Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

# PEER REVIEW HISTORY

BMJ Open publishes all reviews undertaken for accepted manuscripts. Reviewers are asked to complete a checklist review form and are provided with free text boxes to elaborate on their assessment. These free text comments are reproduced below.

### **ARTICLE DETAILS**

# Title (Provisional)

Exploring patient engagement in atrial fibrillation with multimorbidity: Impact on quality of life, medication adherence, and healthcare perceptions – A multicountry cross-sectional study

### **Authors**

Bosio, Caterina; Usta, Dilara; Leo, Donato; Trevisan, Caterina.; Lane, Deirdre; GRAFFIGNA, GUENDALINA

## **VERSION 1 - REVIEW**

Reviewer 1

Name Germanova, Olga

Affiliation Samara State Medical University Library

Date 27-Nov-2024

COI None

Dear authors,

The topic of the article is interesting. The design, methods are adequate. However, there are several questions and recommendations.

- 1. Patients with atrial fibrillation of what types did you enroll in the study? The results can differ between the types.
- 2. Inclusion/Exclusion criteria?
- 3. There was no control group to compare the results who would undergo the same survey (maybe with the same comorbidities, but without AF).
- 4. When did you apply this survey? In admission to the hospital, when discharging from the hospital, in outpatient treatment? The results up to this can also be variable.
- 5. In the background, I recommend you to cite the following articles:
- 1) Germanova O, Galati G, Germanov A, Stefanidis A. Atrial fibrillation as a new independent risk factor for thromboembolic events: hemodynamics and vascular consequence of long

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

ventricular pauses. Minerva Cardiol Angiol. 2023 Apr;71(2):175-181. doi: 10.23736/S2724-5683.22.06000-8. Epub 2022 Mar 25. PMID: 35332747.

2) Germanova O, Koonts L, Reshetnikova Y, Sheifer M, Bikbaeva K, Kuvshinova N, De Berardis D, Galati G. Quality of Life Evaluation in Patients with Paroxysmal Atrial Fibrillation. Psychiatr Danub. 2024 Sep;36(Suppl 2):303-307. PMID: 39378487.

Reviewer 2

Name Kodani, Eitaro

Affiliation Nippon Medical School Tama Nagayama Hospital,

**Cardiovascular Medicine** 

Date 23-Jan-2025

COI None

This manuscript by Basio et al. focused on the association between patient engagement and quality performance indicators (QPIs) in patients with of atrial fibrillation (AF). Authors evaluated patient engagement using the Patient Health Engagement Scale (PHE-s) for emotional engagement and the Altarum Consumer Engagement Measure (ACE) for cognitive-behavioral engagement. Then, engagement scores of each measure were compared between 2 groups (low and high PHE-s or ACE). Authors demonstrated that the high emotional PE levels based on PHE-s were significantly more likely to be <75 years old, male, have a secondary level of education or above, and have <3 comorbidities. Regarding the ACE scores, the high cognitive-behavioral PE levels were more likely to be <65 years old, from Northern Europe. In addition, patients with high emotional PE demonstrated better quality of life, medication adherence, and perceptions of quality of care, whereas those with higher levels of cognitive-behavioral PE had better quality of life and perceptions of quality of care. As authors mentioned, although the importance of patient engagement in AF management has been recognized, it has not been established yet. Therefore, the concept of this study to explore it is valuable and results seem reasonable. Since this manuscript is written well, I do not have minor concern to be resolved. Authors may want to consider several minor issues as follows.

#### Minor comments

- 1) In abstract, once atrial fibrillation was abbreviated to AF, use it throughout the abstract.
- 2) Tables should be provided separately from the main text.

