BMJ Open

Does mild cognitive impairment affect the occurrence of radiographic knee osteoarthritis? A 3-year follow-up in the ROAD study

Noriko Yoshimura,[1] Shigeyuki Muraki,[2] Hiroyuki Oka,[1] Hiroshi Kawaguchi,[3] Kozo Nakamura,[4] Sakae Tanaka,[3] Toru Akune[2]

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to
Noriko Yoshimura;
yoshimuran-ort@h.u-tokyo.ac.jp

ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine whether mild cognitive impairment (MCI) increases the risk of occurrence or progression of radiographic knee osteoarthritis (KOA) in a general population.

Design: Population-based cohort study.

Setting: Residents in mountain and seaside areas of Wakayama Prefecture, Japan.

Participants: 1690 participants (596 men, 1094 women; mean age 65.2 years old) were enrolled from the large-scale cohort for the Research on Osteoarthritis (OA)/osteoporosis Against Disability (ROAD) study initiated in 2005 to investigate epidemiological features of OA in Japan. Of these, 1384 individuals (81.9%; 466 men, 918 women) completed the second survey including knee radiography 3 years later.

Primary outcome measures: Radiographic KOA was defined as Kellgren-Lawrence (KL) grade ≥ 2 using paired x-ray films. Incidence of KOA during follow-up defined on radiographs as KL grade ≥ 2, progression of KOA defined as a higher KL grade (either knee) at follow-up compared with baseline. MCI defined as a summary mini-mental state examination (MMSE) score ≤ 23. Associations between MCI and incidence or progression of KOA were analysed.

Results: The annual cumulative incidence of KOA was 3.3%; for progression of OA it was 8.0%. On logistic regression analysis adjusted for age, gender, regional differences, body mass index, grip strength (worse side), smoking, alcohol consumption, regular exercise and history of knee injury, baseline MMSE summary score was significantly associated with the incidence of KOA (+1 MMSE score; OR 0.83, p=0.010). Baseline MCI was also significantly associated with the incidence of KOA (vs non-occurrence of KOA; OR 4.90, p=0.027). There was no significant association between MMSE scores, the presence of MCI and progression of KOA (+1 MMSE score; OR 0.96, p=0.232; vs non-progression of KOA; OR 1.38, p=0.416).

Conclusions: MCI significantly increases the risk of incident radiographic KOA, but not the progression of KOA.

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Article focus

- Both cognitive impairment and osteoarthritis (OA) are top-ranked causes of disability requiring support, but there have been no previous reports on the association between cognitive impairment and OA.
- We aimed to investigate the association between mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and the occurrence and progression of radiographic knee osteoarthritis (KOA) among men and women who participated in the Research on Osteoarthritis/osteoporosis against Disability (ROAD) study.

Key messages

- Of 1690 participants at the baseline, 1384 individuals (81.9%; 466 men, 918 women) completed the second survey including knee radiography 3 years later.
- The annual cumulative incidence of radiographic KOA in these 1384 participants was 3.3%; for progression of KOA, it was 8.0%.
- The prevalence of MCI in the 1384 participants defined as summary mini-mental state examination score ≤ 23 was 4.5%.
- Baseline mini-mental state examination (MMSE) summary score was significantly associated with the incidence of radiographic KOA after adjustment for confounders (+1 score; OR0.83, p=0.010). Baseline MCI was also significantly associated with the incidence of radiographic KOA (vs non-occurrence of KOA; OR 4.90, p=0.027). There was no significant association between MMSE scores, the presence of MCI and the progression of radiographic KOA (+1 score; OR 0.96, p=0.232; vs non-progression of KOA; OR 1.38, p=0.416).

INTRODUCTION

Plural chronic diseases have a high prevalence in the elderly population. In the USA, about 77% of older adults have two or more chronic illnesses, and these can lead to

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Strengths and limitations of this study

- The present study includes a population-based design of a cohort, large number of participants with KOA, and a 3-year follow-up with a high participation rate of 81.9%.
- Substantial amount of detailed information, including an interviewer-administered questionnaire, dietary assessment, anthropometric measurements, neuromuscular function assessment, biochemical measurements, medical history, radiographic assessment and bone mineral density measurement, was collected at both the baseline and the second visit.
- We used KL grade ≥2 for the diagnosis of radiographic KOA, but the KL scale is a categorical index, and it might be impossible to evaluate the minimum joint space and osteophytosis separately.
- We used only the MMSE to diagnose MCI, and were unable to perform additional examinations such as MRI to improve the accuracy of the diagnosis.
- The small proportion of the population with MCI at risk of KOA onset detection might raise a bias in the results of the study.

severe and immediate disabilities.[1] According to the recent national livelihood survey by the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, the leading causes of disability requiring support and long-term care were cardiovascular disease (CVD) followed by dementia, cognitive impairment, senility and osteoarthritis (OA).[2]

It is important to establish associations among these diseases causing disability, in order to reduce the risk of disability. In terms of CVD and dementia, the existence of vascular dementia, for example, indicates that there are links between CVD and dementia, and cardiovascular and metabolic risk factors such as hypertension and diabetes may play a role in the pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease as well as in the development of vascular dementia.[3–6] Association between metabolic syndrome and risk of developing cognitive impairment has been demonstrated in older women, with a 23% age-adjusted increase in the risk of developing cognitive impairment in the number of components of metabolic syndrome.[7] Higher total cholesterol and low-density lipoprotein, and history of diabetes have been associated with faster cognitive decline in patients with incident Alzheimer's disease.[8]

However, as per our knowledge, there have been no previous reports on the association between OA and dementia. Mild cognitive impairment (MCI), a transitional state associated with memory impairment, has been associated with an increased risk of progression of Alzheimer's disease.[9][10] We aimed to investigate the association between MCI and the occurrence and progression of radiographic knee osteoarthritis (KOA) among men and women who participated in the Research on Osteoarthritis/Osteoporosis Against Disability (ROAD) study.

