

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (http://bmjopen.bmj.com).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email info.bmjopen@bmj.com

BMJ Open

Systematic Review of Screening Tools for Child Maltreatment used by different occupational groups - A Study Protocol

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2024-089623
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	05-Jun-2024
Complete List of Authors:	Colley, Denise; University Hospital of Düsseldorf, Department of General Pediatrics, Neonatology and Pediatric Cardiology and Center for Health and Society (chs), Unit of Child Health Services Research; Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf Seidler, Jenny; University Hospital of Düsseldorf, Department of General Pediatrics, Neonatology and Pediatric Cardiology and Center for Health and Society (chs), Unit of Child Health Services Research; Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf Rasch, Lena; University Hospital of Düsseldorf, Department of General Pediatrics, Neonatology and Pediatric Cardiology and Center for Health and Society (chs), Unit of Child Health Services Research; Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf Küppers, Lisa; University Hospital of Düsseldorf, Institut of Legal Medicine, Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf Gahr, Britta; University Hospital of Düsseldorf, Institute of Legal Medicine, Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf Mayatepek, Ertan; Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf, Department of General Paediatrics, Neonatology and Paediatric Cardiology De Bock, Freia; Universitätsklinikum Düsseldorf, Department of General Pediatrics, Neonatology and Pediatric Cardiology and Center for Health and Society (chs), Unit of Child Health Services Research; Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf
Keywords:	Systematic Review, Psychometrics, Child protection < PAEDIATRICS, PUBLIC HEALTH

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts

Systematic Review of Screening Tools for Child

2 Maltreatment used by different occupational groups -

3 A Study Protocol

- 4 Author:
- 5 Denise Colley, Department of General Pediatrics, Neonatology and Pediatric Cardiology,
- 6 Medical Faculty, University Hospital Düsseldorf, Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf,
- 7 Germany
- **Co-authors:**
- 9 Jenny Seidler, Department of General Pediatrics, Neonatology and Pediatric Cardiology,
- 10 Medical Faculty, University Hospital Düsseldorf, Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf,
- 11 Germany
- 12 Lena Rasch, Department of General Pediatrics, Neonatology and Pediatric Cardiology, Medical
- 13 Faculty, University Hospital Düsseldorf, Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany
- 14 Lisa Küppers, Institute of Legal Medicine, Medical Faculty, University Hospital Düsseldorf,
- 15 Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany
- 16 Britta Gahr, Institute of Legal Medicine, Medical Faculty, University Hospital Düsseldorf,
- 17 Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany
- 18 Ertan Mayatepek, Department of General Pediatrics, Neonatology and Pediatric Cardiology,
- 19 Medical Faculty, University Hospital Düsseldorf, Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf,
- 20 Germany
- 21 Corresponding author:
- 22 Freia De Bock, Department of General Pediatrics, Neonatology and Pediatric Cardiology,
- 23 Medical Faculty, University Hospital Düsseldorf, Heinrich Heine University, Moorenstraße 5,
- 24 40225 Düsseldorf, Germany. Telephone: 0211 81 08194, E-Mail: Freia.DeBock@med.uni-
- 25 duesseldorf.de
- **Keywords:** systematic review, child maltreatment, child abuse, child neglect, instrument,
- 27 screening, psychometric properties
- 28 Word count: 3884

Abstract

Background: Child maltreatment is associated with adverse cognitive, behavioral, physical and social outcomes that often continue until adulthood. The early and valid detection of child maltreatment is essential to initiate treatment and intervention as well as to avoid ongoing maltreatment. Various occupational groups such as health care providers, teachers, social workers, psychotherapists and others encounter maltreated children in their professional settings. Systematic reviews on instruments to assess child maltreatment often consider retrospective measurement and caregiver's or child's self-report. The purpose of this review is to synthesize the evidence on psychometric properties of instruments to assess child maltreatment at the presentation to professionals who work with children in- and outside the healthcare system.

Method: A systematic search will be performed in Scopus, PsycInfo, Medline and Web of Science with no limit on the earliest publication until January 2022. Eligibility criteria include studies that investigate psychometric properties of instruments to assess child maltreatment in children and adolescents under 18 years by a professional proxy. After independent screening of studies by two reviewers, quality assessment and data extraction will be performed using an adaptation of the COSMIN Risk of Bias checklist, the STROBE report and Downs and Black Checklist for measuring study quality. Screening, quality assessment and data extraction will be done using Covidence. The results will be presented in narrative form and if adequate a meta-analysis will be performed.

Discussion: This review aims to give an overview of the psychometric properties of different instruments designed to measure child maltreatment by professional proxies. The review focuses on instruments that can be used by occupational groups working with children and might therefore encounter maltreated children. The results will be of interest to different occupational groups who need information about methodological quality and characteristics of instruments to make decisions about the best suited tool for a specific purpose. Furthermore, the results of this review will support the development of novel instruments and might improve the existing ones.

- Systematic review registration: PROSPERO registration number: CRD42022297997
- Strength and Limitations:

- To account for the multiplicity of contexts in which child maltreatment can be
 encountered this study seeks to identify existing screening instruments used by various
 occupational groups in different settings, rather than limiting the search strategy to
 instruments for health care provider, caregiver and child self-report instruments only.
- COSMIN-Checklist will be used to assess the quality of instruments.
- For feasibility reasons, this study is limited to four databases (Medline, PsycInfo, Scopus, Web of Science).



Background

The World Health Organization (WHO) defines child maltreatment (CM) as all forms of abuse (physical, sexual and emotional), neglect (physical or emotional) and child sexual exploitation that result in potential or actual harm to a child's physical or psychological health, its development or survival [1]. Recent studies and reviews show that CM has detrimental emotional, cognitive, behavioral, physical and social outcomes that often continue until adulthood [2-8]. CM does not necessarily become apparent through consistent symptoms and signs. However, if symptoms arise, they might range from bruises to fractures and behavioral problems such as aggression and substance abuse. With requesting treatment from multiple physicians and unavailable or inconclusive medical history the identification of CM remains difficult and may suffer from mislead judgements. Nevertheless, an early and valid detection of CM is essential to initiate treatment and intervention as well as to avoid ongoing maltreatment. Various occupational groups such as health care providers, teachers, social workers, psychotherapists, psychological expert witnesses and others encounter maltreated children in their professional settings. However, existing instruments to assess CM rely on results of examinations, an analysis of medical records or the child's or caregivers' self-report. Despite their potential role in detecting maltreatment health-care providers and other professional groups in their settings often lack knowledge or confidence in screening for and detecting child abuse. Using valid screening tools or instruments to assess CM could increase knowledge and confidence in child physical abuse recognition [9] and improve reporting rates [10].

Objectives/Rationale

Systematic reviews of instruments to assess CM exist. However, these reviews focus on caregiver and child self-report instruments [11, 12]. These have shortcomings, such as that they are not appropriate for infants, are influenced by recall bias and social desirability and thus are only used in research but not every care practice. To our knowledge, only one systematic review reports on instruments that can be used by healthcare providers. However, this did not include other occupational groups that encounter maltreated children and would have to be included for an effective detection at scale. In addition, previous reviews focus on studies published in English only. A comprehensive review of existing instruments to be used by different occupational groups working with children, summarizing their psychometric

- 1. Which (screening) instruments exist for different occupational groups to assess child maltreatment?
- 2. What are their psychometric properties?
- 3. Does a German version exist, and has it been validated?

Methods/design

The systematic review will be conducted and reported in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines [13]. The review protocol has been written according to the PRISMA-P (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Protocols) guideline [14]. The checklist can be found in appendix A. The protocol has been registered at the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews in January 2022 (PROSPERO, registration number: CRD42022297997).

Search Strategy

The following databases were searched with no limit on the earliest publication until January 19th, 2022: Scopus, Web of Science, PsycInfo (via Ovid) and MEDLINE (via Ovid). Journal articles, in press articles and conference papers were searched in English, German, French and Spanish. The search will be updated prior to analyses. Reviews and meta-analyses on similar topics regarding the measurement of child maltreatment were used to develop the search terms [11, 12, 15, 16]. We selected terms based on the population (e.g., children, adolescents), exposure (e.g., maltreatment, abuse, and neglect), instruments (e.g., instrument, score, scale) and psychometric properties (e.g., reliability, validity). The initial search strategy was tested on Scopus and adapted for use in other databases after it had been finalized. The search strategy for each database can be found in appendix B. Reference lists of included papers and reviews on similar topics will also be searched to identify any additional relevant papers.

Eligibility criteria

Population

The study population will include instruments used by various occupational groups to assess CM in children and adolescents 18 years or younger.

Exposure

Studies should report one or multiple of the following: Physical, emotional or sexual abuse or physical or emotional neglect before the age of 18 years. Other adverse childhood experiences such as dating violence/intimate partner violence experienced by the child in intimate relationships, violence during adulthood, loss of a parent, poverty, poor parent-child relationship, parental potential to maltreat children, neighborhood/community violence, violence or bullying by peers, parents' attachment disorders and parenting stress as well as studies on determining risk factors for child maltreatment will be excluded. Types of maltreatment will be defined according to the WHO and the International Society for Prevention of Child Abuse and Neglect [17]. Physical abuse is defined as the use of intentional force against a child resulting in harm to the child's health, development or survival. Sexual abuse involves a child in sexual activity that she or he does not fully comprehend. Emotional abuse involves failure of a caregiver to provide a supportive and developmentally appropriate environment. Neglect is defined as the failure to provide for the child in one or more of the following areas: health, education, emotional development, nutrition, shelter and safe living conditions. An abuse must have been committed by an adult.

Outcome

The study should report on testing at least one psychometric property defined by the COSMIN (COnsensus-based Standards for the selection of health Measurement Instruments) checklist [18] of instruments designed to assess CM for use by different occupational groups that encounter maltreated children. The criteria for this review include content validity, structural validity, internal consistency, measurement invariance, reliability, measurement error, criterion validity, hypothesis testing and information in diagnostic tests such as sensitivity, specificity and predictive values.

Including criteria

Studies will be included if they were original empirical studies published or in press or conference proceedings that report on psychometric properties of instruments to assess CM. The instruments which will be included in this systematic review are required to meet the following inclusion criteria: (a) have as their main objective the evaluation of at least one psychometric property (b) be applicable to children less than 18 years old; and (c) be designed for use by occupational groups such as healthcare providers, teachers, social workers, psychotherapists or psychological expert witnesses.

Language of publication

Studies conducted in any country and reported in English, German, French or Spanish are included based on the language capabilities of the review team.

Exclusion Criteria

Studies on individuals older than 18 years, single case studies, case series, and studies on the prevention of child maltreatment or on risk factors for maltreatment will be excluded. Book chapters, letters, commentaries, reviews, meta-analyses, editorials, discussion papers and dissertations will be excluded. Studies that focus on maltreatment by individuals younger than 18 years old, intimate partner violence in adolescent's relationships, violence or bullying by peers, determining risk factors for child maltreatment, parental potential to maltreat children, community violence, loss of a parent, parents' attachment disorders and difficulties with the upbringing other than maltreatment will not be included. Self-report instruments, reports through caregivers and retrospective assessment of child maltreatment in adulthood will not be included in this review.

Data management

Studies will be exported from the respective databases to Endnote X9 in order to import them to Covidence [19]. Covidence will be used to remove duplicates, screen titles and abstracts, execute risk of bias assessment and data extraction and to calculate inter-rater agreement between reviewers.

Selection process

 Two reviewers will independently screen titles and abstracts based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Studies will be rated as maybe when title or abstract do not provide enough information to determine inclusion or exclusion. The full text will then be screened. Articles that clearly do not meet the inclusion criteria based on title and abstract or the full text will be excluded. Inconsistencies between the two reviewers will be resolved through discussion or in consultation with a third reviewer (BG or LK). After piloting the selection criteria, the inter-rater agreement will be calculated for 20 % of the articles. If the agreement is high enough (i.e. Cohen's Kappa > 0.6), the remaining articles will be screened by either DC or JS. If the agreement is not high enough, the reviewers will go through their conflicts and discuss the selection criteria before continuing to screen. More articles will be screened by both reviewers until the inter-rater-agreement is satisfactory. Reference lists of included papers and reviews on similar topics will be searched by DC, BG and LK to identify any additional relevant papers. In a second stage, reviewers will independently screen full texts (DC and JS) to determine if studies can be included or excluded for quality assessment and data extraction based on the defined criteria. The inter-rater-agreement will again be calculated. The reasons for excluded studies will be documented.

Data Extraction

A template based on the STROBE (Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology: Explanation and Elaboration) report [20] and the COSMIN Risk of Bias Checklist [18] will be modified for the purpose of this review and used to extract relevant data from included studies. The template will be piloted using 20 % eligible articles. Based on the pilot adjustments to the template will be made when deemed necessary. The pilot will be done independently by three reviewers (DC, JS and LR). After pilot testing and relevant adjustments, reviewers will independently extract data and the other will check the accuracy of the extracted data. Discrepancies will be discussed. If no consensus can be reached another reviewer will decide (BG or LK). The preliminary data extraction template covers the following topics: title, authors, publication year/year of study, country, aim of study, study design, sample source, method of recruitment, sample size, patient characteristics such as age, gender, socioeconomic status and ethnicity, study setting, type of maltreatment measured, number of subscales, number of items, time to complete the instrument, handling of missing

items, target population (age, nationality, gender), purpose of use, range of scores, response options, professional group to use the instrument, psychometric properties and whether the instrument is available in German and has been validated for Germany, method of data analysis and statistical outcomes. If any new categories are identified during the course of the review, they will be added, and the extraction database will be modified as needed. Studies with insufficient data will be excluded if contacting the corresponding authors by email will not contribute to clarification.

Quality Assessment

The quality of the individual studies will be assessed using relevant items from the COSMIN checklist [18, 21] and Downs and Black [22] Checklist for measuring study quality. The items will be adapted for this review and will include the following: clear aim/hypothesis; clear description of outcomes, maltreatment, population, and procedure; recruitment bias; statistical methods used; missing data; study design; measurement of psychometric properties according to the COSMIN Risk of Bias Checklist. Incongruent ratings will be solved through discussion, if necessary, including a third reviewer. Whenever articles lack relevant information, we will email the corresponding authors. A narrative summary of the quality for each study will be provided. The quality assessment will be done by DC and LR. The overall rating for each study will not be determined by taking the lowest rating of the assessed psychometric property as recommended in the COSMIN manual [21] since subtle differences in quality cannot be differentiated. A revised scoring system expressed as a percentage will be applied as suggested by others [23, 24]: poor (0-25%), fair (25-50%), good (50-75%), and excellent (75-100%).

Data analysis and synthesis

A qualitative synthesis of findings will be performed. All included studies will be presented in a table providing information on authors, country, sample size, gender, age, form of maltreatment, measurement of maltreatment, respondent type (occupational group) and study design. A second table will provide information on the quality of the included studies such as clear aim, measurement psychometric properties, report of results. A third table will present information on the identified instruments, the number of studies that contain information on psychometric criteria, information on the psychometric properties of the instruments and whether it is available in German language and has been validated for the

German population. A narrative synthesis of the findings from the included studies will be presented which will be structured by the type of instrument and the responding occupational group. Summaries of psychometric properties and the quality of the included studies will also be provided in text.

A meta-analysis will be performed if there are enough studies with information on psychometric properties and depending on the heterogeneity of types of maltreatment and psychometric properties assessed in the different studies. Results will be analyzed using a forest plot. Results from different study designs will not be pooled together (e.g., studies that assessed different types of maltreatment maltreatment and studies that assessed different types of psychometric criteria) to prevent a misleading summary of the study effect. We therefore plan to analyze data separately. Statistical heterogeneity will be assessed by calculating I^2 . Publication bias will be assessed using a funnel plot for each outcome by plotting the effect size against study size. An Egger test and the trim and fill method will be used to statistically test for publication bias.

