PEER REVIEW HISTORY

BMJ Open publishes all reviews undertaken for accepted manuscripts. Reviewers are asked to complete a checklist review form (http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/resources/checklist.pdf) and are provided with free text boxes to elaborate on their assessment. These free text comments are reproduced below.

ARTICLE DETAILS

TITLE (PROVISIONAL)	Experiences of work for people living with a grade 2/3 oligodendroglioma: a qualitative analysis within the Ways Ahead study
AUTHORS	Walker, Hayley; Rimmer, Ben; Dutton, Lizzie; Finch, Tracy; Gallagher, Pamela; Lewis, Joanne; Burns, Richéal; Araújo-Soares, Vera; Williams, Sophie; Sharp, Linda

VERSION 1 – REVIEW

REVIEWER	Parker, Catriona
	Monash University
REVIEW RETURNED	20-Jul-2023

GENERAL COMMENTS	Congratulations to the authors on this exploration of work experiences of people living with oligodendroglioma.
	Some minor points for consideration:
	Arguably, as described, this is a qualitative descriptive study design rather than cross-sectional
	2. Figure 1 attempts to show how themes and sub-themes are related, however my concern is that the figure may inadvertently be misinterpreted. For example it is hard to imagine financial implications not being affected by work ability/productivity, and as the figure is drawn one might expect to see a line connecting the two. I appreciate that the model in figure 2 is for this purpose, but for this reason, figure 1, may be better presented as a table, rather than a quasi-model looking figure.
	3. It seems appropriate to incorporate into the discussion a comment on the extensive literature about financial burden in cancer and the contribution of RTW.

	Parker, Catriona
	Monash University
REVIEW RETURNED	07-Sep-2023

GENERAL COMMENTS	Thank you for submitting these revisions - no further changes.
	Note that the Figure 1 description is still included on the final page
	that will need to be removed during the editing process.