

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<u>http://bmjopen.bmj.com</u>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email <u>info.bmjopen@bmj.com</u>

#### Uncovering the boundary conditions of the association between concerns about falling and physical activity in adult populations: A scoping review protocol

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2023-083234
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	15-Dec-2023
Complete List of Authors:	Amireault, Steve; Purdue University, Health & Kinesiology Reed, Jason; Purdue University, Libraries and School of Information Studies Kerschner, Reese; Purdue University, Psychological Sciences Chadwell, Emilie; Purdue University, School of Biomedical Engineering Roh, Heesoo; Purdue University, Health and Kinesiology Jakob, Emily; Purdue University, Health and Kinesiology Muller, Kelsie; Purdue University, Human Development and Family Science
Keywords:	PUBLIC HEALTH, Systematic Review, Behavior, Stress, Psychological



1			
2 3			
4	1	Uncovering the boundary conditions of the association between concerns about falling and	
5	2	physical activity in adult populations: A scoping review protocol	
6	3		
7	4	Steve Amireault	
8	5	Department of Health & Kinesiology	
9	6	Purdue University	
10	7	West Lafayette, IN 47907	
11	8	USA	
12 13	9		
14	10	Jason Brian Reed	
15	11	Libraries and School of Information Studies	
16	12	Purdue University	
17	13	West Lafayette, IN 47907	
18	14	USA	
19	15		
20	16	Reese Colby Kerschner	
21	17	Department of Psychological Sciences	
22 23	18	Purdue University	
23 24	19	West Lafayette, IN 47907	
25	20	USA	
26		USA	
27	21	Emilia Ann Chadwall	
28	22	Emilie Ann Chadwell	
29	23	School of Biomedical Engineering	
30	24	Purdue University	
31	25	West Lafayette, IN 47907	
32	26	USA	
33 34	27		
35	28	Heesoo Roh	
36	29	Department of Health & Kinesiology	
37	30	Purdue University	
38	31	West Lafayette, IN 47907	
39	32	USA	
40	33	Emily Ryan Jakob	
41	34	Emily Ryan Jakob	
42 43	35	Department of Health & Kinesiology	
43	36	Purdue University	
45	37	West Lafayette, IN 47907	
46	38	USA	
47	39		
48	40	Kelsie Jo Muller	
49	41	Department of Human Development and Family Science	
50	42	Purdue University	
51 52	43	West Lafayette, IN 47907	
52 53	44	USA	
54	45		
55	46	Word count: 4245 words	
56			
57			
58		1	
59		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	
60		Tor peer review only - http://binjopen.binj.com/site/about/guidelines.xittini	

1 2 3 4	47 48	Author Note
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	49 50 51 52 53 54	Steve Amireault b http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3372-2555 Jason B. Reed b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6712-9413 Correspondence for this article should be addressed to Steve Amireault, Department of Health and Kinesiology, Purdue University, 800 W. Stadium Ave., West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA. Phone: 765-496-0568. Email: samireau@purdue.edu
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36		
30 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46		
47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58		
59 60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

1 2		
3 4	55	ABSTRACT
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	56	Introduction: Despite evidence of variation in how concerns about falling influence physical
	57	activity, many of the currently available knowledge syntheses merely assume that this
	58	relationship is uniform across populations and contexts. Therefore, we propose a scoping review
12 13	59	protocol to guide a summary of the body work that has examined the association between
14 15	60	concerns about falling and physical activity in adult populations, with an eye on the availability
16 17 18	61	of empirical evidence of moderation. Methods and analyses: Studies reporting on both the
19 20	62	concepts of concerns about falling and physical activity among samples with a mean age $\geq 18$
21 22	63	years will be included. Five electronic databases will be searched. We will conduct a hand search
23 24 25	64	of the reference lists for all included studies and relevant knowledge syntheses and perform a
25 26 27	65	citing reference search for all included studies using Web of Science. A team of six reviewers
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	66	will single-screen titles and abstracts. Two reviewers will independently assess the eligibility of
	67	each study based on a full-text examination. Results will be presented using a tree graph to
	68	display the moderating factor(s) investigated and a ratio showing the number of time evidence
35 36	69	for moderation was examined by the total number of investigations. Ethics and dissemination:
37 38	70	The university Human Research Protection Program determined that the proposed scoping
39 40	71	review does not qualify as Human Subjects Research under federal human subjects research
41 42 43	72	regulations (IRB-2023-1656). Results will be published in a peer-review journal and in the form
44 45	73	of a 1-page summary for Extension Program leaders, part of a nationwide Cooperative Extension
46 47	74	network.
48 49 50	75	Key words: accidental falls, effect modifier, exercise, fear, health behavior.
50 51 52	76	
53 54	77	
55 56		
57 58 59		3

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2023-083234 on 20 December 2024. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 9, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de I Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

BMJ Open

1 ว			
2 3 4	78		STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY
5 6	79	•	The proposed scoping review will include both qualitative and quantitative studies,
7 8 9	80		providing a more complete picture of the availability of empirical evidence of
10 11	81		moderation.
12 13	82	•	The electronic database search was designed and pilot tested to ensure high sensitivity
14 15 16	83		and reliability while balancing time and cost constraints.
17 18	84	•	Data will be analyzed by mapping the empirical evidence of moderation to theory-
19 20	85		derived moderation hypotheses.
21 22 23	86	•	Given that an assessment of risk of bias of the studies included in the proposed review
23 24 25	87		will not be conducted, the specific clinical and policy implications of the proposed review
26 27	88		may be limited.
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55			may be limited.

1	
2 3 4	89
5 6 7	90
8 9	91
10 11	92
12 13 14	93
15 16	94
17 18	95
19 20 21	96
21 22 23	97
24 25	98
26 27 28	99
28 29 30	100
31 32	101
33 34	102
35 36 37	103
38 39	104
40 41	105
42 43 44	106
45 46	107
47 48	108
49 50 51	109
51 52 53	110
54 55	
56 57	
58 59	
59 60	

#### **INTRODUCTION**

90	Evaluation and reduction of concerns about falling and the promotion of physical activity
91	are two fundamental aspects of fall prevention and management [1]. Although regular
92	engagement in physical activity and adherence to multicomponent physical activity programs
93	can reduce concerns about falling and prevent falls, concerns about falling may represent for
94	many a barrier to physical activity participation [2–5]. This is an important public health issue
95	because both fallers and non-fallers in various adult populations are concerned about falling, and
96	such concerns can interfere with participation in various activities of daily living and reduce
97	quality of life. Ultimately, concerns about falling may increases the risk of future falls and care
98	dependency, which can both be further heightened by physical inactivity [1].
99	Concerns about falling tend to be negatively associated with physical activity
100	participation. However, concerns about falling may not uniformly influence physical activity
101	behavior across populations and contexts [6]. For instance, concerns about falling may have a
102	stronger negative influence on physical activity participation among older adults, people with
103	disease-specific symptoms and disabilities, or people with a history of falls [5,7,8]. Moreover,
104	theoretical [9–11] and qualitative [3] evidence indicate that concerns about falling could
105	positively influence physical activity in certain contexts. Despite evidence of variation in how
106	concerns about falling influences physical activity behavior, many of the currently available
107	knowledge syntheses assume this relationship is uniform across populations and contexts.
108	Consequently, practitioners and researchers may rely on a knowledge base with limited
109	information to determine with precision for whom or when concerns about falling should be
110	considered for promoting physical activity in the context of fall prevention and management.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2023-083234 on 20 December 2024. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 9, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES)

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

In this article, we propose a scoping review protocol to guide a summary of the body work that has examined the association between concerns about falling and physical activity in adult populations (age  $\geq$  18 years), with an eye on the availability of empirical evidence of moderation, also known as effect modification. In the context of the proposed scoping review, moderation represents the variation in the degree to which concerns about falling relate to physical activity as a function of another factor, called a moderator variable. We expect the findings of the proposed scoping review to make a significant contribution to the literature by encouraging researchers to specify variables as moderators of the association between concerns about falling and physical activity behavior at the onset of their studies and make sampling and measurement related decisions that would enable them to perform more sensitive moderation analyses. It is also expected that these findings will help researchers to provide a more compelling rationale for the a priori planning and conduct of subgroup and meta-regression analyses of future meta-analyses. Ultimately, the proposed scoping review is expected to provide new insights that could help practitioners and researchers determine more precisely for whom and when concerns about falling should be a considered for promoting physical activity more effectively in the context of fall prevention and management. **Theoretical Rationale** 

According to the social cognitive framework, concerns about falling could be linked to the construct of beliefs [12,13] or representations [14] about a health threat. Beliefs or representations about a health threat are thought to be linked to one's motivation to either adopt or avoid certain behaviors. Specifically, the construct of threat appraisal is theorized to capture one's held beliefs about a health threat (e.g., consequences of falling such as pain, and injury or loss of independence), and its association with negative emotions (e.g., concerns, worry, fear, or Page 7 of 32

#### **BMJ** Open

anxiety; [15]). The concept of concerns about falling has been explicitly linked to the construct of threat appraisal in prior research in the context of physical activity in older adults [16,17]. Further, both the protection motivation theory [13] and common sense model [14] posit a stronger and positive relationship between threat appraisal (e.g., concerns about falling) and people's intention toward a given behavior (e.g., physical activity) for people who believe in the effectiveness of that behavior in preventing the threat (e.g., engaging in balance and strengthening exercise is an effective strategy to prevent injurious falls) and in their capabilities in engaging in that behavior (e.g., self-efficacy for engaging in balance and strengthening exercise). This perspective is also consistent with the conceptual framework describing the origination and consequences of worries about falling by Ellmers et al. [18], which specifies perception of control over one's concerns about falling as a key factor determining whether or not concerns about falling motivate positive and protective changes in behavior. According to the affect and health behavior framework, concerns about falling could be linked to the concept of incidental affect [10]. Incidental affect refers to how one feels throughout the day outside the context of the target behavior. According to this perspective, concerns about falling can positively influence physical activity, but only if one expects that engaging in physical activity will contribute to alleviating their concerns about falling. Otherwise, concerns about falling will negatively influence physical activity. In contrast, concerns about falling can lead to excessive physical activity avoidance if people anticipate avoiding physical activity will help them cope with such concerns and protect them against potential harms from future falls. Drawing on this same theoretical framework, concerns about falling could also be linked to the concept of affectively charged motives [10]. Affectively charged motives represent a

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2023-083234 on 20 December 2024. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 9, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de I Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

157	category of motives that arise from the feelings experienced while performing a given behavior.
158	According to this perspective, concerns about falling can reflect a more intense emotion, such as
159	fear or anxiety, that would drive one to disengage from physical activity experiences that
160	previously have been associated with negative emotions. This perspective is also consistent with
161	the model of fear of falling, falls efficacy and anxiety [9], and the fear-avoidance model of
162	falling and functional disability [11]. One important implication of this perspective is that the
163	influence of concerns about falling on physical activity can be highly contextual. If past
164	experiences of physical activity have been unpleasant because one fell while doing an activity –
165	leading one to experience pain, an injury, or a loss of independence – concerns about falling can
166	prompt people to either avoid all kinds of physical activities, the specific activity associated with
167	the fall, or the performance of physical activity in the specific context in which the fall occurred
168	(e.g., avoiding the performance of physical activity under poor weather conditions, such as
169	walking on an icy sidewalks).
170	In summary, there is theoretical evidence in support of moderation, whereby the size or
171	sign of the association between concerns about falling and physical activity depend on another
172	factor, called a moderator variable. These potential moderators are specified in Table 1 below.
173	
174	
175	
176	
177	
178	
179	
	0

#### Table 1. Hypothesized moderators of the of the relationship between concerns about falling and

#### physical activity behavior

8 9		Moderator	Theoretical framework	Sign or
10 11			Theoretical construct linked to the	size of
12			concept of concerns about falling	effect <sup>1</sup>
13 14		Beliefs about consequences	Social cognitive framework	Positive
15 16		i.e., the belief that physical activity is an	Threat appraisal	
17 18		effective strategy for preventing falls		
19		Beliefs about capabilities	Social cognitive framework	Positive
20 21		i.e., the belief that one can organize,	Threat appraisal	
22 23		execute, and engage in physical activity		
24		despite the presence of barriers		
25 26		Beliefs about consequences	Affect and health behavior framework	Positive
27 28		i.e, the belief that physical activity will	Incidental affect	
29 30		help relieve concerns about falling		
31		Beliefs about consequences	Affect and health behavior framework	Negative
32 33		i.e., the belief that avoiding physical	Incidental affect	(stronger)
34 35		activity will help relieve concerns about		
36 37		falling and protect from future falls		
38		History of falls within context	Affect and health behavior framework	Negative
39 40		i.e., the experience of a fall while doing	Affectively charged motives	(stronger)
41 42		physical activity and the context in		
43		which that fall occurred		
44 45	182	<sup>1</sup> The association between concerns about fa	alling and physical activity behavior is ty	pically
46 47 48	183	assumed to be negative.		
49 50	184			
51 52 53	185			
55 55	186			
56 57				
58 59				(
60		For peer review only - http://bn	njopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

