

# EVALUATION OF THREE-DOSE FOSFOMYCIN TROMETHAMINE IN THE TREATMENT OF PATIENTS WITH URINARY TRACT INFECTIONS: AN UNCONTROLLED, OPEN-LABEL, MULTICENTER STUDY

Journal:	BMJ Open		
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2013-004157		
Article Type:	Research		
Date Submitted by the Author:	01-Oct-2013		
Complete List of Authors:	Qiao, Lu-Dong; Beijing Tongren Hospital Capital Medical University, Urology Zheng, Bo; Peking University First Hospital, Institute of Clinical Pharmacology Chen, Shan; Beijing Tongren Hospital Capital Medical University, Urology Yang, Yong; Beijing Cancer Hospital, Urology Zhang, Kai; Peking University First Hospital, Urology Guo, Hong-Feng; Peking University Shougang Hospital (Jieping Wu Urology Center), Urology Yang, Bo; Peking University People's Hospital, Urology Niu, Yuan-Jie; Second Affiliated Hospital of Tianjin Medical University, Urology Wang, Yi; First Affiliated Hospital of Tianjin Medical University, Urology Shi, Ben-Kang; Qilu Hospital of Shandong University, Urology Yang, Wei-Min; Hubei Tongji Hospital, Urology Zhao, Xiao-Kun; Hunan Xiangya Second Hospital, Urology Chen, Ming; Zhongda Hospital affiliated to Southeast University, Urology		
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Urology		
Secondary Subject Heading:	Urology		
Keywords:	UROLOGY, Urinary tract infections < UROLOGY, Adult urology < UROLOGY		

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts

# EVALUATION OF THREE-DOSE FOSFOMYCIN TROMETHAMINE IN THE TREATMENT OF PATIENTS WITH URINARY TRACT INFECTIONS: AN UNCONTROLLED, OPEN-LABEL, MULTICENTER STUDY

Lu-Dong Qiao<sup>1#</sup>; Bo Zheng<sup>2#</sup>; Shan Chen<sup>1\*</sup>; Yong Yang<sup>3</sup>; Kai Zhang<sup>4</sup>; Hong-Feng Guo<sup>5</sup>; Bo Yang<sup>6</sup>; Yuan-Jie Niu<sup>7</sup>; Yi Wang<sup>8</sup>; Ben-Kang Shi<sup>9</sup>; Wei-Min Yang<sup>10</sup>; Xiao-Kun Zhao<sup>11</sup>;

Xiao-Feng Gao<sup>12</sup>; Ming Chen<sup>13</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Urology. Beijing Tongren Hospital Capital Medical University, Beijing, P. R.

China

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Clinical Pharmacology. Peking University First Hospital, Beijing, P. R. China

Beijing, P. R. China

R. China

R. China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Urology. Beijing Cancer Hospital, Beijing, P. R. China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Department of Urology. Peking University First Hospital, Beijing, P. R. China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Department of Urology. Peking University Shougang Hospital (Jieping Wu Urology Center),

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Department of Urology. Peking University People's Hospital, Beijing, P. R. China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Department of Urology. Second Affiliated Hospital of Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, P.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Department of Urology. First Affiliated Hospital of China Medical University, Shenyang, P.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Department of Urology. Qilu Hospital of Shandong University, Jinan, P. R. China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>Department of Urology. Wuhan Hubei Tongji Hospital, Wuhan, P. R. China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Department of Urology. Hunan Province Xiangya Second Hospital, Changsha, P. R. China

<sup>12</sup>Department of Urology. Shanghai Changhai Hospital, Shanghai, P. R. China

<sup>13</sup>Department of Urology. Zhongda Hospital Southeast University, Nanjing, P. R. China

\*Correspondence to: Shan Chen, Department of Urology, Beijing Tongren Hospital Capital

Medical University; Address: No. 1, Dong Jiao Min Xiang, 100730 Beijing, P. R. China;

E-mail: shanchentr001@163.com

\*Both authors contributed equally to the study and share first authorship.

Keywords: fosfomycin tromethamine; urinary tract infection; efficacy; safety; China

Word count: 2154

**Objective:** To evaluate the clinical and microbiological efficacy and safety of three doses of 3 g fosfomycin tromethamine administered orally to treat urinary tract infections.

Design and participants: This prospective, uncontrolled, open-label study was conducted in 12 medical centers in China, between January and December 2011. According to the diagnosis criteria of Chinese Guidelines on Urological Infections, patients (18–70 years old) with acute uncomplicated cystitis, recurrent urinary tract infection or complicated urinary tract infection received three doses of 3 g fosfomycin tromethamine orally, at days 1, 3 and 5.

Primary and secondary outcome measures: Efficacy endpoints (clinical efficacy, microbiological efficacy and overall efficacy) was evaluated for day 15. Clinical symptoms, physical signs, urinalysis, liver and kidney function, patient records and evaluation of adverse events (AEs) and serious adverse events (SAEs) up to day 15 were evaluated for analysis of safety.

**Results**: 361 patients were included in the full analysis set (FAS), 356 in the safety analysis set (SS) and 335 in the per-protocol set (PPS). In the PPS, the clinical efficacy rates at day 15 for acute uncomplicated cystitis, recurrent urinary tract infection and complicated urinary tract infection were 94.71% (179/189), 77.22% (61/79), and 62.69% (42/67), respectively. Microbiological efficacy rates (day 15) were 97.65% (83/85), 94.44% (34/36) and 83.87% (26/31), respectively. The overall efficacy rates (day 15) were 95.29% (81/85), 77.78% (28/36) and 64.52% (20/31), respectively. 20/356 (5.6%) patients reported drug-related AEs, the most common being diarrhea. No serious drug-related AEs were reported.

Conclusions: This fosfomycin tromethamine dosing regimen showed clinical and

microbiological efficacy with some AEs and good tolerability in patients with acute uncomplicated cystitis, recurrent urinary tract infection and complicated urinary tract infection.

## **Article summary:**

#### **Article focus:**

- Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are a common clinical infectious disease.
- Increasing drug resistance against other oral antibiotics makes fosfomycin tromethamine a valuable alternative in the treatment of UTIs.
- The aim of this study was to evaluate the clinical and microbiological efficacy and safety of fosfomycin tromethamine in UTI patients from China.

#### **Key messages:**

- Overall, oral administration of three doses of 3 g fosfomycin tromethamine on days 1,
   3 and 5 showed good clinical and microbiological efficacy in the treatment of acute
   uncomplicated cystitis, recurrent UTI and complicated UTI.
- The dosage regimen used in this study was associated with a clinically acceptable safety profile, the most frequent adverse event being mild diarrhea, in-line with previous studies. No abnormal laboratory results were recorded.
- Three single 3 g doses of fosfomycin tromethamine taken orally, every other day, were effective and well tolerated. We believe that this dosage regimen can be adopted to treat UTIs in clinical practice.

### Strengths and limitations of this study:

#### INTRODUCTION

Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are a common clinical infectious disease. Every year, there are more than 7 million outpatients and about one million patients are hospitalized due to UTIs.[1] Death caused by septic shock due to UTIs ranks third among all lethal infections.[2] In China, UTIs account for 9.39%–50% of all nosocomial infections.[3,4]

In recent years, many resistant strains have emerged as a consequence of the use of broad-spectrum cephalosporins. In particular, strains producing extended-spectrum beta lactamase (ESBL) have created a considerable obstacle in the clinical treatment of UTIs. The fosfomycin derivative fosfomycin tromethamine, a broad-spectrum bactericidal antibiotic inhibiting the synthesis of the bacterial cell wall, has been able to maintain resistance rates amongst *Escherichia coli* as low as 1% worldwide.[5] Available evidence suggests that, even for ESBL-producing *E. coli*, the resistance rate to fosfomycin can be maintained at 3.4%—16.1%.[6,7] The increasing difficulties associated with the increasing drug resistance against other oral antibiotics makes fosfomycin even more valuable in the treatment of UTIs. In order to obtain a deeper understanding of its therapeutic effect in domestic patients, we evaluated the clinical and microbiological efficacy and safety in UTI patients from 12 research centers in China.

#### **METHODS**

#### Study design and participants

This was a prospective, uncontrolled, open-label and multicenter study approved by the ethics committee of the Beijing Tongren Hospital. Eligible patients were between 18 and 70 years of age and had sought medical help for acute uncomplicated cystitis (AUC), recurrent UTI or complicated UTI[8] in a urology department of one of 12 medical centers in China (see appendix) from January 2011 to December 2011. All study participants provided informed consent.

The main exclusion criteria were: a history of allergy to fosfomycin; susceptibility results

The main exclusion criteria were: a history of allergy to fosfomycin; susceptibility results showing resistance to the test drug; fever; grade 3 or 4 cardiac insufficiency; pregnant or lactating women, or women of childbearing age with a positive urine pregnancy test; acute pyelonephritis or acute bout of chronic pyelonephritis; previously diagnosed renal failure; liver disease, with ALT or AST greater than 2 times the upper limit of normal range; white blood cell count less than  $2.0 \times 10^9$  /L; receipt of other anti-infective drugs within 72 hours before enrollment; patients in the late stages of malignant tumors; long-term use of hormones or immunosuppressants; a history of epilepsy or central nervous system disorders; severe diarrhea; participation in another clinical trial within 3 months prior to enrollment.

#### Test drug and dosing regimen

The test drug was fosfomycin tromethamine powder (3 g fosfomycin per bottle), produced by Shanxi C&Y Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., batch number-20100626.

Treatment duration was 5 days: on the first day (day 1), a dose of 3 g fosfomycin tromethamine powder was dissolved with an appropriate amount of water and taken on an empty stomach before dinner; two further doses of 3 g fosfomycin tromethamine powder

#### **Clinical observations**

Infection signs and symptoms, including frequent, urgent, painful, and difficult urination, were noted on days 1, 8, and 15.

