BMJ Open Evaluating offspring Genomic and **Epigenomic alterations after prenatal** exposure to Cancer treatment In **Pregnancy (GE-CIP): a multicentric** observational study

Ilana Struys ^(D), ¹ Carolina Velázquez, ¹ Koenraad Devriendt, ² Lode Godderis, ^{3,4} Heidi Segers, ⁵ Bernard Thienpont, ⁶ Ruben van Boxtel, ⁷ Kristel Van Calsteren, ⁸ Thierry Voet, ^{6,9} Vera Wolters, ¹⁰ Liesbeth Lenaerts, ¹ Frederic Amant^{1,8,10}

ABSTRACT

To cite: Struys I, Velázquez C, Devriendt K, et al. Evaluating offspring Genomic and Epigenomic alterations after prenatal exposure to Cancer treatment In Pregnancy (GE-CIP): a multicentric observational study. BMJ Open 2024;14:e081833. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2023-081833

Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (https://doi.org/10.1136/ bmjopen-2023-081833).

Received 07 November 2023 Accepted 05 March 2024



C Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2024. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BM.J.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to Professor Frederic Amant: frederic.amant@uzleuven.be Introduction Around 1 in 1000–2000 pregnancies are affected by a cancer diagnosis. Previous studies have shown that chemotherapy during pregnancy has reassuring cognitive and cardiac neonatal outcomes, and hence has been proposed as standard of care. However, although these children perform within normal ranges for their age, subtle differences have been identified. Given that chemotherapeutic compounds can cross the placenta, the possibility that prenatal chemotherapy exposure mutates the offspring's genome and/or epigenome, with potential deleterious effects later in life, urges to be investigated.

Methods and analyses This multicentric observational study aims to collect cord blood, meconium and neonatal buccal cells at birth, as well as peripheral blood, buccal cells and urine from infants when 6, 18 and/or 36 months of age. Using bulk and single-cell approaches, we will compare samples from chemotherapy-treated pregnant patients with cancer, pregnant patients with cancer not treated with chemotherapy and healthy pregnant women. Potential chemotherapy-related newborn genomic and/ or epigenomic alterations, such as single nucleotide variants, copy number variants and DNA-methylation alterations, will be identified in mononuclear and epithelial cells, isolated from blood, buccal swabs and urine. DNA from maternal peripheral blood and paternal buccal cells will be used to determine de novo somatic mutations in the neonatal blood and epithelial cells. Additionally, the accumulated exposure of the fetus, and biological effective dose of alkylating agents, will be assessed in meconium and cord blood via mass spectrometry approaches. Ethics and dissemination The Ethics Committee Research of UZ/KU Leuven (EC Research) and the Medical Ethical Review Committee of University Medical Center Amsterdam have approved the study. Results of this study will be disseminated via presentations at (inter) national conferences, through peer-reviewed, open-access publications, via social media platforms aimed to inform patients and healthcare workers, and through the website of the International Network on Cancer, Infertility and Pregnancy (www.cancerinpregnancy.org).

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- \Rightarrow In this study, the mutational consequences of prenatal chemotherapy exposure in newborn DNA will be investigated using a variety of innovative bulk and single-cell techniques, allowing a comprehensive assessment of different types of genetic and epigenetic aberrations.
- \Rightarrow Different tissue types will be investigated, that is. cord blood and buccal epithelial cells, which are both easy accessible and non-invasive sources of newborn DNA.
- \Rightarrow By applying the same methodology to blood samples from the same children at 6, 18 and/or 36 months of age, the evolution of possible identified mutational consequences can be tracked.
- \Rightarrow This study will only provide information on the potential association, and not causal relation, between prenatal exposure to chemotherapy and genetic and/or epigenetic alterations in the newborn's DNA.

INTRODUCTION

data mining, AI training, and Cancer is the second leading cause of death during the reproductive years and compli-<u>0</u> cates between 1 in 1000 to 2000 pregnancies.¹ As women in developed societies tend to delay childbearing to the third or fourth decade of life, and since the incidence of several malignancies rises with increasing age, this rare coincidence is likely to become more **&** common. In the past decades, the establish- $\overline{\mathbf{g}}$ ment of (inter)national registries, including the International Network on Cancer, Infertility and Pregnancy (INCIP), and an increasing number of smaller cohort studies, have provided insights for the management of cancer during pregnancy. Applying chemotherapy in the first trimester is to be avoided due to the increased risk of developing congenital malformations.² Therefore,