Discussion

Prior studies, reviews and meta-analyses have highlighted the importance of valid measurement of CM to improve early detection. Systematic reviews hitherto have investigated psychometric properties of instruments designed to assess different forms of CM, focusing on caregiver or child's self-report, retrospective measurements of CM or primarily instruments for use in research. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first systematic review exploring psychometric properties of instruments to assess CM that can be used by different occupational groups in routine practice. The findings of this study will contribute to the development of novel instruments, the improvement of existing instruments and the validation and cultural adaptation of instruments for their use in German-speaking countries. It must be acknowledged that the review will have some limitations. The varying definitions of maltreatment and types of instruments used to assess CM might make results difficult to compare. This review aims to give a broad overview on the topic. Furthermore, studies published in languages not covered by this review might not be identified. Author affiliation

Patient and public involvement

Neither patients nor children, adolescents or parents could be involved because of the risk of traumatization or retraumatization. Instead our research team sought advice from different professionals with expertise in child abuse and neglect for developing the research question and study protocol for this review. Therefore we were able to gather expertise from members of the local child protection group, medical doctors, and management of the children's hospital beside our own experience in different fields.

Ethics and Dissemination

For this systematic review an ethical approval is not required because we will not be collecting any personal, sensitive or confidential data. The results of this systematic review will be disseminated by submission for publication in a peer-reviewed journal and presentations at important conferences.

Contributors

DC, JS, BG and EM conceived the idea; DC planned and designed the study protocol, FDB revised it and gave advice on methods and extraction plans; DC and JS will perform the screening; DC, BG and LK will search eligible papers for additional articles; DC and LR will conduct the quality assessment; DC, JS and LR will conduct the data extraction; DC performed the initial writing up; all authors contributed to the manuscript and agreed on methods for the study selection, extraction, synthesis and the final written manuscript of the protocol; all authors have read and approved the final manuscript, FDB will be the guarantor of the review.

Competing interest

292 None

Funding

- The paper represents independent research part funded by the Jürgen Manchot Stiftung, an institution subject to public law.
 - Patient consent for publication
- 297 Not applicable.

References

299 1. World Health Organization. *Child maltreatment*. 2020 05.05.2022]; Available from: 300 https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/child-maltreatment.

- 301 2. Hughes, K., et al., *The effect of multiple adverse childhood experiences on health: a*302 *systematic review and meta-analysis.* The Lancet Public Health, 2017. **2**(8): p. e356303 e366.
- 304 3. Norman, R.E., et al., *The long-term health consequences of child physical abuse,* and neglect: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS Med, 2012. **9**(11): p. e1001349.
- Dong, M., et al., *Insights into causal pathways for ischemic heart disease: adverse childhood experiences study.* Circulation, 2004. **110**(13): p. 1761-6.
- 5. Sharma, S., *Behavioural consequences of child abuse*. Institutionalised Children Explorations and Beyond, 2016. **3**(1): p. 66-76.
- 311 6. Clemens, V., et al., Association of child maltreatment subtypes and long-term physical 312 health in a German representative sample. Eur J Psychotraumatol, 2018. **9**(1): p. 313 1510278.
- 7. Choi, N.G., et al., Association of adverse childhood experiences with lifetime mental and substance use disorders among men and women aged 50+ years. Int Psychogeriatr, 2017. **29**(3): p. 359-372.
- 317 8. Fegert, J.M., et al., Auswirkungen von Missbrauch, Misshandlung und 318 Vernachlässigung im Kindesalter auf die psychische und physische Gesundheit im 319 Erwachsenenalter. Nervenheilkunde, 2018. **36**(03): p. 161-167.
- Carson, S.M., IMPLEMENTATION OF A COMPREHENSIVE PROGRAM TO IMPROVE
 CHILD PHYSICAL ABUSE SCREENING AND DETECTION IN THE EMERGENCY
 DEPARTMENT. Journal of Emergency Nursing, 2018. 44(6): p. 576-581.
- Rumball-Smith, J., et al., *Implementation of routine electronic health record-based child abuse screening in General Emergency Departments*. Child Abuse & Neglect, 2018. **85**: p. 58-67.
 - 11. Yoon, S., et al., A Systematic Review Evaluating Psychometric Properties of Parent or Caregiver Report Instruments on Child Maltreatment: Part 2: Internal Consistency, Reliability, Measurement Error, Structural Validity, Hypothesis Testing, Cross-Cultural Validity, and Criterion Validity. Trauma Violence Abuse, 2021. 22(5): p. 1296-1315.
- 330 12. Saini, S.M., et al., *Systematic review and critical appraisal of child abuse measurement instruments*. Psychiatry Res, 2019. **272**: p. 106-113.
- Page, M.J., et al., *PRISMA 2020 explanation and elaboration: updated guidance and exemplars for reporting systematic reviews.* BMJ, 2021. **372**: p. n160.
- 334 14. Moher, D., et al., *Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis* 335 protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Syst Rev, 2015. **4**: p. 1.
- 336 15. Fritz, J., et al., A Systematic Review of Amenable Resilience Factors That Moderate 337 and/or Mediate the Relationship Between Childhood Adversity and Mental Health in 338 Young People. Front Psychiatry, 2018. **9**: p. 230.
- 339 16. Chen, C.J., et al., *Screening Tools for Child Abuse Used by Healthcare Providers: A*340 *Systematic Review.* Journal of Nursing Research, 2022. **30**(1).
- World Health Organization. Preventing child maltreatment: a guide to taking action and generating evidence / World Health Organization and International Society for Prevention of Child Abuse and Neglect. 2006 05.05.2022]; Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/43499.

- 18. Mokkink, L.B., et al., COSMIN Risk of Bias checklist for systematic reviews of Patient-Reported Outcome Measures. Qual Life Res, 2018. 27(5): p. 1171-1179.
- Covidence systematic review software, Veritas Health Innovation, Melbourne, 19. Australia. 23.08.2022]; Available from: www.covidence.org.
- Vandenbroucke, J.P., et al., Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in 20. Epidemiology (STROBE): explanation and elaboration. Int J Surg, 2014. 12(12): p. 1500-24.
 - Prinsen, C.A.C., et al., COSMIN guideline for systematic reviews of patient-reported 21. outcome measures. Qual Life Res, 2018. 27(5): p. 1147-1157.
 - Downs, S.H. and N. Black, The feasibility of creating a checklist for the assessment of 22. the methodological quality both of randomised and non-randomised studies of health care interventions. Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health, 1998. 52(6): p. 377-384.
 - Yoon, S., et al., A Systematic Review Evaluating Psychometric Properties of Parent or 23. Caregiver Report Instruments on Child Maltreatment: Part 1: Content Validity. Trauma Violence Abuse, 2021. **22**(5): p. 1013-1031.
 - Cordier, R., et al., Evaluating the Psychometric Quality of Social Skills Measures: A 24. Systematic Review. PLoS One, 2015. 10(7): p. e0132299.

			BMJ Open	Ense	njopen-2024-089623
		<u>Sea</u>	rch Strategy	ht, includin	-089623 on
Database Host: Ovid				gfo	7 <u>2</u>
Database: PsycINFO				r us m	over
•				es ro	nbee
Date: 2.2.2022				gne elat	20:
Results: 2676				ed t	24.
Concept 1	Concept 2	Concept 3	Concept 4	Concept 5	ed fr
Population	Maltreatment	Psychometric Properties	Measurement	Entire Search Term	om htt
child* OR infan* OR	abus* OR	valid* OR	instrument* OR	((((child* or infan* or a	olescen* or teen* or youth* or pediatr'
adolescen* OR teen* OR	maltreat* OR	reliab* OR	scor* OR	paediatr* or young are	aby or babies or toddler* or minor* or
youth* OR pediatr* OR	"ill-treatm*" OR	psychometric*	screen* OR	1	analtreat* or "ill-treatm*" or "ill treatm
paediatr* OR young* OR	"ill treatm*" OR	OR accura* OR	scal* OR	neglect* or "expos 康 e	to intimate partner violence" or "exposur
baby OR babies OR toddler*	neglect* OR	"sensitivity" OR	question* OR	domestic violence" gr	streat* or assault* or molest* or "force
baby OR babies OR toddler* OR minor* OR girl* OR	"exposure to	"specificity" OR	report* OR	domestic violence" ತ್ತ್ರ್ಯ c sex" or "coerced se	streat* or assault* or molest* or "force" "unwanted sex" or "forced intercourse
baby OR babies OR toddler*	"exposure to intimate partner	"specificity" OR "ROC" OR	report* OR measur* OR	domestic violence sex" or "coerced sex" or "unwanted intercoerceds	sistreat* or assault* or molest* or "force" "unwanted sex" or "forced intercourse" or "forced penetration" or "unwanted
baby OR babies OR toddler* OR minor* OR girl* OR	"exposure to intimate partner violence" OR	"specificity" OR	report* OR measur* OR inventor* OR	domestic violence ser is sex" or "coerced sex" or "unwanted intercoerced penetration" or rape o	sistreat* or assault* or molest* or "force" "unwanted sex" or "forced intercourse" or "forced penetration" or "unwanted "sexual violence" or "unwanted touchin
baby OR babies OR toddler* OR minor* OR girl* OR	"exposure to intimate partner violence" OR "exposure to	"specificity" OR "ROC" OR	report* OR measur* OR inventor* OR interview* OR	domestic violence ser sex or "coerced sex" or "coerced sex or "unwanted intercourse penetration" or rape o "forced touching" of "s	streat* or assault* or molest* or "force of "g"unwanted sex" or "forced intercourse or "forced intercourse or "forced penetration" or "unwanted because or "unwanted touching sexual harrassment" or "physical harm" o
baby OR babies OR toddler* OR minor* OR girl* OR	"exposure to intimate partner violence" OR "exposure to domestic	"specificity" OR "ROC" OR	report* OR measur* OR inventor* OR interview* OR survey* OR	domestic violence ser of sex" or "coerced sex" or "unwanted intercoerce penetration" or rape of "forced touching" of "succession" or succession of the second sex of the second second sex of the second sex of the second sec	streat* or assault* or molest* or "force g"unwanted sex" or "forced intercourse or "forced intercourse or "forced penetration" or "unwanted ouching sexual violence" or "unwanted touching was all harrassment" or "physical harm" of "physical punishment")) and (instrum
baby OR babies OR toddler* OR minor* OR girl* OR	"exposure to intimate partner violence" OR "exposure to domestic violence" OR	"specificity" OR "ROC" OR	report* OR measur* OR inventor* OR interview* OR survey* OR test* OR	domestic violence sex or sex or "coerced sex" or "coerced sex or "unwanted intercoes or penetration" or rape o "forced touching" of "scorporal punishment" or scor* or screen*	streat* or assault* or molest* or "force of "unwanted sex" or "forced intercourse or "forced penetration" or "unwanted sex" or "unwanted touching sexual violence" or "unwanted touching sexual harrassment" or "physical harm" of "physical punishment")) and (instrum sexual* or question* or report* or measur*
baby OR babies OR toddler* OR minor* OR girl* OR	"exposure to intimate partner violence" OR "exposure to domestic	"specificity" OR "ROC" OR	report* OR measur* OR inventor* OR interview* OR survey* OR	domestic violence sex or sex or "coerced sex" or "coerced sex" or "unwanted intercoerse penetration" or rape o "forced touching" or "scorporal punishment" or scor* or screen*	streat* or assault* or molest* or "force "unwanted sex" or "forced intercourse" or "forced intercourse" or "forced penetration" or "unwanted buching sexual violence" or "unwanted touching sexual harrassment" or "physical harm" of "physical punishment")) and (instrum sexual* or question* or report* or measur*
baby OR babies OR toddler* OR minor* OR girl* OR	"exposure to intimate partner violence" OR "exposure to domestic violence" OR mistreat* OR	"specificity" OR "ROC" OR	report* OR measur* OR inventor* OR interview* OR survey* OR test* OR construct* OR	domestic violence sex or "coerced sex" or "coerced sex" or "unwanted intercoerced penetration" or rape or "forced touching" or "sucception" or scor* or screen or scor* or screen or inventor* or interventor or analys* or assess*)	streat* or assault* or molest* or "force "unwanted sex" or "forced intercourse" or "forced intercourse" or "forced penetration" or "unwanted g"sexual violence" or "unwanted touching to the sexual harrassment" or "physical harm" of "physical punishment")) and (instrum cal* or question* or report* or measur* or survey* or test* or construct* or evalud (valid* or reliab* or psychometric* o
baby OR babies OR toddler* OR minor* OR girl* OR	"exposure to intimate partner violence" OR "exposure to domestic violence" OR mistreat* OR assault* OR	"specificity" OR "ROC" OR	report* OR measur* OR inventor* OR interview* OR survey* OR test* OR construct* OR evaluat* OR	domestic violence ser or sex" or "coerced sex" or "unwanted intercoerced sex" or "forced touching" of "s "corporal punishment" or scor* or screen or inventor* or intervey or analys* or assess*) a accura* or "sensitivity"	streat* or assault* or molest* or "force "unwanted sex" or "forced intercourse" or "forced intercourse" or "forced penetration" or "unwanted buching sexual violence" or "unwanted touching sexual harrassment" or "physical harm" of "physical punishment")) and (instrum sexual* or question* or report* or measur*
baby OR babies OR toddler* OR minor* OR girl* OR	"exposure to intimate partner violence" OR "exposure to domestic violence" OR mistreat* OR assault* OR molest* OR	"specificity" OR "ROC" OR	report* OR measur* OR inventor* OR interview* OR survey* OR test* OR construct* OR evaluat* OR analys* OR	domestic violence sex or sex or "coerced sex" or "coerced sex" or "unwanted intercoerced penetration" or rape o "forced touching" or "s" "corporal punishment" or scor* or screen* or inventor* or intervey or analys* or assess*) a accura* or "sensitivity" ("substance abuse" or	streat* or assault* or molest* or "force of "unwanted sex" or "forced intercourse" or "forced penetration" or "unwanted sexual violence" or "unwanted touching was a harrassment" or "physical harm" of "physical harm" of "physical punishment")) and (instrum scal* or question* or report* or measur* or survey* or test* or construct* or evaluation or "specificity" or "ROC" or "AUC")) not
baby OR babies OR toddler* OR minor* OR girl* OR	"exposure to intimate partner violence" OR "exposure to domestic violence" OR mistreat* OR assault* OR molest* OR "forced sex" OR	"specificity" OR "ROC" OR	report* OR measur* OR inventor* OR interview* OR survey* OR test* OR construct* OR evaluat* OR analys* OR	domestic violence sex or sex or "coerced sex" or "unwanted intercoerced sex or "forced touching" of "s "corporal punishment" or scor* or screen or inventor* or intervey or analys* or assess*) accura* or "sensitivity" ("substance abuse" or "alcohol misuse" or "di infan* or adolescen* o	streat* or assault* or molest* or "force of "unwanted sex" or "forced intercourse" or "forced intercourse" or "forced penetration" or "unwanted touching sexual violence" or "unwanted touching sexual harrassment" or "physical harm" of "physical punishment")) and (instrum sexual* or question* or report* or measur* or survey* or test* or construct* or evaluated (valid* or reliab* or psychometric* of "specificity" or "ROC" or "AUC")) not

