# **BMJ Open** NEUROCANTRIAL: study protocol for a randomised controlled trial of a pain neuroscience education programme in adults with cancer pain

Leidy Tatiana Ordoñez-Mora (),<sup>1,2</sup> Ilem D Rosero,<sup>3</sup> Marco Antonio Morales-Osorio,<sup>4,5</sup> Rocío Guil,<sup>6</sup> Giancarlo Quintero Jordan,<sup>7</sup> Julian Alberto Agudelo Jimenez,<sup>8</sup> Katherine Gonzalez-Ruiz,<sup>3</sup> Juan Carlos Avila-Valencia<sup>3,8</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

To cite: Ordoñez-Mora LT. Rosero ID, Morales-Osorio MA, et al. NEUROCANTRIAL: study protocol for a randomised controlled trial of a pain neuroscience education programme in adults with cancer pain. BMJ Open 2023;13:e071493. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2022-071493

Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files. please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ bmjopen-2022-071493).

Received 29 December 2022 Accepted 13 September 2023



C Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2023. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

#### **Correspondence to**

Professor Leidy Tatiana Ordoñez-Mora: tatiana.ormora@gmail.com Introduction Pain is the second most frequent symptom reported in patients with cancer among the main reasons for consultation. The incorporation of educational modalities in pain intervention processes has been suggested. This study aims to examine the efficacy of neuroscience pain education (PNE) in relation to pain, biopsychosocial variables and functional capacity in comparison with conventional treatment. It is hypothesised that an intervention based on PNE achieves better outcomes than conventional management, in terms of pain, biopsychosocial variables and functional capacity in adults with oncological pain.

Methods and analysis This will be a single-blind, controlled clinical trial in which two groups will be compared using changes in pain as the primary measure. The first group will receive conventional treatment in addition to PNE, and participants will undergo an intervention of nine sessions (one session per week, for a total of 9 weeks), each lasting 30-40 min. Specifically, these sessions will teach biophysiological elements using metaphors that allow the adoption of these concepts related to pain. The second group will receive conventional treatment (pharmacological treatment). For this study, a sample size calculation was made based on the background, where 80 adults with oncological pain were required. An initial evaluation will be made to establish the baseline characteristics, and then, according to the randomisation, the assignment to the PNE group or the control group will be made and the two groups will be summoned again. Ten weeks later, for the intervention evaluation, the statistical analysis plan will be established by protocol and by intention-to-treat. Ethics and dissemination This protocol complies with all ethical considerations. The authors commit to presenting the study's results. This study was approved by the ethics committees of the Universidad Santiago de Cali, Clínica de Occidente/Angiografía de Occidente. The authors commit to presenting the study's results.

Trial registration number NCT05581784.

## STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- $\Rightarrow$  Patients from a pain medicine and palliative care unit will be recruited in accordance with their applicability in clinical practice.
- $\Rightarrow$  This study will involve the development of educational material according to the theoretical references for addressing the patient.
- $\Rightarrow$  Functional capacity measurements, such as a 6-minute walk distance and manual pressure strength, which can determine the effect of this intervention on patients, will be included.
- $\Rightarrow$  The calculated sample may not be reached due to difficulties in obtaining patients.
- $\Rightarrow$  There may be losses associated with comorbidities due to the stage of the cancer and adjuvant processes.

#### INTRODUCTION

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al In 2021, about 5562 new cases of cancer were training, reported in Colombia, of which breast cancer was the second most frequent type of cancer in women (16.3% of new cases), followed by uterus cancer (13.7% of new cases), while in men, the second type of cancer with the <u>0</u> highest number of new cases was prostate cancer (14.5% new cases),<sup>1</sup> observing an increase in cases as age increases. In turn, pain is a common symptom reported by patients with cancer. A previous meta-analysis **o** reported pain in 59%, 64% and 33% of **g** patients undergoing cancer treatment, in 8 patients with advanced or terminal disease and after curative treatment, respectively.<sup>2</sup>

According to the International Association for the Study of Pain, pain is defined as an unpleasant sensory and emotional experience associated with, or resembling, actual or potential tissue damage.<sup>3</sup> In particular, it is one of the most frequent alterations caused by toxicity, surgery, radiation, among



others, after cancer treatment.<sup>4</sup> Oncological pain poses a difficulty due to the complexity of the disease and the subjective experience of pain. In addition, during cancer treatment, pain mechanisms may appear due to different causes, the first one possibly due to tissue involvement (nociceptive pain) and the second one to nerve damage (neuropathic pain), and at other times some mechanisms are characterised by altered processing without clear evidence of persistent tissue damage (non-disciplinary pain).<sup>5</sup> In addition, it is closely related to decreased quality of life and increased self-perceived disability.<sup>6</sup> In addition, it is closely related to the decrease in quality of life and the increase in self-perceived disability.

