Open

- BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June Tu, ۲۰۲۵ at Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies. http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de
- 37. Koester S, Heimer R, Baron AE, et al. Re: "Risk of hepatitis C virus among young adult injection drug users who share injection equipment". *Am J Epidemiol.* Feb 15 2003;157(4):376; author reply 376-378.
- **38.** Marshall BD, Kerr T, Qi J, et al. Public injecting and HIV risk behaviour among street-involved youth. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* Aug 1 2010;110(3):254-258.
- **39.** Racz J, Gyarmathy VA, Neaigus A, et al.Injecting equipment sharing and perception of HIV and hepatitis risk among injecting drug users in Budapest. *AIDS Care.* Jan 2007;19(1):59-66.
- Kimber J, Copeland L, Hickman M, et al. Survival and cessation in injecting drug users:
 prospective observational study of outcomes and effect of opiate substitution treatment. *BMJ*.
 2010;341:c3172.
- **41.** Woody GE, Poole SA, Subramaniam G, et al. Extended vs short-term buprenorphine-naloxone for treatment of opioid-addicted youth: a randomized trial. *JAMA*. Nov 5 2008;300(17):2003-2011.
- **42.** Hadland SE, Kerr T, Li K, et al. Access to drug and alcohol treatment among a cohort of streetinvolved youth. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* Apr 1 2009;101(1-2):1-7.
- **43.** Miller CL, Strathdee SA, Li K, et al. A longitudinal investigation into excess risk for blood-borne infection among young injection drug users (IUDs). *Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse.* 2007;33(4):527-536.
- **44.** Miller CL, Strathdee SA, Kerr T, et al. Factors associated with early adolescent initiation into injection drug use: implications for intervention programs. *J Adolesc Health.* Apr 2006;38(4):462-464.
- **45.** Ochnio JJ, Patrick D, Ho M, et al.Past infection with hepatitis A virus among Vancouver street youth, injection drug users and men who have sex with men: implications for vaccination programs. *CMAJ.* Aug 7 2001;165(3):293-297.

		HCV-Seropositive			
	Total (%) (<i>n</i> = 940)	Yes (%) (<i>n</i> = 100)	No (%) (<i>n</i> = 840)	_	<i>p</i> Value
Characteristic				Odds Ratio (95% CI)	
Sociodemographic factors					
Male gender	654 (69.6)	63 (63.0)	591 (70.4)	0.72 (0.47 – 1.11)	0.131
Mean age (SD) ^a	21.7 (2.7)	23.4 (2.5)	21.5 (2.7)	1.34 (1.23 – 1.47)	< 0.001
Aboriginal ancestry	224 (23.8)	30 (30.0)	194 (23.1)	1.43 (0.90 – 2.25)	0.126
High school education ^b	415 (64.8)	35 (35.0)	380 (45.2)	0.65 (0.42 – 1.00)	0.051
Gay/lesbian/bisexual	151 (16.1)	22 (22.0)	129 (15.4)	0.64 (0.39 – 1.07)	0.087
Recent homelessness ^c	348 (37.0)	54 (54.0)	294 (35.0)	2.18 (1.44 – 3.31)	< 0.001
Recent incarceration ^c	176 (18.7)	26 (26.0)	150 (17.9)	1.62 (1.00 – 2.61)	0.048
Substance use-related behaviors					
Mean years injecting (SD) ^d	4.3 (3.2)	4.0 (3.3)	4.6 (3.2)	0.94 (0.82 – 1.07)	0.350
Non-injection prescription opioid use ^{c}	90 (9.6)	12 (12.0)	78 (9.3)	1.33 (0.70 – 2.54)	0.383
Prescription opioid injection ^c	64 (6.8)	28 (28.0)	36 (4.3)	8.69 (5.01 – 15.1)	< 0.001
Heroin injection ^c	191 (20.3)	66 (66.0)	125 (14.9)	11.1 (7.04 – 17.5)	< 0.001
Cocaine injection ^c	93 (9.9)	31 (31.0)	62 (7.4)	5.54 (3.43 – 9.26)	< 0.001
Crystal methamphetamine injection ^c	154 (16.4)	50 (50.0)	104 (12.4)	7.08 (4.55 – 11.0)	< 0.001
Syringe sharing ^c	56 (6.0)	18 (18.0)	38 (4.5)	4.63 (2.53 – 8.48)	< 0.001
Sexual risk behaviors					
Inconsistent condom use ^c	433 (46.1)	40 (40.0)	393 (46.8)	0.76 (0.50 – 1.16)	0.198
Sex work ^c	65 (6.9)	14 (14.0)	51 (6.1)	2.52 (1.34 – 4.74)	0.003

----...... л. (110) (1 - 4 - 4 - - 4 - -.....

a. Odds ratio calculated per year older

b. Prior completion of or current enrollment in high school

c. During the six months preceding study enrollment

d. Includes only actively injecting youth

TABLE 2. Unadjusted and adjusted Cox proportional hazard analysis of time to hepatitis C infection among 512 drug-using youth: At-Risk Youth Study (ARYS), Vancouver, British Columbia, 2005-2011.

		Adjusted HR (95% CI)			
Characteristic	Unadjusted HR (95% CI)	Model 1 ^ª	Model 2 ^b	Model 3 ^c	
Male gender	0.48 (0.28 – 0.81)	0.50 (0.28 – 0.90)	0.42 (0.24 – 0.75)	0.50 (0.28 – 0.90)	
Age (per year older)	0.96 (0.87 – 1.06)	1.10 (0.91 – 1.10)	1.00 (0.91 – 1.11)	1.00 (0.91 – 1.10)	
Prescription opioid injection	3.48 (1.57 – 7.70)	_	2.02 (0.89 – 4.61)	0.94 (0.40 – 2.21)	
Heroin injection	9.89 (5.72 – 17.1)	4.49 (2.42 – 8.33)	_	4.56 (2.39 – 8.70)	
Cocaine injection	5.69 (3.18 – 10.2)	1.87 (1.00 – 3.47)	2.20 (1.14 – 4.23)	1.88 (1.00 – 3.54)	
Crystal methamphetamine injection	7.39 (4.36 – 12.5)	2.94 (1.62 – 5.34)	5.11 (2.79 – 9.34)	2.91 (1.57 – 5.38)	
Syringe sharing	7.69 (3.93 – 15.0)	2.47 (1.20 – 5.09)	2.57 (1.24 – 5.32)	2.47 (1.20 – 5.10)	

a. Model 1 includes all covariates listed except prescription opioid injection

b. Model 2 includes all covariates listed except heroin injection

c. Model 3 includes all covariates listed

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de I Enseignement Superieur (ABES) Protected by comytightaing/facksestighated iodextadeleater (ABES).

FIGURE LEGENDS

. infection among drug-injecting your. FIGURE 1a. Cumulative incidence of hepatitis C infection among 512 drug-using youth, by injection heroin use: At-Risk Youth Study (ARYS), Vancouver, Canada, 2005-2010.

FIGURE 1b. Cumulative incidence of hepatitis C infection among drug-injecting youth by heroin use: At-Risk Youth Study (ARYS), Vancouver, Canada, 2005-2010.