			BMJ Open	by copyright, including for uses re	Page 1
Database Host: Ovid				inclu	9623
Database: Medline				ding f	on 7 h
Date: 2.2.2022				or us	OVER THE TENT OF T
Results: 2661					
Limits: English, German, Fren	<u>^</u>			io text	conference proceedings
Concept 1	Concept 2	Concept 3	Concept 4	Concept 5	i a
Population	Maltreatment	Psychometric Properties	Measurement	Entire Search Term	hed
child* OR infan* OR adolescen* OR teen* OR youth* OR pediatr* OR paediatr* OR young* OR baby OR babies OR toddler* OR minor* OR girl* OR boy*	abus* OR maltreat* OR "ill-treatm*" OR "ill treatm*" OR neglect* OR "exposure to intimate partner violence" OR "exposure to domestic violence" OR mistreat* OR assault* OR molest* OR "forced sex" OR "unwanted sex" OR "forced intercourse" OR "unwanted	valid* OR reliab* OR psychometric* OR accura* OR "sensitivity" OR "specificity" OR "ROC" OR "AUC"	instrument* OR scor* OR screen* OR scal* OR question* OR report* OR measur* OR inventor* OR interview* OR survey* OR test* OR construct* OR evaluat* OR analys* OR assess*	paediatr* or young or boy*) adj4 (abus*) or boy*) adj4 (abus*) or neglect* or "exposure domestic violence" or sex" or "coerced sex" or "unwanted intercoers penetration" or rappe or "forced touching" or scor* or screen* or inventor* or intervoew or analys* or assessor) accura* or "sensitivoty ("substance abuse" or "alcohol misuse" or "dinfan* or adolescen* or young* or baby or bab (abus* or maltreat* or	decolescen* or teen* or youth* or pediatr* or decolescen* or teen* or youth* or pediatr* or decolescen* or teen* or youth* or pediatr* or girl* or maltreat* or "ill-treatm*" or "ill treatm*" or teen intimate partner violence" or "exposure to redistreat* or assault* or molest* or "forced or "unwanted sex" or "forced intercourse" or "end or "forced penetration" or "unwanted touching" or sexual violence" or "unwanted touching" or "exposure to physical punishment")) and (instrument* or "physical punishment")) and (instrument* or survey* or test* or construct* or evaluat* or survey* or test* or construct* or evaluat* or "specificity" or "ROC" or "AUC")) not "substance misuse" or "alcohol abuse" or "graph abuse" or "drug misuse")).ti. or ((((child* or pediatr* or paediatr* or pediatr* or paediatr* or pediatr* or pediatr* or neglect* or "exposure to domestic

			BMJ Open	En by copyright, including for use	njopen-2024-089623
Database: Scopus				inclu	
Date: 2.2.2022				ding f	on 7 -
Results: 5523				or us	Vover
Limits: English, German, Fren	^			cument Type: articles in the same of the s	80 Annierence proceedings
Concept 1	Concept 2	Concept 3	Concept 4	Concept 5	<u>0</u> ★
Population	Maltreatment	Psychometric Properties	Measurement	Entire Search Tera	nload
child* OR infan* OR	abus* OR	valid* OR	instrument* OR	TITLE-ABS-KEY (((🖨 🛱	OR infan* OR adolescen* OR teen* OR
adolescen* OR teen* OR	maltreat* OR	reliab* OR	scor* OR	youth* OR pediatr 🛣 🛣	🛱 paediatr* OR young* OR baby OR babies
youth* OR pediatr* OR	"ill-treatm*" OR	psychometric*	screen* OR		OR girl* OR boy*) W/4 (abus* OR
paediatr* OR young* OR	"ill treatm*" OR	OR accura* OR	scal* OR	· -	* OR "ill treatm*" OR neglect* OR
baby OR babies OR toddler*	neglect* OR	"sensitivity" OR	question* OR	· - ·	rtner violence" OR "exposure to domestic
OR minor* OR girl* OR	"exposure to	"specificity" OR	report* OR	=	OR assault* OR molest* OR "forced sex"
boy*	intimate partner	"ROC" OR	measur* OR		unwanted sex" OR "forced intercourse" OR
	violence" OR	"AUC"	inventor* OR		GR "forced penetration" OR "unwanted
	"exposure to		interview* OR	0.	R "sexual violence" OR "unwanted
	domestic violence" OR		survey* OR test* OR		grporal punishment" OR "physical
	mistreat* OR		construct* OR		Instrument* OR scor* OR screen* OR scal*
	assault* OR		evaluat* OR		t OR measur* OR inventor* OR t OR measur* OR inventor*
	molest* OR		analys* OR		COR test* OR construct* OR evaluat* OR
	"forced sex" OR		assess*		ND (valid* OR reliab* OR psychometric*
	"coerced sex" OR				xity" OR "specificity" OR "ROC" OR "AUC"
	"unwanted sex"			•	abuse" OR "substance misuse" OR
	OR "forced			"alcohol abuse" OR "a	ohol misuse" OR "drug abuse" OR "drug
	intercourse" OR				፮-TO (LANGUAGE, "English") OR LIMIT-TO (
	"unwanted				OR LIMIT-TO (LANGUAGE, "French") OR
	intercourse" OR				를"Spanish")) AND (LIMIT-TO (DOCTYPE ,
	"forced			"ar") OR LIMIT-TO (D	ਊ CTYPE <i>,</i> "cp"))

Page 20 of 22

			BMJ Open	End by copyright, including for use	Page 2
Database: Web of Science				inclu	
Date: 2.2.2022				ding f	on 7 I
Results: 2939				or us	Vover
Limits: English, German, Fren	^			ument Type: articles of a second	2
Concept 1	Concept 2	Concept 3	Concept 4	Concept 5	0
Population	Maltreatment	Psychometric Properties	Measurement	Entire Search Tering	nload
child* OR infan* OR	abus* OR	valid* OR	instrument* OR	((TI=(((child* OR inक्किक्ति	R adolescen* OR teen* OR youth* OR
adolescen* OR teen* OR	maltreat* OR	reliab* OR	scor* OR	pediatr* OR paedia∰r∰	୍ରିଟିR young* OR baby OR babies OR toddler* OR
youth* OR pediatr* OR	"ill-treatm*" OR	psychometric*	screen* OR	minor* OR girl* OR	<mark>]]</mark>) NEAR/4 (abus* OR maltreat* OR "ill-
paediatr* OR young* OR	"ill treatm*" OR	OR accura* OR	scal* OR	I	de l'exposure to intimate
baby OR babies OR toddler*	neglect* OR	"sensitivity" OR	question* OR		posure to domestic violence" OR mistreat*
OR minor* OR girl* OR	"exposure to	"specificity" OR	report* OR	=.	증OR "forced sex" OR "coerced sex" OR
boy*	intimate partner	"ROC" OR	measur* OR	3	Eced intercourse" OR "unwanted intercourse"
	violence" OR	"AUC"	inventor* OR	·	ਨੂੰ OR "unwanted penetration" OR rape OR
	"exposure to		interview* OR	0	wanted touching" OR "forced touching" OR
	domestic		survey* OR		"physical harm" OR "corporal punishment"
	violence" OR		test* OR		egt")) AND (instrument* OR scor* OR screen*
	mistreat* OR assault* OR		construct* OR		DR report* OR measur* OR inventor* OR
	molest* OR		evaluat* OR analys* OR		ਊR test* OR construct* OR evaluat* OR ₩⊋ (valid* OR reliab* OR psychometric* OR
	"forced sex" OR		assess*		Not reliable on psycholinetric on Not specificity" OR "ROC" OR "AUC") NOT
	"coerced sex" OR		d33C33	— :	Substance misuse" OR "alcohol abuse" OR
	"unwanted sex"				Hug abuse" OR "drug misuse"))) OR
	OR "forced				BR adolescen* OR teen* OR youth* OR
	intercourse" OR				R young* OR baby OR babies OR toddler* OR
	"unwanted				NEAR/4 (abus* OR maltreat* OR "ill-
	intercourse" OR			_	OR neglect* OR "exposure to intimate
	"forced				posure to domestic violence" OR mistreat*

6

8

43

BMJ Open

Systematic Review of child maltreatment screening tools used by different occupational groups - A Study Protocol

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2024-089623.R1
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	12-Sep-2024
Complete List of Authors:	Colley, Denise; University Hospital of Düsseldorf, Department of General Pediatrics, Neonatology and Pediatric Cardiology and Center for Health and Society (chs), Unit of Child Health Services Research; Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf Seidler, Jenny; University Hospital of Düsseldorf, Department of General Pediatrics, Neonatology and Pediatric Cardiology and Center for Health and Society (chs), Unit of Child Health Services Research; Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf Rollbühler, Philipp; University Hospital of Düsseldorf, Department of General Pediatrics, Neonatology and Pediatric Cardiology and Center for Health and Society (chs), Unit of Child Health Services Research; Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf Rasch, Lena; University Hospital of Düsseldorf, Department of General Pediatrics, Neonatology and Pediatric Cardiology and Center for Health and Society (chs), Unit of Child Health Services Research; Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf Küppers, Lisa; University Hospital of Düsseldorf, Institut of Legal Medicine, Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf Mayatepek, Ertan; Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf Mayatepek, Ertan; Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf, Department of General Paediatrics, Neonatology and Paediatric Cardiology De Bock, Freia; Universitätsklinikum Düsseldorf, Department of General Pediatrics, Neonatology and Pediatric Cardiology and Center for Health and Society (chs), Unit of Child Health Services Research; Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf
Primary Subject Heading :	Paediatrics
Secondary Subject Heading:	Public health
Keywords:	Systematic Review, Psychometrics, Child protection < PAEDIATRICS, PUBLIC HEALTH

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2024-089623 on 7 November 2024. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 14, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Systematic Review of child maltreatment screening

tools used by different occupational groups - A Study

3 Protocol

- 4 First Author:
- 5 Denise Colley, Department of General Pediatrics, Neonatology and Pediatric Cardiology,
- 6 Medical Faculty, University Hospital Düsseldorf, Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf,
- 7 Germany
- **Co-authors:**
- 9 Jenny Seidler, Department of General Pediatrics, Neonatology and Pediatric Cardiology,
- 10 Medical Faculty, University Hospital Düsseldorf, Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf,
- 11 Germany
- 12 Philipp Rollbühler, Department of General Pediatrics, Neonatology and Pediatric Cardiology,
- 13 Medical Faculty, University Hospital Düsseldorf, Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf,
- 14 Germany
- 15 Lena Rasch, Department of General Pediatrics, Neonatology and Pediatric Cardiology, Medical
- 16 Faculty, University Hospital Düsseldorf, Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany
- 17 Lisa Küppers, Institute of Legal Medicine, Medical Faculty, University Hospital Düsseldorf,
- 18 Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany
- 19 Britta Gahr, Institute of Legal Medicine, Medical Faculty, University Hospital Düsseldorf,
- 20 Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany
- 21 Ertan Mayatepek, Department of General Pediatrics, Neonatology and Pediatric Cardiology,
- 22 Medical Faculty, University Hospital Düsseldorf, Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf,
- 23 Germany
- 24 Corresponding and last author:
- 25 Freia De Bock, Department of General Pediatrics, Neonatology and Pediatric Cardiology,
- 26 Medical Faculty, University Hospital Düsseldorf, Heinrich Heine University, Moorenstraße 5,
- 27 40225 Düsseldorf, Germany. Telephone: 0211 81 08194, E-Mail: Freia.DeBock@med.uni-
- 28 duesseldorf.de

- 29 Keywords: systematic review, child maltreatment, child abuse, child neglect, instrument,
- 30 screening, psychometric properties
- **Word count:** 3440

Abstract

Background: Child maltreatment encompasses physical, emotional or sexual abuse, physical or emotional/psychological neglect, or intimate partner (or domestic) violence and is associated with adverse cognitive, behavioral, physical and social outcomes that often continue shaping adulthood. The early and valid detection of child maltreatment is essential to initiate treatment and intervention as well as to avoid that violence against the child continues. Various occupational groups such as health care providers, teachers, social workers, psychotherapists and others encounter maltreated children in their professional settings. Systematic reviews on instruments to assess suspected child maltreatment often report on retrospective measurement via caregiver's or child's self-report and are frequently limited to the health system as a setting. The purpose of this PRISMA-compliant systematic review is to synthesize the evidence on psychometric properties of instruments to assess suspected child maltreatment at the presentation to a broad range of different occupational groups who work with children in- and outside the healthcare system.

Method: A systematic search will be performed in Scopus, PsycInfo, Medline and Web of Science with no limit on the earliest publication until January 2022. Eligibility criteria include studies that investigate psychometric properties of instruments to assess suspected child maltreatment in children and adolescents under 18 years by a professional proxy. After independent screening of studies by two reviewers, quality assessment and data extraction will be performed using an adaptation of the COSMIN Risk of Bias checklist, the STROBE report and Downs and Black Checklist for measuring study quality. Screening, quality assessment and data extraction will be done using Covidence. The results will be presented in narrative form and if adequate a meta-analysis will be performed.

Discussion: This review aims to give an overview of the psychometric properties of different instruments designed to screen suspected child maltreatment by professional proxies. The results will be of interest to different occupational groups who need information about methodological quality and characteristics of instruments to make decisions about the best

1	
2	
3	
_	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
-	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
10	
16 17 18	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
26 27	
2/	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
44 45	
46	
47	

64

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

- suited tool for a specific purpose. Furthermore, the results of this review will support the development of novel instruments and might improve the existing ones.
- 61 Systematic review registration: PROSPERO registration number: CRD42022297997
- Funding: Funded by the Jürgen Manchot Stiftung, an institution subject to public law (grantnumber 701 800 897).

65 Strengths and Limitations:

- A broad range of occupational groups from various settings was included in our search strategy.
- Studies in four different languages were incorporated.
- Varying definitions of maltreatment and the diverse instruments used to assess suspected CM may impede the comparability of results.
- The use of a limited number of databases may have resulted in missing relevant studies.

Background

The World Health Organization (WHO) defines child maltreatment (CM) as all forms of abuse (physical, sexual and emotional), neglect (physical or emotional) and child sexual exploitation that result in potential or actual harm to a child's physical or psychological health, development or survival [1]. Increasingly, an additional form of exposure can be recognized, namely intimate partner violence (or domestic violence) during childhood [2]. Around a quarter of all children will face abuse or neglect at some stage in their lives [1, 3]. Additionally, two-thirds of children experience violent disciplinary practices from their caregivers and almost 75% of children between the ages of two and four are regularly subjected to physical punishment or psychological violence by those entrusted with their care[4]. Recent studies and reviews show that CM has detrimental emotional, cognitive, behavioral, physical and social outcomes that often continue until adulthood [5-7]. CM does not necessarily become apparent through consistent symptoms and signs, however, existing guidelines [8] have undertaken extensive reviews on symptoms and signs and can guide practitioners. Symptoms might range from bruises to fractures and behavioral problems such as aggression and substance abuse [9, 10]. Maltreatment often requires diagnostic input and treatment from multiple physicians and the process of identification is burdened by unavailable or inconclusive medical history, thus remains difficult and may suffer from misleading judgements [11]. Nevertheless, an early and valid detection of CM is essential to initiate treatment and intervention as well as to avoid ongoing maltreatment [12]. Various occupational groups such as health care providers, teachers, social workers, psychotherapists, psychological expert witnesses and others encounter maltreated children in their professional settings. However, existing instruments to assess suspected CM rely on results of medical examinations, analysis of medical records or the child's or caregivers' self-report [13-15]. Despite their potential role in detecting maltreatment, health-care providers and other occupational groups in their settings often lack knowledge or confidence in screening for and detecting potential child abuse [16]. Using valid screening tools or instruments to assess suspected CM could increase knowledge and confidence in child physical abuse recognition [17, 18] and improve reporting rates [19].

Objectives/Rationale

Systematic reviews on the psychometric properties of instruments to assess suspected CM exist [13-15, 20-22]. Most of them focus on caregiver and child self-report instruments [14, 20, 21]. These instruments have shortcomings, such as not being appropriate for infants, being influenced by recall bias and social desirability, which makes them primarily used in research but not everyday care practice. To our knowledge, only a few systematic reviews report on instruments that can be completed by healthcare professionalss [13, 15, 22]. Evidence on instruments that can be used by occupational groups other than health professionals (e.g. social workers, psychotherapists, psychological expert witnesses or professionals in Child Protection Systems) working with potentially maltreated children in multiple settings (e.g. youth services, out-of-hours primary care locations, family counselling services etc.) lacking hitherto. However, to enable improved detection of CM at scale, instruments for broader occupational groups and settings are essential. In addition, previous reviews that report on self-report instruments focused on studies published in English or Chinese [13, 15, 22] those on healthcare professionals only included English language publications [14, 20, 21].

Therefore, the objective of the planned review is to assess and compare psychometric properties and study quality for existing instruments to identify suspected CM, which can be used by different occupational groups working with children in multiple settings, as searched for in four languages.

