

The frequency of EGFR and KRAS mutations in non-smallcell-lung cancer (NSCLC) – Routine screening data for Central Europe

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2013-002560
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	10-Jan-2013
Complete List of Authors:	Boch, Christian; Lungenklinik Heckeshorn, Klinik für Pneumologie Kollmeier, Jens; Lungenklinik Heckeshorn, Klinik für Pneumologie Roth, Andreas; Institut für Gewebediagnostik, HELIOS Klinikum Emil von Behring Stephan-Falkenau, Susann; Institut für Gewebediagnostik, HELIOS Klinikum Emil von Behring Misch, Daniel; Lungenklinik Heckeshorn, Klinik für Pneumologie Grüning, Wolfram; HELIOS Klinikum Schwerin, Klinik für Pneumologie Bauer, Torsten; Lungenklinik Heckeshorn, Klinik für Pneumologie Mairinger, Thomas; Institut für Gewebediagnostik, HELIOS Klinikum Emil von Behring
Primary Subject Heading :	Oncology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Respiratory medicine, Oncology
Keywords:	lung cancer, Histology < BASIC SCIENCES, non smal cell lung cancer, EGFR

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

The frequency of EGFR and KRAS mutations in non-small-cell-lung cancer (NSCLC) – Routine screening data for Central Europe

Authors:

C Boch*, J Kollmeier*, A Roth**, S Stephan-Falkenau**, D Misch*, W Grüning ***, TT

Bauer*, T Mairinger**

Instituts:

*Klinik für Pneumologie, Lungenklinik Heckeshorn, HELIOS Klinikum Emil von Behring, Berlin,

Germany

**Institut für Gewebediagnostik, HELIOS Klinikum Emil von Behring, Berlin, Germany

*** Klinik für Pneumologie, HELIOS Klinikum Schwerin, Germany

Word count: 2289

Running title: EGFR and KRAS in NSCLC

Keywords: non small cell lung cancer, mutation, EGFR, KRAS, histology

Address:

Prof. Torsten Bauer Lungenklinik Heckeshorn Klinik für Pneumologie HELIOS Klinikum Emil von Behring Walterhoeferstrasse 11 14165 Berlin Germany Telefon: +49-30-8102-2776 FAX: +49-30-8102-82778 E-Mail: torsten.bauer@helios-kliniken.de

Article focus

- Frequency of clinically important mutations in non-small-cell lung carcinoma
- epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and KRAS
- personalised medicine

Key messages

• The frequency of an activating EGFR mutation can not be expected in more than

4.9% of the "allcomers" with the diagnosis of NSCLC.

Strengths and limitations of this study' section

- Unselected and large cohort of lung cancer centre
- Analyses of three codons for EGFR
- Possible limitation: Single centre study

Abstract:

Objectives: Due to novel therapy strategies in EGFR mutated patients, molecular analysis of the EGFR and KRAS genome has become crucial for routine diagnostics. Up to date these data derive mostly from clinical trials, and thus collected in pre-selected populations. We therefore screened "allcomers" with a newly diagnosed non-small-cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) for the frequencies of these mutations.

Design: Cohort study

Setting: Lung cancer centre in a tertiary care hospital

Participants: Within 15 months a total of 552 cases with NSCLC were eligible for analysis. Primary and secondary outcome measures: Frequency of scrutinizing exons 18, 19 and 21 for the presence of activating EGFR mutation and secondary codon 12 and 13 for activating KRAS mutations.

Results: Of the 552 patients, 27 (4.9%) showed a mutation of EGFR. 19 of these patients (70%) had deletion E746-A750 in codon 19 or deletion L858R in codon 21. Adenocarcinoma (ACA) was the most frequent histology among patients with EGFR mutations (ACA, 22/254 [8.7%] vs. non-ACA, 5/298 [1.7%]; p<0.001). Regarding only ACA, the percentage of EGFR mutations was higher in women (16/116 [14%] women vs. 6/138 [4.3%] men; p=0.008). Tumours with an activating EGFR mutation were more likely to be from non-smokers (18/27;

67%) rather than smoker (9/27; 33%).

KRAS mutation was present in 85 (15 %) of all cases. In 73 patients (86%), the mutation was found in exon 12 and in 12 cases (14%) in exon 13. Similarly, ACA had a higher frequency of KRAS mutations than non-ACA (67/254 [26%] vs. 18/298 [6.0%]; p<0.001).

Conclusions: We found a lower frequency for EGFR and KRAS mutations in an unselected Caucasian patient cohort as previously published. Taking our results into account, clinical

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-002560 on 3 April 2013. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 14, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

trials may overestimate the mutation frequency for EGFR and KRAS in NSCLC due to important selection biases.

Introduction

Lung cancer is still one of the most common cancers in Germany and worldwide, and the most common cause of death due to malignant tumours (1). Despite intensive research the prognosis of patients with non-small-cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) continues to be restricted, which applies especially to patients with distant metastases. But in patients with NSCLC and an activating mutation of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), therapy has undergone a significant change. The application of first generation tyrosine-kinase inhibitors (TKI) Gefitinib an Erlotinib was able to achieve not only a better tolerability of the therapy, but also a significant improvement in progression-free survival (PFS) compared to platinum-based chemotherapy (2-6). Moreover, one study shows a significant increase in overall survival (OS) (7).

In the last years intensive research has been conducted to analyse the effect of TKIs in respect of the complex relationship of biomarkes which are associated with the EGFR pathway like v-Ki-ras2 Kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene homolog (KRAS). In addition to the superiority in EGFR mutated patients, TKIs seem to have an effect on the wild type NSCLC as well, while some studies have disputed this for KRAS mutated patients (5;6). However the significance of KRAS mutations for the diagnosis or treatment of NSCLC remains under debate. Since double mutations (EGFR and KRAS) have been described in only very few cases, KRAS may help to exclude EGFR mutation (8;9).

Therefore the question arises how many patients with NSCLC can benefit from the new treatment option and whether screening should be implemented in the routine diagnostics. In addition, numerous studies came from Southeast Asia, where patients with NSCLC have

BMJ Open

<text> higher EGFR mutation rates (10;11). Since the data available today are hampered either by

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-002560 on 3 April 2013. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 14, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES).

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

Patients and methods

Study population

From October 2009 until December 2010 we have screened prospectively all newly diagnosed patients with NSCLC (n = 753) for the ability to be analysed for EGFR and KRAS mutations. All subsequent biopsies have been obtained by surgery, routine bronchoscopy or CT guided biopsy. The histological diagnosis was performed according to WHO criteria (12). A total of 552/753 (75%) cases with NSCLC were eligible for analysis. In 183 patients (25%) none of the two analyses could be performed. In the majority of cases, this was explained by an insufficient amount of tissue sample. In addition, some patients refused their consent to carry out the mutation analysis. Informed consent was obtained from all patients prior to biopsy.

DNA extraction

After preparation of a 20 μ m thick section from the formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded tissue sample, the area of tumour cells was marked by comparison with the corresponding HE stained slide. This area with a size of 2 to 10 mm² was scraped with a pipette tip and transferred into 180 μ l AL-buffer. The AL-buffer is included in the QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Afterwards the DNA was extracted and dissolved in 150 μ l AE-buffer according to the manufacturer's instructions using the QIAamp DNA Mini Kit.

Determination of EGFR mutations

For analysing the EGFR mutation status, the extracted DNA was subjected to conventional PCR for amplification of relevant portions of exons 18, 19 and 21 of the EGFR gene. Further, the PCR products were analyzed for the presence of a mutation by using the Pyrosequencing

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-002560 on 3 April 2013. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 14, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

BMJ Open

PyroMark Instument (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The primers used for the PCR are listed in Table 1. The method of pyrosequencing has been performed according to the reagent manufacturer (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and the following primer names and sequences (3'-5') have been used for exons 18, 19 and 21:

- 18 F (forward) CAGCATGGTGAGGGCTGAG
- 18_R (reverse) GCCTGTGCCAGGGACCTTAC
- 19_F (forward) GTGGCACCATCTCACAATTGC
- 19_R (reverse) CACACAGCAAAGCAGAAACTCAC
 - 21_F (forward) CCTCACAGCAGGGTCTTCTCTG
- 21_R (reverse) CTGGCTGACCTAAAGCCACC

Samples with a mutation in the pyrogram were additionally examined by dideoxy sequencing according to Sanger et al. for confirmation (Figure 1) (13).

Determination of KRAS mutations

The DNA was analyzed according to manufacturer's instructions using the K-RAS codon 12/13 kit from Molbiol TIB (Berlin, Germany) and the LightCycler 2.0 instrument (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany).

This is a real-time PCR with FRET probes, which facilitate the differentiation of mutant and wild-type DNA in codon 12 and 13 on exon 1 of KRAS gene after successful amplification and analyses of the melting curve of the PCR products.

Statistical Analyses

Quantitative variables were summarized as means ± standard deviations (SD). For comparisons Student's t-test was used and in cases with more than two groups, a oneway ANOVA with Bonferroni correction was calculated. Categorical variables are reported as

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-002560 on 3 April 2013. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 14, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES).

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

<text><text><text> frequencies and for comparisons of categorical variables between groups chi-square tests were used. The level of significance for all tests was set to 5 percent and all tests were twosided. Analyses were carried out with the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS, 13.0) on a Windows operating system.

Patients

The mean age of patients with wild type EGFR (n = 440; 66.7 \pm 9.5 years) was comparable to the mean age of patients with EGFR mutation (n = 27; 70.3 \pm 11.4 years) and that of patients with a KRAS mutation in the overall comparison (n = 85; 65.3 \pm 9.8 years; p = 0.059). Table 1 summarises frequencies according to mutation, gender and histology.

A breakdown of patients according to the genealogy was not performed because all patients with a migration background except two came from other European countries. Patients from Asia were not diagnosed or treated in our clinic during the corresponding period.

EGFR mutation

Overall 27 (4.9%) of all 552 patients showed an activating EGFR mutation (3/27 mutations in exon 18 (11%), 15/27 mutations in exon19 (56%) and 8/27 mutations (30%) in exon 21; 1/27 tumour (3%) has shown a double mutation (exon 19 and 21)). The most common mutation in exon 19 was delE746-A750 with 10/15 (67%) cases. In exon 21 all detected 8 mutations were located on L848R (100%).

Considering the frequency of mutations according to histology, adenocarcinomas show mutations more often than any other histology (22/254 [8.7%] vs. 5/298 [1.7%]; p < 0.001). When all histologies were analysed together, women also showed a significantly higher frequency of EGFR mutation compared to men (17/200 [8.5%] vs. 10/352 [2.8%]; p=0.003) (Table 2 upper and lower panel).

However, when the subgroup of adenocarcinomas was analyses among women the higher mutation rate compared with histologies remained (16/116 [14%] vs. 1/84 [1.2%], p = 0.002) (Table 2 upper panel). In contrast, among men with NSCLC no significant difference in the

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-002560 on 3 April 2013. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 14, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES).

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

 rate of mutation could be detected with regard to histology (adenocarcinoma, 6/138 [4.3%] vs. Non- adenocarcinoma, 4/214 [1.9%]; p=0.172) (Table 2 lower panel).

