

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<u>http://bmjopen.bmj.com</u>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email <u>info.bmjopen@bmj.com</u>

**BMJ** Open

## **BMJ Open**

# The effect of synchronous remote-based interventions on suicidal behaviours: Protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2023-075116
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	26-Apr-2023
Complete List of Authors:	Comendador, Laura; Autonomous University of Barcelona, Psychiatry and Forensic Medicine; Parc Taulí Research and Innovation Institute Jimenz Villamizar, Mara Paola; Autonomous University of Barcelona, Basic, Developmental and Educational Psychology Losilla, Josep-Maria; Autonomous University of Barcelona, Department of Psychobiology and Methodology of Health Science Area of Behavioral Science Methodology Sanabria-Mazo, Juan; Institut de Recerca Sant Joan de Déu; Autonomous University of Barcelona, Department of Basics Mateo Canedo, Corel; Autonomous University of Barcelona, Basic, Developmental and Educational Psychology Cebria, Ana Isabel ; Autonomous University of Barcelona, Psychiatry and Forensic Medicine; Parc Taulí Research and Innovation Institute Sanz, Antoni; Autonomous University of Barcelona, Basic, Developmental and Educational Psychology Palao, Diego; Autonomous University of Barcelona, Psychiatry and Forensic Medicine; Parc Taulí Research and Innovation Institute
Keywords:	Suicide & self-harm < PSYCHIATRY, Telemedicine < BIOTECHNOLOGY & BIOINFORMATICS, PREVENTIVE MEDICINE
	·

## SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts

Page 1 of 29

### **BMJ** Open

1 2 3 4 5	The effe
6 7 8	Laura Ma
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	<sup>1</sup> Departm de Barce <sup>2</sup> Departm d'Investig <sup>3</sup> Departm Universit <sup>4</sup> Departm Universit <sup>5</sup> Teaching Llobregat <sup>6</sup> Departm Barcelon <sup>7</sup> Centro o Carlos III. <sup>8</sup> Stress
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	Cerdanyo Correspo Antoni Sa Departm Universit Carrer de
35 36 37 38	Cerdanyo E-mail: aı Ana Isabo
39 40 41 42 43	Mental Traslacio Parc Taul E-mail: ad
44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	Author N Laura Col ORCID 00 María P. ORCID 00 Josep-Ma ORCID 00 Juan P. Sa ORCID 00 Corel Ma ORCID 00 Ana Isabe ORCID 00 Antoni Sa ORCID 00

## ct of synchronous remote-based interventions on suicidal behaviours: Protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis

Comendador<sup>1,2</sup>, María P. Jiménez-Villamizar<sup>3</sup>, Josep-Maria Losilla<sup>4</sup>, Juan P. Sanabriaazo<sup>3,5</sup>, Corel Mateo-Canedo<sup>3</sup>, Ana Isabel Cebrià<sup>2,6,7</sup>, Antoni Sanz<sup>3,8</sup>, Diego Palao<sup>1,2,7</sup>

nent of Psychiatry and Forensic Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universitat Autònoma lona. 08193 Cerdanyola del Vallès, Spain.

nent of Mental Health, Unitat Mixta de Neurociència Traslacional I3PT-INc-UAB, Institut gació i Innovació Parc Taulí I3PT, University Hospital Parc Taulí. 08208 Sabadell, Spain.

nent of Basic, Developmental and Educational Psychology, Faculty of Psychology, at Autònoma de Barcelona. 08193 Cerdanyola del Vallès, Spain.

nent of Psychobiology and Methodology of Health Sciences, Faculty of Psychology, at Autònoma de Barcelona. 08193 Cerdanyola del Vallès, Spain.

g, Research & Innovation Unit, Parc Sanitari Sant Joan de Déu. 08830 Sant Boi de t, Spain.

nent of Clinical and Health Psychology, Faculty of Psychology, Universitat Autònoma de a. 08193 Cerdanyola del Vallès, Spain.

de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Salud Mental (CIBERSAM), Instituto de Salud 28029 Madrid, Spain.

and Health Research Group (GIES). Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona. 08193 ola del Vallès, Spain.

#### onding authors

anz, PhD

ent of Basics, Developmental and Educational Psychology, Faculty of Psychology, at Autònoma de Barcelona.

e la Fortuna, s/n. Campus de Bellaterra, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona. 08193 ola del Vallès (Spain).

ntonio.sanz@uab.cat

el Cebrià, PhD

Health Service. Hospital Universitari Parc Taulí. Unitat Mixta de Neurociència nal I3PT-INc-UAB.

lí, 1. 08208 Sabadell, Barcelona (Spain).

cebria@tauli.cat

### lote

mendador Vázguez, MSc. E-mail: laura.comendador@uab.cat 000-0002-5221-4794 Jiménez-Villamizar, MSc. E-mail: mariapaola.jimenez@autonoma.cat 000-0003-2264-7422 aria Losilla, PhD. E-mail: JosepMaria.Losilla@uab.cat 000-0002-5140-5847 anabria-Mazo, MSc. E-mail: juanpablo.sanabria@sjd.es 000-0003-1688-435X teo-Canedo, MSc. E-mail: Corel.Mateo@uab.cat 000-0002-0620-9257 el Cebrià Meca, PhD. E-mail: <u>acebria@tauli.cat</u> 000-0002-2632-8130 anz Ruíz, PhD. E-mail: <u>antonio.sanz@uab.cat</u> 00-0002-7952-4477