The review questions will be:

- 1. Which (screening) instruments exist for different occupational groups to identify suspected child maltreatment (at least on type of CM)?
- 2. What are their psychometric properties, how do these compare across instruments and how is the quality of the underlying study?
- 3. Do instruments exist in German, and have they been validated for the German context?

Methods/design

The systematic review will be conducted and reported in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines [23]. The review protocol has been written according to the PRISMA-P (Preferred Reporting Items for

 Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Protocols) guideline [24]. The checklist can be found in Appendix A. To provide a transparent documentation and illustration of the review process, we will include a PRISMA Chart [23]. The protocol has been registered at the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews in January 2022 (PROSPERO, registration number: CRD42022297997).

Search Strategy

The following databases were searched with no limit on the earliest publication until January 19th, 2022: Scopus, Web of Science, PsycInfo (via Ovid) and MEDLINE (via Ovid). Journal articles, in press articles and conference papers were searched in English, German, French and Spanish. Reviews and meta-analyses on similar topics aroundthe measurement of child maltreatment are used to develop the search terms [13-15]. We will select terms based on the population (e.g., children, adolescents), exposure (e.g., maltreatment, abuse, and neglect), instruments (e.g., instrument, score, scale) and psychometric properties (e.g., reliability, validity). The initial search strategy was tested on Scopus and adapted for use in other databases after it had been finalized. The search strategy for each database can be found in Appendix B. Reference lists of included papers and reviews on similar topics will also be searched to identify any additional relevant papers.

Eligibility criteria

Population

The study population will include instruments used by a broad range of occupational groups to assess suspected CM in children and adolescents 18 years or younger in various settings.

Exposure

Studies should include instruments that assess at least one or multiple types of child maltreatment (CM). CM encompasses the following [1, 2, 25]: Physical, emotional or sexual abuse, physical or emotional/psychological neglect, or intimate partner (or domestic) violence in childhood before the age of 18 years. Other childhood experiences that can be considered as adversities or trauma rather than CM [25] will be excluded. Physical abuse is defined as the use of intentional force against a child resulting in harm to the child's health, development or survival. Sexual abuse involves a child in sexual activity that she or he does not fully

comprehend. Emotional abuse involves failure of a caregiver to provide a supportive and developmentally appropriate environment. Neglect is defined as the failure to provide for the child in one or more of the following areas: health, education, emotional development, nutrition, shelter and safe living conditions. An abuse must have been committed by an adult. A child is exposed to domestic violence when the child observes a parent or family member experiencing assaults, threats, or property damage inflicted by another adult or teenager who typically resides in the home [27].

Outcome

 The study should report on testing at least one psychometric property listed by the COSMIN (COnsensus-based Standards for the selection of health Measurement Instruments) checklist [28] of instruments designed to assess suspected CM for use by different occupational groups that encounter potentially maltreated children. The outcomes that are extracted and evaluated criteria for this review include content validity, structural validity, internal consistency, measurement invariance, reliability, measurement error, criterion validity, hypothesis testing and information in diagnostic tests such as sensitivity, specificity and predictive values. All these outcomes were defined in the COSMIN checklist, including their potential operationalization (e.g. Crohnbach's alpha, Receiver Operator Characteristic Curve (ROC), Cohen's Kappa, T-Test).

Inclusion criteria

Studies will be included if they were original empirical studies published or in press or conference proceedings that report on psychometric properties of instruments to assess suspected CM. The instruments which will be included in this systematic review are required to meet the following inclusion criteria: (a) have as their main objective the evaluation of at least one psychometric property (b) be applicable to children less than 18 years old; and (c) be designed for use by occupational groups such as social workers, psychotherapists, psychological expert witnesses or professionals in Child Protection Systems.

Language of publication

Studies conducted in any country and reported in English, German, French or Spanish are included based on the language capabilities of the review team.

Exclusion Criteria

Studies on individuals older than 18 years, single case studies, case series, and studies on the prevention of child maltreatment or on risk factors for maltreatment will be excluded. Book chapters, letters, commentaries, reviews, meta-analyses, editorials, discussion papers and dissertations will be excluded. Studies that focus on maltreatment carried out by individuals younger than 18 years old, or childhood experiences that can be considered adversities or trauma rather than maltreatment will not be included. Self-report instruments, reports through caregivers and retrospective assessment of child maltreatment in adulthood will also not be included.

Data management

Studies will be exported from the respective databases to Endnote X9 in order to import them to Covidence [29]. Covidence will be used to remove duplicates, screen titles and abstracts, execute risk of bias assessment and data extraction and to calculate inter-rater agreement between reviewers.

Selection process

Two reviewers will independently screen titles and abstracts based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Studies will be rated as *maybe* when title or abstract do not provide enough information to determine inclusion or exclusion. The full text will then be screened. Articles that clearly do not meet the inclusion criteria based on title and abstract or the full text will be excluded. Inconsistencies between the two reviewers will be resolved through discussion or in consultation with a third reviewer (BG or LK). After piloting the selection criteria, the inter-rater agreement will be calculated for 20 % of the articles. If the agreement is high enough (i.e. Cohen's Kappa > 0.6), the remaining articles will be screened by either DC or JS. If the agreement is not high enough, the reviewers will go through their conflicts and discuss the selection criteria before continuing to screen. More articles will be screened by both reviewers until the inter-rater-agreement is satisfactory. Reference lists of included papers and reviews on similar topics will be searched by DC, BG and LK to identify any additional relevant papers. In a second stage, reviewers will independently screen full texts (DC and JS) to determine if studies can be included or excluded for quality assessment and data extraction

Data Extraction

A template based on the STROBE (Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology: Explanation and Elaboration) report [30] and the COSMIN Risk of Bias Checklist [28] will be modified for the purpose of this review and used to extract relevant data from included studies. The template will be piloted using 20 % eligible articles. Based on the pilot adjustments to the template will be made when deemed necessary. The pilot will be done independently by three reviewers (DC, JS and LR). After pilot testing and relevant adjustments, reviewers will independently extract data and the other will check the accuracy of the extracted data. Discrepancies will be discussed. If no consensus can be reached another reviewer will decide (BG or LK). The preliminary data extraction template covers the following topics: title, authors, publication year/year of study, country, aim of study, study design, sample source, method of recruitment, sample size, individual characteristics such as age, gender, socioeconomic status and ethnicity, study setting, type of maltreatment measured, number of subscales, number of items, time to complete the instrument, handling of missing items, target population (age, nationality, gender), purpose of use, range of scores, response options, occupational group to use the instrument, psychometric properties and whether the instrument is available in German and has been validated for Germany, method of data analysis and statistical outcomes. If any new categories are identified during the course of the review, they will be added, and the extraction database will be modified as needed. Studies with insufficient data will be excluded if contacting the corresponding authors by email will not contribute to clarification.

Quality Assessment

The quality of the individual studies will be assessed using relevant items from the COSMIN checklist [28, 31] and Downs and Black [32] Checklist for measuring study quality. The items will be adapted for this review and will include the following: clear aim/hypothesis; clear description of outcomes, maltreatment, population, and procedure; recruitment bias; statistical methods used; missing data; study design; measurement of psychometric properties according to the COSMIN Risk of Bias Checklist. Incongruent ratings will be solved through discussion, if necessary, including a third reviewer. Whenever articles lack relevant

 information, we will email the corresponding authors. A narrative summary of the quality for each study will be provided. The quality assessment will be done by PR and LR. The overall rating for each study will not be determined by taking the lowest rating of the assessed psychometric property as recommended in the COSMIN manual [31] since subtle differences in quality cannot be differentiated. A revised scoring system expressed as a percentage will be applied as suggested by others [33, 34]: poor (0-25%), fair (25-50%), good (50-75%), and excellent (75-100%).

Data analysis and synthesis

A qualitative synthesis of findings will be performed. All included studies will be presented in a table providing information on authors, country, sample size, gender, age, form of maltreatment, measurement of maltreatment, respondent type (occupational group) and study design. A second table will provide information on the quality of the included studies such as clear aim, measurement psychometric properties, report of results. A third table will present information on the identified instruments, the number of studies that contain information on psychometric criteria, information on the psychometric properties of the instruments and whether it is available in German language and has been validated for the German population. A narrative synthesis of the findings from the included studies will be presented which will be structured by the type of instrument and the responding occupational group. Summaries of psychometric properties and the quality of the included studies will also be provided in text.

A meta-analysis will be performed if there are enough studies with information on psychometric properties and depending on the heterogeneity of types of maltreatment and psychometric properties assessed in the different studies [35]. Results will be analyzed using forest plots. Results from different study designs will not be pooled together (e.g., studies that assessed different types of maltreatment and studies that assessed different types of psychometric criteria) to prevent a misleading summary of the study effect. We therefore plan to analyze data separately. Statistical heterogeneity will be assessed by, e.g. by calculating l^2 or Cochran's Q-Test [36] . Publication bias will be assessed using a funnel plot for each outcome by plotting the standardized psychometric indicator against study size. To statistically test for publication bias, we plan to use e.g the Egger regression test [37] and the

trim and fill method [38],to assess the significance of potential publication bias as well as to adjust for it [39].

Patient and public involvement

Neither patients nor children, adolescents or parents could be involved because of the risk of traumatization or retraumatization. Instead our research team sought advice from different professionals with expertise in CM for developing the research question and study protocol for this review. Therefore we were able to gather expertise from members of the local child protection group, medical doctors, and management of the children's hospital beside our own experience in different fields.

Discussion

Prior research [17, 40] has highlighted the importance of valid measurement of suspected CM to improve early detection. The studies that will be summarized in this review might indicate that multiple occupational groups such as health care providers, teachers, social workers, psychotherapists, psychological experts in various settings (e.g. youth services, out-of-hours primary care locations, family counselling services etc.) may encounter child maltreatment (CM) and have a need for validated instruments.

Systematic reviews hitherto have investigated psychometric properties of instruments designed to assess different forms of CM, however focusing on caregiver or child's self-report [20], the potential use of results of medical examinations and medical records [13, 15, 22], or retrospective measurements of suspected CM and instruments primarily used in research [13-15]. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first systematic review that will explore psychometric properties of instruments to assess suspected CM that can be used by different occupational groups in different settings of routine practice.

Through the review, the comparison of the studies and the thorough data extraction process, we will provide insights into the quality of the reporting of existing studies as well as the range in validity. In addition, by giving an oversight over items from reliable and valid existing instruments, this review may inform professionals who work with children in and outside the healthcare system and support the use of instruments in practice; if needed, the development of novel instruments might be inspired. This in turn might increase future intervention possibilities across multiple settings. The findings of this review might also lead to the

 validation and cultural adaptation of instruments in German-speaking countries and thus increase their availability for the German child protection system.

Strengths and limitations

The varying definitions of maltreatment and types of instruments used to assess suspected CM might make results difficult to compare, despite of the consistent definition that we used throughout our project [25]. A strength of our review is that we will be able to increase the number of languages searched in to four (English, German, Spanish, French). However, due to resource issues, we will only search for articles in four databases, potentially missing out on studies published in data bases not covered by this review.

Conclusions

By its broad approach, this review will present the international evidence on the validity and psychometric results of existing screening tools to assess suspected CM across various occupational groups and in multiple settings. By evaluating and comparing the psychometric properties and study quality, this review will increase the utilization of existing tools and inspire the development of new tools, with the effect to increase the overall validity and reliability of instruments in the field. By additionally inquiring the availability and validation of these instruments in German-speaking countries, we may ease the utilization of existing tools in German contexts.

Ethics and Dissemination

For this systematic review an ethical approval is not required because we will not be collecting any personal, sensitive or confidential data. The results of this systematic review will be disseminated by submission for publication in a peer-reviewed journal and presentations at important conferences.

Contributors

DC, JS, BG and EM conceived the idea; DC planned and designed the study protocol, FDB revised it and gave advice on methods and extraction plans; DC and JS will perform the screening; DC, BG and LK will search eligible papers for additional articles; PR and LR will conduct the quality assessment; DC, JS and LR will conduct the data extraction; DC performed the initial writing up; all authors contributed to the manuscript and agreed on methods for

340	the study selection, extraction, synthesis and the final written manuscript of the protocol; all
341	authors have read and approved the final manuscript, FDB will be the guarantor of the review.

Competing interest

343 None

Funding

The paper represents independent research part funded by the Jürgen Manchot Stiftung, an institution subject to public law (grant number 701 800 897).

- 347 Patient consent for publication
- 348 Not applicable.

References

350 1. World Health Organization. *Child maltreatment*. 2022 20.08.2024]; Available from: 351 https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/child-maltreatment.

- 352 2. Mathews, B., et al., *Improving measurement of child abuse and neglect: A systematic review and analysis of national prevalence studies.* PLoS One, 2020. **15**(1): p. e0227884.
- 354 3. Brown, C.L., M. Yilanli, and A.L. Rabbitt, *Child Physical Abuse and Neglect*, in *StatPearls*.
 355 2024: Treasure Island (FL) ineligible companies. Disclosure: Musa Yilanli declares no relevant financial relationships with ineligible companies. Disclosure: Angela Rabbitt declares no relevant financial relationships with ineligible companies.
- UNICEF Every child is protected from violence, exploitation, abuse, neglect and harmful practices. Global annual results report 2022: Goal area. 2022. 3.
 - 5. Hughes, K., et al., The effect of multiple adverse childhood experiences on health: a systematic review and meta-analysis. The Lancet Public Health, 2017. **2**(8): p. e356-e366.
 - 6. Norman, R.E., et al., *The long-term health consequences of child physical abuse, emotional abuse, and neglect: a systematic review and meta-analysis.* PLoS Med, 2012. **9**(11): p. e1001349.
- Pfaltz, M.C., et al., Social Functioning in Individuals Affected by Childhood
 Maltreatment: Establishing a Research Agenda to Inform Interventions. Psychother
 Psychosom, 2022. 91(4): p. 238-251.
- 369 8. Kinderschutzleitlinienbüro *Leitlinie Kindesmisshandlung, -missbrauch,* 370 *vernachlässigung unter Einbindung der Jugendhilfe*
- 371 und Pädagogik (Kinderschutzleitlinie)
- 372 Langfassung 1.0, 2019. **AWMF-Registernummer: 027 069**, S. 238–250.
- 373 9. Rosendahl, K., et al., *The incidence of fractures in children under two years of age: a systematic review.* BMC Musculoskelet Disord, 2024. **25**(1): p. 528.
- Davis, R.S., et al., Systematic Review and Meta-analysis: Group-Based Interventions for
 Treating Posttraumatic Stress Symptoms in Children and Adolescents. J Am Acad Child
 Adolesc Psychiatry, 2023. 62(11): p. 1217-1232.
- Toros, K. and A. Falch-Eriksen, "I got to say two or three lines"-A systematic review of children's participation in child protective services. Child Abuse Negl, 2024: p. 106934.
- 380 12. Chartier, M.J., J.R. Walker, and B. Naimark, Separate and cumulative effects of adverse childhood experiences in predicting adult health and health care utilization. Child Abuse Negl, 2010. **34**(6): p. 454-64.
- 383 13. Saini, S.M., et al., *Systematic review and critical appraisal of child abuse measurement instruments.* Psychiatry Res, 2019. **272**: p. 106-113.
- 385 14. Yoon, S., et al., A Systematic Review Evaluating Psychometric Properties of Parent or 386 Caregiver Report Instruments on Child Maltreatment: Part 2: Internal Consistency, 387 Reliability, Measurement Error, Structural Validity, Hypothesis Testing, Cross-Cultural 388 Validity, and Criterion Validity. Trauma Violence Abuse, 2021. **22**(5): p. 1296-1315.
- 55 389 15. Chen, C.J., et al., Screening Tools for Child Abuse Used by Healthcare Providers: A Systematic Review. Journal of Nursing Research, 2022. **30**(1).
- 391 16. Maier, A., J.M. Fegert, and U. Hoffmann, "An uncomfortable topic": Health professionals' perspectives on child protection capacities, training offers and the potential need for action in Germany. BMC Health Serv Res, 2022. **22**(1): p. 571.