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and



it is advised to start chemotherapy in the second or third trimester, that is, after week 14 of pregnancy.^{3–5} Previous studies have shown that chemotherapy during the second and/or third trimester of pregnancy does not affect the short-term cardiac and neurocognitive development of children, which is reassuring.^{2 6-9} Additionally, administering chemotherapy treatment to pregnant women has led to an increase in livebirths and decrease in iatrogenic preterm deliveries.¹ Yet, prenatal exposure to certain chemotherapeutic drugs has been associated with a higher risk for children being born small for their gestational age.^{1 10} Furthermore, although overall, children born from pregnancies complicated by maternal cancer perform within normal ranges for their age, chemotherapy-exposed children had a significantly lower verbal IO at 6 years, and were 3 times more likely to wear glasses than non-exposed children. However, since there are many confounding factors in neurocognitive development of children, a direct link with prenatal chemotherapy exposure cannot be accurately established.^{16–8}

To date, data on long-term outcomes in these children are scarce, and thus, it remains unknown whether prenatal exposure to chemotherapy may provoke an increased risk of developing fertility issues, chronic health conditions or cancers later in life. Previous in vivo, ex vivo and clinical studies have shown that chemotherapeutic agents can cross the placental barrier (at passage rates that vary per drug).^{11–17} Additionally, increasing evidence is showing that chemotherapy treatment can leave a genotoxic footprint, consisting of point mutations and/or larger chromosomal abnormalities¹⁸⁻²¹ and induce long-lasting epigenetic changes, such as alterations in the DNA methvlation profile²²⁻²⁴ in cancer, and even non-cancerous cells, of directly exposed patients with cancer. Based on the above, it can be speculated that chemotherapeutic agents, administered during pregnancy, could target and damage the fetal DNA. This, in turn, could interfere with the offspring's long-term health. The aim of the methods presented in this protocol is to investigate the possibility of whether, and how, prenatal chemotherapy exposure is associated with genetic and epigenetic aberrations in the newborn DNA, and, if identified, how these aberrations evolve in early childhood years.

METHODS AND ANALYSES Objectives

The primary objectives of this study are (1) to determine whether chemotherapy administered during pregnancy affects the genome and/or epigenome of newborn cells, by identifying and contrasting acquired genetic and epigenetic alterations in cord blood and buccal cells derived from chemotherapy-treated and chemotherapyuntreated pregnant patients with cancer, and healthy pregnant women, and (2) to examine if the potential chemotherapy-related genomic and/or epigenomic alterations in newborn DNA are detectable at different time points, whether the mutation rate is altered, and if these BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2023-081833 on 28 March 2024. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 12, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

changes lead to more complex downstream events in early childhood years by analysing peripheral blood cells and epithelial cells from buccal and urinary tract tissue of infants aged 6, 18 and/or 36 months, and comparing the results with those obtained at birth. A secondary objective is to correlate the possibly identified genomic and/ or epigenomic changes to the cumulative dose of chemotherapeutic compounds, and adducts, measured in cord blood plasma and meconium samples taken after delivery.

Study cohort and eligibility criteria

This multicentre, prospective observational study will be carried out in Belgian (University Hospital Leuven, be carried out in Belgian (University Hospital Leuven, by University Hospital Gent and University Hospital Antwerpen) and Dutch (Amsterdam University Medical Center and University Medical Center Groningen) hospi-tals participating in the INCIP (www.cancerinpregnancy. org). Eligible participants are women with (histologically proven) cancer of any type and stage during pregnancy and an ongoing pregnancy (≥ 24 weeks of gestation). The study group will consist of pregnant women who were diagnosed with cancer and treated with chemotherapy **o** during the second and/or third trimester of pregnancy (termed 'chemotherapy-treated pregnant patients with cancer'). The control group will be pregnant women diagnosed with cancer during pregnancy who were not treated with chemotherapy during pregnancy (termed 'chemotherapy-untreated pregnant patients with **6** cancer'). A second control group will consist of healthy pregnant women. For each included pregnant patient with cancer, one healthy control case will be recruited **a** from the general obstetric department of the hospital of inclusion or affiliated midwife practice, matched for the following criteria: maternal age, gestational age at birth and sex of the child.

Key eligibility criteria are listed in table 1. For each > patient, demographic (ie, maternal and paternal age), obstetric (ie, gestational age at delivery, sex newborn) and oncological (ie, tumour type, subtype and staging, presence of a DNA repair gene mutation, chemotherapy schedule and cumulative dose, gestational age at diagnosis, gestational age at the start of the treatment, gestational age at the last chemotherapy administration, type of surgery, gestational age at surgery) data will be retrieved via the INCIP registry (pregnant patients with cancer) or medical file (healthy pregnant women). Furthermore, biomaterials from eligible pregnant women will be collected in the respective participating hospitals and shipped to Catholic University Leuven for central processing. Lastly, a questionnaire is requested to be filled in at time of delivery (online supplementalfile 1).