PARTICIPANTS AND METHODS

Participants

Our analysis was based on data collected from cohorts established in 2005 for the ROAD study. Details of the cohort have been reported elsewhere.[11][12] In brief, we created a baseline database in 2005–2007, which included clinical and genetic information for 3040 residents of Japan (1061 men, 1979 women). Participants were recruited from resident registration listings in three communities, each with different characteristics, namely an urban region in Itabashi, Tokyo; a mountainous region in Hidakagawa, Wakayama; and a coastal region in Taiji, Wakayama.

For the present study, we enrolled 1690 participants (596 men, 1094 women) residing in the mountainous and coastal areas, where the mental test was performed at baseline. Participants provided written informed consent, and the study was conducted with the approval of the ethics committees of the University of Tokyo (approval number 1264).

Baseline procedures

Participants completed an interviewer-administered questionnaire comprising 400 items. These included lifestyle-related questions to obtain information about main occupation; smoking habits (0: exsmoker or never smoked, 1: current smoker); alcohol consumption (0: exdrinker or never drank, 1: current drinker); alcohol consumption; physical activity including cycling every day in the past 12 months (0: no, 1: yes); regular exercise, that is, football, tennis, baseball, golf or other sports after graduation from school (0: no, 1: yes); and medical history including history of knee injury (0: no, 1: yes).

Anthropometric measurements included height, weight, body mass index (BMI) calculated as weight (kg)/height (m^2) and grip strength of both hands. Experienced orthopaedic surgeons (SM and HO) collected medical information about pain, swelling and the range of motion in the knee.

All participants underwent a radiographic examination of both knees using an anteroposterior view with weight-bearing and foot map positioning. Fluoroscopic guidance with a horizontal anteroposterior x-ray beam was used to properly visualise the joint space.

Cognitive functioning was measured using the mini-mental state examination (MMSE).[13] This is a 30-item cognitive screening test that measures orientation, registration, short-term memory, attention and concentration, language and constructional capacity. The test–retest reliability of the original version of the MMSE is 0.83,[13] and the criterion validity is 0.66–0.79 with the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale, 0.83 with the Short Portable Mental Status Questionnaire and 0.88 with the Cognitive Capacity Screening Examination.[14][15] We used the validated Japanese version of the MMSE.[16] Summary scores from the MMSE were used to measure cognitive

functioning and the criterion for MCI was a summary score ≤23.

Three-year follow-up and definition of the occurrence and progression of radiographic Knee osteoarthritis

In 2008–2010, the 1690 participants were invited to attend the 3-year follow-up of the second ROAD survey, which involved a repeat of the baseline examinations. Knee radiographs obtained at baseline and follow-up were read in pairs without knowledge of the participant's clinical status by a single well-experienced orthopaedist (SM), and the Kellgren/Lawrence (K/L) grade was defined using the K/L radiographic atlas for overall knee radiographic grades.[17] To evaluate the intraobserver variability of the K/L grading, 100 randomly selected radiographs of the knee were scored by the same observer 1 month after the first reading. One hundred other radiographs were also scored by two experienced orthopaedic surgeons (SM and HO) using the same atlas for interobserver variability. The intravariabilities and intervariabilities evaluated for K/L grade (0–4) were confirmed by kappa analysis to be sufficient for assessment (κ=0.86 and 0.80, respectively). When a different grade was assigned to each knee, the participant was classified by the higher grade. A participant with a KL grade ≥2 was defined as having radiographic KOA. A new case of radiographic KOA was identified if the KL grade at baseline had been <2 for both knees, and if one or both knees were assigned grade ≥2 at follow-up. A higher KL grade for either knee at follow-up compared with the baseline was defined as progression of OA.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using STATA statistical software (STATA Corp, College Station, Texas, USA). Differences in proportions were compared using the χ^2 test. Differences in continuous variables were tested for significance using analysis of variance (ANOVA) for multiple groups or Scheffé's least significant difference test for pairs of groups. To test the association between occurrence or progression of radiographic KOA and the presence of MCI after adjustment for confounding factors, we performed two types of multivariate logistic regression analysis. For both, we entered the occurrence or progression of OA over 3 years (1: yes, 0: no) as the dependent variable, and the MMSE summary score or presence of MCI (1: presence, 0: absence) as the independent variable. In model 1, the analysis was performed after adjusting for age, gender, regional differences and BMI. In model 2, we adjusted for potential risk factors that had previously been identified in this cohort as significantly associated with the presence of KOA,[9] [18] namely age, gender, regional differences, BMI, grip strength (kg) on the worse side, smoking, alcohol consumption, regular exercise and history of knee injuries. All p values and 95% CI of two-sided analysis are presented.

RESULTS

Eligible participants

Of the all 1690 participants in the baseline survey performed in the mountainous and coastal regions, 251 individuals (14.9%; 104 men, 147 women) did not attend the 3-year follow-up. Among them, 40 (27 men, 13 women) had died, 97 (32 men, 65 women) did not attend follow-up due to bad health, 16 (5 men, 11 women) had moved away, 51 (24 men, 27 women) declined the invitation to attend the second survey, 8 (4 men, 4 women) were absent and 39 (12 men, 27 women) did not participate for other reasons. In addition, 55 participants in the second survey (3.3%; 26 men, 29 women) did not complete all the follow-up examinations, including the interviewer-administered questionnaire, anthropometric measurements, radiographic examination and blood tests. Thus, our analysis was based on the remaining 1384 subjects (81.9%; 466 men, 918 women) who completed all examinations at both the baseline and follow-up (figure 1).