- 397 18. Ferrara, P., et al., *The impact of an educational program on recognition, treatment and report of child abuse.* Ital J Pediatr, 2017. **43**(1): p. 72.
- 399 19. Rumball-Smith, J., et al., *Implementation of routine electronic health record-based child*400 *abuse screening in General Emergency Departments.* Child Abuse & Neglect, 2018. **85**:
 401 p. 58-67.
- 402 20. Booth, A.T., et al., *Child-Reported Family Violence: A Systematic Review of Available Instruments.* Trauma Violence Abuse, 2024. **25**(2): p. 1661-1679.
 - Steele, B., et al., Measuring Violence Against Children: A COSMIN Systematic Review of the Psychometric and Administrative Properties of Adult Retrospective Self-report Instruments on Child Abuse and Neglect. Trauma Violence Abuse, 2024. **25**(1): p. 183-196.
 - 22. Pavone, V., et al., *Diagnostic Tools in the Detection of Physical Child Abuse: A Systematic Review.* Children (Basel), 2022. **9**(8).
- 410 23. Page, M.J., et al., *PRISMA 2020 explanation and elaboration: updated guidance and exemplars for reporting systematic reviews.* BMJ, 2021. **372**: p. n160.
- 412 24. Moher, D., et al., *Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement.* Syst Rev, 2015. **4**: p. 1.
- 414 25. Fares-Otero, N.E. and S. Seedat, *Childhood maltreatment: A call for a standardised definition and applied framework.* Eur Neuropsychopharmacol, 2024. **87**: p. 24-26.
- 416 26. World Health Organization. Preventing child maltreatment: a guide to taking action 417 and generating evidence / World Health Organization and International Society for 418 Prevention of Child Abuse and Neglect. 2006 05.05.2022]; Available from: 419 https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/43499.
- 420 27. Hamby, S., et al., *The overlap of witnessing partner violence with child maltreatment*421 *and other victimizations in a nationally representative survey of youth.* Child Abuse
 422 Negl, 2010. **34**(10): p. 734-41.
- 423 28. Mokkink, L.B., et al., *COSMIN Risk of Bias checklist for systematic reviews of Patient-*424 *Reported Outcome Measures.* Qual Life Res, 2018. **27**(5): p. 1171-1179.
- 425 29. Covidence systematic review software, Veritas Health Innovation, Melbourne,
 426 Australia. 23.08.2022]; Available from: www.covidence.org.
- Vandenbroucke, J.P., et al., Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE): explanation and elaboration. Int J Surg, 2014. **12**(12): p. 1500-429 24.
- 430 31. Prinsen, C.A.C., et al., *COSMIN guideline for systematic reviews of patient-reported*431 *outcome measures.* Qual Life Res, 2018. **27**(5): p. 1147-1157.
- Downs, S.H. and N. Black, *The feasibility of creating a checklist for the assessment of the methodological quality both of randomised and non-randomised studies of health care interventions*. Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health, 1998. **52**(6): p. 377-384.
- 436 33. Yoon, S., et al., A Systematic Review Evaluating Psychometric Properties of Parent or Caregiver Report Instruments on Child Maltreatment: Part 1: Content Validity. Trauma Violence Abuse, 2021. **22**(5): p. 1013-1031.
- 58 439 34. Cordier, R., et al., Evaluating the Psychometric Quality of Social Skills Measures: A
 59 440 Systematic Review. PLoS One, 2015. **10**(7): p. e0132299.

- 35. Jackson, D. and R. Turner, Power analysis for random-effects meta-analysis. Res Synth Methods, 2017. 8(3): p. 290-302.
- Borenstein, M., In a meta-analysis, the I-squared statistic does not tell us how much 36. the effect size varies. J Clin Epidemiol, 2022. 152: p. 281-284.
 - Lin, L. and H. Chu, Quantifying publication bias in meta-analysis. Biometrics, 2018. 37. (3): p. 785-794.
- Sutton, A.J., et al., Empirical assessment of effect of publication bias on meta-analyses. 38. BMJ, 2000. 320(7249): p. 1574-7.
 - Murad, M.H., et al., The effect of publication bias magnitude and direction on the 39. certainty in evidence. BMJ Evid Based Med, 2018. 23(3): p. 84-86.
- . 15
 .ne effe.
 BMJ Evid E
 .al., Identifying
 .C Pediatr, 2020. 2. 40. McTavish, J.R., et al., Identifying children exposed to maltreatment: a systematic review update. BMC Pediatr, 2020. 20(1): p. 113.

			BMJ Open	by copyri	ıjopen-2024
		<u>Sea</u>	rch Strategy	by copyright, including for uses re	1-089623 on
Database Host: Ovid				g fo	7 No.
Database: PsycINFO				r us	oven
Date: 2.2.2022				es rela	nber 20
Results: 2676				ted :	2024.
Limits: English, German, Fren	ich, Spanish Langua	age; Publication Y	Year: no limit, Doc		snference proceedings
Concept 1	Concept 2	Concept 3	Concept 4	Concept 5	oaded fr
Population	Maltreatment	Psychometric Properties	Measurement	Entire Search Term	om htt
child* OR infan* OR	abus* OR	valid* OR	instrument* OR		olescen* or teen* or youth* or pediatr
adolescen* OR teen* OR	maltreat* OR	reliab* OR	scor* OR	paediatr* or young a oi	aby or babies or toddler* or minor* or
youth* OR pediatr* OR	"ill-treatm*" OR	psychometric*	screen* OR		🅰 naltreat* or "ill-treatm*" or "ill treatm
paediatr* OR young* OR	"ill treatm*" OR	OR accura* OR	scal* OR		intimate partner violence" or "exposu
baby OR babies OR toddler*	neglect* OR	"sensitivity" OR	question* OR		raistreat* or assault* or molest* or "force
OR minor* OR girl* OR	"exposure to	"specificity" OR	report* OR	sex" or "coerced se g" o	g"unwanted sex" or "forced intercourse
			at:		
boy*	intimate partner	"ROC" OR	measur* OR	"unwanted interco	or "forced penetration" or "unwanted
_	violence" OR	"ROC" OR "AUC"	inventor* OR	"unwanted intercogresses penetration" or rape o	ଞ୍ଚିor "forced penetration" or "unwanted ନ୍ଧି'sexual violence" or "unwanted touchin
	violence" OR "exposure to		inventor* OR interview* OR	"unwanted intercossise penetration" or rape of "s "forced touching" of "s	ਭੰor "forced penetration" or "unwanted ਭੰ'sexual violence" or "unwanted touchin ਛੱxual harrassment" or "physical harm" o
_	violence" OR "exposure to domestic		inventor* OR interview* OR survey* OR	"unwanted intercogise penetration" or rape o "forced touching" of "s "corporal punishment"	or "forced penetration" or "unwanted 12'sexual violence" or "unwanted touching sual harrassment" or "physical harm" of "physical punishment")) and (instrum
_	violence" OR "exposure to domestic violence" OR		inventor* OR interview* OR survey* OR test* OR	"unwanted intercognise penetration" or rape o "forced touching" of "s "corporal punishment" or scor* or screen*	egor "forced penetration" or "unwanted eg'sexual violence" or "unwanted touching to be sexual violence" or "physical harm" of "physical harm" of "physical punishment")) and (instrum scal* or question* or report* or measur*
	violence" OR "exposure to domestic violence" OR mistreat* OR		inventor* OR interview* OR survey* OR test* OR construct* OR	"unwanted intercossise penetration" or rape o "forced touching" of "s" "corporal punishment" or scor* or screen* or inventor* or interview	sexual violence" or "unwanted sexual violence" or "unwanted touching sexual harrassment" or "physical harm" of "physical harm" of "physical punishment")) and (instrum scal* or question* or report* or measur*
_	violence" OR "exposure to domestic violence" OR mistreat* OR assault* OR		inventor* OR interview* OR survey* OR test* OR construct* OR evaluat* OR	"unwanted intercossise penetration" or rape o "forced touching" of "s "corporal punishment" or scor* or screen* or inventor* or intervey or analys* or assess*)	or "forced penetration" or "unwanted sexual violence" or "unwanted touching sexual violence" or "physical harm" of "physical harm" of "physical punishment")) and (instrum scal* or question* or report* or measur* or survey* or test* or construct* or evalor of the content of th
	violence" OR "exposure to domestic violence" OR mistreat* OR		inventor* OR interview* OR survey* OR test* OR construct* OR evaluat* OR analys* OR	"unwanted intercome see penetration" or rape o "forced touching" of "s "corporal punishment" or scor* or screen* or inventor* or intervey or analys* or assess*); accura* or "sensitivity"	or "forced penetration" or "unwanted sexual violence" or "unwanted touching sexual harrassment" or "physical harm" of "physical harm" of "physical harm" of "physical punishment")) and (instrum sexual * or question* or report* or measur* sor survey* or test* or construct* or evaluation or reliab* or psychometric* of the province of "specificity" or "ROC" or "AUC")) not
_	violence" OR "exposure to domestic violence" OR mistreat* OR assault* OR molest* OR		inventor* OR interview* OR survey* OR test* OR construct* OR evaluat* OR	"unwanted intercossise penetration" or rape o "forced touching" of "s" "corporal punishment" or scor* or screen* or inventor* or intervey or analys* or assess*) accura* or "sensitivity" ("substance abuse" or	reforced penetration" or "unwanted sexual violence" or "unwanted touching sexual harrassment" or "physical harm" of "physical harm" of "physical punishment")) and (instrum scal* or question* or report* or measur* for survey* or test* or construct* or evalud (valid* or reliab* or psychometric* of "specificity" or "ROC" or "AUC")) not "gubstance misuse" or "alcohol abuse" or
_	violence" OR "exposure to domestic violence" OR mistreat* OR assault* OR molest* OR		inventor* OR interview* OR survey* OR test* OR construct* OR evaluat* OR analys* OR	"unwanted intercossise penetration" or rapse o "forced touching" of "s" "corporal punishment" or scor* or screen* or inventor* or intervey or analys* or assess*) accura* or "sensitivity" ("substance abuse" or "dicohol misuse" or "dispension" or "dispensi	or "forced penetration" or "unwanted sexual violence" or "unwanted touching sexual harrassment" or "physical harm" of "physical harm" of "physical harm" of "physical punishment")) and (instrum sexual * or question* or report* or measur* sor survey* or test* or construct* or evaluation or reliab* or psychometric* of the province of "specificity" or "ROC" or "AUC")) not

Potobogo Hogte Ovid			BMJ Open	Pag Piopen-2024-089623 on 7 Novemb Ens
Database Host: Ovid				3 on 7
Database: Medline				ng fo
Date: 2.2.2022				Novembe Ense for uses r
Results: 2661				relat
				cument Type: articles in some proceedings of some state of some some some some some some some some
Concept 1	Concept 2	Concept 3	Concept 4	Concept 5 $\frac{8}{9}$
Population	Maltreatment	Psychometric Properties	Measurement	Entire Search Terms
child* OR infan* OR adolescen* OR teen* OR youth* OR pediatr* OR paediatr* OR young* OR baby OR babies OR toddler* OR minor* OR girl* OR boy*	abus* OR maltreat* OR "ill-treatm*" OR "ill treatm*" OR neglect* OR "exposure to intimate partner violence" OR "exposure to domestic violence" OR mistreat* OR assault* OR molest* OR "forced sex" OR "coerced sex" OR "unwanted sex"	valid* OR reliab* OR psychometric* OR accura* OR "sensitivity" OR "specificity" OR "ROC" OR "AUC"	instrument* OR scor* OR screen* OR scal* OR question* OR report* OR inventor* OR interview* OR survey* OR test* OR construct* OR evaluat* OR analys* OR assess*	((((child* or infan* decolescen* or teen* or youth* or pediatr* or paediatr* or young* or decolescen* or teen* or youth* or pediatr* or paediatr* or young* or decolescen* or toddler* or minor* or girl or boy*) adj4 (abus* or analtreat* or "ill-treatm*" or "ill treatm*" or neglect* or "exposure to domestic violence" or "exposure to domestic violence" or "interced to a sex" or "coerced sex" or "forced penetration" or "unwanted penetration" or rape or "sexual violence" or "unwanted touching" or "forced touching" of "sexual violence" or "unwanted touching" or "corporal punishment" or "physical punishment")) and (instrument or scor* or screen* or "seal* or question* or report* or measur* or inventor* or interview* or survey* or test* or construct* or evaluation or analys* or asses of and (valid* or reliab* or psychometric* or accura* or "sensitivety" or "specificity" or "ROC" or "AUC")) not ("substance abuse" or "grape abuse" or "drug misuse")).ti. or (((child* infan* or adolescen* or betteen* or youth* or pediatr* or paediatr* or young* or baby or babies or toddler* or minor* or girl* or boy*) add

			BMJ Open	En by copyright, including for use	njopen-2024-089623
Database: Scopus				inclu	
Date: 2.2.2022				ding f	on 7 -
Results: 5523				or us	Vover
Limits: English, German, Free	<u> </u>			cument Type: articles in the company of the company	8024 Bnference proceedings
Concept 1	Concept 2	Concept 3	Concept 4	Concept 5	<u>0</u> ★
Population	Maltreatment	Psychometric Properties	Measurement	Entire Search Tera	nload
child* OR infan* OR	abus* OR	valid* OR	instrument* OR	TITLE-ABS-KEY (()	OR infan* OR adolescen* OR teen* OR
adolescen* OR teen* OR	maltreat* OR	reliab* OR	scor* OR	youth* OR pediatr 🛣 🏖	🛱 paediatr* OR young* OR baby OR babies
youth* OR pediatr* OR	"ill-treatm*" OR	psychometric*	screen* OR		🚰 OR girl* OR boy*) W/4 (abus* OR
paediatr* OR young* OR	"ill treatm*" OR	OR accura* OR	scal* OR	maltreat* OR "ill-taleat	**************************************
baby OR babies OR toddler*	neglect* OR	"sensitivity" OR	question* OR	"exposure to intimæe p	artner violence" OR "exposure to domestic
OR minor* OR girl* OR	"exposure to	"specificity" OR	report* OR	=	OR assault* OR molest* OR "forced sex"
boy*	intimate partner	"ROC" OR	measur* OR		unwanted sex" OR "forced intercourse" OR
	violence" OR	"AUC"	inventor* OR		GOR "forced penetration" OR "unwanted
	"exposure to		interview* OR	0.	R "sexual violence" OR "unwanted
	domestic		survey* OR		guching" OR "sexual harrassment" OR
	violence" OR		test* OR		grporal punishment" OR "physical
	mistreat* OR		construct* OR		Instrument* OR scor* OR screen* OR scal*
	assault* OR		evaluat* OR		ភ្លុំt* OR measur* OR inventor* OR
	molest* OR		analys* OR		OR test* OR construct* OR evaluat* OR
	"forced sex" OR		assess*	·	ND (valid* OR reliab* OR psychometric*
	"coerced sex" OR			•	vity" OR "specificity" OR "ROC" OR "AUC"
	"unwanted sex"				abuse" OR "substance misuse" OR
	OR "forced				chol misuse" OR "drug abuse" OR "drug
	intercourse" OR			, , ,	ቻ-TO (LANGUAGE , "English") OR LIMIT-TO (
	"unwanted				OR LIMIT-TO (LANGUAGE, "French") OR
	intercourse" OR				Spanish")) AND (LIMIT-TO (DOCTYPE,
	"forced			"ar") OR LIMIT-TO (D	bgCIYPE, "cp"))

