

BMJ Open Experiences of work for people living with a grade 2/3 oligodendroglioma: a qualitative analysis within the Ways Ahead study

Hayley Walker,¹ Ben Rimmer ,² Lizzie Dutton,² Tracy Finch,³ Pamela Gallagher,⁴ Joanne Lewis,⁵ Richéal Burns,^{6,7} Vera Araújo-Soares,^{2,8} Sophie Williams,⁵ Linda Sharp ²

To cite: Walker H, Rimmer B, Dutton L, *et al.* Experiences of work for people living with a grade 2/3 oligodendroglioma: a qualitative analysis within the Ways Ahead study. *BMJ Open* 2023;**13**:e074151. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2023-074151

► Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2023-074151>).

Received 28 March 2023
Accepted 07 September 2023



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2023. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Professor Linda Sharp;
Linda.Sharp@newcastle.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

Objectives This study aimed to explore the work experiences of people living with an oligodendroglioma.

Design This was a descriptive qualitative study. One-time semi-structured interviews exploring supportive care needs were conducted; work was discussed at various points throughout each interview. An inductive thematic analysis was undertaken.

Setting Participants were recruited across the UK through four National Health Service hospitals and the Brain Tumour Charity research involvement networks.

Participants 19 people with grade 2 or 3 oligodendroglioma (mean age 52 years; male n=11). At diagnosis, 16 participants were working, 2 studying and 1 retired. At the interview (mean time since diagnosis 9.6 years; range 1–18 years), seven participants were working, eight retired (four on medical grounds) and four unable to work due to illness.

Results Seven themes were constructed: (1) physical and cognitive limitations; (2) work ability and productivity; (3) work accommodations; (4) changing roles; (5) attitudes of clients and coworkers; (6) feelings and ambitions; and (7) financial implications. Fatigue, seizures and cognitive deficits influenced work ability. A stressful work environment could exacerbate symptoms. Changes in job roles and work environment were often required. Employer and coworker support were integral to positive experiences. Work changes could result in financial stress and strain.

Conclusions This study has highlighted, for the first time, influences on work experiences in this understudied population. These findings have implications for clinicians and employers, when considering the importance of work in rehabilitation for people with oligodendrogliomas, and the individually assessed adjustments required to accommodate them, should employment be desired.

INTRODUCTION

For cancer survivors, returning to work is often considered a significant step on the path to recovery, indicating a return to normality.¹ For working-age survivors, resuming work after cancer treatment may, therefore, be

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- ⇒ The qualitative approach allowed participants to speak freely, raising issues of importance to them, in relation to their work experiences.
- ⇒ Although work-related experiences were not the primary focus of the interviews, all participants spoke about it in detail, shedding light on a population currently under-represented in the literature on cancer and work.
- ⇒ Participants were recruited across the UK, and had worked in different capacities in different industries, enhancing the wider applicability of these findings.
- ⇒ As a result of COVID-19, participants were recruited through the Brain Tumour Charity networks, in addition to National Health Service hospitals; this raises the possibility that participants were self-selected and motivated to share their experiences.
- ⇒ Some people may not have felt well enough to take part in an interview, so it is possible that the experiences of people that are unable to work due to illness, were not comprehensively captured.

an important goal. However, in part due to the ongoing impact of cancer symptoms on work experiences, such as work ability and performance,^{2–3} cancer survivors have an increased risk of early retirement and unemployment.^{1,4,5}

The clinical model of cancer and work developed by Feuerstein and colleagues outlines the factors influencing survivors' work outcomes (ie, health and well-being, symptoms, function, work demands and environment).⁶ Experiences of work for cancer survivors are commonly influenced by the perceived importance and meaning of work, physical limitations and symptoms, employers' and coworkers' responses, self-esteem and identity and broader cultural/policy expectations.^{7–11} However, to date, most research has focused on common cancers (eg, breast

cancer) and findings may not be generalisable across cancers.

People living with a brain tumour can experience a wide-range of general cancer-related (eg, fatigue; pain) and tumour-specific symptoms (eg, cognitive limitations; seizures; speech, language and communication impairments; personality changes; mobility issues).^{12–14} These symptoms vary, depending on tumour location, often co-occur, and can deteriorate as the disease progresses.¹⁵ As well as impacting quality-of-life,¹⁶ such symptoms and impairments may present additional difficulties in the workplace.

Lower-grade gliomas (LGG) are usually diagnosed in working-age adults in their 30s or 40s.¹⁷ They are rarely cured and typically recur or progress to a high-grade glioma.¹⁸ Oligodendrogliomas, which are relatively uncommon tumours overall, but comprise a large proportion of LGGs, have a survival rate of 64% at 10 years.¹⁷ People with oligodendrogliomas could, therefore, live for extended periods with their tumour, and, for numerous reasons (ie, social and financial), may want or need to (return to) work.¹⁹

Silvaggi *et al* reported poor rates of employment, return to work and work retention in a quantitative systematic review of a small number of very heterogeneous studies of work in people with brain tumours.²⁰ Beyond this, the work experiences of people living with a brain tumour is largely unexplored,²¹ with no evidence for those with long-term prognosis, such as oligodendroglioma. Therefore, this study aimed to explore the work experiences of people living with an oligodendroglioma.