Sample collection and processing

At, or shortly after birth, the following samples will be collected: cord blood, newborn buccal swabs, meconium (obtained during the first 48hours of life), maternal peripheral blood and paternal buccal swabs. Further

Control group 2: healthy pregnant

Matched for maternal age,

GA at birth ≥24 weeks

treated group

gestational age at birth and

infant sex with chemotherapy-

Table 1	Study	inclusion	and	exclusion	criteria
---------	-------	-----------	-----	-----------	----------

Inclusion criteria

Study group: chemotherapy-treated
pregnant patients with cancer

- Histologically proven cancer during pregnancy (any type and stage)
- Treatment during the second and/ or third trimester of pregnancy with one or a combination of the following chemotherapeutic agents: cyclophosphamide, anthracyclines, taxanes, platinum derivates

Gestational age (GA) at birth ≥24 weeks

Exclusion criteria

- GA at birth <24 weeks (miscarriage or termination of pregnancy)
- Inability to give informed consent, for example, due to mental disabilities
- Any comorbidity that is associated with an increased micronucleus frequency, such as hypertensive disorders. preeclampsia, (gestational) diabetes, renal or cardiac pathology

cancer

Control group 1: chemotherapy-

untreated pregnant patients with

Histologically proven cancer during

pregnancy (any type and stage)

Radiotherapy and/or systemic

during pregnancy (subgroup 2)*

surgery only (subgroup 1)

GA at birth ≥24 weeks

No treatment during pregnancy or

treatment (other than chemotherapy)

*Subgroups 1 and 2 will be analysed as separate control groups.

details on the use of these samples will be described in the respective assay sections below.

At the child's age of 6, 18 and/or 36 months, the following samples will be collected: child's peripheral blood, buccal swabs and urine samples. Potential parents' objection to sampling one of these biomaterials does not preclude further participation to the study.

All biomaterials are collected at the respective participating hospitals and shipped to Catholic University Leuven for central processing. Blood samples are to be

uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies shipped within 24 hours after collection for immediate processing. Buccal swabs, meconium and urine samples can be stored at the respective participating hospitals for bulk shipment at a chosen time. The conditions of transport, processing and storage for the different specimens are summarised in table 2.

women

Sample size per group

Yearly, an average of 10 pregnant patients with cancer are registered per participating centre, of which 50% are

Specimen	Sampling and storage conditions	Goal
Cord blood and maternal blood	Heparin tube; direct shipment to central lab at room temperature	Direct isolation of blood mononuclear cells via density gradient separation and immediate use in the CBMN assay
Cord blood and maternal blood	EDTA tubes; direct shipment to central lab at room temperature	Direct isolation of blood mononuclear cells via density gradient separation and storage in liquid nitrogen until further processing for sequencing to determine genetic aberrations
		Serum and sediment separation and storage at –80°C until LC/MS assessment of the biological effective chemotherapy dose (serum) and identification of epigenetic alterations (sediment) via bisulfite sequencing and methylation array
Buccal swabs	lsohelix buccal swabs; local storage at −20°C	DNA extraction for the assessment of chromosomal abnormalities via low-pass sequencing and copy number profiling and identification of epigenetic alterations via bisulfite sequencing and methylation array
Meconium	Cryotube; local storage at -20°C	Assessment of accumulated dose of chemotherapeutic compounds by MS
	Cryotube; local storage at -20°C	DNA extraction for the assessment of the biological effective doses by LC/MS
Urine	Urine bag; local storage at -20°C	Epithelial urinary tract cells for exploratory genetic analyses in early childhood years using single-cell WGS and cell-free DNA analyses

3

Protected by copyright, including for

treated with chemotherapy during pregnancy. Therefore, we envision to include 20 chemotherapy-treated pregnant patients with cancer, 20 chemotherapy-untreated pregnant patients with cancer and 40 healthy pregnant women per year. Since this is an exploratory study, with scarce data to rely on for solid sample size calculations, post-hoc sample size calculations will be conducted after analyses of the first 10 subjects per group.

Study design

A schematic representation of the study design, patient groups, collected biomaterials and molecular analyses is given in figure 1. Start and end dates of the international study: September 2022-December 2030.