Blood count, including white blood cells, red blood cells, platelets, neutrophils, lymphocytes, eosinophils and hemoglobin, was performed on days 1 and 8. Patients with abnormal levels on day 8 were reexamined on day 15.

Urinalysis, including urine proteins, red and white blood cells, was performed on days 1, 8, and 15.

Blood biochemistry, including liver functions (ALT, AST,  $\gamma$ -GT, TBIL, DBIL) and renal functions (BUN, Cr), was assessed on days 1 and 8. Patients with abnormal levels on day 8 were reexamined on day 15.

Bacterial cultures were obtained for days 1, 8, and 15, using aseptic techniques to collect midstream specimens of urine.

# Evaluation of efficacy and safety

Clinical efficacy, microbiological efficacy, overall efficacy and safety were evaluated on day 15.

Evaluation of clinical efficacy

The clinical effect on the UTIs of participants was described using the following 3 grades: cured (symptoms and signs disappeared completely), improved (symptoms and signs

improved markedly) and ineffective (symptoms and signs worsened or had no significant improvement). Both "cured" and "improved" were considered "effective" results and were used to calculate the clinical efficacy rate.

Evaluation of microbiological efficacy

The microbiological efficacy for each patient with positive urine culture was evaluated from day 1. We assessed the microbiological effect using the following 5 grades: cleared (no pathogenic bacteria in the urine were present on days 8 and 15), partially cleared (at least one of several pathogenic bacteria, but not all, were cleared by days 8 and 15), not cleared (pathogenic bacteria were still present on days 8 and 15), replacement (a new pathogenic bacteria was detected on days 8 and 15, but the patient had no clinical symptoms and no treatment was necessary) and re-infection (a new pathogenic bacteria was detected on days 8 and 15; the patient had symptoms and treatment was necessary). Microbiological test results showing "cleared", "partially cleared", "replacement" or "re-infection" were considered "effective" results and were used to calculate the microbiological efficacy rate.

Evaluation of overall efficacy

Overall efficacy was assessed on patients for whom both clinical and microbiological efficacy data were available by day 15. Four grades were used: excellent (all assessments [clinical symptoms, physical signs, laboratory tests and bacteriological examinations] had returned to normal by day 15), good (the condition improved markedly, but one of the described assessments remained out of the normal range), fair (some improvement was observed after treatment, but two of the described assessments failed to return to normal) and poor (no significant improvement was observed or the assessments worsened). Cases graded

Evaluation of safety

Clinical symptoms, physical signs, urinalysis, liver and kidney function, all patient records and evaluation of adverse events (AEs) and serious adverse events (SAEs) were considered for the safety evaluation. According to their severity, AEs were categorized by patients as follows: "no discomfort", "mild discomfort", "moderate discomfort" and "severe discomfort". The association between AEs and the study drug was assessed by the physician as "definitely related", "probably related", "possibly related", "possibly not related" and "definitely not related".

#### Statistical methods

The data management software EpiData was used for data entry (Lauritsen JM. EpiData Data Entry, Odense Denmark, EpiData Association, 2000-2008. Available from:

http://www.epidata.dk). Each case report form was completed by two persons and entry results were compared using SAS software (Version 9.2). The verified data were then entered into a database that was considered as final and correct. For efficacy evaluation, the efficacy rates were calculated as a ratio between the "effective" cases and the total number of patients. For safety analyses, the number of patients with AEs, drug related AEs, and the incidence of AEs were calculated.

The populations included in the analyses were handled as follows: all selected patients who received the study drug were included in the full analysis set (FAS). The per-protocol set

(PPS) included the patients from FAS who did not meet the following conditions: (1) inclusion criteria or exclusion criteria violation; (2) use of prohibited concomitant medications; (3) administration of the study drug less than 3 times; (4) failure to undergo the examinations required to determine the clinical effect; (5) poor compliance with the study drug. The PPS population was considered the primary analysis population. All patients who received at least one dose of the study drug and had data available for all safety endpoints were included in the safety analysis set (SAS).

#### **RESULTS**

## **Baseline demographics**

361 patients enrolled at 12 centers were included in the FAS. 5 patients did not take the test drugs and were excluded, resulting in 356 patients being included in the SAS. 21 patients were further excluded due to failure to undergo the examinations required to determine the clinical effect, resulting in 335 patients being included in the PPS. Demographic data and infection types for FAS and PPS are shown in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Demographic characteristics of patients at enrollment

	1					
37 : 11	D	F	FAS	PI	PPS	
Variables	Parameter	n	%	n	%	
Gender	male	114	31.58	105	29.08	
Gender	female	247	68.42	230	63.71	
Age (years)		49.63	$49.63 \pm 16.64$		$49.91 \pm 16.61$	
Height (cm)		163.5	$5 \pm 7.12$	163.47	± 7.05	
Weight (kg)	10_	61.36	$61.36 \pm 10.2$		$61.44 \pm 9.81$	
Antibiotics*		45	12.53	43	12.84	
Hypertension		65	18.01	62	18.51	
Diabetes		25	6.93	24	7.16	
	AUC	204	56.51	189	56.42	
UTI diagnosis**	recurrent UTI	87	24.38	79	23.58	
	complicated UTI	69	19.11	67	20.00	

Footnote: \*use of antibiotics <72 h prior to enrollment; \*\*for 1 patient, the diagnosis was uncertain; FAS = full analysis set; PPS = per-protocol set; AUC = acute uncomplicated cystitis; UTI = urinary tract infection; n = number of patients.

# Clinical efficacy

On day 15, the fosfomycin tromethamine treatment showed clinical efficacy in 282/335 patients. Of these, the symptoms and signs of 228/335 (65.07%) patients were graded as "cured". Clinical efficacy rates for patients of different gender, age, or with different infection

 types are shown in Table 2.

**Table 2.** Clinical efficacy at day 15 (per-protocol set)

V1-1			Effective	Ineffective	Efficacy
Variables		n	n	n	rate (%)
Gender	Male	105	77	28	73.33
	Female	230	205	25	89.13
<b>A</b> ===	<50 years	154	137	17	88.96
Age	≥50 years	181	145	36	80.11
	AUC	189	179	10	94.71
Diagnosis	Recurrent UTI	79	61	18	77.22
	Complicated UTI	67	42	25	62.69

Footnote: AUC = acute uncomplicated cystitis; UTI = urinary tract infection; n = number of patients.

# Microbiological efficacy

198/356 patients had positive cultures from urine samples collected on day 1, before receiving the first dose of treatment. Microbiological efficacy was determined for 152 patients (46/198 patients did not have urine cultures at follow-up visits). 127/152 (83.55%) patients had a microbiological efficacy grade of "cleared", 0/152 patients were graded as "partially cleared", 9/152 (5.92%) patients were graded as "not cleared", 15/152 (9.87%) patients as "replacement" and 1/152 (0.66%) patients as "re-infected". The percentage of patients showing effective treatment in terms of microbiological results was 94.08%.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-004157 on 4 December 2013. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 11, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Microbiology efficacy by infection type is shown in Table 3.

**Table 3**. Microbiology efficacy by infection type (152 cases)

D'		Effective	Ineffective	Efficacy rate
Diagnosis	n	n	n	(%)
AUC	85	83	2	97.65
Recurrent UTI	36	34	2	94.44
Complicated UTI	31	26	5	83.87

Footnote: AUC = acute uncomplicated cystitis;

UTI = urinary tract infection; n = number of patients.

# **Overall efficacy**

Overall efficacy was assessed for the 152 patients with available microbiological test results. 106/152 (69.74%) patients were considered to be "excellent", 23/152 (15.13%) patients were "good", 14/152 (9.21%) patients were "fair" and 9/152 (5.92%) patients were "poor". Overall efficacy rate by infection type is shown in Table 4.

**Table 4.** Overall efficacy by infection type (152 cases)

Diamoria	n	Effective	Ineffective	Efficacy rate	
Diagnosis		n	n	(%)	
AUC	85	81	4	95.29	
Recurrent UTI	36	28	8	77.78	
Complicated UTI	31	20	11	64.52	

Footnote: AUC = acute uncomplicated cystitis;

UTI = urinary tract infection; n = number of patients.

#### Safety evaluation

AEs were reported in 20/356 (5.6%) patients; the most frequently reported event was diarrhea (18/356 [5.06%]). 14 patients had mild diarrhea, and 4 had moderate diarrhea. One patient (0.28%) reported mild fatigue and one patient reported mild backache. One patient discontinued the trial due to moderate diarrhea. All other AEs resolved without further treatment. No patients showed abnormal laboratory test results.

#### **DISCUSSION**

Fosfomycin tromethamine has been used in clinical practice for many years in Europe, but rarely used in China until recently. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first multicenter study to evaluate fosfomycin tromethamine in the treatment of UTIs in China. It has been previously observed that one dose of 3 g fosfomycin tromethamine taken orally reaches a peak concentration in the urine of 1,053–4,415 mg/L and the fosfomycin concentration in urine is maintained at levels greater than 128 mg/L for 24–48 hours, which is sufficient to suppress a variety of pathogenic bacteria in the urinary tract.[9] In the current study, patients received 3 doses of 3 g fosfomycin tromethamine every other day.