METHODS

Design

This descriptive qualitative study (part of the multi-method Ways Ahead study) used semi-structured interviews to collect data from people living with an LGG. The primary focus of Ways Ahead is to explore the needs of people with LGG to inform potential for a supported self-management programme.²² The data analysed here pertained to people with oligodendrogliomas' experiences of work and related issues; adjusting to changes in life roles such as employment is a pertinent area of self-management. There were no deviations from the published protocol in this study. All participants provided informed consent prior to the interview. The Ways Ahead study is now completed; write-up of findings from each qualitative data set is in progress; with findings published on self-management strategies used by people living with a LGG.²³

Patient and public involvement

People with LGG were involved in the design, conduct and reporting of our research, in accordance with the activities outlined in the study protocol²²; for example, people with LGG were consulted on the appropriateness and sensitivity of language used in the participant

information sheet (PIS), and the comprehensiveness of the interview topic guide.

Participants and recruitment

Individuals were eligible if they were resident in the UK, had a grade 2 or 3 oligodendroglioma diagnosis,²⁴ were aged ≥18 at diagnosis and in remission following completion of primary treatment, or stable under observation. Those who did not speak English sufficiently well to participate in an interview or were deemed by a health professional at collaborating National Health Service (NHS) sites to have severe psychosocial problems that risked participation causing further distress were ineligible.

Collaborating NHS sites and the Brain Tumour Charity networks were used to identify potentially eligible people with LGG. To ensure a range of ages, sex and times since diagnosis (<5 years, 5–10, >10 years), we used purposive sampling.

For NHS sites, health professionals identified people with LGG from medical records and provided a PIS by post or during a clinic visit. For the Brain Tumour Charity networks, a flyer advertising the study was distributed through email lists and online newsletters, with a link to a PIS. The PIS briefly introduced the researchers that would be conducting the interviews. To register interest in both recruitment pathways, people were asked to call or email the study team. For NHS recruitment, people could also permit the health professional to pass their contact details to the study team. Individuals were subsequently contacted (BR and LD) to confirm eligibility; for those who were eligible and willing to participate, the interview was scheduled at a time, date and remote method (ie, telephone or video call) convenient for the interviewee. Recruitment was conducted from August 2020 to May 2022.

Data collection

One-to-one semi-structured interviews were conducted by BR (male, MSc) and LD (female, PhD), who are researchers, both trained and experienced in qualitative research. To support participants who may have cognitive or communication impairments, we provided an interview topic overview in advance, and allowed ample time to consider and respond to each question.

Interviews followed a topic guide (online supplemental file 1), which was developed from literature review and expert knowledge and revised following discussions with a patient and public involvement panel, and health professionals (JL and SW). To begin, we asked participants to broadly reflect on life following diagnosis. We then explored how they were impacted by the tumour and its treatment (eg, cognitive, physical, psychological). We asked how this impact was managed and what, and when, support was received or needed. As appropriate, we used probing questions throughout to explore any challenges faced, affording the opportunity to raise any additional issues. Flexible use of the guide meant any new issues raised were added for exploration in subsequent

interviews. In this paper we focus on work experiences, which was one of the specific topics covered; all participants raised and discussed their work experiences at various points during the interview.

Participants were offered a £20 voucher to thank them for their time and given a post-interview sheet with details of charities and helplines, should they have any questions or concerns post interview. Interviews were audio-recorded and lasted 110 min on average (range 59–167 min). The researchers made field notes during each interview for their own reference.

Data analysis

Interviews were transcribed verbatim, anonymised and checked against audio-recordings for accuracy. For this analysis, we aimed to explore and understand work experiences. An inductive, data-driven approach was used in line with thematic analysis.^{25 26}

Three trained researchers (HW, BR and LD) independently familiarised themselves with the data and generated initial codes, using NVivo, for a sample of transcripts (n=6 of 19). These codes were arranged into potential themes at the semantic level. Preliminary themes were discussed between the researchers to highlight any similarities and reach consensus on any differences. Themes were modified and refined, accordingly. Remaining transcripts were coded and analysed by HW and as analysis progressed, findings and uncertainties were discussed with the wider research team (BR, LD and LS). Final themes and subthemes were defined, named and are reported with illustrative quotes (table 1, online supplemental file 2). Reasonable data sufficiency was considered as the presence of sufficient data to support and understand the work experiences of people with oligodendrogliomas²⁷; the richness of the collected data indicated that the sample size was adequate. Each participant received a summary of findings and had the opportunity to provide feedback.

RESULTS

Participant characteristics

Interviews were conducted with 19 of 26 people with oligodendrogliomas that registered an interest (5 recruited through NHS sites and 14 through the Brain Tumour Charity). Reasons for non-participation were: unable to confirm eligibility (n=5); and not completed primary treatment (n=2). Eleven participants were male, mean age at interview was 52 years (range 37–69 years) and average time since diagnosis was 9.6 years (range 1–18 years) (table 2). Ten participants were grade 2 and nine were grade 3 oligodendroglioma. Tumour location was primarily the frontal lobe (n=12).

At diagnosis, 18 participants (male n=10) were either working (n=16; full-time employee n=13, part-time employee n=2, self-employed n=1) or studying (n=2). At interview, only 7 participants (male n=4) were working (full-time employee n=3, part-time employee n=3,

self-employed n=1), while others were retired (n=4), medically retired (n=4) or unable to work due to illness (n=4).

Overview of themes

Seven themes were constructed (table 1, online supplemental file 2): (1) physical and cognitive limitations, (2) work ability and productivity, (3) work accommodations, (4) changing roles, (5) attitudes of clients and coworkers, (6) feelings and ambitions and (7) financial implications. Physical and cognitive limitations played into all other themes, except financial implications.

Physical and cognitive limitations

Physical and cognitive limitations were commonly reported, though the impact on work varied. For some, they were an inconvenience, while others reported consequent loss of work and medical retirement. Subthemes were: 'Impact of fatigue', 'Impact of seizures' and 'Impact of cognitive and sensory deficits'.