Jul Eva 1. Boston Children's Hospital, Division of Medicine, 300 Longwood Aven 2. Harvard Medical School, Departme Boston, MA, USA, 02115 3. British Columbia Centre for Excel Burrard Street, Vancouver, BC, C 4. University of British Columbia, Sc 5804 Fairview Avenue, Vancouver 5. University of British Columbia, Fa Vancouver, BC, Canada, V6T 123 6. University of Saskatchewan, Schor Canada, S7N 5E5 Send correspondence to:	lio S. M van Woo ion of Add nue, Boste nent of Pe llence in I Canada, V chool of P er, BC, Ca aculty of N Caculty of N Caculty of N Caculty of Pul Evan Wo BC Cent St. Paul'3 608 - 108	ontaner, MD ^{3,5} od, MD, PhD ^{3,5} olescent & Young Adult Medicine, Departmer on, MA, USA, 02115 ediatrics, 25 Shattuck Street HIV/AIDS, St. Paul's Hospital, 608 - 1081 /6Z 1Y6 Population and Public Health, Mather Building anada, V6T 1Z3 Medicine, 317 - 2194 Health Sciences Mall, blic Health, 107 Wiggins Road, Saskatoon, S			
 Evaluation of the state of the	van Woo ion of Ado nue, Bosto nent of Pe llence in I Canada, V chool of P er, BC, Ca aculty of N 3 iool of Pul Evan Wo BC Cent St. Paul'3 608 - 108	od, MD, PhD ^{3,5} blescent & Young Adult Medicine, Departmer on, MA, USA, 02115 ediatrics, 25 Shattuck Street HIV/AIDS, St. Paul's Hospital, 608 - 1081 /6Z 1Y6 Population and Public Health, Mather Building anada, V6T 1Z3 Medicine, 317 - 2194 Health Sciences Mall, blic Health, 107 Wiggins Road, Saskatoon, S pod, MD, PhD re for Excellence in HIV/AIDS s Hospital			
 Boston Children's Hospital, Division of Medicine, 300 Longwood Aven Harvard Medical School, Departm Boston, MA, USA, 02115 British Columbia Centre for Excell Burrard Street, Vancouver, BC, C University of British Columbia, Sc 5804 Fairview Avenue, Vancouve University of British Columbia, Fa Vancouver, BC, Canada, V6T 123 University of Saskatchewan, Scho Canada, S7N 5E5 Send correspondence to: 	ion of Add nue, Bosta nent of Pe llence in I Canada, V chool of P er, BC, Ca aculty of N 3 ool of Pul Evan Wc BC Cent St. Paul'3 608 - 108	olescent & Young Adult Medicine, Departmen on, MA, USA, 02115 ediatrics, 25 Shattuck Street HIV/AIDS, St. Paul's Hospital, 608 - 1081 /6Z 1Y6 Population and Public Health, Mather Building anada, V6T 1Z3 Medicine, 317 - 2194 Health Sciences Mall, blic Health, 107 Wiggins Road, Saskatoon, S pod, MD, PhD re for Excellence in HIV/AIDS			
 Harvard Medical School, Departm Boston, MA, USA, 02115 British Columbia Centre for Excel Burrard Street, Vancouver, BC, C University of British Columbia, Sc 5804 Fairview Avenue, Vancouve University of British Columbia, Fa Vancouver, BC, Canada, V6T 123 University of Saskatchewan, Scho Canada, S7N 5E5 Send correspondence to: 	nent of Pe llence in I Canada, V chool of P er, BC, Ca aculty of N 3 ool of Pul Evan Wc BC Cent St. Paul'3 608 - 108	ediatrics, 25 Shattuck Street HIV/AIDS, St. Paul's Hospital, 608 - 1081 /6Z 1Y6 Population and Public Health, Mather Building anada, V6T 1Z3 Medicine, 317 - 2194 Health Sciences Mall, blic Health, 107 Wiggins Road, Saskatoon, S pod, MD, PhD re for Excellence in HIV/AIDS			
 British Columbia Centre for Excel Burrard Street, Vancouver, BC, C University of British Columbia, Sc 5804 Fairview Avenue, Vancouve University of British Columbia, Fa Vancouver, BC, Canada, V6T 123 University of Saskatchewan, Scho Canada, S7N 5E5 Send correspondence to: 	llence in I Canada, V chool of P er, BC, Ca aculty of N 3 ool of Pul Evan Wc BC Cent St. Paul'3 608 - 108	HIV/AIDS, St. Paul's Hospital, 608 - 1081 /6Z 1Y6 /opulation and Public Health, Mather Building anada, V6T 1Z3 /ledicine, 317 - 2194 Health Sciences Mall, blic Health, 107 Wiggins Road, Saskatoon, S pod, MD, PhD re for Excellence in HIV/AIDS			
 University of British Columbia, Sc 5804 Fairview Avenue, Vancouve University of British Columbia, Fa Vancouver, BC, Canada, V6T 123 University of Saskatchewan, Scho Canada, S7N 5E5 Send correspondence to: 	chool of P er, BC, Ca aculty of N 3 iool of Pul Evan Wc BC Cent St. Paul'3 608 - 100	Population and Public Health, Mather Building anada, V6T 1Z3 Medicine, 317 - 2194 Health Sciences Mall, blic Health, 107 Wiggins Road, Saskatoon, S pod, MD, PhD re for Excellence in HIV/AIDS			
 University of British Columbia, Fa Vancouver, BC, Canada, V6T 1Z3 University of Saskatchewan, Scho Canada, S7N 5E5 Send correspondence to: E E G G 	Evan Wo BC Cent St. Paul's	Medicine, 317 - 2194 Health Sciences Mall, blic Health, 107 Wiggins Road, Saskatoon, S bod, MD, PhD re for Excellence in HIV/AIDS			
6. University of Saskatchewan, Scho Canada, S7N 5E5 Send correspondence to:	Evan Wo BC Cent St. Paul': 608 - 108	blic Health, 107 Wiggins Road, Saskatoon, S ood, MD, PhD re for Excellence in HIV/AIDS			
Send correspondence to: E	Evan Wo BC Cent St. Paul's 608 - 108	ood, MD, PhD re for Excellence in HIV/AIDS			
	BC Cent St. Paul's 608 - 108	re for Excellence in HIV/AIDS			
	St. Paul 608 - 108				
		St. Paul's Hospital 608 - 1081 Burrard Street			
(Vancouver, BC				
	Canada	V6Z 1Y6			
F	Phone:	(604) 806-9116			
F	Fax:	(604) 806-9044			
E	Email:	uhri-ew@ctenet.ubc.ca			
Abstract Word Count: 296					
Word Count (excluding abstract): 2	2,997				
Figures: 2					
Tables: 2					
Submitted: Monday, April 7, 2014					

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

ABSTRACT

Objective: Despite dramatic increases in misuse of prescription opioids, the extent to which their intravenous injection places drug users at risk of acquiring hepatitis C virus (HCV) remains unclear. We sought to compare risk of HCV acquisition from injection of prescription opioids to that from other street drugs among high-risk street youth.

Design: Prospective cohort study.

