

## PEER REVIEW HISTORY

BMJ Open publishes all reviews undertaken for accepted manuscripts. Reviewers are asked to complete a checklist review form (<http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/resources/checklist.pdf>) and are provided with free text boxes to elaborate on their assessment. These free text comments are reproduced below.

### ARTICLE DETAILS

<b>TITLE (PROVISIONAL)</b>	Priorities for health outcomes in glaucoma in an ethnically diverse UK cohort: observational study
<b>AUTHORS</b>	Safitri, Atika; Konstantakopoulou, Evgenia; Gazzard, Gus; Hu, Kuang

### VERSION 1 – REVIEW

<b>REVIEWER</b>	Rolim de Moura, C Universidade Federal de São Paulo
<b>REVIEW RETURNED</b>	28-Nov-2023

<b>GENERAL COMMENTS</b>	I congratulate the authors. I think this is a very important approach to understand glaucoma treatment, and I would say the research was very well conducted. I guess it would be interesting as it was discussed, to reproduce this methodology in more mixed ethnic populations, in order to retest if sociodemographic factors wouldn't be confounders.
-------------------------	--

<b>REVIEWER</b>	Upadhyaya, Swati Aravind Eye Hospital, Glaucoma
<b>REVIEW RETURNED</b>	18-Dec-2023

<b>GENERAL COMMENTS</b>	A very well designed study. This study is actually the need of the hour and should be done from all parts of the world. Socioeconomic status, education level and financial condition of the patients are very important factors that play a major role in decision making more so in the developing countries. Limitations of the study are not discussed in the article. If the questionnaire are administered in person to the patients rather than released in national conferences, we might get better understanding of the patients' priorities.
-------------------------	---

### VERSION 1 – AUTHOR RESPONSE

#### Reviewer 1

As discussed in the manuscript, we agree that repeating this study in other mixed ethnic populations will be valuable to determine whether the demonstrated ethnic contrasts differ in other geographic regions.

#### Reviewer 2

As above, we agree that this study should be repeated in other geographic regions.

We have expanded our discussion of the limitations of the study.

We have clarified that questionnaires were administered in person to participants in the hospital setting immediately following recruitment.