Prevalence of MCI and its baseline characteristics

The prevalence of MCI and baseline characteristics of the 1384 participants are shown in table 1. Based on the MMSE summary score, 75 participants (30 men, 45 women) were diagnosed with MCI (prevalence, 4.5%; men, 5.1%, women, 4.2%). The prevalence of MCI was significantly higher in the older age groups (trend, p<0.001). The mean MMSE summary score was significantly lower in participants with MCI than in those without (21.2 vs 28.5). Participants with MCI tended to reside in mountainous areas, and they had significantly lower weight, height and grip strength; drank less alcohol and exercised less compared with those without MCI (table 1). In addition, the prevalence of radiographic KOA classified by presence of MCI was compared in table 1. In total, 75.7% of patients in the MCI group were observed to have KOA, which was


Figure 1 Flow diagram for participation in the baseline and follow-up Research on Osteoarthritis/osteoporosis Against Disability surveys.

Table 1 Comparison of baseline characteristics of subjects without and with mild cognitive impairment (MCI)

	Total (n=1676)			Men (n=591)			Women (n=1085)		
	Without MCI	With MCI	p Value	Without MCI	With MCI	p Value	Without MCI	With MCI	p Value
	(N=1601)	(N=75)	(Without vs with MCI)	(N=561)	(N=30)	(Without vs with MCI)	(N=1040)	(N=45)	(Without vs with MCI)
Number of subjects (prevalence, %) classified by age-strata									
≤39 (year)	45	0 (0.0)		14	0 (0.0)		31	0 (0.0)	
40–49	148	1 (0.7)		43	1 (2.3)		105	0 (0.0)	
50–59	314	2 (0.6)	<0.001***	106	1 (0.9)	<0.001***	208	1 (0.5)	<0.001***
60–69	467	10 (2.1)		151	5 (3.2)		316	5 (1.6)	
70–79	496	35 (6.6)		202	14 (6.5)		294	21 (6.7)	
≥80	131	27 (17.1)		45	9 (16.7)		86	18 (17.3)	
Total	1601	75 (4.5)		561	30 (5.1)		1040	45 (4.2)	
Mean values (SDs) of MMSE summary score	28.5 (1.7)	21.2 (3.2)	<0.0001***	28.4 (1.8)	20.7 (4.4)	<0.0001***	28.6 (1.7)	21.5 (2.1)	<0.0001***
Mean values (SDs) of selected characteristics									
Age (year)	64.7 (11.9)	75.8 (8.1)	<0.0001***	65.8 (11.7)	74.0 (9.3)	0.0002***	64.1 (12.0)	77.0 (6.9)	<0.0001***
Height (cm)	155.5 (9.1)	148.7 (9.8)	<0.0001***	163.8 (7.1)	157.4 (5.8)	<0.0001***	151.1 (6.7)	142.9 (7.4)	<0.0001***
Weight (kg)	55.8 (10.7)	51.7 (11.7)	0.0011**	62.5 (10.8)	57.7 (12.1)	0.0181*	52.2 (8.8)	47.7 (9.6)	0.0007***
BMI (kg/m^2)	23.0 (3.4)	23.2 (3.7)	0.5845	23.2 (3.2)	23.2 (3.9)	0.9346	22.9 (3.5)	23.2	0.4877
Grip strength (better side) (kg)	27.8 (9.5)	20.8 (8.5)	<0.0001***	36.4 (8.8)	27.6 (8.2)	<0.0001***	23.3 (6.0)	16.5 (5.3)	<0.0001***
Grip strength (worse side) (kg)	24.6 (9.3)	16.8 (9.3)	<0.0001***	32.8 (9.1)	22.4 (10.6)	<0.0001***	20.1 (5.6)	13.1 (6.2)	<0.0001***
Percentage of selected characteristics (%)									
Residing in a coastal area	50.1	28.0	<0.001***	47.8	26.7	0.024*	51.4	28.9	0.003**
Current smoking habit (more than once a month)	13.3	10.0	0.428	30.4	21.4	0.312	3.9	2.4	0.624
Current alcohol consumption (more than once a month)	40.5	24.0	0.004**	67.6	46.7	0.018*	26.0	8.9	0.010*
Regular exercise after graduation from school	14.9	4.0	0.008**	34.4	6.7	0.002**	4.4	2.2	0.478
Prevalence of KOA at the baseline (%)	48.8	75.7	<0.001***	41.0	50.0	0.328	53.0	93.2	<0.001***

*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001
BMI, body mass index; KOA, knee osteoarthritis; MMSE, mini-mental state examination; n, number of subjects.

significantly higher than the percentage in the without-MCI group (48.8%, p<0.001). This significant tendency was observed in women, while in men the association was not significant.

Occurrence of radiographic KOA in participants with and without MCI

The baseline prevalence of KOA in the 1384 individuals who attended follow-up was 46.8% (men 37.3%; women 51.6%). After the exclusion of participants with a baseline KL grade ≥2 at one or both knees, the cumulative incidence of OA during the 3-year follow-up period was estimated using an at-risk population of 728 individuals (290 men, 438 women) without OA in either knee at baseline. Among these, 71 participants (18 men, 53 women) were newly diagnosed with KOA, and the annual cumulative incidence was estimated as 3.3% (men 2.1%; women 4.0%). The incidence of KOA increased with age (table 2).

The MMSE score was significantly lower in participants with, compared to those without, incident radiographic KOA (p<0.0001), and the prevalence of MCI at baseline was significantly higher (p=0.003). Those with KOA tended to reside in a mountainous area, were significantly taller, had greater BMI and weaker grip strength in both hands and were less likely to smoke, drink alcohol or exercise regularly compared with those without OA. History of knee injury was more common among those without KOA (table 2).