Smoking status was closely associated with EGFR mutation rate. Among patients with EGFR mutations (n = 27) the rate of never-smokers was twice as high compared to smokers (18/27 [67%] vs. 9/27 [33%]) (Table 3).

KRAS mutation

A mutation in the KRAS gene was seen in a total of 85/552 (15%) of all analyzed tumours. While 73/85 (86%) of these mutations were found in exon 12, in exon 13 only 12/85 (14%) mutations were localized. With 29/85 (34%) cases the 12 Cys mutation was the most common. Similar to the EGFR the subgroups of adenocarcinomas had significantly (p <0.001) higher rate of KRAS mutations compared with other histologies (67/254 [26%] vs. 18/298 [6%]; Table 1). Within the subgroup of adenocarcinomas there was an even gender distribution regarding the frequency of KRAS mutations (women, 31/116 [27%] vs. men, 36/138 [26%]; p=0.909) (Table 2 upper and lower panel).

However, regardless of histology the rate of KRAS mutations was significantly (p = 0.007) more common in women than in men (42/200 [21%] vs. 43/352 [12%]). Besides that, the KRAS mutation in large cell carcinomas was significantly (p < 0.001) more frequent compared to squamous cell carcinomas (11/80 [14%] vs. 5/186 [2.7 %]) (Table 1). No patient had a double mutation (KRAS and EGFR).

Unlike in patients with an activating EGFR mutation the proportion of smokers is higher in patients with a KRAS mutation (75/85 [88%] vs. 10/85 [22%]) (Table 3).

BMJ Open

Intention-to-treat analyses

Table 4 concludes the distribution according to histology and gender for all patients not included in this analysis (n = 180). The percentage of tumours that had to be left unclassified (NOS; non other specified) was substantially higher (38/180, 21%) compared to the patient group included (21/552; 3.8%; p < 0.001). This was most likely due to an insufficient probe size, which hampered both plain histology and mutation analysis. The percentage of patients with adencarcinomas excluded was slightly lower (39% vs. 46%), however, this difference ,nificant (p = 、 was not statistically significant (p = 0.112) (Table 1 and 4).

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-002560 on 3 April 2013. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 14, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES)

data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-002560 on 3 April 2013. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 14, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Discussion

The present study delivers sound data on the frequency of EGFR and KRAS mutations in a large and unselected cohort of patients with newly diagnosed non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) over a well defined study period. The EGFR mutation rate reported here (4.9%) differs largely from frequencies reported in previous studies (8% -60%) (2;5;14). This suggests that previous study designs had import selection biases concerning this mutation analyses. In the most extensive study on the prevalence of activating EGFR mutations in NSCLC at 126 Spanish hospitals in the years 2005-2008 a total of 2105 patients were analyzed and a mutation rate of 16.6% was reported (15). One possible explanation for the higher mutation rate in this study lies in the unrepresentative distribution of the histological subgroups. While the expected proportion of adenocarcinomas in routine studies is between 32 to 54% depending on the literature, this study reported up to 78% adenocarcinomas. Since adenocarcinomas were also in our study more likely to exhibit an activating EGFR mutation, the frequency was overestimated in the Spanish study (15). The disproportion of adenocarcinomas is also found in other studies reporting EGFR mutation frequencies between 9% and 31% (5;16;17). In our analysis, however, the proportion of adenocarcinomas was well within the expected range (46%) with no selection bias induced by patient selection.

Another factor with great influence on the EGFR mutation rate is the geographical origin of the analysed patients. For quite some years it has been known that East Asian patients with NSCLC have a higher incidence of EGFR mutations while they show lower incidence of KRAS mutations compared to other ethnic groups at the same time (11;18;19). Studies with a high proportion of patients from Far Eastern countries or studies which have recruited their patients entirely in the East Asian region have consequently shown a corresponding effect

BMJ Open

on the frequency of detected EGFR and KRAS mutations (3;20;21). None of our patients with a migration background came from an East Asian country and thus our figures are not prone to this bias.

A study from Basel seems to be the most comparable in terms of patient's cohort. Pathologists examined 307 NSCLC during a 4.5 year period for EGFR mutation and showed a mutation rate of 8.1%. However it remains unclear in this study, which preliminary selection bias may have been introduced by the clinicians, because mutation analyses were not requested for all samples. Moreover, it has not been reported how many patients with NSCLC were newly diagnosed in the participating hospitals (14).

As demonstrated in our study, patients with NSCLC and EGFR mutation are non-smokers in the majority of cases, which has been confirmed by numerous studies (2;22;23). In Europe and in Germany as well it is estimated that 85% of lung cancers related deaths are associated with cigarette smoking (24). Such a high proportion of smokers among patients with lung cancer make a high EGFR mutation rate questionable. On the other hand the question arises why this mutation occurs much more frequently in the Asian populations.

Independent of the rate of mutation in total, the frequency of EGFR mutations in exons 19 and 21 of our samples is comparable with those of numerous studies (20;25-27). In addition, the occurrence of the most common mutation in our evaluation delE746-A750 in exon 19 (10/27 [37%]) corresponds to the literature (28). Another interesting aspect is that the restriction on the search for the two most common mutations in exon 19 (delE746-A750) and exon 21 (L858R), as discussed in the literature, would have detected only 18/27 (69.2 %) of all EGFR mutations in our study.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-002560 on 3 April 2013. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 14, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES) .

data mining, AI training, and similar technologies

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and

Regarding the KRAS mutations our analysis confirms the known fact that KRAS mutations occur in smokers with NSCLC significantly more often than in non-smokers (29;30). This is similar to the distribution of mutations of KRAS at codon 12 (72/85 [85%]) and 13 (13/85 [15.3%]), which appears to be comparable with the frequency of the other studies (2;31). Therefore our results regarding the frequency of KRAS mutation are in keeping with the published reports. The missing gender bias and the higher frequency among smokers repeated in our sample support the validity of our data. Up to date, the clinical applicability of the KRAS mutation is limited, because there are no clinical associations strong enough for inclusion in clinical or therapeutic algorithms. However, KRAS mutation exclude or are only very rarely associated with other mutations (e.g. EGFR). KRAS may therefore help to build up a testing algorithm in order to get a reasonable genetic array for this type of cancer.

In summary we offer for the first time unbiased mutation rates for EGFR and KRAS in an unselected cohort of Caucasian patients. Previously described mutation rates for EGFR need to be corrected to approximately 5%, thus lowering the proportion of patients with NSCLC entitled to personalised medicine in Central Europe. However, in keeping with the literature non-smoking women with adenocarcinoma do have the highest frequency of tumours with an activating EGFR mutation.

Competing Interests

None

Contributorship

All authors contributed equally to the study and helped writing the manuscript.

C Boch wrote the manuscript and recruited patients

J Kollmeier wrote the manuscript and helped with the data analyses

A Roth wrote the manuscript and did the pathologic analyses

- S Stephan-Falkenau wrote the manuscript and did the pathologic analyses
- D Misch wrote the manuscript and analysed the data
- W Grüning wrote the manuscript and did the sampling
- TT Bauer wrote the manuscript, was involved in the study design and analysed the data

T Mairinger wrote the manuscript and did the pathologic analyses

Data sharing

No unpublished data exists. All data have been included in the intention to treat analyses.

Funding

None

References

1 2 3

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27 28

29

30

31 32

33

34 35

36

37 38

39

40

41

42 43

44 45

46

47 48

49

50

51 52

53

54 55

56

- (1) Jemal A, Siegel R, Xu J, Ward E. Cancer statistics, 2010. CA Cancer J Clin 2010 Sep;60(5):277-300.
- (2) Mok TS, Wu YL, Thongprasert S, Yang CH, Chu DT, Saijo N, et al. Gefitinib or carboplatin-paclitaxel in pulmonary adenocarcinoma. N Engl J Med 2009 Sep 3;361(10):947-57.
- (3) Douillard JY, Shepherd FA, Hirsh V, Mok T, Socinski MA, Gervais R, et al. Molecular predictors of outcome with gefitinib and docetaxel in previously treated non-smallcell lung cancer: data from the randomized phase III INTEREST trial. J Clin Oncol 2010 Feb 10;28(5):744-52.
- (4) Schneider CP, Heigener D, Schott-von-Romer K, Gutz S, Laack E, Digel W, et al. Epidermal growth factor receptor-related tumor markers and clinical outcomes with erlotinib in non-small cell lung cancer: an analysis of patients from german centers in the TRUST study. J Thorac Oncol 2008 Dec;3(12):1446-53.
- (5) van ZN, Mathy A, Boerrigter L, Ruijter H, Tielen I, de JD, et al. EGFR and KRAS mutations as criteria for treatment with tyrosine kinase inhibitors: retro- and prospective observations in non-small-cell lung cancer. Ann Oncol 2007 Jan;18(1):99-103.
- (6) Massarelli E, Varella-Garcia M, Tang X, Xavier AC, Ozburn NC, Liu DD, et al. KRAS mutation is an important predictor of resistance to therapy with epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors in non-small-cell lung cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2007 May 15;13(10):2890-6.
- (7) Zhou C, Wu YL, Chen G, Feng J, Liu XQ, Wang C, et al. Erlotinib versus chemotherapy as first-line treatment for patients with advanced EGFR mutation-positive non-smallcell lung cancer (OPTIMAL, CTONG-0802): a multicentre, open-label, randomised, phase 3 study. Lancet Oncol 2011 Aug;12(8):735-42.
- (8) Boldrini L, Ali G, Gisfredi S, Ursino S, Baldini E, Melfi F, et al. Epidermal growth factor receptor and K-RAS mutations in 411 lung adenocarcinoma: a population-based prospective study. Oncol Rep 2009 Oct;22(4):683-91.
- (9) Eberhard DA, Johnson BE, Amler LC, Goddard AD, Heldens SL, Herbst RS, et al. Mutations in the epidermal growth factor receptor and in KRAS are predictive and prognostic indicators in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer treated with chemotherapy alone and in combination with erlotinib. J Clin Oncol 2005 Sep 1;23(25):5900-9.
- (10) Wu YL, Zhong WZ, Li LY, Zhang XT, Zhang L, Zhou CC, et al. Epidermal growth factor receptor mutations and their correlation with gefitinib therapy in patients with non-

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37 38

39 40

41

42

43 44

45

46 47

48

49

50 51

52

53

54 55

BMJ Open

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.	Enseignement Superieur (ABES) .	J Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-002560 on 3 April 2013. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 14, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique
--	---------------------------------	--

de

ω

small cell lung cancer: a meta-analysis based on updated individual patient data from six medical centers in mainland China. J Thorac Oncol 2007 May;2(5):430-9.