Impact of fatigue

Fatigue was commonly described; presented as an internal battle between body and mind. Many participants conveyed its debilitating impact on work with words like 'zapping', 'exhausting' and 'awful'. The direct physical and cognitive implications of fatigue influenced the redundancy or retirement of some participants, as they were feeling 'utterly exhausted' and 'making mistakes'.

Impact of seizures

Several participants discussed the impact of seizures on work. For some the impact was small and manageable, though for others, seizures were a barrier to employment or were a major limitation, prompting changes in their duties. Some reported increased seizure activity following stressful work-related events, such as changing job roles. For a few participants, having understanding colleagues and clients helped; one participant said having seizures was 'fine because [the clients] were decent people'.

Impact of cognitive and sensory deficits

Many participants described the work impact of cognitive deficits (eg, memory problems, brain fog, concentration difficulties and visual impairments). Some formulated self-management strategies (eg, using calendars, note-taking). Busy, noisy or chaotic work environments could induce or exacerbate cognitive and sensory deficits, making it difficult to work. To ease symptoms, some reported periodically removing themselves from the environment.

Work ability and productivity

Some participants detailed factors contributing to reduced work ability and productivity. Subthemes were: 'Loss of skills or capacity and slower pace' and 'Uncertainty of limitations'.

Table 1 Example supporting quotes for all themes and subthemes, with participant ID number, sex, age range and employment status at interview*

Theme	Subtheme	Illustrative quotes
Physical and cognitive limitations	Impact of fatigue	"Well I eventually had to retire because I just couldn't carry on doing my job. In terms of fatigue, so I sleep a lot longer." - Pa33 (male, aged 41–50, medically retired)
	Impact of seizures	"When I switched into teaching, I did see, like, an increase in my seizures, which I assume is just due to stress – because if I get tired and stressed, I am more likely to get seizures." - Pa3 (male, aged 41–50, part-time)
	Impact of cognitive and sensory deficits	"I had, for some time, had issues with my balance, with nausea, dizziness, brain fog. I had to make notes of everything in a job that I was more than qualified to do." - Pa18 (female, aged 51–60, unable to work)
Work ability and productivity	Loss of skills or capacity and slower pace	"I couldn't drive. I couldn't work up ladders, work at height." - Pa30 (male, aged >60, unable to work)
	Uncertainty of limitations	"I don't know my limitations yet... I think it's a process of elimination, isn't it? "What can I do now? What am I good at? What can I do job-wise?" - Pa20 (female, aged 41–50, unable to work)
Work accommodations	Employer support	"The managers, they just went with whatever I said. They said, say whatever you want, say whatever it is, we'll help as much as we can so I couldn't ask more than that really. There was no discrimination or anything like that." - Pa5 (male, aged 51–60, retired)
	Employer adjustments	"I had the neuropsychological test and effectively as a result of those, they recommended that I continue to work on a part-time basis. So, since that time I've been working a seven day fortnight at work which is nice because I get a long weekend every other week." - Pa15 (male, aged 51–60, part-time)
Changing roles	Change in responsibilities	"After I'd had my treatment, I went back to work again, my supervisor, said, "You're not putting out as much as you used to do so we're going to give you lighter jobs to do." - Pa30 (male, aged >60, unable to work)
	Acquiring a new job	"I have applied for jobs, but then you've got to sit there and say, "Well, actually, if I black out, don't panic [laughs]."..."And I will need two days off, every three months – one for a scan, and one for a follow-up."...So they've been very reluctant to take me on." - Pa20 (female, aged 41–50, unable to work)
Attitudes and actions of clients and coworkers		"I think, to be honest, if you say you've got a brain tumour, they're pretty... that's something people understand... It's almost easier in a way because it sounds so terrible. People, you know, they tend to be quite sympathetic to that." - Pa10 (female, aged ≤40, part-time)
Feelings and ambitions	Ambition and perceptions of work	"Oh, now I'm going to change my life. I'm going to become fit and healthy. I'm doing this. I'm going to travel the world. I want to change my career... Actually, there are other things that are more important than proving myself in a career manner." - Pa3 (male, aged 41–50, part-time)
	Self-confidence and identity	"So because I wasn't working and getting any positive feedback, I was sitting at home thinking that I was useless, pointless." - Pa29 (female, aged 51–60, medically retired)
Financial implications	Financial awareness and independence	"To not be able to work and having the financial independence is quite hard...puts an awful lot of pressure. I mean my husband's been working two jobs." - Pa18 (female, aged 51–60, unable to work)
	Financial security and concerns	"My pension doesn't cover my bills. So, I supplemented with benefits. And you've got extra costs. So, as well as having a reduced income and less ability to earn because of, you know, your symptoms, I'm also diagnosed as palliative treated." - Pa17 (female, aged 51–60, medically retired)

*Additional supporting quotes for each theme and subtheme are available in online supplemental file 2.

Loss of skills or capacity and slower pace

Some participants described how executive deficits resulted in loss of skills (eg, decision-making), rendering them incapable of certain job roles. Others mentioned a loss of capacity, meaning some tasks (eg, being up ladders) became hazardous, leading to a change in responsibilities. Several participants reported only being able to work at a slower pace, which reduced work productivity, as tasks took longer to complete.

Uncertainty of limitations

Many participants reported being uncertain of their limitations, describing the substantial influence this had on work ability and productivity. For some, feeling uncertain of which tasks would be manageable, meant participants were hesitant about their capabilities to do their job. This was also prevalent in those seeking employment, with some participants wondering 'What can I do?'