With bacterial resistance rising worldwide, the European Urology Association adjusted their recommendations for the treatment of AUC in the Guidelines on Urological Infections 2010.[10] The initial recommended first-line drugs, such as sulfamethoxazole trimethoprim

Complicated UTIs are associated with conditions such as a structural or functional abnormality of the genitourinary tract, or the presence of an underlying disease that interferes with host defense mechanisms, increasing the risks of acquiring infection or of failing therapy. Complicated UTI is caused by a broader spectrum of pathogenic bacteria, and is associated with a higher level of drug resistance, than uncomplicated UTI. Domestic research has shown that the isolation rate for ESBL-producing *E. coli* is 44% in complicated UTI, with susceptibility data showing that ESBL-producing strains have significantly higher resistance against commonly used antibiotics than the non-ESBL-producing strains.[12,13] With a growing proportion of ESBL-producing strains identified in UTIs, the resistance rate of *E. coli* to commonly used second and third generation cephalosporins has increased to more than 45%.[14] This creates considerable obstacles for effective antimicrobial use in clinical

practice. As a direct result, an increasing amount of carbapenem has been used in the empirical treatment of complicated UTI, which has led to an increase in bacterial resistance, an imbalance of commensal flora, and increased risk of fungal infection.[15] Previous single-center studies have shown good results achieved with fosfomycin in the treatment of UTIs caused by ESBL-producing bacteria.[16] In line with this, the current study showed clinical, microbial and overall efficacy rates of 62.69%, 83.87% and 64.52%, respectively, in the treatment of complicated UTI.

We observed a low frequency of AEs in the current study. Our findings are in line with an overview of safety and tolerability results from 12 open and double-blind comparative studies,[17] in which 891 female patients received a single 3 g dose of fosfomycin tromethamine. In that study, AEs were reported for 6.1% of the patients, with the most frequent complaints being gastro-intestinal complaints.

One limitation of this study results from the same dosage regimen (3 doses, of 3 g each, of fosfomycin tromethamine taken orally) used for the different types of UTI. The dosage may not be sufficient for the complicated UTI, but may exceed the required dosage for simple AUC. Therefore, further in-depth studies will be needed with different treatment regimens for the different types of infection.

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

The current study suggests that three single 3 g doses of fosfomycin tromethamine taken orally, every other day, are effective and well tolerated, with a clinically acceptable safety

profile in the treatment of AUC, recurrent UTI or complicated UTI. We believe that this dosage regimen can be adopted to treat UTIs in clinical practice.

**Acknowledgements:** Authors would like to thank all study participants and the general practitioners, study nurses and personnel who contributed to this study. Authors wish to acknowledge the support provided by Linyu Li and Jinghan Zhang. Authors also thank Juliette Gray and Adriana Rusu (XPE Pharma & Science) for editorial support.

Contributors: The work presented here was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Shan Chen, Lu-dong Qiao and Bo Zheng designed research and defined the research theme; Lu-dong Qiao, YongYang, Kai Zhang, Hong-feng Guo, Bo Yang, Yuan-jie Niu, Yi Wang, Ben-kang Shi, Wei-min Yang, Xiao-kun Zhao, Xiao-feng Gao, Ming Chen performed the research; Shan Chen and Lu-dong Qiao analyzed data, interpretated the results. All authors attended the written of the paper and have read and approved the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests: None.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

#### Reference list

- Foxman B: Epidemiology of urinary tract infections: incidence, morbidity, and economic costs. Am J Med 2002;113:5S-13S.
- 2. Pinner RW, Teutsch SM, Simonsen L, et al: Trends in infectious disease mortality in the United States. *JAMA* 1996;**275**:189–93.
- 3. Wang JQ, Wu XP, Li YJ, et al: Nosocomial infection point-prevalence survey in three consecutive years. *Chin J Infect Control* 2006;**01**:19–34.
- 4. Wang JX, Zhu XL, Yang LY: A nosocomial infection point-prevalence survey: results and analysis. *Chinese Journal of Nosocomiology* 2005;**10**:1119–20.
- 5. Schito GC: Why fosfomycin trometamol as first line therapy for uncomplicated UTI? *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2003;**22**:79–83.
- 6. Qi J, Cheng X: Results analysis of ESBLs-producing *E. coli* in vitro susceptibility to fosfomycin. *China Tropical Medicine* 2010;**10**:734–5.
- 7. Da PN, Rong M, Yong EL: In vitro antimicrobial activity of fosfomycin against gram-negative bacilli. *Dalian Medical University* 2010;**32**:577–9.
- 8. Na YQ, Sun G. Guidelines for diagnosis and treatment of urological diseases in China, 2009. Beijing: People's Health Publishing House 2009:643–4.
- Patel SS, Balfour JA, Bryson HM: Fosfomycin tromethamine. A review of its antibacterial
  activity, pharmacokinetic properties and therapeutic efficacy as a single-dose oral
  treatment for acute uncomplicated lower urinary tract infections. *Drugs* 1997;53:637–56.
- 10. Grabe M, Bjerklund-Johansen TE, Botto H, et al: European Association of Urology,

- 11. Stein GE: Single-dose treatment of acute cystitis with fosfomycin tromethamine. *Ann Pharmacother* 1998;**32**:215–9.
- 12. Qiao LD, Chen S: Analysis of risk factors about ESBLs-producing *E. coli* urinary tract infection. *J Hosp Infect* 2011;**21**:247–9.
- Kong HS, Wang BG: Comparative study of antibiotic resistance in clinical isolates of Klebsiella pneumoniae and Escherichia coli producing extended-spectrum beta lactamases. *J Lab Med* 2000;23:23–5.
- 14. Gao L, Xiao YH: 2006 2007 Mohnarin report: Bacterial distribution and resistance in urinary tract infections. *Chinese Journal of Antibiotics* 2008;**33**:586–91.
- 15. Chen H, Liu Y: Relationship between antimicrobial treatment and imbalance of intestinal flora in the elders. *Practical Clinical Medicine*, 2007;**9**:34–6.
- Pullukcu H, Tasbakan M, Sipahi OR, et al: Fosfomycin in the treatment of extended spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli*-related lower urinary tract infections.
   Int J Antimicrob Agents 2007;29:62–5.
- 17. Naber KG: Fosfomycin trometamol in treatment of uncomplicated lower urinary tract infections in adult women an overview. *Infection* 1992;**20**:S310–S312.



# EVALUATION OF THREE-DOSE FOSFOMYCIN TROMETHAMINE IN THE TREATMENT OF PATIENTS WITH URINARY TRACT INFECTIONS: AN UNCONTROLLED, OPEN-LABEL, MULTICENTER STUDY

Journal:	BMJ Open		
Manuscript ID:	bmjopen-2013-004157.R1		
Article Type:	Research		
Date Submitted by the Author:	12-Nov-2013		
Complete List of Authors:	Qiao, Lu-Dong; Beijing Tongren Hospital Capital Medical University, Urology Zheng, Bo; Peking University First Hospital, Institute of Clinical Pharmacology Chen, Shan; Beijing Tongren Hospital Capital Medical University, Urology Yang, Yong; Beijing Cancer Hospital, Urology Zhang, Kai; Peking University First Hospital, Urology Guo, Hong-Feng; Peking University Shougang Hospital (Jieping Wu Urology Center), Urology Yang, Bo; Peking University People's Hospital, Urology Niu, Yuan-Jie; Second Affiliated Hospital of Tianjin Medical University, Urology Wang, Yi; First Affiliated Hospital of Tianjin Medical University, Urology Shi, Ben-Kang; Qilu Hospital of Shandong University, Urology Yang, Wei-Min; Hubei Tongji Hospital, Urology Zhao, Xiao-Kun; Hunan Xiangya Second Hospital, Urology Chen, Ming; Zhongda Hospital affiliated to Southeast University, Urology		
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Urology		
Secondary Subject Heading:	Urology		
Keywords:	UROLOGY, Urinary tract infections < UROLOGY, Adult urology < UROLOGY		

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts

# EVALUATION OF THREE-DOSE FOSFOMYCIN TROMETHAMINE IN THE TREATMENT OF PATIENTS WITH URINARY TRACT INFECTIONS: AN UNCONTROLLED, OPEN-LABEL, MULTICENTER STUDY

Lu-Dong Qiao<sup>1#</sup>; Bo Zheng<sup>2#</sup>; Shan Chen<sup>1\*</sup>; Yong Yang<sup>3</sup>; Kai Zhang<sup>4</sup>; Hong-Feng Guo<sup>5</sup>; Bo Yang<sup>6</sup>; Yuan-Jie Niu<sup>7</sup>; Yi Wang<sup>8</sup>; Ben-Kang Shi<sup>9</sup>; Wei-Min Yang<sup>10</sup>; Xiao-Kun Zhao<sup>11</sup>; Xiao-Feng Gao<sup>12</sup>; Ming Chen<sup>13</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Urology. Beijing Tongren Hospital Capital Medical University, Beijing, P. R.

China

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Clinical Pharmacology. Peking University First Hospital, Beijing, P. R. China

<sup>3</sup>Department of Urology. Beijing Cancer Hospital, Beijing, P. R. China

<sup>4</sup>Department of Urology. Peking University First Hospital, Beijing, P. R. China

<sup>5</sup>Department of Urology. Peking University Shougang Hospital (Jieping Wu Urology Center),

Beijing, P. R. China

<sup>6</sup>Department of Urology. Peking University People's Hospital, Beijing, P. R. China

<sup>7</sup>Department of Urology. Second Affiliated Hospital of Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, P.

R. China

<sup>8</sup>Department of Urology. First Affiliated Hospital of China Medical University, Shenyang, P.