### Previous Knowledge Syntheses

188	Findings from prior knowledge syntheses provide converging evidence in support of a
189	negative association between concerns about falling and physical activity behavior [2,3,5,7,19–
190	21]. Ramsey et al. [2] calculated the median Pearson correlation coefficient quantifying the
191	strength of the association between fear of falling and daily steps ( $r = -0.21$ ) and daily minutes of
192	moderate-to-vigorous physical activity ( $r = -0.24$ ) among older adults ( $\geq 60$ years). Using
193	benchmarking methods outlined by Wright et al. [22], these estimates indicate that older adults
194	who are fearful of falling would typically take 321 fewer steps per day (or 2247 steps/week) and
195	spent 12.6 minutes less in moderate-to-vigorous intensity physical activity per day (or 88.2
196	minutes/week) compared to those who are not fearful.
197	Despite evidence that the size or sign of the association between concerns about falling
198	and physical activity behavior can depend on another factor, most prior knowledge syntheses
199	merely assumed that concerns about falling uniformly influence that behavior across populations
200	and contexts. There are few notable exceptions, however. First, Beart et al. [7] hypothesized that
201	the negative influence of concerns about falling on physical activity might be stronger as people

**BMJ** Open

Parkinson's disease-specific symptoms and disabilities could moderate the impact of concerns about falling on physical activity behavior such that the negative influence of concerns about falling on physical activity would be stronger when people have increased walking difficulties, hyperkinesia, rigidity, freezing of gait, or impaired balance. Lastly, both systematic reviews of the qualitative literature by Franco et al. [5] and Meridith et al. [3] concluded that for many older adults ( $\geq$  60 years), the influence of concerns about falling on physical activity participation was negative but depended on one's prior falls history or context. A history of falls could strengthen

age, especially among people aged 80 years and over. Second, Rider et al. [8] hypothesized that

Page 11 of 32

#### **BMJ** Open

210	the negative influence of concerns about falling on physical activity [5], whereas concerns about
211	falling could promote engagement in certain types of exercise when performed with the overall
212	goal of improving physical functioning or reducing risk of future falls [3].
213	Moreover, most prior knowledge syntheses only included studies that sampled older
214	adults ( $\geq$ 60 years; [2–5,7,23,24]. Although insightful, the generalizability of their findings to
215	other populations for which concerns about falling and fall prevention and management have
216	important clinical implications cannot be inferred. Notably, one narrative knowledge synthesis
217	[21] concluded that there is a "probable negative association" between fear of falling and
218	physical activity behavior among people who had a transient ischemic attack or stroke (Table 1;
219	p. 62). Rider et al. [8] performed a scoping review of studies examining the association between
220	fear of falling and activity avoidance among people with Parkinson's disease and reported that
221	concerns about falling "emerged with a strong association with avoidance behavior" (p. 12).
222	Based on the findings from two studies, Streber et al. [20] performed a systematic review of
223	factors related to physical activity in persons with multiple sclerosis and concluded that falls-
224	related efficacy (higher levels of falls-related efficacy reflects lower levels of concerns about
225	falling) was "consistently positively associated with physical activity [in persons with multiple
226	sclerosis] but was less frequently examined" (Table 3, p. 639).
227	Finally, although some knowledge syntheses specifically focused on the concept of
<b>22</b> 0	

physical activity [2,3,19–21], others were based on a broad conceptualization of the concepts
"activity restriction," "activity avoidance", or "activity level" [4,8,23,24]. These concepts
typically encompass a wide range of activity-related outcomes such as engagement in activities
of daily living, motor skills or mobility assessments, engagement in social activities, church

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2023-083234 on 20 December 2024. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 9, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES)

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

#### **BMJ** Open

attendance, and physical activity. As a result, it is difficult to delineate the findings that pertainspecifically to physical activity from other activities or behaviors.

#### 234 Scoping Review Objectives

We propose to conduct a scoping review to map the research pertaining to the association between concerns about falling and physical activity behavior in adult populations, with an eye on the availability of empirical evidence of moderation. A preliminary search for existing scoping review reporting on evidence of moderation for the association between concerns about falling and physical activity behavior in adult populations ( $\geq 18$  years) revealed that none exist [date of the search: May 11, 2023; electronic database searched: CINAHL (EBSCO interface). EMBASE (Elsevier interface), PubMed, PsycINFO (EBSCO interface), and SPORTDiscus (EBSCO interface)]. The main research questions that framed the proposed scoping review are: 1- What literature exists on evidence of moderation of the association between concerns about falling and physical activity in adult populations (specifically, how often studies have empirically examined moderators of this association)? 2- What range of evidence there is, with respect to research methodology and study design used, from empirical studies that have examined or reported on at least one moderator of the association between concerns about falling and physical activity in adult populations? 3- Which factors have been identified as a moderator of the association between concerns 

about falling and physical activity in adult populations?

#### METHODS AND ANALYSIS

This scoping review protocol was developed following guidance of the scoping review framework proposed by the JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis [25,26]. The reporting of this scoping review protocol follows the reporting guidelines for scoping review protocols [25], and

1	
2 3 4	
3	2
4 5	
6	2
7	
8	2
9	
10	2
11	
12	2
13 1/	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	2
16	
16 17 18 19	2
18	_
19	2
20	-
21 22	2
22	2
25 24	2
25	2
26	~
20 27 28	4
28	2
29	4
30 31 32 33 34 35	2
31 22	4
2∠ 33	~
34	4
35	~
36	4
37 38	_
38	2
39 40	
40 41	2
42	
43	2
44	
45	2
46	
47	2
48 49	
49 50	2
51	
52	2
53	
54	2
55	
56	
57	
58 59	
55	

60

is consistent with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis
Protocols (PRISMA-P; [27]). An adapted version of the PRISMA-ScR checklist based on the
reporting guidelines for scoping review protocols [25] is provided in online supplementary
appendix 1.

We will conduct the proposed scoping review in accordance with the scoping review framework outlined by the JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis [26,28]. The reporting of the proposed scoping review will follow the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR; [29]). Any deviations to the protocol, along with their respective justification, will be reported in the final scoping review report.

265 Eligibility Criteria

266 Participants

267 Studies that report on samples with a mean age ≥ 18 years will be included for review.
268 Studies that have drawn on samples of either recreational or professional athletes, and people
269 who were unable to engage in physical activity will be excluded.

270 Concepts

271 Several facets closely related to the concept of concerns about falling were examined in 272 prior research [6], including fear of falling and falls efficacy [9,11,15]. Fear of falling refers to a 273 lasting concern about falling (e.g., feeling more anxious, fearful, or worried), whereas falls 274 efficacy refers to the perceived capability in keeping balance or in preventing falls while 275 performing various activities of daily living (e.g., going up or down stairs, walking up or down a 276 slope). While concerns about falling may arise because of a fall, such concerns can also exist 277 without a prior history of falling. Although there exists a variety of terms related to the dscription

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2023-083234 on 20 December 2024. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 9, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

#### **BMJ** Open

of the psychological (anticipated or actual) effects of a fall, the 2022 World Falls Guidelines for
falls prevention and management for older adults recommend the use of the term concerns about
falling [1].

Physical activity is an umbrella term used to describe any human movement produced by the contraction of skeletal muscles that raises energy expenditure above resting metabolic rate (i.e., 1 Metabolic Equivalent of Task; MET [30]. Four main domains or types of activity have been identified: leisure-time physical activity, work- or school-related activity; household, domestic, or self-care activities; and activity for transport from place to place [31]. In addition to frequency and type of activity, physical activity behavior is also characterized by its duration (e.g., minutes/week), intensity (e.g., light, moderate, and vigorous), and mode (e.g., aerobic, muscle strengthening, and bone strengthening activities). The concept of physical activity is inclusive of the concepts of exercise and sport. Exercise refers to physical activity that is planned, structured, and repetitive for the purpose of enhancing or maintaining physical fitness and health [30]. Sport refers to physical activity that is rule governed, structured, competitive, and involves gross motor movement characterized by physical strategy, prowess, and chance [32]. However, the concept of physical activity does not encompass the concept of sedentary behavior [31]. Sedentary behaviors are defined as any waking behaviors characterized by an energy expenditure  $\leq 1.5$  METs, while in a sitting, reclining, or lying posture [33].

296 Types of evidence sources

The proposed scoping review will draw upon data from studies that have used a qualitative, quantitative, or mixed methodological approach. Irrespective of the methodological approach, we will include studies that have used cross-sectional, longitudinal, quasi-

300 experimental and experimental design. Knowledge synthesis of any types, conference abstracts,

commentaries, editorials, study protocols, thesis and dissertation, books and book chapters and case studies will be excluded. 

Search Strategy 

The search strategy was developed was developed by the review team, which includes a database expert and health sciences information specialist. The health sciences information specialist and database expert implemented and executed an initial electronic databases (coverage period) search strategy for CINAHL (EBSCO interface; 1976 – present), EMBASE (Elsevier interface; 1947 – present), PsycINFO (EBSCO interface; 1887 – present), PubMed (1946 – present), and SPORTDiscus (EBSCO interface; 1930 – present). For all databases, search terms taping on both the concept of concerns about falling and physical activity were used. Because the population of interest for this scoping review is the adult populations ( $\geq 18$ years), no age filters were used in any of the databases. Additionally, we used database-specific Index or Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms when available. The free text search terms remained constant across all databases, searching across title, abstract, and when available, keyword fields. We updated the database-specific terms for each database, where available, but used the same key concepts across all the databases. We used filters for resource types (i.e., Academic Journals) in two of the EBSCO databases (SPORTDiscus and PsycINFO) because of the indexing of periodicals in EBSCO. We will not use this option for CINAHL because the filter was experiencing technical difficulties when pilot-test searches were run. No date or language filters were used. Full details of an example electronic search for PubMed are presented in Table 2. 

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2023-083234 on 20 December 2024. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 9, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

	"Fear"[MeSH Terms] OR "Avoidance Learning"[MeSH Terms] OR "Self Efficacy"[MeSH						
	Terms]						
2.	"fear"[Title/Abstract] OR "fears"[Title/Abstract] OR "concern"[Title/Abstract] OR						
	"concerns"[Title/Abstract] OR "confidence"[Title/Abstract] OR "accidental"[Title/Abstract]						
	OR "efficacy"[Title/Abstract] OR "beliefs"[Title/Abstract] OR "avoidance"[Title/Abstract]						
	OR "confident"[Title/Abstract] OR "threat"[Title/Abstract] OR "threats"[Title/Abstract] O						
	"afraid"[Title/Abstract] OR "worry"[Title/Abstract] OR "worries"[Title/Abstract] OR						
	"worried"[Title/Abstract] OR "fearful"[Title/Abstract] OR "frightened"[Title/Abstract] OR						
	"concerned"[Title/Abstract] OR "post fall syndrome"[Title/Abstract] OR						
	"ptophobia"[Title/Abstract] OR "scared"[Title/Abstract]						
3.	#1 OR #2						
4.	"Accidental Falls"[Mesh]						
5.	"falling"[Title/Abstract] OR "falls"[Title/Abstract] OR "fall"[Title/Abstract] OR						
	"balance"[Title/Abstract]						
6.	#4 OR #5						
7.	"Activities of Daily Living"[MeSH Terms] OR "Exercise"[MeSH Terms] OR						
	"Walking"[MeSH Terms] OR "Sports"[MeSH Terms]						
8.	"exercise"[Title/Abstract] OR "physical activity"[Title/Abstract] OR "physical						
	fitness"[Title/Abstract] OR "step count"[Title/Abstract] OR "walking"[Title/Abstract] OR						
	"mobility"[Title/Abstract]						
9.	"Activity"[Title/Abstract] OR "activities"[Title/Abstract]						
10	"Daily living"[Title/Abstract] OR "level"[Title/Abstract] OR "levels"[Title/Abstract] OR						
	"avoidance"[Title/Abstract] OR "intensity"[Title/Abstract] OR "restricted"[Title/Abstract]						
11.	#9 AND #10						
12.	#7 OR #8 OR #11						
13	#3 AND #6 AND #12						

2		
3 4	328	5,7,8,19–21,23,24]. Lastly, we will perform a citing reference search for all included studies
5 6	329	using Web of Science. The results of the search will be reported in a PRISMA-ScR flow-chart
7 8 9	330	[29].
) 10 11	331	Studies written in any language will be included. Title and abstract of any potential
12 13	332	studies that are reported in a language other than English will be initially translated using Google
14 15 16	333	Translate. The full text will be translated by a qualified translator if it meets the inclusion criteria
16 17 18	334	at that stage. It is worth noting that at least one member of the review team has high proficiency
19 20	335	in English, French, and Korean. We will place no constraints on the publishing year of the
21 22	336	studies. We will use Covidence, a web-based software platform, to manage the retrieved citation
23 24 25	337	records (Covidence systematic review software, Veritas Health Innovation, Melbourne,
25 26 27	338	Australia).
28 29	339	Source of Evidence Selection
30 31	340	We ran an initial electronic databases search on May 23, 2022, which yield a total of
32 33 34	341	24,359 records ( $k$ ). We will update the electronic databases search before formally implementing
35 36	342	our final, complete search strategy. We will not use any automation tools for study selection.
37 38	343	Screening of Titles and Abstracts
39 40 41	344	Given the high number of identified records through our initial electronic citation search
42 43	345	(k = 24,359) and the related time and cost constraints associated with their screening, we
44 45	346	assembled a team of six reviewers that will single-screen titles and abstracts using an over-
46 47 48	347	inclusive approach. Specifically, a given record will be retained and considered for full-text
48 49 50	348	examination if there is insufficient information to conclude with certainty its exclusion. The
51 52	349	specific exclusion criteria considered at this stage were: conference abstract, review article, case
53 54	350	report/series, not human research, ineligible age group (< 18 years), not reporting on either the
55 56 57		
57 58 59		17

concept of physical activity or the concept of concern about falling. We used a two-step process for pilot-testing the titles and abstracts screening procedure. First, a random sample of 100 records from our initial search were selected and independently screened for eligibility by two review members. The decisions were compared, and discrepancies among the two reviewers were resolved by discussion. At the end of this first step, the reviewers disagreed on only two studies, and both reviewers agreed to exclude both after discussion. Second, another random sample of 100 records was selected and independently screened for eligibility by four reviewers. Then one of the reviewers involved in the first step described above met with the four reviewers to provide training and discuss screening decisions. Upon pilot-testing the process of screening titles and abstract and given the number or records to screen, the review team decided that all titles and abstracts would be screened by a single reviewer, one the six involved in pilot-testing titles and abstracts screening procedure. The single screening of the titles and abstracts can yield high sensitivity (98% - 100%) when certain exclusion criteria are used – such as conference abstract, review article, case report/series, not human research, ineligible age group (< 18 years) [34] – and is acceptable at this stage of the selection process [34,35]. **Full-Text Examination** 

We will retrieve the full text of the records selected for inclusion at the titles and abstracts screening stage. Two reviewers will independently assess the eligibility of each article. Multiple articles reporting on the same study and written by the same authors group will be gathered and scrutinized to ensure that only unique study, rather than each article or duplication study, represents the unit of interest in the scoping review. When necessary for the making of a selection decision, we will contact the authors of the articles for unpublished information. The

373 decisions to include an article will be compared between the two reviewers, and discrepancies

between reviewers will be resolved by discussion. When no consensus can be reached, a third reviewer will help resolve the discrepancy. Moreover, any relevant retraction statements and errata for information for each included article will be examined to exclude data from studies that are fraudulent or studies that include errors. We will report reasons for exclusion of full-text articles that do not meet the eligibility criteria in a supplementary document in the scoping review.