On univariate regression analysis, a one-digit increase in the MMSE score was associated with a 24% decreased risk of incident radiographic KOA (p<0.001; table 3). This trend remained after adjustment for age, gender, regional differences and BMI in model 1 (OR 0.85 for +1 MMSE score; p=0.015) and after adjustment for age, gender, regional differences, BMI, grip strength (kg) on the worse side, smoking, alcohol consumption, regular exercise and history of knee injury in model 2 (OR 0.83; p=0.010). The presence of MCI was associated with a fivefold increased risk of incident KOA (*p*=0.008), with ORs of 4.59 (p=0.027) in model 1 and 4.90 (p=0.027) in model 2.

Progression of radiographic KOA with and without MCI

We excluded 88 participants (21 men, 67 women) with a baseline KL grade of 4 at one or both knees, before estimating the cumulative rate for the progression of KOA during a 3-year follow-up. We estimated the rate of progression rate in KL grades over the 3 years using the population at risk comprising 1296 individuals (445 men, 851 women). Among these, 311 individuals (86 men, 225 women) had a higher KL grade assigned to one or both knees at follow-up than at baseline. The annual rate of progression in KL grades for either knee over the 3-year period was 8.0% (men 6.4%, women 8.8%) in the overall population at risk, and the rate increased with age (table 4). The MMSE summary score was significantly lower (p<0.0001) and the baseline

prevalence of MCI was significantly higher (p=0.008) in participants with, than in those without, progression of radiographic KOA. Participants with progression of radiographic KOA tended to reside in a mountainous area, were significantly older and taller, had greater BMI and weaker grip strength in both hands and were less likely to smoke, drink alcohol or take regular exercise compared to those who did not have progression of KOA (table 4).

A one-digit increase in the MMSE was associated with a 16% increased risk of progression of radiographic KOA (OR 0.84; p<0.001). This tendency was no longer significant after adjustment for age, gender, regional differences and BMI in model 1 (OR 0.95; p=0.131), and for age, gender, regional differences, BMI, grip strength (worst side), smoking, alcohol consumption, regular exercise and history of knee injuries in model 2 (OR 0.96; p=0.232; table 5). On univariate analysis, the presence of MCI was associated with a 2.5-fold increased risk of progression of KOA (OR 2.54; p=0.010), but this was not significant after adjustment for confounding factors in model 1 (OR 1.56; p=0.242) or model 2 (OR 1.38; p=0.416).

Association of inflammation and metabolic risk factors with both KOA and MCI

In addition to the factors adjusted in model 2, we assessed the following two factors as potential confounders influencing both KOA and MCI: subclinical inflammation and metabolic risk factors.

As an index of inflammation, baseline serum C reactive protein (CRP) level was added as an explanatory factor in a logistic regression analysis similar to that performed in model 2. The adjusted ORs for the occurrence of OA in relation to the MMSE summary score (OR 0.83; 95% CI, 0.72 to 0.96 for +1 MMSE score; p=0.010) or to the presence of MCI (OR 5.18; 95% CI, 1.24 to 21.6 for presence of MCI; p=0.024) remained unchanged, and the serum CRP level was not significantly associated with occurrence (OR 0.47; 95% CI, 0.09 to 2.40 for +1 CRP level; p=0.365) or progression of OA (OR 0.96; 95% CI, 0.67 to 1.37; p=0.818).

Then, we performed logistic regression analysis, similar to that performed in model 2, by using the metabolic risk factors overweight (1: BMI≥25 kg/m^2, 0: BMI<25 kg/m^2), hypertension (1: systolic blood pressure (BP) ≥130 mm Hg and/or diastolic BP ≥85 mm Hg, 0: systolic BP <130 mm Hg and diastolic BP <84 mm Hg), dyslipidaemia (1: serum high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-cho) level <40 mg/dl, 0: HDL-cho level ≥40 mg/dl) and impaired glucose tolerance (1: serum haemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) level ≥5.5%, 0: HbA1c level <5.5%). Furthermore, subjects receiving medication for hypertension, dyslipidaemia or diabetes mellitus were regarded as having hypertension, dyslipidaemia or impaired glucose tolerance, respectively. The adjusted ORs for the occurrence of KOA in relation to the MMSE summary score (OR 0.84; 95% CI, 0.73 to

Table 2 Mean values (SDs) of anthropometric factors, mini-mental state examination (MMSE) and prevalence of mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and selected characteristics vs the occurrence of knee osteoarthritis