Table 2 Oligodendroglioma participant characteristics at time of interview (n=19)

Characteristic	n	Characteristic	n
Sex		Age	
Female	8	≤40	2
Male	11	41–50	5
Diagnosis*		51–60	8
Grade 2 oligodendroglioma	10	>60	4
Grade 3 oligodendroglioma	9	Dependents	
Treatment*		None	11
Surgery	17	One	3
Chemotherapy	13	Two	4
Radiotherapy	15	Three	1
Tumour location*		Relationship status	
Frontal	12	Married	14
Temporal	2	Single	2
Parietal	1	Widowed	2
Overlapping regions	1	Relationship	1
Not known	3	Employment status (at diagnosis)	
Tumour laterality*		Full-time employee	13
Right hemisphere	9	Part-time employee	2
Left hemisphere	9	Self-employed	1
Dominant hemisphere	10	Student	2
Non-dominant hemisphere	8	Retired	1
Not known	1	Employment status (at interview)	
	Mean (range)	Full-time employee	3
Time since diagnosis (years)*	9.6 (1–18)	Part-time employee	3
Full-time education (years)	15.6 (12–19)	Self-employed	1
		Retired	4
		Medically retired	4
		Unable to work	4

*Clinical and tumour-related details were self-reported for five participants.

Work accommodations

Accommodations at work were commonly reported. Subthemes were: ‘Employer support’ and ‘Employer adjustments’.

Employer support

Following diagnosis, some participants described feeling uncertain about the future of work and the support they would receive from their employer. Some detailed supportive employers (eg, positive attitude, open communication) expressing appreciation and saying they felt ‘lucky’. In contrast, other participants’ employers were not supportive, making them feel ‘unwanted’, ‘worried’ and ‘stressed’, with some losing confidence in their ability to work.

Employer adjustments

Many participants’ work experiences were influenced by adjustments agreed by their employers. For some,

this involved temporary or permanent reductions in working hours. The one self-employed participant, however, perceived a need to work longer hours, describing reluctance and inability to reduce their workload.

Working from home was particularly valued, helping with time-management and addressing commuting challenges. It also provided a quiet work environment, which avoided unnecessary stress and ‘brain flooding’. However, for some, role demands meant remote work was not viable.

Some participants reported employer willingness to accommodate, but felt employers lacked knowledge and understanding of adjustments required. This could result in an unsuitable work environment or the need for self-made adjustments (eg, noise-cancelling headphones). For one participant, this influenced their acceptance of voluntary redundancy.

Changing roles

Many participants experienced changing roles following diagnosis. Subthemes were: 'Change in responsibilities' and 'Acquiring a new job'.

Change in responsibilities

Some participants reported positive experiences with changing responsibilities, as it allowed them to complete more manageable tasks. Others expressed frustrations, describing difficulty with stepping-down from previous roles. For some, changing responsibilities induced more stress as though new tasks were easier, the workload was heavier.

Acquiring a new job

Following diagnosis, some participants speculated whether their career would or *should* change. Several highlighted anticipated or actual challenges with securing new employment due to their illness-related limitations. Some felt these limitations were the only barrier to them acquiring particular jobs.

Attitudes and actions of clients and coworkers

Most participants discussed support received from clients and coworkers, ranging from emotional (eg, boosting mood) to practical support (eg, transport to work). Practical support was particularly important for those who experienced seizures; for example, a few participants described coworkers taking them to hospital following a seizure. For some participants, coworkers' perceptions of brain tumours meant they expressed sympathy and showed understanding at work. However, some participants also reported awkwardness and frustration, perceiving coworkers' reactions to be uncomfortably emotional.

Feelings and ambitions

Feelings and ambitions relating to work were commonly discussed. Subthemes were: 'Ambition and perceptions of work' and 'Self-confidence and identity'.

Ambition and perceptions of work

Several participants reported changes in their work ambitions. Some described feeling initially more career-driven, before re-evaluating priorities and questioning the value of work. Largely due to fatigue, some considered ambitions of career progression to be unfeasible, if they wanted a good work-life balance. Others, however, outlined the personal value of work, including financial stability, social opportunities, and benefits of keeping busy.

Self-confidence and identity

Several participants discussed how (lack of) work affected their self-perception. Some used their profession to describe themselves and relate to people outside of work. For some, job loss was detrimental to self-confidence, with unemployment prompting a 'loss of identity' or feeling 'useless'. In contrast, meeting targets and proving

capabilities evoked feelings of pride in those that maintained employment.

Financial implications

Financial implications were discussed by most participants. Subthemes were: 'Financial awareness and independence' and 'Financial security and concerns'.

Financial awareness and independence

Some participants highlighted increased financial awareness and related household discussions since their diagnosis and change in work circumstances. Several discussed feeling self-conscious about their financial contributions to the household; some experienced difficulties with losing financial independence, expressing the pressure this placed on their partner.

Financial security and concerns

Many participants outlined the importance of work for financial security. Those who received paid sick leave throughout treatment noted their relief. Several participants described financial concerns, often due to salary reductions associated with reduced working hours. For others, financial hardship was a consequence of medical retirement limiting their ability to earn. Some participants described feeling 'lucky' to be financially secure given their circumstances, expressing gratitude for financial support from partners, charities and employers.

DISCUSSION

Summary of findings

Seven themes were constructed in this, the first study of people with oligodendrogliomas' experiences of work. Individual-level factors, such as physical and cognitive limitations (particularly fatigue, seizures and cognitive deficits) and ambitions, and employer-level factors, such as employer understanding and accommodations, influenced work experiences. Employer and coworker support were integral to participants' overall work experiences, and financial implications were considered.