			BMJ Open	En by copyright, including for use	Page 2
Database: Web of Science				inclu	
Date: 2.2.2022				ding f	on 7 -
Results: 2939				or us	Nover
Limits: English, German, Fren	^			cument Type: articles in the company of the company	enference proceedings
Concept 1	Concept 2	Concept 3	Concept 4	Concept 5	ŏ ¥
Population	Maltreatment	Psychometric Properties	Measurement	Entire Search Tera	nload
child* OR infan* OR	abus* OR	valid* OR	instrument* OR	((TI=(((child* OR in	BR adolescen* OR teen* OR youth* OR
adolescen* OR teen* OR	maltreat* OR	reliab* OR	scor* OR	pediatr* OR paedia	ଡ଼ି R young* OR baby OR babies OR toddler* OR
youth* OR pediatr* OR	"ill-treatm*" OR	psychometric*	screen* OR	minor* OR girl* OR	聲) NEAR/4 (abus* OR maltreat* OR "ill-
paediatr* OR young* OR	"ill treatm*" OR	OR accura* OR	scal* OR	treatm*" OR "ill treatm	🚰 "OR neglect* OR "exposure to intimate
baby OR babies OR toddler*	neglect* OR	"sensitivity" OR	question* OR		posure to domestic violence" OR mistreat*
OR minor* OR girl* OR	"exposure to	"specificity" OR	report* OR		OR "forced sex" OR "coerced sex" OR
boy*	intimate partner	"ROC" OR	measur* OR	3	ced intercourse" OR "unwanted intercourse"
	violence" OR	"AUC"	inventor* OR		GR "unwanted penetration" OR rape OR
	"exposure to		interview* OR	0_	wanted touching" OR "forced touching" OR
	domestic		survey* OR		"physical harm" OR "corporal punishment"
	violence" OR		test* OR		at")) AND (instrument* OR scor* OR screen*
	mistreat* OR		construct* OR		R report* OR measur* OR inventor* OR
	assault* OR		evaluat* OR		R test* OR construct* OR evaluat* OR
	molest* OR "forced sex" OR		analys* OR		(valid* OR reliab* OR psychometric* OR
	"coerced sex" OR		assess*		NOR "specificity" OR "ROC" OR "AUC") NOT នៃubstance misuse" OR "alcohol abuse" OR
	"unwanted sex"				Aug abuse" OR "drug misuse"))) OR
	OR "forced				R adolescen* OR teen* OR youth* OR
	intercourse" OR				R young* OR baby OR babies OR toddler* OR
	"unwanted			_ ·	(abus* OR maltreat* OR "ill-
	intercourse" OR				OR neglect* OR "exposure to intimate
	1	1	İ	3. 30 311 III CI CUCII	

6

8

43

BMJ Open

Systematic Review of child maltreatment screening tools used by different occupational groups - A Study Protocol

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2024-089623.R2
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	11-Oct-2024
Complete List of Authors:	Colley, Denise; University Hospital of Düsseldorf, Department of General Pediatrics, Neonatology and Pediatric Cardiology and Center for Health and Society (chs), Unit of Child Health Services Research; Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf Seidler, Jenny; University Hospital of Düsseldorf, Department of General Pediatrics, Neonatology and Pediatric Cardiology and Center for Health and Society (chs), Unit of Child Health Services Research; Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf Rollbühler, Philipp; University Hospital of Düsseldorf, Department of General Pediatrics, Neonatology and Pediatric Cardiology and Center for Health and Society (chs), Unit of Child Health Services Research; Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf Rasch, Lena; University Hospital of Düsseldorf, Department of General Pediatrics, Neonatology and Pediatric Cardiology and Center for Health and Society (chs), Unit of Child Health Services Research; Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf Küppers, Lisa; University Hospital of Düsseldorf, Institut of Legal Medicine, Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf Gahr, Britta; University Hospital of Düsseldorf, Institute of Legal Medicine, Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf Mayatepek, Ertan; Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf, Department of General Paediatrics, Neonatology and Paediatric Cardiology De Bock, Freia; Universitätsklinikum Düsseldorf, Department of General Pediatrics, Neonatology and Pediatric Cardiology and Center for Health and Society (chs), Unit of Child Health Services Research; Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf
Primary Subject Heading :	Paediatrics
Secondary Subject Heading:	Public health
Keywords:	Systematic Review, Psychometrics, Child protection < PAEDIATRICS, PUBLIC HEALTH

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2024-089623 on 7 November 2024. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 14, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Systematic Review of child maltreatment screening

tools used by different occupational groups - A Study

3 Protocol

- 4 First Author:
- 5 Denise Colley, Department of General Pediatrics, Neonatology and Pediatric Cardiology,
- 6 Medical Faculty, University Hospital Düsseldorf, Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf,
- 7 Germany
- **Co-authors:**
- 9 Jenny Seidler, Department of General Pediatrics, Neonatology and Pediatric Cardiology,
- 10 Medical Faculty, University Hospital Düsseldorf, Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf,
- 11 Germany
- 12 Philipp Rollbühler, Department of General Pediatrics, Neonatology and Pediatric Cardiology,
- 13 Medical Faculty, University Hospital Düsseldorf, Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf,
- 14 Germany
- 15 Lena Rasch, Department of General Pediatrics, Neonatology and Pediatric Cardiology, Medical
- 16 Faculty, University Hospital Düsseldorf, Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany
- 17 Lisa Küppers, Institute of Legal Medicine, Medical Faculty, University Hospital Düsseldorf,
- 18 Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany
- 19 Britta Gahr, Institute of Legal Medicine, Medical Faculty, University Hospital Düsseldorf,
- 20 Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany
- 21 Ertan Mayatepek, Department of General Pediatrics, Neonatology and Pediatric Cardiology,
- 22 Medical Faculty, University Hospital Düsseldorf, Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf,
- 23 Germany
- 24 Corresponding and last author:
- 25 Freia De Bock, Department of General Pediatrics, Neonatology and Pediatric Cardiology,
- 26 Medical Faculty, University Hospital Düsseldorf, Heinrich Heine University, Moorenstraße 5,
- 27 40225 Düsseldorf, Germany. Telephone: 0211 81 08194, E-Mail: Freia.DeBock@med.uni-
- 28 duesseldorf.de

- 29 Keywords: systematic review, child maltreatment, child abuse, child neglect, instrument,
- 30 screening, psychometric properties
- **Word count:** 3632

Abstract

Background: Child maltreatment encompasses physical, emotional or sexual abuse, physical or emotional/psychological neglect, or intimate partner (or domestic) violence and is associated with adverse cognitive, behavioral, physical and social outcomes that often continue shaping adulthood. The early and valid detection of child maltreatment is essential to initiate treatment and intervention as well as to avoid that violence against the child continues. Various occupational groups such as health care providers, teachers, social workers, psychotherapists and others encounter maltreated children in their professional settings. Systematic reviews on instruments to assess suspected child maltreatment often report on retrospective measurement via caregiver's or child's self-report and are frequently limited to the health system as a setting. The purpose of this PRISMA-compliant systematic review is to synthesize the evidence on psychometric properties of instruments to assess suspected child maltreatment at the presentation to a broad range of different occupational groups who work with children in- and outside the healthcare system.

Method: A systematic search will be performed in Scopus, PsycInfo, Medline and Web of Science with no limit on the earliest publication until January 2022. Eligibility criteria include studies that investigate psychometric properties of instruments to assess suspected child maltreatment in children and adolescents under 18 years by a professional proxy. After independent screening of studies by two reviewers, quality assessment and data extraction will be performed using an adaptation of the COSMIN Risk of Bias checklist, the STROBE report and Downs and Black Checklist for measuring study quality. Screening, quality assessment and data extraction will be done using Covidence. The results will be presented in narrative form and if adequate a meta-analysis will be performed.

Discussion: This review aims to give an overview of the psychometric properties of different instruments designed to screen suspected child maltreatment by professional proxies. The results will be of interest to different occupational groups who need information about methodological quality and characteristics of instruments to make decisions about the best

)		
3		
ļ		
5		
5		
7		
3		
)		
	0	
l	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
l	7	
	8	
	9	
	0	
	1	
2	2	
2	3	
	4	
2		
	6	
2	7	
2		
2		
	0	
	1	
3		
3		
	4	
3		
	6	
3		
	8	
	9	
	1	
t	2	
	3	
	э 4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
† 1		

64

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

- suited tool for a specific purpose. Furthermore, the results of this review will support the development of novel instruments and might improve the existing ones.
- 61 Systematic review registration: PROSPERO registration number: CRD42022297997
- Funding: Funded by the Jürgen Manchot Stiftung, an institution subject to public law (grantnumber 701 800 897).

65 Strengths and Limitations:

- We included instruments in our search strategy that can be used by a broad range of occupational groups from various settings.
- The inclusion of studies in four different languages reduces the potential risk of language-related restrictions.
- Varying definitions of maltreatment and the diverse instruments used to assess suspected CM may impede the comparability of results.
- The use of a limited number of databases may have resulted in missing relevant studies.

Background

The World Health Organization (WHO) defines child maltreatment (CM) as all forms of abuse (physical, sexual and emotional), neglect (physical or emotional) and child sexual exploitation that result in potential or actual harm to a child's physical or psychological health, development or survival [1]. An increasingly recognized sixth form of exposure is intimate partner violence (or domestic violence) during childhood, such as when a child witnesses a parent or family member experiencing assaults[2]. Around a quarter of all children will face abuse or neglect at some stage in their lives [1, 3]. Additionally, two-thirds of children experience violent disciplinary practices from their caregivers and almost 75% of children between the ages of two and four are regularly subjected to physical punishment or psychological violence by those entrusted with their care[4]. Recent studies and reviews show that CM has detrimental emotional, cognitive, behavioral, physical and social outcomes that often continue until adulthood [5-7]. CM does not necessarily become apparent through consistent symptoms and signs, however, existing guidelines [8] have undertaken extensive reviews on symptoms and signs and can guide practitioners. Symptoms might range from bruises to fractures and behavioral problems such as aggression and substance abuse [9, 10]. Maltreatment often requires diagnostic input and treatment from multiple physicians and the process of identification is burdened by unavailable or inconclusive medical history, thus remains difficult and may suffer from misleading judgements [11]. Nevertheless, an early and valid detection of CM is essential to initiate treatment and intervention as well as to avoid ongoing maltreatment [12]. Various occupational groups such as health care providers, teachers, social workers, psychotherapists, psychological expert witnesses and others encounter maltreated children in their professional settings. However, existing instruments to assess suspected CM rely on results of medical examinations, analysis of medical records or the child's or caregivers' self-report [13-15]. Despite their potential role in detecting maltreatment, health-care providers and other occupational groups in their settings often lack knowledge or confidence in screening for and detecting potential child abuse [16]. Using valid screening tools or instruments to assess suspected CM could increase knowledge and confidence in child physical abuse recognition [17, 18] and improve reporting rates [19].

Objectives/Rationale

Systematic reviews on the psychometric properties of instruments to assess suspected CM exist [13-15, 20-22]. Most of them focus on caregiver and child self-report instruments [14, 20, 21]. These instruments have shortcomings, such as not being appropriate for infants, being influenced by recall bias and social desirability, which makes them primarily used in research but not everyday care practice. To our knowledge, only a few systematic reviews report on instruments that can be completed by healthcare professionals [13, 15, 22]. Evidence on instruments that can be used by occupational groups other than health professionals (e.g. social workers, psychotherapists, psychological expert witnesses or professionals in child protection systems) working with potentially maltreated children in multiple settings (e.g. youth services, out-of-hours primary care locations, family counselling services etc.) lacking hitherto. However, to enable improved detection of CM at scale, instruments for broader occupational groups and settings are essential. In addition, previous reviews that report on self-report instruments focused on studies published in English or Chinese [13, 15, 22] those on healthcare professionals only included English language publications [14, 20, 21].

Therefore, the objective of the planned review is to assess and compare psychometric properties and study quality for existing instruments to identify suspected CM, which can be used by different occupational groups working with children in multiple settings, as searched for in four languages.

The review questions will be:

- 1. Which (screening) instruments exist for different occupational groups to identify suspected child maltreatment (at least on type of CM)?
- 2. What are their psychometric properties, how do these compare across instruments and how is the quality of the underlying study?
- 3. Do instruments exist in German, and have they been validated for the German context?

Methods/design

The systematic review will be conducted and reported in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines [23]. The review protocol has been written according to the PRISMA-P (Preferred Reporting Items for

 Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Protocols) guideline [24]. The checklist can be found in Appendix A. To provide a transparent documentation and illustration of the review process, we will include a PRISMA Chart [23]. The protocol has been registered at the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews in January 2022 (PROSPERO, registration number: CRD42022297997).

Search Strategy

The following databases were searched with no limit on the earliest publication until January 19th, 2022: Scopus, Web of Science, PsycInfo (via Ovid) and MEDLINE (via Ovid). Journal articles, in press articles and conference papers were searched in English, German, French and Spanish. Reviews and meta-analyses on similar topics around the measurement of child maltreatment are used to develop the search terms [13-15]. We will select terms based on the population (e.g., children, adolescents), exposure (e.g., maltreatment, abuse, and neglect), instruments (e.g., instrument, score, scale) and psychometric properties (e.g., reliability, validity). The initial search strategy was tested on Scopus and adapted for use in other databases after it had been finalized. The search strategy for each database can be found in Appendix B. Reference lists of included papers and reviews on similar topics will also be searched to identify any additional relevant papers.

Eligibility criteria

Population

The study population will include instruments used by a broad range of occupational groups to assess suspected CM in children and adolescents 18 years or younger in various settings.

Exposure

Studies should include instruments that assess at least one or multiple types of child maltreatment (CM). CM encompasses the following [1, 2, 25]: Physical, emotional or sexual abuse, physical or emotional/psychological neglect, or intimate partner (or domestic) violence in childhood before the age of 18 years. Other childhood experiences that can be considered as adversities or trauma rather than CM [25] will be excluded. Physical abuse is defined as the use of intentional force against a child resulting in harm to the child's health, development or survival. Sexual abuse involves a child in sexual activity that she or he does not fully

comprehend. Emotional abuse involves failure of a caregiver to provide a supportive and developmentally appropriate environment. Neglect is defined as the failure to provide for the child in one or more of the following areas: health, education, emotional development, nutrition, shelter and safe living conditions. An abuse must have been committed by an adult. A child is exposed to domestic violence when the child observes a parent or family member experiencing assaults, threats, or property damage inflicted by another adult or teenager who typically resides in the home [27].

Outcome

 The study should report on testing at least one psychometric property listed by the COSMIN (COnsensus-based Standards for the selection of health Measurement INstruments) checklist [28] of instruments designed to assess suspected CM for use by different occupational groups that encounter potentially maltreated children. The outcomes that are extracted and evaluated criteria for this review include content validity, structural validity, internal consistency, measurement invariance, reliability, measurement error, criterion validity, hypothesis testing and information in diagnostic tests such as sensitivity, specificity and predictive values. All these outcomes were defined in the COSMIN checklist, including their potential operationalization (e.g. Crohnbach's alpha, Receiver Operator Characteristic Curve (ROC), Cohen's Kappa, T-Test).

Inclusion criteria

Studies will be included if they were original empirical studies published or in press or conference proceedings that report on psychometric properties of instruments to assess suspected CM. The instruments which will be included in this systematic review are required to meet the following inclusion criteria: (a) have as their main objective the evaluation of at least one psychometric property (b) be applicable to children less than 18 years old; and (c) be designed for use by occupational groups such as social workers, psychotherapists, psychological expert witnesses or professionals in Child Protection Systems.

Language of publication

Studies conducted in any country and reported in English, German, French or Spanish are included based on the language capabilities of the review team.

Exclusion Criteria

Studies on individuals older than 18 years, single case studies, case series, and studies on the prevention of child maltreatment or on risk factors for maltreatment will be excluded. Book chapters, letters, commentaries, reviews, meta-analyses, editorials, discussion papers and dissertations will be excluded. Studies that focus on maltreatment carried out by individuals younger than 18 years old, or childhood experiences that can be considered adversities or trauma rather than maltreatment will not be included. Self-report instruments, reports through caregivers and retrospective assessment of child maltreatment in adulthood will also not be included.

Data management

Studies will be exported from the respective databases to Endnote X9 in order to import them to Covidence [29]. Covidence will be used to remove duplicates, screen titles and abstracts, execute risk of bias assessment and data extraction and to calculate inter-rater agreement between reviewers.