Pain is one of the most feared and annoying symptoms among these patients.<sup>27</sup> A study reported that 5%–10% of cancer survivors have severe chronic pain that significantly impairs their function.<sup>8</sup> A study of patients with breast cancer reported pain in 25.3%, 18.7%, 15.4% and 40.6% of them, with neuropathic, nociceptive, nociplastic and mixed pain predominating, respectively.<sup>9</sup> Notably, this aetiology of cancer pain is variable and can be attributed to postoperative syndromes. In addition, adjuvant therapies can have adverse effects. For example, chemotherapy can cause symmetrical painful numbness and burning and tingling in hands and feet. It can also cause osteoporosis, osteonecrosis, arthralgia and myalgia.<sup>8 10</sup> Radiotherapy can have serious side effects caused by ionising radiation, the induction of reactive oxygen species production and damage to DNA and regulatory proteins in cells. This leads to apoptosis and increased inflammation in exposed and adjacent cells due to radiation-induced bystander effects, potentially leading to plexopathies and osteoradionecrosis.<sup>11 12</sup> Likewise, the maintenance therapy used, such as that involving aromatase inhibitors, may cause arthralgia and myalgia.13

To control the adverse effects mentioned above the relevant literature in oncology shows that quality of life and survival rate are associated with early and effective palliative care, including pain management, establishing recommendations for the inclusion of interventions oriented to non-pharmacological approaches such as education.<sup>14</sup> Future studies are required to evaluate these new treatment approaches<sup>15</sup> that include educational aspects within the intervention process. Accordingly, pain neuroscience education (PNE) describes how the nervous system interprets information from tissues via peripheral sensitisation, central sensitisation, synaptic activity and cortical processing.<sup>16</sup> This innovative educational approach is effective in changing beliefs about pain and improving pain management strategies and health outcomes in a variety of adult populations with chronic pain.<sup>17–19</sup>

Regarding previous studies on PNE in cancer, a quasiexperimental study was conducted by Pas *et al*<sup>20</sup> with the aim of describing the innovative educational component of PNE. The study showed a significant decrease in pain intensity (p=0.001) compared with baseline. Another study conducted by Manfuku *et al*,<sup>21</sup> compared

conventional biomedical education (BME) (n=51) with PNE (n=51) and reported scores based on the Brief Pain Inventory (BPI) questionnaire. The findings show that scores for catastrophising and central sensitisation improved with a statistically significant difference between PNE and BME (all, p<0.05), and effect sizes for BPI intensity were moderate (r=0.31). Furthermore, a study carried out by De Groef *et al*<sup>22</sup> aimed to investigate the effectiveness of PNE intervention for the treatment and prevention of pain and for improvement in physical, emotional and work-related functioning after breast cancer surgery, compared with BME. The results of this protocol were recently published by Dams *et al*<sup>23</sup> finding that patients who received PNE after 6 months of breast **2** cancer surgery showed a decreased sensitivity to pres-8 sure pain in the trunk, compared with the BME group. However, no significant differences were found between the types of education received.

The present study aims to examine the effectiveness of PNE in relation to pain, biopsychosocial variables and functional capacity compared with conventional management in people with prostate, uterine and breast cancer. This will be assessed through a single-blind randomised uses related to text and controlled clinical trial. It is hypothesised that an intervention based on PNE achieves better outcomes than conventional management in terms of pain, biopsychosocial variables and functional capacity in adults with oncological pain.

#### **METHODS AND ANALYSIS Trial design and context**

A randomised controlled parallel-group clinical trial will be conducted with blinding of the researchers. The recruitment will be conducted between February 2023 and March 2024 at the clinical medicine and palliative ≥ care unit of a tertiary level clinic in the city of Cali and the Health Department of the University of Santiago de Cali, Colombia, USA.

, and This proposed quantitative experimental controlled clinical trial will be conducted in accordance with the Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Interventional Trials guidelines for clinical trial protocols<sup>24</sup> and Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) technologies guidelines for clinical trials<sup>25</sup> and outcomes extension.<sup>26</sup> This trial is registered with ClinicalTrials.gov.

#### Patient and public involvement in the trial design

During the initial preparation and set-up of the trial. We consulted with a patient diagnosed with breast cancer, along with a representative from the palliative care unit. The patient's perspective offered valuable insight into the challenges and anxieties faced during cancer treatment. Simultaneously, the representative of the palliative care unit played a crucial role in shaping the study framework and provided guidance on the assessment of work-related functional outcomes.

data

З

training

Ге

Due to the characteristics of the intervention, work was done prior to its development on the validation of the content provided in the manual with some patients with similar characteristics to verify that the content included in each of the chapters is consistent and is understood by the patients. At the end of each session, questions are asked about the main concepts of each of the chapters. The manual developed will be available for consultation, and public dissemination sessions of the results will be held open to the public with the aim of publicising the results.

#### **Eligibility criteria**

The study will include male patients diagnosed with stage III and IV prostate cancer and female patients diagnosed with stage III and IV uterine and breast cancer according to the TNM staging system stands for tumour, node, metastasis.<sup>27</sup> Includes persons with an initial pain rating of 3 as assessed with Visual analogue scales (VAS).

#### **Inclusion criteria**

- Patients with a life expectancy of >3 months based on the Karnofsky Scale, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Scale, Palliative Prognostic Score or Palliative Prognostic Index.
- Those who provide informed consent.
- Those who are able to establish communication with the team, please note that the sessions will be conducted in Spanish and a proficiency in the language is required.
- Those presenting with scores demonstrating preserved cognitive function on a Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) scale with a minimum score of 25.
- Those who are able to stand upright and walk independently or with external assistance.