Setting: Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, from September 2005 to November 2011.

Participants: The At-Risk Youth Study (ARYS) is a prospective cohort of actively drug-using adolescents and young adults aged 14-26 years. Participants were recruited through extensive street-based outreach and snowball sampling.

Primary Outcome Measure: HCV antibody seroconversion, measured every 6 months during study follow-up. Risk for seroconversion from injection of prescription opioids was compared to injection of other street drugs of abuse, including heroin, cocaine or crystal methamphetamine, using Cox proportional hazards regression controlling for age, gender and active syringe sharing.

Results: Baseline HCV seropositivity was 10.6%. Among 512 HCV-seronegative youth contributing 860.2 person-years of total follow-up, 56 (10.9%) seroconverted, resulting in an incidence density of 6.5 per 100 person-years. In bivariate analyses, prescription opioid injection (hazard ratio [HR], 3.48; 95% CI, 1.57-7.70) predicted HCV seroconversion. However, in multivariate modeling, only injection of heroin (adjusted HR, 4.56; 95% CI, 2.39-8.70),

BMJ Open

cocaine (adjusted HR, 1.88; 95% Cl, 1.00-3.54), and crystal methamphetamine (adjusted HR, 2.91; 95% Cl, 1.57-5.38) remained independently associated with HCV seroconversion, whereas injection of prescription opioids did not (adjusted HR, 0.94; 95% Cl, 0.40-2.21).

Conclusions: Although misuse of prescription opioids is on the rise, traditional street drugs still appeared to pose the greatest threat of HCV transmission in this setting. Nonetheless, the high prevalence and incidence of HCV seropositivity among Canadian street youth underscores the need for evidence-based drug prevention, treatment, and harm reduction interventions targeting this vulnerable population.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) .

data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- A strength of this prospective cohort study is that it followed street youth, a marginalized and difficult-to-reach population with a high prevalence of injection drug use and blood-borne infection, including human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV)
- An additional strength is that it is among the first studies to examine the association between injection of prescription opioids (including, for example, oxycodone and morphine) and acquisition of HCV; it is not well understood how the risk for acquiring HCV from injecting prescription opioids compares to that from injection of more traditionally studied street drugs, such as heroin, cocaine and crystal methamphetamine
- <u>The study's f</u>indings demonstrate elevated risk for HCV seroconversion in relation to heroin, cocaine and crystal methamphetamine injection, but not prescription opioid injection <u>after</u> <u>adjustment for covariates</u>; however, the relatively small number of youth injecting prescription opioids may have limited detection of marginal risk differences
- Since youth in the study were recruited by snowball sampling, results are not obtained from a truly random sample, but characteristics of the cohort are comparable to those from studies of street youth conducted elsewhere
- This study demonstrates novel findings that should prompt further study of risk for bloodborne infection among drug-injecting youth populations

INTRODUCTION

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide.¹⁻³ While the incidence of HCV may be decreasing in some age groups, infection rates appear to be increasing among adolescents and young adults.⁴ Street youth – that is, youth who spend all or part of their time working or living on the street^{5,6} – represent a marginalized and stigmatized population at elevated risk for HCV acquisition owing to a high prevalence of injection drug use.^{3,7,8}

The emergence of elevated rates of HCV among street youth coincides with important changes in patterns of youth substance use. In recent years, misuse of prescription opioids such as morphine, oxycodone and hydrocodone has emerged as a public health emergency.⁹ Although injection of illicit drugs is known to place users at high risk of blood-borne infection,^{10,11} the abundance of studies to date have focused on traditional street drugs, such as heroin and cocaine, rather than prescription opioids.¹²

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

This constitutes a serious gap in our understanding of HCV epidemiology given that, in many jurisdictions, overdose mortality attributed to prescription opioid use has surpassed that attributed to the use of heroin and cocaine combined.¹³ The prevalence of non-medical prescription opioid use is increasing in the general adolescent population,¹⁴ with approximately 8-10% of high school students in the United States reporting past-year use.¹⁵ Many prescription opioid formulations are readily injected,^{16,17} but despite their widespread availability, there is a paucity of epidemiologic data examining this practice or its risk for disease transmission.¹⁸

At this time, it remains unclear whether heroin injectors and prescription opioid injectors represent overlapping or distinct subpopulations of injection drug users.¹⁹ There is evidence that some users follow a trajectory from initially using prescription opioids to ultimately using heroin, since in some settings, heroin is less expensive and more potent and available.¹⁶ On

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

the other hand, there may be a sizeable subgroup of users who inject prescription opioids to the exclusion of heroin and other drugs.²⁰ Regardless, there is reason to believe that certain injection practices associated with heroin as compared with prescription opioids may place users at differential risk for infectious disease transmission.^{17,20}

Understanding how injection of prescription opioids may place users at risk for acquiring HCV is imperative, given that injection drug users represent the population at greatest risk for HCV infection in North America,^{21,22} and that mortality from HCV has increased to the extent that it recently surpassed that from human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in the United States.² We conducted the present study of HCV acquisition <u>in Vancouver, Canada,</u> among a prospective cohort of street youth, a population including a high proportion of Aboriginal youth who may be at elevated risk for blood-borne infection.²³ Our study objective was to <u>examine the contribution of injection of prescription opioids and that of traditional street drugs of abuse to the risk for HCV seroconversion.</u>

METHODS

The At-Risk Youth Study (ARYS) is a cohort of street-involved youth in Vancouver, Canada.²⁴_Inclusion criteria for enrollment included age 14 to 26 years and use of an illicit drug other than marijuana during the month prior to enrollment.__Recruitment relied on extensive daytime and nighttime street-based outreach and snowball sampling, and was systematically conducted in parks, streets and alleyways of Vancouver where street youth are known to congregate. Although no inclusion criterion explicitly required a minimum amount of time on the street to qualify for the study, in practice, the street-based recruitment resulted in a sample of youth who spent substantial time on the street, a large proportion of whom were homeless.²⁵ Full study details were disclosed_to participants and informed consent was obtained. At

baseline and every six months thereafter, participants completed an interview and underwent HCV antibody testing. Participants were remunerated \$20 CAN per visit. <u>Additionally, a \$5</u> <u>CAN incentive was provided to youth three months after their baseline interview to return to the study site to update their contact information in an attempt to improve study follow-up.</u> ARYS was approved by the University of British Columbia/Providence Health Care Research Ethics Board.