From diagnosis to interview (average time since diagnosis of 9.6, range 1–18 years), the number in employment fell from 18 to 7 participants, with those in full-time employment falling from 13 to 3 participants. This underlines the employment issues faced by people with oligodendrogliomas, who may live several years following diagnosis and treatment. Our findings give voice to their work experiences with the goal of improving understanding of the impact of an oligodendroglioma diagnosis on employment. The findings suggest the economic burden on people with oligodendrogliomas and their families may be sizeable and perhaps greater than other cancers due to the longer-term symptoms and impairments experienced.

Employers and accommodations

Our findings suggest that employer support generally influenced participants' perceptions of, and attitudes

towards, work. This supports findings that employer support and appropriate communication are key facilitators for work participation among survivors.¹¹ Still, despite employers' willingness, accommodations were often insufficient, with unsuitable work environments linked to (in)voluntary redundancy or retirement, as participants felt lost, unsupported and misunderstood. This may have been influenced by employers' lack of understanding of peoples' needs.²¹ Similar to other studies, we found that employers would make inappropriate assumptions, prompting a 'trial and error' of workplace accommodations.^{28 29}

It may be that specific workplace accommodations are needed for those with a brain tumour. For example, as shown here and elsewhere, noisy environments can cause brain flooding and concentration difficulties.³⁰ This highlights the need for quiet work environments, yet some employers do (or can) not provide them. To achieve appropriate employer support, future interventions may seek to 'educate' employers and encourage collaborative solutions with people with oligodendrogliomas (and perhaps, health professionals) to create a suitable work environment. However, it is difficult for employers to address the needs of survivors if individuals lack awareness of their own capabilities and limitations,³¹ something that may be a particular issue in people with brain tumours who may underestimate cognitive, emotional and psychological changes.³² This appears to be echoed in our finding that participants were often uncertain of their limitations.

Attitudes and actions of clients and coworkers

For people with brain tumours, tumour-specific symptoms (eg, seizures) may create challenging situations for coworker and/or client relationships. Therefore, perhaps unsurprisingly, coworkers had a critical influence on work experiences, providing many with practical and emotional support. Similar findings have been reported in other cancers.^{9 33}

Similar to a study in head and neck cancer, participants expressed frustrations at feeling pitied by coworkers,³⁴ and felt uncomfortable when coworkers reacted emotionally. Building resilience in people with brain tumours and improving awareness and understanding of the consequences of living with a brain tumour across wider society may be important next steps.

Impact of physical and cognitive limitations on work ability and productivity

Participants' experiences of work were strongly impacted by their physical and cognitive impairments. Fatigue was particularly common, and one of the main contributors to mistake-making and inability to work, consistent with past reviews.^{9 11 35} However, although fatigue is common in cancer survivors, it can be more severe and long-term in people with brain tumours,³⁶ exacerbated by physical and cognitive workplace demands.³⁷ Consideration of accommodations or adjustments for fatigue management

(eg, frequent breaks) in (return to) work plans, is likely to be important for improving work outcomes for people with brain tumours.^{38 39} Our findings also highlight that seizures can affect general employability, and work ability and relationships. This novel finding warrants further investigation of potential accommodations to minimise the implications of seizures in the workplace (eg, employer and coworker knowledge of what to do if a seizure occurs).

Cognitive impairments had a profound impact on work ability and productivity. The impact of memory problems was most frequently reported, consistent with studies investigating other cancers and brain tumours.^{3 21 40} Tumour location, particularly frontal lobe, can exacerbate cognitive impairments.⁴¹ Addressing and facilitating the management of cognitive impairments, especially in those with frontal lobe tumours, may be an important consideration for interventions to support people with brain tumours to achieve successful work outcomes.

Interestingly, our findings suggest there may be an 'interaction' between work and symptoms, as work-related stress or the work environment itself can intensify physical, cognitive and sensory difficulties, and vice versa, both influencing perceived ability to cope. Participants reported, temporarily or permanently, leaving the work environment to ease these symptoms. This finding appears to be novel and may be specific to brain tumours. Determining how work-related stress and unsuitable work environments influence the severity of symptoms and impairments, and vice versa, is important when considering what accommodations and adjustments are appropriate for each individual.

Feelings and ambitions

Our findings indicate that people with oligodendrogliomas' work ambitions and perceptions change following diagnosis and treatment. Some perceived less value in work than before diagnosis, consistent with other cancer survivors.⁴²⁻⁴⁴ However, the life-limiting prognosis means these people may be even more likely than survivors of other cancers to re-evaluate their priorities and, for example, reduce working hours to prioritise family lives. Still, some participants worked for many years post diagnosis, expressing the importance of work for identity and self-confidence. This supports existing findings that work can help repair and shift identities from being a 'cancer patient'.^{33 45} Other studies highlight the mental health benefits of working.^{8 46} Thus, the personal importance of work for each individual should be considered in rehabilitation.

Financial implications

There is extensive literature on the financial burden experienced by people diagnosed with cancer and its consequences (including distress, debt and medication non-adherence)^{47 48}; mitigating strategies include seeking to limit time off work, budgeting and support from family, and are influenced by individual circumstances (eg, being




Figure 1 Cancer and work model with suggested revisions for people with oligodendrogliomas^{a,b}.

^aDashed lines indicate suggested revisions to the cancer and work model for greater applicability to people living with an oligodendroglioma. ^bAdapted by permission from Springer Nature Customer Service Centre GmbH: Springer Nature, *Journal of Cancer Survivorship*, Feuerstein, M., Todd, B. L., Moskowitz, M. C., Bruns, G. L., Stoler, M. R., Nassif, T., & Yu, X. (2010). *Work in cancer survivors: a model for practice and research*.

ready to return to work, access to social welfare benefits).⁴⁹ These findings were echoed in our study. Many participants felt fortunate to not have experienced major financial hardships, in large part due to support from their partners. However, participants desired to maintain financial independence and security, though often felt self-conscious about their financial contributions to the household and with some describing financial strain. An individual's support network and financial circumstances are, therefore, important considerations when determining the importance of facilitating (a return to) work.