De	ear authors,		
The topic of the article is interesting. The design, methods are adequate. However, there are several			
questions and recommendations.			
•	Patients with atrial fibrillation of what types	We did not ask people to record their type of atrial	
	did you enroll in the study? The results can	fibrillation and, therefore, cannot report this	
	differ between the types.	information or examine differences between	
	annor convent and types.	types of AF. However, the management is not so	
		different between these types, i.e., patients are	
		prescribed anticoagulants and, usually,	
		antiarrhythmic drugs; moreover, in both cases,	
		patients generally present with multiple	
		comorbidities (more persistent ones) in addition	
		to AF. What we can recognize is that the	
		symptoms and psychological burden of the	
		disease may slightly differ between AF types, so	
		the experience of the disease by patients can	
		change (please see	
		https://doi.org/10.1093/europace/euv018). We	
		added this to the limitations of the study (please	
		see page 24).	
•	Inclusion/Exclusion criteria?	We have clarified this in the methods on page 6.	
		"Patients with AF were eligible for inclusion if	
		they met the following criteria: (i) aged ≥ 18	
		years and (ii) the presence of at least one chronic	
		comorbid condition. Exclusion criteria included:	
		(i) inability to provide informed consent, (ii)	
		moderate or severe cognitive impairment (e.g.,	
		dementia), (iii) inability to complete the survey	
		online, (iv) the presence of health conditions that	
		impede survey completion, and (v) unwillingness	
		to participate."	
•	There was no control group to compare the	There was no control group since the purpose of	
	results who would undergo the same survey	the survey was to assess patient engagement and	
		related outcomes, specifically in individuals with	

Authors' response

**Reviewer #1 Comments** 

AF. While we acknowledge the value of a control (maybe with the same comorbidities, but without AF). group without AF but with similar comorbidities, our study design aimed to capture engagement within the context of AF management. We have noted this as a study limitation in the manuscript. (please see page 23). We have clarified this in the methods on page 6. When did you apply this survey? In "Patients were invited to participate in the online admission to the hospital, when discharging survey through announcements on the Atrial from the hospital, in outpatient treatment? Fibrillation Association (AFA) website or via The results up to this can also be variable. healthcare professionals, including cardiologists, general practitioners, geriatricians, hematologists, and internal medicine specialists. These professionals were contacted via email through professional networks within the project consortium and invited to share the survey with patients attending clinical appointments at participating hospitals." Thank you for suggesting additional references to 5. In the background, I recommend you to support the Background. While we appreciate cite the following articles: your recommendations, we feel they do not fully 1) Germanova O, Galati G, Germanov A, align with the focus of our manuscript and have Stefanidis A. Atrial fibrillation as a new therefore decided not to include them. independent risk factor for thromboembolic events: hemodynamics and vascular consequence of long ventricular pauses. Minerva Cardiol Angiol. 2023 Apr;71(2):175-181. doi: 10.23736/S2724-5683.22.06000-8. Epub 2022 Mar 25. PMID: 35332747. 2) Germanova O, Koonts L, Reshetnikova Y, Sheifer M, Bikbaeva K, Kuvshinova N, De Berardis D, Galati G. Quality of Life Evaluation in Patients with Paroxysmal Atrial Fibrillation. Psychiatr Danub. 2024 Sep;36(Suppl 2):303-307. PMID: 39378487. **Reviewer #2 Comments Authors' response** 

This manuscript by Basio et al. focused on the association between patient engagement and quality performance indicators (QPIs) in patients with of atrial fibrillation (AF). Authors evaluated patient engagement using the Patient Health Engagement Scale (PHE-s) for emotional engagement and the Altarum Consumer Engagement Measure (ACE) for cognitive-behavioral engagement. Then, engagement scores of each measure were compared between 2 groups (low and high PHE-s or ACE). Authors demonstrated that the high emotional PE levels based on PHE-s were significantly more likely to be <75 years old, male, have a secondary level of education or above, and have <3 comorbidities. Regarding the ACE scores, the high cognitive-behavioral PE levels were more likely to be <65 years old, from Northern Europe. In addition, patients with high emotional PE demonstrated better quality of life, medication adherence, and perceptions of quality of care, whereas those with higher levels of cognitive-behavioral PE had better quality of life and perceptions of quality of care. As authors mentioned, although the importance of patient engagement in AF management has been recognized, it has not been established yet. Therefore, the concept of this study to explore it is valuable and results seem reasonable. Since this manuscript is written well, I do not have minor concern to be resolved. Authors may want to consider several minor issues as follows.

•	Minor comments	Thank you very much. We have revised the	
	1) In abstract, once atrial fibrillation was	abstract.	
	abbreviated to AF, use it throughout the		
	abstract.		
•	Tables should be provided separately from	We followed the general formatting guidelines	
	the main text.	across BMJ, which indicates the following:	
		"Tables should be in Word format and placed in	
		the main text where the table is first cited"	
		(https://authors.bmj.com/writing-and-	
		formatting/formatting-your-paper/)	