- (11) Sriuranpong V, Chantranuwat C, Huapai N, Chalermchai T, Leungtaweeboon K, Lertsanguansinchai P, et al. High frequency of mutation of epidermal growth factor receptor in lung adenocarcinoma in Thailand. Cancer Lett 2006 Aug 8;239(2):292-7.
- (12) Travis WD, Garg K, Franklin WA, Wistuba II, Sabloff B, Noguchi M, et al. Bronchioloalveolar carcinoma and lung adenocarcinoma: the clinical importance and research relevance of the 2004 World Health Organization pathologic criteria. J Thorac Oncol 2006 Nov;1(9 Suppl):S13-S19.
- (13) Sanger F, Nicklen S, Coulson AR. DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1977 Dec;74(12):5463-7.
- (14) Tapia C, Savic S, Bihl M, Rufle A, Zlobec I, Terracciano L, et al. [EGFR mutation analysis in non-small-cell lung cancer : Experience from routine diagnostics]. Pathologe 2009 Sep;30(5):384-92.
- (15) Rosell R, Moran T, Queralt C, Porta R, Cardenal F, Camps C, et al. Screening for epidermal growth factor receptor mutations in lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2009 Sep 3;361(10):958-67.
- (16) Hirsch FR, Varella-Garcia M, Cappuzzo F, McCoy J, Bemis L, Xavier AC, et al. Combination of EGFR gene copy number and protein expression predicts outcome for advanced non-small-cell lung cancer patients treated with gefitinib. Ann Oncol 2007 Apr;18(4):752-60.
- (17) Khambata-Ford S, Harbison CT, Hart LL, Awad M, Xu LA, Horak CE, et al. Analysis of potential predictive markers of cetuximab benefit in BMS099, a phase III study of cetuximab and first-line taxane/carboplatin in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol 2010 Feb 20;28(6):918-27.
- (18) Pirker R, Pereira JR, Szczesna A, von PJ, Krzakowski M, Ramlau R, et al. Cetuximab plus chemotherapy in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (FLEX): an open-label randomised phase III trial. Lancet 2009 May 2;373(9674):1525-31.
- (19) Sakuma Y, Matsukuma S, Yoshihara M, Nakamura Y, Noda K, Nakayama H, et al. Distinctive evaluation of nonmucinous and mucinous subtypes of bronchioloalveolar carcinomas in EGFR and K-ras gene-mutation analyses for Japanese lung adenocarcinomas: confirmation of the correlations with histologic subtypes and gene mutations. Am J Clin Pathol 2007 Jul;128(1):100-8.
- (20) Brugger W, Triller N, Blasinska-Morawiec M, Curescu S, Sakalauskas R, Manikhas GM, et al. Prospective molecular marker analyses of EGFR and KRAS from a randomized, placebo-controlled study of erlotinib maintenance therapy in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol 2011 Nov 1;29(31):4113-20.

(21) Li M, Liu L, Liu Z, Yue S, Zhou L, Zhang Q, et al. The status of KRAS mutations in patients with non-small cell lung cancers from mainland China. Oncol Rep 2009 Nov;22(5):1013-20.

- (22) Scoccianti C, Vesin A, Martel G, Olivier M, Brambilla E, Timsit JF, et al. Prognostic value of TP53, KRAS and EGFR mutations in nonsmall cell lung cancer: the EUELC cohort. Eur Respir J 2012 Jul;40(1):177-84.
- (23) Ren JH, He WS, Yan GL, Jin M, Yang KY, Wu G. EGFR mutations in non-small-cell lung cancer among smokers and non-smokers: a meta-analysis. Environ Mol Mutagen 2012 Jan;53(1):78-82.
- (24) Bogdanovica I, Godfrey F, McNeill A, Britton J. Smoking prevalence in the European Union: a comparison of national and transnational prevalence survey methods and results. Tob Control 2011 Jan;20(1):e4.
- (25) Sharma SV, Bell DW, Settleman J, Haber DA. Epidermal growth factor receptor mutations in lung cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 2007 Mar;7(3):169-81.
- (26) Bonanno L, Schiavon M, Nardo G, Bertorelle R, Bonaldi L, Galligioni A, et al. Prognostic and predictive implications of EGFR mutations, EGFR copy number and KRAS mutations in advanced stage lung adenocarcinoma. Anticancer Res 2010 Dec;30(12):5121-8.
- (27) Reinersman JM, Johnson ML, Riely GJ, Chitale DA, Nicastri AD, Soff GA, et al. Frequency of EGFR and KRAS mutations in lung adenocarcinomas in African Americans. J Thorac Oncol 2011 Jan;6(1):28-31.
- (28) Lynch TJ, Bell DW, Sordella R, Gurubhagavatula S, Okimoto RA, Brannigan BW, et al. Activating mutations in the epidermal growth factor receptor underlying responsiveness of non-small-cell lung cancer to gefitinib. N Engl J Med 2004 May 20;350(21):2129-39.
- (29) Nelson HH, Christiani DC, Mark EJ, Wiencke JK, Wain JC, Kelsey KT. Implications and prognostic value of K-ras mutation for early-stage lung cancer in women. J Natl Cancer Inst 1999 Dec 1;91(23):2032-8.
- (30) Ahrendt SA, Decker PA, Alawi EA, Zhu Yr YR, Sanchez-Cespedes M, Yang SC, et al. Cigarette smoking is strongly associated with mutation of the K-ras gene in patients with primary adenocarcinoma of the lung. Cancer 2001 Sep 15;92(6):1525-30.
- (31) Siegfried JM, Gillespie AT, Mera R, Casey TJ, Keohavong P, Testa JR, et al. Prognostic value of specific KRAS mutations in lung adenocarcinomas. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 1997 Oct;6(10):841-7.

1	
2	F '
3 4	Figures
5	Figure 1. Depresentation of a mutation in even 10 (del E746, A7E0) by using Conger dideous
6	Figure 1: Representation of a mutation in exon 19 (der E746-A750) by using Sanger dideoxy
7	(13).
8	
9	
10	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
30 39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44 45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51 52	
52 53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
50 50	
60	
	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-002560 on 3 April 2013. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 14, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES)

Enseignement Superieur (ABES). Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

Tables:

Table 1: Proportion of mutations found according to gender and histological type of non

small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC). No double mutations were found in this cohort.

		Gend	ler			Histo	logy		
	Total	Female	Male	Adeno	Squamos	Large cell	Sarcomat.	Adenosqua.	NOS
Total	552	200 (36.2%)	352 (63.8%)	254 (46.0%)	186 (33.7%)	79 (14.3%)	7 (1.3%)	5 (0.9%)	21 (3.8%)
EGFR pos.	27 (4.9%)	17 (8.5%)	10 (2.8%)	22 (8.7%)	2 (1.1%)	2 (2.5%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)
EGFR neg.	525	183 (91.5%)	342 (97.2%)	232 (91.3%)	184 (98.9%)	77 (97.5%)	7 (100%)	4 (80%)	21 (100%)
KRAS pos.	85 (15.4%)	42 (21.0%)	43 (12.2%)	67 (26.4%)	5 (2.7%)	11 (13.9%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (9.5%)
KRAS neg.	467	158 (79.0%)	309 (87.8%)	187 (73.6%)	181 (97.3%)	68 (86.1%)	7 (100%)	5 (100%)	19 (90.5%)
NOS	Non oth	ner specified							

BMJ Open

and men (lower table)

	Total	EGFR pos.		KRA	S pos.
Total number women	200	17	8.5%	42	21.0%
Adenocarcinoma	116	16	13.8%	31	26.7%
Squamous cell carzinoma	47	0	0.0%	2	4.3%
Large cell carcinoma	28	1	3.6%	7	25.0%
Sarcomatoid carcinoma	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Adenosquamous carcinoma	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
NOS (non other specified)	9	0	0.0%	2	22.2%

	Total	EGFR pos.		KRA	S pos.
Total number of men	352	10	2.8%	43	12.2%
Adenocarcinoma	138	6	4.3%	36	26.1%
Squamous cell carzinoma	139	2	1.4%	3	2.2%
Large cell carcinoma	51	1	2.0%	4	7.8%
Sarcomatoides Karzinom	7	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Adenosquamous carcinoma	5	1	20.0%	0	0.0%
NOS (non other specified)	12	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Table 3: Smoker status and gender distribution of all 112 patients with either EGFR or KRAS

mutation.

	Smokers		Nev	er-smokers
Total number of mutations	84	75.0%	28	25.0%
EGFR pos.	9	33.3%	18	66.7%
EGFR pos. Female	5	29.4%	12	70.6%
EGFR pos. Male	4	40.0%	6	60.0%
KRAS pos.	75	88.2%	10	11.8%
KRAS pos. Female	38	90.5%	4	9.5%
KRAS pos. Male	37	86.0%	6	14.0%

 Table 4: Histology of the patients not included in the study, because of insufficient probe

size or denial of informed consent.

	Total	%	Men	Women
Total	180		111	69
Adenocarcinoma	70	38.9%	38	32
Squamous cell carzinoma	47	26.1%	34	13
Large cell carcinoma	17	9.4%	10	7
Sarcomatoides Karzinom	8	4.4%	7	1
Adenosquamous carcinoma	0	0.0%	0	0
NOS (non other specified)	38	21.1%	22	16



The frequency of EGFR and KRAS mutations in non-smallcell-lung cancer (NSCLC) – Routine screening data for Central Europe

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2013-002560.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	17-Feb-2013
Complete List of Authors:	Boch, Christian; Lungenklinik Heckeshorn, Klinik für Pneumologie Kollmeier, Jens; Lungenklinik Heckeshorn, Klinik für Pneumologie Roth, Andreas; Institut für Gewebediagnostik, HELIOS Klinikum Emil von Behring Stephan-Falkenau, Susann; Institut für Gewebediagnostik, HELIOS Klinikum Emil von Behring Misch, Daniel; Lungenklinik Heckeshorn, Klinik für Pneumologie Grüning, Wolfram; HELIOS Klinikum Schwerin, Klinik für Pneumologie Bauer, Torsten; Lungenklinik Heckeshorn, Klinik für Pneumologie Mairinger, Thomas; Institut für Gewebediagnostik, HELIOS Klinikum Emil von Behring
Primary Subject Heading :	Oncology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Respiratory medicine, Genetics and genomics
Keywords:	lung cancer, Histology < BASIC SCIENCES, non smal cell lung cancer, EGFR

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

The frequency of EGFR and KRAS mutations in non-small-cell-lung cancer (NSCLC) – Routine screening data for Central Europe

Authors:

C Boch*, J Kollmeier*, A Roth**, S Stephan-Falkenau**, D Misch*, W Grüning ***, TT

Bauer*, T Mairinger**

Instituts:

*Klinik für Pneumologie, Lungenklinik Heckeshorn, HELIOS Klinikum Emil von Behring, Berlin,

Germany

**Institut für Gewebediagnostik, HELIOS Klinikum Emil von Behring, Berlin, Germany

*** Klinik für Pneumologie, HELIOS Klinikum Schwerin, Germany

Word count: 2397

Running title: EGFR and KRAS in NSCLC

Acknowledgement: This study was supported by the Oskar-Helene-Heim Foundation

Keywords: non small cell lung cancer, mutation, EGFR, KRAS, histology

Address:

Prof. Torsten Bauer Lungenklinik Heckeshorn Klinik für Pneumologie HELIOS Klinikum Emil von Behring Walterhoeferstrasse 11 14165 Berlin Germany Telefon: +49-30-8102-2776 FAX: +49-30-8102-82778 E-Mail: torsten.bauer@helios-kliniken.de

Article focus

- Frequency of clinically important mutations in non-small-cell lung carcinoma
- epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and KRAS
- personalised medicine

Key messages

• The frequency of an activating EGFR mutation can not be expected in more than

4.9% of the "allcomers" with the diagnosis of NSCLC.