Occurrence of KOA

	Total			Men			Women		
	KOA (−) (n=657)	KOA (+) (n=71)	p Value	KOA (−) (n=272)	KOA (+) (n=18)	p Value	KOA (−) (n=385)	KOA (+) (n=53)	p Value
Number of subjects classified by age-strata (cumulative incidence, %/year)									
≤39 (year)	38	0 (0.0)		10	0 (0.0)		28	0 (0.0)	
40–49	118	1 (0.3)		36	0 (0.0)		82	1 (0.4)	
50–59	201	15 (2.3)	<0.001***	77	0 (0.0)	0.009**	124	(3.6)	<0.001***
60–69	177	27 (4.4)		76	11 (4.2)		101	(4.6)	
70–79	108	23 (5.9)		62	6 (2.9)		46	17 (9.0)	
≥80	15	5 (8.3)		11	1 (2.8)		4	4 (16.7)	
Mean values (SDs) for MMSE summary score and prevalence of MCI									
MMSE summary score	29.1 (1.6)	28.0 (2.3)	<0.0001***	28.8 (1.9)	27.3 (2.7)	0.0017**	29.3 (1.3)	28.2 (2.1)	<0.0001***
Prevalence of MCI (%)	7/654 (1.1)	4/71 (5.6)	0.003**	6/270 (2.2)	2/18 (11.1)	0.026*	1/384 (0.3)	2/53 (3.8)	0.004*
Mean values (SDs) for age, anthropometric factors and neuromuscular function									
Age (year)	58.2 (11.8)	67.3 (8.2)	<0.0001***	61.0 (11.8)	70.0 (6.1)	0.0021**	56.4 (11.4)	66.4 (8.7)	<0.0001***
Height (cm)	158.8 (8.6)	153.9 (7.6)	<0.0001***	165.6 (7.0)	162.0 (5.0)	0.0360*	154.0 (6.0)	151.2 (6.2)	0.0018**
Weight (kg)	56.8 (11.0)	56.0 (8.8)	0.5560	63.7 (11.0)	63.7 (9.2)	0.9859	51.9 (8.1)	53.4 (7.1)	0.2051
BMI (kg/m^2)	22.4 (3.2)	23.6 (2.9)	0.0035**	23.2 (3.2)	24.2 (3.1)	0.1709	21.9 (3.1)	23.4 (2.8)	0.0012**
Grip strength (better side) (kg)	31.3 (9.9)	26.7 (8.1)	0.0002***	39.4 (8.8)	35.9 (7.1)	0.0996	25.6 (6.0)	23.5 (5.6)	0.0171*
Grip strength (worse side) (kg)	28.0 (9.6)	23.0 (8.5)	<0.0001***	35.9 (9.0)	30.7 (11.0)	0.0188*	22.5 (5.1)	20.4 (5.5)	0.0065**
Percentage of selected characteristics, %									
Residing in a coastal area	70.8	56.3	0.012*	66.9	55.6	0.324	73.5	56.6	0.011*
Current smoking habit (more than once a month)	16.9	7.1	0.034*	34.2	27.8	0.577	4.7	0.0	0.110
Current alcohol consumption (more than once a month)	47.9	35.2	0.041*	70.0	61.1	0.428	32.5	26.4	0.375
Regular exercise after graduation from school	19.9	7.0	0.008**	37.5	27.8	0.408	7.5	0.0	0.039*
Past injury of either knee	1.8	5.6	0.038*	0.4	5.6	0.010*	2.9	5.7	0.277

*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001
BMI, body mass index; KOA, knee osteoarthritis; KOA(−), non-occurrence of KOA; KOA(+), occurrence of KOA; n, number of subjects.

Table 3 ORs for occurrence of knee osteoarthritis during the 3-year follow-up period versus mild cognitive impairment (MCI)

MMSE summary score		Univariate analysis			Logistic regression model 1			Logistic regression model 2		
Explanatory variables	Reference	OR	95% CI	p Value	OR	95% CI	p Value	OR	95% CI	p Value
MMSE summary score	+1 score	0.76	0.68 to 0.85	<0.001***	0.85	0.73 to 0.97	0.015*	0.83	0.72 to 0.96	0.010*
Other potential risk actors										
Age (year)	1 year				1.09	1.06 to 1.13	<0.001**	1.10	1.06 to 1.14	<0.001***
Gender	0: men, 1: women				4.36	2.33 to 8.16	<0.001**	4.02	1.50 to 10.74	0.006**
Region	0: mountainous area, 1: coastal area				0.78	0.45 to 1.35	0.380	0.76	0.43 to 1.35	0.354
BMI (kg/m^2)	+1 kg/m^2				1.23	1.12 to 1.34	<0.001**	1.22	1.11 to 1.34	<0.001***
Grip strength (worse side) (kg)	+1 kg							1.01	0.96 to 1.06	0.730
Smoking	0: exsmoker or never smoker, 1: current smoker							1.01	0.35 to 2.91	0.986
Alcohol consumption	0: exdrinker or never drinker, 1: current drinker							1.11	0.60 to 2.04	0.746
Regular exercise after graduation from school	0: no, 1: yes							0.57	0.20 to 1.65	0.302
History of knee injuries	0: no, 1: yes							4.76	1.26 to 17.97	0.021*
MCI		Univariate analysis			Logistic regression model 1			Logistic regression model 2		
Explanatory variables										
MCI	0: absence, 1 :presence	5.52	1.57 to 19.34	0.008**	4.59	1.18 to 17.7	0.027*	4.90	1.20 to 20.05	0.027*
Other potential risk actors										
Age (year)	1 year				1.10	1.07 to 1.14	<0.001**	1.10	1.07 to 1.15	<0.001***
Gender	0: men, 1: women				4.36	2.32 to 8.17	<0.001**	3.80	1.42 to 10.19	0.008**
Region	0: mountainous area, 1: coastal area				0.75	0.44 to 1.30	0.310	0.73	0.41 to 1.29	0.280
BMI (kg/m^2)	+1 kg/m^2				1.23	1.13 to 1.35	<0.001**	1.23	1.12 to 1.34	<0.001***
Grip strength (worse side) (kg)	+ 1 kg							1.00	0.96 to 1.05	0.870
Smoking	0: exsmoker or never smoker, 1: current smoker							1.08	0.38 to 3.12	0.885
Alcohol consumption	0: exdrinker or never drinker, 1: current drinker							1.10	0.59 to 2.02	0.770
Regular exercise after graduation from school	0: no, 1: yes							0.57	0.20 to 1.65	0.304
Past history of knee injuries	0: no, 1: yes							4.28	1.13 to 16.19	0.032*

*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.
BMI, body mass index; n, number of subjects; MMSE, mini-mental state examination.

