BMJ Open Mapping the existing evidence of the effects of school food policies on health, acceptance and affordability of secondary school children in Europe: a scoping review protocol

Janina Meuer ⁽⁾, ¹ Nadia Blecha,² Wiebke Hübner,¹ Lara Christianson ⁽⁾, ³ Maike Wolters,² Heide Busse,¹ Antje Hebestreit,² Sarah Forberger ⁽⁾, ^{1,4}

ABSTRACT

To cite: Meuer J. Blecha N. Hübner W. et al. Mapping the existing evidence of the effects of school food policies on health, acceptance and affordability of secondary school children in Europe: a scoping review protocol. BMJ Open 2024;14:e080153. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2023-080153

Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (https://doi.org/10.1136/ bmjopen-2023-080153).

Received 22 September 2023 Accepted 24 July 2024



C Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2024. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BM.J.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to Janina Meuer: meuer@leibniz-bips.de

Introduction Unhealthy diets pose a significant public health risk among European children, contributing to the increasing prevalence of overweight and noncommunicable diseases. Children spend a substantial amount of time at school daily, including lunchtime, so the school setting becomes crucial for promoting healthy diets and lifestyle habits. While there is a large body of literature on the impact of school food policies on health and non-health outcomes, it is essential to identify which policies are effective and can be recommended for implementation to ensure the efficient use of resources. This article presents a protocol for a scoping review that aims to map the current published literature on the effects of school food policies on health outcomes, acceptance and affordability in secondary school children in Europe. Moreover, the scoping review will map the measurements used to assess health outcomes, acceptance and affordability.

Methods and analysis The scoping review protocol and review follow the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Review. To identify eligible studies, we will search MEDLINE, PsycINFO, CINAHL and Web of Science. The reference lists of the included articles will be checked for additional studies. In addition, country-specific ministry reports from Member States of the European Union, the UK, Norway, Iceland and Switzerland will be identified. The WHO and European Commission websites will also be searched for relevant reports. The scoping review will include literature published until 20 September 2023. No restrictions to study design and language will be applied. Screening and data extraction will be carried out independently by three reviewers. Disagreements will be resolved by discussion. A pretested data charting table will be used to extract key information. Findings will be presented in tabular and visualised summaries and a narrative summarv.

Ethics and dissemination This scoping review does not require ethical approval. Our dissemination strategy comprises peer-reviewed publications, conference presentations and recommendations to policy-makers.

<page-header><section-header>

 Protocol
 Servidence of the policies on health, ability of secondary, pope: a scoping.
 Protocol

 Protocol
 Protocol
 Protocol
 Protocol

 Protocol
 Protocol
 Protocol
 Protocol

 Protocol
 Protocol
 Protocol
 Protocol
 Protocol
 Protocol
 Protocol
 Protocol
 Protocol
 Protocol
 Protocol
 Protocol
 Protocol
 Protocol
 Protocol
 Protocol
 Protocol
 Protocol
 Protocol
 Protocol
 Protocol
 Protocol
 Protocol
 Protocol
 Protocol
 Protocol
 Protocol
 Protocol
 Protocol
 Protocol

period for learning and habituating good dietary habits, with evidence suggesting that patterns internalised at this age may persist throughout adulthood.⁷⁻¹⁰ On the other hand, an unhealthy diet jeopardises children's future by affecting their cognitive, physical and social development.¹⁰⁻¹⁶ These delays have profound implications for children's future health and well-being, preventing them from achieving their full potential, escaping poverty and participating in society.¹⁵

Over the past decades, overweight and obesity have become significant public health issues among European children, affecting one in three school-aged children and one in four adolescents.¹⁷ In response to this health issue, notable efforts have been made to combat this trend, including the European Union (EU) action plan on childhood obesity 2014–2020¹⁸ and the WHO's 2006 guidance paper supporting the development of school nutrition programmes in the European Region.¹⁹ Recently, policy-makers have focused on schools as a suitable environment to establish healthier eating habits. Schools can potentially reach a large share of children regardless of socioeconomic status (food security), gender, cultural background²⁰, and over a decade of their life.²¹ As schools are environments where food policies and practices can be implemented to reach a large proportion of children,²² they can be seen as critical settings for interventions encouraging healthy eating patterns on-site and at home because the school food policy reaches children, their families and community members. One way in which schools can support children's health, apart from food education inside and outside the classroom, is by providing healthy meals through canteens or cafeterias.²