Study methods

Measuring genetic and epigenetic alterations in newborn cells Studying the link between prenatal exposure to chemotherapy and a 'genotoxic footprint' in newborn cells

First, we will evaluate the presence of chemotherapyassociated gross chromosomal abnormalities in newborn cells, using two different techniques: (1) the cytokinesisblock micronucleus (CBMN) assay, and (2) shallow whole-genome sequencing (WGS). The CBMN assay will be performed on T-lymphocytes, isolated from cord blood from all participants. The CBMN assay will be performed as described by Fenech et al.²⁵ From each sample, a total of 1000 mononuclear cells will be microscopically scored to determine the micronucleus frequency per 1000 binucleated T-lymphocytes. Shallow WGS will be performed using DNA isolated from mononuclear cells from cord blood.

In parallel to evaluating blood samples, we will also assess the presence of such de novo chromosomal abnormalities in DNA isolated from the newborn's buccal epithelial cells via low-pass sequencing $(0.1 \times \text{read depth})$ and copy number profiling.²⁶ Maternal peripheral blood DNA and paternal buccal epithelial DNA will be used as reference to allow determining de novo (sub)chromosomal alterations in cord blood DNA. To maximise participation from the fathers, we prefer a non-invasive buccal swab sampling (for downstream DNA isolation) over blood sampling.

Second, we will investigate the possibility that chemotherapy-induced DNA alterations may be private to a single cell.²⁷ We will do this using two single-cell sequencing techniques: (1) single-cell genome-plustranscriptome sequencing (G&T-seq) of single mononuclear cord blood cells to map structural variants, such as (sub)chromosomal deletions, amplifications and/or translocations,²⁸²⁹ and, since current single-cell sequencing approaches are not yet optimal for accurate detection of point mutations, (2) WGS deep sequencing (paired-end, 15×) of clonal organoid cultures established from individual cord blood stem cells to detect single nucleotide variants and small insertions and deletions (indels).³⁰ Furthermore, this WGS information will be

used to estimate telomere lengths in cord blood stem cells.

Studying the link between prenatal exposure to chemotherapy and DNA-methylation changes in newborn cells

To study potential epigenetic changes associated with prenatal chemotherapy exposure, DNA will be isolated from the sediment fraction of cord blood and epithelial cells from buccal swabs of newborns.

Then, the extracted DNA will be subjected to 'oxidative' bisulfite conversion. After bisulfite sequencing, the DNA methylation status will be analysed via array-based methylation assessment methods. Using bioinformatics, we will evaluate how identified changes in methylation g signatures in newborn DNA are related to specific chro-8 matin organisational states. Furthermore, to investigate the downstream effects of methylation changes, gene and pathway analyses will be performed, which allows assigning functional meanings to genes and genomes. Medication use of the mother up until 24 hours prior to delivery will be documented in the questionnaire (online В supplemental file 1), since this might influence the methfor uses rel ylation profiles and needs to be taken into account during analyses.

Studying the link between prenatal exposure to chemotherapy and gene-expression changes in newborn cells

ated To study potential gene-expression alterations associto text ated with prenatal chemotherapy exposure, RNA will be isolated from single mononuclear cord blood cells (G&T-seq, cfr. the Studying the link between prenatal ā exposure to chemotherapy and a 'genotoxic footprint' in newborn cells section), the sediment fraction of cord blood and epithelial cells from buccal swabs of newborns, and subjected to gene-expression analyses through singlecell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq). DNA loci with significant differences in methylation status (cfr. the Studying > the link between prenatal exposure to chemotherapy and DNA-methylation changes in newborn cells section), that correlate to transcribed genes and for which the transcript sequence is known, will be validated further via nd (single-cell) quantitative PCR approaches. Rationale for selection will include functional significance as well as statistical differences between chemotherapy-treated and chemotherapy-untreated samples.

Measuring chemotherapy concentrations and biological effective dose To measure the concentration of applied chemother-apeutic agents and/or their metabolites measure trometry (MS) will be used. The analytical protocol will be developed for blood, plasma, meconium and urine samples.

Additionally, for alkylating agents, the biological effective doses in the same biological matrices will be determined via measurement of DNA adducts, using liquid chromatography-MS. As an indicator for DNA adducts in patients receiving alkylating agents (eg,




Figure 1 Scheme depicting the types of biomaterials that will be collected and the assays being performed. This scheme will be applied on every participant of each of the three study groups, that is, chemotherapy-treated pregnant patients with cancer, chemotherapy-untreated pregnant patients with cancer and healthy pregnant women. CBMN, cytokinesis-block micronucleus assay; LC/MS, liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry; MS, mass spectrometry; qPCR, quantitative PCR; WGS, whole-genome sequencing.

cisplatin, carboplatin and/or cyclophosphamide treatment), we will focus on the identification of bis-N7guanine DNA-DNA cross-links. Maternal peripheral blood will be taken as a reference. Medication use of the mother up until 24 hours prior to delivery will be checked in the questionnaire (online supplemental file 1), since this might interfere with the detection of chemotherapy compounds in both the cord and maternal blood samples.