Mild cognitive impairment influences in onset of KOA

Table 4 Mean values (SDs) of anthropometric factors, mini-mental state examination (MMSE) and prevalence of mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and selected characteristics versus progression of knee osteoarthritis

Progression of KOA Women

	Total			Men			Women		
	Progression			Progression			Progression		
	(−)	(+)		(−)	(+)		(−)	(+)	
	(n=985)	(n=311)	p Value	(n=359)	(n=86)	p Value	(n=626)	(n=225)	p Value
Number of subjects classified by age-strata (Proportion of progression, %/year)									
≤39 (year)	37	2 (1.7)		9	1 (3.3)		28	1 (1.1)	
40–49	128	7 (1.7)		38	2 (1.7)		90	5 (1.8)	
50–59	248	44 (5.0)	<0.001***	89	8 (2.8)	<0.001***	159	36 (6.2)	<0.001***
60–69	292	105 (8.2)		101			191	79 (9.8)	
70–79	241	115 (10.8)		105	38 (8.9)		136	77 (12.1)	
≥80	39	38 (16.5)		17	11 (13.1)		22	27 (18.4)	
Mean values (SDs) for MMSE summary score and prevalence of MCI									
MMSE summary score	28.7 (1.8)	28.0 (2.2)	<0.0001***	28.5 (1.9)	27.9 (2.3)	0.0056**	28.8 (1.8)	28.1 (2.1)	<0.0001***
Prevalence of MCI (%)	18/980 (1.8)	14/295 (4.5)	0.008**	9/357 (2.5)	5/85 (5.9)	0.112	9/623 (1.4)	9/224 (4.0)	0.022*
Mean values (SDs) for age, anthropometric factors and neuromuscular function									
Age (year)	61.6 (11.9)	68.7 (9.3)	<0.0001***	63.3 (11.8)	70.0 (9.4)	<0.0001***	60.7 (11.9)	68.2 (9.3)	<0.0001***
Height (cm)	156.7 (8.9)	153.1 (8.6)	<0.0001***	164.6 (7.1)	161.8 (6.2)	0.0010**	152.2 (6.4)	149.7 (6.9)	<0.0001***
Weight (kg)	56.0 (10.9)	55.6 (9.9)	0.5496	63.1 (10.9)	62.8 (10.2)	0.8520	52.0 (8.6)	52.9 (8.4)	0.1883
BMI (kg/m^2)	22.7 (3.3)	23.6 (3.1)	<0.0001***	23.2 (3.2)	23.9 (3.1)	0.0643	22.4 (3.3)	23.5 (3.1)	<0.0001***
Grip strength (better side) (kg)	29.3 (9.7)	25.7 (8.0)	<0.0001***	38.1 (8.7)	34.4 (7.2)	0.0003***	24.3 (6.0)	22.4 (5.3)	<0.0001***
Grip strength (worse side) (kg)	26.0 (9.4)	22.5 (7.9)	<0.0001***	34.6 (8.8)	30.1 (8.7)	<0.0001***	21.1 (5.3)	19.6 (5.2)	0.0003***
Percentage of selected characteristics (%)									
Residing in a coastal area	57.9	42.1	<0.001***	53.8	44.2	0.110	60.4	41.3	<0.001***
Current smoking habit (more than once a month)	14.1	8.6	0.013*	31.2	24.4	0.220	4.1	2.3	0.222
Current alcohol consumption (more than once a month)	44.4	32.5	<0.001***	72.1	57.0	0.006**	28.6	23.1	0.114
Regular exercise after graduation from school	18.1	8.0	<0.001***	39.6	23.3	0.005**	5.8	2.2	0.034*
Past injury of either knee	2.0	3.2	0.226	1.1	3.5	0.112	2.6	3.1	0.660

*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.
KOA, knee osteoarthritis; progression(−), no progression of the Kellgren-Lawrence grade; progression(+), progression of the Kellgren-Lawrence grade.
BMI, body mass index; n, number of subjects.

Table 5 OR for the progression of the Kellgren-Lawrence grade for either knee during the 3-year follow-up period versus mild cognitive impairment (MCI)

MMSE summary score			Univariate analysis			Logistic regression model 1			Logistic regression model 2		
Explanatory variables	Reference	OR	95% CI	p Value	OR	95% CI	p Value	OR	95% CI	p Value
MMSE summary score	+1 score	0.84	0.79 to 0.90	<0.001***	0.95	0.88 to 1.02	0.131	0.96	0.89 to 1.03	0.232
Other potential risk actors										
Age (year)	+1 year				1.06	1.05 to 1.08	<0.001***	1.06	1.04 to 1.07	<0.001***
Gender	0: men, 1: women				1.89	1.40 to 2.55	<0.001***	1.29	0.798 to 2.11	0.308
Region	0: mountainous area, coastal 1: area				0.75	0.57 to 1.00	0.048*	0.69	0.52 to 0.92	0.011*
BMI (kg/m^2)	+ 1 kg/m^2				1.12	1.07 to 1.17	<0.001***	1.13	1.08 to 1.18	<0.001***
Grip strength (worse side) (kg)	+1 kg							0.99	0.97 to 1.02	0.572
Smoking	0: exsmoker or never smoker, 1: current smoker							0.99	0.59 to 1.64	0.964
Alcohol consumption	0: exdrinker or never drinker, 1 current drinker							0.84	0.61 to 1.15	0.274
Regular exercise after graduation from school	0: no, 1: yes							0.55	0.33 to 0.91	0.021*
History of knee injuries	0: no, 1: yes							2.27	0.99 to 5.22	0.053
MCI			Univariate analysis			Logistic regression model 1			Logistic regression model 2		
Explanatory variables										
MCI	0: absence, 1: presence	2.54	1.25 to 5.16	0.010*	1.56	0.74 to 3.30	0.242	1.38	0.63 to 3.03	0.416
Other potential risk actors										
Age (year)	+1 year				1.07	1.05 to 1.08	<0.001***	1.06	1.04 to 1.08	<0.001***
Gender	0: men, 1: women				1.89	1.40 to 2.54	<0.001***	1.26	0.77 to 2.05	0.353
Region	0: mountainous area, coastal 1: area				0.75	0.56 to 0.99	0.041*	0.68	0.51 to 0.91	0.010*
BMI (kg/m^2)	+ 1 kg/m^2				1.13	1.08 to 1.17	<0.001***	1.13	1.08 to 1.18	<0.001***
Grip strength (worse side) (kg)	+1 kg							0.99	0.97 to 1.02	0.484
Smoking	0: exsmoker or never smoker, 1: current smoker							0.99	0.60 to 1.65	0.974
Alcohol consumption	0: exdrinker or never drinker, 1: current drinker							0.83	0.61 to 1.15	0.264
Regular exercise after graduation from school	0: no, 1: yes							0.55	0.33 to 0.91	0.021*
History of knee injuries	0: no, 1: yes							2.27	0.99 to 5.21	0.053

*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001
BMI, body mass index; ; MMSE, mini mental state examination; n, number of subjects.