380 Data extraction

Prior to data extraction, two reviewers will independently pilot-test a purpose-built data extraction sheet with three randomly selected records. An initial version of the purpose-built data extraction sheet is provided in online supplementary appendix 2. Reviewers will collect information about the characteristics of the study (i.e., authors, year of publication, origin/country of origin, aims/purposes), population and sample (i.e., sample size, mean age and range, percentage of women/female, race/ethnicity characteristics, health status, and settings -e.g., community, nursing home, medical facilities), the concept of concerns about falling (e.g., measurement instrument used and facet measured), and the concept of physical activity (e.g., measurement instrument used and facet measured). Reviewers will extract relevant information regarding all types of physical activity – all physical activity measurement metrics (e.g., frequency, duration, volume, number of steps, arbitrary activity units) will be considered, as well as the measurement by means of device-based (e.g., accelerometer) and self-report (e.g., questionnaires) instruments. For each study included, we will collect findings pertaining to evidence of moderation. Specifically, we will identify if a moderating factor was investigated (yes/no), specify the theoretical approach underlying the investigation (if any), and

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2023-083234 on 20 December 2024. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 9, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

methodological approaches for investigating moderator effect (e.g., qualitative, quantitative, or
mixed methods), the results pertaining to moderation, and the main conclusion of the study.

It is expected that the data extraction sheet will be refined and revised following the pilot-testing of the data extraction process. After pilot-testing of the data extraction sheet, we will hold a meeting with all scoping review authors to discuss all aspect of the revised version of the data extraction sheet and agree on its final version. Then, two reviewers will independently extract data from all included studies, compare results, and resolve any discrepancies through discussion. We will contact the study authors for clarification on unreported data item. When no consensus on reported data items can be reached, we will contact the study authors to help resolve the discrepancy. We will hold bi-weekly meetings throughout the data extraction process to discuss progress and monitor whether the data extraction sheet is capturing all the essential information to properly answer the research questions.

**D**a

#### Data analysis and presentation of results

409 Primary study and sample characteristics will be reported for descriptive purposes in a
410 summary table. We plan to use iconography to display the different types and number of samples
411 drawn by the included studies. Moreover, we plan on using a waffle chart to illustrate the type of
412 research methodology used within the included studies.

We will analyze the data descriptively, and report frequency count and percentage of studies investigating and reporting on evidence of moderation. Further, data will be charted, categorized, and summarized by mapping the data pertaining to the evidence for and against moderation to each of the hypothesized moderating factors outlined in Table 1. This will be applied to all studies, irrespective of the methodological approach or study design. Prior to mapping the evidence of moderation, the review authors will familiarize themselves with the Page 21 of 32

59

60

1 2		
2 3 4	419	data by reading and understanding all the included studies for review and understanding the
5 6	420	relevance of the data in relation to the main scoping review question. Result of this qualitative
7 8	421	synthesis will be presented using a tree graph to display the moderating factor(s) investigated by
9 10 11	422	the included studies, and a ratio showing the number of time evidence for moderation was
12 13	423	reported by the total number of investigations.
14 15	424	We will perform a narrative synthesis of the findings to highlight similarities and
16 17 18	425	differences both within and across studies by examining the convergence and divergence in
18 19 20	426	findings across qualitative and quantitative methodological approach, the concepts of concerns
21 22	427	about falling and physical activity behavior, and sample characteristics.
23 24	428	ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION PLAN
25 26 27	429	The Information withheld for peer-review] University Human Research
27 28 29	430	Protection Program determined that the proposed scoping review does not qualify as Human
30 31	431	Subjects Research under federal human subjects research regulations (IRB-2023-1656).
32 33 34	432	The results of this proposed scoping review will be disseminated through various means.
35 36	433	First, will disseminate the study findings through a peer-reviewed scientific journal and
37 38 39 40	434	presentation at a scientific conference. Second, will disseminate the findings in the form of a 1-
	435	page summary, in plain language, via written briefs or e-newsletters to Extension Program
41 42 43	436	leaders, part of a nationwide Cooperative Extension network. We also plan to present posters or
44 45	437	e-posters at the national Health Extension annual conference. Extension Program and community
46 47	438	leaders attend training sessions during this conference. They represent an important group of
48 49 50	439	end-users as many of them deliver to members of their community evidence-based programs to
51 52	440	reduce concerns about falling and the promote of physical activity (e.g., A Matter of Balance,
53 54	441	Stepping On, Fit & Strong!) with the overall objective of preventing and managing falls.
55 56 57		
57 58		21

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2023-083234 on 20 December 2024. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 9, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de I Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

1 ว		
2 3 4	442	COMPETING INTERESTS
5 6	443	The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal
7 8 9	444	relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this article.
10 11	445	AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS
12 13	446	All listed authors have contributed and will continue to contribute meaningfully to the
14 15 16	447	conduct and reporting of proposed scoping review.
17 18	448	review [Information withheld for peer-review]. developed the search strategy,
19 20	449	and [Information withheld for peer-review] ran the initial electronic databases.
21 22 23	450	[Information withheld for peer-review], are the six title
23 24 25	451	and abstract reviewers. [Information withheld for peer-review] is an Extension Specialist,
26 27	452	with experience in delivering programs to reduce concerns about falling and promote physical
28 29	453	activity to midlife and older adults, who will provide insights on the usefulness of the scoping
30 31 32	454	review findings to practitioners. In addition, [Information withheld for peer-review] will
33 34	455	help identify language barriers in the reporting of the scoping review results. All authors read the
35 36	456	final protocol manuscript and revised it for content; all approved the final version.
37 38	457	FUNDING STATEMENT
39 40 41	458	This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public,
42 43	459	commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.
44 45	460	
46 47 48		
48 49		
50 51		
52 53		
54 55		
56		
57 58		22
59 60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

1 2			
2 3 4	461		REFERENCES
5 6	462	1.	Montero-Odasso M, Van Der Velde N, Martin FC, Petrovic M, Tan MP, Ryg J, et al.
7 8 9	463		World guidelines for falls prevention and management for older adults: A global initiative.
9 10 11	464		Age Ageing. 2022;51:1-36. doi: 10.1093/ageing/afac205
12 13	465	2.	Ramsey KA, Zhou W, Rojer AGM, Reijnierse EM, Maier AB. Associations of objectively
14 15	466		measured physical activity and sedentary behaviour with fall-related outcomes in older
16 17 18	467		adults: A systematic review. Ann Phys Rehabil Med. 2022;65. doi:
19 20	468		10.1016/j.rehab.2021.101571
21 22	469	3.	Meredith SJ, Cox NJ, Ibrahim K, Higson J, McNiff J, Mitchell S, et al. Factors that
23 24 25	470		influence older adults' participation in physical activity: A systematic review of
25 26 27	471		qualitative studies. Age Ageing. 2023;52:1–15. doi: 10.1093/ageing/afad145
28 29	472	4.	Schepens S, Sen A, Painter JA, Murphy SL. Relationship between fall-related efficacy and
30 31	473		activity engagement in community-dwelling older adults: A meta-analytic review. Am J
32 33 34	474		Occup Ther. 2012;66:137–48. doi: 10.5014/ajot.2012.001156
35 36	475	5.	Franco MR, Tong A, Howard K, Sherrington C, Ferreira PH, Pinto RZ, et al. Older
37 38	476		people's perspectives on participation in physical activity: A systematic review and
39 40 41	477		thematic synthesis of qualitative literature. Br J Sports Med. 2015;49:1268–76.
41 42 43	478		doi:10.1136/bjsports-2014-094015
44 45	479	6.	Ellmers TJ, Freiberger E, Hauer K, Hogan DB, McGarrigle L, Lim ML, et al. Why should
46 47	480		clinical practitioners ask about their patients' concerns about falling? Age Ageing.
48 49 50	481		2023;52:afad057. doi: 10.1093/ageing/afad057
51 52			
53 54			
55 56 57			
58 59			23
60			For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

1

482	7.	Baert V, Gorus E, Mets T, Geerts C, Bautmans I. Motivators and barriers for physical	
483		activity in the oldest old: A systematic review. Ageing Res Rev. 2011;10(4):464-74. de	oi:
484		10.1016/j.arr.2011.04.001	
485	8.	Rider J V, Longhurst JK, Lekhak N, Young DL, Landers MR. Fear of falling avoidanc	e
486		behavior assessment and intervention in Parkinson's Disease: A scoping review. Res R	lev
487		Parkinsonism. 2022;12:1-17. doi: 10.2147/JPRLS.S350890	
488	9.	Adamczewska N, Nyman SR. A new approach to fear of falls from connections with the	ne
489		posttraumatic stress disorder literature. Gerontol Geriatr Med. 2018;4:23337214187962	238.
490		doi: 10.1177/2333721418796238	
491	10.	Stevens CJ, Baldwin AS, Bryan AD, Conner M, Rhodes RE, Williams DM. Affective	
492		determinants of physical activity: A conceptual framework and narrative review. Front	
493		Psychol. 2020;11:3366. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.568331	
494	11.	Peeters G, Bennett M, Donoghue OA, Kennelly S, Kenny RA. Understanding the	
495		aetiology of fear of falling from the perspective of a fear-avoidance model – A narrativ	'e
496		review. Clin Psychol Rev. 2020;79:101862. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2020.101862	
497	12.	Rosenstock IM. The health belief model and preventive health behavior. Health Educ	
498		Behav. 1977;2:354–86. doi: 10.1177/109019817400200405	
499	13.	Maddux JE, Rogers RW. Protection motivation and self-efficacy: A revised theory of f	ear
500		appeals and attitude change. J Exp Soc Psychol. 1983;19:469-79. doi: 10.1016/0022-	
501		1031(83)90023-9	
502	14.	Leventhal H, Diefenbach M, Leventhal EA, Lowenstein G, Rachman J, Rodin J, et al.	
503		Illness Cognition: Using common sense to understand treatment adherence and affect	
504		cognition interactions. Cognit Ther Res. 1992;16:143-63. doi: 10.1007/BF01173486	
			24
	<ul> <li>483</li> <li>484</li> <li>485</li> <li>486</li> <li>487</li> <li>488</li> <li>489</li> <li>490</li> <li>491</li> <li>492</li> <li>493</li> <li>494</li> <li>495</li> <li>496</li> <li>497</li> <li>498</li> <li>499</li> <li>500</li> <li>501</li> <li>502</li> <li>503</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>483</li> <li>484</li> <li>485</li> <li>8.</li> <li>486</li> <li>487</li> <li>487</li> <li>488</li> <li>9.</li> <li>489</li> <li>490</li> <li>490</li> <li>491</li> <li>10.</li> <li>492</li> <li>493</li> <li>494</li> <li>11.</li> <li>495</li> <li>496</li> <li>497</li> <li>12.</li> <li>498</li> <li>499</li> <li>13.</li> <li>500</li> <li>501</li> <li>502</li> <li>14.</li> <li>503</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>activity in the oldest old: A systematic review. Ageing Res Rev. 2011;10(4):464–74. d</li> <li>10.1016/j.arr.2011.04.001</li> <li>8. Rider J V, Longhurst JK, Lekhak N, Young DL, Landers MR. Fear of falling avoidanc</li> <li>behavior assessment and intervention in Parkinson's Disease: A scoping review. Res R</li> <li>Parkinsonism. 2022;12:1–17. doi: 10.2147/JPRLS.S350890</li> <li>9. Adamezewska N, Nyman SR. A new approach to fear of falls from connections with th</li> <li>posttraumatic stress disorder literature. Gerontol Geriatr Med. 2018;4:23337214187962</li> <li>doi: 10.1177/2333721418796238</li> <li>10. Stevens CJ, Baldwin AS, Bryan AD, Conner M, Rhodes RE, Williams DM. Affective</li> <li>determinants of physical activity: A conceptual framework and narrative review. Front</li> <li>Psychol. 2020;11:3366. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.568331</li> <li>11. Peeters G, Bennett M, Donoghue OA, Kennelly S, Kenny RA. Understanding the</li> <li>aetiology of fear of falling from the perspective of a fear-avoidance model – A narrativ</li> <li>review. Clin Psychol Rev. 2020;79:101862. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2020.101862</li> <li>12. Rosenstock IM. The health belief model and preventive health behavior. Health Educ</li> <li>Behav. 1977;2:354–86. doi: 10.1177/109019817400200405</li> <li>13. Maddux JE, Rogers RW. Protection motivation and self-efficacy: A revised theory of f</li> <li>appeals and attitude change. J Exp Soc Psychol. 1983;19:469–79. doi: 10.1016/0022-</li> <li>1031(83)90023-9</li> <li>14. Leventhal H, Diefenbach M, Leventhal EA, Lowenstein G, Rachman J, Rodin J, et al.</li> <li>Illness Cognition: Using common sense to understand treatment adherence and affect</li> </ul>