We compared HCV prevalence at recruitment and subsequent HCV incidence among youth according to recent (*i.e.*, during the preceding six months) injection of prescription opioid and recent injection of heroin, cocaine and crystal methamphetamine. Prescription opioids were broadly defined to include morphine, oxycodone, hydromorphone, meperidine, fentanyl or methadone. The exact question used was, "In the last 6 months, when you were using, which of the following drugs did you inject and how often?", with possible answers including, "Less than once per month / One to three times per month / About once per week / Two or three times per week / At least daily". Using this question, each of the prescription opioids listed above was individually and sequentially probed. We also examined patterns of non-injection use of prescription opioids in the sample. All ARYS participants were included in the baseline HCV prevalence analyses. Participants who were HCV antibody negative at baseline and returned for ≥1 follow-up visit were included in the incidence analyses.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

We also examined an additional array of covariates including: gender, age (as a continuous variable), Aboriginal ancestry, high school education (having completed or currently enrolled in high school), self-reported gay/lesbian/bisexual orientation, recent homelessness, recent incarceration, recent sharing of injection syringes, recent inconsistent condom use (vaginal or anal penetrative sex without condom use 100% of the time), and recent sex work (having traded sex for money, drugs, shelter or gifts). In the baseline prevalence analysis, all participants were compared according to HCV serostatus through chi-square (for categorical

variables) and Wilcoxin rank sum tests (for continuous variables). Similar statistics were also calculated to compare drug-related behaviors between Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal youth.²³

We then conducted the incidence analysis with the outcome of time to HCV seroconversion, limiting the sample to those who were HCV antibody negative at baseline and returned for \geq 1 follow-up visit. Youth with prior history of injection were first compared in univariate analyses.²⁶ We subsequently used Kaplan-Meier methods to plot the cumulative incidence of HCV seroconversion as a function of time. All follow-up data were included, even if a participant had missed an intervening follow-up appointment.

We also used Cox proportional hazards regression to determine unadjusted and adjusted hazard ratios (HR) for HCV seroconversion for the range of drug use-related variables and other covariates listed above. An interaction term between heroin and prescription opioid injection was also tested. Time of seroconversion was estimated as the midpoint of the date of last known seronegativity and of that of first seropositivity.²⁷⁻²⁹ Independent variables were time-updated in regression models if they referred to non-fixed characteristics or behaviors in the preceding six months. In the event of differential follow-up duration among participants recruited earlier in the study period compared to later in the study period, we examined the prevalence of prescription opioid injection and heroin in the first two years of study and that of the last two years of study to determine whether these behaviors were becoming more common with time.¹⁴ We also examined prevalence of cocaine and crystal methamphetamine over the course of the study.

We sought to directly compare the risk for HCV seroconversion from injection of heroin and other traditional drugs of abuse to that of injection of prescription opioids,^{17,20} and created three multivariable models to do so. The first model included recent heroin injection but not recent prescription opioid injection; the second, recent prescription opioid injection but not

recent heroin injection; and the third, both recent heroin injection and recent prescription opioid injection. To adjust for potential confounders, age and gender were included in multivariable models as well as covariates significant at *p*<0.05 in the initial bivariate Cox regression analyses of time to HCV seroconversion. Finally, as a sub-analysis, we restricted the sample to drug-injecting youth and examined bivariate associations between injection of prescription opioids, heroin, cocaine and crystal methamphetamine, and HCV seroconversion. We also repeated the third multivariate model using this subsample.

Analyses were conducted with SAS version 9.1 (SAS Institute, Inc, Cary, North Carolina). All p values were two-sided and tests were considered significant at p<0.05. Adjustments were not made for multiple comparisons given that this was a single-outcome, observational study.

RESULTS

From September 2005 to November 2011, 940 youth were recruited into the ARYS cohort and completed baseline HCV antibody testing. One-hundred youth (10.6%) were HCV-seropositive at study enrollment. **Table 1** shows baseline characteristics and recent (*i.e.*, in the six months preceding study enrollment) drug-related and sexual risk behaviors according to HCV serostatus. The cohort spent a median of 12 hours on the street per day (IQR: 6–24 h). Aboriginal youth comprised 224 (23.8%) of the sample. Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal youth did not differ with regard to recent non-injection prescription opioid use, recent injection of prescription opioids, heroin, cocaine, or crystal methamphetamine, or recent syringe sharing (*p*>0.05 for all). As shown, baseline HCV seropositivity was associated with older age, recent homelessness, recent incarceration, recent injection of prescription opioids, heroin, cocaine, and crystal methamphetamine, recent syringe sharing, and recent sex work. Recent injection

of prescription opioids and of heroin were correlated (p<0.05).

Of the 840 youth who were HCV antibody negative at baseline, 512 (60.9%) had at least one follow-up visit and provided blood samples for HCV antibody testing. Among these 512 youth, 151 (29.5%) were female and 135 (67.2%) identified as Aboriginal. The mean age was 21.7 (standard deviation, 2.6) years. Compared with the 328 (29.1%) participants who were HCV antibody negative at baseline and did not provide follow-up data, the 512 participants included in subsequent incidence analyses tended to be older (p<0.05), but did not differ at baseline in terms of gender, Aboriginal ancestry, recent incarceration, recent sex work, recent injection of prescription opioids, heroin, cocaine or crystal methamphetamine, or recent syringe sharing.

At study enrollment, 166 (32.4%) of the 512 youth included in the incidence analysis reported prior drug injection. Compared to those who had not previously injected, those who had injected were more likely to be older (p<0.05), but otherwise did not differ by gender, Aboriginal ancestry, recent incarceration, or recent sex work. Of the 166 youth who had previously injected, 56 (33.7%) reported recently having injected two or more drugs among prescription opioids, heroin, cocaine and crystal methamphetamine, and 11 (6.6%) reported having injected three or more of these drugs.

During the follow-up period (median follow-up, 18.5 months; median number of follow-up visits after baseline visit, 2 visits; total follow-up, 860.2 person-years), there were 56 (10.9%) HCV seroconversions, resulting in an incidence density of 6.5 per 100 person-years. As might be expected, median follow-up was longer in the earlier years of study enrollment (22.0 months in the first two years of enrollment *vs.* 17.0 months in the final two years; *p*<0.001). The median number of missed visits during follow-up was 1 visit. Individuals lost to follow up were censored at the time of their last visit.

Over the study period, the prevalence of prescription opioid injection remained relatively unchanged (4.2% of the entire sample in the first two years of enrollment *vs.* 4.4% in the last two years) as did that of heroin injection (13.5% *vs.* 11.8%). Similarly, there was very little change in the prevalence of cocaine injection (12.2% of the entire sample in the first two years of enrollment *vs.* 10.0% in the last two years) and crystal methamphetamine injection (18.0% *vs.* 16.8%). At baseline, recent heroin injectors and recent prescription opioid injectors did not differ in terms of age (mean, 21.8 *vs.* 22.3 years, respectively; *p*=0.524), gender (65.5% *vs.* 72.2% male; *p*=0.202), ethnicity (20.7% Aboriginal *vs.* 16.7% other; *p*=0.256), age of initiation of injection drug use (mean, 17.7 *vs.* 18.7 years; *p*=0.271) or in total number of years of injecting (mean, 4.1 *vs.* 3.6 years; *p*=0.567).

Figure 1a shows the Kaplan-Meier cumulative incidence of HCV seroconversion according to heroin injection in the entire sample and **Figure 1b** shows the cumulative incidence according to heroin injection with the sample restricted to drug-injecting youth only. In both cases, heroin injectors had a markedly elevated risk of HCV seroconversion in comparison to others in the sample, including prescription opioid injectors (full data available from the corresponding author). Crude incidence density of HCV seroconversion among heroin-injecting youth was 20.8 per 100 person-years and among prescription opioid-injecting youth was 21.4 per 100 person-years. Mean number of visits prior to seroconversion did not differ between heroin and prescription opioid injectors (p>0.05).

