BMJ Open Cohort profile: the Swedish Inception Cohort in inflammatory bowel disease (SIC-IBD)

Benita Salomon ^(b), ¹ Olle Grännö, ² Daniel Bergemalm, ³ Hans Strid, ⁴ Adam Carstens, ^{5,6} Henrik Hjortswang, ⁷ Maria Ling Lundström, ⁸ Jóhann P Hreinsson, ⁹ Sven Almer, ^{4,10} Franscesca Bresso, ^{4,10} Carl Eriksson, ³ Olof Grip, ¹¹ André Blomberg, ¹² Jan Marsal, ¹¹ Niloofar Nikaein ^(b), ¹ Shoaib Bakhtyar, ¹ Carl Mårten Lindqvist, ¹ Elisabeth Hultgren Hörnquist ^(b), ¹ Maria K Magnusson, ¹³ Åsa V Keita, ¹⁴ Mauro D'Amato, ^{15,16,17} Dirk Repsilber, ¹ Lena Öhman, ¹³ Johan D Söderholm, ^{14,18} Marie Carlson, ⁸ Charlotte R H Hedin ^(b), ^{4,10} Robert Kruse, ^{1,19,20} Jonas Halfvarson ^(b) ³

ABSTRACT

To cite: Salomon B, Grännö O, Bergemalm D, et al . Cohort profile: the Swedish Inception Cohort in inflammatory bowel disease (SIC-IBD). *BMJ Open* 2025;**15**:e099218. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2025-099218

► Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (https://doi.org/10.1136/ bmjopen-2025-099218).

BS and OGrä contributed equally.

BS and OGrä are joint first authors.

Received 13 January 2025 Accepted 15 April 2025



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2025. Re-use permitted under CC BY. Published by BMJ Group.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Professor Jonas Halfvarson; jonas.halfvarsson@ regionorebrolan.se **Purpose** There is a need for diagnostic and prognostic biosignatures to improve long-term outcomes in inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). Here, we describe the establishment of the Swedish Inception Cohort in IBD (SIC-IBD) and demonstrate its potential for the identification of such signatures.

Participants Patients aged ≥18 years with gastrointestinal symptoms who were referred to the gastroenterology unit due to suspected IBD at eight Swedish hospitals between November 2011 and March 2021 were eligible for inclusion.

Findings to date In total, 367 patients with IBD (Crohn's disease, n=142; ulcerative colitis, n=201; IBD-unclassified, n=24) and 168 symptomatic controls were included. In addition, 59 healthy controls without gastrointestinal symptoms were recruited as a second control group. Biospecimens and clinical data were collected at inclusion and in patients with IBD also during follow-up to 10 years. Levels of faecal calprotectin and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein were higher in patients with IBD compared with symptomatic controls and healthy controls. Preliminary results highlight the potential of serum protein signatures and autoantibodies, as well as results from faecal markers. to differentiate between IBD and symptomatic controls in the cohort. During the first year of follow-up, 37% (53/142) of the patients with Crohn's disease, 24% (48/201) with ulcerative colitis and 4% (1/24) with IBD-U experienced an aggressive disease course.

Future plans We have established an inception cohort enabling ongoing initiatives to collect and generate clinical data and multi-omics datasets. The cohort will allow analyses for translation into candidate biosignatures to support clinical decision-making in IBD. Additionally, the data will provide insights into mechanisms of disease pathogenesis.

INTRODUCTION

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), comprising Crohn's disease, ulcerative colitis

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- ⇒ This large, multicentre inception cohort of newly diagnosed adult patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), with integrated biobanking and prospective material collection, enables exploration of IBD pathophysiology and biomarker discovery.
- ⇒ The inclusion of symptomatic controls with gastrointestinal symptoms mimicking IBD but without evidence of the diagnosis provides a realistic diagnostic setting and addresses limitations of many previous cohorts.
- ⇒ The use of healthy controls as a second control group offers opportunities to gain insight into IBD pathogenesis.
- ⇒ The non-population-based study design and the predominance of university hospitals in recruitment may limit the generalisability of findings.
- ⇒ Suboptimal sample processing at some centres, including delays in serum centrifugation and transportation of faecal samples at ambient temperatures, may have affected the quality of some specific analyses, such as microbiota analyses.

and IBD-unclassified (IBD-U), is a chronic **technolog** disease that predominantly presents during the second and third decades of life. The disease results in an impaired quality of life for patients and substantial societal costs due **g** to sick leave and a high use of healthcare resources.^{1–3} Progressive inflammation causes damage to the gastrointestinal tract, and a substantial proportion of patients develop serious disease complications, including bowel obstruction, fistula, abscesses, and colorectal cancer.^{4–6}

IBD often presents with non-specific symptoms such as diarrhoea, fatigue and abdominal pain and, therefore, presents a diagnostic



challenge. Commonly used biomarkers in diagnostic algorithms include C-reactive protein (CRP) and, in some regions, faecal calprotectin (FCP) which help identify patients needing referral for further investigations, including ileocolonoscopy.⁷ However, the diagnostic accuracy of CRP is too low for reliably identifying IBD patients, and the utility of FCP is hampered by poor patient adherence to faecal sampling.^{8 9} Furthermore, many healthcare systems do not reimburse the use of FCP for diagnostic purposes. The absence of reliable, non-invasive diagnostic biomarkers, occurrence of non-specific symptoms and limited access to ileocolonoscopy frequently result in a significant diagnostic delay.^{10 11} As a consequence, many patients have acquired considerable bowel damage (eg, fistulas, strictures) already at diagnosis.⁴¹¹

At diagnosis, the heterogeneity in clinical presentation and subsequent disease course make clinicians' attempts to stratify the treatment according to the individual patient's needs very difficult. While some patients experience an indolent disease course with minimal symptoms and low endoscopic activity, a substantial proportion exhibit an aggressive disease phenotype characterised by treatment refractoriness, corticosteroid dependency, frequent hospital admissions and surgical interventions, including resection surgery and acute colectomy.¹²⁻¹⁸ For this latter group, an early effective treatment seems advantageous, as the initial disease phase may provide a 'window of opportunity' where prompt suppression of intestinal inflammation could improve longterm outcomes.¹⁹⁻²¹ Identifying prognostic biomarkers for this group of patients is therefore critical to facilitate the timely initiation of potent treatment.