Implications

While our findings largely support the factors outlined in Feuerstein *et al*'s clinical model of cancer and work,⁶ our focus on people with oligodendrogliomas suggests some additions to this model (figure 1). First, the consequences of seizures and sensory deficits on work experiences suggests that they should be included in the 'symptoms' category. Second, the fatigue, seizures or capacity-related impairments experienced by many, emphasises the importance of a safe work environment. Irrespective of accommodations and support, certain work environments (eg, working at heights, culinary industry) may be unsuitable due to safety concerns, especially regarding seizures. Therefore, 'safety' should be added to the 'work environment' category. Third, we propose a feedback loop between 'work environment', 'symptoms' and

'function'. Our findings indicate that unsuitable work environments can exacerbate symptoms, which in turn impact functioning. Future research should explore how the proposed feedback loop manifests itself in different work environments to expand understanding of what accommodations or adjustments might be beneficial.

Strengths and limitations

The qualitative approach allowed participants to speak freely, raising issues of importance to them. Although work-related experiences were not the primary focus of the interviews, all participants spoke about it in detail, shedding light on a population currently under-represented in the literature on cancer and work. Further, participants were recruited across the UK, and had worked in different capacities in different industries, enhancing the wider applicability of our findings. We generated comprehensive data to understand the work experiences of people with oligodendrogliomas, with multiple quotes to support our findings; thus we are confident that we achieved reasonable data sufficiency.

Although some recruitment was through hospitals, as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, participants were also recruited through the Brain Tumour Charity's networks. This raises the possibility that participants were self-selected and motivated to share specific experiences. Although approximately 15% of workers are self-employed,⁵⁰ we only had one self-employed participant.

Future research should seek to explore the perspectives of this group further. Finally, some people may not have felt well enough to take part; thus, it is possible the experiences of people with oligodendrogliomas, particularly those unable to work due to illness, were not comprehensively captured.

Conclusion

Our study explored, for the first time, work experiences of people with oligodendrogliomas. Individual-level and employer-level factors influenced work experiences. Employer and coworker support were integral to participants' work experiences. The proposed feedback loop between work environment, symptoms and functioning at work emphasises the importance of a suitable work environment. These are important considerations for clinicians and employers, when considering the importance of (returning to) work in the rehabilitation of people with oligodendrogliomas, and the adjustments necessary to accommodate this.

Author affiliations

- ¹Faculty of Medical Sciences, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK
- ²Population Health Sciences Institute, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK
- ³Department of Nursing, Midwifery, and Health, Northumbria University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK
- ⁴School of Psychology, Dublin City University, Dublin, Ireland
- ⁵Newcastle Upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Newcastle Upon Tyne, UK
- ⁶Faculty of Science, Atlantic Technological University, Sligo, Ireland
- ⁷Health and Biomedical Strategic Research Centre, Atlantic Technological University, Sligo, Ireland
- ⁸Centre for Preventive Medicine and Digital Health, Department for Prevention of Cardiovascular and Metabolic Disease, Medical Faculty Mannheim, Heidelberg University, Heidelberg, Germany

Acknowledgements This is an output for the Ways Ahead study (research.ncl.ac.uk/waysahead). We would like to thank all of the people with oligodendrogliomas that took the time to participate in this study. We would also like to thank our collaborating NHS sites, Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, NHS Lothian, The Christie NHS Foundation Trust and South Tees Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, as well as colleagues at the Brain Tumour Charity, for their assistance with recruitment to the study.

Contributors LS, JL, SW, PG, RB, VA-S and TF devised the Ways Ahead study and secured the funding. BR acquired ethical approval. BR and LD conducted the interviews. HW undertook the analysis, advised and supported by BR, LD and LS. HW drafted the manuscript, supported by BR. All authors reviewed, revised and approved the final manuscript. LS is the guarantor.

Funding This work was supported by the Brain Tumour Charity, grant number (GN-000435). Data analysis was undertaken as part of the MSc in Public Health at Newcastle University.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research. Refer to the Methods section for further details.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval The study was approved by the Wales Research Ethics Committee (REC ref: 20/WA/0118). Participants gave informed consent to participate in the study before taking part.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available upon reasonable request. The data that support the findings of this study may be available from the Chief Investigator (Professor Linda Sharp; linda.sharp@ncl.ac.uk) upon reasonable request.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

ORCID iDs

Ben Rimmer <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4110-0588>
Linda Sharp <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9515-1722>