Strengths and limitations of this study' section

- Unselected and large cohort of lung cancer centre
- Analyses of three codons for EGFR
- Possible limitation: German Single centre study



Introduction

Lung cancer is still one of the most common cancers in Germany and worldwide, and the most common cause of death due to malignant tumours (1). Despite intensive research the prognosis of patients with non-small-cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) continues to be restricted, which applies especially to patients with distant metastases. But in patients with NSCLC and an activating mutation of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), therapy has undergone a significant change. The application of first generation tyrosine-kinase inhibitors (TKI) Gefitinib an Erlotinib was able to achieve not only a better tolerability of the therapy and an improvement in progression-free survival (PFS) in observational studies (2-4), but also compared to platinum-based chemotherapy (5). However, the effect on overall survival (OS) is still controversial; one study could not detect a benefit (6), whereas Zhou and coworkers reported a significant increase in OS (7).

In the last years intensive research has been conducted to analyse the effect of TKIs in respect of the complex relationship of biomarkes which are associated with the EGFR pathway like v-Ki-ras2 Kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene homolog (KRAS). In addition to the superiority in EGFR mutated patients, TKIs seem to have an effect on the wild type NSCLC as well, while some studies have disputed this for KRAS mutated patients (2;8). However the significance of KRAS mutations for the diagnosis or treatment of NSCLC remains under debate. Since double mutations (EGFR and KRAS) have been described in only very few cases, KRAS may help to exclude EGFR mutation (9;10).

Therefore the question arises how many patients with NSCLC can benefit from the new treatment option and whether screening should be implemented in the routine diagnostics. In addition, numerous studies came from Southeast Asia, where patients with NSCLC have higher EGFR mutation rates (11;12). Since the data available today are hampered either by

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-002560 on 3 April 2013. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 14, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES) .

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

<text> biases due to study exclusion criteria or different geographical peculiarities, we established

Patients and methods

Study population

From October 2009 until December 2010 we have screened prospectively all newly diagnosed patients with NSCLC (n = 753) for the ability to be analysed for EGFR and KRAS mutations. All subsequent biopsies have been obtained by surgery, routine bronchoscopy or CT guided biopsy. Staging of the toumor war performed according to the Union for International Cancer Control UICC (Seventh Edition)(13). The complete staging was available for 735/753 patient (97.6%). The histological diagnosis was performed according to WHO criteria (14). A total of 552/753 (75%) cases with NSCLC were eligible for analysis. In 183 patients (25%) none of the two analyses could be performed. In the majority of cases, this was explained by an insufficient amount of tissue sample. In addition, some patients refused their consent to carry out the mutation analysis. Informed consent was obtained from all patients prior to biopsy.

DNA extraction

After preparation of a 20 μ m thick section from the formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded tissue sample, the area of tumour cells was marked by comparison with the corresponding HE stained slide. This area with a size of 2 to 10 mm² was scraped with a pipette tip and transferred into 180 μ l AL-buffer. The AL-buffer is included in the QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Afterwards the DNA was extracted and dissolved in 150 μ l AE-buffer according to the manufacturer's instructions using the QIAamp DNA Mini Kit.

Determination of EGFR mutations

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-002560 on 3 April 2013. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 14, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES)

data mining, AI training, and similar technologies

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and

BMJ Open

For analysing the EGFR mutation status, the extracted DNA was subjected to conventional PCR for amplification of relevant portions of exons 18, 19 and 21 of the EGFR gene. Further, the PCR products were analyzed for the presence of a mutation by using the Pyrosequencing PyroMark Instument (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The primers used for the PCR are listed in Table 1. The method of pyrosequencing has been performed according to the reagent manufacturer (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and the following primer names and sequences (3'-5') have been used for exons 18, 19 and 21:

- 18_F (forward) CAGCATGGTGAGGGCTGAG
- 18_R (reverse) GCCTGTGCCAGGGACCTTAC
- 19_F (forward) GTGGCACCATCTCACAATTGC
- 19_R (reverse) CACACAGCAAAGCAGAAACTCAC
- 21_F (forward) CCTCACAGCAGGGTCTTCTCTG
 - 21_R (reverse) CTGGCTGACCTAAAGCCACC

Samples with a mutation in the pyrogram were additionally examined by dideoxy sequencing according to Sanger et al. for confirmation (Figure 1) (15).

Determination of KRAS mutations

The DNA was analyzed according to manufacturer's instructions using the K-RAS codon 12/13 kit from Molbiol TIB (Berlin, Germany) and the LightCycler 2.0 instrument (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany).

This is a real-time PCR with FRET probes, which facilitate the differentiation of mutant and wild-type DNA in codon 12 and 13 on exon 1 of KRAS gene after successful amplification and analyses of the melting curve of the PCR products.

Statistical Analyses

Quantitative variables were summarized as means ± standard deviations (SD). For comparisons Student's t-test was used and in cases with more than two groups, a oneway ANOVA with Bonferroni correction was calculated. Categorical variables are reported as frequencies and for comparisons of categorical variables between groups chi-square tests , cance f. de out with the s. g system. were used. The level of significance for all tests was set to 5 percent and all tests were twosided. Analyses were carried out with the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS, 13.0) on a Windows operating system.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-002560 on 3 April 2013. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 14, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES)

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

Results

Patients

The mean age of patients with wild type EGFR (n = 440; 66.7 \pm 9.5 years) was comparable to the mean age of patients with EGFR mutation (n = 27; 70.3 \pm 11.4 years) and that of patients with a KRAS mutation in the overall comparison (n = 85; 65.3 \pm 9.8 years; p = 0.059). Table 1 summarises frequencies according to mutation, gender and histology.

A breakdown of patients according to the genealogy was not performed because all patients with a migration background except two came from other European countries. Patients from Asia were not diagnosed or treated in our clinic during the corresponding period.

EGFR mutation

Overall 27 (4.9%) of all 552 patients showed an activating EGFR mutation (3/27 mutations in exon 18 (11%), 15/27 mutations in exon19 (56%) and 8/27 mutations (30%) in exon 21; 1/27 tumour (3%) has shown a double mutation (exon 19 and 21)). The most common mutation in exon 19 was delE746-A750 with 10/15 (67%) cases. In exon 21 all detected 8 mutations were located on L848R (100%).

Considering the frequency of mutations according to histology, adenocarcinomas show mutations more often than any other histology (22/254 [8.7%] vs. 5/298 [1.7%]; p < 0.001). When all histologies were analysed together, women also showed a significantly higher frequency of EGFR mutation compared to men (17/200 [8.5%] vs. 10/352 [2.8%]; p=0.003) (Table 2 upper and lower panel).

However, when the subgroup of adenocarcinomas was analyses among women the higher mutation rate compared with histologies remained (16/116 [14%] vs. 1/84 [1.2%], p = 0.002) (Table 2 upper panel). In contrast, among men with NSCLC no significant difference in the

rate of mutation could be detected with regard to histology (adenocarcinoma, 6/138 [4.3%] vs. Non- adenocarcinoma, 4/214 [1.9%]; p=0.172) (Table 2 lower panel).

Smoking status was closely associated with EGFR mutation rate. Among patients with EGFR mutations (n = 27) the rate of never-smokers was twice as high compared to smokers (18/27 [67%] vs. 9/27 [33%]) (Table 3).

KRAS mutation

A mutation in the KRAS gene was seen in a total of 85/552 (15%) of all analyzed tumours. While 73/85 (86%) of these mutations were found in exon 12, in exon 13 only 12/85 (14%) mutations were localized. With 29/85 (34%) cases the 12 Cys mutation was the most common. Similar to the EGFR the subgroups of adenocarcinomas had significantly (p <0.001) higher rate of KRAS mutations compared with other histologies (67/254 [26%] vs. 18/298 [6%]; Table 1). Within the subgroup of adenocarcinomas there was an even gender distribution regarding the frequency of KRAS mutations (women, 31/116 [27%] vs. men, 36/138 [26%]; p=0.909) (Table 2 upper and lower panel).

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-002560 on 3 April 2013. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 14, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES)

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

However, regardless of histology the rate of KRAS mutations was significantly (p = 0.007) more common in women than in men (42/200 [21%] vs. 43/352 [12%]). Besides that, the KRAS mutation in large cell carcinomas was significantly (p < 0.001) more frequent compared to squamous cell carcinomas (11/80 [14%] vs. 5/186 [2.7 %]) (Table 1). No patient had a double mutation (KRAS and EGFR).

Unlike in patients with an activating EGFR mutation the proportion of smokers is higher in patients with a KRAS mutation (75/85 [88%] vs. 10/85 [22%]) (Table 3).

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-002560 on 3 April 2013. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 14, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES)

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

Table 4 concludes the distribution according to histology and gender for all patients not included in this analysis (n = 180). The percentage of tumours that had to be left unclassified (NOS; non other specified) was substantially higher (38/180, 21%) compared to the patient group included (21/552; 3.8%; p < 0.001). This was most likely due to an insufficient probe size, which hampered both plain histology and mutation analysis. The percentage of patients with adencarcinomas excluded was slightly lower (39% vs. 46%), however, this difference was not statistically significant (p = 0.112) (Table 1 and 4).

When we analysed the distribution according to clinical stage, we found a bias with regard to higher clinical stages in the non tested group (Table 5). However, when stages IIIB and IV were compared to the potentially operable stages (IA – IIIA) we found this difference not to be statistically significant (not tested: 102/180; 56.7% versus tested: 277/552; 50.2%, p =

<mark>0.077).</mark>

Discussion

The present study delivers sound data on the frequency of EGFR and KRAS mutations in a large and unselected cohort of patients with newly diagnosed non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) over a well defined study period. The EGFR mutation rate reported here (4.9%) differs largely from frequencies reported in previous studies (8% -60%) (2;5;16). This suggests that previous study designs had import selection biases concerning this mutation analyses. In the most extensive study on the prevalence of activating EGFR mutations in NSCLC at 126 Spanish hospitals in the years 2005-2008 a total of 2105 patients were analyzed and a mutation rate of 16.6% was reported (17). One possible explanation for the higher mutation rate in this study lies in the unrepresentative distribution of the histological subgroups. While the expected proportion of adenocarcinomas in routine studies is between 32 to 54% depending on the literature, this study reported up to 78% adenocarcinomas. Since adenocarcinomas were also in our study more likely to exhibit an activating EGFR mutation, the frequency was overestimated in the Spanish study (17). The disproportion of adenocarcinomas is also found in other studies reporting EGFR mutation frequencies between 9% and 31% (2;18;19). In our analysis, however, the proportion of adenocarcinomas was well within the expected range (46%) with no selection bias induced by patient selection.

Another factor with great influence on the EGFR mutation rate is the geographical origin of the analysed patients. For quite some years it has been known that East Asian patients with NSCLC have a higher incidence of EGFR mutations while they show lower incidence of KRAS mutations compared to other ethnic groups at the same time (12;20;21). Studies with a high proportion of patients from Far Eastern countries or studies which have recruited their patients entirely in the East Asian region have consequently shown a corresponding effect
on the frequency of detected EGFR and KRAS mutations (6;22;23). None of our patients with a migration background came from an East Asian country and thus our figures are not prone to this bias.