#### **Exclusion criteria**

- Patients with surgeries scheduled in the next 3 months.
- Those with impairment of visual and auditory sensory systems (deafness or blindness).
- Those with acute traumatic injury.
- Those with uncontrolled arrhythmias or heart disease.
- Those with severe acute respiratory failure or uncontrolled respiratory pathology.
- Those with recent fractures in the last month.
- Patients diagnosed with previous rheumatic diseases (before a cancer diagnosis).

#### Participant selection, recruitment and consent

Participants will be identified from the lists of patients in the pain medicine and palliative care ward and selected according to the abovementioned selection criteria. The initial examination and evaluation were carried out by a member of the research team, and potentially eligible participants were approached and recruited by the attending physician after consultation. All eligible patients received a document with information and explanations about the study. Participants were then asked to fill out

a separate informed consent form that was previously approved by all institutions involved in the study. After their approval, an evaluation process was conducted to determine the baseline before randomisation and assignment to one of the study groups. The second assessment will take place immediately following the intervention. The flow chart of the study is shown in figure 1 and online supplemental appendix 1.

#### Sample size

To calculate the sample size, we used the results of the preliminary study by Manfuku *et al*<sup> $t^1$ </sup> who used an educational intervention in patients with cancer with pain to determine the process of self-care and medication g management in cancer. Manfuku used the BPI as the main 2 measure of pain intensity. The G\*Power V.3.1 program was used based on an assumption of a mean of 0.51 (±1) in group 1 and 1.69  $(\pm 2.2)$  in group 2, with a difference of -1.18, that is, (t-test), assuming pain intensity as the main variable, a power of 90% and an alpha type error of 5% and one-tailed adjustment was determined. Overall, рg 37 patients must be enrolled in each group, and to adjust ę for a 10% loss, 41 patients are required for each group.

#### Allocation and randomisation

To reduce selection bias, random number randomisation with a computer-generated 1:1 assignment in permuted blocks of four to eight patients will be performed. An Б, external researcher will randomly assign patients to one of the two treatment groups after obtaining informed consent and performing a baseline assessment. In order ല to allocate each patient to the experimental or control group, two strata randomisation (age groups, <60 years and older patients) will be also performed to reduce potential confounders and selection bias. Furthermore, the research team members performing the statistical analysis will be blinded.

#### Blinding

Al training To ensure a reduction in information bias during patient follow-up, the principal investigator is blinded. In addition, the post-interventional examinations are selfmanaged by people who depend on a physiotherapist and S carried out for others by an external person trained and specialised in this field. This is done in such a way that the assessor is unaware of the patient's assignment, and the patient is asked not to reveal the group to which they have been assigned at any time. To further reduce the the therapist conducting the assessment and **g** will be different people.

#### Intervention group

The intervention group will undergo an intervention based on PNE, which is an educational model for teaching pain biopsychosocial. It has been recognised as a compelling approach for managing chronic pain that adopts elements of user-based learning through the use of metaphors and examples.<sup>28</sup> In addition, PNE is aimed



BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2022-071493 on 27 September 2023. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 8, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de I Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

at changing the understanding of what pain really is, the effects it has and the biological processes that guide it. It refers to a theoretical framework for pain treatments, and the main goal of the approach is to change the conceptualisation of pain as an indicator of tissue damage or pathology, progressively leading to a change in attitudes and the initiation of movements and activities in everyday life.<sup>29</sup>

This model is based on various educational interventions<sup>19</sup> and has been defined using the following terms: Explaining Pain,<sup>30</sup> Therapeutic Neuroscience Education and PNE.

Notably, PNE is increasingly used as part of physiotherapy treatment in patients with chronic, nondisciplinary and neuropathic pain. A comprehensive biopsychosocial clinical assessment is recommended before PNE to adequately explain the neurophysiology of pain and biopsychosocial interactions, while ensuring that this process is patient-centred.<sup>29</sup>

The clinical trial will comprise nine sessions over a period of 10 weeks, each lasting 30-40 min. These sessions will be scheduled weekly. Interventions will be scheduled on an individual or group basis (if possible). Supporting slides will be used to explain the content, and questions will be allowed throughout the sessions.

The content of the sessions will include elements based on book illustrations explaining pain, the second edition of the Spanish version<sup>27</sup> whose content will correspond to http://www.paininmotion.be/<sup>32</sup>; Louw's manual,<sup>33</sup> and the explanation of pain in patients with cancer<sup>7</sup> which will be adapted from the use of examples and metaphors. For the organisation of material corresponding to this intervention, some phases will be generated.

The sessions will be based on a guide with nine chapters organised as follows:

- ▶ Chapter 1, entitled 'Living with Pain', conceptualises the importance of pain as a defence system and functioning of the organism. Patients will reflect on what their life was like with pain and learn strategies that can help them in the process.
- In Chapter 2, entitled 'Pain System', patients learn to understand that although pain is defined as a sensation, ultimately it is not, and rather becomes a perception. This in turn includes what is thought, felt and believed about the situation.
- In Chapter 3, entitled 'Alarm System', patients understand how the alarm system is activated via a detailed process involving neurons, synapses, conduction to the medulla and processing at the cortical level in the presence of pain.
- Chapter 4, entitled 'Extra Sensitivity Altered Alert System', aims to make the patient aware that their nerve cells become more sensitive in the presence of injury or pathology. This process is influenced by factors external to the injury, such as stress, fear and the perception of pain itself, causing the tissues or organs surrounding the injury to trigger the

alarm system as if it were a loudspeaker, leading to hypersensitivity.