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Table 2 displays the results of the unadjusted and adjusted Cox proportional hazard regression analyses of the time to detected HCV seroconversion according to demographic characteristics and risk behaviors. As shown, HCV seroconversion was significantly associated with female gender, prescription opioid injection, heroin injection, cocaine injection, crystal methamphetamine injection, and syringe sharing in unadjusted analyses. Age was not associated with HCV seroconversion. Additional variables not listed in the table that were not

Page 36 of 53

BMJ Open

significantly associated with HCV seroconversion included Aboriginal ancestry (unadjusted hazard ratio [HR], 0.88; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.49-1.59; p=0.662), recent incarceration (HR, 1.25; 95% CI, 0.67-2.32; p=0.482), recent inconsistent condom use (unadjusted HR, 0.90; 95% CI, 0.52-1.55; p=0.703), and recent sex work (unadjusted HR, 0.91; 95% CI, 0.28-2.90; p=0.869). Additionally, the interaction term between heroin and prescription opioid injection was not significant. [xxx]

The three multivariable models examining the relative effects of prescription opioid injection and heroin injection all were adjusted for gender and age, as well for variables significant at p<0.05 in unadjusted Cox regression analyses (cocaine injection, crystal methamphetamine injection, and syringe sharing). In the model including all covariates except prescription opioid injection, heroin injection remained significantly associated with HCV seroconversion (Model 1), whereas prescription opioid injection did not retain significance in the model including all covariates except heroin (Model 2). When both heroin injection and prescription opioid injection, were included a combined model, heroin injection, but not prescription opioid injection, retained statistical significance (Model 3).

When the sample was restricted to only drug-injecting youth (n=166), prescription opioid injection was not associated with HCV seroconversion in bivariate analyses (unadjusted HR, 1.27; 95% Cl, 0.57-2.84; p=0.555). Heroin injection was associated with HCV seroconversion in this subsample (unadjusted HR, 2.93; 95% Cl, 1.77-6.13; p<0.001), as was cocaine injection (unadjusted HR, 2.02; 95% Cl, 1.11-3.68; p=0.021), but not crystal methamphetamine injection (unadjusted HR, 4.52; 95% Cl, 0.61-33.3; p=0.136). Syringe sharing was also associated with HCV seroconversion (unadjusted HR, 2.93; 95% Cl, 1.48-5.79; p=0.002). When Model 3 was rerun using this subsample, variables remaining significantly associated with HCV seroconversion included heroin injection (adjusted HR, 2.79; 95% Cl, 1.46-5.34; p=0.002) and syringe sharing (adjusted HR 2.47; 95% Cl, 1.22-4.98; p=0.012), but not prescription opioid

BMJ Open

DISCUSSION

In this longitudinal study, we observed a high prevalence of HCV seropositivity among adolescents and young adults on the street, with more than one in ten youth infected with HCV at baseline, as well as high incidence HCV acquisition during follow-up. We observed that injection of heroin, cocaine and crystal methamphetamine were all strongly associated with risk HCV seroconversion following adjustment for potential confounders. Injection of prescription opioids, by contrast, was not independently associated with HCV seroconversion in adjusted models, although it was associated with HCV seropositivity at baseline and with HCV seroconversion in unadjusted analyses. Taken together, these findings highlight street youth as a population that should remain a critical focus for evidence-based drug preventive and treatment services to prevent a worsening HCV epidemic.

Although misuse of prescription opioids is on the rise in North America,¹⁵ and although they are readily injected,^{16,17} we did not observe excess risk for HCV seroconversion from injection of prescription opioids among Vancouver street youth after controlling for other factors. There are several plausible explanations for the null finding in this setting. First, we acknowledge that despite a large sample of drug-using youth, the proportion of participants in the cohort who engaged in prescription opioid injection was relatively small and may have somewhat limited detection of marginal risk differences. Prescription opioid injection was significantly associated with HCV seroconversion in univariate incidence analyses, suggesting increased risk from this behavior. However, in the setting of polysubstance use, which was

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

BMJ Open

common in this setting, the contribution of prescription opioid injection to risk for HCV seroconversion appears to be relatively less important than that of traditional drugs of abuse including heroin, cocaine and crystal methamphetamine. Indeed, because injection of prescription opioids and of heroin were correlated in our sample, our results are consistent with other reports that many heroin injectors also inject prescription opioids when they cannot easily locate heroin or cannot afford it.¹⁷ We recommend that future studies actively recruit prescription opioid-injecting youth in order to improve estimates of risk for HCV.

Second, as has been described elsewhere, populations of drug users often show great heterogeneity, with subpopulations exhibiting widely varying risk for blood-borne disease.¹⁹ In Vancouver, youth who inject prescription opioids, regardless of whether they also inject other drugs, may represent a distinct subpopulation from other higher risk youth who inject heroin, cocaine or crystal methamphetamine but not prescription opioids, as has been observed in other settings.²⁰ It is possible prescription opioid-injecting youth may not be as entrenched in the local drug scene,³⁰ and as a result, may not associate as frequently with HCV-seropositive drug users. Similarly, youth who inject prescription opioids may have received different preventive messaging regarding safe injection practices, or have better access to harm reduction services. Better understanding the risk environment for prescription opioid users will prove important for preventing transmission of HCV in this high-risk population.

Although prescription opioid injection was not independently associated with risk for HCV seroconversion, more traditional risk factors, including injection of heroin, cocaine, and crystal methamphetamine were strongly and independently associated with HCV acquisition in this setting. These findings are consistent with those from previous youth studies.^{8,31-33} It is well established that HCV is spread when drug users share injection paraphernalia.^{34,35} Interestingly, although syringe sharing was associated with HCV seroconversion in the ARYS sample, it did not fully explain the risk for HCV associated with injection of heroin, cocaine and

crystal methamphetamine in final multivariable models. A possible explanation may be that youth underreported syringe sharing, which might be perceived as a stigmatized behavior. The result of such socially desirable reporting could be the incomplete effect sizes observed in our statistical models.^{36,37} Regardless, attempts to prevent the spread of HCV among at-risk youth will require careful attention to factors that interfere with safe injection practices, including peer dynamics and chaotic injection environments.^{38,39}

The excess risk for HCV among street youth necessitates evidence-based strategies to prevent drug use and mitigate injection-related harm. Although maintenance therapy with methadone or buprenorphine is efficacious among adolescents and young adults,^{40,41} challenges remain in making these services accessible to street youth, who are a marginalized and difficult-to-reach population.^{42,43} Other effective harm reduction services such as needle exchange and supervised safe injection facilities are often developed for adult drug users and may not effectively target younger drug users.³ Barriers to preventive and treatment modalities for young drug users are well documented, and include excessively long waiting lists, difficulty complying with program rules, program fees that exceed young people's ability to pay, and locations that are inconvenient for youth.⁴² Existing drug treatment and harm reduction services should be extended in a way that is sensitive to the unique circumstances of youth.

