## PEER REVIEW HISTORY

BMJ Open publishes all reviews undertaken for accepted manuscripts. Reviewers are asked to complete a checklist review form and are provided with free text boxes to elaborate on their assessment. These free text comments are reproduced below.

#### **ARTICLE DETAILS**

## Title (Provisional)

Exploring patient engagement in atrial fibrillation with multimorbidity: Impact on quality of life, medication adherence, and healthcare perceptions – A multicountry cross-sectional study

#### **Authors**

Bosio, Caterina; Usta, Dilara; Leo, Donato; Trevisan, Caterina.; Lane, Deirdre; GRAFFIGNA, GUENDALINA

### **VERSION 1 - REVIEW**

Reviewer 1

Name Germanova, Olga

Affiliation Samara State Medical University Library

Date 27-Nov-2024

COI None

Dear authors,

The topic of the article is interesting. The design, methods are adequate. However, there are several questions and recommendations.

- 1. Patients with atrial fibrillation of what types did you enroll in the study? The results can differ between the types.
- 2. Inclusion/Exclusion criteria?
- 3. There was no control group to compare the results who would undergo the same survey (maybe with the same comorbidities, but without AF).
- 4. When did you apply this survey? In admission to the hospital, when discharging from the hospital, in outpatient treatment? The results up to this can also be variable.
- 5. In the background, I recommend you to cite the following articles:
- 1) Germanova O, Galati G, Germanov A, Stefanidis A. Atrial fibrillation as a new independent risk factor for thromboembolic events: hemodynamics and vascular consequence of long

ventricular pauses. Minerva Cardiol Angiol. 2023 Apr;71(2):175-181. doi: 10.23736/S2724-5683.22.06000-8. Epub 2022 Mar 25. PMID: 35332747.

2) Germanova O, Koonts L, Reshetnikova Y, Sheifer M, Bikbaeva K, Kuvshinova N, De Berardis D, Galati G. Quality of Life Evaluation in Patients with Paroxysmal Atrial Fibrillation. Psychiatr Danub. 2024 Sep;36(Suppl 2):303-307. PMID: 39378487.

Reviewer 2

Name Kodani, Eitaro

Affiliation Nippon Medical School Tama Nagayama Hospital,

**Cardiovascular Medicine** 

Date 23-Jan-2025

COI None

This manuscript by Basio et al. focused on the association between patient engagement and quality performance indicators (QPIs) in patients with of atrial fibrillation (AF). Authors evaluated patient engagement using the Patient Health Engagement Scale (PHE-s) for emotional engagement and the Altarum Consumer Engagement Measure (ACE) for cognitive-behavioral engagement. Then, engagement scores of each measure were compared between 2 groups (low and high PHE-s or ACE). Authors demonstrated that the high emotional PE levels based on PHE-s were significantly more likely to be <75 years old, male, have a secondary level of education or above, and have <3 comorbidities. Regarding the ACE scores, the high cognitive-behavioral PE levels were more likely to be <65 years old, from Northern Europe. In addition, patients with high emotional PE demonstrated better quality of life, medication adherence, and perceptions of quality of care, whereas those with higher levels of cognitive-behavioral PE had better quality of life and perceptions of quality of care. As authors mentioned, although the importance of patient engagement in AF management has been recognized, it has not been established yet. Therefore, the concept of this study to explore it is valuable and results seem reasonable. Since this manuscript is written well, I do not have minor concern to be resolved. Authors may want to consider several minor issues as follows.

# Minor comments

- 1) In abstract, once atrial fibrillation was abbreviated to AF, use it throughout the abstract.
- 2) Tables should be provided separately from the main text.