Page 25 of 32

60

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.	BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2023-083234 on 20 December 2024. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 9, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l
---	--

1 2				
3 4	505	15.	Hadjistavropoulos T, Delbaere K, Fitzgerald TD. Reconceptualizing the role of fear of	
5 6 7	506		falling and balance confidence in fall risk. 2010;23:3-23. doi: 101177/0898264310378	039
7 8 9	507	16.	Kaushal N, Preissner C, Charles K, Knäuper B. Differences and similarities of physical	I
10 11	508		activity determinants between older adults who have and have not experienced a fall:	
12 13	509		Testing an extended health belief model. Arch Gerontol Geriatr. 2021; 92:104247. doi:	
14 15 16	510		10.1016/j.archger.2020.104247	
17 18	511	17.	Preissner CE, Kaushal N, Charles K, Knäuper B. A protection motivation theory appro	ach
19 20	512		to understanding how fear of falling affects physical activity determinants in older adul	ts.
21 22	513		J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci. 2023;78:30-39. doi: 10.1093/geronb/gbac105.	
23 24 25	514	18.	Ellmers TJ, Wilson MR, Norris M, Young WR. Protective or harmful? A qualitative	
26 27	515		exploration of older people's perceptions of worries about falling. Age Ageing.	
28 29	516		2022;51:1-10. doi: 10.1093/ageing/afac067	
30 31 32	517	19.	Hamer O, Larkin D, Relph N, Dey P. Fear-related barriers to physical activity among	
33 34	518		adults with overweight and obesity: A narrative synthesis scoping review. Obes Rev.	
35 36	519		2021;22:e13307. doi: 10.1111/obr.13307.	
37 38 39	520	20.	Streber R, Peters S, Pfeifer K. Systematic review of correlates and determinants of	
40 41	521		physical activity in persons with Multiple Sclerosis. Arch Phys Med Rehabil.	
42 43	522		2016;97:633-645.e29. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2015.11.020.	
44 45	523	21.	Prior PL, Suskin N. Exercise for stroke prevention. Stroke Vasc Neurol. 2018;3:59-68.	
46 47 48	524		doi: 10.1136/svn-2018-000155.	
49 50	525	22.	Wright CE, Rhodes RE, Ruggiero EW, Sheeran P. Benchmarking the effectiveness of	
51 52	526		interventions to promote physical activity: A metasynthesis. Health Psychol.	
53 54	527		2021;40:811-21. doi: 10.1037/hea0001118.	
55 56 57				
58 59				25

1 2			
3 4	528	23.	Hughes CC, Kneebone II, Jones F, Brady B, Pachana NA, Oude Voshaar RC. A
5 6	529		theoretical and empirical review of psychological factors associated with falls-related
7 8	530		psychological concerns in community-dwelling older people. Int Psychogeriatr.
9 10 11	531		2015;27:1071-87. doi: 10.1017/S1041610214002701.
12 13	532	24.	Scheffer AC, Schuurmans MJ, Van dijk N, Van der hooft T, De rooij SE. Fear of falling:
14 15	533		Measurement strategy, prevalence, risk factors and consequences among older persons.
16 17 18	534		Age Ageing. 2008;37:19-24. doi: 10.1093/ageing/afm169.
19 20	535	25.	Peters MDJ, Godfrey C, McInerney P, Khalil H, Larsen P, Marnie C, et al. Best practice
21 22	536		guidance and reporting items for the development of scoping review protocols. JBI Evid
23 24 25	537		Synth. 2022;20(4):953-68. doi: 10.11124/JBIES-21-00242.
25 26 27	538	26.	Peters MDJ, Godfrey C., McInerney P., Munn Z., Tricco A., Khalil H. Chapter 11:
28 29	539		Scoping Reviews. In: Aromataris E., Munn Z., editors. JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis
30 31	540		[internet]. Adelaide; 2020.
32 33 34	541	27.	Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, et al. Preferred
35 36	542		reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015
37 38	543		statement. Syst Rev. 2015;4:1. doi:10.1186/2046-4053-4-1
39 40 41	544	28.	Pollock D, Peters MDJ, Khalil H, McInerney P, Alexander L, Tricco AC, et al.
42 43	545		Recommendations for the extraction, analysis, and presentation of results in scoping
44 45	546		reviews. JBI Evid Synth. 2023;21:520-32. doi: 10.11124/JBIES-22-00123.
46 47	547	29.	Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, et al. PRISMA
48 49 50	548		extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and explanation. Ann Intern
51 52	549		Med. 2018;169:467–73. doi: 10.7326/M18-0850.
53 54			
55 56			

57 58

59

30.

31.

32.

33.

#### **BMJ** Open

Caspersen CJ, Powell KE, Christenson GM. Physical activity, exercise and physical	
fitness: Definitions and distinctions for health-related research. Public Health Rep.	
1985;100(2):126–31.	
Pettee Gabriel KK, Morrow JR, Woolsey ALT. Framework for Physical Activity as a	
Complex and Multidimensional Behavior. J Phys Act Health. 2012;9:S11-8. doi:	
10.1123/jpah.9.s1.s11.	
Rejeski WJ, Brawley LR. Defining the Boundaries of Sport Psychology. Sport Psychol.	
1988;2(3):231-42. doi: 10.1123/tsp.2.3.231	
Tremblay M. Letter to the editor: standardized use of the terms "sedentary" and	
"sedentary behaviours." Appl Physiol Nutr Metab. 2012;37:540–2. doi: 10.1139/h2012-	-
024.	
Nama N, Hennawy M, Barrowman N, O'Hearn K, Sampson M, McNally JD. Successfu	ıl
incorporation of single reviewer assessments during systematic review screening:	
Development and validation of sensitivity and work-saved of an algorithm that consider	rs
exclusion criteria and count. Syst Rev. 2021;10:1-10. doi: 10.1186/s13643-021-01632-	6.
Lefebvre C, Glanville J, Briscoe S, Featherstone R, Littlewood A, Metzendorf M-I, et a	1.
Chapter 4: Searching for and selecting studies. In: Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J,	
Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, Welch VA (editors). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic	
Reviews of Interventions version 6.4 (updated October 2023). Cochrane, 2023. Availab	le
from www.training.cochrane.org/handbook.	
	27

del

BM

"sedentary behaviours." Appl Physiol Nutr Metab. 2012;37:540-2. doi: 10.1 024. 34. Nama N, Hennawy M, Barrowman N, O'Hearn K, Sampson M, McNally JD incorporation of single reviewer assessments during systematic review screet Development and validation of sensitivity and work-saved of an algorithm th exclusion criteria and count. Syst Rev. 2021;10:1-10. doi: 10.1186/s13643-0 35. Lefebvre C, Glanville J, Briscoe S, Featherstone R, Littlewood A, Metzendor Chapter 4: Searching for and selecting studies. In: Higgins JPT, Thomas J, C Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, Welch VA (editors). Cochrane Handbook for S Reviews of Interventions version 6.4 (updated October 2023). Cochrane, 202 from www.training.cochrane.org/handbook. 

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2023-083234 on 20 December 2024. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 9, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de I Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Online Supplementary File 1: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) Checklist adapted for scoping review protocol<sup>1</sup>

SECTION	ITEM	PRISMA-ScR CHECKLIST ITEM	REPORTED ON PAGE #
TITLE			
Title	1	Identify the report as a scoping review.	1
ABSTRACT			
Structured summary	2	Provide a structured summary that includes (as applicable): background, objectives, eligibility criteria, sources of evidence, charting methods, results, and conclusions that relate to the review questions and objectives.	2
INTRODUCTION	N		
Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known. Explain why the review questions/objectives lend themselves to a scoping review approach.	5-12
Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of the questions and objectives being addressed with reference to their key elements (e.g., population or participants, concepts, and context) or other relevant key elements used to conceptualize the review questions and/or objectives.	12
METHODS			
Protocol and registration	5	Indicate whether a review protocol exists; state if and where it can be accessed (e.g., a Web address); and if available, provide registration information, including the registration number.	Non applicable
Eligibility criteria	6	Specify characteristics of the sources of evidence used as eligibility criteria (e.g., years considered, language, and publication status), and provide a rationale.	13-15;17

SECTION	ITEM	PRISMA-ScR CHECKLIST ITEM	REPORTED ON PAGE #
Information sources <sup>1</sup>	7	Describe all information sources in the search (e.g., databases with dates of coverage and contact with authors to identify additional sources), as well as the date the most recent search was executed.	15-16
Search	8	Present the full electronic search strategy for at least one database, including any limits used, such that it could be repeated.	15-16
Selection of sources of evidence <sup>2</sup>	9	State the process for selecting sources of evidence (i.e., screening and eligibility) included in the scoping review.	17-19
Data charting process <sup>3</sup>	10	Describe the methods of charting data from the included sources of evidence (e.g., calibrated forms or forms that have been tested by the team before their use, and whether data charting was done independently or in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators.	19-20; Appendix 2
Data items	11	List and define all variables for which data were sought and any assumptions and simplifications made.	19-20; Appendix 2
Critical appraisal of individual sources of evidence <sup>4</sup>	12	If done, provide a rationale for conducting a critical appraisal of included sources of evidence; describe the methods used and how this information was used in any data synthesis (if appropriate).	Not applicable
Synthesis of results	13	Describe the methods of handling and summarizing the data that were charted.	20-21
FUNDING			
Funding	22	Describe sources of funding for the included sources of evidence, as well as sources of funding for the scoping review. Describe the role of the funders of the scoping review.	22

JBI = Joanna Briggs Institute; PRISMA-ScR = Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews.

<sup>1</sup>Where *sources of evidence* (see second footnote) are compiled from, such as bibliographic databases, social media platforms, and Web sites.

<sup>2</sup>A more inclusive/heterogeneous term used to account for the different types of evidence or data sources (e.g., quantitative and/or qualitative research, expert opinion, and policy documents) that may be eligible in a scoping review as opposed to only studies. This is not to be confused with *information sources* (see first footnote). <sup>3</sup>The frameworks by Arkeev and O'Malley [1] and Layse et al. [2] and the JBL suidence [2] 5] refer to the arease

<sup>3</sup>The frameworks by Arksey and O'Malley [1] and Levac et al. [2] and the JBI guidance [3-5] refer to the process of data extraction in a scoping review as data charting.

<sup>4</sup>The process of systematically examining research evidence to assess its validity, results, and relevance before using it to inform a decision. This term is used for items 12 instead of "risk of bias" (which is more applicable to systematic reviews of interventions) to include and acknowledge the various sources of evidence that may be used in a scoping review (e.g., quantitative and/or qualitative research, expert opinion, and policy document).

<sup>1</sup>Adapted from Tricco et al. [6].

#### REFERENCES

- Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: Towards a methodological framework. Int. J. Soc. Res. Methodol. 2005;8:19–32. doi: 10.1080/1364557032000119616
- Levac D, Colquhoun H, O'Brien KK. Scoping studies: Advancing the methodology. Implement Sci. 2010;5:1–9. doi: 10.1186/1748-5908-5-69
- 3- Peters MDJ, Godfrey C, McInerney P, Khalil H, Larsen P, Marnie C, et al. Best practice guidance and reporting items for the development of scoping review protocols. JBI Evid Synth. 2022;20:953–68. doi: 10.11124/JBIES-21-00242.
- 4- Peters M., Godfrey C., McInerney P., Munn Z., Tricco A., Khalil H. Chapter 11: Scoping Reviews. In: Aromataris E., Munn Z., editors. JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis. Adelaide; 2020.
- 5- Pollock D, Peters MDJ, Khalil H, McInerney P, Alexander L, Tricco AC, et al. Recommendations for the extraction, analysis, and presentation of results in scoping reviews. JBI Evid Synth. 2023;21:520–32. doi: 10.11124/JBIES-22-00123
- 6- Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, et al. PRISMA extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and explanation. Ann Intern Med. 2018;169:467–73. doi: 10.7326/M18-0850.

	of evidence (Authors, year)
Countr	y
Methoo	<b>lology</b> : qualitative, quantitative, or mixed methods
Study o	lesign: cross-sectional, longitudinal, quasi-experimental, or experimental
Sample	
Age:	Sample mean age and/or age range (years)
Biolo	gical sex: Percentage of female
Race/	ethnicity: Percentage of White
Healt	h status and/or setting
Theory	: Name of the theory (if any)
Concep	ot of concerns about falling
Facet	measured: Fear of falling or fall efficacy
Meas	urement instrument used: Name of the instrument (if any)
Concep	ot of physical activity
Doma	in or type of physical activity measured: Leisure-time physical activity, work- or school-
relate	d activity, household, domestic, or self-care activities, activity for transport from place to place
Chara	cteristics of physical activity: Frequency, Intensity, Mode
Mode	of assessment: Self-report or device-based
Meas	urement instrument used: Name of the instrument (if any)
	ndings pertaining to the relationship between concerns about falling and physical activi