REFERENCES

- 1 de Boer AGEM, Taskila T, Ojajarvi A, *et al*. Cancer survivors and unemployment: a meta-analysis and meta-regression. *JAMA* 2009;301:753–62. 10.1001/jama.2009.187 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2009.187>
- 2 Calvio L, Feuerstein M, Hansen J, *et al*. Cognitive limitations in occupationally active malignant brain tumour survivors. *Occupational Medicine* 2009;59:406–12. 10.1093/occmed/kqp094 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1093/occmed/kqp094>
- 3 Klaver KM, Duijts SFA, Engelhardt EG, *et al*. Cancer-related cognitive problems at work: experiences of survivors and professionals. *J Cancer Surviv* 2020;14:168–78. 10.1007/s11764-019-00830-5 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11764-019-00830-5>
- 4 Syse A, Tretli S, Kravdal Ø. Cancer's impact on employment and earnings—a population-based study from Norway. *J Cancer Surviv* 2008;2:149–58. 10.1007/s11764-008-0053-2 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11764-008-0053-2>
- 5 Carlsen K, Dalton SO, Diderichsen F, *et al*. Risk for unemployment of cancer survivors: a Danish cohort study. *Eur J Cancer* 2008;44:1866–74. 10.1016/j.ejca.2008.05.020 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11764-008-0053-2>
- 6 Feuerstein M, Todd BL, Moskowitz MC, *et al*. Work in cancer survivors: a model for practice and research. *J Cancer Surviv* 2010;4:415–37. 10.1007/s11764-010-0154-6 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11764-010-0154-6>
- 7 Stergiou-Kita M, Grigorovich A, Tseung V, *et al*. Qualitative meta-synthesis of survivors' work experiences and the development of strategies to facilitate return to work. *J Cancer Surviv* 2014;8:657–70. 10.1007/s11764-014-0377-z Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11764-014-0377-z>
- 8 Wells M, Williams B, Firnigl D, *et al*. Supporting 'Work-Related goals' rather than 'return to Work' After cancer? A systematic review and Meta-Synthesis of 25 qualitative studies. *Psychooncology* 2013;22:1208–19. 10.1002/pon.3148 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.3148>
- 9 Butow P, Laidsaar-Powell R, Konings S, *et al*. Return to work after a cancer diagnosis: a meta-review of reviews and a meta-synthesis of recent qualitative studies. *J Cancer Surviv* 2020;14:114–34. 10.1007/s11764-019-00828-z Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11764-019-00828-z>
- 10 Tiedtke C, de Rijk A, Dierckx de Casterlé B, *et al*. Experiences and concerns about 'returning to Work' For women breast cancer survivors: a literature review. *Psychooncology* 2010;19:677–83. 10.1002/pon.1633 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.1633>
- 11 Greidanus MA, de Boer AGEM, de Rijk AE, *et al*. Perceived Employer-Related barriers and Facilitators for work participation of cancer survivors: a systematic review of employers' and survivors' perspectives. *Psychooncology* 2018;27:725–33. 10.1002/pon.4514 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.4514>
- 12 Boele FW, Klein M, Reijneveld JC, *et al*. Symptom management and quality of life in glioma patients. *CNS Oncology* 2014;3:37–47. 10.2217/cns.13.65 Available: <https://doi.org/10.2217/cns.13.65>
- 13 Liu R, Page M, Solheim K, *et al*. Quality of life in adults with brain tumors: Current knowledge and future directions. *Neuro Oncol* 2009;11:330–9. 10.1215/15228517-2008-093 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1215/15228517-2008-093>