A study from Basel seems to be the most comparable in terms of patient's cohort. Pathologists examined 307 NSCLC during a 4.5 year period for EGFR mutation and showed a mutation rate of 8.1%. However it remains unclear in this study, which preliminary selection bias may have been introduced by the clinicians, because mutation analyses were not requested for all samples. Moreover, it has not been reported how many patients with NSCLC were newly diagnosed in the participating hospitals (16).

As demonstrated in our study, patients with NSCLC and EGFR mutation are non-smokers in the majority of cases, which has been confirmed by numerous studies (5;24;25). In Europe and in Germany as well it is estimated that 85% of lung cancers related deaths are associated with cigarette smoking (26). Such a high proportion of smokers among patients with lung cancer make a high EGFR mutation rate questionable. On the other hand the guestion arises why this mutation occurs much more frequently in the Asian populations.

Independent of the rate of mutation in total, the frequency of EGFR mutations in exons 19 and 21 of our samples is comparable with those of numerous studies (22;27-29). In addition, the occurrence of the most common mutation in our evaluation delE746-A750 in exon 19 (10/27 [37%]) corresponds to the literature (30). Another interesting aspect is that the restriction on the search for the two most common mutations in exon 19 (delE746-A750) and exon 21 (L858R), as discussed in the literature, would have detected only 18/27 (69.2 %) of all EGFR mutations in our study.

Page 13 of 47

BMJ Open

Regarding the KRAS mutations our analysis confirms the known fact that KRAS mutations occur in smokers with NSCLC significantly more often than in non-smokers (31;32). This is similar to the distribution of mutations of KRAS at codon 12 (72/85 [85%]) and 13 (13/85 [15.3%]), which appears to be comparable with the frequency of the other studies (5;33). Therefore our results regarding the frequency of KRAS mutation are in keeping with the published reports. The missing gender bias and the higher frequency among smokers repeated in our sample support the validity of our data. Up to date, the clinical applicability of the KRAS mutation is limited, because there are no clinical associations strong enough for inclusion in clinical or therapeutic algorithms. However, KRAS mutation exclude or are only very rarely associated with other mutations (e.g. EGFR). KRAS may therefore help to build up a testing algorithm in order to get a reasonable genetic array for this type of cancer.

In summary we offer for the first time unbiased mutation rates for EGFR and KRAS in an unselected cohort of Caucasian patients. Previously described mutation rates for EGFR need to be corrected to approximately 5%, thus lowering the proportion of patients with NSCLC entitled to personalised medicine in Central Europe. However, in keeping with the literature non-smoking women with adenocarcinoma do have the highest frequency of tumours with an activating EGFR mutation.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-002560 on 3 April 2013. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 14, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES)

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

Figures



For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Tables:

Table 1: Proportion of mutations found according to gender and histological type of non

small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC). No double mutations were found in this cohort.

		Gend	ler			Histo	logy		
	Total	Female	Male	Adeno	Squamos	Large cell	Sarcomat.	Adenosqua.	NOS
Total	552	200 (36.2%)	352 (63.8%)	254 (46.0%)	186 (33.7%)	79 (14.3%)	7 (1.3%)	5 (0.9%)	21 (3.8%)
EGFR pos.	27 (4.9%)	17 (8.5%)	10 (2.8%)	22 (8.7%)	2 (1.1%)	2 (2.5%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)
EGFR neg.	525	183 (91.5%)	342 (97.2%)	232 (91.3%)	184 (98.9%)	77 (97.5%)	7 (100%)	4 (80%)	21 (100%)
KRAS pos.	85 (15.4%)	42 (21.0%)	43 (12.2%)	67 (26.4%)	5 (2.7%)	11 (13.9%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (9.5%)
KRAS neg.	467	158 (79.0%)	309 (87.8%)	187 (73.6%)	181 (97.3%)	68 (86.1%)	7 (100%)	5 (100%)	19 (90.5%)
NOS	Non oth	ner specified							

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-002560 on 3 April 2013. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 14, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES)

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

	Total	EGF	EGFR pos.		S pos.
Total number women	200	17	8.5%	42	21.0%
Adenocarcinoma	116	16	13.8%	31	26.7%
Squamous cell carzinoma	47	0	0.0%	2	4.3%
Large cell carcinoma	28	1	3.6%	7	25.0%
Sarcomatoid carcinoma	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Adenosquamous carcinoma	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
NOS (non other specified)	9	0	0.0%	2	22.2%

	Total	EGF	R pos.	KRAS pos.		
Total number of men	352	10	2.8%	43	12.2%	
Adenocarcinoma	138	6	4.3%	36	26.1%	
Squamous cell carzinoma	139	2	1.4%	3	2.2%	
Large cell carcinoma	51	1	2.0%	4	7.8%	
Sarcomatoides Karzinom	7	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Adenosquamous carcinoma	5	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	
NOS (non other specified)	12	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	

Table 3: Smoker status and gender distribution of all 112 patients with either EGFR or KRAS

mutation.

	Sm	okers	Nev	er-smokers
Total number of mutations	84	75.0%	28	25.0%
EGFR pos.	9	33.3%	18	66.7%
EGFR pos. Female	5	29.4%	12	70.6%
EGFR pos. Male	4	40.0%	6	60.0%
KRAS pos.	75	88.2%	10	11.8%
KRAS pos. Female	38	90.5%	4	9.5%
KRAS pos. Male	37	86.0%	6	14.0%

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-002560 on 3 April 2013. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 14, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES) .

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

size or denial of informed consent.

	Total	%	Men	Women
Total	180		111	69
Adenocarcinoma	70	38.9%	38	32
Squamous cell carzinoma	47	26.1%	34	13
Large cell carcinoma	17	9.4%	10	7
Sarcomatoides Karzinom	8	4.4%	7	1
Adenosquamous carcinoma	0	0.0%	0	0
NOS (non other specified)	38	21.1%	22	16

BMJ Open

Table 5: Lung cancer stages of the investigated cohort and the excluded patients (according

to UICC 7th edition)(13).

	Intention to treat population (n = 732)	Percent	Study Population (n = 522)	Percent	Patients excluded from analyses (n = 180)	Percent
Clinical Stage (n;%)						
Staging not completed	13	1.8	9	1.6	4	2.2
IA	76	10.4	56	10.1	20	11.1
IB	50	6.8	36	6.5	14	7.8
IIA	44	6.0	35	6.3	9	5.0
IIB	54	7.4	44	8.0	10	5.6
IIIA	116	15.8	95	17.2	21	11.7
IIIB	82	11.2	70	12.7	12	6.7
IV	297	40.6	207	37.5	90	50.0



Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

References

- (1) Jemal A, Siegel R, Xu J, Ward E. Cancer statistics, 2010. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2010 Sep;60(5):277-300.
- (2) van Zandwijk N, Mathy A, Boerrigter L, Ruijter H, Tielen I, de JD, et al. EGFR and KRAS mutations as criteria for treatment with tyrosine kinase inhibitors: retro- and prospective observations in non-small-cell lung cancer. *Ann Oncol* 2007 Jan;18(1):99-103.
- (3) Schneider CP, Heigener D, Schott-von-Romer K, Gutz S, Laack E, Digel W, et al. Epidermal growth factor receptor-related tumor markers and clinical outcomes with erlotinib in non-small cell lung cancer: an analysis of patients from german centers in the TRUST study. *J Thorac Oncol* 2008 Dec;3(12):1446-53.
- (4) Heigener DF, Wu YL, van ZN, Mali P, Horwood K, Reck M. Second-line erlotinib in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: subgroup analyses from the TRUST study. *Lung Cancer* 2011 Nov;74(2):274-9.
- (5) Mok TS, Wu YL, Thongprasert S, Yang CH, Chu DT, Saijo N, et al. Gefitinib or carboplatin-paclitaxel in pulmonary adenocarcinoma. *N Engl J Med* 2009 Sep 3;361(10):947-57.
- (6) Douillard JY, Shepherd FA, Hirsh V, Mok T, Socinski MA, Gervais R, et al. Molecular predictors of outcome with gefitinib and docetaxel in previously treated non-small-cell lung cancer: data from the randomized phase III INTEREST trial. J Clin Oncol 2010 Feb 10;28(5):744-52.
- (7) Zhou C, Wu YL, Chen G, Feng J, Liu XQ, Wang C, et al. Erlotinib versus chemotherapy as first-line treatment for patients with advanced EGFR mutation-positive non-small-cell lung cancer (OPTIMAL, CTONG-0802): a multicentre, open-label, randomised, phase 3 study. *Lancet Oncol* 2011 Aug;12(8):735-42.
- (8) Massarelli E, Varella-Garcia M, Tang X, Xavier AC, Ozburn NC, Liu DD, et al. KRAS mutation is an important predictor of resistance to therapy with epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors in non-small-cell lung cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2007 May 15;13(10):2890-6.
- (9) Boldrini L, Ali G, Gisfredi S, Ursino S, Baldini E, Melfi F, et al. Epidermal growth factor receptor and K-RAS mutations in 411 lung adenocarcinoma: a population-based prospective study. *Oncol Rep* 2009 Oct;22(4):683-91.
- (10) Eberhard DA, Johnson BE, Amler LC, Goddard AD, Heldens SL, Herbst RS, et al. Mutations in the epidermal growth factor receptor and in KRAS are predictive and prognostic indicators in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer treated with chemotherapy alone and in combination with erlotinib. *J Clin Oncol* 2005 Sep 1;23(25):5900-9.

BMJ Open

(11)	Wu YL, Zhong WZ, Li LY, Zhang XT, Zhang L, Zhou CC, et al. Epidermal growth factor receptor mutations and their correlation with gefitinib therapy in patients with non-small cell lung cancer: a meta-analysis based on updated individual patient data from six medical centers in mainland China. <i>J Thorac Oncol</i> 2007 May;2(5):430-9.
(12)	Sriuranpong V, Chantranuwat C, Huapai N, Chalermchai T, Leungtaweeboon K, Lertsanguansinchai P, et al. High frequency of mutation of epidermal growth factor receptor in lung adenocarcinoma in Thailand. <i>Cancer Lett</i> 2006 Aug 8;239(2):292-7.
(13)	International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer. Staging Manual in Thoracic Oncology. Editorial Rx Press; 2009.
(14)	Travis WD, Garg K, Franklin WA, Wistuba II, Sabloff B, Noguchi M, et al. Bronchioloalveolar carcinoma and lung adenocarcinoma: the clinical importance and research relevance of the 2004 World Health Organization pathologic criteria. <i>J</i> <i>Thorac Oncol</i> 2006 Nov;1(9 Suppl):S13-S19.
(15)	Sanger F, Nicklen S, Coulson AR. DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</i> 1977 Dec;74(12):5463-7.
(16)	Tapia C, Savic S, Bihl M, Rufle A, Zlobec I, Terracciano L, et al. [EGFR mutation analysis in non-small-cell lung cancer : Experience from routine diagnostics]. <i>Pathologe</i> 2009 Sep;30(5):384-92.
(17)	Rosell R, Moran T, Queralt C, Porta R, Cardenal F, Camps C, et al. Screening for epidermal growth factor receptor mutations in lung cancer. <i>N Engl J Med</i> 2009 Sep 3;361(10):958-67.
(18)	Hirsch FR, Varella-Garcia M, Cappuzzo F, McCoy J, Bemis L, Xavier AC, et al. Combination of EGFR gene copy number and protein expression predicts outcome for advanced non-small-cell lung cancer patients treated with gefitinib. <i>Ann Oncol</i> 2007 Apr;18(4):752-60.
(19)	Khambata-Ford S, Harbison CT, Hart LL, Awad M, Xu LA, Horak CE, et al. Analysis of potential predictive markers of cetuximab benefit in BMS099, a phase III study of cetuximab and first-line taxane/carboplatin in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. <i>J Clin Oncol</i> 2010 Feb 20;28(6):918-27.
(20)	Pirker R, Pereira JR, Szczesna A, von PJ, Krzakowski M, Ramlau R, et al. Cetuximab plus chemotherapy in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (FLEX): an open-label randomised phase III trial. <i>Lancet</i> 2009 May 2;373(9674):1525-31.
(21)	Sakuma Y, Matsukuma S, Yoshihara M, Nakamura Y, Noda K, Nakayama H, et al. Distinctive evaluation of nonmucinous and mucinous subtypes of bronchioloalveolar carcinomas in EGFR and K-ras gene-mutation analyses for Japanese lung adenocarcinomas: confirmation of the correlations with histologic subtypes and gene mutations. <i>Am J Clin Pathol</i> 2007 Jul;128(1):100-8.