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2023-083234 on 20 December 2024. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 9, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Yes/no

# Moderation investigation: Theoretical rationale for the investigation and hypotheses (if any) Description of how evidence of moderation was examined to mode. Main findings pertaining to moderation

#### Uncovering the boundary conditions of the association between concerns about falling and physical activity in adult populations: A scoping review protocol

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2023-083234.R1
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	30-Sep-2024
Complete List of Authors:	Amireault, Steve; Purdue University, Health & Kinesiology Reed, Jason; Purdue University, Libraries and School of Information Studies Kerschner, Reese; Purdue University, Psychological Sciences Chadwell, Emilie; Purdue University, School of Biomedical Engineering Roh, Heesoo; Purdue University, Health and Kinesiology Jakob, Emily; Purdue University, Health and Kinesiology Muller, Kelsie; Purdue University, Human Development and Family Science
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Public health
Secondary Subject Heading:	Sports and exercise medicine
Keywords:	PUBLIC HEALTH, Systematic Review, Behavior, Stress, Psychological



1			
2 3			
4	1	Uncovering the boundary conditions of the association between concerns about falling and	
5	2	physical activity in adult populations: A scoping review protocol	
6	3		
7	4	Steve Amireault	
8	5	Department of Health & Kinesiology	
9	6	Purdue University	
10	7	West Lafayette, IN 47907	
11	8	USA	
12 13	9		
14	10	Jason Brian Reed	
15	11	Libraries and School of Information Studies	
16	12	Purdue University	
17	13	West Lafayette, IN 47907	
18	14	USA	
19	15		
20	16	Reese Colby Kerschner	
21	17	Department of Psychological Sciences	
22 23	18	Purdue University	
23 24	19	West Lafayette, IN 47907	
25	20	USA	
26		USA	
27	21	Emilia Ann Cha Ing 11	
28	22	Emilie Ann Chadwell	
29	23	School of Biomedical Engineering	
30	24	Purdue University	
31	25	West Lafayette, IN 47907	
32	26	USA	
33 34	27		
35	28	Heesoo Roh	
36	29	Department of Health & Kinesiology	
37	30	Purdue University	
38	31	West Lafayette, IN 47907	
39	32	USA	
40	33	Emily Ryan Jakob	
41	34	Emily Ryan Jakob	
42 43	35	Department of Health & Kinesiology	
45 44	36	Purdue University	
45	37	West Lafayette, IN 47907	
46	38	USA	
47	39		
48	40	Kelsie Jo Muller	
49	41	Department of Human Development and Family Science	
50	42	Purdue University	
51	43	West Lafayette, IN 47907	
52 53	44	USA	
55 54	45		
55	46	Word count: 4223 words	
56	10	Word Count. 7225 Words	
57			
58		1	
59		For noor roughly only better //burger and have a rest for a state of the state of the state of the state of the	
60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

1 2		
3 4	47	Author Note
5 6	48 49	Steve Amireault b http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3372-2555
7 8	50 51	Jason B. Reed
9 10		
11 12	52 53	Correspondence for this article should be addressed to Steve Amireault, Department of Health and Kinesiology, Purdue University, 800 W. Stadium Ave., West Lafayette, IN 47907,
13 14	54	USA. Phone: 765-496-0568. Email: samireau@purdue.edu
15 16		
17 18		
19 20		
21 22		
23 24		
25 26		
27 28 29		
30 31		
32 33		
34 35		
36 37		
38 39		
40 41 42		
42 43 44		
45 46		
47 48		
49 50		
51 52		
53 54		
55 56		
57 58		
59 60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

1 2		
3 4	55	ABSTRACT
5 6	56	Introduction: Despite evidence of variation in how concerns about falling influence physical
7 8 9	57	activity, many of the currently available knowledge syntheses merely assume that this
10 11	58	relationship is uniform across populations and contexts. Therefore, we propose a scoping review
12 13	59	protocol to guide a summary of the body work that has examined the association between
14 15	60	concerns about falling and physical activity in adult populations, with an eye on the availability
16 17 18	61	of empirical evidence of moderation. Methods and analyses: Studies reporting on both the
19 20	62	concepts of concerns about falling and physical activity among samples with a mean age $\geq 18$
21 22	63	years will be included. Five electronic databases will be searched. We will conduct a hand search
23 24	64	of the reference lists for all included studies and relevant knowledge syntheses and perform a
25 26 27	65	citing reference search for all included studies using Web of Science. A team of six reviewers
28 29	66	will single-screen titles and abstracts. Two reviewers will independently assess the eligibility of
30 31	67	each study based on a full-text examination. Results will be presented using a tree graph to
32 33 34	68	display the moderating factor(s) investigated and a ratio showing the number of time evidence
35 36	69	for moderation was examined by the total number of investigations. Ethics and dissemination:
37 38	70	The university Human Research Protection Program determined that the proposed scoping
39 40 41	71	review does not qualify as Human Subjects Research under federal human subjects research
41 42 43	72	regulations (IRB-2023-1656). Results will be published in a peer-review journal and in the form
44 45	73	of a 1-page summary for Extension Program leaders, part of a nationwide Cooperative Extension
46 47	74	network.
48 49 50	75	Key words: accidental falls, effect modifier, exercise, fear, health behavior.
50 51 52	76	
53 54	77	
55 56		
57 58 59		3
59 60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2023-083234 on 20 December 2024. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 9, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

**BMJ** Open

1		
2 3 4	78	STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY
5 6	79	• The proposed scoping review will include both qualitative and quantitative studies,
7 8 9	80	providing a more complete picture of the availability of empirical evidence of
10 11	81	moderation.
12 13	82	• The electronic database search was designed and pilot-tested to ensure high sensitivity
14 15	83	and reliability while balancing time and cost constraints.
16 17 18	84	• We will analyze data by mapping the empirical evidence of moderation to theory-derived
19 20	85	moderation hypotheses.
21 22 22	86	• Given that an assessment of risk of bias of the studies included in the proposed review
23 24 25	87	will not be conducted, the specific clinical and policy implications of the proposed review
26 27	88	may be limited.
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55		may be limited.

1	
2 3 4	89
5 6 7	90
8 9	91
10 11	92
12 13 14	93
14 15 16	94
17 18	95
19 20	96
21 22 23	97
24 25	98
26 27	99
28 29	100
30 31 32	101
33 34	102
35 36	103
37 38 39	104
40 41	105
42 43	106
44 45 46	107
47 48	108
49 50	109
51 52 53	110
53 54 55	111
55 56 57	
58	
59 60	

# INTRODUCTION

90	Evaluation and reduction of concerns about falling and the promotion of physical activity
91	are two fundamental aspects of fall prevention and management [1]. Although regular
92	engagement in physical activity and adherence to multicomponent physical activity programs
93	can reduce concerns about falling and prevent falls, concerns about falling may represent for
94	many a barrier to physical activity participation [2–5]. This is an important public health issue
95	because both fallers and non-fallers in various adult populations are concerned about falling, and
96	such concerns can interfere with participation in various activities of daily living and reduce
97	quality of life. Ultimately, concerns about falling may increases the risk of future falls and care
98	dependency, which can both be further heightened by physical inactivity [1].
99	Concerns about falling tend to be negatively associated with physical activity
100	participation. However, concerns about falling may not uniformly influence physical activity
101	behavior across populations and contexts [6]. For instance, concerns about falling may have a
102	stronger negative influence on physical activity participation among older adults, people with
103	disease-specific symptoms and disabilities, or people with a history of falls [5,7,8]. Moreover,
104	theoretical [9–11] and qualitative [3] evidence indicate that concerns about falling could
105	positively influence physical activity in certain contexts.
106	In this article, we propose a scoping review protocol to guide a summary of the body
107	work that has examined the association between concerns about falling and physical activity in

work that has examined the association between concerns about falling and physical activity in adult populations (age  $\ge$  18 years), with an eye on the availability of empirical evidence of moderation, also known as effect modification. In the context of the proposed scoping review, moderation represents the variation in the degree to which concerns about falling relate to physical activity as a function of another factor, called a moderator variable. Specifically, a

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2023-083234 on 20 December 2024. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 9, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

moderator is a variable that changes the direction (sign) or magnitude (size) of the relation between concerns about falling and physical activity behavior. We expect the findings of the proposed scoping review to make a significant contribution to the literature by encouraging researchers to specify variables as moderators of the relation between concerns about falling and physical activity behavior at the onset of their studies and make sampling and measurement related decisions that would enable them to perform more sensitive moderation analyses. It is also expected that these findings will help researchers to provide a more compelling rationale for the a priori planning and conduct of subgroup and meta-regression analyses of future meta-analyses. Ultimately, the proposed scoping review is expected to provide new insights that could help practitioners and researchers determine more precisely for whom and when concerns about falling should be a considered for promoting physical activity more effectively in the context of fall prevention and management. Rationale

According to the social cognitive framework, concerns about falling could be linked to the construct of beliefs [12,13] or representations [14] about a health threat. Beliefs or representations about a health threat are thought to be linked to one's motivation to either adopt or avoid certain behaviors. Specifically, the construct of threat appraisal is theorized to capture one's held beliefs about a health threat (e.g., consequences of falling such as pain, injury or loss of independence), and its association with negative emotions (e.g., concerns, worry, fear, or anxiety; [15]). The concept of concerns about falling has been explicitly linked to the construct of threat appraisal in prior research in the context of physical activity in older adults [16,17]. Further, both the protection motivation theory [13] and common sense model [14] posit a stronger and positive relationship between threat appraisal (e.g., concerns about falling) and

Page 7 of 33

#### **BMJ** Open

people's intention toward a given behavior (e.g., physical activity) for people who believe in the effectiveness of that behavior in preventing the threat (e.g., engaging in balance and strengthening exercise is an effective strategy to prevent injurious falls) and in their capabilities in engaging in that behavior (e.g., self-efficacy for engaging in balance and strengthening exercise). This perspective is also consistent with the conceptual framework describing the origination and consequences of worries about falling by Ellmers et al. [18], which specifies perception of control over one's concerns about falling as a key factor determining whether concerns about falling motivate positive and protective changes in behavior. According to the affect and health behavior framework, concerns about falling could be linked to the concept of incidental affect [10]. Incidental affect refers to how one feels throughout the day outside the context of the target behavior. According to this perspective, concerns about falling can positively influence physical activity, but only if one expects that engaging in physical activity will contribute to alleviating their concerns about falling. Otherwise, concerns about falling will negatively influence physical activity. In contrast, concerns about falling can lead to excessive physical activity avoidance if people anticipate avoiding physical activity will help them cope with such concerns and protect them against potential harms from future falls. Drawing on this same theoretical framework, concerns about falling could also be linked to the concept of affectively charged motives [10]. Affectively charged motives represent a 

category of motives that arise from the feelings experienced while performing a given behavior.

According to this perspective, concerns about falling can reflect a more intense emotion, such as 

fear or anxiety, that would drive one to disengage from physical activity experiences that

previously have been associated with negative emotions. This perspective is also consistent with

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2023-083234 on 20 December 2024. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 9, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

the model of fear of falling, falls efficacy and anxiety [9], and the fear-avoidance model of falling and functional disability [11]. One important implication of this perspective is that the influence of concerns about falling on physical activity can be highly contextual. If past experiences of physical activity have been unpleasant because one fell while doing an activity -leading one to experience pain, an injury, or a loss of independence – concerns about falling can prompt people to either avoid all kinds of physical activities, the specific activity associated with the fall, or the performance of physical activity in the specific context in which the fall occurred (e.g., avoiding the performance of physical activity under poor weather conditions, such as walking on an icy sidewalk). Findings from prior knowledge syntheses provide converging evidence in support of a negative association between concerns about falling and physical activity behavior [2,3,5,7,19– 21]. Ramsey et al. [2] calculated the median Pearson correlation coefficient quantifying the strength of the association between fear of falling and daily steps (r = -0.21) and daily minutes of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (r = -0.24) among older adults ( $\geq 60$  years). Using benchmarking methods outlined by Wright et al. [22], these estimates indicate that older adults who are fearful of falling would typically take 321 fewer steps per day (or 2247 steps/week) and spent 12.6 minutes less in moderate-to-vigorous intensity physical activity per day (or 88.2 minutes/week) compared to those who are not fearful. Despite evidence that the size or sign of the association between concerns about falling

176 Despite evidence that the size or sign of the association between concerns about falling 177 and physical activity behavior can depend on another factor, most prior knowledge syntheses 178 merely assumed that concerns about falling uniformly influence that behavior across populations 179 and contexts. There are few notable exceptions, however. First, Beart et al. [7] hypothesized that 180 the negative influence of concerns about falling on physical activity might be stronger as people