R. China

<sup>9</sup>Department of Urology. Qilu Hospital of Shandong University, Jinan, P. R. China

<sup>10</sup>Department of Urology. Wuhan Hubei Tongji Hospital, Wuhan, P. R. China

<sup>11</sup>Department of Urology. Hunan Province Xiangya Second Hospital, Changsha, P. R. China

<sup>12</sup>Department of Urology. Shanghai Changhai Hospital, Shanghai, P. R. China

<sup>13</sup>Department of Urology. Zhongda Hospital Southeast University, Nanjing, P. R. China

\*Correspondence to: Shan Chen, Department of Urology, Beijing Tongren Hospital Capital

Medical University; Address: No. 1, Dong Jiao Min Xiang, 100730 Beijing, P. R. China;

E-mail:shanchentr001@163.com

\*Both authors contributed equally to the study and share first authorship.

Keywords: fosfomycin tromethamine; urinary tract infection; efficacy; safety; China

Word count: 2399

#### **Abstract:**

**Objective:** To evaluate the clinical and microbiological efficacy and safety of three doses of 3 g fosfomycin tromethamine administered orally to treat lower urinary tract infections.

**Design and participants:** This prospective, uncontrolled, open-label study was conducted in 12 medical centers in China, between January and December 2011. According to the diagnosis criteria of Chinese Guidelines on Urological Infections, patients (18–70 years old) with acute uncomplicated cystitis, recurrent lower urinary tract infection or complicated lower urinary tract infection received three doses of 3 g fosfomycin tromethamine orally, at days 1, 3 and 5.

**Primary and secondary outcome measures:** Efficacy endpoints (clinical efficacy, microbiological efficacy and overall efficacy) were evaluated for day 15. Clinical symptoms, physical signs, urinalysis, liver and kidney function, patient records and evaluation of adverse events (AEs) and serious adverse events (SAEs) up to day 15 were evaluated for analysis of safety.

**Results**: 361 patients were included in the full analysis set (FAS), 356 in the safety analysis set (SS) and 335 in the per-protocol set (PPS). In the PPS, the clinical efficacy rates at day 15 for acute uncomplicated cystitis, recurrent lower urinary tract infection and complicated lower urinary tract infection were 94.71% (179/189), 77.22% (61/79), and 62.69% (42/67), respectively. Microbiological efficacy rates (day 15) were 97.65% (83/85), 94.44% (34/36) and 83.87% (26/31), respectively. The overall efficacy rates (day 15) were 95.29% (81/85),

Conclusions: This fosfomycin tromethamine dosing regimen showed clinical and microbiological efficacy with some AEs and good tolerability in patients with acute uncomplicated cystitis, recurrent lower urinary tract infection and complicated lower urinary tract infection.

# **Article summary:**

## **Article focus:**

- Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are a common clinical infectious disease.
- Increasing drug resistance against other oral antibiotics makes fosfomycin tromethamine a valuable alternative in the treatment of UTIs.
- The aim of this study was to evaluate the clinical and microbiological efficacy and safety of fosfomycin tromethamine in lower UTI patients from China.

#### **Key messages:**

Overall, oral administration of three doses of 3 g fosfomycin tromethamine on days 1,
 3 and 5 showed good clinical and microbiological efficacy in the treatment of acute
 uncomplicated cystitis, recurrent lower UTI and complicated lower UTI.

- The dosage regimen used in this study was associated with a clinically acceptable safety profile, the most frequent adverse event being mild diarrhea, in-line with previous studies. No abnormal laboratory results were recorded.
- Three single 3 g doses of fosfomycin tromethamine taken orally, every other day,
   were effective and well tolerated. We believe that this dosage regimen can be adopted to treat lower UTIs in clinical practice.

# Strengths and limitations of this study:

- To the best of our knowledge, this is the first multicenter study to evaluate fosfomycin tromethamine in the treatment of lower UTIs in China.
- We used the same dosage regimen for the different types of UTI. The dosage may not
  be sufficient for the complicated lower UTI, but may exceed the required dose for
  acute uncomplicated cystitis. Further studies using different dosing regimens for the
  different types of infection are needed.
- Because we aimed to evaluate clinical, microbiological and overall efficacy of fosfomycin thromethamine, the study design did not include a control group.

#### INTRODUCTION

Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are a common clinical infectious disease. Every year, there are more than 7 million outpatients and about one million patients are hospitalized due to UTIs.[1] Death caused by septic shock due to UTIs ranks third among all lethal infections.[2] In China, UTIs account for 9.39%–50% of all nosocomial infections.[3,4]

In recent years, many resistant strains have emerged as a consequence of the use of broad-spectrum cephalosporins. In particular, strains producing extended-spectrum beta lactamase (ESBL) have created a considerable obstacle in the clinical treatment of UTIs. The fosfomycin derivative fosfomycin tromethamine, a broad-spectrum bactericidal antibiotic inhibiting the synthesis of the bacterial cell wall, has been able to maintain resistance rates amongst *Escherichia coli* as low as 1% worldwide.[5] Available evidence suggests that, even for ESBL-producing *E. coli*, the resistance rate to fosfomycin can be maintained at 3.4%–16.1%.[6,7] The increasing difficulties associated with the growing drug resistance against other oral antibiotics makes fosfomycin even more valuable in the treatment of UTIs. In order to obtain a deeper understanding of its therapeutic effect in domestic patients, we evaluated the clinical and microbiological efficacy and safety in lower UTI patients from 12 research centers in China.

### **METHODS**

# Study design and participants

This was a prospective, uncontrolled, open-label, multicenter study approved by the ethics committee of the Beijing Tongren Hospital. Eligible patients were between 18 and 70 years of age and had sought medical help for acute uncomplicated cystitis (AUC), recurrent lower UTI or complicated lower UTI[8] in a urology department of one of 12 medical centers in China (see appendix) from January 2011 to December 2011. All study participants provided informed consent.

The main exclusion criteria were: a history of allergy to fosfomycin; susceptibility results showing resistance to the test drug; fever; grade 3 or 4 cardiac insufficiency; pregnant or lactating women, or women of childbearing age with a positive urine pregnancy test; acute pyelonephritis or acute episode of chronic pyelonephritis; previously diagnosed renal failure; liver disease, with ALT or AST greater than 2 times the upper limit of normal range; white blood cell count less than 2.0x10<sup>9</sup> /L; receipt of other anti-infective drugs within 72 hours before enrollment; patients in the late stages of malignant tumors; long-term use of hormones or immunosuppressants; a history of epilepsy or central nervous system disorders; severe diarrhea; participation in another clinical trial within 3 months prior to enrollment.

#### Test drug and dosing regimen

The test drug was fosfomycin tromethamine powder (3g fosfomycin per bottle), produced by Shanxi C&Y Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., batch number-20100626.

Treatment duration was 5 days: on the first day (day 1), a dose of 3g fosfomycin tromethamine powder was dissolved with an appropriate amount of water and taken on an empty stomach before dinner; two further doses of 3g fosfomycin tromethamine powder were taken orally in the evening of days 3 and 5, before bedtime.

#### Clinical observations

Infection signs and symptoms, including frequent, urgent, painful, and difficult urination, were noted on days 1, 8, and 15.

Blood count, including white blood cells, red blood cells, platelets, neutrophils, lymphocytes, eosinophils and hemoglobin, was performed on days 1 and 8. Patients with abnormal levels on day 8 were reexamined on day 15.

Urinalysis, including urine proteins, red and white blood cells, was performed on days 1, 8, and 15.

Blood biochemistry, including liver functions (ALT, AST,  $\gamma$ -GT, TBIL, DBIL) and renal functions (BUN, Cr), was assessed on days 1 and 8. Patients with abnormal levels on day 8 were reexamined on day 15.

Bacterial cultures were obtained for days 1, 8, and 15, using aseptic techniques to collect

midstream specimens of urine.

# Evaluation of efficacy and safety

Clinical efficacy, microbiological efficacy, overall efficacy and safety were evaluated on day 15.

Evaluation of clinical efficacy

The clinical effect on the lower UTIs of participants was described using the following 3 grades: cured (symptoms and signs disappeared completely), improved (symptoms and signs improved markedly) and ineffective (symptoms and signs worsened or had no significant improvement). Both "cured" and "improved" were considered "effective" results and were used to calculate the clinical efficacy rate.

Evaluation of microbiological efficacy

The microbiological efficacy for each patient with positive urine culture was evaluated from day 1. We assessed the microbiological effect using the following 4 grades: eradication (no pathogenic bacteria in the urine were present on days 8 and 15), persistence (pathogenic bacteria were still present on days 8 and 15 or the patient had a negative culture at day 8, but positive for the same bacteria on day 15), replacement (a new pathogenic bacteria was detected on days 8 and 15, but the patient had no clinical symptoms and no treatment was necessary), and reinfection (a new pathogenic bacteria was detected on days 8 and 15; the patient had symptoms and treatment was necessary). Microbiological test results showing

"eradication", "replacement" or "reinfection" were considered "effective" results and were used to calculate the microbiological efficacy rate.

Evaluation of overall efficacy

Overall efficacy was assessed on patients for whom both clinical and microbiological efficacy data were available by day 15. Four grades were used: excellent (all assessments [clinical symptoms, physical signs, laboratory tests and bacteriological examinations] had returned to normal by day 15), good (the condition improved markedly, but one of the described assessments remained out of the normal range), fair (some improvement was observed after treatment, but two of the described assessments failed to return to normal) and poor (no significant improvement was observed or the assessments worsened). Cases graded as "excellent" or "good" were considered "effective" results and were used to calculate the overall efficacy rate.

Evaluation of safety

Clinical symptoms, physical signs, urinalysis, liver and kidney function, all patient records and evaluation of adverse events (AEs) and serious adverse events (SAEs) were considered for the safety evaluation. According to their severity, AEs were categorized by patients as follows: "no discomfort", "mild discomfort", "moderate discomfort" and "severe discomfort". The association between AEs and the study drug was assessed by the physician as "definitely related", "probably related", "possibly related", "possibly not related" and "definitely not related".