To address the need for both diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers, we established the 'Swedish Inception Cohort in IBD' (SIC-IBD), a large prospective multicentre cohort

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2025-099218 on 6 May 2025. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 13, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES) otected by copyright, includ uses rela đ e data mining, AI training, and similar technologies

involving patients with suspected IBD referred to eight hospitals in Sweden. After a comprehensive diagnostic workup, patients were classified as incident IBD patients or symptomatic non-IBD controls, that is, patients presenting with symptoms mimicking IBD but where IBD was ruled out. Alternative diagnoses in this patient group included infectious colitis, irritable bowel syndrome and diverticulitis. As a second control group, healthy controls without gastrointestinal symptoms were recruited. This cohort provides a rich collection of biospecimens together with detailed phenotypic characterisation collected both at diagnosis and during follow-up. Herein, we present the study design and baseline characteristics of the participants in the SIC-IBD.

COHORT DESCRIPTION Inclusion

This longitudinal multicentre cohort study was conducted across eight Swedish hospitals: Ersta Hospital, Karolinska University Hospital, Linköping University Hospital, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Skåne University Hospital, Södra Älvsborg Hospital, Uppsala University Hospital and Örebro University Hospital. Patients aged ≥18 years with gastrointestinal symptoms, such as diarrhoea, abdominal pain and blood or mucus in stools, who were referred to the gastroenterology unit with suspected IBD between November 2011 and March 2021 were eligible for inclusion (figure 1). Exclusion criteria included a previous diagnosis of Crohn's disease, ulcerative colitis or IBD-unclassified, or inability to provide informed consent or to comply with protocol requirements. After obtaining written informed consent, all patients underwent a routine diagnostic work-up for IBD, following clinical practice and international guidelines.²²



Figure 1 Flow diagram showing the number of included individuals at each hospital, the number of patients excluded and the final number of patients and controls in the Swedish Inception Cohort in inflammatory bowel disease (SIC-IBD). IBD, inflammatory bowel disease.

Table 1 Demographics of individuals included in the Swedish inception Conort in Ibb (SIC-Ibb)						
	Crohn's disease n=142	Ulcerative colitis n=201	IBD-unclassified n=24	Symptomatic control n=168	Healthy control n=59	
Female sex, n (%)	72 (50.7)	81 (40.3)	11 (45.8)	107 (63.7)	33 (55.9)	
Median age (IQR)	28 (23–41)	34 (26–45)	33 (30–45)	34 (25–48)	26 (23–31)	
Median CRP, mg/L (IQR)*	8.0 (3.2–32.3)	3.7 (1.4–8.7)	3.1 (2–5.6)	1.8 (0.9–5.9)	0.6 (0.3–1.1)	
Median FCP, µg/g (IQR)*	452 (234–981)	325 (90–880)	471 (148–621)	68 (19–146)	10 (5–24)	
Smoking, n (%)						
Never	81 (57)	104 (52)	13 (54)	76 (45)	43 (73)	
Previous	28 (20)	64 (32)	8 (33)	30 (18)	10 (17)	
Current	25 (18)	16 (8)	2 (8)	18 (11)	4 (7)	
Missing	8 (6)	17 (8)	1 (4)	44 (26)	2 (3)	
Median BMI, kg/m ² (IQR)	23 (21–26)	24 (22–27)	27 (22–28)	26 (22–30)	23 (21–25)	

*Information was missing for CRP in 62 (10%) individuals, FCP in 217 (37%) individuals and BMI in 197 (33%) individuals. BMI, Body Mass Index; CRP, C-reactive protein; FCP, faecal calprotectin.

Demographics of individuals included in the Swedish Incention Cohort in IRD (SIC IRD)

Based on the diagnostic work-up, which included MRI in cases where small bowel involvement was suspected, patients were classified as having incident IBD or as symptomatic controls, that is, patients with no discernible evidence of IBD at inclusion or during follow-up. The diagnosis of IBD was based on internationally accepted clinical, endoscopic, radiological and histological criteria.²² Nine patients were excluded due to suspected or confirmed IBD during follow-up. Patients are followed prospectively according to clinical practice, with data collected after 3 months and 1, 3, 5, 7 and 10 years for those with IBD. At present, follow-up is ongoing for many patients. In addition, a group of healthy controls, without gastrointestinal disease, was included at baseline.

Demographics of patients and controls

During the inclusion period, 367 patients with IBD (Crohn's disease, n=142; ulcerative colitis, n=201; IBD-U, n=24) and 168 symptomatic controls were included (table 1). The group of symptomatic controls comprised patients who ultimately were diagnosed with various diseases, including microscopic colitis, infectious enteritis, coeliac disease or irritable bowel syndrome. In addition, 59 healthy controls without any history of gastrointestinal disease were recruited as a second control group.