Page 9 of 33

#### **BMJ** Open

	181	age, especially among people aged 80 years and over. Second, Rider et al. [8] hypothesized that	ιt
	182	Parkinson's disease-specific symptoms and disabilities could moderate the impact of concerns	
	183	about falling on physical activity behavior such that the negative influence of concerns about	
) 1	184	falling on physical activity would be stronger when people have increased walking difficulties,	
2 3	185	hyperkinesia, rigidity, freezing of gait, or impaired balance. Lastly, both systematic reviews of	
4 5	186	the qualitative literature by Franco et al. [5] and Meridith et al. [3] concluded that for many old	er
5 7 8	187	adults ( $\geq$ 60 years), the influence of concerns about falling on physical activity participation wa	1S
9 0	188	negative but depended on one's prior falls history or context. A history of falls could strengther	n
1 2	189	the negative influence of concerns about falling on physical activity [5], whereas concerns about	ut
3 4	190	falling could promote engagement in certain types of exercise when performed with the overall	Ĺ
5 5 7	191	goal of improving physical functioning or reducing risk of future falls [3]. Lastly, there is	
8 9	192	empirical evidence indicating that women (compared to men) may show a greater tendency to	
) 1	193	restrict their activities to protect themself against potential harms from future falls [23,24].	
2 3 4	194	In summary, there is theoretical evidence and hypotheses in support of moderation,	
5	195	whereby the direction (sign) or magnitude (size) of the association between concerns about	
7 8	196	falling and physical activity may depend on another factor, called a moderator variable. These	
9 0 1	197	potential moderators are specified in Table 1 below.	
1 2 3	198		
4 5	199		
5 7	200		
8 9 1			
1 2	201		
2 3 4	202		
5 5 7	203		
, 8 9			9

Page 10 of 33

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2023-083234 on 20 December 2024. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 9, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de I Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Table 1. Hypothesized moderators of the of the relation between concerns about falling and

# 205 physical activity behavior

Moderator	Sign and size of th
<ul> <li>Age</li> <li>For people who are older (relative to younger people), especially for those aged 80 years and over.</li> <li>Beliefs about capabilities</li> <li>When people hold the belief that they can organize, execute, and engage in physical activity despite the presence of barriers.</li> <li>Beliefs about consequences</li> <li>When people hold the belief that physical activity is an effective strategy for preventing falls.</li> <li>When people hold the belief that physical activity will help them relieve their concerns about falling.</li> <li>When people hold the belief that avoiding physical activity will help them relieve their concerns about falling and protect them from future falls.</li> <li>Biological sex / gender</li> <li>For women (compared to men)</li> <li>Disease-specific symptoms and disabilities</li> <li>For people with disease-specific symptoms or a disability</li> </ul>	effect modification
Age	
• For people who are older (relative to younger people), especially for those	Sign: Negative
aged 80 years and over.	Size: Larger
Beliefs about capabilities	
• When people hold the belief that they can organize, execute, and engage	Sign: Positive
in physical activity despite the presence of barriers.	
Beliefs about consequences	
• When people hold the belief that physical activity is an effective strategy	Sign: Positive
for preventing falls.	
• When people hold the belief that physical activity will help them relieve	Sign: Positive
their concerns about falling.	
• When people hold the belief that avoiding physical activity will help them	Sign: Negative
reduce their concerns about falling and protect them from future falls.	Size: Larger
Biological sex / gender	
For women (compared to men)	Sign: Negative
	Size: Larger
Disease-specific symptoms and disabilities	•
• For people with disease-specific symptoms or a disability	Sign: Negative
	Size: Larger
History of falls (within context)	
<ul> <li>When past experiences of physical activity have been unpleasant because</li> </ul>	Sign: Negative
one fell while doing an activity.	Size: Larger

Page 11 of 33

## BMJ Open

209	Prior Knowledge Syntheses
210	Most prior knowledge syntheses only included studies that sampled older adults ( $\geq 60$
211	years; [2-5,7,25,26]. Although insightful, the generalizability of their findings to other
212	populations for which concerns about falling and fall prevention and management have
213	important clinical implications cannot be inferred. Notably, one narrative knowledge synthesis
214	[21] concluded that there is a "probable negative association" between fear of falling and
215	physical activity behavior among people who had a transient ischemic attack or stroke (Table 1;
216	p. 62). Rider et al. [8] performed a scoping review of studies examining the association between
217	fear of falling and activity avoidance among people with Parkinson's disease and reported that
218	concerns about falling "emerged with a strong association with avoidance behavior" (p. 12).
219	Based on the findings from two studies, Streber et al. [20] performed a systematic review of
220	factors related to physical activity in persons with multiple sclerosis and concluded that falls-
221	related efficacy (higher levels of falls-related efficacy reflects lower levels of concerns about
222	falling) was "consistently positively associated with physical activity [in persons with multiple
223	sclerosis] but was less frequently examined" (p. 639).
224	Moreover, although some knowledge syntheses specifically focused on the concept of
225	physical activity [2,3,19–21], others were based on a broad conceptualization of the concepts
226	"activity restriction," "activity avoidance", or "activity level" [4,8,25,26]. These concepts

typically encompass a wide range of activity-related outcomes such as engagement in activities
of daily living, motor skills or mobility assessments, engagement in social activities, church
attendance, and physical activity. As a result, it is difficult to delineate the findings that pertain

230 specifically to physical activity from other activities or behaviors.

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
, 8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20 29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
49 50	
JU E 1	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	

59

60

232	<b>Scoping Review Objectives</b>
-----	----------------------------------

	233	We propose to conduct a scoping review to map the research pertaining to the association	1	
	234	between concerns about falling and physical activity behavior in adult populations, with an eye		
) 1	235	on the availability of empirical evidence of moderation. A preliminary search for existing		
2 3	236	scoping review reporting on evidence of moderation for the association between concerns about		
4 5	237	falling and physical activity behavior in adult populations ( $\geq 18$ years) revealed that none exist		
5 7 8	238	[date of the search: May 11, 2023; electronic database searched: CINAHL (EBSCO interface).		
9 0	239	EMBASE (Elsevier interface), PubMed, PsycINFO (EBSCO interface), and SPORTDiscus		
1 2	240	(EBSCO interface)]. The primary research question of the proposed scoping review is: What		
3 4 5	241	literature exists on evidence of moderation of the association between concerns about falling and	L	
5 5 7	242	physical activity in adult populations (age $\geq$ 18 years)? We propose two sub questions:		
8 9	243	1- What range of evidence there is within the sources of evidence identified for the primary		
) 1 2	244	research question with respect to research methodology and selected attributes of the		
2 3 4	245	research design?		
5 5	246	2- Which factors have been identified as a moderator within the sources of evidence		
7 8 2	247	identified for the primary research question?		
9 ) 1	248	METHODS AND ANALYSIS		
2 3	249	This scoping review protocol was developed following guidance of the scoping review		
4 5 5	250	framework proposed by the JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis [27,28]. The reporting of this		
5 7 8	251	scoping review protocol follows the reporting guidelines for scoping review protocols [27], and		
9 0	252	is consistent with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis		
1 2	253	Protocols (PRISMA-P; [29]). An adapted version of the PRISMA-ScR checklist based on the		
3 4 5	254	reporting guidelines for scoping review protocols [27] is provided in online supplementary		
5 5 7	255	appendix 1.		
8 9		12	2	
n		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml		

#### **BMJ** Open

We will conduct the proposed scoping review in accordance with the scoping review framework outlined by the JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis [28,30]. The reporting of the proposed scoping review will follow the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR; [31]). Any deviations to the protocol, along with their respective justification, will be reported in the final scoping review report.

262 Eligibility Criteria

263 Participants

Studies that report on samples with a mean age ≥ 18 years will be included for review.
Studies that have drawn on samples of either recreational or professional athletes, and people
who were unable to engage in physical activity will be excluded.

267 Concepts

Concerns about falling. Several facets closely related to the concept of concerns about falling were examined in prior research [6], including fear of falling and falls efficacy [9,11,15]. Fear of falling refers to a more intense, lasting concern about falling (e.g., feeling more anxious, fearful, or worried), whereas falls efficacy refers to the perceived capability in keeping balance or in preventing falls while performing various activities of daily living (e.g., going up or down stairs, walking up or down a slope). While concerns about falling may arise because of a fall, such concerns can also exist without a prior history of falling. Although there exists a variety of terms related to the description of the psychological (anticipated or actual) effects of a fall, the 2022 World Falls Guidelines for falls prevention and management for older adults recommend the use of the term concerns about falling [1].

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2023-083234 on 20 December 2024. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 9, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

*Physical activity*. Physical activity is an umbrella term used to describe any human movement produced by the contraction of skeletal muscles that raises energy expenditure above resting metabolic rate (i.e., 1 Metabolic Equivalent of Task; MET [32]. Four main domains or types of activity have been identified: leisure-time physical activity, work- or school-related activity; household, domestic, or self-care activities; and activity for transport from place to place [33]. In addition to frequency and type of activity, physical activity behavior is also characterized by its duration (e.g., minutes/week), intensity (e.g., light, moderate, and vigorous), and mode (e.g., aerobic, muscle strengthening, and bone strengthening activities). The concept of physical activity is inclusive of the concepts of exercise and sport. Exercise refers to physical activity that is planned, structured, and repetitive for the purpose of enhancing or maintaining physical fitness and health [32]. Sport refers to physical activity that is rule governed, structured, competitive, and involves gross motor movement characterized by physical strategy, prowess, and chance [34]. However, the concept of physical activity does not encompass the concept of sedentary behavior [33]. Sedentary behaviors are defined as any waking behaviors characterized by an energy expenditure  $\leq 1.5$  METs, while in a sitting, reclining, or lying posture [35]. Types of evidence sources The proposed scoping review will draw upon data from studies that have used a qualitative, quantitative, or mixed methodological approach. Irrespective of the methodological approach, we will include studies that have used cross-sectional, longitudinal, quasi-

297 experimental and experimental design. Knowledge synthesis of any types, conference abstracts,

298 commentaries, editorials, study protocols, thesis and dissertation, books and book chapters and

299 case studies will be excluded.

300 Search Strategy

Page 15 of 33

#### **BMJ** Open

301	The search strategy was developed was developed by the review team, which includes a
302	database expert and health sciences information specialist. The health sciences information
303	specialist and database expert implemented and executed an initial electronic databases
304	(coverage period) search strategy for CINAHL (EBSCO interface; 1976 - present), EMBASE
305	(Elsevier interface; 1947 – present), PsycINFO (EBSCO interface; 1887 – present), PubMed
306	(1946 – present), and SPORTDiscus (EBSCO interface; 1930 – present). For all databases,
307	search terms taping on both the concept of concerns about falling and physical activity were
308	used. Because the population of interest for this scoping review is the adult populations ( $\geq 18$
309	years), no age filters were used in any of the databases. Additionally, we used database-specific
310	Index or Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms when available. The free text search terms
311	remained constant across all databases, searching across title, abstract, and when available,
312	keyword fields. We updated the database-specific terms for each database, where available, but
313	used the same key concepts across all the databases. We used filters for resource types (i.e.,
314	Academic Journals) in two of the EBSCO databases (SPORTDiscus and PsycINFO) because of
315	the indexing of periodicals in EBSCO. We will not use this option for CINAHL because the
316	filter was experiencing technical difficulties when pilot-test searches were run. No date or
317	language filters were used. Full details of an example electronic search for PubMed are presented
318	in Table 2.
319	
320	
321	
322	
323	

	Terms]
2	
2.	"fear"[Title/Abstract] OR "fears"[Title/Abstract] OR "concern"[Title/Abstract] OR
	"concerns"[Title/Abstract] OR "confidence"[Title/Abstract] OR "accidental"[Title/Abstract]
	OR "efficacy"[Title/Abstract] OR "beliefs"[Title/Abstract] OR "avoidance"[Title/Abstract]
	OR "confident"[Title/Abstract] OR "threat"[Title/Abstract] OR "threats"[Title/Abstract] O
	"afraid"[Title/Abstract] OR "worry"[Title/Abstract] OR "worries"[Title/Abstract] OR
	"worried"[Title/Abstract] OR "fearful"[Title/Abstract] OR "frightened"[Title/Abstract] OI
	"concerned"[Title/Abstract] OR "post fall syndrome"[Title/Abstract] OR
	"ptophobia"[Title/Abstract] OR "scared"[Title/Abstract]
3.	#1 OR #2
4.	"Accidental Falls"[Mesh]
5.	"falling"[Title/Abstract] OR "falls"[Title/Abstract] OR "fall"[Title/Abstract] OR
	"balance"[Title/Abstract]
6.	#4 OR #5
7.	"Activities of Daily Living"[MeSH Terms] OR "Exercise"[MeSH Terms] OR
	"Walking"[MeSH Terms] OR "Sports"[MeSH Terms]
8.	"exercise"[Title/Abstract] OR "physical activity"[Title/Abstract] OR "physical
	fitness"[Title/Abstract] OR "step count"[Title/Abstract] OR "walking"[Title/Abstract] OR
	"mobility"[Title/Abstract]
9.	"Activity"[Title/Abstract] OR "activities"[Title/Abstract]
10.	"Daily living"[Title/Abstract] OR "level"[Title/Abstract] OR "levels"[Title/Abstract] OR
	"avoidance"[Title/Abstract] OR "intensity"[Title/Abstract] OR "restricted"[Title/Abstract]
11.	#9 AND #10
12.	#7 OR #8 OR #11
13.	#3 AND #6 AND #12