Examining the evolution of observed genomic and/or epigenomic alterations in infant cells

If we identified genomic and/or epigenomic changes in DNA of newborns that were prenatally exposed to chemotherapy compared with newborns born to women in the control groups (see the Studying the link between prenatal exposure to chemotherapy and a 'genotoxic footprint' in newborn cells and Studying the link between prenatal exposure to chemotherapy and DNAmethylation changes in newborn cells sections), we will monitor whether these genomic and/or epigenomic alterations are detectable at different time points, whether the mutation rate is altered, and if these changes lead to more complex downstream events in early childhood years. Therefore, we will sample peripheral blood cells and buccal epithelial cells from the infants of all 3 groups, at the ages of 6, 18 and/or 36 months and analyse the samples using the same techniques as mentioned above. To gain additional insight in the specific blood cell types that may be affected, we will perform single-cell WGS on different types of differentiated blood cells, separated via flow cytometry, from the chemotherapy-treated pregnant cancer patient group, complemented with phylogenetic tree construction in blood cells.³⁰

Additionally, to investigate the range of tissue types that might be affected by prenatal exposure to chemotherapy, we will initiate exploratory genotoxicity analyses (using whole-genome single-cell sequencing and cell-free DNA analysis) in epithelial cells originating from the child's urinary tract.

Statistical analysis

Given that no published evidence exists to rely on, all statistical analyses are exploratory. Analyses will be performed with R Studio using 95% CIs and a two-tailed p value of 0.05. Continuous variables will be compared between the different patient groups to determine the potential association with prenatal chemotherapy exposure using Kruskal Wallis or analysis of variance, depending on normality of the data. Linear regression models will be used to study the effect of chemotherapy exposure on genotoxicity and methylation grade in the study groups, while adjusting for potential confounders such as maternal age, gestational age at delivery and sex of the newborn. Subgroup analyses related to the different chemotherapeutic compounds will be done when possible. Where necessary corrections for multiple testing will be applied.

otected

Patient and public involvement statement

The ongoing research on cancer during pregnancy performed by the INCIP network was started based on the request of pregnant women who were confronted with a cancer diagnosis during their pregnancy. Clinical questions about how the treatment during pregnancy would affect their unborn child formed the basis of several studies investigating aspects of the children's development after birth. We aim to keep our patients informed of the research results by sharing them via our national patient social media platforms (www.kankerenzwangerschap.be; www.facebook.com/kankerenzwangerschap) and via the INCIP network (website www.cancerinpregnancy.org).

Secondary findings

by copyright. As this study will not change treatment, nor randomise patients, participation in this study will not affect patients' outcomes. As this is an observational study, no additional risks from the study are expected. As described above, for the study of (sub)chromosomal abnormalities and mutations in the newborn's and infant's tissue (cord/periphğ eral blood, and buccal cells) potentially being associated with prenatal exposure to chemotherapy, only de novo alterations will be taken into account. The findings will be interpreted with the support of a clinical geneticist. Secondary findings might include genetic mutations, including point mutations and larger structural variants that could imply any pathogenicity, and will be managed e to conform the latest guidelines from the American ¥ College of Medical Genetics.³¹

- Mutations causing highly penetrant disorders with a validated evidence on the phenotype associated with a the deletion or duplication. These are considered clinically relevant and will be reported.
- Mutations proven to be risk factors for developmental disorders with reduced penetrance and/or variable \ge expression. The predictability of the future phenotype resulting from such CNVs remains very poor. Therefore, these susceptibility CNVs will not be reported.
- Mutations causing late-onset genetic disorders, typically cancer caused by the deletion of a tumour suppressor gene, will be communicated if undeniable health benefit can be expected for the participants (child or parent).

(child or parent). It is important to note that, by restricting the analyses to de novo findings in newborn's/infant's DNA, the number of 'to be reported secondary findings' is estimated to be limited.