(22) Brugger W, Triller N, Blasinska-Morawiec M, Curescu S, Sakalauskas R, Manikhas GM, et al. Prospective molecular marker analyses of EGFR and KRAS from a randomized, placebo-controlled study of erlotinib maintenance therapy in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2011 Nov 1;29(31):4113-20.

- (23) Li M, Liu L, Liu Z, Yue S, Zhou L, Zhang Q, et al. The status of KRAS mutations in patients with non-small cell lung cancers from mainland China. *Oncol Rep* 2009 Nov;22(5):1013-20.
- (24) Scoccianti C, Vesin A, Martel G, Olivier M, Brambilla E, Timsit JF, et al. Prognostic value of TP53, KRAS and EGFR mutations in nonsmall cell lung cancer: the EUELC cohort. *Eur Respir J* 2012 Jul;40(1):177-84.
- (25) Ren JH, He WS, Yan GL, Jin M, Yang KY, Wu G. EGFR mutations in non-small-cell lung cancer among smokers and non-smokers: a meta-analysis. *Environ Mol Mutagen* 2012 Jan;53(1):78-82.
- (26) Bogdanovica I, Godfrey F, McNeill A, Britton J. Smoking prevalence in the European Union: a comparison of national and transnational prevalence survey methods and results. *Tob Control* 2011 Jan;20(1):e4.
- (27) Sharma SV, Bell DW, Settleman J, Haber DA. Epidermal growth factor receptor mutations in lung cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2007 Mar;7(3):169-81.
- (28) Bonanno L, Schiavon M, Nardo G, Bertorelle R, Bonaldi L, Galligioni A, et al. Prognostic and predictive implications of EGFR mutations, EGFR copy number and KRAS mutations in advanced stage lung adenocarcinoma. *Anticancer Res* 2010 Dec;30(12):5121-8.
- (29) Reinersman JM, Johnson ML, Riely GJ, Chitale DA, Nicastri AD, Soff GA, et al. Frequency of EGFR and KRAS mutations in lung adenocarcinomas in African Americans. *J Thorac Oncol* 2011 Jan;6(1):28-31.
- (30) Lynch TJ, Bell DW, Sordella R, Gurubhagavatula S, Okimoto RA, Brannigan BW, et al. Activating mutations in the epidermal growth factor receptor underlying responsiveness of non-small-cell lung cancer to gefitinib. N Engl J Med 2004 May 20;350(21):2129-39.
- (31) Nelson HH, Christiani DC, Mark EJ, Wiencke JK, Wain JC, Kelsey KT. Implications and prognostic value of K-ras mutation for early-stage lung cancer in women. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1999 Dec 1;91(23):2032-8.
- (32) Ahrendt SA, Decker PA, Alawi EA, Zhu Yr YR, Sanchez-Cespedes M, Yang SC, et al. Cigarette smoking is strongly associated with mutation of the K-ras gene in patients with primary adenocarcinoma of the lung. *Cancer* 2001 Sep 15;92(6):1525-30.
- (33) Siegfried JM, Gillespie AT, Mera R, Casey TJ, Keohavong P, Testa JR, et al. Prognostic value of specific KRAS mutations in lung adenocarcinomas. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 1997 Oct;6(10):841-7.

The frequency of EGFR and KRAS mutations in non-small-cell-lung cancer (NSCLC) – Routine screening data for Central Europe

Authors:

C Boch*, J Kollmeier*, A Roth**, S Stephan-Falkenau**, D Misch*, W Grüning ***, TT

Bauer*, T Mairinger**

Instituts:

*Klinik für Pneumologie, Lungenklinik Heckeshorn, HELIOS Klinikum Emil von Behring, Berlin,

Germany

**Institut für Gewebediagnostik, HELIOS Klinikum Emil von Behring, Berlin, Germany

*** Klinik für Pneumologie, HELIOS Klinikum Schwerin, Germany

Word count: 2397

Running title: EGFR and KRAS in NSCLC

Acknowledgement: This study was supported by the Oskar-Helene-Heim Foundation

Keywords: non small cell lung cancer, mutation, EGFR, KRAS, histology

Address:

Prof. Torsten Bauer Lungenklinik Heckeshorn Klinik für Pneumologie HELIOS Klinikum Emil von Behring Walterhoeferstrasse 11 14165 Berlin Germany Telefon: +49-30-8102-2776 FAX: +49-30-8102-82778 E-Mail: torsten.bauer@helios-kliniken.de

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Article focus

- Frequency of clinically important mutations in non-small-cell lung carcinoma
- epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and KRAS
- personalised medicine

Key messages

• The frequency of an activating EGFR mutation can not be expected in more than

4.9% of the "allcomers" with the diagnosis of NSCLC.

Strengths and limitations of this study' section

- Unselected and large cohort of lung cancer centre
- Analyses of three codons for EGFR
- Possible limitation: German Single centre study

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-002560 on 3 April 2013. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 14, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES)

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

Abstract

Objectives: Due to novel therapy strategies in EGFR mutated patients, molecular analysis of the EGFR and KRAS genome has become crucial for routine diagnostics. Up to date these data derive mostly from clinical trials, and thus collected in pre-selected populations. We therefore screened "allcomers" with a newly diagnosed non-small-cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) for the frequencies of these mutations.

Design: Cohort study

Setting: Lung cancer centre in a tertiary care hospital

Participants: Within 15 months a total of 552 cases with NSCLC were eligible for analysis. Primary and secondary outcome measures: Frequency of scrutinizing exons 18, 19 and 21 for the presence of activating EGFR mutation and secondary codon 12 and 13 for activating KRAS mutations.

Results: Of the 552 patients, 27 (4.9%) showed a mutation of EGFR. 19 of these patients (70%) had deletion E746-A750 in codon 19 or deletion L858R in codon 21. Adenocarcinoma (ACA) was the most frequent histology among patients with EGFR mutations (ACA, 22/254 [8.7%] vs. non-ACA, 5/298 [1.7%]; p<0.001). Regarding only ACA, the percentage of EGFR mutations was higher in women (16/116 [14%] women vs. 6/138 [4.3%] men; p=0.008). Tumours with an activating EGFR mutation were more likely to be from non-smokers (18/27;

67%) rather than smoker (9/27; 33%).

KRAS mutation was present in 85 (15 %) of all cases. In 73 patients (86%), the mutation was found in exon 12 and in 12 cases (14%) in exon 13. Similarly, ACA had a higher frequency of KRAS mutations than non-ACA ($\frac{67}{254}$ [26%] vs. 18/298 [6.0%]; p<0.001).

Conclusions: We found a lower frequency for EGFR and KRAS mutations in an unselected Caucasian patient cohort as previously published. Taking our results into account, clinical

trials may overestimate the mutation frequency for EGFR and KRAS in NSCLC due important selection biases.	e to
--	------

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-002560 on 3 April 2013. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 14, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Introduction

Lung cancer is still one of the most common cancers in Germany and worldwide, and the most common cause of death due to malignant tumours (1). Despite intensive research the prognosis of patients with non-small-cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) continues to be restricted, which applies especially to patients with distant metastases. But in patients with NSCLC and an activating mutation of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), therapy has undergone a significant change. The application of first generation tyrosine-kinase inhibitors (TKI) Gefitinib an Erlotinib was able to achieve not only a better tolerability of the therapy and an improvement in progression-free survival (PFS) in observational studies (2-4), but also compared to platinum-based chemotherapy (5). However, the effect on overall survival (OS) is still controversial; one study could not detect a benefit (6), whereas Zhou and coworkers reported a significant increase in OS (7).

In the last years intensive research has been conducted to analyse the effect of TKIs in respect of the complex relationship of biomarkes which are associated with the EGFR pathway like v-Ki-ras2 Kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene homolog (KRAS). In addition to the superiority in EGFR mutated patients, TKIs seem to have an effect on the wild type NSCLC as well, while some studies have disputed this for KRAS mutated patients (2;8). However the significance of KRAS mutations for the diagnosis or treatment of NSCLC remains under debate. Since double mutations (EGFR and KRAS) have been described in only very few cases, KRAS may help to exclude EGFR mutation (9;10).

Therefore the question arises how many patients with NSCLC can benefit from the new treatment option and whether screening should be implemented in the routine diagnostics. In addition, numerous studies came from Southeast Asia, where patients with NSCLC have higher EGFR mutation rates (11;12). Since the data available today are hampered either by

BMJ Open

<text> biases due to study exclusion criteria or different geographical peculiarities, we established

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-002560 on 3 April 2013. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 14, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES)

data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and

Patients and methods

Study population

From October 2009 until December 2010 we have screened prospectively all newly diagnosed patients with NSCLC (n = 753) for the ability to be analysed for EGFR and KRAS mutations. All subsequent biopsies have been obtained by surgery, routine bronchoscopy or CT guided biopsy. Staging of the toumor war performed according to the Union for International Cancer Control UICC (Seventh Edition)(13). The complete staging was available for 735/753 patient (97.6%). The histological diagnosis was performed according to WHO criteria (14). A total of 552/753 (75%) cases with NSCLC were eligible for analysis. In 183 patients (25%) none of the two analyses could be performed. In the majority of cases, this was explained by an insufficient amount of tissue sample. In addition, some patients refused their consent to carry out the mutation analysis. Informed consent was obtained from all patients prior to biopsy.

DNA extraction

After preparation of a 20 μ m thick section from the formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded tissue sample, the area of tumour cells was marked by comparison with the corresponding HE stained slide. This area with a size of 2 to 10 mm² was scraped with a pipette tip and transferred into 180 μ l AL-buffer. The AL-buffer is included in the QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Afterwards the DNA was extracted and dissolved in 150 μ l AE-buffer according to the manufacturer's instructions using the QIAamp DNA Mini Kit.