Selection process

Two reviewers will independently screen titles and abstracts based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Studies will be rated as *maybe* when title or abstract do not provide enough information to determine inclusion or exclusion. The full text will then be screened. Articles that clearly do not meet the inclusion criteria based on title and abstract or the full text will be excluded. Inconsistencies between the two reviewers will be resolved through discussion or in consultation with a third reviewer (BG or LK). After piloting the selection criteria, the inter-rater agreement will be calculated for 20 % of the articles. If the agreement is high enough (i.e. Cohen's Kappa > 0.6), the remaining articles will be screened by either DC or JS. If the agreement is not high enough, the reviewers will go through their conflicts and discuss the selection criteria before continuing to screen. More articles will be screened by both reviewers until the inter-rater-agreement is satisfactory. Reference lists of included papers and reviews on similar topics will be searched by DC, BG and LK to identify any additional relevant papers. In a second stage, reviewers will independently screen full texts (DC and JS) to determine if studies can be included or excluded for quality assessment and data extraction

based on the defined criteria. The inter-rater-agreement will again be calculated. The reasons for excluded studies will be documented.

Data Extraction

A template based on the STROBE (Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology: Explanation and Elaboration) report [30] and the COSMIN Risk of Bias Checklist [28] will be modified for the purpose of this review and used to extract relevant data from included studies. The template will be piloted using 20 % eligible articles. Based on the pilot adjustments to the template will be made when deemed necessary. The pilot will be done independently by three reviewers (DC, JS and LR). After pilot testing and relevant adjustments, reviewers will independently extract data and the other will check the accuracy of the extracted data. Discrepancies will be discussed. If no consensus can be reached another reviewer will decide (BG or LK). The preliminary data extraction template covers the following topics: type of record, title, authors, publication year/year of study, country, aim of study, study design, sample source, method of recruitment, sample size, individual characteristics such as age, gender, socioeconomic status and ethnicity, study setting, type of maltreatment measured, number of subscales, number of items, time to complete the instrument, handling of missing items, target population (age, nationality, gender), purpose of use, range of scores, response options, occupational group to use the instrument, psychometric properties and whether the instrument is available in German and has been validated for Germany, method of data analysis and statistical outcomes. If any new categories are identified during the course of the review, they will be added, and the extraction database will be modified as needed. Studies with insufficient data will be excluded if contacting the corresponding authors by email will not contribute to clarification.

Quality Assessment

The quality of the individual studies will be assessed using relevant items from the COSMIN checklist [28, 31] and Downs and Black [32] Checklist for measuring study quality. The items will be adapted for this review and will include the following: clear aim/hypothesis; clear description of outcomes, maltreatment, population, and procedure; recruitment bias; statistical methods used; missing data; study design; measurement of psychometric properties according to the COSMIN Risk of Bias Checklist. Incongruent ratings will be solved through discussion, if necessary, including a third reviewer. Whenever articles lack relevant

 information, we will email the corresponding authors. A narrative summary of the quality for each study will be provided. The quality assessment will be done by PR and LR. The overall rating for each study will not be determined by taking the lowest rating of the assessed psychometric property as recommended in the COSMIN manual [31] since subtle differences in quality cannot be differentiated. A revised scoring system expressed as a percentage will be applied as suggested by others [33, 34]: poor (0-25%), fair (25-50%), good (50-75%), and excellent (75-100%).

Data analysis and synthesis

A qualitative synthesis of findings will be performed. All included studies will be presented in a table providing information on type of record, authors, country, sample size, gender, age, form of maltreatment, measurement of maltreatment, respondent type (occupational group) and study design. A second table will provide information on the rated quality of the included studies such as clear study aim, measurement of psychometric properties, content validity, internal consistency, or report of results. A third table will present information on the identified instruments, the number of studies that contain information on psychometric criteria, information on the psychometric properties of the instruments and whether it is available in German language and has been validated for the German population. A narrative synthesis of the findings from the included studies will be presented which will be structured by the type of instrument and the responding occupational group. Summaries of psychometric properties and the quality of the included studies will also be provided in text.

A meta-analysis will be performed if there are enough studies with information on psychometric properties and depending on the heterogeneity of types of maltreatment and psychometric properties assessed in the different studies [35]. Results will be analyzed using forest plots. Results from different study designs will not be pooled together (e.g., studies that assessed different types of maltreatment and studies that assessed different types of psychometric criteria) to prevent a misleading summary of the study effect. We therefore plan to analyze data separately. Statistical heterogeneity will be assessed by, e.g. by calculating l^2 or Cochran's Q-Test [36]. Publication bias will be assessed using a funnel plot for each outcome by plotting the standardized psychometric indicator against study size. To statistically test for publication bias, we plan to use e.g the Egger regression test [37] and the trim and fill method [38], to assess the significance of potential publication bias as well as to adjust for it [39].

Patient and public involvement

Neither patients nor children, adolescents or parents could be involved because of the risk of traumatization or retraumatization. Instead, our research team sought advice from different professionals with expertise in CM for developing the research question and study protocol for this review. Therefore, we were able to gather expertise from members of the local child protection group, medical doctors, and management of the children's hospital beside our own experience in different fields.

Discussion

 Prior research [17, 40] has highlighted the importance of valid measurement of suspected CM to improve early detection. The studies that will be summarized in this review might indicate that multiple occupational groups such as health care providers, teachers, social workers, psychotherapists, psychological experts in various settings (e.g. youth services, out-of-hours primary care locations, family counselling services etc.) may encounter child maltreatment (CM) and have a need for validated instruments.

Systematic reviews hitherto have investigated psychometric properties of instruments designed to assess different forms of CM, however focusing on caregiver or child's self-report [20], the potential use of results of medical examinations and medical records [13, 15, 22], or retrospective measurements of suspected CM and instruments primarily used in research [13-15]. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first systematic review that will explore psychometric properties of instruments to assess suspected CM that can be used by different occupational groups in different settings of routine practice.

Through the review, the comparison of the studies and the thorough data extraction process, we will provide insights into the quality of the reporting of existing studies as well as the range in validity. In addition, by giving an oversight over items from reliable and valid existing instruments, this review may inform professionals who work with children in and outside the healthcare system and support the use of instruments in practice; if needed, the development of novel instruments might be inspired. This in turn might increase future intervention possibilities across multiple settings. The findings of this review might also lead to the validation and cultural adaptation of instruments in German-speaking countries and thus increase their availability for the German child protection system.

Strengths and limitations

The varying definitions of maltreatment and types of instruments used to assess suspected CM might make results difficult to compare, despite of the consistent definition that we used throughout our project [25]. A strength of our review is that we will be able to increase the number of languages searched in to four (English, German, Spanish, French). However, due to resource issues, we will only search for articles in four databases, potentially missing out on studies published in data bases not covered by this review.

Conclusions

By its broad approach, this review will present the international evidence on the validity and psychometric results of existing screening tools to assess suspected CM across various occupational groups and in multiple settings. By evaluating and comparing the psychometric properties and study quality, this review will increase the utilization of existing tools and inspire the development of new tools, with the effect to increase the overall validity and reliability of instruments in the field. By additionally inquiring the availability and validation of these instruments in German-speaking countries, we may ease the utilization of existing tools in German contexts.

Ethics and Dissemination

For this systematic review an ethical approval is not required because we will not be collecting any personal, sensitive or confidential data. The results of this systematic review will be disseminated by submission for publication in a peer-reviewed journal and presentations at important conferences.

Contributors

DC, JS, BG and EM conceived the idea; DC planned and designed the study protocol, FDB revised it and gave advice on methods and extraction plans; DC and JS will perform the screening; DC, BG and LK will search eligible papers for additional articles; PR and LR will conduct the quality assessment; DC, JS and LR will conduct the data extraction; DC performed the initial writing up; all authors contributed to the manuscript and agreed on methods for the study selection, extraction, synthesis and the final written manuscript of the protocol; all authors have read and approved the final manuscript, FDB will be the guarantor of the review.

Competin	ig interest
----------	-------------

343 None

Funding

- The paper represents independent research part funded by the Jürgen Manchot Stiftung, an
- institution subject to public law (grant number 701 800 897).

Patient consent for publication

348 Not applicable.

References

- 350 1. World Health Organization. *Child maltreatment*. 2022 20.08.2024]; Available from: 351 https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/child-maltreatment.
- 352 2. Mathews, B., et al., *Improving measurement of child abuse and neglect: A systematic review and analysis of national prevalence studies.* PLoS One, 2020. **15**(1): p. e0227884.
- 354 3. Brown, C.L., M. Yilanli, and A.L. Rabbitt, *Child Physical Abuse and Neglect*, in *StatPearls*.
 355 2024: Treasure Island (FL) ineligible companies. Disclosure: Musa Yilanli declares no relevant financial relationships with ineligible companies. Disclosure: Angela Rabbitt declares no relevant financial relationships with ineligible companies.
- UNICEF Every child is protected from violence, exploitation, abuse, neglect and harmful practices. Global annual results report 2022: Goal area. 2022. 3.
 - 5. Hughes, K., et al., The effect of multiple adverse childhood experiences on health: a systematic review and meta-analysis. The Lancet Public Health, 2017. **2**(8): p. e356-e366.
 - 6. Norman, R.E., et al., The long-term health consequences of child physical abuse, emotional abuse, and neglect: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS Med, 2012. **9**(11): p. e1001349.
- 7. Pfaltz, M.C., et al., Social Functioning in Individuals Affected by Childhood Maltreatment: Establishing a Research Agenda to Inform Interventions. Psychother Psychosom, 2022. **91**(4): p. 238-251.
- 369 8. Kinderschutzleitlinienbüro *Leitlinie Kindesmisshandlung, -missbrauch,* 370 *vernachlässigung unter Einbindung der Jugendhilfe*
- 371 und Pädagogik (Kinderschutzleitlinie)
- 372 Langfassung 1.0, 2019. **AWMF-Registernummer: 027 069**, S. 238–250.
- 373 9. Rosendahl, K., et al., *The incidence of fractures in children under two years of age: a systematic review.* BMC Musculoskelet Disord, 2024. **25**(1): p. 528.
- Davis, R.S., et al., Systematic Review and Meta-analysis: Group-Based Interventions for
 Treating Posttraumatic Stress Symptoms in Children and Adolescents. J Am Acad Child
 Adolesc Psychiatry, 2023. 62(11): p. 1217-1232.
- Toros, K. and A. Falch-Eriksen, "I got to say two or three lines"-A systematic review of children's participation in child protective services. Child Abuse Negl, 2024: p. 106934.
- 380 12. Chartier, M.J., J.R. Walker, and B. Naimark, Separate and cumulative effects of adverse 381 childhood experiences in predicting adult health and health care utilization. Child 382 Abuse Negl, 2010. **34**(6): p. 454-64.
- 383 13. Saini, S.M., et al., *Systematic review and critical appraisal of child abuse measurement instruments.* Psychiatry Res, 2019. **272**: p. 106-113.
- 385 14. Yoon, S., et al., A Systematic Review Evaluating Psychometric Properties of Parent or 386 Caregiver Report Instruments on Child Maltreatment: Part 2: Internal Consistency, 387 Reliability, Measurement Error, Structural Validity, Hypothesis Testing, Cross-Cultural 388 Validity, and Criterion Validity. Trauma Violence Abuse, 2021. **22**(5): p. 1296-1315.
- 55 389 15. Chen, C.J., et al., Screening Tools for Child Abuse Used by Healthcare Providers: A Systematic Review. Journal of Nursing Research, 2022. **30**(1).
- 391 16. Maier, A., J.M. Fegert, and U. Hoffmann, "An uncomfortable topic": Health professionals' perspectives on child protection capacities, training offers and the potential need for action in Germany. BMC Health Serv Res, 2022. **22**(1): p. 571.

- 397 18. Ferrara, P., et al., *The impact of an educational program on recognition, treatment and report of child abuse.* Ital J Pediatr, 2017. **43**(1): p. 72.
- 399 19. Rumball-Smith, J., et al., *Implementation of routine electronic health record-based child*400 *abuse screening in General Emergency Departments.* Child Abuse & Neglect, 2018. **85**:
 401 p. 58-67.
- 402 20. Booth, A.T., et al., *Child-Reported Family Violence: A Systematic Review of Available Instruments.* Trauma Violence Abuse, 2024. **25**(2): p. 1661-1679.
 - Steele, B., et al., Measuring Violence Against Children: A COSMIN Systematic Review of the Psychometric and Administrative Properties of Adult Retrospective Self-report Instruments on Child Abuse and Neglect. Trauma Violence Abuse, 2024. **25**(1): p. 183-196.
 - 22. Pavone, V., et al., *Diagnostic Tools in the Detection of Physical Child Abuse: A Systematic Review.* Children (Basel), 2022. **9**(8).
- 410 23. Page, M.J., et al., *PRISMA 2020 explanation and elaboration: updated guidance and exemplars for reporting systematic reviews.* BMJ, 2021. **372**: p. n160.
- 412 24. Moher, D., et al., *Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement.* Syst Rev, 2015. **4**: p. 1.
- 414 25. Fares-Otero, N.E. and S. Seedat, *Childhood maltreatment: A call for a standardised definition and applied framework.* Eur Neuropsychopharmacol, 2024. **87**: p. 24-26.
- 416 26. World Health Organization. Preventing child maltreatment: a guide to taking action 417 and generating evidence / World Health Organization and International Society for 418 Prevention of Child Abuse and Neglect. 2006 05.05.2022]; Available from: 419 https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/43499.
- 420 27. Hamby, S., et al., *The overlap of witnessing partner violence with child maltreatment*421 *and other victimizations in a nationally representative survey of youth.* Child Abuse
 422 Negl, 2010. **34**(10): p. 734-41.
- 423 28. Mokkink, L.B., et al., *COSMIN Risk of Bias checklist for systematic reviews of Patient-*424 *Reported Outcome Measures.* Qual Life Res, 2018. **27**(5): p. 1171-1179.
- 425 29. Covidence systematic review software, Veritas Health Innovation, Melbourne,
 426 Australia. 23.08.2022]; Available from: www.covidence.org.
- Vandenbroucke, J.P., et al., Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE): explanation and elaboration. Int J Surg, 2014. **12**(12): p. 1500-429 24.
- 430 31. Prinsen, C.A.C., et al., *COSMIN guideline for systematic reviews of patient-reported*431 *outcome measures.* Qual Life Res, 2018. **27**(5): p. 1147-1157.
- Downs, S.H. and N. Black, *The feasibility of creating a checklist for the assessment of the methodological quality both of randomised and non-randomised studies of health care interventions*. Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health, 1998. **52**(6): p. 377-384.
- 436 33. Yoon, S., et al., A Systematic Review Evaluating Psychometric Properties of Parent or Caregiver Report Instruments on Child Maltreatment: Part 1: Content Validity. Trauma Violence Abuse, 2021. **22**(5): p. 1013-1031.
- 58 439 34. Cordier, R., et al., Evaluating the Psychometric Quality of Social Skills Measures: A
 59 440 Systematic Review. PLoS One, 2015. **10**(7): p. e0132299.

- 35. Jackson, D. and R. Turner, Power analysis for random-effects meta-analysis. Res Synth Methods, 2017. 8(3): p. 290-302.
- Borenstein, M., In a meta-analysis, the I-squared statistic does not tell us how much 36. the effect size varies. J Clin Epidemiol, 2022. 152: p. 281-284.
 - Lin, L. and H. Chu, Quantifying publication bias in meta-analysis. Biometrics, 2018. 37. (3): p. 785-794.
- Sutton, A.J., et al., Empirical assessment of effect of publication bias on meta-analyses. 38. BMJ, 2000. 320(7249): p. 1574-7.
 - Murad, M.H., et al., The effect of publication bias magnitude and direction on the 39. certainty in evidence. BMJ Evid Based Med, 2018. 23(3): p. 84-86.
- . 15
 .ne effe.
 BMJ Evid E
 .al., Identifying
 .C Pediatr, 2020. 2. 40. McTavish, J.R., et al., Identifying children exposed to maltreatment: a systematic review update. BMC Pediatr, 2020. 20(1): p. 113.