As outlined in the EU action plan on childhood obesity 2014–2020, school meal provision can play a crucial role in establishing a healthier environment for children,¹⁸ as it complements children's daily dietary intake and plays a fundamental part in calorie and nutrient control. However, there is little evidence of health outcomes due to the lack of programme evaluation.²⁴ Moreover, health outcomes depend on the quality and modalities of the school meal provision.²¹ As studies analysing health outcomes of school food interventions mostly contain multiple interventions (eg, education, food provision, promotion of healthy diet), the solemn effect of school meals on health is hard to confine. A review by Chaudhary et al^{22} found that school-based food and nutrition interventions can affect healthy eating and improve dietary behaviour, attitude and anthropometry. Van Cauwenberghe *et al*²⁵ confirmed these findings, as they found evidence for the effectiveness of especially multicomponent interventions on the dietary behaviour of school-aged children in the EU countries. Evidence was inconclusive regarding the effects of interventions on anthropometric measures.²⁵

Providing well-balanced school meals is a promising way to improve children's health and well-being. However, meals must be affordable to ensure regular uptake. Although school meals are an integrated part of every

õ

an

school in Europe, the organisation of meal provision varies across and within nations. Funding arrangements differ between universal free school meals and governmental and/or parental subsidise.^{20 21}

Irrespective of the scope of food provision and financial arrangements, students' and parents' acceptance of school meals is crucial for the programme's effectiveness and the economic success of school meal providers. Literature suggests numerous factors involved in the acceptability of school meals, such as meeting and availability of food preferences, physical and cultural environment and pricing.^{26 27} Despite its importance, there is currently no consensus on which measure should be used to assess the acceptability of school meals.²⁷

While the literature on school food policies is broad, 8 evaluations of those focusing on school meals regarding health impact on secondary school children (10-18 years), acceptability and affordability are scarce. Hence, the evidence of outcomes and impacts of school meal policies is still limited. Given the need for synthesising evidence of the effectiveness of school meal policies on the health of secondary school children in Europe, the foreseen scoping review aims to fill this gap. Finduses related ings from the scoping review will assist policy-makers in designing appropriate nutrition intervention policies targeting secondary school children in Europe. In this paper, the protocol for the scoping review is outlined.

Study objective and research questions

The scoping review aims to synthesise the evidence and assess the scope of the literature relating to the effectiveness of school food policies, particularly those focusing on improving full school meals, health outcomes, acceptability and affordability among students in European secondary schools. The following research questions will be addressed:

- What types and scope of school food policies targeting full meals are being addressed in the literature with a ⊳ focus on:
 - Health (any reported health outcome).
 - Acceptance by different stakeholders (secondary school children, teachers, school administrators, parents, as reported).
 - Affordability.
 - , and similar technolog What implementation measures are being used to increase the uptake and maintenance of the school food policy?

Furthermore, methodological aspects are of interest:

- What frameworks are used in the literature to analyse school food policies?
- What methods are used to evaluate health, acceptability, affordability and implementation (eg, standardised scales, interviews)?

Further, this scoping review will help to identify evidence gaps in this area.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

This protocol describes the methods for a scoping review. The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews

PICOs	Inclusion	Exclusion
Population	 Children in secondary school (ISCED level 2 and 3/ ages 10–18) within EU Member States, the UK, Norway, Iceland, Switzerland. 	 Literature focusing on primary school-age children Literature covering countries outside of EU Member States, Norway, Switzerland, Iceland, the UK
Intervention	 School food policies aiming at improving school full meals. 	 Policies focusing solely on snacks, vending machines or changes in beverages Parents packed lunch boxes Breakfast club School fruit or milk programmes Research projects
Outcomes	 Health Acceptability Affordability Implementation determinants 	
Study design	 Primary studies published in peer-reviewed journals WHO, EU and country-specific ministry reports of the EU Member States, the UK, Norway, Iceland and Switzerland assessing the effectiveness of school meal policies 	 No reviews, conference abstracts, bachelor, master and dissertation theses

and Meta-analysis for Protocol extension for Scoping Reviews checklist was used to draft this protocol (see online supplemental appendix 1).

Eligibility criteria for selecting studies for inclusion

Studies meeting all the following criteria will be considered for inclusion in the scoping review. Eligibility for inclusion into the scoping review is based on the P (population), I (intervention), C (Context/setting) and O (outcome) criteria (table 1).