Also, in the informed consent form, participants will be given the opportunity to opt out of being notified of secondary findings related to the newborn DNA.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIAL

All clinical data are registered in a REDCap database. The participating physician ensures the confidentiality, accuracy, completeness, legibility and timeliness of the

З

training

data recorded. Data handling and statistical analysis will be done in a coded fashion by the investigator, with the subject identification code list only available to the local investigator (and research nurse if applicable) working in the local centre. The collection, processing and disclosure of personal data, such as patient health and medical information, is subject to compliance with applicable personal data protection and the processing of personal data legislation (including, but not limited to the EU Directive 95/46/EC and Belgian Law of 8 December 1992 on the protection of the Privacy in relation to the Processing of Personal Data). Data and rest material (eg, left-over DNA/RNA extracts) will be kept for 20 years. If archived samples may be useful for additional investigations, not mentioned in the current protocol, approval will be asked from the ethical committee. The genomewide sequencing data are stored for 3 months at Genomics Core (KU Leuven) and subsequently transferred to the common lab drive. Similarly, data from the CBMN assay and the questionnaire are stored there. This lab drive is password protected, with limited access to authorised persons.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee Research UZ/KU Leuven (EC Research) (S62388) and the Medical Ethical Review Committee of University Medical Center Amsterdam (NL76873.018.21_S62388).

Eligible subjects will be asked, together with their partner, to sign a written informed consent form on agreement to participate in the study. Participants are allowed to leave the study at any time for any reason, without any consequences, and are able to opt out for donating a particular sample type. The investigator can also decide to withdraw a subject from the study for urgent medical reasons. Participation in the study will not influence the clinical management of the patient. Furthermore, participants will be given the opportunity to opt out of being notified of secondary findings related to the newborn DNA, which they could indicate in the informed consent form. All data will be handled with strict confidentiality, assuring anonymity of the subjects.

Dissemination of the research results will be done via presentations at national and international conferences and seminars, and through the publication of peerreviewed manuscripts in open-access journals. Additionally, we will communicate findings through our national patient social media platforms (www.kankerenzwangerschap.be; www.facebook.com/kankerenzwangerschap) and via the INCIP network (website www.cancerinpregnancy.org), created to increase knowledge among scientists, healthcare workers and the public (including patients). Further, the communication offices of both the Leuven Cancer Institute and of KU Leuven guarantee optimal communication between KU Leuven and University Hospitals Leuven on the one hand, and outside university. Finally, a web-based software (Advisory Board Cancer In Pregnancy—ABCIP) was developed to offer remote multidisciplinary support to physicians that lack expertise to manage the treatment of cancer during pregnancy. Additionally, this platform will be used to distribute novel research insights to caregivers involved in the management of pregnant patients with cancer.

DISCUSSION

This study will be the very first to explore a potential genotoxic effect of prenatal chemotherapy exposure to the newborn's DNA. We anticipate that the use of a variety of techniques, allowing the assessment of different types of genotoxicity, and the inclusion of pregnant patients with cancer treated with different treatment regimens, will give an unprecedented insight in treatment-dependent genotoxic footprints, and link this with the level of accumulated dose of chemotherapeutic compounds in the fetus. Genomic insults in the perinatal period have been linked to the development of diseases, such as cancer \vec{a} and neurodevelopmental disorders, and may be more **o** important than in other life stages given the higher grobability that mutated and genomically unstable cells 6 could populate rapidly growing tissues of an infant.^{32 33} This project will address the question of whether prenatal chemotherapy treatment is associated with a 'genotoxic to footprint' in newborn tissue, at the earliest time point in life, and whether and how this evolves in early-childhood years. The combined analysis of signatures of point muta-ല tions and larger structural variants might also reveal information about the potential mechanisms underlying the identified aberrations. If positive, our findings will give an indication of which functional genomic regions and pathways might be affected. Data on the safety of administering chemotherapy during pregnancy are highly warranted, as ⊳ both clinicians and patients remain reluctant about this issue. If we would not find any 'genotoxic footprint', this would indicate DNA abnormalities following prenatal chemotherapy exposure occur at a very low frequency, Dd suggesting a limited clinical impact. Alternatively, a positive outcome may trigger further fundamental and clinical research to further assess the clonality of observed aberrations, the most affected cells and the potential clinical impact. Though preliminary, the results of this study may aid in the identification of potentially harming agents to the unborn child, and hence provide an opportunity to optimise therapeutic regimens for pregnant women confronted with cancer.