 The data management software EpiData was used for data entry (Lauritsen JM. EpiData Data Entry, Odense Denmark, EpiData Association, 2000-2008. Available from:

http://www.epidata.dk). Each case report form was completed by two persons and entry results were compared using SAS software (Version 9.2). The verified data were then entered into a database that was considered as final and correct. For efficacy evaluation, the efficacy rates were calculated as a ratio between the "effective" cases and the total number of patients. For safety analyses, the number of patients with AEs, drug related AEs, and the incidence of AEs were calculated.

The populations included in the analyses were handled as follows: all selected patients who received the study drug were included in the full analysis set (FAS). The per-protocol set (PPS) included the patients from FAS who did not meet the following conditions: (1) inclusion criteria or exclusion criteria violation; (2) use of prohibited concomitant medications; (3) administration of the study drug less than 3 times; (4) failure to undergo the examinations required to determine the clinical effect; (5) poor compliance with the study drug. The PPS population was considered the primary analysis population. All patients who received at least one dose of the study drug and had data available for all safety endpoints were included in the safety analysis set (SAS).

drugs and were excluded, resulting in 356 patients being included in the SAS. 21 patients were further excluded due to failure to undergo the examinations required to determine the clinical effect, resulting in 335 patients being included in the PPS. Demographic data and

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of patients at enrollment

		FAS		PPS		
Variables	Parameter	n	%	n	%	
Gender	Male	114	31.58	105	29.08	
Gender	Female	247	68.42	230	63.71	
Age (years)		49.63	± 16.64	49.91 =	± 16.61	
Height (cm)		163.55	5 ± 7.12	163.47	± 7.05	
Weight (kg)	eight (kg)		$61.36 \pm 10.2$		$61.44 \pm 9.81$	
Antibiotics*	0	45	12.53	43	12.84	
Hypertension		65	18.01	62	18.51	
Diabetes		25	6.93	24	7.16	
UTI diagnosis**	AUC	204	56.51	189	56.42	
	Recurrent lower UTI	87	24.38	79	23.58	
	Complicated lower UTI	69	19.11	67	20.00	

Footnote: \*use of antibiotics <72 h prior to enrollment; \*\*for 1 patient, the diagnosis was uncertain; FAS = full analysis set; PPS = per-protocol set; AUC = acute uncomplicated cystitis; UTI = urinary tract infection; n = number of patients.

# Clinical efficacy

On day 15, the fosfomycin tromethamine treatment showed clinical efficacy in 282/335 patients. Of these, the symptoms and signs of 228/335 (65.07%) patients were graded as "cured". Clinical efficacy rates for patients of different gender, age, or with different infection types are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Clinical efficacy at day 15 (per-protocol set)

Variables	8	an an	Effective	Ineffective	Efficacy rate
variables		n	n	n	(%)
Gender	Male	105	77	28	73.33
	Female	230	205	25	89.13
Age	<50 years	154	137	17	88.96
	≥50 years	181	145	36	80.11
Diagnosis	AUC	189	179	10	94.71
	Recurrent lower UTI	79	61	18	77.22
	Complicated lower UTI	67	42	25	62.69

Footnote: AUC = acute uncomplicated cystitis; UTI = urinary tract infection;

n = number of patients.

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

# Microbiological efficacy

198/356 patients had positive cultures from urine samples collected on day 1, before receiving the first dose of treatment. Causative pathogens and their resistance profiles will be presented elsewhere.

Microbiological efficacy was determined for 152 patients (46/198 patients did not have urine cultures at follow-up visits). 127/152 (83.55%) patients had a microbiological efficacy grade of "eradication", 9/152(5.92%) patients were graded as "persistence", 15/152 (9.87%) patients as "replacement" and 1/152(0.66%) patients as "reinfected". Microbiological outcomes by infection type are shown in Table 3. The percentage of patients with effective treatment in terms of microbiological results was 94.08%. For AUC, recurrent lower UTI and complicated lower UTI, the microbiological efficacy by infection type was 97.65% (83/85), 94.44% (34/36) and 83.87% (26/31), respectively.

Table 3. Microbiological outcomes by infection type (152 cases)

Diagnosis	n	Eradication	Persistence	Replacement/reinfection	
Diagnosis		n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	
AUC	85	77 (90.59)	2 (2.35)	6 (7.06)	
Recurrent lower UTI	36	27 (75.00)	2 (5.56)	7 (19.44)	

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-004157 on 4 December 2013. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 11, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

5 (16.13)

Footnote: AUC = acute uncomplicated cystitis;

UTI = urinary tract infection; n = number of patients.

# **Overall efficacy**

Overall efficacy was assessed for the 152 patients with available microbiological test results. 106/152 (69.74%) patients were graded as "excellent", 23/152 (15.13%) patients as "good", 14/152 (9.21%) patients as "fair" and 9/152 (5.92%) patients as "poor". Overall efficacy rate by infection type is shown in Table 4.

**Table 4.** Overall efficacy by infection type (152 cases)

		Effective	Ineffective Efficacy rate		
Diagnosis	n	n	n	(%)	
AUC	85	81	4	95.29	
Recurrent lower UTI	36	28	8	77.78	
Complicated lower UTI	31	20	11	64.52	

Footnote: AUC = acute uncomplicated cystitis;

 UTI = urinary tract infection; n = number of patients.

#### Safety evaluation

AEs were reported in 20/356 (5.6%) patients; and the most frequently reported event was diarrhea (18/356 [5.06%]). 14 patients had mild diarrhea, and 4 had moderate diarrhea. One patient (0.28%) reported mild fatigue and one patient reported mild backache. One patient discontinued the trial due to moderate diarrhea. All other AEs resolved without further treatment. No patients showed abnormal laboratory test results.

#### DISCUSSION

Fosfomycin tromethamine has been used in clinical practice for many years in Europe, but was rarely used in China until recently. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first multicenter study to evaluate fosfomycin tromethamine in the treatment of lower UTIs in this country. It has been previously observed that one dose of 3 g fosfomycin tromethamine taken orally reaches a peak concentration in the urine of 1053–4415mg/L and the fosfomycin concentration in urine is maintained at levels greater than 128mg/L for 24–48 hours, which is sufficient to suppress a variety of pathogenic bacteria in the urinary tract.[9] In the current study, patients received 3 doses of 3 g fosfomycin tromethamine every other day.

With bacterial resistance rising worldwide, the European Urology Association adjusted their recommendations for the treatment of AUC in the Guidelines on Urological Infections 2010.[10] The initial recommended first-line drugs, such as sulfamethoxazole trimethoprim (SMZ TMP) and fluoroquinolones, are now recommended as second choice drugs, while fosfomycin tromethamine is now recommended as the preferred treatment. Stein[11] made a comprehensive analysis of published randomized controlled clinical studies (Medline 1970-1997) and unpublished studies submitted to the Food and Drug Administration on fosfomycin tromethamine treatment of uncomplicated UTI. The results suggested that a single dose of 3g fosfomycin tromethamine, taken orally, is superior in terms of compliance and efficacy to conventional therapy (SMZ TMP, nitrofurantoin and fluoroquinolones) administered over 3 to 7 days to treat uncomplicated UTIs. In line with this, we obtained clinical, microbiological, and overall efficacy rates of 94.71%, 97.65%, and 95.29%, respectively, for the treatment of AUC using fosfomycin tromethamine; and 77.22%, 94.44% and 77.78%, respectively, for recurrent lower UTI.

Complicated UTIs are associated with conditions such as a structural or functional abnormality of the genitourinary tract, or the presence of an underlying disease that interferes with host defense mechanisms, increasing the risks of acquiring infection or of failing therapy. Complicated UTI is caused by a broader spectrum of pathogenic bacteria, and is associated with a higher level of drug resistance, than uncomplicated UTI. Domestic research has shown that the isolation rate for ESBL-producing *E. coli* is 44% in complicated UTI, with susceptibility data showing that ESBL-producing strains have significantly higher resistance against commonly used antibiotics than the non-ESBL-producing strains.[12,13] With a

growing proportion of ESBL-producing strains identified in UTIs, the resistance rate of *E. coli* to commonly used second and third generation cephalosporins has increased to more than 45%.[14] This creates considerable obstacles for effective antimicrobial use in clinical practice. As a direct result, carbapenem has been increasingly used in the empirical treatment of complicated UTI, which has led to an increase in bacterial resistance, an imbalance of commensal flora, and increased risk of fungal infection.[15] Fortunately, unlike resistance against cephalosporins and fluoroquinolones of common UTI pathogens, the resistance rate to fosfomycin has not increased in the recent years.[16] Previous single-center studies have achieved good results with fosfomycin in the treatment of UTIs caused by ESBL-producing bacteria.[17] In line with this, the current study showed clinical, microbiological and overall efficacy rates of 62.69%, 83.87% and 64.52%, respectively, in the treatment of complicated lower UTI.

We observed a low frequency of AEs in the current study. Our findings are in line with an overview of safety and tolerability results from 12 open and double-blind comparative studies,[18] in which 891 female patients received a single 3 g dose of fosfomycin tromethamine. In that study, AEs were reported for 6.1% of the patients, with gastro-intestinal complaints being the most frequent.

One limitation of this study results from the same dosage regimen (3 doses, of 3 g each, of fosfomycin tromethamine taken orally) used for the different types of UTI. The dosage may not be sufficient for the complicated lower UTI, but may exceed the required dosage for simple AUC. Therefore, further in-depth studies will be needed, with different treatment

regimens for the different types of infection. Another shortcoming of the study is the lack of a control group. This is due to the fact that our primary objective was to evaluate clinical, microbiological and overall efficacy of fosfomycin tromethamine, an antibiotic which is widely used abroad, but less in China, for the treatment of urinary tract infection.