- In Chapter 5, entitled 'Pain Defenders', patients experience a new sense of pain. The pain can be compared with a big wolf or wild animal attacking the individual, wherein the individual's systems are activated to protect them from the threat and thus the brain makes survival-related decisions.
- In Chapter 6, entitled 'Your Fatigue, Anxiety, and Stress', patients understand the function of cortisol and its effect on the sympathetic, parasympathetic, immune and endocrine nervous systems. Addition-ally, they understand why living with the constant threat of chronic and persistent pain activates their systems to produce stress-related chemicals, which 8 in turn cause them to experience-related symptoms, such as depression, mood swings, changes in appetite, memory problems, weight gain, insomnia, fatigue and anxiety.
- Chapter 7, entitled 'Current Treatment Models', aims to make the patient recognise their fear as a powerful motivator that could help them find new strategies to understand their pain and its treatment, making them uses consider that they own this process and that they are the owners of their own decisions. rela
- In Chapter 8, titled 'Goals and Achievements', patients understand that pain stems from sensations and that receptors transmit these sensations. Ideally, patients can be made aware at this point that there e is evidence that education, knowledge and understanding include strategies to help them improve and that exercise is important to turn off the alarm system.
- In Chapter 9, entitled 'Emphasis and Pain Differentiation', the patient understands that pain does not mean there is damage and that their pain can be classified according to some characteristics as follows: nociceptive, nociplastic and neuropathic.

#### **Control intervention**

AI training The pharmacological treatment and indications will be evaluated by a doctor specialised in palliative care and ല pain. In particular, pharmacological treatment will be considered for both groups. Patients will be instructed to follow protocols established by the clinic: use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in the first instance for mild pain; tramadol at a maximum dose of 400 mg, codeine, or tapentadol for moderate pain; and opioids based on availability and use in Colombia, including morphine, oxycodone, hydromorphone, methadone, fentanyl (parenteral and transdermal use) and buprenorphine (transdermal use) for severe pain.

The PNE group will also be advised pharmacological modulation by the attending physician.

### **Community and expert participation**

To organise the chapters, we worked with patients who served on the board of a foundation working for palliative care in the city of Cali and cancer experts for

#### **Open access**

#### **Outcomes**

The measures of outcomes to be included were based on the pain assessment guideline by IMMPACT recommendations<sup>34</sup> as well as from the reports generated when working with patients with cancer.<sup>1</sup>

As the primary outcome, the impact of pain is assessed using the BPI, and all secondary outcome measures are presented in table 1. In particular, an assessment at baseline and 10 weeks after the intervention is considered. BPI is a self-administered questionnaire containing two dimensions: one related to pain intensity and the other to the effect of pain on the patient's activities of daily living. This is rated on a 10-point scale. Higher scores indicate more severe pain.<sup>35</sup>

The Visual Analogue Pain Scale is used to measure pain intensity on a 10 cm line (total score: 0-10; 0=no pain and 10=severe pain). Higher scores indicate a worse result.<sup>36</sup>

#### **Secondary measures**

#### Central sensitisation

The Central Sensitisation Inventory is used to identify patients with symptoms related to central sensitisation and has two sections: Section A comprises 25 questions about central sensitisation syndrome symptoms, and Section B assesses the patient's condition in relation to their diagnosis. The patient answers the 25 questions in Section A with a score of 0-4. The total score is between 0 and 100. Scores of >40 indicate central sensitisation.<sup>37</sup>

#### Catastrophising

The Pain Catastrophising Scale is a 13-item selfadministered questionnaire measuring three items of perceived pain intensity (rumination, magnification and feeling helpless). Participants indicate the extent to which they agree with statements about their pain as 0, strongly disagree; 1, to a mild degree; 2, to a moderate degree; 3, to a great extent; and 4, all the time. It has three subscales that score rumination, magnification and helplessness. All subscale scores are added to give a total score from 0 to 52. Higher scores indicate that the participant thinks more about the pain and feels helpless.

#### Kinesiophobia

The Tampa Scale is used to assess the fear of pain and movement. It comprises 11 items that are answered on a 4-point Likert scale. Total scores for each scale range from 11 to 44, with higher scores indicating a greater fear of pain and movement.<sup>39</sup>

#### Depression

The Beck Depression Inventory will be used to assess the state of depression. It contains 21 categories measuring physical, emotional, cognitive and motivational symptoms,

inc

ſe

a

ß

⊳

similar

and each category is scored between 0 and 3. The patient is then asked to select the most appropriate category. The score increases progressively from no symptoms to severe symptoms (0-10 points, no depression; 11-17 points, mild depression; 18–23 points, moderate depression; ≥24 severe depression).<sup>40</sup>

#### Neuropathic pain

The Douleur Neuropathique in 4 Questions (DN4) scale will be used to assess neuropathic pain, and it scores 4 questions out of 10 will be used to determine the symptomatology related to neuropathic pain.<sup>41</sup>