Author affiliations

¹Department of Oncology, KU Leuven, Leuven, Flanders, Belgium ²Department of Human Genetics, University Hospital Leuven, Leuven, Belgium ³Department of Public Health and Primary Care, KU Leuven, Leuven, Flanders, Belgium

⁴External Service for Prevention and Protection at Work, Heverlee, Belgium
⁵Department of Paediatric Oncology, University Hospital Leuven, Leuven, Belgium
⁶Department of Human Genetics, KU Leuven, Leuven, Flanders, Belgium
⁷Princess Maxima Center for Pediatric Oncology, Utrecht, Netherlands

Open access

⁹Institute for Single Cell Omics (LISCO), KU Leuven, Leuven, Flanders, Belgium ¹⁰Gynecologic Oncology, Netherlands Cancer Institute, Amsterdam, Netherlands

Acknowledgements The manuscript's authors would like to thank all the experts who contributed to conceptualise and design the GE-CIP Study. Furthermore, we thank the patients, their families, institutions, physicians and nurses who are willing to participate in this study.

Contributors IS, LL, VW, CV and FA made substantial contributions to the design and writing of the study protocol. IS, LL and CV will be conducting the sample collection and different study parts. FA is the founder of INCIP and will be, together with KvC, informing and including the eligible patients. LG, BT, RvB and TV gave their expert opinion in designing genetic and epigenetic analyses of the biomaterials. KD will be consulted when secondary findings occur. HS was consulted to work out the follow-up study parts. All authors were involved in revising the manuscript draft and approved the final manuscript.

Funding This work was supported by the Belgian foundation 'Stichting tegen kanker' (Foundation against Cancer, FAF-F/2018/1264, Heavy Equipment 2015-143), KU Leuven (C14/21/113, C14/22/125), the Belgian foundation 'Kom op tegen Kanker' (Stand up against Cancer, Emmanuel van der Schueren Grant), the Belgian foundation 'Fonds voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek—Vlaanderen (Research Foundation—Flanders, 11K6822N, I001818N), Opening the Future (OtF), and the European Commission 'MSCA-IF' (893131). No funding agency was involved in the design of the study, nor the collection, analyses and interpretation of data. The authors are solely responsible for the content of the study and do not necessarily represent the viewpoint of these funding agencies.

Competing interests TV is co-inventor on licensed patents W0/2011/157846 (Methods for haplotyping single cells), W0/2014/053664 (High-throughput genotyping by sequencing low amounts of genetic material) and W0/2015/028576 (Haplotyping and copy number typing using polymorphic variant allelic frequencies).

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research. Refer to the Methods section for further details.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iD

Ilana Struys http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7490-7487

REFERENCES

- 1 de Haan J, Verheecke M, Van Calsteren K, et al. Oncological management and obstetric and neonatal outcomes for women diagnosed with cancer during pregnancy: a 20-year International cohort study of 1170 patients. *Lancet Oncol* 2018;19:337–46.
- 2 van Gerwen M, Maggen C, Cardonick E, et al. Association of chemotherapy timing in pregnancy with congenital malformation. JAMA Netw Open 2021;4:e2113180.
- 3 Lishner M, Avivi I, Apperley JF, et al. Hematologic malignancies in pregnancy: management guidelines from an international consensus meeting. J Clin Oncol 2016;34:501–8.
- 4 Loibl S, Schmidt A, Gentilini O, *et al.* Breast cancer diagnosed during pregnancy: adapting recent advances in breast cancer care for pregnant patients. *JAMA Oncol* 2015;1:1145–53.