# CONCLUSIONS

The current study suggests that three single 3g doses of fosfomycin tromethamine taken orally, every other day, are effective and well tolerated, with a clinically acceptable safety profile in the treatment of AUC, recurrent lower UTI or complicated lower UTI. We believe that this dosage regimen can be adopted to treat lower UTIs in clinical practice.

**Acknowledgements:** Authors would like to thank all study participants and the general practitioners, study nurses and personnel who contributed to this study. Authors wish to acknowledge the support provided by Linyu Li and Jinghan Zhang. Authors also thank Juliette Gray and Adriana Rusu (XPE Pharma & Science) for editorial support.

**Contributors:** The work presented here was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Shan Chen, Lu-Dong Qiao and Bo Zheng designed the research and defined the research theme; Lu-Dong Qiao, Yong Yang, Kai Zhang, Hong-Feng Guo, Bo Yang, Yuan-Jie Niu, Yi Wang, Ben-Kang Shi, Wei-Min Yang, Xiao-Kun Zhao, Xiao-Feng Gao and Ming Chen

 performed the research; Shan Chen and Lu-Dong Qiao analyzed the data and interpreted the results. All authors contributed to the writing of the paper and have read and approved the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests: None.

**Data sharing statement:** No additional data are available.

#### Reference list

- Foxman B: Epidemiology of urinary tract infections: incidence, morbidity, and economic costs. Am J Med 2002;113:5S-13S.
- 2. Pinner RW, Teutsch SM, Simonsen L, et al: Trends in infectious disease mortality in the United States. *JAMA* 1996;**275**:189–93.
- 3. Wang JQ, Wu XP, Li YJ, et al: Nosocomial infection point-prevalence survey in three consecutive years. *Chin J Infect Control* 2006;**01**:19–34.
- 4. Wang JX, Zhu XL, Yang LY: A nosocomial infection point-prevalence survey: results and

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-004157 on 4 December 2013. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 11, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de Enseignement Superieur (ABES) .

data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and

- 5. Schito GC: Why fosfomycin trometamol as first line therapy for uncomplicated UTI? *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2003;**22**:79–83.
- 6. Qi J, Cheng X: Results analysis of ESBLs-producing *E. coli* in vitro susceptibility to fosfomycin. *China Tropical Medicine* 2010;**10**:734–5.
- 7. Da PN, Rong M, Yong EL: In vitro antimicrobial activity of fosfomycin against gram-negative bacilli. *Dalian Medical University* 2010;**32**:577–9.
- 8. Na YQ, Sun G. Guidelines for diagnosis and treatment of urological diseases in China, 2009. Beijing: People's Health Publishing House2009:643–4.
- Patel SS, Balfour JA, Bryson HM: Fosfomycin tromethamine. A review of its antibacterial
  activity, pharmacokinetic properties and therapeutic efficacy as a single-dose oral
  treatment for acute uncomplicated lower urinary tract infections. *Drugs* 1997;53:637–56.
- 10. Grabe M, Bjerklund-Johansen TE, Botto H, et al: European Association of Urology, Guidelines on Urological Infections, 2010. Available at: http://www.uroweb.org/
- 11. Stein GE: Single-dose treatment of acute cystitis with fosfomycin tromethamine. *Ann Pharmacother* 1998;**32**:215–9.
- 12. Qiao LD, Chen S:Analysis of risk factors about ESBLs-producing *E. coli* urinary tract infection. *J Hosp Infect* 2011;**21**:247–9.
- 13. Kong HS, Wang BG: Comparative study of antibiotic resistance in clinical isolates of

- Gao L, Xiao YH: 2006 2007 Mohnarin report: Bacterial distribution and resistance in urinary tract infections. *Chinese Journal of Antibiotics* 2008;33:586–91.
- 15. Chen H, Liu Y: Relationship between antimicrobial treatment and imbalance of intestinal flora in the elders. *Practical Clinical Medicine* 2007;**9**:34–6.
- 16. Pullukcu H, Aydemir S, Tasbakan M, et al: Is there a rise in resistance rates to fosfomycin and other commonly used antibiotics in *Escherichia coli*-mediated urinary tract infections? A perspective for 2004–2011. *Turk J Med Sci* 2013;43:537–41.
- 17. Pullukcu H, Tasbakan M, Sipahi OR, et al: Fosfomycin in the treatment of extended spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli*-related lower urinary tract infections. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2007;**29**:62–5.
- Naber KG: Fosfomycin trometamol in treatment of uncomplicated lower urinary tract infections in adult women–an overview. *Infection* 1992;20:S310–S312.

# EVALUATION OF THREE-DOSE FOSFOMYCIN TROMETHAMINE IN THE TREATMENT OF PATIENTS WITH URINARY TRACT INFECTIONS: AN UNCONTROLLED, OPEN-LABEL, MULTICENTER STUDY

Lu-Dong Qiao<sup>1#</sup>; Bo Zheng<sup>2#</sup>; Shan Chen<sup>1\*</sup>; Yong Yang<sup>3</sup>; Kai Zhang<sup>4</sup>; Hong-Feng Guo<sup>5</sup>; Bo Yang<sup>6</sup>; Yuan-Jie Niu<sup>7</sup>; Yi Wang<sup>8</sup>; Ben-Kang Shi<sup>9</sup>; Wei-Min Yang<sup>10</sup>; Xiao-Kun Zhao<sup>11</sup>; Xiao-Feng Gao<sup>12</sup>; Ming Chen<sup>13</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Urology. Beijing Tongren Hospital Capital Medical University, Beijing, P. R.

China

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Clinical Pharmacology. Peking University First Hospital, Beijing, P. R. China

<sup>3</sup>Department of Urology. Beijing Cancer Hospital, Beijing, P. R. China

<sup>4</sup>Department of Urology. Peking University First Hospital, Beijing, P. R. China

<sup>5</sup>Department of Urology. Peking University Shougang Hospital (Jieping Wu Urology Center),

Beijing, P. R. China

<sup>6</sup>Department of Urology. Peking University People's Hospital, Beijing, P. R. China

<sup>7</sup>Department of Urology. Second Affiliated Hospital of Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, P.

R. China

<sup>8</sup>Department of Urology. First Affiliated Hospital of China Medical University, Shenyang, P.

R. China

<sup>9</sup>Department of Urology.Qilu Hospital of Shandong University, Jinan, P. R. China

<sup>10</sup>Department of Urology. Wuhan Hubei Tongji Hospital, Wuhan, P. R. China

<sup>11</sup>Department of Urology. Hunan Province Xiangya Second Hospital, Changsha, P. R. China

<sup>12</sup>Department of Urology. Shanghai Changhai Hospital, Shanghai, P. R. China

<sup>13</sup>Department of Urology.Zhongda Hospital Southeast University, Nanjing, P. R. China

\*Correspondence to: Shan Chen, Department of Urology, Beijing Tongren Hospital Capital

Medical University; Address: No. 1, Dong Jiao Min Xiang, 100730 Beijing, P. R. China;

E-mail:shanchentr001@163.com

\*Both authors contributed equally to the study and share first authorship.

Keywords: fosfomycin tromethamine; urinary tract infection; efficacy; safety; China

Word count: 2399

 **Design and participants:** This prospective, uncontrolled, open-label study was conducted in 12 medical centers in China, between January and December 2011. According to the diagnosis criteria of Chinese Guidelines on Urological Infections, patients (18-70 years old) with acute uncomplicated cystitis, recurrent lower urinary tract infection or complicated lower urinary tract infection received three doses of 3 g fosfomycin tromethamine orally, at days 1, 3 and 5.

Primary and secondary outcome measures: Efficacy endpoints (clinical efficacy, microbiological efficacy and overall efficacy) were evaluated for day 15. Clinical symptoms, physical signs, urinalysis, liver and kidney function, patient records and evaluation of adverse events (AEs) and serious adverse events (SAEs) up to day 15 were evaluated for analysis of safety.

**Results**: 361 patients were included in the full analysis set (FAS), 356 in the safety analysis set (SS) and 335 in the per-protocol set (PPS). In the PPS, the clinical efficacy rates at day 15 for acute uncomplicated cystitis, recurrent lower urinary tract infection and complicated lower urinary tract infection were 94.71% (179/189), 77.22% (61/79), and 62.69% (42/67), respectively. Microbiological efficacy rates (day 15) were 97.65% (83/85), 94.44% (34/36) and 83.87% (26/31), respectively. The overall efficacy rates (day 15) were 95.29% (81/85), 77.78% (28/36) and 64.52% (20/31), respectively. 20/356 (5.6%) patients reported

 drug-related AEs, the most common being diarrhea. No serious drug-related AEs were reported.

Conclusions: This fosfomycin tromethamine dosing regimen showed clinical and microbiological efficacy with some AEs and good tolerability in patients with acute uncomplicated cystitis, recurrent lower urinary tract infection and complicated lower urinary tract infection.

#### **Article summary:**

#### **Article focus:**

- Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are a common clinical infectious disease.
- Increasing drug resistance against other oral antibiotics makes fosfomycin tromethamine a valuable alternative in the treatment of UTIs.
- The aim of this study was to evaluate the clinical and microbiological efficacy and safety of fosfomycin tromethamine in lower UTI patients from China.

# **Key messages:**

- Overall, oral administration of three doses of 3 g fosfomycin tromethamine on days 1,
   3 and 5 showed good clinical and microbiological efficacy in the treatment of acute
   uncomplicated cystitis, recurrent lower UTI and complicated lower UTI.
- The dosage regimen used in this study was associated with a clinically acceptable

safety profile, the most frequent adverse event being mild diarrhea, in-line with previous studies. No abnormal laboratory results were recorded.