#### **Cognitive function**

Protected by copyright The MoCA assessment will be used for assessing cognitive impairment, and it consists of 19 items and 8 cognitive domains that assess skills such as visuospatial/executive, naming, memory, attention, language, abstraction, delayed recall and orientation; it can have a maximum luding score of 30, with 25 or 26 being the cut-off points for cognitive impairment.42

Quality of life The widely used questionnaire European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer core Quality of Life Questionnaire (EORTC QLQ-C30) is used to assess the quality of life and includes five functional scales (physō ical, role, cognitive, emotional and social functioning), a global quality of life scale, three symptom scales (fatigue, nausea and pain) and six individual items (loss of appetite, diarrhoea, dyspnoea, constipation, insomnia and ā economic impact). On the functional and global quality of life scales, a higher score indicates better health. On symptom scales, a higher score indicates a higher symptom burden.43

#### Sleep quality

The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index is one of the most Вu reliable tests for defining sleep quality and its disorders. It contains a total of 19 questions. Questions are grouped , and into seven scoring areas, each ranging from 0 to 3 points.<sup>44</sup>

#### Functional capacity

A 6-minute walk test is used to determine exercise tolerance and functional status. The distance covered in metres in the last 6 min is measured.<sup>45 46</sup>

The Timed Get-up-and-Go test was developed as a predictor of falls and as a measure of functional capacity. In this test, the participant sits in a chair, stands up, walks for 3 m and sits down again. The test runtime is calculated.47

#### Manual grip strength

This is a marker of nutritional status as well as the morbidity and mortality associated with the pathological lesion. It will be measured using a dynamometer to establish the value of grip strength in kilograms.<sup>48</sup>

Table 1         Description of the measurements				
Variables	Dimensions	Indicator	Value	
Socio-demographic features	Age. Sex. Educational level. Provenance. Affiliation regimen.	How many years old. Female or male. Level of schooling. Urban or rural. Subsidised, contributory or linked.	<ul> <li>Age: ≥40 years.</li> <li>Female or male.</li> <li>Incomplete elementary school education, complete elementary school education, incomplete high school education, complete high school education, technical education, technological college-level education, university-level education and postgraduate.</li> <li>Rural or urban.</li> <li>Contributory, subsidised, linked or specialised.</li> </ul>	
	Diagnostic period.	Months.	Months after the diagnosis.	
	Pharmacological treatment.	Medication use.	Use of medications and dosage.	
	Adjuvant treatment.	Presence of adjuvant treatment.	Chemotherapy or radiotherapy. Frequency of administration.	
Physical measurements	Vital signs.	Vital sign parameters.	Heart rate. Oxygen saturation. Respiratory frequency. Blood pressure.	
Impact of pain	Brief pain questionnaire.	BPI.	1–22 items to evaluate the degree of pain and its severity based on pain history.	
Presence of pain	Numerical assessment of pain.	Numerical pain indicator.	Numerical: score from 1 to 10 in pain. Categorical: none, mild, moderate or severe.	
Cognitive function	Cognitive dimensions.	Score range 0–30.	Score on the MoCA test. Categorical: normal, mild impairment, moderate impairment or severe impairment.	
Depression	Beck Index.	Presence of 0–21 items.	Numerical: score obtained in the test. Categorical: none, mild, moderate and severe.	
Kinesiophobia	Tampa Scale.	11 items score range from 1 to 4.	Numerical: 1–4. Categorical: none, mild, moderate and severe.	
Catastrophising	Catastrophisation scale.	13 items score range from 1 to 4.	Three factors: rumination, magnification and hopelessness (scored from 1 to 4).	
Central sensitisation	Central sensitisation inventory.	25 points score range 1–4.	This evaluates 25 pain-related symptoms, with scores from 0 to 100. Categorical: yes or no.	
Neuropathic pain	Scale DN4.	Score range 0–10 points.	This evaluates four questions with possibility to score up to 10 points in order to determine the presence of neuropathic pain. Categorical: yes or no.	
Quality of sleep	Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index.	Score range 0-21 points.	Categorical: good, fair or poor.	
Quality of life	EORTC QLQ-C30.	30 questions score range 1–4.	Assessment of the quality of life of patients with cancer.	

Continued

Functional capacity

Continued

Table 1

Variables

	<b>O</b>	
	Value	
ed.	Metre indicator. Heart rate. Borg: dyspnoea; fatigue.	
ıds.	Time in seconds.	
rams.	Force in kilograms.	
DRTC QLQ-C30, European Assessment .	Organisation for Research and Treatment	
A multivariate analysis will be performed using linear regression for the dependent variables, such as pain, cognitive function, depression, kinesiophobia, cata- strophising, pain impact, central sensitisation, neuro- pathic pain, sleep quality, quality of life and physical function. If the criteria of normality, homoscedasticity and independence of the variable are not met, the possi- bility of transforming the variable will be evaluated. Once the regression has been performed, we will assess whether the model represents or resembles the observed data. To control for potential confounders, the variables previ- ously considered as confounders will be adjusted, such as pharmacological dose, presence of adjuvant treatment and previous hospitalisations for pain. In addition, the possibility of a change in the effect between these vari- ables will be assessed.		

The analysis will be carried out according to the protocol based on the specification for who completed the intervention, but the analysis will also be estimated based on the intention-to-treat the whole group.

