Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

PEER REVIEW HISTORY

BMJ Open publishes all reviews undertaken for accepted manuscripts. Reviewers are asked to complete a checklist review form and are provided with free text boxes to elaborate on their assessment. These free text comments are reproduced below.

ARTICLE DETAILS

Title (Provisional)

SMALL: Open Surgery versus minimally invasive-vacuum assisted excision for small screen-detected breast cancer – protocol for a phase III randomised multicentre trial

Authors

Elder, Kenneth; Coles , Charlotte; Dodwell, David; Elsberger, Beatrix; Foster, Jessica; Gaunt, Claire; Henderson, Julia R; Lyburn, Iain; Mabena, Claire; Pirrie, Sarah; Southgate, Elizabeth; Talwalkar, Amruta; Teh, William; Turner, Elliot; Wallis, Matthew G; McIntosh, Stuart; Morgan, Jenna; Nabi, Zohal; Paramasivan, Sangeetha; Pinder, Sarah; Potter, Shelley; Roberts, Tracy; Sharma, Nisha; Stobart, Hilary; Taylor-Phillips, Sian; Rea, D

This article was not externally reviewed at BMJ Open. Protocols that have been independently assessed prior to submission to BMJ Open are usually fast-tracked to publication on the grounds that further substantial changes will not be possible. This independent assessment will usually be external, independent review for both a substantial grant award from a non-commercial or government funder and ethics approval.