There are limitations to this study. First, as outlined above, we acknowledge a relatively small proportion of the sample who injected prescription opioids, which may have affected the precision of our estimates. Second, we employed snowball sampling in order to recruit heavily drug-involved youth, who are frequently homeless and represent a population 'hidden' from traditional population-based sampling. Although snowball sampling does not produce a truly random sample,²⁴ it is noteworthy that the characteristics of the ARYS cohort are similar to those of other at-risk youth in western Canada.^{44,45} <u>A final point regarding representativeness is the refusal rate among youth who are approached for enrolment into the study. Unfortunately,</u>

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

BMJ Open

as youth often self-refer and street-based outreach often requires a very low threshold approach commonly involving repeated contact, rates of refusal can only be estimated. Study staff estimate that 30% of youth first approached for participation ultimately agree to be assessed for eligibility. Third, our study relied on self-report, which, as outlined above, may have resulted in social desirability bias for questions probing sensitive details. Finally, for polysubstance-using youth in sample, we cannot rule out that the risk for HCV our models attributed to heroin may have been better attributed to risky behaviors associated with injection of other drugs. However, we sought to explore the independent effects of other drugs in our modeling by controlling for injection of the most common of other substances of abuse.

In summary, we found the risk for HCV acquisition among street youth in this setting was alarmingly high, and that intravenous drug injection remains a primary risk factor. Interestingly, although prescription opioid misuse is on the rise in North America, in our sample, risk of HCV acquisition from injection of prescription opioids did not exceed that of traditional street drugs, including heroin, cocaine and crystal methamphetamine. <u>Nonetheless, prescription opioid</u> injection should be the focus of further study to explore this emerging and poorly understood practice. Given the high prevalence and incidence of HCV seropositivity among street youth, there is an urgent need for evidence-based strategies, including educational programming, addiction treatment and harm reduction services, to prevent disease transmission <u>in this</u> vulnerable population.

Role of Funding Source

This work was supported by the US National Institutes of Health [grant number R01DA028532]; the Canadian Institutes of Health Research [grant number MOP–102742]; the Canada Research Chairs [Tier 1 Canada Research Chair in Inner City Medicine, E. W.]; Maternal Child Health Bureau of the Health Services Research Administration [Leadership Education in Adolescent Health, grant number T71 MC00009, S. E. H.]; and National Institute of Drug Abuse, United States National Institutes of Health [Avant-Garde award, grant number DP1DA026182, J. S. M.].

Conflict of Interest Statement

J. S. M. has received educational grants from, served as an ad hoc advisor to or spoken at various events sponsored by Abbott Laboratories, Agouron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc., Borean Pharma AS, Bristol–Myers Squibb, DuPont Pharma, Gilead Sciences, GlaxoSmithKline, Hoffmann–La Roche, Immune Response Corporation, Incyte, Janssen–Ortho Inc., Kucera Pharmaceutical Company, Merck Frosst Laboratories, Pfizer Canada Inc., Sanofi Pasteur, Shire Biochem Inc., Tibotec Pharmaceuticals Ltd. and Trimeris Inc.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the study participants for their contribution to the research, as well as current and past researchers and staff. We would specifically like to thank Deborah Graham, Peter Vann, Caitlin Johnston, Steve Kain, and Calvin Lai for their research and administrative assistance. We also appreciate support from Dr. Bob Vinci and the Boston Combined Residency Program, as well as Dr. Jean Emans and the Division of Adolescent / Young Adult Medicine at Boston Children's Hospital. The corresponding author affirms that all who contributed significantly to the work are acknowledged here. The corresponding author had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Contributors

Drs. Hadland, DeBeck, Kerr and Wood designed the study. Drs. Hadland, DeBeck and Wood wrote the protocol. Dr. Hadland conducted the literature review and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Dr. Feng undertook statistical analyses with additional input from Dr. Hadland. All authors contributed to and have approved the final manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Lavanchy D. The global burden of hepatitis C. *Liver Int.* Jan 2009;29 Suppl 1:74-81.

- Ly KN, Xing J, Klevens RM, Jiles RB, Ward JW, Holmberg SD. The increasing burden of mortality from viral hepatitis in the United States between 1999 and 2007. *Ann Intern Med.* Feb 21 2012;156(4):271-278.
- Miller CL, Spittal PM, Frankish JC, Li K, Schechter MT, Wood E. HIV and hepatitis C outbreaks among high-risk youth in Vancouver demands a public health response. *Can J Public Health*. Mar-Apr 2005;96(2):107-108.
- Hepatitis C virus infection among adolescents and young adults: Massachusetts, 2002-2009.
 MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. May 6 2011;60(17):537-541.
- The State of the World's Children 1997. 1997; <u>http://www.unicef.org/sowc97/download.htm</u>.
 Accessed 10 Jul, 2009.
- 6. Street youth in Canada: findings from the enhanced surveillance of Canadian street youth, 1999-2003. 2006; <u>http://www.phac-aspc.gc.ca/std-mts/reports_06/pdf/street_youth_e.pdf</u>.
 Accessed 16 Jun, 2009.
- Hadland SE, Werb D, Kerr T, et al. Childhood sexual abuse and risk for initiating injection drug use: A prospective cohort study. *Prev Med.* Nov 2012;55(5):500-504.
- 8. Roy E, Haley N, Leclerc P, Boivin JF, Cedras L, Vincelette J. Risk factors for hepatitis C virus infection among street youths. *CMAJ.* 2001;165(5):557-560.
- CDC. Vital signs: overdoses of prescription opioid pain relievers---United States, 1999--2008.
 MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2011;60:1487-1492.
- **10.** Des Jarlais DC, Friedman SR. HIV infection among intravenous drug users: epidemiology and risk reduction. *AIDS*. Jul 1987;1(2):67-76.
- **11.** Garfein RS, Vlahov D, Galai N, Doherty MC, Nelson KE. Viral infections in short-term injection drug users: the prevalence of the hepatitis C, hepatitis B, human immunodeficiency, and human T-lymphotropic viruses. *Am J Public Health.* 1996;86(5):655-661.