Determination of EGFR mutations

For analysing the EGFR mutation status, the extracted DNA was subjected to conventional PCR for amplification of relevant portions of exons 18, 19 and 21 of the EGFR gene. Further, the PCR products were analyzed for the presence of a mutation by using the Pyrosequencing PyroMark Instument (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The primers used for the PCR are listed in Table 1. The method of pyrosequencing has been performed according to the reagent manufacturer (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and the following primer names and sequences (3'-

5') have been used for exons 18, 19 and 21:

- 18_F (forward) CAGCATGGTGAGGGCTGAG
- 18_R (reverse) GCCTGTGCCAGGGACCTTAC
- 19 F (forward) GTGGCACCATCTCACAATTGC
- 19 R (reverse) CACACAGCAAAGCAGAAACTCAC
- 21_F (forward) CCTCACAGCAGGGTCTTCTCTG
 - 21_R (reverse) CTGGCTGACCTAAAGCCACC

Samples with a mutation in the pyrogram were additionally examined by dideoxy sequencing according to Sanger et al. for confirmation (Figure 1) (15).

Determination of KRAS mutations

The DNA was analyzed according to manufacturer's instructions using the K-RAS codon 12/13 kit from Molbiol TIB (Berlin, Germany) and the LightCycler 2.0 instrument (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany).

This is a real-time PCR with FRET probes, which facilitate the differentiation of mutant and wild-type DNA in codon 12 and 13 on exon 1 of KRAS gene after successful amplification and analyses of the melting curve of the PCR products.

Statistical Analyses

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-002560 on 3 April 2013. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 14, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES).

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

Quantitative variables were summarized as means ± standard deviations (SD). For comparisons Student's t-test was used and in cases with more than two groups, a oneway ANOVA with Bonferroni correction was calculated. Categorical variables are reported as frequencies and for comparisons of categorical variables between groups chi-square tests σ una na fi. en out with the s. g system: were used. The level of significance for all tests was set to 5 percent and all tests were twosided. Analyses were carried out with the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS, 13.0) on a Windows operating system.

Results

Patients

The mean age of patients with wild type EGFR (n = 440; 66.7 \pm 9.5 years) was comparable to the mean age of patients with EGFR mutation (n = 27; 70.3 \pm 11.4 years) and that of patients with a KRAS mutation in the overall comparison (n = 85; 65.3 \pm 9.8 years; p = 0.059). Table 1 summarises frequencies according to mutation, gender and histology.

A breakdown of patients according to the genealogy was not performed because all patients with a migration background except two came from other European countries. Patients from Asia were not diagnosed or treated in our clinic during the corresponding period.

EGFR mutation

Overall 27 (4.9%) of all 552 patients showed an activating EGFR mutation (3/27 mutations in exon 18 (11%), 15/27 mutations in exon19 (56%) and 8/27 mutations (30%) in exon 21; 1/27 tumour (3%) has shown a double mutation (exon 19 and 21)). The most common mutation in exon 19 was delE746-A750 with 10/15 (67%) cases. In exon 21 all detected 8 mutations were located on L848R (100%).

Considering the frequency of mutations according to histology, adenocarcinomas show mutations more often than any other histology (22/254 [8.7%] vs. 5/298 [1.7%]; p < 0.001). When all histologies were analysed together, women also showed a significantly higher frequency of EGFR mutation compared to men (17/200 [8.5%] vs. 10/352 [2.8%]; p=0.003) (Table 2 upper and lower panel).

However, when the subgroup of adenocarcinomas was analyses among women the higher mutation rate compared with histologies remained (16/116 [14%] vs. 1/84 [1.2%], p = 0.002) (Table 2 upper panel). In contrast, among men with NSCLC no significant difference in the

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-002560 on 3 April 2013. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 14, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES)

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

rate of mutation could be detected with regard to histology (adenocarcinoma, 6/138 [4.3%] vs. Non- adenocarcinoma, 4/214 [1.9%]; p=0.172) (Table 2 lower panel).

Smoking status was closely associated with EGFR mutation rate. Among patients with EGFR mutations (n = 27) the rate of never-smokers was twice as high compared to smokers (18/27 [67%] vs. 9/27 [33%]) (Table 3).

KRAS mutation

A mutation in the KRAS gene was seen in a total of 85/552 (15%) of all analyzed tumours. While 73/85 (86%) of these mutations were found in exon 12, in exon 13 only 12/85 (14%) mutations were localized. With 29/85 (34%) cases the 12 Cys mutation was the most common. Similar to the EGFR the subgroups of adenocarcinomas had significantly (p <0.001) higher rate of KRAS mutations compared with other histologies (67/254 [26%] vs. 18/298 [6%]; Table 1). Within the subgroup of adenocarcinomas there was an even gender distribution regarding the frequency of KRAS mutations (women, 31/116 [27%] vs. men, 36/138 [26%]; p=0.909) (Table 2 upper and lower panel).

However, regardless of histology the rate of KRAS mutations was significantly (p = 0.007) more common in women than in men (42/200 [21%] vs. 43/352 [12%]). Besides that, the KRAS mutation in large cell carcinomas was significantly (p < 0.001) more frequent compared to squamous cell carcinomas (11/80 [14%] vs. 5/186 [2.7 %]) (Table 1). No patient had a double mutation (KRAS and EGFR).

Unlike in patients with an activating EGFR mutation the proportion of smokers is higher in patients with a KRAS mutation (75/85 [88%] vs. 10/85 [22%]) (Table 3).

BMJ Open

Intention-to-treat analyses

Table 4 concludes the distribution according to histology and gender for all patients not included in this analysis (n = 180). The percentage of tumours that had to be left unclassified (NOS; non other specified) was substantially higher (38/180, 21%) compared to the patient group included (21/552; 3.8%; p < 0.001). This was most likely due to an insufficient probe size, which hampered both plain histology and mutation analysis. The percentage of patients with adencarcinomas excluded was slightly lower (39% vs. 46%), however, this difference was not statistically significant (p = 0.112) (Table 1 and 4).

When we analysed the distribution according to clinical stage, we found a bias with regard to higher clinical stages in the non tested group (Table 5). However, when stages IIIB and IV were compared to the potentially operable stages (IA – IIIA) we found this difference not to be statistically significant (not tested: 102/180; 56.7% versus tested: 277/552; 50.2%, p = 0.077).

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-002560 on 3 April 2013. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 14, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES)

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-002560 on 3 April 2013. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 14, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Discussion

The present study delivers sound data on the frequency of EGFR and KRAS mutations in a large and unselected cohort of patients with newly diagnosed non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) over a well defined study period. The EGFR mutation rate reported here (4.9%) differs largely from frequencies reported in previous studies (8% -60%) (2;5;16). This suggests that previous study designs had import selection biases concerning this mutation analyses. In the most extensive study on the prevalence of activating EGFR mutations in NSCLC at 126 Spanish hospitals in the years 2005-2008 a total of 2105 patients were analyzed and a mutation rate of 16.6% was reported (17). One possible explanation for the higher mutation rate in this study lies in the unrepresentative distribution of the histological subgroups. While the expected proportion of adenocarcinomas in routine studies is between 32 to 54% depending on the literature, this study reported up to 78% adenocarcinomas. Since adenocarcinomas were also in our study more likely to exhibit an activating EGFR mutation, the frequency was overestimated in the Spanish study (17). The disproportion of adenocarcinomas is also found in other studies reporting EGFR mutation frequencies between 9% and 31% (2;18;19). In our analysis, however, the proportion of adenocarcinomas was well within the expected range (46%) with no selection bias induced by patient selection.

Another factor with great influence on the EGFR mutation rate is the geographical origin of the analysed patients. For quite some years it has been known that East Asian patients with NSCLC have a higher incidence of EGFR mutations while they show lower incidence of KRAS mutations compared to other ethnic groups at the same time (12;20;21). Studies with a high proportion of patients from Far Eastern countries or studies which have recruited their patients entirely in the East Asian region have consequently shown a corresponding effect

BMJ Open

on the frequency of detected EGFR and KRAS mutations (6;22;23). None of our patients with a migration background came from an East Asian country and thus our figures are not prone to this bias.

A study from Basel seems to be the most comparable in terms of patient's cohort. Pathologists examined 307 NSCLC during a 4.5 year period for EGFR mutation and showed a mutation rate of 8.1%. However it remains unclear in this study, which preliminary selection bias may have been introduced by the clinicians, because mutation analyses were not requested for all samples. Moreover, it has not been reported how many patients with NSCLC were newly diagnosed in the participating hospitals (16).

As demonstrated in our study, patients with NSCLC and EGFR mutation are non-smokers in the majority of cases, which has been confirmed by numerous studies (5;24;25). In Europe and in Germany as well it is estimated that 85% of lung cancers related deaths are associated with cigarette smoking (26). Such a high proportion of smokers among patients with lung cancer make a high EGFR mutation rate questionable. On the other hand the question arises why this mutation occurs much more frequently in the Asian populations.

Independent of the rate of mutation in total, the frequency of EGFR mutations in exons 19 and 21 of our samples is comparable with those of numerous studies (22;27-29). In addition, the occurrence of the most common mutation in our evaluation delE746-A750 in exon 19 (10/27 [37%]) corresponds to the literature (30). Another interesting aspect is that the restriction on the search for the two most common mutations in exon 19 (delE746-A750) and exon 21 (L858R), as discussed in the literature, would have detected only 18/27 (69.2 %) of all EGFR mutations in our study.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-002560 on 3 April 2013. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 14, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES)

data mining, AI training, and similar technologies

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and

Regarding the KRAS mutations our analysis confirms the known fact that KRAS mutations occur in smokers with NSCLC significantly more often than in non-smokers (31;32). This is similar to the distribution of mutations of KRAS at codon 12 (72/85 [85%]) and 13 (13/85 [15.3%]), which appears to be comparable with the frequency of the other studies (5;33). Therefore our results regarding the frequency of KRAS mutation are in keeping with the published reports. The missing gender bias and the higher frequency among smokers repeated in our sample support the validity of our data. Up to date, the clinical applicability of the KRAS mutation is limited, because there are no clinical associations strong enough for inclusion in clinical or therapeutic algorithms. However, KRAS mutation exclude or are only very rarely associated with other mutations (e.g. EGFR). KRAS may therefore help to build up a testing algorithm in order to get a reasonable genetic array for this type of cancer.

In summary we offer for the first time unbiased mutation rates for EGFR and KRAS in an unselected cohort of Caucasian patients. Previously described mutation rates for EGFR need to be corrected to approximately 5%, thus lowering the proportion of patients with NSCLC entitled to personalised medicine in Central Europe. However, in keeping with the literature non-smoking women with adenocarcinoma do have the highest frequency of tumours with an activating EGFR mutation.

Tables:

Table 1: Proportion of mutations found according to gender and histological type of non

small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC). No double mutations were found in this cohort.