			BMJ Open	by copyri	njopen-202
		<u>Sea</u> :	rch Strategy	by copyright, including for uses re	4-089623 on
Database Host: Ovid				ig fo	7 Z
Database: PsycINFO				er us E	over
•				es r	nbe
Date: 2.2.2022				elat	r 2024.
Results: 2676				⊈ Ψ	_
Limits: English, German, Fren	ich. Spanish Langu	age: Publication V	Zear: no limit. Doc	ument Type: article	ō Sanference proceedings
Lines Linguisii, Ocimun, 1101	ion, opunion Langue	ago, i doneddoll i	car. no mint, bot	ar ar	o o
0 11	G 12	G 12	G 44	and da	ā ed
Concept 1	Concept 2	Concept 3	Concept 4	Concept 5	<u>ਜੈ</u>
Population	Maltreatment	Psychometric Properties	Measurement	Entire Search Term	₹
child* OR infan* OR	abus* OR	valid* OR	instrument* OR	((((child* or infan* pra	colescen* or teen* or youth* or pediatr
adolescen* OR teen* OR	maltreat* OR	reliab* OR	scor* OR		aby or babies or toddler* or minor* or
youth* OR pediatr* OR	"ill-treatm*" OR	psychometric*	screen* OR	or boy*) adj4 (abus	analtreat* or "ill-treatm*" or "ill treatm*
paediatr* OR young* OR	"ill treatm*" OR	OR accura* OR	scal* OR		🙎 intimate partner violence" or "exposui
		l			
baby OR babies OR toddler*	neglect* OR	"sensitivity" OR	question* OR	domestic violence" or r	sistreat* or assault* or molest* or "force
baby OR babies OR toddler* OR minor* OR girl* OR	neglect* OR "exposure to	"sensitivity" OR "specificity" OR	question* OR report* OR		sistreat* or assault* or molest* or "force by "istreat* or "force by "forced intercourse"
•	_	•		sex" or "coerced sex" of "unwanted intercogress" se	g"unwanted sex" or "forced intercourse for "forced penetration" or "unwanted"
OR minor* OR girl* OR	"exposure to	"specificity" OR	report* OR	sex" or "coerced sex" of "unwanted intercoeffse penetration" or rape of	g"unwanted sex" or "forced intercourse' or "forced penetration" or "unwanted g'sexual violence" or "unwanted touchin
OR minor* OR girl* OR	"exposure to intimate partner	"specificity" OR "ROC" OR	report* OR measur* OR	sex" or "coerced sex" of "unwanted intercoesses penetration" or rape of "forced touching" of "s	g"unwanted sex" or "forced intercourse or "forced penetration" or "unwanted Sexual violence" or "unwanted touchin or "physical harm" o
OR minor* OR girl* OR	"exposure to intimate partner violence" OR	"specificity" OR "ROC" OR	report* OR measur* OR inventor* OR	sex" or "coerced sex" or "unwanted intercoeffice or rape or "forced touching" of "s" "corporal punishment"	g"unwanted sex" or "forced intercourse' gor "forced penetration" or "unwanted g'sexual violence" or "unwanted touchin exual harrassment" or "physical harm" o gr "physical punishment")) and (instrum
OR minor* OR girl* OR	"exposure to intimate partner violence" OR "exposure to	"specificity" OR "ROC" OR	report* OR measur* OR inventor* OR interview* OR	sex" or "coerced sex" of "unwanted intercoeffice of penetration" or rape of "forced touching" of "s "corporal punishment" or scor* or screen * or scre	g"unwanted sex" or "forced intercourse' or "forced penetration" or "unwanted s'sexual violence" or "unwanted touchin exual harrassment" or "physical harm" o or "physical punishment")) and (instrum cal* or question* or report* or measur*
OR minor* OR girl* OR	"exposure to intimate partner violence" OR "exposure to domestic	"specificity" OR "ROC" OR	report* OR measur* OR inventor* OR interview* OR survey* OR	sex" or "coerced sex" or "unwanted intercoesses penetration" or rape or "forced touching" of "s "corporal punishment" or scor* or screen*	g"unwanted sex" or "forced intercourse" or "forced penetration" or "unwanted sex" or "unwanted touching sual harrassment" or "physical harm" of "physical punishment")) and (instrum sal* or question* or report* or measur* or survey* or test* or construct* or eva
OR minor* OR girl* OR	"exposure to intimate partner violence" OR "exposure to domestic violence" OR	"specificity" OR "ROC" OR	report* OR measur* OR inventor* OR interview* OR survey* OR test* OR	sex" or "coerced sex" or "unwanted intercoeffice penetration" or rape or "forced touching" of "s "corporal punishment" or scor* or screen* or sinventor* or intervey or analys* or assess*) a	unwanted sex" or "forced intercourse" or "forced penetration" or "unwanted sex" or "unwanted touching" or "unwanted touching" or "physical harm" of "physical harm" of "physical harm" of "physical punishment")) and (instrum cal* or question* or report* or measur* or survey* or test* or construct* or evalud (valid* or reliab* or psychometric* o
OR minor* OR girl* OR	"exposure to intimate partner violence" OR "exposure to domestic violence" OR mistreat* OR	"specificity" OR "ROC" OR	report* OR measur* OR inventor* OR interview* OR survey* OR test* OR construct* OR	sex" or "coerced sex" or "unwanted intercoeffice or "penetration" or rape or "forced touching" of "s "s" "s" "corporal punishment" or scor* or screen* or screen* or inventor* or interverwal or analys* or assess*) a accura* or "sensitivity"	g"unwanted sex" or "forced intercourse" or "forced penetration" or "unwanted sex" or "unwanted touching sexual violence" or "unwanted touching to "physical harm" of "physical harm" of "physical harm" of "physical punishment")) and (instrum call or question or report or measur or survey or test or construct or evalud (valid or reliab or psychometric or "specificity" or "ROC" or "AUC")) not
OR minor* OR girl* OR	"exposure to intimate partner violence" OR "exposure to domestic violence" OR mistreat* OR assault* OR	"specificity" OR "ROC" OR	report* OR measur* OR inventor* OR interview* OR survey* OR test* OR construct* OR evaluat* OR	sex" or "coerced sex" or "unwanted intercoeffice or "penetration" or rape or "forced touching" of "s "s" "s" "corporal punishment" or scor* or screen* or screen* or inventor* or interverwal or analys* or assess*) a accura* or "sensitivity"	g"unwanted sex" or "forced intercourse or "forced penetration" or "unwanted sex" or "unwanted touching sexual violence" or "unwanted touching to "physical harm" of "physical harm" of "physical punishment")) and (instrum call or question or report or measur or survey or test or construct or evalud (valid or reliab or psychometric or "specificity" or "ROC" or "AUC")) not
OR minor* OR girl* OR	"exposure to intimate partner violence" OR "exposure to domestic violence" OR mistreat* OR assault* OR molest* OR	"specificity" OR "ROC" OR	report* OR measur* OR inventor* OR interview* OR survey* OR test* OR construct* OR evaluat* OR analys* OR	sex" or "coerced sex" or "unwanted intercoerse penetration" or rape or "forced touching" of "s" "s" "corporal punishment" or scor* or screen* or inventor* or interverway or analys* or assess*) a accura* or "sensitivity" ("substance abuse" or "sensitivity"	unwanted sex" or "forced intercourse" or "forced penetration" or "unwanted sex" or "unwanted touching" or "unwanted touching" or "physical harm" of "physical harm" of "physical harm" of "physical punishment")) and (instrum cal* or question* or report* or measur* or survey* or test* or construct* or evalud (valid* or reliab* or psychometric* o
OR minor* OR girl* OR	"exposure to intimate partner violence" OR "exposure to domestic violence" OR mistreat* OR assault* OR molest* OR "forced sex" OR	"specificity" OR "ROC" OR	report* OR measur* OR inventor* OR interview* OR survey* OR test* OR construct* OR evaluat* OR analys* OR	sex" or "coerced sex" or "unwanted intercoeffice penetration" or rape or "forced touching" of "s "corporal punishment" or scor* or screen* or sinventor* or interverw or analys* or assess*) a accura* or "sensitivity" ("substance abuse" or "drawalcohol misuse" or "drawalc	unwanted sex" or "forced intercourse" or "forced penetration" or "unwanted sex" or "unwanted touching sexual violence" or "unwanted touching sexual harrassment" or "physical harm" of "physical punishment")) and (instrum al* or question* or report* or measur* for survey* or test* or construct* or evaluation or reliab* or psychometric* of "specificity" or "ROC" or "AUC")) not substance misuse" or "alcohol abuse" or

Potobogo Hogte Ovid			BMJ Open	Pag Piopen-2024-089623 on 7 Novemb Ens
Database Host: Ovid				3 on 7
Database: Medline				ng fo
Date: 2.2.2022				Novembe Ense for uses r
Results: 2661				relat
				cument Type: articles in some proceedings of some state of some some some some some some some some
Concept 1	Concept 2	Concept 3	Concept 4	Concept 5 $\frac{8}{9}$
Population	Maltreatment	Psychometric Properties	Measurement	Entire Search Terms
child* OR infan* OR adolescen* OR teen* OR youth* OR pediatr* OR paediatr* OR young* OR baby OR babies OR toddler* OR minor* OR girl* OR boy*	abus* OR maltreat* OR "ill-treatm*" OR "ill treatm*" OR neglect* OR "exposure to intimate partner violence" OR "exposure to domestic violence" OR mistreat* OR assault* OR molest* OR "forced sex" OR "coerced sex" OR "unwanted sex"	valid* OR reliab* OR psychometric* OR accura* OR "sensitivity" OR "specificity" OR "ROC" OR "AUC"	instrument* OR scor* OR screen* OR scal* OR question* OR report* OR inventor* OR interview* OR survey* OR test* OR construct* OR evaluat* OR analys* OR assess*	((((child* or infan* decolescen* or teen* or youth* or pediatr* or paediatr* or young* or decolescen* or teen* or youth* or pediatr* or paediatr* or young* or decolescen* or toddler* or minor* or girl or boy*) adj4 (abus* or analtreat* or "ill-treatm*" or "ill treatm*" or neglect* or "exposure to domestic violence" or "exposure to domestic violence" or "interced to a sex" or "coerced sex" or "forced penetration" or "unwanted penetration" or rape or "sexual violence" or "unwanted touching" or "forced touching" of "sexual violence" or "unwanted touching" or "corporal punishment" or "physical punishment")) and (instrument or scor* or screen* or "seal* or question* or report* or measur* or inventor* or interview* or survey* or test* or construct* or evaluation or analys* or asses of and (valid* or reliab* or psychometric* or accura* or "sensitivety" or "specificity" or "ROC" or "AUC")) not ("substance abuse" or "grape abuse" or "drug misuse")).ti. or (((child* infan* or adolescen* or betteen* or youth* or pediatr* or paediatr* or young* or baby or babies or toddler* or minor* or girl* or boy*) add

			BMJ Open	En by copyright, including for use	njopen-2024-089623
Database: Scopus				inclu	
Date: 2.2.2022				ding f	on 7 -
Results: 5523				or us	Vover
Limits: English, German, Free	<u> </u>			cument Type: articles in the company of the company	8024 Bnference proceedings
Concept 1	Concept 2	Concept 3	Concept 4	Concept 5	<u>0</u> ★
Population	Maltreatment	Psychometric Properties	Measurement	Entire Search Tera	nload
child* OR infan* OR	abus* OR	valid* OR	instrument* OR	TITLE-ABS-KEY (()	OR infan* OR adolescen* OR teen* OR
adolescen* OR teen* OR	maltreat* OR	reliab* OR	scor* OR	youth* OR pediatr 🛣 🏖	🛱 paediatr* OR young* OR baby OR babies
youth* OR pediatr* OR	"ill-treatm*" OR	psychometric*	screen* OR		🚰 OR girl* OR boy*) W/4 (abus* OR
paediatr* OR young* OR	"ill treatm*" OR	OR accura* OR	scal* OR	maltreat* OR "ill-taleat	**************************************
baby OR babies OR toddler*	neglect* OR	"sensitivity" OR	question* OR	"exposure to intimæe p	artner violence" OR "exposure to domestic
OR minor* OR girl* OR	"exposure to	"specificity" OR	report* OR	=	OR assault* OR molest* OR "forced sex"
boy*	intimate partner	"ROC" OR	measur* OR		unwanted sex" OR "forced intercourse" OR
	violence" OR	"AUC"	inventor* OR		GOR "forced penetration" OR "unwanted
	"exposure to		interview* OR	0.	R "sexual violence" OR "unwanted
	domestic		survey* OR		guching" OR "sexual harrassment" OR
	violence" OR		test* OR		grporal punishment" OR "physical
	mistreat* OR		construct* OR		Instrument* OR scor* OR screen* OR scal*
	assault* OR		evaluat* OR		ភ្លុំt* OR measur* OR inventor* OR
	molest* OR		analys* OR		OR test* OR construct* OR evaluat* OR
	"forced sex" OR		assess*	·	ND (valid* OR reliab* OR psychometric*
	"coerced sex" OR			•	vity" OR "specificity" OR "ROC" OR "AUC"
	"unwanted sex"				abuse" OR "substance misuse" OR
	OR "forced				chol misuse" OR "drug abuse" OR "drug
	intercourse" OR			, , ,	ቻ-TO (LANGUAGE , "English") OR LIMIT-TO (
	"unwanted				OR LIMIT-TO (LANGUAGE, "French") OR
	intercourse" OR				Spanish")) AND (LIMIT-TO (DOCTYPE,
	"forced			"ar") OR LIMIT-TO (D	bgCIYPE, "cp"))

			BMJ Open	En by copyright, including for use	Page 2
Database: Web of Science				inclu	
Date: 2.2.2022				ding f	on 7 -
Results: 2939				or us	Nover
Limits: English, German, Fren	^			cument Type: articles in the company of the company	enference proceedings
Concept 1	Concept 2	Concept 3	Concept 4	Concept 5	ŏ ¥
Population	Maltreatment	Psychometric Properties	Measurement	Entire Search Tera	nload
child* OR infan* OR	abus* OR	valid* OR	instrument* OR	((TI=(((child* OR in	BR adolescen* OR teen* OR youth* OR
adolescen* OR teen* OR	maltreat* OR	reliab* OR	scor* OR	pediatr* OR paedia	ଡ଼ି R young* OR baby OR babies OR toddler* OR
youth* OR pediatr* OR	"ill-treatm*" OR	psychometric*	screen* OR	minor* OR girl* OR	聲) NEAR/4 (abus* OR maltreat* OR "ill-
paediatr* OR young* OR	"ill treatm*" OR	OR accura* OR	scal* OR	treatm*" OR "ill treatm	🚰 "OR neglect* OR "exposure to intimate
baby OR babies OR toddler*	neglect* OR	"sensitivity" OR	question* OR		posure to domestic violence" OR mistreat*
OR minor* OR girl* OR	"exposure to	"specificity" OR	report* OR		OR "forced sex" OR "coerced sex" OR
boy*	intimate partner	"ROC" OR	measur* OR	3	ced intercourse" OR "unwanted intercourse"
	violence" OR	"AUC"	inventor* OR		GR "unwanted penetration" OR rape OR
	"exposure to		interview* OR	0_	wanted touching" OR "forced touching" OR
	domestic		survey* OR		"physical harm" OR "corporal punishment"
	violence" OR		test* OR		at")) AND (instrument* OR scor* OR screen*
	mistreat* OR		construct* OR		R report* OR measur* OR inventor* OR
	assault* OR		evaluat* OR		R test* OR construct* OR evaluat* OR
	molest* OR "forced sex" OR		analys* OR		(valid* OR reliab* OR psychometric* OR
	"coerced sex" OR		assess*		NOR "specificity" OR "ROC" OR "AUC") NOT នៃubstance misuse" OR "alcohol abuse" OR
	"unwanted sex"				Aug abuse" OR "drug misuse"))) OR
	OR "forced				R adolescen* OR teen* OR youth* OR
	intercourse" OR				R young* OR baby OR babies OR toddler* OR
	"unwanted			_ ·	(abus* OR maltreat* OR "ill-
	intercourse" OR				OR neglect* OR "exposure to intimate
	1	1	İ	3. 30 311 III CI CUCII	

6

8

43