# Data security and management

Participant data will be stored in a secure database in accordance with ethical considerations and good health practices. Each patient will be assigned an identifier to trair protect confidentiality. The information will be reviewed to determine the validity of the data. The data will then ning, and simi undergo additional checks to ensure that the information encrypted matches the information received.

# **Test monitoring**

A pilot study will be initiated to determine the dosage for the different questionnaires to be used and the time and response capacity of the patients.

õ The material used during the intervention will be tested and reviewed by experts as well as patient representatives to verify the understanding of each of the constructed **g** chapters.

# Ethics and dissemination

In this research, all participants will be provided with reasonable information about the objectives, methods, possible conflicts of interest, estimated benefits, foreseeable risks and inconveniences arising from this investigation. Participants sign an informed consent form that clearly explains the procedure to be performed,

# **Pharmaceuticals**

The establishment of the baseline of the drugs used for pain, as well as the type, dose and frequency of consumption will be generated.

**Dimensions** 

TC6M 6-minute walk test.

Manual dynamometry.

BPI, Brief Pain Inventory; DN4, Douleur Neuropathique in 4 Questions; EORTC

of Cancer core Quality of Life questionnaire ; MoCA, Montreal Cognitive Asse

Timed Get-up-and-Go test. Time in seconds.

Indicator

Metres travelled.

Force in kilogram

# Data analysis

A statistical analysis will be performed based on the following steps:

A flowchart will be prepared to describe the process of patient recruitment and follow-up throughout the study based on the CONSORT declaration. The flowchart will include the number of patients and reasons for exclusion, the number of patients randomised and assigned to the study units and the losses and interruptions in the interventions within the groups.<sup>24</sup>

An exploratory analysis will be performed to assess the data behaviour and the key assumptions required for the application of a particular test (normality, linearity or homoscedasticity); in addition, the presence of errors and biases in the data collected, the presence of anomalies and all missing data will be assessed.

The socio-demographic and clinical variables will be analysed in addition to those related to the patients' baseline oncological process at inclusion in the study by plotting their baseline characteristics according to the measurement scale of each variable using measures of central tendency, dispersion, frequency tables and 95% CIs. This analysis will be performed for each group and then subjected to homogeneity hypothesis tests based on the nature of each variable.

A univariate analysis of each described variable will then be performed considering the results before and after the intervention. In addition, we will perform a bivariate analysis to determine the correlation between dependent and independent variables and a multivariate analysis to examine the interaction and relationships between them.

Pain scores on the Pain Rating Scale will be considered the primary outcomes and quality of life scores and physical function test scores are secondary variables; the difference in their mean values between groups will be analysed using the Student's t-test, and the difference within each group will be analysed using the paired t-test. The interpretation of effect size will be performed using the Cohen's d index or coefficient, which classifies effect size as small (0.2-0.49), moderate (0.5-0.8) or large (>0.8).

Bu

esn

5

text

t and

data

Ξ

the nature of the procedures, the benefits and risks they face, their ability to choose freely and that everything will be performed without coercion. It will also be made clear that if the individual does not agree to participate, they can withdraw their consent at any time. This study was reviewed and approved by the Ethics and Bioethics Committee of the University of Santiago de Cali on 8 October 2021 according to act N°16. It was also submitted to the ethics committee at the Clínica de Occidente, Angiografía de Occidente and was approved on 25 November 2021.

#### **Dissemination of results**

The research team is committed to the full publication of the results of this study. The results will be reported according to CONSORT guidelines and are intended to be published in high profile journals. In the research, there is a component related to social acquisition and knowledge dissemination, and these results are expected to be presented to patient representatives and the various palliative care committees.

#### **Author affiliations**

<sup>1</sup>Doctorate in Applied Sciences, Universidad Santiago de Cali, Cali, Colombia <sup>2</sup>Doctorate in Health Sciences, Universidad de Cadiz, Cadiz, Spain

<sup>3</sup>Department of Health, Physiotherapy Program, Universidad Santiago de Cali, Cali, Colombia

<sup>4</sup>Department of Health, Universidad de la Costa, Barranquilla, Colombia <sup>5</sup>Department of Health, Universidad del Valle de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico

<sup>6</sup>Department of Psychology, Universidad de Cádiz, Puerto Real, Spain

<sup>7</sup>Health and Movement Research Group, Universidad Santiago de Cali, Cali, Colombia

<sup>8</sup>Grupo de investigación y Educación Clínica de Occidente GIECDO, Centro Medico Clinica de Occidente, Cali, Valle del Cauca, Colombia

**Contributors** LTO-M: Research idea, development of the intervention material, construction of materials and methods, statistical validation, review and approval of the manuscript. IDR: Development of the intervention material, construction of materials and methods, statistical validation, review and approval of the manuscript. MAM-O: Development of the intervention material, construction of materials and methods, statistical validation, review and approval of the manuscript. RG: Construction of materials and methods, statistical validation, review and approval of the manuscript. RG: Construction of materials and methods, statistical validation, review and approval of the manuscript. GQJ: Development of the intervention material, review and approval of the manuscript. JAAJ: Construction of materials and methods, statistical validation, review and approval of the manuscript. JCA-V: Construction of materials and methods, statistical validation, review and approval of the manuscript. Construction of materials and methods, statistical validation, review and approval of the manuscript. JCA-V: Construction of materials and methods, statistical validation, review and approval of the manuscript. Schemer approval of the manuscript. JCA-V: Construction of materials and methods, statistical validation, review and approval of the manuscript. Schemer ap

**Funding** This project is funded by the General Directorate of Research initiation act (number: 442-621121-3331) of the Universidad Santiago de Cali. This research has been funded by Dirección General de Investigaciones of Universidad Santiago de Cali under call number 02-2023.