Healthcare setting

Sweden has a tax-funded universal healthcare system, and private outpatient healthcare providers are few within the field of gastroenterology. In general, gastroenterologists at secondary or tertiary care centres (rather than primary care) are responsible for diagnosing and managing patients with IBD.

Data collection

Characterisation of disease phenotype and disease activity

Following informed consent, data on patient demographics, disease phenotype according to the Montreal

Protected by copyright, including classification,²³ extraintestinal manifestations, endoscopic ğ activity (defined as the presence of ulcers in Crohn's disease uses (yes/no) and the Mayo endoscopic subscore in ulcerative colitis), IBD treatment, IBD-related surgery and hospital admission were recorded at baseline and prospectively during follow-up. Treatment naivety was defined as no prior treatment with IBD-related medications, including topical therapies. Previous treatments in patients who were not treatment-naïve included mostly topical therapies but also a few days of corticosteroid treatment. For healthy controls, information about basic demographics was collected. Data on disease characteristics were recorded using case report a forms (CRFs), and details on the Montreal classification and clinical disease activity at baseline are provided in table 2.

Patient-reported outcomes

Patient questionnaires were employed to obtain information about clinical disease activity, smoking status, recent antibiotic or non-steroid anti-inflammatory drug use and any specific dietary restrictions.

simila Patients were also asked to complete the Short Health Scale and Short Form-36 (SF-36) questionnaire to obtain information about health-related quality of life (HRQoL).²⁴⁻²⁶ SF-36 is a general measure of HRQoL, whereas the Short Health Scale is a validated measure to address HRQoL in patients with IBD. It includes four dimensions: bowel symptoms, daily life activities, worry and general well-being. Additional quality of life data were collected using the EuroQol-5D questionnaire.^{27 28} Mental health was evaluated using the Patient-health Questionnaire 9,²⁹ the Cognitive Reserve Index³⁰ and the Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36).²⁶ Additional data on gastrointestinal symptoms were obtained by using the Gastrointestinal Symptom Rating Scale³¹ and the Rome III criteria for functional gastrointestinal disorders.³²

e

ning, Al training

, and

Table 2	Clinical characteristics of patients with Crohn's diseas	e, ulcerative colitis and IBD-unclassified in the Swedish
Inception	Cohort in IBD (SIC-IBD)	

		Crohn's disease n=142	Ulcerative colitis n=201	IBD-unclassified n=24
Disease location, n (%)				
	lleal (L1)	48 (34)		
	Colonic (L2)	50 (35)		
	lleocolonic (L3)	34 (24)		
	Upper GI (L4)	1 (1)		
	Unknown	9 (6)		
Disease behaviour, n (%)				
	Non-stricturing, non-penetrating (B1)	115 (81)		
	Stricturing (B2)	14 (10)		
	Penetrating (B3)	5 (4)		
	Unknown	8 (6)		
Perianal disease, n (%)		12 (8)		
Disease extent, n (%)				
	Ulcerative proctitis (E1)		64 (32)	
	Left-sided colitis (E2)		57 (28)	
	Extensive colitis (E3)		76 (38)	
	Unknown		4 (2)	
Treatment-naive, n (%)		128 (90)	185 (92)	21 (88)

Upper GI (L4) refers to patients with only upper gastrointestinal Crohn's disease.

GI, gastrointestinal; IBD-unclassified, inflammatory bowel disease unclassified.

Sample collection and biobanking

Biospecimens were obtained at baseline and during follow-up, processed and biobanked according to predefined standard operating procedures. Blood samples were collected in EDTA and PAX tubes. In addition, serum samples were obtained by centrifugation of whole blood at $2400 \times g$ for 5 min at ambient temperature, followed by aliquoting of serum and storing at -80° C. For six centres, blood samples were shipped unprocessed at ambient room temperature and centrifuged upon arrival at the central biobank, Örebro, Sweden. The participants collected faecal samples in plastic tubes at home, brought them to the hospital or mailed them by postal service. On arrival, the samples were

immediately frozen and stored at -80° C. Spot urine samples were collected during study visits, aliquoted and stored at -80° C.

 Table 3
 An overview of biospecimens collected at baseline from individuals within the Swedish Inception Cohort in inflammatory bowel disease (SIC-IBD)

	Crohn's disease n=142	Ulcerative colitis n=201	IBD-unclassified n=24	Symptomatic control n=168	Healthy control n=59
Serum, n (%)	137 (96)	199 (99)	23 (96)	164 (98)	59 (100)
Faecal, n (%)*	99 (70)	137 (68)	20 (83)	112 (67)	56 (95)
Intestinal biopsies, n (%)	92 (65)	161 (80)	18 (75)	155 (92)	58 (98)
Urine, n (%)	62 (44)	91 (45)	9 (38)	90 (54)	58 (98)

*Faecal samples for microbiome analyses and for additional faecal marker. Samples for faecal calprotectin were handled separately. IBD, inflammatory bowel disease.

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data min

Box 1 Criteria for defining the disease course as aggressive or indolent within the Swedish Inception Cohort in inflammatory bowel disease (SIC-IBD). Patients with any of the following events during the first year from the date of diagnosis were defined as having an aggressive disease course.

Difficulty in controlling inflammation in patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD)

- \Rightarrow Hospital admission for active disease.
- \Rightarrow Surgical resection (including colectomy) or a stoma.
- \Rightarrow Use of >2 courses of corticosteroids* or a cumulative dose of >2.5 g equivalents of prednisolone.
- \Rightarrow Use of \geq 2 targeted therapies due to poor clinical effectiveness.**

Progression to complicated disease behaviour in patients with Crohn's disease

- ⇒ New fistula (recto-vaginal, enterocutaneous, internal or proctitisrelated perianal fistula), or need for fistula-related surgery.
- \Rightarrow New abscess (including perianal abscess) or abscess-related surgery.
- \Rightarrow New stricture or stricture-related surgery.