- 14 The Brain Tumour Charity. Losing myself: the reality of life with a brain tumour. 2015. Available: <http://publications.cancerresearchuk.org/publicationformat/formatfactsheet/keyfactsbrain.html>
- 15 Khan F, Amaty B. Factors associated with long-term functional outcomes, psychological sequelae and quality of life in persons after primary brain tumour. *J Neurooncol* 2013;111:355–66. 10.1007/s11060-012-1024-z Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11060-012-1024-z>
- 16 Rimmer B, Bolnykh I, Dutton L, et al. Health-related quality of life in adults with low-grade gliomas: a systematic review. *Qual Life Res* 2023;32:625–51. 10.1007/s11136-022-03207-x Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-022-03207-x>
- 17 Bauchet L. Epidemiology of diffuse low grade gliomas. In: *Diffuse Low-Grade Gliomas in Adults*. Springer International Publishing, 2017: 13–53.
- 18 Claus EB, Walsh KM, Wiencke JK, et al. Survival and low-grade glioma: the emergence of genetic information. *Neurosurg Focus* 2015;38:E6. 10.3171/2014.10.FOCUS12367 Available: <https://doi.org/10.3171/2014.10.FOCUS12367>
- 19 Pascual JSG, Duffau H. The need to consider return to work as a main outcome in patients undergoing surgery for diffuse low-grade glioma: a systematic review. *Acta Neurochir* 2022;164:2789–809. 10.1007/s00701-022-05339-y Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00701-022-05339-y>
- 20 Silvaggi F, Leonardi M, Raggi A, et al. Employment and work ability of persons with brain tumors: A systematic review. *Front Hum Neurosci* 2020;14:571191. 10.3389/fnhum.2020.571191 Available: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2020.571191>
- 21 Liaset IF, Kvam L. Experiences of returning to work after brain tumor treatment. *Work* 2018;60:603–12. 10.3233/WOR-182768 Available: <https://doi.org/10.3233/WOR-182768>
- 22 Rimmer B, Dutton L, Lewis J, et al. Ways ahead: developing a supported self-management programme for people living with Low- and intermediate-grade gliomas - a protocol for a multi-method study. *BMJ Open* 2020;10:e041465.
- 23 Rimmer B, Balla M, Dutton L, et al. Identifying and understanding how people living with a lower-grade glioma engage in self-management. *J Cancer Surviv* 2023;1–14. 10.1007/s11764-023-01425-x Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11764-023-01425-x>
- 24 Louis DN, Perry A, Wesseling P, et al. The 2021 WHO classification of tumors of the central nervous system: A summary. *Neuro Oncol* 2021;23:1231–51. 10.1093/neuonc/noab106 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1093/neuonc/noab106>
- 25 Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology* 2006;3:77–101. 10.1191/1478088706qp0630a Available: <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp0630a>
- 26 Clarke V, Braun V. *Thematic analysis: A practical guide*. Sage, 2021.
- 27 Low J. A pragmatic definition of the concept of theoretical saturation. *Sociological Focus* 2019;52:131–9.
- 28 Amir Z, Popa A, Tamminga S, et al. Employer's management of employees affected by cancer. *Support Care Cancer* 2018;26:681–4. 10.1007/s00520-017-3998-8 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-017-3998-8>
- 29 Tamminga SJ, Braspenning AM, Haste A, et al. Barriers to and Facilitators of implementing programs for return to work (RTW) of cancer survivors in four European countries: a qualitative study. *J Occup Rehabil* 2019;29:550–9. 10.1007/s10926-018-9818-2 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10926-018-9818-2>
- 30 The Brain Tumour Charity. Thinking difficulties (cognitive impairment) - living with a brain tumour. 2019.
- 31 van Egmond MP, Duijts SFA, Loyer A, et al. Barriers and Facilitators for return to work in cancer survivors with job loss experience: a focus group study. *Eur J Cancer Care (Engl)* 2017;26:e12420. 10.1111/ecc.12420 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1111/ecc.12420>
- 32 Andrewes HE, Drummond KJ, Rosenthal M, et al. Awareness of psychological and relationship problems amongst brain tumour patients and its association with Carer distress. *Psychooncology* 2013;22:2200–5. 10.1002/pon.3274 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.3274>
- 33 Zamanzadeh V, Valizadeh L, Rahmani A, et al. Cancer survivors' experiences of return to work: A qualitative study. *Psychooncology* 2018;27:2398–404. 10.1002/pon.4840 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.4840>
- 34 Dewa CS, Trojanowski L, Tamminga SJ, et al. Work-related experiences of head and neck cancer survivors: an exploratory and descriptive qualitative study. *Disabil Rehabil* 2018;40:1252–8. 10.1080/09638288.2017.1291764 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638288.2017.1291764>
- 35 de Boer AGEM, Verbeek JHAM, Spelten ER, et al. Work ability and return-to-work in cancer patients. *Br J Cancer* 2008;98:1342–7. 10.1038/sj.bjc.6604302 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjc.6604302>
- 36 Cancer Research UK. *Causes of cancer fatigue*. 2020. Available: <https://www.cancerresearchuk.org/about-cancer/coping/physically/fatigue/causes>
- 37 Taskila T, de Boer AGEM, van Dijk FJH, et al. Fatigue and its correlates in cancer patients who had returned to work—a cohort study. *Psychooncology* 2011;20:1236–41. 10.1002/pon.1843 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.1843>
- 38 van Coevorden-van Loon EMP, Heijnenbroek-Kal MH, Horemans HLD, et al. The relationship between mental fatigue, cognitive functioning, and employment status in patients with low-grade glioma: a cross-sectional single-center study. *Disability and Rehabilitation* 2022;44:7413–9. 10.1080/09638288.2021.1991013 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638288.2021.1991013>
- 39 van Coevorden-van Loon EMP, Coomans MB, Heijnenbroek-Kal MH, et al. Fatigue in patients with low grade glioma: systematic evaluation of assessment and prevalence. *J Neurooncol* 2017;133:237–46. 10.1007/s11060-017-2454-4 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11060-017-2454-4>
- 40 Collins C, Gehrke A, Feuerstein M. Cognitive tasks challenging brain tumor survivors at work. *J Occup Environ Med* 2013;55:1426–30. 10.1097/JOM.0b013e3182a64206 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1097/jom.0b013e3182a64206>
- 41 Mattavelli G, Casarotti A, Forgiarini M, et al. Decision-making abilities in patients with frontal low-grade glioma. *J Neurooncol* 2012;110:59–67. 10.1007/s11060-012-0934-0 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11060-012-0934-0>
- 42 Kennedy F, Haslam C, Munir F, et al. Returning to work following cancer: a qualitative exploratory study into the experience of returning to work following cancer. *Eur J Cancer Care (Engl)* 2007;16:17–25. 10.1111/j.1365-2354.2007.00729.x Available: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2354.2007.00729.x>
- 43 Johnsson A, Fornander T, Olsson M, et al. Factors associated with return to work after breast cancer treatment. *Acta Oncologica* 2007;46:90–6. 10.1080/02841860600857318 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1080/02841860600857318>
- 44 Nekhlyudov L, Walker R, Ziebell R, et al. Cancer survivors' experiences with insurance, finances, and employment: results from a Multisite study. *J Cancer Surviv* 2016;10:1104–11. 10.1007/s11764-016-0554-3 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11764-016-0554-3>
- 45 Moffatt S, Noble E. Work or welfare after cancer? explorations of identity and stigma. *Social Health Illn* 2015;37:1191–205. 10.1111/1467-9566.12303 Available: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/shil.2015.37.issue-8>
- 46 Rasmussen DM, Elverdam B. The meaning of work and working life after cancer: an interview study. *Psychooncology* 2008;17:1232–8. 10.1002/pon.1354 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.1354>
- 47 Longo CJ, Fitch MI, Banfield L, et al. Financial toxicity associated with a cancer diagnosis in publicly funded Healthcare countries: a systematic review. *Support Care Cancer* 2020;28:4645–65. 10.1007/s00520-020-05620-9 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-020-05620-9>
- 48 Altice CK, Banegas MP, Tucker-Seeley RD, et al. Financial hardships experienced by cancer survivors: A systematic review. *JNCI J Natl Cancer Inst* 2017;109:djw205. 10.1093/jnci/djw205 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djw205>
- 49 Fitch MI, Sharp L, Hanly P, et al. Experiencing financial toxicity associated with cancer in publicly funded Healthcare systems: a systematic review of qualitative studies. *J Cancer Surviv* 2022;16:314–28. 10.1007/s11764-021-01025-7 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11764-021-01025-7>
- 50 Torp S, Brusletto B, Withbro TB, et al. Work experiences during and after treatment among self-employed people with cancer. *J Occup Rehabil* 2020;30:49–58. 10.1007/s10926-019-09845-2 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10926-019-09845-2>