Types of participants and setting

The target population will be children and adolescents who attend secondary school (International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED) level 2 and level 3^{28}) in Europe. According to the European Commission, pupils entering the ISCED level 2 are typically between 10 and 13 years old. Students finishing ISCED level 3 are generally around age 17 or 18 years old.²⁹ Therefore, the scoping review will include articles focusing on children and young adolescents between 10 and 18 years. Studies based on special-needs schools will be included as well. Studies that report only combined results for primary and secondary schools will be included if the authors report the data separately. Otherwise, studies will be excluded.

The setting will be limited to secondary schools in Europe. This scoping review defines Europe as all EU Member States and additionally includes Switzerland, Norway, Iceland and the UK.

Types of interventions

Policies targeting full school meals will be assessed. By full meals, we mean school lunches served in canteens

or cafeterias. Breakfast club, lunch boxes provided by parents and policies focusing solely on snacks, beverages and vending machines will not be discussed. No restriction regarding the policy's implementation level (local, X regional and national) will be made.

In this scoping review, policies are defined, according to Lobczowska et al,³⁰ 'as actions developed and implemented to achieve specific goals within a society, with national or regional governments participating in the development and/or implementation of these actions. In contrast, interventions are actions targeting similar **>** goals, not yet endorsed, enabled or executed by regional or national governments' but, for example, through research projects, initiatives or programmes funded by foundations, research programmes or associations are and similar excluded.

Types of outcomes

We focus on the impact of school food policies, particularly improvements in full meals, on students' health (health outcome reported in the primary study), acceptability and affordability (outcome reported in the prior **g** studies). However, it should be noted that all these **g** outcomes can be significantly influenced by the implementation process. Effective implementation is crucial in order to translate policy intentions into tangible benefits for students. Incorporating implementation determinants as an additional focus in our scoping review emphasises their central role in determining the success or failure of school food policies. By including implementation determinants, we aim to provide a comprehensive overview of the contextual factors contributing

to the observed impacts of school food policies in European secondary schools. This approach ensures that our scoping review not only evaluates the intended outcomes but also considers the practical aspects that underpin the success of these interventions in real-world educational settings.

We will include studies that report on health and/ or acceptability, and/or affordability but not on implementation determinants in our scoping review. Studies focusing solely on implementation determinants without addressing health outcomes, acceptability or affordability will be excluded from our analysis.

Types of study design

Primary studies with any designs (qualitative and/or quantitative methods) will be considered for inclusion. Grey literature will be included but limited to authoritative documents or reports and WHO and EU reports. The WHO, EU Commission websites and country-specific ministry reports of the EU Member States, the UK, Iceland, Norway and Switzerland, will be searched.

Information sources and search strategy

Relevant studies will be searched in the following electronic databases: MEDLINE, PsycINFO, CINAHL and Web of Science. We will search papers published in peerreviewed journals until 20 September 2023. Keywords, MeSH and other index terms, as well as combinations of these using Boolean operators, will be used to construct the search strategy. An interprofessional team comprising experts in health sciences, sociology, epidemiology, nutrition, psychology and social sciences collaborated to develop the search strategy. This was guided by an experienced research librarian and tailored to each database. An iterative technique adapted from JBIs' three approach was used for the development. A preliminary search was conducted on MEDLINE based on an initial set of key terms. The retrieved papers were reviewed regarding their topical fit. Keywords, synonyms and index terms were identified from the retrieved papers and used to revise the search strategy. A second search was undertaken across all included databases using all identified keywords and index terms. Retrieved papers were tested according to their topical fit. For example, the MEDLINE search strategy can be found in online supplemental appendix 2. A third step, screening the reference lists, will be performed with all included full-text papers. In addition to the electronic sources, the WHO and EU Commission websites will be searched for relevant reports. Countryspecific ministry reports of the EU Member States, the UK, Norway, Iceland and Switzerland, will also be identified.

Study/source selection process

All identified references will be imported into the Endnote reference manager version to remove duplicates. After removal, the remaining references will be uploaded to Covidence (http://www.covidence.org). First, all titles and abstracts of potentially relevant studies identified by

the database searchers will be screened independently by three reviewers (WH, NB and JM) against the inclusion criteria. Second, the three reviewers will screen the fulltext articles and document the reasons for exclusion. Any disagreements will be resolved by discussion. If no agreement can be reached at the title/abstract screening stage, the paper will be included in the full-text screening. In the case of conflicts in the full-text screening, conflicts are resolved by discussion. If no agreement can be reached, a fourth person not involved in the screening process will Protected be consulted.