*A corticosteroid course was defined as an episode of treatment with specified start and stop dates. Typically, oral prednisolone was initiated at a starting dose of 40 mg one time per day, with a tapering schedule of 5 mg per day at weekly intervals, resulting in an 8-week treatment course. For oral budesonide courses, a fixed dose of 9 mg one time per day for 8 weeks was most commonly applied. **Targeted therapies were defined as biologics, Janus kinase (JAK) inhibitors and sphingosine-1-phosphate receptor modulators.

Measurements of high-sensitivity CRP and faecal calprotectin

After storage, samples for high-sensitivity (hs) CRP (serum) and calprotectin (faeces) were retrieved from the central biobank and sent to Uppsala Clinical Research Center, Uppsala, Sweden, and Academic Laboratory, Department of Clinical Chemistry, University Hospital, Uppsala, Sweden, respectively. hsCRP was assayed in a single batch for all participants at the end of the recruitment period, using a particle-enhanced immunoturbidimetric hsCRP assay (Cardiac C-Reactive Protein (Latex) High Sensitive, Roche Diagnostics) on a Roche Cobas c501. Correspondingly, FCP was extracted and analysed according to the manufacturer's instructions, with a chemiluminescent immunoassay, using the LIASON XL analyser (DiaSorin, Saluggia, Italy). Data on median levels of hsCRP and FCP across the various diagnoses are provided in table 1.

Disease course outcome during follow-up

A composite outcome measure was used to categorise the disease course as aggressive or indolent during follow-up. An aggressive disease course was defined as the presence of any IBD-related surgery, hospital admission for active disease, treatment refractoriness towards targeted therapies, that is, biologics, Janus kinase (JAK) inhibitors or sphingosine-1-phosphate receptor modulators, and >2 courses or high cumulative doses of systemic corticosteroids within the first year of follow-up (box 1). In addition, Crohn's disease patients with evidence of progression to a complicated disease behaviour, that is, a

Data management

Patients, physicians or research nurses used CRFs and predefined questionnaires to collect information. All data were imported after pseudonymisation into a central database with reading access for all co-investigators. The database is updated every 6-12 months to allow the import of new data, including follow-up visits, address possible missing information and correct potential erroneous data entries. To enable backward traceability, a data freeze is created at each update. Each data freeze provides a snapby copyright, ir shot of information contained in the database at a given date.

Project organisation

The SIC-IBD represents a crucial element of the multimodal <u></u> national study to identify biomarkers for diagnosis, therapy response and disease progression in IBD (BIO IBD), which aims to bring leading clinicians, clinical researchers and **a** basic scientists within the field of IBD together with corporate partners. The managerial structure and the operational organisation of BIO IBD are shown in figure 2. An executive office has been set up at the Faculty of Health ſe and Medical Sciences, Örebro University, forming the BIO ated to IBD Executive Committee together with representatives of participating universities and university hospitals. The Executive Committee coordinated the efforts of the work packages, clinical departments, biomedical companies and the an Swedish patients' organisation, Mag- och tarmförbundet.33

The Steering Committee, responsible for the strategic leadership, is formed by representatives from involved universities, biomedical companies and the patient organisation, with equal numbers of basic scientists and **G** clinicians, equal gender and representation of young scientists. The Advisory Board, composed of internatraining tionally renowned representatives of academia and the biomedical industry, provides external review and input.

Patient and public involvement

sim During the planning phase of the cohort study, patient representatives from the Swedish patient's organisation, Mag- och tarmförbundet, provided input on the study design, including the definition of the disease course during follow-up. no Also, they assessed the burden of the collection of biological material and the time required for responding to the questionnaires in the study. Representatives of the patient organisation and the Swedish biomarker manufacturers in the executive committee also provided feedback during the recruitment process and follow-up of study participants. In addition to scientific reporting, key findings of the studies will be communicated to patient organisations, public health policymakers and the public through various media and news activities. When disseminating the results, the recommendations of the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors will be applied.³⁴

, and



Figure 2 Managerial structure and the operational organisation of the multimodal national study to identify biomarkers for diagnosis, therapy response and disease progression in inflammatory bowel disease (BIO IBD), where the Swedish Inception Cohort in IBD (SIC-IBD) represents an important resource.

FINDINGS TO DATE

At baseline, differences in smoking habits were observed between patients with Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis. Active smoking was more prevalent in patients with Crohn's disease, while a higher rate of former smokers was found in patients with ulcerative colitis. While hsCRP measurements were available for 90% of all patients and controls, only 63% of patients and controls had available FCP measures in the study. An additional 44 measurements of FCP at diagnosis were available from clinical routine, but these results were excluded from this analysis due to the use of different assays. Both FCP and hsCRP levels were higher in patients with Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis compared with symptomatic controls and healthy controls. However, while FCP levels were significantly elevated in IBD-unclassified compared with symptomatic controls, the difference in hsCRP levels between these two groups was not statistically significant. No significant differences in FCP levels were observed between patients with Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis, but hsCRP levels were higher in Crohn's disease patients. Significant differences in hsCRP and FCP levels were also found between symptomatic controls and healthy controls.

Preliminary results from analyses of serum proteins as well as findings from the analyses of faecal markers have highlighted the potential of these markers to differentiate between patients with IBD and symptomatic controls in this cohort, suggesting their diagnostic value.^{35 36} Recent results from the analysis of anti-avß6 autoantibodies further suggested a high diagnostic value for ulcerative colitis of this marker.³⁷ Additionally, preliminary data indicate differences in mucosal proteins between patients developing an aggressive course of ulcerative colitis and those with an indolent course.³⁸

In line with previous studies,^{19 39} we employed a composite outcome to characterise the disease course within the first year after diagnosis, either as aggressive or indolent (box 1).