- 5 Amant F, Berveiller P, Boere IA, *et al.* Gynecologic cancers in pregnancy: guidelines based on a third International consensus meeting. *Ann Oncol* 2019;30:1601–12.
- 6 Amant F, Vandenbroucke T, Verheecke M, et al. Pediatric outcome after maternal cancer diagnosed during pregnancy. N Engl J Med 2015;373:1824–34.
- 7 Vandenbroucke T, Verheecke M, van Gerwen M, et al. Child development at 6 years after maternal cancer diagnosis and treatment during pregnancy. *Eur J Cancer* 2020;138:57–67.
- 8 Van Assche IA, Huis in 't Veld EA, Van Calsteren K, et al. Cognitive and behavioral development of 9-year-old children after maternal cancer during pregnancy: a prospective multicenter cohort study. J Clin Oncol 2023;41:1527–32.
- 9 Blommaert J, Radwan A, Sleurs C, et al. The impact of cancer and chemotherapy during pregnancy on child Neurodevelopment: a multimodal neuroimaging analysis. *EClinicalMedicine* 2020;28:100598.
- 10 Maggen C, Wolters VERA, Van Calsteren K, et al. Impact of chemotherapy during pregnancy on fetal growth. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2022;35:10314–23.
- 11 Calsteren KV, Verbesselt R, Devlieger R, et al. Transplacental transfer of paclitaxel, Docetaxel, carboplatin, and Trastuzumab in a baboon model. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2010;20:1456–64.
- 12 Van Calsteren K, Verbesselt R, Van Bree R, et al. Substantial variation in transplacental transfer of chemotherapeutic agents in a mouse model. *Reprod Sci* 2011;18:57–63.
- 13 Van Calsteren K, Verbesselt R, Beijnen J, et al. Transplacental transfer of anthracyclines, Vinblastine, and 4-hydroxycyclophosphamide in a baboon model. Gynecol Oncol 2010;119:594–600.
- 14 Van Calsteren K, Hartmann D, Van Aerschot L, et al. Vinblastine and doxorubicin administration to pregnant mice affects brain development and behaviour in the offspring. *Neurotoxicology* 2009;30:647–57.
- 15 Benoit L, Mir O, Vialard F, et al. Cancer during pregnancy: a review of preclinical and clinical transplacental transfer of anticancer agents. *Cancers (Basel)* 2021;13:1238.
- 16 Berveiller P, Vinot C, Mir O, et al. Comparative transplacental transfer of taxanes using the human perfused cotyledon placental model. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2012;207:514.
- 17 Köhler C, Öppelt P, Favero G, et al. How much platinum passes the placental barrier? Analysis of platinum applications in 21 patients with cervical cancer during pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2015;213:206.
- 18 Kuijk E, Kranenburg O, Cuppen E, et al. Common anti-cancer therapies induce somatic mutations in stem cells of healthy tissue. Nat Commun 2022;13:5915.
- 19 Pich O, Cortes-Bullich A, Muiños F, et al. The evolution of hematopoietic cells under cancer therapy. Nat Commun 2021;12:4803.
- 20 Bertrums EJM, Rosendahl Huber AKM, de Kanter JK, et al. Elevated mutational age in blood of children treated for cancer contributes to therapyrelated myeloid neoplasms. *Cancer Discov* 2022;12:1860–72.
- 21 Gonzalez S, Lopez-Bigas N, Gonzalez-Perez A. Copy number footprints of platinum-based anticancer therapies. *PLoS Genet* 2023;19:e1010634.
- 22 Pedersen CA, Cao MD, Fleischer T, *et al.* DNA methylation changes in response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy are associated with breast cancer survival. *Breast Cancer Res* 2022;24:43.
- 23 Shnorhavorian M, Schwartz SM, Stansfeld B, et al. Differential DNA methylation regions in adult human sperm following adolescent chemotherapy: potential for epigenetic inheritance. PLoS One 2017;12:e0170085.
- 24 Roberts JS, Dolinoy DC, Tarini BA. Emerging issues in public health Genomics. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet* 2014;15:461–80.
- 25 Fenech M. Cytokinesis-block micronucleus cytome assay. *Nat Protoc* 2007;2:1084–104.
- 26 Scheinin I, Sie D, Bengtsson H, *et al.* DNA copy number analysis of fresh and formalin-fixed specimens by shallow whole-genome sequencing with identification and exclusion of problematic regions in the genome assembly. *Genome Res* 2014;24:2022–32.
- 27 Pich O, Muiños F, Lolkema MP, et al. The mutational footprints of cancer therapies. Nat Genet 2019;51:1732–40.
- 28 Macaulay IC, Teng MJ, Haerty W, et al. Separation and parallel sequencing of the genomes and transcriptomes of single cells using G&T-Seq. Nat Protoc 2016;11:2081–103.
- 29 Macaulay IC, Haerty W, Kumar P, et al. G&T-Seq: parallel sequencing of single-cell genomes and transcriptomes. *Nat Methods* 2015;12:519–22.
- 30 Huber AR, Manders F, Oka R, *et al.* Characterizing mutational load and Clonal composition of human blood. *J Vis Exp* July 11, 2019.

9

Open access

- 31 Miller DT, Lee K, Gordon AS, et al. Recommendations for reporting of secondary findings in clinical exome and genome sequencing, 2021 update: a policy statement of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG). Genet Med 2021;23:1391–8.
- 32 André-Schmutz I, Dal-Cortivo L, Six E, *et al.* Genotoxic signature in cord blood cells of newborns exposed in utero to a zidovudine-based antiretroviral combination. *J Infect Dis* 2013;208:235–43.
- 33 Cooke GM. Biomonitoring of human fetal exposure to environmental chemicals in early pregnancy. *J Toxicol Environ Health B Crit Rev* 2014;17:205–24.