Data extraction

ŝ Three independent reviewers will systematically extract 8 data from eligible articles. A data extraction sheet will be designed and pilot-tested before use based on three included studies to standardise data extraction. The following characteristics of the included studies will be extracted and entered into Excel spreadsheets: first author, corresponding author, year of publication, country, study title, study design, aim, the number of participants, participant characteristics, description of the setting, number of schools, description of the policy and, uses if applicable, control arms. The following points will be extracted: framework, intervention duration, frequency, intensity and content of the components and intervention outcomes (ie, results on effectiveness of intervention; health and health measurement, acceptability and ç acceptability measurement, affordability and affordability text measurement), implementation steps, level of jurisdiction (national, subnational, city), legal quality (hard/ soft law), instruments (which instruments were used: eg, tax relief, free school meals, subsidies) and actor (who is a responsible for the programme). If information needs to be included, the authors will contact the corresponding author of any included study with missing information relevant to the charting form via email.

For large projects, the questions addressed in this scoping review may be divided into several papers. Thereß fore, the homepages of the projects will be searched to see if further papers can be included based on the identified project. Further identified papers are included as hand searches and screened analogously to the studies identified in the databases.

Assessment of methodological quality

similar technologies An assessment of the methodological quality of articles is not envisioned because of the broad inclusion of study designs.

Collecting, summarising and reporting the results

Data will be charted and displayed graphically, diagrammatically or tabularly where appropriate and accompanied by a narrative summary. The narrative summary will explain how the results relate to the review's objective and question(s) and include qualitative and quantitative synthesis approaches. Data will be summarised and grouped into similar categories based on commonalities. 9

Some examples of themes that could be used to organise the results are: study type, country, framework, outcome measures and practice recommendations/implications. No overall assessment of the strength of the evidence will be performed, as evaluating the quality of the individual studies will not be the purpose of this scoping review.

Patient and public involvement

No patients or members of the public were involved in developing the scoping review protocol.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

No ethical approval for this review is required, as data will be obtained from publicly available materials. This protocol is registered on the Open Science Framework (https://osf.io/rg7dy). Essential protocol amendments postregistration will be recorded and included in dissemination. The results of this scoping review will be published in a peer-reviewed journal and possibly presented at conferences. We will provide recommendations and conclusions based on the findings from the synthesis.

Author affiliations

¹Prevention and Evaluation, Leibniz Institute for Prevention Research and Epidemiology - BIPS, Bremen, Germany

²Epidemiological Methods and Etiological Research, Leibniz Institute for Prevention Research and Epidemiology - BIPS, Bremen, Germany

³Administration, Leibniz Institute for Prevention Research and Epidemiology - BIPS, Bremen, Germany

⁴Department of Health Science, University of York, York, UK

X Sarah Forberger @forberger_sarah

Contributors The corresponding author attests that all listed authors meet authorship criteria and that no others meeting the criteria have been omitted. AH, MW, HB and SF conceived the idea and contributed to the writing and revision of the manuscript. JM, NB and WH developed the research questions and study methods. NB and LC developed the search strategy. JM drafted and edited the manuscript with AH, MW, HB and SF providing critical revisions to the manuscript. The final version of the manuscript was read and approved by all authors. SF acted as guarantor.

Funding This scoping review was supported as part of the GENAU—Gesunde und nachhaltige Ernährung in Bremer Schulen project by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research, Germany, grant number 01EL2315.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is

properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iDs

Janina Meuer http://orcid.org/0009-0005-5154-0562 Lara Christianson http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7780-255X Sarah Forberger http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7169-675X