Protected by copyright, including An aggressive course was defined by treatment refractoę riness, the need for surgical resection, or in the case of uses Crohn's disease, the development of severe complications such as strictures and fistulas. Based on this definition, 37% (53/142) of the patients with Crohn's disease, 24%(48/201) of those with ulcerative colitis and 4% (1/24) of those with IBD-U experienced an aggressive disease course đ within the first year after diagnosis (online supplemental e table 1). These results underscore that treatment failure rates and disease complications remain unacceptably high in IBD. Aggressive disease course was associated with more in IBD. Aggressive disease course was associated with more extensive ulcerative colitis, while no association was found between Crohn's disease location and disease course. Bululu

Future plans

≥ Research projects and collaborations based on the SIC-IBD are ongoing. The diagnostic and prognostic value of multiple molecular datasets is being further investigated, ğ both separately and in combination, using a multi-omics approach. Additionally, correlations across data layers, such as between levels of faecal marker, serum and mucosal proteins, and compositional microbiome data may provide further insights into disease mechanisms. The evaluation of the patient-reported outcomes aims to improve our undertechnolog standing of the impact of IBD on patients' quality of life and can be integrated with clinical and molecular data to explore potential associations.

If single biomarkers or biomarker signatures of $\overline{\mathbf{g}}$ markers are identified, we aim to validate these in independent cohorts. For example, preliminary findings on serum proteins and results on anti-avß6 autoantibodies have been validated in the Inflammatory bowel disease in South-Eastern Norway III (IBSEN III) cohort.35 37 40 Conversely, SIC-IBD may also serve as a validation cohort for findings from other cohorts. For instance, recent results on serum proteins in preclinical IBD were examined in SIC-IBD to evaluate their relevance in incident

IBD.⁴¹ Finally, promising markers from the SIC-IBD should be further tested in prospective clinical trials, such as the NORDTREAT trial.⁴

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS

In this large nationwide multicentre inception cohort of newly diagnosed patients with IBD, a set of highly relevant biospecimens were collected at inclusion, and patients were prospectively followed. This cohort can be used to gain insights into key pathogenic mechanisms of IBD. Moreover, it provides a valuable resource for biomarker discoveries, particularly the identification of diagnostic biomarkers for IBD and prognostic markers for future disease courses.

This cohort is one of the few large-scale IBD inception cohorts of adult patients with integrated biobanking, as most of the earlier initiatives have included paediatric patients only.40 43 44 Most previous attempts to identify diagnostic biomarkers in inception cohorts have used healthy controls or patients with non-inflammatory conditions (eg, irritable bowel syndrome) as a control group. These settings do not reflect a diagnostic scenario where patients with gastrointestinal symptoms that are indicative of IBD are examined. Accordingly, evaluations based on these cohorts are likely to overestimate the diagnostic capacity of potential biomarkers.⁴⁵ However, this limitation is rarely acknowledged and may partly explain why promising biomarkers fail in replication attempts and do not make their way to the clinic. To allow the identification of diagnostic biomarkers, we have included patients with symptoms indicative of IBD but without any signs of the disease at diagnostic work-up or during follow-up (symptomatic controls) as a reference group. Thus, both cases with IBD and symptomatic controls represented an unselected sample of patients who were referred to secondary care for the suspicion of IBD. In addition, we included healthy controls as a second control group to gain insight into the aetiology of IBD and to characterise pathways related to disease pathogenesis.

A composite outcome was chosen to categorise disease course since no established gold standard for defining or classifying IBD course currently exists. The Montreal classification provides a framework for Crohn's disease location and behaviour, and extent of ulcerative colitis but does not capture disease progression over time.²³ Several other cohorts, including the European Crohn's and Colitis Organization's Epidemiological Committee (EpiCom) inception cohort¹⁴ and the IBSEN III cohort,⁴⁰ have recorded diseaserelated events such as IBD-related surgery, disease complications and hospital admissions to assess disease severity over time. Similarly, the Randomised Evaluation of an Algorithm for Crohn's Treatment (REACT)¹⁹ and REACT- 2^{39} trials employed a composite outcome to define disease course in Crohn's disease using similar criteria. While a single criterion, such as treatment escalation or new disease complications in Crohn's disease,⁴⁶⁴⁷ may facilitate interpretability, a composite outcome allows a more comprehensive

<page-header><text><text><section-header><section-header><section-header>

Author affiliations

¹School of Medical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine and Health, Örebro University, Örebro, Sweden

²Department of Laboratory Medicine, Clinical Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine and Health, Örebro University, Örebro, Sweden

³Department of Gastroenterology, Faculty of Medicine and Health, Örebro University, Örebro, Sweden

⁴Centre for Digestive Health, Department of Gastroenterology, Dermatovenereology and Rheumatology, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden

⁵Department of Internal Medicine, Ersta Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden ⁶Department of Medicine Huddinge, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden ⁷Department of Gastroenterology, County Council of Östergötland, Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, Linköping University, Linköping, Sweden ⁸Department of Medical Sciences, Gastroenterology Research Group, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

⁹Department of Molecular and Clinical Medicine, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

¹⁰Department of Medicine Solna, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden

¹¹Department of Gastroenterology, Skåne University Hospital, Malmö/Lund, Sweden

¹²Department of Medicine, Geriatrics and Emergency Medicine, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Östra Hospital, Gothenburg, Sweden