Three single 3 g doses of fosfomycin tromethamine taken orally, every other day,
 were effective and well tolerated. We believe that this dosage regimen can be adopted to treat lower UTIs in clinical practice.

# Strengths and limitations of this study:

- To the best of our knowledge, this is the first multicenter study to evaluate fosfomycin tromethamine in the treatment of lower UTIs in China.
- We used the same dosage regimen for the different types of UTI. The dosage may not
  be sufficient for the complicated lower UTI, but may exceed the required dose for
  acute uncomplicated cystitis. Further studies using different dosing regimens for the
  different types of infection are needed.
- Because we aimed to evaluate clinical, microbiological and overall efficacy of fosfomycin thromethamine, the study design did not include a control group.

#### INTRODUCTION

Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are a common clinical infectious disease. Every year, there are more than 7 million outpatients and about one million patients are hospitalized due to UTIs.[1] Death caused by septic shock due to UTIs ranks third among all lethal infections.[2] In China, UTIs account for 9.39%–50% of all nosocomial infections.[3,4]

In recent years, many resistant strains have emerged as a consequence of the use of broad-spectrum cephalosporins. In particular, strains producing extended-spectrum beta lactamase (ESBL) have created a considerable obstacle in the clinical treatment of UTIs. The fosfomycin derivative fosfomycin tromethamine, a broad-spectrum bactericidal antibiotic inhibiting the synthesis of the bacterial cell wall, has been able to maintain resistance rates amongst *Escherichia coli* as low as 1% worldwide.[5] Available evidence suggests that, even for ESBL-producing *E. coli*, the resistance rate to fosfomycin can be maintained at 3.4%—16.1%.[6,7] The increasing difficulties associated with the growing drug resistance against other oral antibiotics makes fosfomycin even more valuable in the treatment of UTIs. In order to obtain a deeper understanding of its therapeutic effect in domestic patients, we evaluated the clinical and microbiological efficacy and safety in lower UTI patients from 12 research centers in China.

#### **METHODS**

# Study design and participants

This was a prospective, uncontrolled, open-label, multicenter study approved by the ethics committee of the Beijing Tongren Hospital. Eligible patients were between 18 and 70 years of age and had sought medical help for acute uncomplicated cystitis (AUC), recurrent lower UTI or complicated lower UTI[8] in a urology department of one of 12 medical centers in China (see appendix) from January 2011 to December 2011. All study participants provided informed consent.

The main exclusion criteria were: a history of allergy to fosfomycin; susceptibility results showing resistance to the test drug; fever; grade 3 or 4 cardiac insufficiency; pregnant or lactating women, or women of childbearing age with a positive urine pregnancy test; acute pyelonephritis or acute episode of chronic pyelonephritis; previously diagnosed renal failure; liver disease, with ALT or AST greater than 2 times the upper limit of normal range; white blood cell count less than  $2.0 \times 10^9$  /L; receipt of other anti-infective drugs within 72 hours before enrollment; patients in the late stages of malignant tumors; long-term use of hormones or immunosuppressants; a history of epilepsy or central nervous system disorders; severe diarrhea; participation in another clinical trial within 3 months prior to enrollment.

#### Test drug and dosing regimen

The test drug was fosfomycin tromethamine powder (3g fosfomycin per bottle), produced by

 data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and

Shanxi C&Y Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., batch number-20100626.

Treatment duration was 5 days: on the first day (day 1), a dose of 3g fosfomycin tromethamine powder was dissolved with an appropriate amount of water and taken on an empty stomach before dinner; two further doses of 3g fosfomycin tromethamine powder were taken orally in the evening of days 3 and 5, before bedtime.

#### Clinical observations

Infection signs and symptoms, including frequent, urgent, painful, and difficult urination, were noted on days 1, 8, and 15.

Blood count, including white blood cells, red blood cells, platelets, neutrophils, lymphocytes, eosinophils and hemoglobin, was performed on days 1 and 8. Patients with abnormal levels on day 8 were reexamined on day 15.

Urinalysis, including urine proteins, red and white blood cells, was performed on days 1, 8, and 15.

Blood biochemistry, including liver functions (ALT, AST,  $\gamma$ -GT, TBIL, DBIL) and renal functions (BUN, Cr), was assessed on days 1 and 8. Patients with abnormal levels on day 8 were reexamined on day 15.

Bacterial cultures were obtained for days 1, 8, and 15, using aseptic techniques to collect midstream specimens of urine.

# Evaluation of efficacy and safety

Clinical efficacy, microbiological efficacy, overall efficacy and safety were evaluated on day 15.

Evaluation of clinical efficacy

The clinical effect on the lower UTIs of participants was described using the following 3 grades: cured (symptoms and signs disappeared completely), improved (symptoms and signs improved markedly) and ineffective (symptoms and signs worsened or had no significant improvement). Both "cured" and "improved" were considered "effective" results and were used to calculate the clinical efficacy rate.

Evaluation of microbiological efficacy

The microbiological efficacy for each patient with positive urine culture was evaluated from day 1. We assessed the microbiological effect using the following 4 grades: eradication (no pathogenic bacteria in the urine were present on days 8 and 15), persistence (pathogenic bacteria were still present on days 8 and 15 or the patient had a negative culture at day 8, but positive for the same bacteria on day 15), replacement (a new pathogenic bacteria was detected on days 8 and 15, but the patient had no clinical symptoms and no treatment was necessary), and reinfection (a new pathogenic bacteria was detected on days 8 and 15; the patient had symptoms and treatment was necessary). Microbiological test results showing "eradication", "replacement" or "reinfection" were considered "effective" results and were

 used to calculate the microbiological efficacy rate.

Evaluation of overall efficacy

Overall efficacy was assessed on patients for whom both clinical and microbiological efficacy data were available by day 15. Four grades were used: excellent (all assessments [clinical symptoms, physical signs, laboratory tests and bacteriological examinations] had returned to normal by day 15), good (the condition improved markedly, but one of the described assessments remained out of the normal range), fair (some improvement was observed after treatment, but two of the described assessments failed to return to normal) and poor (no significant improvement was observed or the assessments worsened). Cases graded as "excellent" or "good" were considered "effective" results and were used to calculate the overall efficacy rate.

Evaluation of safety

Clinical symptoms, physical signs, urinalysis, liver and kidney function, all patient records and evaluation of adverse events (AEs) and serious adverse events (SAEs) were considered for the safety evaluation. According to their severity, AEs were categorized by patients as follows: "no discomfort", "mild discomfort", "moderate discomfort" and "severe discomfort". The association between AEs and the study drug was assessed by the physician as "definitely related", "probably related", "possibly related", "possibly not related" and "definitely not related".

#### Statistical methods

The data management software EpiData was used for data entry (Lauritsen JM. EpiData Data Entry, Odense Denmark, EpiData Association, 2000-2008. Available from:

http://www.epidata.dk). Each case report form was completed by two persons and entry results were compared using SAS software (Version 9.2). The verified data were then entered into a database that was considered as final and correct. For efficacy evaluation, the efficacy rates were calculated as a ratio between the "effective" cases and the total number of patients. For safety analyses, the number of patients with AEs, drug related AEs, and the incidence of AEs were calculated.

The populations included in the analyses were handled as follows: all selected patients who received the study drug were included in the full analysis set (FAS). The per-protocol set (PPS) included the patients from FAS who did not meet the following conditions: (1) inclusion criteria or exclusion criteria violation; (2) use of prohibited concomitant medications; (3) administration of the study drug less than 3 times; (4) failure to undergo the examinations required to determine the clinical effect; (5) poor compliance with the study drug. The PPS population was considered the primary analysis population. All patients who received at least one dose of the study drug and had data available for all safety endpoints were included in the safety analysis set (SAS).

#### RESULTS

**Table 1.** Demographic characteristics of patients at enrollment

37 - 111 -	December	FAS		PPS	
Variables	Parameter	n	%	n	%
Gender	Male	114	31.58	105	29.08
Senati	Female	247	68.42	230	63.71
Age (years)	6	49.63	± 16.64	49.91 =	± 16.61
Height (cm)		163.55	$5 \pm 7.12$	163.47	± 7.05
Weight (kg)		61.36	± 10.2	61.44	± 9.81
Antibiotics*	Q	45	12.53	43	12.84
Hypertension		65	18.01	62	18.51
Diabetes		25	6.93	24	7.16
UTI diagnosis**	AUC	204	56.51	189	56.42
	Recurrent lower UTI	87	24.38	79	23.58
	Complicated lower UTI	69	19.11	67	20.00

Footnote: \*use of antibiotics <72 h prior to enrollment; \*\*for 1 patient, the diagnosis was uncertain; FAS = full analysis set; PPS = per-protocol set; AUC = acute uncomplicated cystitis; UTI = urinary tract infection; n = number of patients.

#### Clinical efficacy

On day 15, the fosfomycin tromethamine treatment showed clinical efficacy in 282/335 patients. Of these, the symptoms and signs of 228/335 (65.07%) patients were graded as "cured". Clinical efficacy rates for patients of different gender, age, or with different infection types are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Clinical efficacy at day 15 (per-protocol set)

Variables		n	Effective	Ineffective	Efficacy rate
variables		11	n	n	(%)
Gender	Male	105	77	28	73.33
	Female	230	205	25	89.13
Age	<50 years	154	137	17	88.96
	≥50 years	181	145	36	80.11
Diagnosis	AUC	189	179	10	94.71
	Recurrent lower UTI	79	61	18	77.22
	Complicated lower UT	TI 67	42	25	62.69

Footnote: AUC = acute uncomplicated cystitis; UTI = urinary tract infection;

n = number of patients.

# Microbiological efficacy

198/356 patients had positive cultures from urine samples collected on day 1, before receiving the first dose of treatment. Causative pathogens and their resistance profiles will be presented elsewhere.