#### Competing interests None declared.

**Patient and public involvement** Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines,

terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

#### ORCID iD

Leidy Tatiana Ordoñez-Mora http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8365-8155

#### REFERENCES

- 1 Instituto Nacional de Cancerología (INC). Anuario Estadístico 2021. Bogotá, D. C. INC; 2022. Available: https://www.cancer. gov.co/recursos\_user/files/libros/archivos/Anuario\_Estadi%CC% 81sticoINC\_2021.pdf
- 2 Fallon M, Giusti R, Aielli F, et al. Management of cancer pain in adult patients: ESMO clinical practice guidelines. Ann Oncol 2018;29:iv166–91.
- 3 Terminology [International Association for the Study of Pain]. Available: https://www.iasp-pain.org/resources/terminology/ [Accessed 22 May 2022].
- 4 Harrington S, Gilchrist L, Sander A. Breast cancer EDGE task force outcomes: clinical measures of pain. *Rehabil Oncol* 2014;32:13–21.
- 5 Lema MJ, Foley KM, Hausheer FH. Types and epidemiology of cancer-related neuropathic pain: the intersection of cancer pain and neuropathic pain. *Oncologist* 2010;15 Suppl 2:3–8.
- 6 Rietman JS, Dijkstra PU, Debreczeni R, et al. Impairments, disabilities and health related quality of life after treatment for breast cancer: a follow-up study 2.7 years after surgery. *Disabil Rehabil* 2004;26:78–84.
- 7 Nijs J, Wijma AJ, Leysen L, et al. Explaining pain following cancer: a practical guide for clinicians. Braz J Phys Ther 2019;23:367–77.
- 8 Brown MRD, Ramirez JD, Farquhar-Smith P. Pain in cancer survivors. Br J Pain 2014;8:139–53.
- 9 Leysen L, Adriaenssens N, Nijs J, et al. Chronic pain in breast cancer survivors: nociceptive, neuropathic, or central sensitization pain? *Pain Pract* 2019;19:183–95.
- 10 Buffart LM, Schreurs MAC, Abrahams HJG, *et al.* Effects and moderators of coping skills training on symptoms of depression and anxiety in patients with cancer: aggregate data and individual patient data meta-analyses. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2020;80:101882.
- 11 Glare PA, Davies PS, Finlay E, et al. Pain in cancer survivors. JCO 2014;32:1739–47.
- 12 Lahousse A, Roose E, Leysen L, et al. Lifestyle and pain following cancer: state-of-the-art and future directions. J Clin Med 2021;11:195.
- 13 Laroche F, Perrot S, Medkour T, et al. Quality of life and impact of pain in women treated with aromatase inhibitors for breast cancer. a multicenter cohort study. PLoS ONE 2017;12:e0187165.
- 14 Swarm RA, Paice JA, Anghelescu DL, et al. Adult cancer pain, version 3.2019, NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology. J Natl Compr Canc Netw 2019;17:977–1007.
- 15 Lluch Girbés E, Nijs J, Torres-Cueco R, *et al.* Pain treatment for patients with osteoarthritis and central sensitization. *Phys Ther* 2013;93:842–51.
- 16 Moseley L. Combined physiotherapy and education is efficacious for chronic low back pain. *Aust J Physiother* 2002;48:297–302.
- 17 Geneen LJ, Martin DJ, Adams N, *et al.* Effects of education to facilitate knowledge about chronic pain for adults: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Syst Rev* 2015;4:132.
- 18 Louw A, Zimney K, Puentedura EJ, et al. The efficacy of pain neuroscience education on musculoskeletal pain: a systematic review of the literature. *Physiother Theory Pract* 2016;32:332–55.
- 19 Ordoñez-Mora LT, Morales-Osorio MA, Rosero ID. Effectiveness of interventions based on pain Neuroscience education on pain and Psychosocial variables for osteoarthritis: a systematic review. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2022;19:2559.
- 20 Pas R, Leysen L, De Goeij W, et al. Pain neuroscience education in cancer survivors with persistent pain: a pilot study. J Bodyw Mov Ther 2020;24:239–44.
- 21 Manfuku M, Nishigami T, Mibu A, et al. Effect of perioperative pain neuroscience education in patients with post-mastectomy persistent pain: a retrospective, propensity score-matched study. Support Care Cancer 2021;29:5351–9.