¹³Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Institute of Biomedicine, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

¹⁴Department of Biomedical and Clinical Sciences, Linköping University, Linköping, Sweden

¹⁵Department of Medicine and Surgery, LUM University, Casamassima, Italy

¹⁶Gastrointestinal Genetics Lab, CIC bioGUNE - BRTA, Derio, Spain

¹⁷Ikerbasque, Basque Foundation for Science, Bilbao, Spain

¹⁸Department of Surgery, Linköping University, Linköping, Sweden

¹⁹Inflammatory Response and Infection Susceptibility Centre (iRiSC), Faculty of Medicine and Health, Örebro University, Örebro, Sweden

²⁰Department of Clinical Research Laboratory, Faculty of Medicine and Health, Örebro University, Örebro, Sweden

X Mauro D'Amato @damato_mauro

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank the SIC-IBD participants, involved nurses and physicians at the SIC-IBD study sites for their contribution. Also, the authors thank Åsa Smedberg and Anette Oskarsson for administrative support.

Contributors Conception and design: JH. Drafting the manuscript: BS, OGrä and JH. Critical revision for intellectual content, final approval and accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work were appropriately investigated and resolved: BS, OGrä, DB, HS, AC, HH, MLL, JPH, SA, FB, CE, OGri, AB, JM, NN, SB, CML, EHH, MKM, ÅVK, MD'A, DR, LÖ, JDS, MC, CRHH, RK and JH. Guarantor: JH.

Funding This work was supported by the Swedish Foundation for Strategic Research [RB13-0160 to JH], the Swedish Research Council [2020-02021 to JH], and the Örebro University Hospital research foundation [OLL-962042, OLL-974710, OLL-986849, OLL-1001470 to JH]. The funding sponsors had no role in the study's design; collection, management, analysis, or interpretation of data; in writing of the protocol, or the decision to submit results for publication.

Competing interests DB served as a speaker and/or advisory board member for Abbvie, BMS, Johnson and Johnson, Pfizer, Pharmacosmos, Sandoz, Takeda and Tillotts Pharma. HS has served as speaker and/or advisory board member for AbbVie Ferring, Janssen, Pfizer, Takeda, Gilead and Tillotts Pharma. AC served as a speaker for Takeda and Ferring. HH has served as a speaker, consultant or advisory board member for AbbVie, Ferring, Fresenius Kabi, Janssen, Norgine, Pfizer, Pharmacosmos, Takeda and Tillotts and has received grant support from AbbVie, Ferring, Takeda, and Tillotts. SA served as a member for Scientific committee/ Advisory board of, Janssen, Pharmacosmos, Takeda, as a consultant for Janssen, OrionPharm, Takeda, and as a speaker: Galapagos, Janssen, Tillotts, received research grants from Janssen and OrionPharma. FB has acted as national and/ or local principal investigator for clinical trials for Janssen, Ferring and Abbvie. CE received grant support/lecture fee/advisory board from Takeda, Janssen Cilag, Pfizer, Abbvie. OGri served as speaker and/or advisory board member for Abbvie, Bristol Mayer Squibb, Eli Lilly, Ferring, Janssen, Pfizer Takeda, Tillotts Pharma and Vifor Pharma, JM has served as a speaker, consultant or advisory board member for AbbVie, Alfasigma, Amgen, Bayer, Biogen, BMS, Eli Lilly, Ferring, Galapagos, Hospira, ITH, Janssen, Lument, MSD, Otsuka, Pfizer, Sandoz, Takeda, Tillotts

and UCB; and has received grant support (not for this study) from AbbVie, BMS, Calpro AS, Carbiotix, Ferring, Fresenius Kabi, Pfizer, Svar Life Science and Takeda. MKM has received speaker's fees from Takeda and Janssen. LÖ has received a financial support for research by Genetic Analysis AS, Biocodex, Danone Research and AstraZeneca and served as Consultant/Advisory Board member for Genetic Analysis AS, and as a speaker for Biocodex, Ferring Pharmaceuticals, Takeda, AbbVie, Novartis, Janssen-Cilag and Meda. MC has received speaker's fees from ViforPharma. She is the national PI for clinical trials for AstraZeneca. CRHH has served as a speaker and/or advisory board member for AstraZeneca, Dr Falk Pharma and the Falk Foundation, Galapagos, Janssen, Pfizer, Takeda, Tillotts Pharma, and received grant support from Tillotts and Takeda. JH served as speaker and/or advisory board member from AbbVie, Alfasigma, Agilion, Bristol Myers Squibb, Celgene, Celltrion, Dr Falk Pharma and the Falk Foundation, Eli Lilly, Ferring, Galapagos, Gilead, Hospira, Index Pharma, Janssen, MEDA, Medivir, Medtronic, Merck, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Prometheus Laboratories Inc., Sandoz, Shire, STADA, Takeda, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Tillotts Pharma, Vifor Pharma, UCB and received grant support from Janssen, MSD and Takeda. The remaining authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were involved in the design, conduct, reporting or dissemination plans of this research. Refer to the Cohort description section for further details.

Patient consent for publication Consent obtained directly from patient(s).

Ethics approval This study involves human participants and was approved by the Regional Ethics Committees (Dnr 2010/313). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants before inclusion.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available upon reasonable request. Researchers can apply for access to data and biological specimens by submitting a proposal to the BIO IBD Executive Office (BIO.IBD@oru.se), including a copy of their ethics approval. All proposals will be reviewed by the BIOIBD executive committee based on scientific quality and methodology. This procedure is installed to ensure that the clinical data and biological material are being requested for scientific purposes, in accordance with the informed consent signed by the participants, as the collected data and material cannot be used by purely commercial parties. Additionally, any transfer of data or biological material must comply with Swedish law and the European Union (EU) General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR).