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

BMJ Open

12.	Degenhardt L, Hall W. Extent of illicit drug use and dependence, and their contribution to the global burden of disease. <i>Lancet.</i> 2012;379(9810):55-70.
13.	Highlights of the 2009 Drug Abuse Warning Network (DAWN) Findings on Drug-Related Emergency Department Visits. 2010; <u>http://www.samhsa.gov/data/2k10/dawnsr034edhighlights/edhighlights.htm</u> . Accessed 2012, 20 Feb.
14.	Miech R, Bohnert A, Heard K, Boardman J. Increasing Use of Nonmedical Analgesics Among Younger Cohorts in the United States: A Birth Cohort Effect. <i>J Adolesc Health.</i> 2013;52(1):35-41.
15.	Results from the 2012 National Survey on Drug Use and Health: Summary of National Findings. 2013; http://www.samhsa.gov/data/NSDUH/2012SummNatFindDetTables/NationalFindings/N SDUHresults2012.htm. Accessed 30 Dec, 2013.
16.	Lankenau SE, Teti M, Silva K, Bloom JJ, Harocopos A, Treese M. Initiation into prescription opioid misuse amongst young injection drug users. <i>Int J Drug Policy</i> . 2012;23(1):37-44. Epub 2011 Jun 2020.
17.	Roy E, Arruda N, Bourgois P. The growing popularity of prescription opioid injection in downtown Montreal: new challenges for harm reduction. <i>Subst Use Misuse.</i> 2011;46(9):1142-1150.
18.	Fischer B, Rehm J, Patra J, Cruz MF. Changes in illicit opioid use across Canada. <i>CMAJ</i> . Nov 21 2006;175(11):1385.
19.	Shaw SY, Shah L, Jolly AM, Wylie JL. Identifying heterogeneity among injection drug users: a cluster analysis approach. <i>Am J Public Health.</i> 2008;98(8):1430-1437. Epub 2008 Jun 1412.
20.	Bruneau J, Roy E, Arruda N, Zang G, Jutras-Aswad D. The rising prevalence of prescription opioid injection and its association with hepatitis C incidence among street-drug users. <i>Addiction.</i> Jul 2012;107(7):1318-1327.
21.	Daniels D, Grytdal S, Wasley A, Centers for Disease C, Prevention. Surveillance for acute viral hepatitis - United States, 2007. <i>MMWR Surveill Summ</i> . May 22 2009;58(3):1-27.
22.	Zou S, Tepper M, Giulivi A. Current status of hepatitis C in Canada. <i>Can J Public Health.</i> Jul-Aug 2000;91 Suppl 1:S10-15, S10-16.
	19
BMJ Open

1 2 3

4

5 6 7

8

9 10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27 28

29 30

31

32 33 34

35

36 37 38

39

40 41

42 43

44 45

46 47

48 49

50

51 52 53

54

- 23. Wood E, Montaner JS, Li K, et al. Burden of HIV infection among aboriginal injection drug users in Vancouver, British Columbia. Am J Public Health. Mar 2008;98(3):515-519. 24. Wood E, Stoltz JA, Montaner JSG, Kerr T. Evaluating methamphetamine use and risks of injection initiation among street youth: the ARYS study. Harm Reduct J. 2006;3:18. 25. Marshall BD, Kerr T, Shoveller JA, Patterson TL, Buxton JA, Wood E. Homelessness and unstable housing associated with an increased risk of HIV and STI transmission among streetinvolved youth. Health Place. Sep 2009;15(3):753-760. 26. Hadland SE, Kerr T, Marshall BD, et al. Non-injection drug use patterns and history of injection among street youth. Eur Addict Res. 2010;16(2):91-98. 27. Hagan H, Thiede H, Des Jarlais DC. Hepatitis C virus infection among injection drug users: survival analysis of time to seroconversion. *Epidemiology*. Sep 2004;15(5):543-549. 28. Hahn JA, Page-Shafer K, Lum PJ, et al. Hepatitis C virus seroconversion among young injection drug users: relationships and risks. J Infect Dis. Dec 1 2002;186(11):1558-1564. 29. Shannon K, Kerr T, Marshall B, et al. Survival sex work involvement as a primary risk factor for hepatitis C virus acquisition in drug-using youths in a canadian setting. Arch Pediatr Adolesc *Med.* Jan 2010;164(1):61-65. 30. Fast D, Small W, Wood E, Kerr T. Coming 'down here': Young people's reflections on becoming entrenched in a local drug scene. Soc Sci Med. Aug 21 2009;21:21. 31. Garfein RS, Doherty MC, Monterroso ER, Thomas DL, Nelson KE, Vlahov D. Prevalence and incidence of hepatitis C virus infection among young adult injection drug users. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol. 1998;18 Suppl 1:S11-19. 32. Miller CL, Johnston C, Spittal PM, et al. Opportunities for prevention: hepatitis C prevalence and incidence in a cohort of young injection drug users. *Hepatology*. 2002;36(3):737-742. 33. Miller CL, Kerr T, Fischer B, Zhang R, Wood E. Methamphetamine injection independently predicts hepatitis C infection among street-involved youth in a Canadian setting. J Adolesc Health. Mar 2009;44(3):302-304.
 - **34.** Hagan H, Pouget ER, Williams IT, et al. Attribution of hepatitis C virus seroconversion risk in young injection drug users in 5 US cities. *J Infect Dis.* Feb 1 2010;201(3):378-385.

35.

36.

37.

38.

39.

40.

41.

42.

43.

44.

45.

1 2 3

4

5 6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17 18

19

20 21 22

23

24 25 26

27

28 29

30 31

32 33

34 35

36 37

38 39

40 41

42

43 44 45

46

47 48

49 50

51 52

53

BMJ Open

21
Ochnio JJ, Patrick D, Ho M, Talling DN, Dobson SR. Past infection with hepatitis A virus among Vancouver street youth, injection drug users and men who have sex with men: implications for vaccination programs. <i>CMAJ.</i> Aug 7 2001;165(3):293-297.
Miller CL, Strathdee SA, Kerr T, Li K, Wood E. Factors associated with early adolescent initiation into injection drug use: implications for intervention programs. <i>J Adolesc Health</i> . Apr 2006;38(4):462-464.
Miller CL, Strathdee SA, Li K, Kerr T, Wood E. A longitudinal investigation into excess risk for blood-borne infection among young injection drug users (IUDs). <i>Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse.</i> 2007;33(4):527-536.
Hadland SE, Kerr T, Li K, Montaner JS, Wood E. Access to drug and alcohol treatment among a cohort of street-involved youth. <i>Drug Alcohol Depend.</i> Apr 1 2009;101(1-2):1-7.
Woody GE, Poole SA, Subramaniam G, et al. Extended vs short-term buprenorphine-naloxone for treatment of opioid-addicted youth: a randomized trial. <i>JAMA</i> . Nov 5 2008;300(17):2003-2011.
Kimber J, Copeland L, Hickman M, et al. Survival and cessation in injecting drug users: prospective observational study of outcomes and effect of opiate substitution treatment. <i>BMJ</i> . 2010;341:c3172.
Racz J, Gyarmathy VA, Neaigus A, Ujhelyi E. Injecting equipment sharing and perception of HIV and hepatitis risk among injecting drug users in Budapest. <i>AIDS Care.</i> Jan 2007;19(1):59-66.
Marshall BD, Kerr T, Qi J, Montaner JS, Wood E. Public injecting and HIV risk behaviour among street-involved youth. <i>Drug Alcohol Depend.</i> Aug 1 2010;110(3):254-258.
Koester S, Heimer R, Baron AE, Glanz J, Teng W. Re: "Risk of hepatitis C virus among young adult injection drug users who share injection equipment". <i>Am J Epidemiol.</i> Feb 15 2003;157(4):376; author reply 376-378.
Fennema JS, van Ameijden EJ, Coutinho RA, van den Hoek JA. Validity of self-reported sexually transmitted diseases in a cohort of drug-using prostitutes in Amsterdam: trends from 1986 to 1992. <i>Int J Epidemiol.</i> Oct 1995;24(5):1034-1041.
Thorpe LE, Ouellet LJ, Hershow R, et al. Risk of hepatitis C virus infection among young adult injection drug users who share injection equipment. <i>Am J Epidemiol.</i> Apr 1 2002;155(7):645-653.