		^
De	ear authors,	
Th	ne topic of the article is interesting. The design,	methods are adequate. However, there are several
qu	estions and recommendations.	
•	Patients with atrial fibrillation of what types	We did not ask people to record their type of atrial
	did you enroll in the study? The results can	fibrillation and, therefore, cannot report this
	differ between the types.	information or examine differences between
		types of AF. However, the management is not so
		different between these types, i.e., patients are
		prescribed anticoagulants and, usually,
		antiarrhythmic drugs; moreover, in both cases,
		patients generally present with multiple
		comorbidities (more persistent ones) in addition
		to AF. What we can recognize is that the
		symptoms and psychological burden of the
		disease may slightly differ between AF types, so
		the experience of the disease by patients can
		change (please see
		https://doi.org/10.1093/europace/euv018). We
		added this to the limitations of the study (please
		see page 24).
•	Inclusion/Exclusion criteria?	We have clarified this in the methods on page 6.
		"Patients with AF were eligible for inclusion if
		they met the following criteria: (i) aged $\geq 18$
		years and (ii) the presence of at least one chronic
		comorbid condition. Exclusion criteria included:
		(i) inability to provide informed consent, (ii)
		moderate or severe cognitive impairment (e.g.,
		dementia), (iii) inability to complete the survey
		online, (iv) the presence of health conditions that
		impede survey completion, and (v) unwillingness
		to participate."
•	There was no control group to compare the	There was no control group since the purpose of
	results who would undergo the same survey	the survey was to assess patient engagement and
		related outcomes, specifically in individuals with
l		The second of th

**Authors' response** 

**Reviewer #1 Comments** 

(maybe with the same comorbidities, but	AF. While we acknowledge the value of a control
without AF).	group without AF but with similar comorbidities,
	our study design aimed to capture engagement
	within the context of AF management. We have
	noted this as a study limitation in the manuscript.
	(please see page 23).
When did you apply this survey? In	We have clarified this in the methods on page 6.
admission to the hospital, when discharging	"Patients were invited to participate in the online
from the hospital, in outpatient treatment?	survey through announcements on the Atrial
The results up to this can also be variable.	Fibrillation Association (AFA) website or via
	healthcare professionals, including cardiologists,
	general practitioners, geriatricians,
	hematologists, and internal medicine specialists.
	These professionals were contacted via email
	through professional networks within the project
	consortium and invited to share the survey with
	patients attending clinical appointments at
	participating hospitals."
• 5. In the background, I recommend you to	Thank you for suggesting additional references to
cite the following articles:	support the Background. While we appreciate
1) Germanova O, Galati G, Germanov A,	your recommendations, we feel they do not fully
Stefanidis A. Atrial fibrillation as a new	align with the focus of our manuscript and have
independent risk factor for thromboembolic	therefore decided not to include them.
events: hemodynamics and vascular	
consequence of long ventricular pauses.	
Minerva Cardiol Angiol. 2023	
Apr;71(2):175-181. doi: 10.23736/S2724-	
5683.22.06000-8. Epub 2022 Mar 25.	
PMID: 35332747.	
2) Germanova O, Koonts L, Reshetnikova Y,	
Sheifer M, Bikbaeva K, Kuvshinova N, De	
Berardis D, Galati G. Quality of Life	
Evaluation in Patients with Paroxysmal	
Atrial Fibrillation. Psychiatr Danub. 2024	
Sep;36(Suppl 2):303-307. PMID: 39378487.	
Reviewer #2 Comments	Authors' response

This manuscript by Basio et al. focused on the association between patient engagement and quality performance indicators (QPIs) in patients with of atrial fibrillation (AF). Authors evaluated patient engagement using the Patient Health Engagement Scale (PHE-s) for emotional engagement and the Altarum Consumer Engagement Measure (ACE) for cognitive-behavioral engagement. Then, engagement scores of each measure were compared between 2 groups (low and high PHE-s or ACE). Authors demonstrated that the high emotional PE levels based on PHE-s were significantly more likely to be <75 years old, male, have a secondary level of education or above, and have <3 comorbidities. Regarding the ACE scores, the high cognitive-behavioral PE levels were more likely to be <65 years old, from Northern Europe. In addition, patients with high emotional PE demonstrated better quality of life, medication adherence, and perceptions of quality of care, whereas those with higher levels of cognitive-behavioral PE had better quality of life and perceptions of quality of care. As authors mentioned, although the importance of patient engagement in AF management has been recognized, it has not been established yet. Therefore, the concept of this study to explore it is valuable and results seem reasonable. Since this manuscript is written well, I do not have minor concern to be resolved. Authors may want to consider several minor issues as follows.

Minor comments	Thank you very much. We have revised the	
1) In abstract, once atrial fibrillation was	abstract.	
abbreviated to AF, use it throughout the		
abstract.		
Tables should be provided separately from	We followed the general formatting guidelines	
the main text.	across BMJ, which indicates the following:	
	"Tables should be in Word format and placed in	
	the main text where the table is first cited"	
	(https://authors.bmj.com/writing-and-	
	formatting/formatting-your-paper/)	
l	1	