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to copy, redistribute, remix, transform and build upon this work for any purpose, provided the original work is properly cited, a link to the licence is given, and indication of whether changes were made. See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

ORCID iDs

Benita Salomon http://orcid.org/0009-0002-8951-9839 Niloofar Nikaein http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5253-2768 Elisabeth Hultgren Hörnquist http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5460-8888 Charlotte R H Hedin http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4921-8516 Jonas Halfvarson http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0122-7234

REFERENCES

- Lönnfors S, Vermeire S, Greco M, et al. IBD and health-related quality of life — Discovering the true impact. J Crohn's Colitis 2014;8:1281–6.
- 2 Park KT, Ehrlich OG, Allen JI, *et al.* The Cost of Inflammatory Bowel Disease: An Initiative From the Crohn's & Colitis Foundation. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2020;26:1–10.
- 3 Khalili H, Everhov ÅH, Halfvarson J, et al. Healthcare use, work loss and total costs in incident and prevalent Crohn's disease and

6

ulcerative colitis: results from a nationwide study in Sweden. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2020;52:655-68.

- Δ Peyrin-Biroulet L, Loftus EV Jr, Colombel J-F, et al. The natural history of adult Crohn's disease in population-based cohorts. Am J Gastroenterol 2010;105:289-97.
- Jess T, Rungoe C, Peyrin-Biroulet L. Risk of colorectal cancer in patients with ulcerative colitis: a meta-analysis of population-based cohort studies. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2012;10:639-45.
- Torres J, Billioud V, Sachar DB, et al. Ulcerative colitis as a progressive disease: the forgotten evidence. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2012:18:1356-63.
- 7 Brookes MJ, Whitehead S, Gaya DR, et al. Practical guidance on the use of faecal calprotectin. Frontline Gastroenterol 2018;9:87-91.
- 8 Maréchal C, Aimone-Gastin I, Baumann C, et al. Compliance with the faecal calprotectin test in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. United European Gastroenterol J 2017;5:702-7.
- Khakoo NS, Lewis A, Roldan GA, et al. Patient Adherence to Fecal 9 Calprotectin Testing Is Low Compared to Other Commonly Ordered Tests in Patients With Inflammatory Bowel Disease. Crohn's Colitis 360 2021;3:tab028.
- Burisch J, Ungaro R, Vind I, et al. Proximal Disease Extension in 10 Patients With Limited Ulcerative Colitis: A Danish Population-based Inception Cohort. J Crohns Colitis 2017;11:1200-4.
- Schoepfer AM, Dehlavi M-A, Fournier N, et al. Diagnostic Delay 11 in Crohn's Disease Is Associated With a Complicated Disease Course and Increased Operation Rate. Am J Gastroenterol 2013;108:1744-53.
- 12 Solberg IC, Lygren I, Jahnsen J, et al. Clinical course during the first 10 years of ulcerative colitis: results from a populationbased inception cohort (IBSEN Study). Scand J Gastroenterol 2009;44:431-40.
- Solberg IC, Vatn MH, Høie O, et al. Clinical Course in Crohn's 13 Disease: Results of a Norwegian Population-Based Ten-Year Follow-Up Study. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2007;5:1430-8.
- Burisch J, Pedersen N, Cukovic-Cavka S, et al. Initial disease 14 course and treatment in an inflammatory bowel disease inception cohort in Europe: the ECCO-EpiCom cohort. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2014;20:36-46.
- 15 Burisch J, Katsanos KH, Christodoulou DK, et al. Natural Disease Course of Ulcerative Colitis During the First Five Years of Follow-up in a European Population-based Inception Cohort-An Epi-IBD Study. J Crohns Colitis 2019;13:198-208.
- 16 Burisch J, Kiudelis G, Kupcinskas L, et al. Natural disease course of Crohn's disease during the first 5 years after diagnosis in a European population-based inception cohort: an Epi-IBD study. Gut 2019:68:423-33.
- Zhulina Y, Udumyan R, Tysk C, et al. The changing face of Crohn's 17 disease: a population-based study of the natural history of Crohn's disease in Örebro, Sweden 1963-2005. Scand J Gastroenterol 2016;51:304-13.
- 18 Everhov ÅH, Halfvarson J, Myrelid P, et al. Incidence and Treatment of Patients Diagnosed With Inflammatory Bowel Diseases at 60 Years or Older in Sweden. Gastroenterology 2018;154:518-28.
- 19 Khanna R, Bressler B, Levesque BG, et al. Early combined immunosuppression for the management of Crohn's disease (REACT): a cluster randomised controlled trial. The Lancet 2015:386:1825-34
- Colombel J-F, Panaccione R, Bossuyt P, et al. Effect of tight control 20 management on Crohn's disease (CALM): a multicentre, randomised, controlled phase 3 trial. The Lancet 2017;390:2779-89.
- 21 Satsangi J, Nowak J, Kalla R, et al. Treatment strategies and biomarkers in Crohn's disease: the PROFILE trial. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2024;9:591-2.
- 22 Maaser C, Sturm A, Vavricka SR, et al. ECCO-ESGAR Guideline for Diagnostic Assessment in IBD Part 1: Initial diagnosis, monitoring of known IBD, detection of complications. J Crohns Colitis 2019:13:144-64
- 23 Silverberg MS, Satsangi J, Ahmad T, et al. Toward an integrated clinical, molecular and serological classification of inflammatory bowel disease: report of a Working Party of the 2005 Montreal World Congress of Gastroenterology. Can J Gastroenterol 2005:19 Suppl A:5A-36A.
- 24 Hjortswang H, Järnerot G, Curman B, et al. The Short Health Scale: a valid measure of subjective health in ulcerative colitis. Scand J Gastroenterol 2006;41:1196-203.
- Stjernman H, Grännö C, Järnerot G, et al. Short health scale: a valid, 25 reliable, and responsive instrument for subjective health assessment in Crohn's disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2008;14:47-52
- McHorney CA, Ware JE Jr, Raczek AE. The MOS 36-Item Short-Form 26 Health Survey (SF-36): II. Psychometric and clinical tests of validity