### Open access

- 22 De Groef A, Devoogdt N, Van der Gucht E, et al. Educan trial: study protocol for a randomised controlled trial on the effectiveness of pain Neuroscience education after breast cancer surgery on pain, physical, emotional and work-related functioning. *BMJ Open* 2019;9:e025742.
- 23 Dams L, Van der Gucht E, Haenen V, et al. Effectiveness of pain neuroscience education on somatosensory functioning after surgery for breast cancer: a double-blinded randomized controlled trial. Anat Rec (Hoboken) 2023.
- 24 Chan A-W, Tetzlaff JM, Gøtzsche PC, et al. SPIRIT 2013 explanation and elaboration: guidance for protocols of clinical trials. BMJ 2013;346:e7586.
- 25 Moher D, Hopewell S, Schulz KF, et al. CONSORT 2010 explanation and elaboration: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. *BMJ* 2010;340:c869.
- 26 Butcher NJ, Monsour A, Mew EJ, et al. Guidelines for reporting outcomes in trial reports: the CONSORT-outcomes 2022 extension. JAMA 2022;328:2345–56.
- 27 Cancer staging NCI. Available: https://www.cancer.gov/aboutcancer/diagnosis-staging/staging [Accessed 06 Nov 2022].
- 28 Malfliet A, Kregel J, Meeus M, et al. Applying contemporary neuroscience in exercise interventions for chronic spinal pain: treatment protocol. *Braz J Phys Ther* 2017;21:378–87.
- 29 Moseley GL, Butler DS. Fifteen years of explaining pain: the past, present, and future. J Pain 2015;16:807–13.
- 30 Butler DS. Explicando El Dolor. 2010. Available: https://books. google.com/books/about/Explicando\_el\_Dolor.html?hl=es&id= XYfipq25t5UC [Accessed 21 Mar 2022].
- 31 Nijs J, Lluch Girbés E, Lundberg M, *et al.* Exercise therapy for chronic musculoskeletal pain: innovation by altering pain memories. *Man Ther* 2015;20:216–20.
- 32 Pain in motion. Available: http://www.paininmotion.be/ [Accessed 06 Nov 2022].
- 33 Louw A. Your fibromyalgia workbook: a neuroscience approach to the understanding and treatment of fibromyalgia. n.d.: 106.
- 34 Dworkin RH, Turk DC, Farrar JT, et al. Core outcome measures for chronic pain clinical trials: IMMPACT recommendations. *Pain* 2005;113:9–19.
- 35 Badia X, Muriel C, Gracia A, et al. Validación Española del Cuestionario brief pain inventory en Pacientes con Dolor de Causa Neoplásica. Medicina Clínica 2003;120:52–9.
- 36 Scott J, Huskisson EC. Vertical or horizontal visual analogue scales. Ann Rheum Dis 1979;38:560.
- 37 Roldán-Jiménez C, Pajares B, Iglesias Campos M, et al. Structural validity and reliability of the Spanish central sensitization inventory in breast cancer survivors. *Pain Pract* 2021;21:740–6.

- 38 García Campayo J, Rodero B, Alda M, et al. Validación de la Versión Española de la Escala de la Catastrofización ante El Dolor (pain Catastrophizing scale) en La Fibromialgia. *Medicina Clínica* 2008;131:487–92.
- 39 Gómez-Pérez L, López-Martínez AE, Ruiz-Párraga GT. Psychometric properties of the Spanish version of the Tampa scale for kinesiophobia (TSK). *J Pain* 2011;12:425–35.
- 40 Maldonado-Avendaño N, Castro-Osorio R, Cardona-Gómez P. Propiedades Psicométricas del Inventario de Depresión de Beck-II (BDI-II) en Población Universitaria Colombiana. *Revista Colombiana de Psiquiatría* 2021.
- 41 Perez C, Galvez R, Huelbes S, *et al.* Validity and reliability of the Spanish version of the DN4 (Douleur Neuropathique 4 questions) questionnaire for differential diagnosis of pain syndromes associated to a neuropathic or somatic component. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2007;5:66.
- 42 Gil L, Ruiz de Sánchez C, Gil F, *et al.* Validation of the Montreal cognitive assessment (Moca) in Spanish as a screening tool for mild cognitive impairment and mild dementia in patients over 65 years old in Bogotá, Colombia. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2015;30:655–62.
- 43 Sánchez Pedraza R, Valderamar A. Validación para utilización en Colombia de la escala EORTC QLQ C-30 para evaluación de la Calidad de Vida de Los Pacientes con Cáncer. *Rev Colomb Cancerol* 2020;24:61–71.
- 44 Hita-Contreras F, Martínez-López E, Latorre-Román PA, et al. Reliability and validity of the Spanish version of the Pittsburgh sleep quality index (PSQI) in patients with fibromyalgia. *Rheumatol Int* 2014;34:929–36.
- 45 González NF, Anchique CV, Rivas AD. Test de Caminata de 6 Minutos en Pacientes de Rehabilitación Cardiaca de Altitud Moderada. *Revista Colombiana de Cardiología* 2017;24:626–32.
- 46 Moreno J, Cruz H, Angarita A. Aplicación de la Prueba de Caminata de 6 Minutos Y Escala de Borg Modificada en Sujetos con Diversos Tipos de Cáncer./Aplication of the 6 minute walk test and the modified Borg scale in subjects with different types of cancer. *Archmed* 2013;13:41–6.
- 47 Alfonso Mora M. Propiedades Metricas del "timed get up and go version Modificada" en El Riesgo de Caídas en Mujeres Activas. *Colomb Med* 2017;48:19–24.
- 48 Kim H, Yoo S, Kim H, et al. Cancer survivors with low hand grip strength have decreased quality of life compared with healthy controls: the Korea national health and nutrition examination survey 2014-2017. Korean J Fam Med 2021;42:204–11.