REFERENCES

- 1 World Health Organization. Invisible numbers: the true extent of noncommunicable diseases and what to do about them. 2022.
- 2 Afshin A, Sur PJ, Fay KA, et al. Health effects of dietary risks in 195 countries, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *The Lancet* 2019;393:1958–72.
- 3 Popkin BM, Ng SW. The nutrition transition to a stage of high obesity and noncommunicable disease prevalence dominated by ultraprocessed foods is not inevitable. Obes Rev 2022;23:e13366.
- 4 Popkin BM. The shift in stages of the nutrition transition in the developing world differs from past experiences! *Public Health Nutr* 2002;5:205–14.
- 5 Gasparatos A. Dietary transitions and sustainability: current patterns and future trajectories. *Sustain Sci* 2020;15:1557–9.
- 6 World Health Organization, Regional Office for Europe. European food and nutrition action plan 2015–2020. Copenhagen, 2015.
- 7 Appannah G, Murray K, Trapp G, *et al.* Dietary pattern trajectories across adolescence and early adulthood and their associations with childhood and parental factors. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2021;113:36–46.
- 8 Mikkilä V, Räsänen L, Raitakari OT, et al. Longitudinal changes in diet from childhood into adulthood with respect to risk of cardiovascular diseases: The Cardiovascular Risk in Young Finns Study. Eur J Clin Nutr 2004;58:1038–45.
- 9 Movassagh EZ, Baxter-Jones ADG, Kontulainen S, et al. Tracking Dietary Patterns over 20 Years from Childhood through Adolescence into Young Adulthood: The Saskatchewan Pediatric Bone Mineral Accrual Study. *Nutrients* 2017;9:990.
- 10 Simmonds M, Llewellyn A, Owen CG, et al. Predicting adult obesity from childhood obesity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Obes Rev 2016;17:95–107.
- 11 Grantham-McGregor S, Cheung YB, Cueto S, *et al*. Developmental potential in the first 5 years for children in developing countries. *Lancet* 2007;369:60–70.
- 12 Kar BR, Rao SL, Chandramouli BA. Cognitive development in children with chronic protein energy malnutrition. *Behav Brain Funct* 2008;4:31.
- 13 Liu J, Raine A, Venables PH, *et al*. Malnutrition at age 3 years and externalizing behavior problems at ages 8, 11, and 17 years. *Am J Psychiatry* 2004;161:2005–13.
- 14 Jirout J, LoCasale-Crouch J, Turnbull K, et al. How Lifestyle Factors Affect Cognitive and Executive Function and the Ability to Learn in Children. Nutrients 2019;11:1953.
- 15 World Health Organization. Levels and trends in child malnutrition: UNICEF/WHO/world bank group joint child malnutrition estimates: key findings of the 2023 edition. 2023.
- 16 Norris SA, Frongillo EA, Black MM, et al. Nutrition in adolescent growth and development. Lancet 2022;399:172–84.
- 17 World Health Organization, Regional Office for Europe. WHO European regional obesity report 2022. Copenhagen, 2022.
- 18 European Commission. EU Action Plan on Childhood Obesity 2014–2020; Brussels, 2014.
- 19 World Health, Regional Office for Europe. Food and nutrition policy for schools: a tool for the development of school nutrition programmes in the European region. Copenhagen, 2006.
- 20 Storcksdieck Genannt Bonsmann S. Comprehensive mapping of national school food policies across the European Union plus Norway and Switzerland. *Nutr Bull* 2014;39:369–73.
- 21 Guio A-C. Free school meals for all poor children in Europe: An important and affordable target? *Child Soc* 2023;37:1627–45.
- 22 Chaudhary A, Sudzina F, Mikkelsen BE. Promoting Healthy Eating among Young People-A Review of the Evidence of the Impact of School-Based Interventions. *Nutrients* 2020;12:2894.
- 23 Swinburn B, Vandevijvere S, Kraak V, et al. Monitoring and benchmarking government policies and actions to improve the healthiness of food environments: a proposed Government Healthy Food Environment Policy Index. Obes Rev 2013;14 Suppl 1:24–37.
- 24 Oostindjer M, Aschemann-Witzel J, Wang Q, *et al.* Are school meals A viable and sustainable tool to improve the healthiness and sustainability of children's diet and food consumption? A

Open access

cross-national comparative perspective. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 2017;57:3942–58.

- 25 Van Cauwenberghe E, Maes L, Spittaels H, *et al.* Effectiveness of school-based interventions in Europe to promote healthy nutrition in children and adolescents: systematic review of published and "grey" literature. *Br J Nutr* 2010;103:781–97.
- 26 Mauer S, Torheim LE, Terragni L. Children's Participation in Free School Meals: A Qualitative Study among Pupils, Parents, and Teachers. *Nutrients* 2022;14:1282.
- 27 Santana SA, Batista SA, da Costa Maynard D, et al. Acceptability of School Menus: A Systematic Review of Assessment Methods. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2023;20:2242.
- 28 UNESCO Institute for Statistics. International standard classification of education (ISCED) 2011. 2012. Available: http://uis.unesco.org/ sites/default/files/documents/international-standard-classification-ofeducation-isced-2011-en.pdf
- 29 Motiejunaite-Schulmeister A, Scurella A, Birch P. The structure of the european education system, 2022/2023. schematic diagrams. eurydice facts and figures. European Education and Culture Executive Agency, European Commission; 2022.
- 30 Lobczowska K, Banik A, Forberger S, et al. Social, economic, political, and geographical context that counts: meta-review of implementation determinants for policies promoting healthy diet and physical activity. BMC Public Health 2022;22:1055.