Mild cognitive impairment influences in onset of KOA

0.97 for +1 MMSE score; p=0.019) or to the presence of MCI (OR 4.78; 95% CI, 1.15 to 19.9 for the presence of MCI; p=0.032) remained significant, and hypertension was also significantly associated with the occurrence of KOA in relation to MMSE summary score (OR 2.23; 95% CI, 1.04 to 4.79 for the presence of hypertension; p=0.039) or to the presence of MCI (OR 2.26; 95% CI, 1.06 to 4.85; p=0.036). However, there was no significant association between the occurrence of KOA and overweight, dyslipidaemia and impaired glucose tolerance after adjustment for the factors used in model 2.

DISCUSSION

We studied a population-based cohort with a high participation rate (81.9%) over a period of 3 years, and observed a significant association between the baseline presence of MCI and incident radiographic KOA identified at 3-year follow-up. This association persisted after adjustment for potential confounding factors.

In contrast, we did not observe an association between MCI and further progression of radiographic KOA identified at baseline. We identified progression of KOA when the KL grade was higher at follow-up than at baseline; MCI might have had less influence in patients with an increase of at least one KL grade compared to baseline. We reanalysed the influence of the MMSE score or the presence of MCI on rapid progression of OA, which was defined as an increase of at least two KL grades at either knee at follow-up. The results were similar after adjustment for confounders as in model 2; that is, we identified a significant association between MMSE score and rapid progression of OA (OR 0.84; 95% CI, 0.73 to 0.98, for +1 MMSE score; p=0.026). The OR for rapid progression of OA was increased in the presence of MCI, but not significantly so (OR 2.73; 95% CI, 0.71 to 10.5; p=0.144). Then we concluded that the influence of cognitive decline in the future KOA was more pronounced in occurrence of radiographic KOA than in progression.

Links between musculoskeletal disease and dementia have been reported previously; osteoporosis at the femoral neck, for example, is more common in patients with Alzheimer's disease than in healthy volunteers,[19] but the relationship between KOA and dementia has not been examined. In the current analysis, we showed that the occurrence of KOA was influenced not only by the MMSE scores but also by the presence of MCI. We think that this may be the effect of subclinical inflammation in both MCI and KOA, as inflammatory mechanisms could be involved in the pathogenesis of MCI[19][20] as well as OA.[21] Therefore, we performed logistic regression analysis similar to that performed in model 2, with the addition of the CRP values. The adjusted ORs for the occurrence of OA in relation to the MMSE summary score or to the presence of MCI remained unchanged, and serum CRP level was not significantly associated with occurrence or progression of OA. However, we used a standard method to measure CRP levels, and further studies using a more sensitive measurement method are required to assess the effect of systemic inflammation on cognitive impairment and KOA.

Another hypothesis is that there are hidden confounding factors that might affect both MCI and the onset of KOA. We considered risk factors for metabolic syndrome as potential confounders. Metabolic risk factors such as hypertension and diabetes have been suggested to play a role in the pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease as well as in the development of vascular dementia.[22–24] We have also already reported the presence of hypertension and impaired glucose tolerance, and shown that accumulation of metabolic risk factors may cause the occurrence of KOA.[25] These findings may indicate that the MCI is a candidate surrogate index for metabolic risk factors as a predictor of KOA occurrence. Therefore, we performed logistic regression analysis similar to that performed for model 2, with the addition of metabolic risk factors. The adjusted ORs for the occurrence of KOA in relation to the MMSE summary score or to the presence of MCI remained significant. In addition, hypertension was also significantly associated with the occurrence of KOA in relation to the MMSE summary score and the occurrence of KOA in relation to MCI, but there was no significant association between the occurrence of KOA and overweight, dyslipidaemia and impaired glucose tolerance. This result shows that components of metabolic syndrome, such as hypertension and MCI, coexist as risk factors for onset of KOA, and MCI might not be a surrogate index for metabolic risk factors for indicating the occurrence of KOA. There might be a direct or an indirect pathway between cognitive impairment and onset of KOA, but based on the information currently available, a causal relationship between MCI and onset of KOA seems to be biologically improbable.

Besides inflammation and metabolic risk factors, there might be other hidden confounders, which could influence both MCI and OA, for example, nutritional factors. Further investigation would be needed to clarify whether the causal relationship still remains after careful consideration with analysis of other possible confounders.

There were several limitations to our study. First, although we used a standard measure of global cognitive function, we used only the MMSE to diagnose MCI, and were unable to perform additional examinations such as MRI to improve the accuracy of the diagnosis. Consequently, we may have underdiagnosed MCI. Second, we used KL grade ≥2 for diagnosis of KOA. However, the KL scale is a categorical index, and it is impossible to separately evaluate osteophytosis and the minimum joint space. A computer-assisted diagnostic system for the measurement of minimum joint space width and area of osteophytosis is currently under development;[26] this will help measure the severity of KOA using quantitative parameters, and allow us to establish a more accurate assessment of the association between MCI and the development of OA, and facilitate early

prevention of disability. Further, the small proportion of the population with MCI at risk for KOA onset detection might raise the bias in the results of the study.

On the contrary, the strengths of the present study include a population-based design of a cohort, large number of participants with KOA, and a 3-year follow-up with a high participation rate of 81.9%. Substantial amount of detailed information, including an interviewer-administered questionnaire, dietary assessment, anthropometric measurements, neuromuscular function assessment, biochemical measurements, medical history, radiographic assessment and bone mineral density measurement, was collected at both the baseline and the second visit.