		Gend	ler			Histo	logy		
	Total	Female	Male	Adeno	Squamos	Large cell	Sarcomat.	Adenosqua.	NOS
Total	552	200 (36.2%)	352 (63.8%)	254 (46.0%)	186 (33.7%)	79 (14.3%)	7 (1.3%)	5 (0.9%)	21 (3.8%)
EGFR pos.	27 (4.9%)	17 (8.5%)	10 (2.8%)	22 (8.7%)	2 (1.1%)	2 (2.5%)	0 (0%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)
EGFR neg.	525	183 (91.5%)	342 (97.2%)	232 (91.3%)	184 (98.9%)	77 (97.5%)	7 (100%)	4 (80%)	21 (100%)
KRAS pos.	85 (15.4%)	42 (21.0%)	43 (12.2%)	67 (26.4%)	5 (2.7%)	11 (13.9%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (9.5%)
KRAS neg.	467	158 (79.0%)	309 (87.8%)	187 (73.6%)	181 (97.3%)	68 (86.1%)	7 (100%)	5 (100%)	19 (90.5%)
NOS: Non other specified									

BMJ Open

and men (lower table)

	Total	EGFR pos.		KRAS pos.	
Total number women	200	17	8.5%	42	21.0%
Adenocarcinoma	116	16	13.8%	31	26.7%
Squamous cell carzinoma	47	0	0.0%	2	4.3%
Large cell carcinoma	28	1	3.6%	7	25.0%
Sarcomatoid carcinoma	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Adenosquamous carcinoma	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
NOS (non other specified)	9	0	0.0%	2	22.2%

	Total	EGFR pos.		KRAS pos.	
Total number of men	352	10	2.8%	43	12.2%
Adenocarcinoma	138	6	4.3%	36	26.1%
Squamous cell carzinoma	139	2	1.4%	3	2.2%
Large cell carcinoma	51	1	2.0%	4	7.8%
Sarcomatoides Karzinom	7	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Adenosquamous carcinoma	5	1	20.0%	0	0.0%
NOS (non other specified)	12	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Table 3: Smoker status and gender distribution of all 112 patients with either EGFR or KRAS

mutation.

4	Sm	okers	Nev	ver-smokers
Total number of mutations	84	75.0%	28	25.0%
EGFR pos.	9	33.3%	18	66.7%
EGFR pos. Female	5	29.4%	12	70.6%
EGFR pos. Male	4	40.0%	6	60.0%
KRAS pos.	75	88.2%	10	11.8%
KRAS pos. Female	38	90.5%	4	9.5%
KRAS pos. Male	37	86.0%	6	14.0%

 Table 4: Histology of the patients not included in the study, because of insufficient probe

size or denial of informed consent.

Total	Total	0/					
Total	Total	%	Men	Women			
	180		111	69			
Adenocarcinoma	70	38.9%	38	32			
Squamous cell carzinoma	47	26.1%	34	13			
Large cell carcinoma	17	9.4%	10	7			
Sarcomatoides Karzinom	8	4.4%	7	1			
Adenosquamous carcinoma	0	0.0%	0	0			
NOS (non other specified)	38	21.1%	22	16			
NOS (non other specified) 38 21.1% 22 16							

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-002560 on 3 April 2013. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 14, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES).

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

to UICC 7th edition)(13).

	Intention to treat population (n = 732)	Percent	Study Population (n = 522)	Percent	Patients excluded from analyses (n = 180)	Percent		
Clinical Stage (n;%)								
Staging not completed	13	1.8	9	1.6	4	2.2		
IA	76	10.4	56	10.1	20	11.1		
IB	50	6.8	36	6.5	14	7.8		
IIA	44	6.0	35	6.3	9	5.0		
IIB	54	7.4	44	8.0	10	5.6		
IIIA	116	15.8	95	17.2	21	11.7		
IIIB	82	11.2	70	12.7	12	6.7		
IV	297	40.6	207	37.5	90	50.0		
Figure Legend								

Figure Legend

Figure 1: Representation of a mutation in exon 19 (del E746-A750) by using Sanger dideoxy (15).

References

- (1) Jemal A, Siegel R, Xu J, et al. Cancer statistics, 2010. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2010 Sep;60(5):277-300.
- (2) van Zandwijk N, Mathy A, Boerrigter L, et al. EGFR and KRAS mutations as criteria for treatment with tyrosine kinase inhibitors: retro- and prospective observations in non-small-cell lung cancer. *Ann Oncol* 2007 Jan;18(1):99-103.
- (3) Schneider CP, Heigener D, Schott-von-Romer K, et al. Epidermal growth factor receptor-related tumor markers and clinical outcomes with erlotinib in non-small cell lung cancer: an analysis of patients from german centers in the TRUST study. J Thorac Oncol 2008 Dec;3(12):1446-53.
- (4) Heigener DF, Wu YL, van ZN, et al. Second-line erlotinib in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: subgroup analyses from the TRUST study. *Lung Cancer* 2011 Nov;74(2):274-9.
- (5) Mok TS, Wu YL, Thongprasert S, et al. Gefitinib or carboplatin-paclitaxel in pulmonary adenocarcinoma. *N Engl J Med* 2009 Sep 3;361(10):947-57.
- (6) Douillard JY, Shepherd FA, Hirsh V, et al. Molecular predictors of outcome with gefitinib and docetaxel in previously treated non-small-cell lung cancer: data from the randomized phase III INTEREST trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2010 Feb 10;28(5):744-52.
- (7) Zhou C, Wu YL, Chen G, et al. Erlotinib versus chemotherapy as first-line treatment for patients with advanced EGFR mutation-positive non-small-cell lung cancer (OPTIMAL, CTONG-0802): a multicentre, open-label, randomised, phase 3 study. *Lancet Oncol* 2011 Aug;12(8):735-42.
- (8) Massarelli E, Varella-Garcia M, Tang X, et al. KRAS mutation is an important predictor of resistance to therapy with epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors in non-small-cell lung cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2007 May 15;13(10):2890-6.
- (9) Boldrini L, Ali G, Gisfredi S, et al. Epidermal growth factor receptor and K-RAS mutations in 411 lung adenocarcinoma: a population-based prospective study. Oncol Rep 2009 Oct;22(4):683-91.
- (10) Eberhard DA, Johnson BE, Amler LC, et al. Mutations in the epidermal growth factor receptor and in KRAS are predictive and prognostic indicators in patients with nonsmall-cell lung cancer treated with chemotherapy alone and in combination with erlotinib. J Clin Oncol 2005 Sep 1;23(25):5900-9.
- (11) Wu YL, Zhong WZ, Li LY, et al. Epidermal growth factor receptor mutations and their correlation with gefitinib therapy in patients with non-small cell lung cancer: a metaanalysis based on updated individual patient data from six medical centers in mainland China. *J Thorac Oncol* 2007 May;2(5):430-9.

(12) Sriuranpong V, Chantranuwat C, Huapai N, et al. High frequency of mutation of epidermal growth factor receptor in lung adenocarcinoma in Thailand. *Cancer Lett* 2006 Aug 8;239(2):292-7.

- (13) International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer. Staging Manual in Thoracic Oncology. Editorial Rx Press; 2009.
- (14) Travis WD, Garg K, Franklin WA, et al. Bronchioloalveolar carcinoma and lung adenocarcinoma: the clinical importance and research relevance of the 2004 World Health Organization pathologic criteria. J Thorac Oncol 2006 Nov;1(9 Suppl):S13-S19.
- (15) Sanger F, Nicklen S, Coulson AR. DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1977 Dec;74(12):5463-7.
- (16) Tapia C, Savic S, Bihl M, et al. [EGFR mutation analysis in non-small-cell lung cancer : Experience from routine diagnostics]. *Pathologe* 2009 Sep;30(5):384-92.
- (17) Rosell R, Moran T, Queralt C, et al. Screening for epidermal growth factor receptor mutations in lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2009 Sep 3;361(10):958-67.
- (18) Hirsch FR, Varella-Garcia M, Cappuzzo F, et al. Combination of EGFR gene copy number and protein expression predicts outcome for advanced non-small-cell lung cancer patients treated with gefitinib. Ann Oncol 2007 Apr;18(4):752-60.
- (19) Khambata-Ford S, Harbison CT, Hart LL, et al. Analysis of potential predictive markers of cetuximab benefit in BMS099, a phase III study of cetuximab and first-line taxane/carboplatin in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol 2010 Feb 20;28(6):918-27.
- (20) Pirker R, Pereira JR, Szczesna A, et al. Cetuximab plus chemotherapy in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (FLEX): an open-label randomised phase III trial. *Lancet* 2009 May 2;373(9674):1525-31.
- (21) Sakuma Y, Matsukuma S, Yoshihara M, et al. Distinctive evaluation of nonmucinous and mucinous subtypes of bronchioloalveolar carcinomas in EGFR and K-ras genemutation analyses for Japanese lung adenocarcinomas: confirmation of the correlations with histologic subtypes and gene mutations. *Am J Clin Pathol* 2007 Jul;128(1):100-8.
- (22) Brugger W, Triller N, Blasinska-Morawiec M, et al. Prospective molecular marker analyses of EGFR and KRAS from a randomized, placebo-controlled study of erlotinib maintenance therapy in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol 2011 Nov 1;29(31):4113-20.
- (23) Li M, Liu L, Liu Z, et al. The status of KRAS mutations in patients with non-small cell lung cancers from mainland China. *Oncol Rep* 2009 Nov;22(5):1013-20.

- (24) Scoccianti C, Vesin A, Martel G, et al. Prognostic value of TP53, KRAS and EGFR mutations in nonsmall cell lung cancer: the EUELC cohort. *Eur Respir J* 2012 Jul;40(1):177-84.
 - (25) Ren JH, He WS, Yan GL, et al. EGFR mutations in non-small-cell lung cancer among smokers and non-smokers: a meta-analysis. *Environ Mol Mutagen* 2012 Jan;53(1):78-82.
 - (26) Bogdanovica I, Godfrey F, McNeill A, et al. Smoking prevalence in the European Union: a comparison of national and transnational prevalence survey methods and results. *Tob Control* 2011 Jan;20(1):e4.
 - (27) Sharma SV, Bell DW, Settleman J, et al. Epidermal growth factor receptor mutations in lung cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2007 Mar;7(3):169-81.
 - (28) Bonanno L, Schiavon M, Nardo G, et al. Prognostic and predictive implications of EGFR mutations, EGFR copy number and KRAS mutations in advanced stage lung adenocarcinoma. *Anticancer Res* 2010 Dec;30(12):5121-8.
 - (29) Reinersman JM, Johnson ML, Riely GJ, et al. Frequency of EGFR and KRAS mutations in lung adenocarcinomas in African Americans. *J Thorac Oncol* 2011 Jan;6(1):28-31.
 - (30) Lynch TJ, Bell DW, Sordella R, et al. Activating mutations in the epidermal growth factor receptor underlying responsiveness of non-small-cell lung cancer to gefitinib. N Engl J Med 2004 May 20;350(21):2129-39.
 - (31) Nelson HH, Christiani DC, Mark EJ, et al. Implications and prognostic value of K-ras mutation for early-stage lung cancer in women. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1999 Dec 1;91(23):2032-8.
 - (32) Ahrendt SA, Decker PA, Alawi EA, et al. Cigarette smoking is strongly associated with mutation of the K-ras gene in patients with primary adenocarcinoma of the lung. *Cancer* 2001 Sep 15;92(6):1525-30.
 - (33) Siegfried JM, Gillespie AT, Mera R, et al. Prognostic value of specific KRAS mutations in lung adenocarcinomas. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 1997 Oct;6(10):841-7.