in measuring physical and mental health constructs. Med Care 1993.31.247-63

- 27 EuroQol Group. EuroQol - a new facility for the measurement of health-related quality of life. Health Policy 1990;16:199-208.
- 28 Rabin R, de Charro F. EQ-5D: a measure of health status from the EuroQol Group. Ann Med 2001:33:337-43.
- Kroenke K, Spitzer RL. The PHQ-9: A New Depression Diagnostic 29 and Severity Measure. Psychiatr Ann 2002;32:509-15.
- 30 Nucci M, Mapelli D, Mondini S. Cognitive Reserve Index questionnaire (CRIq): a new instrument for measuring cognitive reserve. Aging Clin Exp Res 2012;24:218-26.
- 31 Svedlund J, Sjdin I, Dotevall G. GSRS A clinical rating scale for gastrointestinal symptoms in patients with irritable bowel syndrome and peptic ulcer disease. Digest Dis Sci 1988;33:129-34.
- 32 Drossman DA. The Functional Gastrointestinal Disorders and the Rome III Process. Gastroenterology 2006;130:1377-90.
- 33 Mag- och tarmförbundet. Available: https://magotarm.se [Accessed 10 Jan 2025].
- International Committee of Medical Journal Editors. 34 Recommendations for the conduct, reporting, editing, and publication of scholarly work in medical journals. 2025.
- 35 Bazov I, Kruse R, Bergemalm D, et al. P154 A novel serum protein signature as biomarker for Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A diagnostic performance and prediction modelling study using data from two independent inception cohorts. J Crohns Colitis 2023:17:i314-5.
- 36 Ling Lundström M, Peterson C, Hedin CRH, et al. Faecal biomarkers for diagnosis and prediction of disease course in treatment-naïve patients with IBD. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2024;60:765-77.
- Pertsinidou E, Salomon B, Bergemalm D, et al. Anti-integrin αvβ6 IgG 37 antibody as a diagnostic and prognostic marker in ulcerative colitis: A cross-sectional and longitudinal study defining a specific disease phenotype. J Crohns and Colitis 2025.
- 38 Salomon B, Carlson M, Bergemalm D, et al. P219 Prognostic potential of mucosal proteins in Ulcerative Colitis. J Crohns and Colitis 2024;18:i549-50.
- 39 Jairath V, Khanna R, Guizzetti L, et al. A cluster-randomised controlled trial of an enhanced treatment algorithm for the management of Crohn's disease: REACT-2. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2022;1080.
- 40 Kristensen VA, Opheim R, Perminow G, et al. Inflammatory bowel disease in South-Eastern Norway III (IBSEN III): a new populationbased inception cohort study from South-Eastern Norway. Scand J Gastroenterol 2021;56:899-905.
- Grännö O, Bergemalm D, Salomon B, et al. Preclinical Protein 41 Signatures of Crohn's Disease and Ulcerative Colitis: A Nested Case-Control Study Within Large Population-Based Cohorts. Gastroenterology 2025;168:741-53.
- 42 Rejler M, Füchtbauer JD, Davíðsdóttir LG, et al. Nordic inflammatory bowel disease treatment strategy trial: protocol for the NORDTREAT randomised controlled biomarker-strategy trial. BMJ Open 2024;14:e083163.
- 43 Gevers D, Kugathasan S, Denson LA, et al. The treatment-naive microbiome in new-onset Crohn's disease. Cell Host Microbe 2014;15:382-92.
- Attauabi M, Madsen GR, Bendtsen F, et al. Influence of 44 Genetics, Immunity and the Microbiome on the Prognosis of Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD Prognosis Study): the protocol for a Copenhagen IBD Inception Cohort Study. BMJ Open 2022;12:e055779.
- 45 Lijmer JG, Mol BW, Heisterkamp S, et al. Empirical evidence of design-related bias in studies of diagnostic tests. JAMA 1999.282.1061-6
- Kalla R, Adams AT, Bergemalm D, et al. Serum proteomic profiling 46 at diagnosis predicts clinical course, and need for intensification of treatment in inflammatory bowel disease. J Crohn's Colitis 2021:15:699-708.
- 47 Ungaro RC, Hu L, Ji J, et al. Machine learning identifies novel blood protein predictors of penetrating and stricturing complications in newly diagnosed paediatric Crohn's disease. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2021;53:281-90.
- Carstens A, Roos A, Andreasson A, et al. Differential clustering of 48 fecal and mucosa-associated microbiota in 'healthy' individuals. J Dig Dis 2018;19:745–52
- 49 Røseth AG, Fagerhol MK, Aadland E, et al. Assessment of the neutrophil dominating protein calprotectin in feces. A methodologic study. Scand J Gastroenterol 1992;27:793-8.
- Lasson A, Stotzer P-O, Öhman L, et al. The intra-individual variability 50 of faecal calprotectin: A prospective study in patients with active ulcerative colitis. Journal of Crohn's and Colitis 2014.