#### **BMJ** Open

2		
3 4	328	5,7,8,19–21,25,26]. Lastly, we will perform a citing reference search for all included studies
5 6	329	using Web of Science. The results of the search will be reported in a PRISMA-ScR flow-chart
7 8 9	330	[31].
10 11	331	Studies written in any language will be included. Title and abstract of any potential
12 13	332	studies that are reported in a language other than English will be initially translated using Google
14 15 16	333	Translate. The full text will be translated by a qualified translator if it meets the inclusion criteria
10 17 18	334	at that stage. It is worth noting that at least one member of the review team has high proficiency
19 20	335	in English, French, and Korean. We will place no constraints on the publishing year of the
21 22	336	studies. We will use Covidence, a web-based software platform, to manage the retrieved citation
23 24 25	337	records (Covidence systematic review software, Veritas Health Innovation, Melbourne,
26 27	338	Australia).
28 29	339	Source of Evidence Selection
30 31 32	340	We ran an initial electronic databases search on May 23, 2022, which yield a total of
33 34	341	24,359 records ( $k$ ). We will update the electronic databases search before formally implementing
35 36	342	our final, complete search strategy. We will not use any automation tools for study selection.
37 38 20	343	Screening of Titles and Abstracts
39 40 41	344	Given the high number of identified records through our initial electronic citation search
42 43	345	(k = 24,359) and the related time and cost constraints associated with their screening, we
44 45	346	assembled a team of six reviewers that will single-screen titles and abstracts using an over-
46 47 48	347	inclusive approach. Specifically, a given record will be retained and considered for full-text
49 50	348	examination if there is insufficient information to conclude with certainty its exclusion. The
51 52	349	specific exclusion criteria considered at this stage were: conference abstract, review article, case
53 54 55	350	report/series, not human research, ineligible age group (< 18 years), not reporting on either the
55 56 57		
58 59		17

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2023-083234 on 20 December 2024. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 9, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES)

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

concept of physical activity or the concept of concern about falling. We used a two-step process for pilot-testing the titles and abstracts screening procedure. First, a random sample of 100 records from our initial search were selected and independently screened for eligibility by two review members. The decisions were compared, and discrepancies among the two reviewers were resolved by discussion. At the end of this first step, the reviewers disagreed on only two studies, and both reviewers agreed to exclude both after discussion. Second, another random sample of 100 records was selected and independently screened for eligibility by four reviewers. Then one of the reviewers involved in the first step described above met with the four reviewers to provide training and discuss screening decisions. Upon pilot-testing the process of screening titles and abstract and given the number or records to screen, the review team decided that all titles and abstracts would be screened by a single reviewer, one the six involved in pilot-testing titles and abstracts screening procedure. The single screening of the titles and abstracts can yield high sensitivity (98% - 100%) when certain exclusion criteria are used – such as conference abstract, review article, case report/series, not human research, ineligible age group (< 18 years) [36] – and is acceptable at this stage of the selection process [36,37]. **Full-Text Examination** 

We will retrieve the full text of the records selected for inclusion at the titles and abstracts screening stage. Two reviewers will independently assess the eligibility of each article. Multiple articles reporting on the same study and written by the same authors group will be gathered and scrutinized to ensure that only unique study, rather than each article or duplication study, represents the unit of interest in the scoping review. When necessary for the making of a selection decision, we will contact the authors of the articles for unpublished information. The

decisions to include an article will be compared between the two reviewers, and discrepancies

between reviewers will be resolved by discussion. When no consensus can be reached, a third reviewer will help resolve the discrepancy. Moreover, any relevant retraction statements and errata for information for each included article will be examined to exclude data from studies that are fraudulent or studies that include errors. We will report reasons for exclusion of full-text articles that do not meet the eligibility criteria in a supplementary document in the scoping review.

380 Data extraction

Prior to data extraction, two reviewers will independently pilot-test a purpose-built data extraction sheet with three randomly selected records. An initial version of the purpose-built data extraction sheet is provided in online supplementary appendix 2. Reviewers will collect information about the characteristics of the study (i.e., authors, year of publication, origin/country of origin, aims/purposes), population and sample (i.e., sample size, mean age and range, percentage of women/female, race/ethnicity characteristics, health status, and settings -e.g., community, nursing home, medical facilities), the concept of concerns about falling (e.g., measurement instrument used and facet measured), and the concept of physical activity (e.g., measurement instrument used and facet measured). Reviewers will extract relevant information regarding all types of physical activity – all physical activity measurement metrics (e.g., frequency, duration, volume, number of steps, arbitrary activity units) will be considered, as well as the measurement by means of device-based (e.g., accelerometer) and self-report (e.g., questionnaires) instruments. For each study included, we will collect findings pertaining to evidence of moderation. Specifically, we will identify if a moderating factor was investigated (yes/no), specify the theoretical approach underlying the investigation (if any), and

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2023-083234 on 20 December 2024. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 9, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

methodological approaches for investigating moderator effect (e.g., qualitative, quantitative, or
mixed methods), the results pertaining to moderation, and the main conclusion of the study.

It is expected that the data extraction sheet will be refined and revised following the pilot-testing of the data extraction process. After pilot-testing of the data extraction sheet, we will hold a meeting with all scoping review authors to discuss all aspect of the revised version of the data extraction sheet and agree on its final version. Then, two reviewers will independently extract data from all included studies, compare results, and resolve any discrepancies through discussion. We will contact the study authors for clarification on unreported data item. When no consensus on reported data items can be reached, we will contact the study authors to help resolve the discrepancy. We will hold bi-weekly meetings throughout the data extraction process to discuss progress and monitor whether the data extraction sheet is capturing all the essential information to properly answer the research questions.

# 08 Data analysis and presentation of results

409 Primary study and sample characteristics will be reported for descriptive purposes in a summary
410 table. We plan to use iconography to display the different types and number of samples drawn by the
411 included studies. Moreover, we plan on using a waffle chart to illustrate the type of research
412 methodology used within the included studies (i.e., qualitative, quantitative, and mixed
413 methods).

We will analyze the data descriptively, and report frequency count and percentage of studies investigating and reporting on evidence of moderation. Further, data will be charted, categorized, and summarized by mapping the data pertaining to the evidence for and against moderation to each of the hypothesized moderators outlined in Table 1. This will be applied to all studies, irrespective of the methodological approach or research design. Prior to mapping the evidence of moderation, the review authors will familiarize themselves with the data by reading Page 21 of 33

### BMJ Open

420	and understanding all the included studies for review and understanding the relevance of the data
421	in relation to the main scoping review question. Result of this qualitative synthesis will be
422	presented using a tree graph to display the moderating factor(s) investigated by the included
423	studies, and a ratio showing the number of time evidence for moderation was reported by the
424	total number of investigations.
425	We will perform a narrative synthesis of the findings to highlight similarities and
426	differences both within and across studies by examining the convergence and divergence in
427	findings across methodological approach, the concepts of concerns about falling and physical
428	activity behavior, and sample characteristics.
429	Patient and public involvement
430	Patients or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or
431	dissemination plans of our research.
432	ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION PLAN
433	The Purdue University Human Research Protection Program determined that the
434	proposed scoping review does not qualify as Human Subjects Research under federal human
435	subjects research regulations (IRB-2023-1656).
436	The results of this proposed scoping review will be disseminated through various means.
437	First, will disseminate the study findings through a peer-reviewed scientific journal and
438	presentation at a scientific conference. Second, will disseminate the findings in the form of a 1-
439	page summary, in plain language, via written briefs or e-newsletters to Extension Program
440	leaders, part of a nationwide Cooperative Extension network. We also plan to present posters or
441	e-posters at the national Health Extension annual conference. Extension Program and community
442	leaders attend training sessions during this conference. They represent an important group of
	21
	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2023-083234 on 20 December 2024. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 9, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de I Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

### **BMJ** Open

443	end-users as many of them deliver to members of their community evidence-based programs to
444	reduce concerns about falling and the promote of physical activity (e.g., A Matter of Balance,
445	Stepping On, Fit & Strong!) with the overall objective of preventing and managing falls.
446	<b>COMPETING INTERESTS</b>
447	The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal
448	relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this article.
449	AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS
450	All listed authors have contributed and will continue to contribute meaningfully to the
451	conduct and reporting of proposed scoping review. S.A. and J.B.R. conceived the proposed
452	review. S.A. and J.B.R. developed the search strategy, and J.B.R. ran the initial electronic
453	databases. S.A., E.A.C, E.R.J, H.R., R.C.K., and J.B.R., are the six title and abstract reviewers.
454	K.J.M. is an Extension Specialist, with experience in delivering programs to reduce concerns
455	about falling and promote physical activity to midlife and older adults, who will provide insights
456	on the usefulness of the scoping review findings to practitioners. In addition, K.J.M. will help
457	identify language barriers in the reporting of the scoping review results. All authors read the final
458	protocol manuscript and revised it for content; all approved the final version. S.A. is responsible
459	for the overall content as guarantor.
460	FUNDING STATEMENT
461	This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public,
462	commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.
463	

1 2			
- 3 4	464		REFERENCES
5 6	465	1.	Montero-Odasso M, Van Der Velde N, Martin FC, Petrovic M, Tan MP, Ryg J, et al.
7 8 9 10 11	466		World guidelines for falls prevention and management for older adults: A global initiative.
	467		Age Ageing. 2022;51:1-36. doi: 10.1093/ageing/afac205
12 13	468	2.	Ramsey KA, Zhou W, Rojer AGM, Reijnierse EM, Maier AB. Associations of objectively
14 15	469		measured physical activity and sedentary behaviour with fall-related outcomes in older
16 17 18	470		adults: A systematic review. Ann Phys Rehabil Med. 2022;65. doi:
19 20	471		10.1016/j.rehab.2021.101571
21 22	472	3.	Meredith SJ, Cox NJ, Ibrahim K, Higson J, McNiff J, Mitchell S, et al. Factors that
23 24	473		influence older adults' participation in physical activity: A systematic review of
25 26 27	474		qualitative studies. Age Ageing. 2023;52:1–15. doi: 10.1093/ageing/afad145
28 29	475	4.	Schepens S, Sen A, Painter JA, Murphy SL. Relationship between fall-related efficacy and
30 31	476		activity engagement in community-dwelling older adults: A meta-analytic review. Am J
32 33 34	477		Occup Ther. 2012;66:137–48. doi: 10.5014/ajot.2012.001156
35 36	478	5.	Franco MR, Tong A, Howard K, Sherrington C, Ferreira PH, Pinto RZ, et al. Older
37 38	479		people's perspectives on participation in physical activity: A systematic review and
39 40	480		thematic synthesis of qualitative literature. Br J Sports Med. 2015;49:1268–76.
41 42 43	481		doi:10.1136/bjsports-2014-094015
44 45	482	6.	Ellmers TJ, Freiberger E, Hauer K, Hogan DB, McGarrigle L, Lim ML, et al. Why should
46 47	483		clinical practitioners ask about their patients' concerns about falling? Age Ageing.
48 49 50	484		2023;52:afad057. doi: 10.1093/ageing/afad057
51 52			
53 54			
55 56			
57 58 59			23
60			For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

1

2 3	405	7		
4	485	7.	Baert V, Gorus E, Mets T, Geerts C, Bautmans I. Motivators and barriers for physical	
5 6	486		activity in the oldest old: A systematic review. Ageing Res Rev. 2011;10(4):464-74. do	oi:
7 8 9	487		10.1016/j.arr.2011.04.001	
10 11	488	8.	Rider J V, Longhurst JK, Lekhak N, Young DL, Landers MR. Fear of falling avoidance	5
12 13	489		behavior assessment and intervention in Parkinson's Disease: A scoping review. Res Re	ev
14 15	490		Parkinsonism. 2022;12:1-17. doi: 10.2147/JPRLS.S350890	
16 17 18	491	9.	Adamczewska N, Nyman SR. A new approach to fear of falls from connections with th	e
19 20	492		posttraumatic stress disorder literature. Gerontol Geriatr Med. 2018;4:23337214187962	238.
21 22	493		doi: 10.1177/2333721418796238	
23 24	494	10.	Stevens CJ, Baldwin AS, Bryan AD, Conner M, Rhodes RE, Williams DM. Affective	
25 26 27	495		determinants of physical activity: A conceptual framework and narrative review. Front	
28 29	496		Psychol. 2020;11:3366. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.568331	
30 31	497	11.	Peeters G, Bennett M, Donoghue OA, Kennelly S, Kenny RA. Understanding the	
32 33 34	498		aetiology of fear of falling from the perspective of a fear-avoidance model – A narrative	e
35 36	499		review. Clin Psychol Rev. 2020;79:101862. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2020.101862	
37 38	500	12.	Rosenstock IM. The health belief model and preventive health behavior. Health Educ	
39 40 41	501		Behav. 1977;2:354–86. doi: 10.1177/109019817400200405	
41 42 43	502	13.	Maddux JE, Rogers RW. Protection motivation and self-efficacy: A revised theory of fe	ear
44 45	503		appeals and attitude change. J Exp Soc Psychol. 1983;19:469-79. doi: 10.1016/0022-	
46 47	504		1031(83)90023-9	
48 49 50	505	14.	Leventhal H, Diefenbach M, Leventhal EA, Lowenstein G, Rachman J, Rodin J, et al.	
51 52	506		Illness Cognition: Using common sense to understand treatment adherence and affect	
53 54	507		cognition interactions. Cognit Ther Res. 1992;16:143-63. doi: 10.1007/BF01173486	
55 56				
57 58 59				24
~ ~				

Page 25 of 33

# BMJ Open

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2023-083234 on 20 December 2024. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 9, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de I Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.
---