Microbiological efficacy was determined for 152 patients (46/198 patients did not have urine cultures at follow-up visits). 127/152 (83.55%) patients had a microbiological efficacy grade of "eradication", 9/152(5.92%) patients were graded as "persistence", 15/152 (9.87%) patients as "replacement" and 1/152(0.66%) patients as "reinfected". Microbiological outcomes by infection type are shown in Table 3. The percentage of patients with effective treatment in terms of microbiological results was 94.08%. For AUC, recurrent lower UTI and complicated lower UTI, the microbiological efficacy by infection type was 97.65% (83/85), 94.44% (34/36) and 83.87% (26/31), respectively.

**Table 3**. Microbiological outcomes by infection type (152 cases)

	n	Eradication	Persistence	Replacement/reinfection
Diagnosis		n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
AUC	85	77 (90.59)	2 (2.35)	6 (7.06)
Recurrent lower UTI	36	27 (75.00)	2 (5.56)	7 (19.44)

 Footnote: AUC = acute uncomplicated cystitis;

UTI = urinary tract infection; n = number of patients.

# Overall efficacy

Overall efficacy was assessed for the 152 patients with available microbiological test results. 106/152 (69.74%) patients were graded as "excellent", 23/152 (15.13%) patients as "good", 14/152 (9.21%) patients as "fair" and 9/152 (5.92%) patients as "poor". Overall efficacy rate by infection type is shown in Table 4.

**Table 4.** Overall efficacy by infection type (152 cases)

		Effective	Ineffective Efficacy rate		
Diagnosis	n	n	n	(%)	
AUC	85	81	4	95.29	
Recurrent <mark>lower</mark> UTI	36	28	8	77.78	
Complicated lower UTI	31	20	11	64.52	

Footnote: AUC = acute uncomplicated cystitis;

UTI = urinary tract infection; n = number of patients.

#### Safety evaluation

AEs were reported in 20/356 (5.6%) patients; and the most frequently reported event was diarrhea (18/356 [5.06%]). 14 patients had mild diarrhea, and 4 had moderate diarrhea. One patient (0.28%) reported mild fatigue and one patient reported mild backache. One patient discontinued the trial due to moderate diarrhea. All other AEs resolved without further treatment. No patients showed abnormal laboratory test results.

#### DISCUSSION

Fosfomycin tromethamine has been used in clinical practice for many years in Europe, but was rarely used in China until recently. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first multicenter study to evaluate fosfomycin tromethamine in the treatment of lower UTIs in this country. It has been previously observed that one dose of 3 g fosfomycin tromethamine taken orally reaches a peak concentration in the urine of 1053–4415mg/L and the fosfomycin concentration in urine is maintained at levels greater than 128mg/L for 24–48 hours, which is sufficient to suppress a variety of pathogenic bacteria in the urinary tract.[9] In the current study, patients received 3 doses of 3 g fosfomycin tromethamine every other day.

 and 77.78%, respectively, for recurrent lower UTI.

With bacterial resistance rising worldwide, the European Urology Association adjusted their recommendations for the treatment of AUC in the Guidelines on Urological Infections 2010.[10] The initial recommended first-line drugs, such as sulfamethoxazole trimethoprim (SMZ TMP) and fluoroquinolones, are now recommended as second choice drugs, while fosfomycin tromethamine is now recommended as the preferred treatment. Stein[11] made a comprehensive analysis of published randomized controlled clinical studies (Medline 1970-1997) and unpublished studies submitted to the Food and Drug Administration on fosfomycin tromethamine treatment of uncomplicated UTI. The results suggested that a single dose of 3g fosfomycin tromethamine, taken orally, is superior in terms of compliance and efficacy to conventional therapy (SMZ TMP, nitrofurantoin and fluoroquinolones) administered over 3 to 7 days to treat uncomplicated UTIs. In line with this, we obtained clinical, microbiological, and overall efficacy rates of 94.71%, 97.65%, and 95.29%, respectively, for the treatment of AUC using fosfomycin tromethamine; and 77.22%, 94.44%

Complicated UTIs are associated with conditions such as a structural or functional abnormality of the genitourinary tract, or the presence of an underlying disease that interferes with host defense mechanisms, increasing the risks of acquiring infection or of failing therapy. Complicated UTI is caused by a broader spectrum of pathogenic bacteria, and is associated with a higher level of drug resistance, than uncomplicated UTI. Domestic research has shown that the isolation rate for ESBL-producing E. coli is 44% in complicated UTI, with susceptibility data showing that ESBL-producing strains have significantly higher resistance against commonly used antibiotics than the non-ESBL-producing strains.[12,13] With a

 growing proportion of ESBL-producing strains identified in UTIs, the resistance rate of E. coli to commonly used second and third generation cephalosporins has increased to more than 45%.[14] This creates considerable obstacles for effective antimicrobial use in clinical practice. As a direct result, carbapenem has been increasingly used in the empirical treatment of complicated UTI, which has led to an increase in bacterial resistance, an imbalance of commensal flora, and increased risk of fungal infection.[15] Fortunately, unlike resistance against cephalosporins and fluoroquinolones of common UTI pathogens, the resistance rate to fosfomycin has not increased in the recent years.[16] Previous single-center studies have achieved good results with fosfomycin in the treatment of UTIs caused by ESBL-producing bacteria. [17] In line with this, the current study showed clinical, microbiological and overall efficacy rates of 62.69%, 83.87% and 64.52%, respectively, in the treatment of complicated lower UTI.

We observed a low frequency of AEs in the current study. Our findings are in line with an overview of safety and tolerability results from 12 open and double-blind comparative studies, [18] in which 891 female patients received a single 3 g dose of fosfomycin tromethamine. In that study, AEs were reported for 6.1% of the patients, with gastro-intestinal complaints being the most frequent.

One limitation of this study results from the same dosage regimen (3 doses, of 3 g each, of fosfomycin tromethamine taken orally) used for the different types of UTI. The dosage may not be sufficient for the complicated lower UTI, but may exceed the required dosage for simple AUC. Therefore, further in-depth studies will be needed, with different treatment

regimens for the different types of infection. Another shortcoming of the study is the lack of a control group. This is due to the fact that our primary objective was to evaluate clinical, microbiological and overall efficacy of fosfomycin tromethamine, an antibiotic which is widely used abroad, but less in China, for the treatment of urinary tract infection.

# CONCLUSIONS

The current study suggests that three single 3g doses of fosfomycin tromethamine taken orally, every other day, are effective and well tolerated, with a clinically acceptable safety profile in the treatment of AUC, recurrent lower UTI or complicated lower UTI. We believe that this dosage regimen can be adopted to treat lower UTIs in clinical practice.

**Acknowledgements:** Authors would like to thank all study participants and the general practitioners, study nurses and personnel who contributed to this study. Authors wish to acknowledge the support provided by Linyu Li and Jinghan Zhang. Authors also thank Juliette Gray and Adriana Rusu (XPE Pharma & Science) for editorial support.

**Contributors:** The work presented here was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Shan Chen, Lu-Dong Qiao and Bo Zheng designed the research and defined the research theme; Lu-Dong Qiao, Yong Yang, Kai Zhang, Hong-Feng Guo, Bo Yang, Yuan-Jie Niu, Yi Wang, Ben-Kang Shi, Wei-Min Yang, Xiao-Kun Zhao, Xiao-Feng Gao and Ming Chen 

performed the research; Shan Chen and Lu-Dong Qiao analyzed the data and interpreted the results. All authors contributed to the writing of the paper and have read and approved the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests: None.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

#### Reference list

- Foxman B: Epidemiology of urinary tract infections: incidence, morbidity, and economic costs. Am J Med 2002;113:5S-13S.
- Pinner RW, Teutsch SM, Simonsen L, et al: Trends in infectious disease mortality in the United States. *JAMA* 1996;275:189–93.
- 3. Wang JQ, Wu XP, Li YJ, et al: Nosocomial infection point-prevalence survey in three consecutive years. *Chin J Infect Control* 2006;**01**:19–34.
- 4. Wang JX, Zhu XL, Yang LY: A nosocomial infection point-prevalence survey: results and

- 5. Schito GC: Why fosfomycin trometamol as first line therapy for uncomplicated UTI? *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2003;**22**:79–83.
- 6. Qi J, Cheng X: Results analysis of ESBLs-producing *E. coli* in vitro susceptibility to fosfomycin. *China Tropical Medicine* 2010;**10**:734–5.
- 7. Da PN, Rong M, Yong EL: In vitro antimicrobial activity of fosfomycin against gram-negative bacilli. *Dalian Medical University* 2010;**32**:577–9.
- 8. Na YQ, Sun G. Guidelines for diagnosis and treatment of urological diseases in China, 2009. Beijing: People's Health Publishing House2009:643–4.
- Patel SS, Balfour JA, Bryson HM: Fosfomycin tromethamine. A review of its antibacterial
  activity, pharmacokinetic properties and therapeutic efficacy as a single-dose oral
  treatment for acute uncomplicated lower urinary tract infections. *Drugs* 1997;53:637–56.
- 10. Grabe M, Bjerklund-Johansen TE, Botto H, et al: European Association of Urology, Guidelines on Urological Infections, 2010. Available at: http://www.uroweb.org/
- 11. Stein GE: Single-dose treatment of acute cystitis with fosfomycin tromethamine. *Ann Pharmacother* 1998;**32**:215–9.
- 12. Qiao LD, Chen S:Analysis of risk factors about ESBLs-producing *E. coli* urinary tract infection. *J Hosp Infect* 2011;**21**:247–9.
- 13. Kong HS, Wang BG: Comparative study of antibiotic resistance in clinical isolates of