CONCLUSION

Our results indicated that MCI significantly influences the occurrence of radiographic KOA, and that KOA occurs more frequently with an decrease in the summary score of the MMSE and the presence of MCI. Prevention of MCI may be useful in preventing the occurrence of KOA and subsequent disability, while further investigation is needed to clarify whether such causalities were caused by direct or indirect associations.

Author affiliations
[1]Department of Joint Disease Research, 22nd Century Medical and Research Center, the University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan
[2]Department of Clinical Motor System Medicine, 22nd Century Medical and Research Center, the University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan
[3]Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Sensory and Motor System Medicine, Graduate School of Medicine, the University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan
[4]National Rehabilitation Center for Persons with Disabilities, Saitama, Japan

REFERENCES

1. National Council of Aging. Fact Sheet: Chronic Disease Self-Management. http://www.ncoa.org/assets/files/pdf/NCOA-Chronic-Desease.pdf (accessed 12 Nov 2012).
2. Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. The outline of the results of National Livelihood Survey 2010. (http://www.mhlw.go.jp/toukei/saikin/hw/k-tyosa/l^tyousa10/4-2.html. (accessed 12 Nov 2012)
3. Launer LJ. Demonstrating the case that AD is a vascular disease: epidemiologic evidence. *Ageing Res Rev* 2002;1:61–77.
4. Kalmijn S, Feskens EJ, Launer LJ, *et al*. Glucose intolerance, hyperinsulinaemia and cognitive function in a general population of elderly men. *Diabetologia* 1995;38:1096–102.
5. Gregg EW, Yaffe K, Cauley JA, *et al*. Is diabetes associated with cognitive impairment and cognitive decline among older women? Study of Osteoporotic Fractures Research Group. *Arch Intern Med* 2000;160:174–80.
6. Yaffe K, Barrett-Connor E, Lin F, *et al*. Serum lipoprotein levels, statin use, and cognitive function in older women. *Arch Neurol* 2002;59:378–84.
7. Yaffe K, Weston AL, Blackwell T, *et al*. The metabolic syndrome and development of cognitive impairment among older women. *Arch Neurol* 2009;66:324–8.
8. Helzner EP, Luchsinger JA, Scarmeas N, *et al*. Contribution of vascular risk factors to the progression in Alzheimer disease. *Arch Neurol* 2009;66:343–8.
9. Ninomiya T, Ohara T, Hirakawa Y, *et al*. Midlife and late-life blood pressure and dementia in Japanese elderly: the Hisayama study. *Hypertension* 2011;58:22–8.
10. Petersen RC, Smith GE, Waring SC, *et al*. Mild cognitive impairment: clinical characterization and outcome. *Arch Neurol* 1999;56:303–8.
11. Yoshimura N, Muraki S, Oka H, *et al*. Prevalence of knee osteoarthritis, lumbar spondylosis and osteoporosis in Japanese men and women: the research on osteoarthritis/osteoporosis against disability study. *J Bone Miner Metab* 2009;27:620–8.
12. Yoshimura N, Muraki S, Oka H, *et al*. Cohort profile: research on Osteoarthritis/Osteoporosis Against Disability (ROAD) study. *Int J Epidemiol* 2010;39:988–95.
13. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. Mini-Mental State. A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatric Res* 1975;12:189–98.
14. Anthony JC, LeResche L, Niaz U, *et al*. Limits of the 'Mini-Mental State' as a screening test for dementia and delirium among hospital patients. *Psychological Med* 1982;12:397–408.
15. Foreman MD. Reliability and validity of mental status questionnaires in elderly hospitalized patients. *Nursing Res* 1987;36:216–20.
16. Mori E, Mitsuya Y, Yamadori A. Usefulness of the Japanese version mini-mental state examination for the neurological patients. *Jpn J Neuropsychol* 1985;33:228–35.
17. Kellgren JH, Lawrence JS eds. *The epidemiology of chronic rheumatism: atlas of standard radiographs of arthritis*. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific, 1963.
18. Muraki S, Akune T, Oka H, *et al*. Association of radiographic and symptomatic knee osteoarthritis with health-related quality of life in a population-based cohort study in Japan: the ROAD study. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2010;18:1227–34.
19. McGeer EG, McGeer PL. Brain inflammation in Alzheimer disease and the therapeutic implications. *Curr Pharm Des* 1999;5:821–36.
20. Zandi PP, Anthony JC, Hayden KM, *et al*. Reduced incidence of AD with NSAID but not H2 receptor antagonists: the Cache County Study. *Neurology* 2002;59:880–6.
21. Pottie P, Presle N, Terlain B, *et al*. Obesity and osteoarthritis: more complex than predicted! *Ann Rheum Dis* 2006;65:1403–5.
22. Kalmijn S, Feskens EJ, Launer LJ, *et al*. Glucose intolerance, hyperinsulinaemia and cognitive function in a general population of elderly men. *Diabetologia* 1995;38:1096–102.

23. Gregg EW, Yaffe K, Cauley JA, *et al*. Is diabetes associated with cognitive impairment and cognitive decline among older women? Study of Osteoporotic Fractures Research Group. *Arch Intern Med* 2000;160: 174–80.

24. Yaffe K, Barrett-Connor E, Lin F, *et al*. Serum lipoprotein levels, statin use, and cognitive function in older women. *Arch Neurol* 2002;59:378–84.

25. Yoshimura N, Muraki S, Oka H, *et al*. Accumulation of metabolic risk factors such as overweight, hypertension, dyslipidaemia, and impaired glucose tolerance raises the risk of occurrence and progression of knee osteoarthritis: a 3-year follow-up of the ROAD study. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2012;20:1217–26.

26. Oka H, Muraki S, Akune T, *et al*. Fully automatic quantification of knee osteoarthritis severity on plain radiographs. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2008;16:1300–6.