1 2				
3 4	508	15.	Hadjistavropoulos T, Delbaere K, Fitzgerald TD. Reconceptualizing the role of fear of	
5 6	509		falling and balance confidence in fall risk. 2010;23:3–23. doi: 101177/0898264310378	039
7 8 9	510	16.	Kaushal N, Preissner C, Charles K, Knäuper B. Differences and similarities of physical	1
9 10 11	511		activity determinants between older adults who have and have not experienced a fall:	
12 13	512		Testing an extended health belief model. Arch Gerontol Geriatr. 2021; 92:104247. doi:	:
14 15	513		10.1016/j.archger.2020.104247	
16 17 18	514	17.	Preissner CE, Kaushal N, Charles K, Knäuper B. A protection motivation theory appro	ach
19 20	515		to understanding how fear of falling affects physical activity determinants in older adul	lts.
21 22	516		J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci. 2023;78:30-39. doi: 10.1093/geronb/gbac105.	
23 24 25	517	18.	Ellmers TJ, Wilson MR, Norris M, Young WR. Protective or harmful? A qualitative	
25 26 27	518		exploration of older people's perceptions of worries about falling. Age Ageing.	
28 29	519		2022;51:1-10. doi: 10.1093/ageing/afac067	
30 31 22	520	19.	Hamer O, Larkin D, Relph N, Dey P. Fear-related barriers to physical activity among	
32 33 34	521		adults with overweight and obesity: A narrative synthesis scoping review. Obes Rev.	
35 36	522		2021;22:e13307. doi: 10.1111/obr.13307.	
37 38	523	20.	Streber R, Peters S, Pfeifer K. Systematic review of correlates and determinants of	
39 40 41	524		physical activity in persons with Multiple Sclerosis. Arch Phys Med Rehabil.	
42 43	525		2016;97:633-645.e29. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2015.11.020.	
44 45	526	21.	Prior PL, Suskin N. Exercise for stroke prevention. Stroke Vasc Neurol. 2018;3:59-68.	
46 47 48	527		doi: 10.1136/svn-2018-000155.	
49 50	528	22.	Wright CE, Rhodes RE, Ruggiero EW, Sheeran P. Benchmarking the effectiveness of	
51 52	529		interventions to promote physical activity: A metasynthesis. Health Psychol.	
53 54 55	530		2021;40:811-21. doi: 10.1037/hea0001118.	
55 56 57				
58				25

1

2			
3 4	531	23.	Pittig A, Wong AHK, Glück VM, Boschet JM. Avoidance and its bi-directional
5 6	532		relationship with conditioned fear: Mechanisms, moderators, and clinical implications.
7 8 9	533		Behav Res Ther. 2020;126:103550. doi: 10.1016/j.brat.2020.103550
9 10 11	534	24.	Tay PKC, Chan A, Tan PJ, Wong CH. Sex differences in perceptions toward falls among
12 13	535		older adults living in the community in Singapore. J Aging Health. 2020;32(10):1355-62.
14 15	536		doi:10.1177/0898264320925972
16 17 18	537	25.	Hughes CC, Kneebone II, Jones F, Brady B, Pachana NA, Oude Voshaar RC. A
19 20	538		theoretical and empirical review of psychological factors associated with falls-related
21 22	539		psychological concerns in community-dwelling older people. Int Psychogeriatr.
23 24	540		2015;27:1071-87. doi: 10.1017/S1041610214002701.
25 26 27	541	26.	Scheffer AC, Schuurmans MJ, Van dijk N, Van der hooft T, De rooij SE. Fear of falling:
28 29	542		Measurement strategy, prevalence, risk factors and consequences among older persons.
30 31	543		Age Ageing. 2008;37:19-24. doi: 10.1093/ageing/afm169.
32 33 34	544	27.	Peters MDJ, Godfrey C, McInerney P, Khalil H, Larsen P, Marnie C, et al. Best practice
35 36	545		guidance and reporting items for the development of scoping review protocols. JBI Evid
37 38	546		Synth. 2022;20(4):953–68. doi: 10.11124/JBIES-21-00242.
39 40 41	547	28.	Peters MDJ, Godfrey C., McInerney P., Munn Z., Tricco A., Khalil H. Chapter 11:
42 43	548		Scoping Reviews. In: Aromataris E., Munn Z., editors. JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis
44 45	549		[internet]. Adelaide; 2020.
46 47	550	29.	Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, et al. Preferred
48 49 50	551		reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015
51 52	552		statement. Syst Rev. 2015;4:1. doi:10.1186/2046-4053-4-1
53 54			
55 56			
57 58 59			26
~ ~			

2			
3 4	553	30.	Pollock D, Peters MDJ, Khalil H, McInerney P, Alexander L, Tricco AC, et al.
5 6	554		Recommendations for the extraction, analysis, and presentation of results in scoping
7 8	555		reviews. JBI Evid Synth. 2023;21:520-32. doi: 10.11124/JBIES-22-00123.
9 10 11	556	31.	Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, et al. PRISMA
12 13	557		extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and explanation. Ann Intern
14 15	558		Med. 2018;169:467-73. doi: 10.7326/M18-0850.
16 17	559	32.	Caspersen CJ, Powell KE, Christenson GM. Physical activity, exercise and physical
18 19 20	560		fitness: Definitions and distinctions for health-related research. Public Health Rep.
21 22	561		1985;100(2):126–31.
23 24	562	33.	Pettee Gabriel KK, Morrow JR, Woolsey ALT. Framework for Physical Activity as a
25 26 27	563		Complex and Multidimensional Behavior. J Phys Act Health. 2012;9:S11-8. doi:
28 29	564		10.1123/jpah.9.s1.s11.
30 31	565	34.	Rejeski WJ, Brawley LR. Defining the Boundaries of Sport Psychology. Sport Psychol.
32 33	566		1988;2(3):231–42. doi: 10.1123/tsp.2.3.231
34 35 36	567	35.	Tremblay M. Letter to the editor: standardized use of the terms "sedentary" and
37 38	568		"sedentary behaviours." Appl Physiol Nutr Metab. 2012;37:540-2. doi: 10.1139/h2012-
39 40	569		024.
41 42	570	36.	Nama N, Hennawy M, Barrowman N, O'Hearn K, Sampson M, McNally JD. Successful
43 44 45	571		incorporation of single reviewer assessments during systematic review screening:
46 47	572		Development and validation of sensitivity and work-saved of an algorithm that considers
48 49	573		exclusion criteria and count. Syst Rev. 2021;10:1–10. doi: 10.1186/s13643-021-01632-6.
50 51	574	37.	Lefebvre C, Glanville J, Briscoe S, Featherstone R, Littlewood A, Metzendorf M-I, et al.
52 53 54	575	27.	Chapter 4: Searching for and selecting studies. In: Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J,
55 56	515		enapter 1. Sourching for and screening studies. In: Higgins 31 1, Thomas 3, Chandler 3,
57 58			27
59 60			For peer review only - http://bmiopen.bmi.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

1 2		
3 4	576	Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, Welch VA (editors). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic
5 6	577	Reviews of Interventions version 6.4 (updated October 2023). Cochrane, 2023. Available
7 8	578	from www.training.cochrane.org/handbook.
9 10	579	
11 12 13		
13 14 15		
15 16 17		
17 18 19		
20 21		
22 23		
24 25		
26 27		
28 29		
30 31		
32 33		
34 35		
36 37 28		
38 39 40		
41 42		
43 44		
45 46		
47 48		
49 50		
51 52		
53 54		
55 56		
57 58		2
59 60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Online Supplementary File 1: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-

Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) Checklist adapted for scoping review

 $protocol^1$ 

SECTION	ITEM	PRISMA-ScR CHECKLIST ITEM	REPORTED ON PAGE #		
TITLE					
Title	1	Identify the report as a scoping review.	1		
ABSTRACT					
Structured summary	2	Provide a structured summary that includes (as applicable): background, objectives, eligibility criteria, sources of evidence, charting methods, results, and conclusions that relate to the review questions and objectives.	2		
INTRODUCTION					
Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known. Explain why the review questions/objectives lend themselves to a scoping review approach.	5-12		
Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of the questions and objectives being addressed with reference to their key elements (e.g., population or participants, concepts, and context) or other relevant key elements used to conceptualize the review questions and/or objectives.	12		
METHODS					
Protocol and registration	5	Indicate whether a review protocol exists; state if and where it can be accessed (e.g., a Web address); and if available, provide registration information, including the registration number.	Non applicable		
Eligibility criteria	6	Specify characteristics of the sources of evidence used as eligibility criteria (e.g., years considered, language, and publication status), and provide a rationale.	13-15;17		

1
2
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11 12
13
14
15
14 15 16
17
18
19 20
20 21
21 22
23
23 24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32 33
34 35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43 44
44 45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54 55
55 56
50 57
58
59
60

SECTION	ITEM	PRISMA-ScR CHECKLIST ITEM	REPORTED ON PAGE #
Information sources <sup>1</sup>	7	Describe all information sources in the search (e.g., databases with dates of coverage and contact with authors to identify additional sources), as well as the date the most recent search was executed.	15-16
Search	8	Present the full electronic search strategy for at least one database, including any limits used, such that it could be repeated.	15-16
Selection of sources of evidence <sup>2</sup>	9	State the process for selecting sources of evidence (i.e., screening and eligibility) included in the scoping review.	17-19
Data charting process <sup>3</sup>	10	Describe the methods of charting data from the included sources of evidence (e.g., calibrated forms or forms that have been tested by the team before their use, and whether data charting was done independently or in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators.	19-20; Appendix 2
Data items	11	List and define all variables for which data were sought and any assumptions and simplifications made.	19-20; Appendix 2
Critical appraisal of individual sources of evidence <sup>4</sup>	12	If done, provide a rationale for conducting a critical appraisal of included sources of evidence; describe the methods used and how this information was used in any data synthesis (if appropriate).	Not applicable
Synthesis of results	13	Describe the methods of handling and summarizing the data that were charted.	20-21
FUNDING			
Funding	22	Describe sources of funding for the included sources of evidence, as well as sources of funding for the scoping review. Describe the role of the funders of the scoping review.	22

JBI = Joanna Briggs Institute; PRISMA-ScR = Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews.

<sup>1</sup>Where *sources of evidence* (see second footnote) are compiled from, such as bibliographic databases, social media platforms, and Web sites.

<sup>2</sup>A more inclusive/heterogeneous term used to account for the different types of evidence or data sources (e.g., quantitative and/or qualitative research, expert opinion, and policy documents) that may be eligible in a scoping review as opposed to only studies. This is not to be confused with *information sources* (see first footnote). <sup>3</sup>The frameworks by Arkeev and O'Melley [1] and Leure et al. [2] and the IPI guidence [3, 5] refer to the process

<sup>3</sup>The frameworks by Arksey and O'Malley [1] and Levac et al. [2] and the JBI guidance [3-5] refer to the process of data extraction in a scoping review as data charting.

<sup>4</sup>The process of systematically examining research evidence to assess its validity, results, and relevance before using it to inform a decision. This term is used for items 12 instead of "risk of bias" (which is more applicable to systematic reviews of interventions) to include and acknowledge the various sources of evidence that may be used in a scoping review (e.g., quantitative and/or qualitative research, expert opinion, and policy document).

<sup>1</sup>Adapted from Tricco et al. [6].

# REFERENCES

- Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: Towards a methodological framework. Int. J. Soc. Res. Methodol. 2005;8:19–32. doi: 10.1080/1364557032000119616
- Levac D, Colquhoun H, O'Brien KK. Scoping studies: Advancing the methodology. Implement Sci. 2010;5:1–9. doi: 10.1186/1748-5908-5-69
- 3- Peters MDJ, Godfrey C, McInerney P, Khalil H, Larsen P, Marnie C, et al. Best practice guidance and reporting items for the development of scoping review protocols. JBI Evid Synth. 2022;20:953–68. doi: 10.11124/JBIES-21-00242.
- 4- Peters M., Godfrey C., McInerney P., Munn Z., Tricco A., Khalil H. Chapter 11: Scoping Reviews. In: Aromataris E., Munn Z., editors. JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis. Adelaide; 2020.
- 5- Pollock D, Peters MDJ, Khalil H, McInerney P, Alexander L, Tricco AC, et al. Recommendations for the extraction, analysis, and presentation of results in scoping reviews. JBI Evid Synth. 2023;21:520–32. doi: 10.11124/JBIES-22-00123
- 6- Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, et al. PRISMA extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and explanation. Ann Intern Med. 2018;169:467–73. doi: 10.7326/M18-0850.

Online Supplementary File 2: Purpose-built data extraction sheet

Source of evidence (Authors, year)

### Country

Methodology: qualitative, quantitative, or mixed methods

Study design: cross-sectional, longitudinal, quasi-experimental, or experimental

#### Sample

Age: Sample mean age and/or age range (years)

Biological sex: Percentage of female

Race/ethnicity: Percentage of White

Health status and/or setting

**Theory**: Name of the theory (if any)

## Concept of concerns about falling

Facet measured: Fear of falling or fall efficacy

Measurement instrument used: Name of the instrument (if any)

## Concept of physical activity

Domain or type of physical activity measured: Leisure-time physical activity, work- or schoolrelated activity, household, domestic, or self-care activities, activity for transport from place to place

Characteristics of physical activity: Frequency, Intensity, Mode

Mode of assessment: Self-report or device-based

Measurement instrument used: Name of the instrument (if any)

Main findings pertaining to the relationship between concerns about falling and physical activity

Moderation investigation:

Theoretical rationale for the investigation and hypotheses (if any)

Description of how evidence of moderation was examined

Main findings pertaining to moderation

Yes/no

1	
2	
2	
3	
4	
5	
5	
5 6	
_	
7	
0	
8	
Q	
9	
10	
11	
12	
12	
13	
13	
14	
1 5	
15	
16	
10	
17	
10	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	
10	
17	
19 20	
21	
22	
21 22 23 24 25 26 27	
23	
23	
24	
25	
25	
26	
20	
27	
28 29	
20	
29	
30	
50	
31	
32	
22	
22	
34	
51	
32 33 34 35 36	
26	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
10	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	

60

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2023-083234 on 20 December 2024. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 9, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Sector of the se