		HCV-Seropositive			
	Total (%) (<i>n</i> = 940)	Yes (%) (<i>n</i> = 100)	No (%)	_	p Value
Characteristic			(<i>n</i> = 840)	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	
Sociodemographic factors					
Male gender	654 (69.6)	63 (63.0)	591 (70.4)	0.72 (0.47 – 1.11)	0.131
Mean age (SD) ^a	21.7 (2.7)	23.4 (2.5)	21.5 (2.7)	1.34 (1.23 – 1.47)	< 0.001
Aboriginal ancestry	224 (23.8)	30 (30.0)	194 (23.1)	1.43 (0.90 – 2.25)	0.126
High school education ^b	415 (64.8)	35 (35.0)	380 (45.2)	0.65 (0.42 – 1.00)	0.051
Gay/lesbian/bisexual	151 (16.1)	22 (22.0)	129 (15.4)	0.64 (0.39 – 1.07)	0.087
Recent homelessness ^c	348 (37.0)	54 (54.0)	294 (35.0)	2.18 (1.44 – 3.31)	< 0.001
Recent incarceration ^c	176 (18.7)	26 (26.0)	150 (17.9)	1.62 (1.00 – 2.61)	0.048
Substance use-related behaviors					
Mean years injecting (SD) ^d	4.3 (3.2)	4.0 (3.3)	4.6 (3.2)	0.94 (0.82 – 1.07)	0.350
Non-injection prescription opioid use ^{c}	90 (9.6)	12 (12.0)	78 (9.3)	1.33 (0.70 – 2.54)	0.383
Prescription opioid injection ^c	64 (6.8)	28 (28.0)	36 (4.3)	8.69 (5.01 – 15.1)	< 0.001
Heroin injection ^c	191 (20.3)	66 (66.0)	125 (14.9)	11.1 (7.04 – 17.5)	< 0.001
Cocaine injection ^c	93 (9.9)	31 (31.0)	62 (7.4)	5.54 (3.43 – 9.26)	< 0.001
Crystal methamphetamine injection ^c	154 (16.4)	50 (50.0)	104 (12.4)	7.08 (4.55 – 11.0)	< 0.001
Syringe sharing ^c	56 (6.0)	18 (18.0)	38 (4.5)	4.63 (2.53 – 8.48)	< 0.001
Sexual risk behaviors					
Inconsistent condom use ^c	433 (46.1)	40 (40.0)	393 (46.8)	0.76 (0.50 – 1.16)	0.198
Sex work ^c	65 (6.9)	14 (14.0)	51 (6.1)	2.52 (1.34 – 4.74)	0.003

----...... л. (110) (1 - 4 - 4 - - 4 - -.....

a. Odds ratio calculated per year older

b. Prior completion of or current enrollment in high school

c. During the six months preceding study enrollment

d. Includes only actively injecting youth

TABLE 2. Unadjusted and adjusted Cox proportional hazard analysis of time to hepatitis C infection among 512 drug-using youth: At-Risk Youth Study (ARYS), Vancouver, British Columbia, 2005-2011.

		Adjusted HR (95% CI)			
Characteristic	Unadjusted HR (95% CI)	Model 1 ^ª	Model 2 ^b	Model 3 ^c	
Male gender	0.48 (0.28 – 0.81)	0.50 (0.28 – 0.90)	0.42 (0.24 – 0.75)	0.50 (0.28 – 0.90)	
Age (per year older) 0.96 (0.87 – 1.06)		1.10 (0.91 – 1.10)	1.00 (0.91 – 1.11)	1.00 (0.91 – 1.10)	
Prescription opioid injection	3.48 (1.57 – 7.70)	_	2.02 (0.89 – 4.61)	0.94 (0.40 – 2.21)	
Heroin injection	9.89 (5.72 – 17.1)	4.49 (2.42 – 8.33)	_	4.56 (2.39 – 8.70)	
Cocaine injection	5.69 (3.18 – 10.2)	1.87 (1.00 – 3.47)	2.20 (1.14 – 4.23)	1.88 (1.00 – 3.54)	
Crystal methamphetamine injection	7.39 (4.36 – 12.5)	2.94 (1.62 – 5.34)	5.11 (2.79 – 9.34)	2.91 (1.57 – 5.38)	
Syringe sharing	7.69 (3.93 – 15.0)	2.47 (1.20 – 5.09)	2.57 (1.24 – 5.32)	2.47 (1.20 – 5.10)	

a. Model 1 includes all covariates listed except prescription opioid injection

b. Model 2 includes all covariates listed except heroin injection

c. Model 3 includes all covariates listed

FIGURE 1a. Cumulative incidence of hepatitis C infection among 512 drug-using youth, by injection heroin use: At-Risk Youth Study (ARYS), Vancouver, Canada, 2005-2010.

BMJ Open

FIGURE 1b. Cumulative incidence of hepatitis C infection among drug-injecting youth by heroin use: At-Risk Youth Study (ARYS), Vancouver, Canada, 2005-2010.

L

Protected by copyrights include the session of the session of the session of the second of the secon Enseignement Superieur (ABES)

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de I Enseignement Superieur (ABES)

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.



Figure 1a 90x90mm (300 x 300 DPI)



For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml



Figure 1b 90x90mm (300 x 300 DPI)



BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de I Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005419 on 21 July 2014. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 10, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de I Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

BMJ Open

STROBE Statement-checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

	Item No	Recommendation
Title and abstract	1 x	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done
		and what was found
Introduction		
Background/rationale	2 x	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported
Objectives	3 x	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses
Mathada		
Study design	4 v	Present key elements of study design early in the paper
Setting	4 X	Describe the setting locations and relevant dates including periods of recruitment
Setting	JX	exposure, follow-up, and data collection
Participants	6 x	(a) Cohort study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of
		selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up
		Case-control study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of
		case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases
		and controls
		Cross-sectional study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of
		selection of participants
		(b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of
		exposed and unexposed
		Case-control study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of
		controls per case
Variables	7 x	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect
		modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable
Data sources/	8* x	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of
measurement		assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there
		is more than one group
Bias	9 x	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias
Study size	10 x	Explain how the study size was arrived at
Quantitative variables	11 x	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable,
		describe which groupings were chosen and why
Statistical methods	12 x	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed
		(d) Cohort study-If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed
		Case-control study-If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was
		addressed
		Cross-sectional study-If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of
		sampling strategy
		(<u>e</u>) Describe any sensitivity analyses
Continued on next page		

BMJ Open

Results		
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible,
	х	examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and
		analysed
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram
Descriptive	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and
data x		information on exposures and potential confounders
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest
		(c) Cohort study—Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)
Outcome data	15*	Cohort study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time
	х	Case-control study-Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of
		exposure
		Cross-sectional study-Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures
Main results	16 x	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their
		precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and
		why they were included
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a
		meaningful time period
Other analyses	17 x	Report other analyses done-eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity
		analyses
Discussion		
Key results	18 x	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives
Limitations	19 x	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision.
		Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias
Interpretation	20 x	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations,
		multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence
Generalisability	21 x	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results
Other informati	on	
Funding	22 x	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable,
-		for the original study on which the present article is based

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.