**BMJ** Open

Diego J. Palao Vidal, MD, PhD. E-mail: dpalao@tauli.cat ORCID 0000-0002-3323-6568

Word count: 5227 words (including main text and references)

to peet even only

## The effect of synchronous remote-based interventions on suicidal behaviours:

### Protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis

#### ABSTRACT

**Introduction** Suicide is among the leading causes of preventable death worldwide. The impact of suicide affects personal, social, and economic level. Therefore, its prevention is a priority for public health systems. Previous studies seem to support the efficacy of providing active contact to people who have made a suicide attempt. The current systematic review and meta-analysis aims to investigate the efficacy of distance suicide prevention strategies implemented through synchronous technology-based interventions.

**Methods and analysis** This protocol is designed according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P). The bibliographic searches will be conducted in the databases MEDLINE/PubMed, PsycInfo, Scopus, and Web of Science until April 2022, with no restrictions on the time of publication and limited to publications in English or Spanish. The search strategy will be performed using free-text terms and Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms: suicide, follow-up, synchronous, remote, telehealth, telephone, hotline, videoconference, and text message. Two reviewers will independently conduct study screening, selection process, data extraction, and risk of bias (RoB) assessment. The analyses and synthesis of the results will be both qualitative and quantitative. If meta-analysis is not appropriate due to substantial heterogeneity, a narrative synthesis will be provided.

**Ethics and dissemination** The present review and meta-analysis will not require ethical approval as it will use data collected from previously published primary studies. The findings of this review will be published in peer-reviewed journals and widely disseminated.

PROSPERO registration number CRD42021275044.

Keywords Suicide, Telemedicine, Preventive Medicine.

### STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

- To the best of our knowledge, this study will be the first systematic review and metaanalysis about efficacy and effectiveness of remote suicide prevention strategies implemented through technology-based synchronous interventions.
- Randomized controlled studies and observational studies will be included to obtain sufficient data and adequate statistical power for meta-analysis.
- Study screening, quality assessment and data extraction will be reported according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P), to maximise transparency, accuracy, and significance.
- There is a potential limitation attributed to the expected small sample size of included studies and the heterogeneity of the study designs.

#### INTRODUCTION

Suicide is a universal, complex, and multifaceted public health problem which is among the leading causes of preventable death worldwide. More than 700,000 people die by suicide each year [1], becoming the seventeenth leading cause of death in 2019 [2]. Annual numbers of completed suicide account for 1.4% of all deaths worldwide [3]. For each completed suicide, there are twenty suicide attempts [4], constituting one of the leading causes of disease burden in the world [5, 6]. Moreover, suicide is one of the leading causes of death among young people [3], representing the fourth leading cause of death among people aged 15-29 years [1]. The number of adolescent deaths due to suicide has increased dramatically, with data reflecting that suicide represents a rate of 0.19/100,000 in people under 15 years of age and a rate of 2.23/100,000 in the 15-19 age group [7].

Suicide prevention is an emerging priority for the public health system due to its high social burden [8]. Evidence suggests that an increased risk of recidivism is directly related to a previous history of suicidal behaviour [9, 10]. It is estimated that 20% of people who had

#### **BMJ** Open

engaged in suicidal behaviour showed a subsequent episode, and that 88% of these reattempts occurred within two years of the initial episode [11]. Furthermore, lack of follow-up care provided by healthcare professionals has been identified as a risk factor for repeat suicide attempts in patients discharged from the emergency department (ED) [12].

Over the last decades, the relevance of developing evidence-based prevention strategies focused on reducing the likelihood of suicide attempts in high-risk patients has become evident [13–16]. Suicide prevention programmes include a wide range of follow-up actions that promote connectivity between the patient and the mental health provider (sending letters, conducting telephone calls, texting via SMS, providing follow-up visits in specialised healthcare centres, or implementing 24/7 hotlines) [17, 18]. The development of Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs) has created opportunities and challenges in prevention, research, and clinical practice. eHealth interventions represent tools that allow reaching a larger number of at-risk populations, facilitating proactive follow-up compared to face-to-face treatments [19].

Considering that remotely delivered distance-based programmes can reach affected people regardless of their location, it is reasonable to expect that these interventions could be part of future suicide prevention efforts [17, 18]. Remotely brief contact-based interventions can be a cost-effective strategy for suicide prevention in healthcare settings [20–22]. In a recent meta-analysis, Inagaki et al. [12] found that secondary prevention programmes involving active contact and follow-up can be effective in reducing the risk of a repeat suicide attempt within six months of admission to an ED for suicidal behaviour. Moreover, promising results seem to be reported in studies that conduct telephone follow-up interventions for individuals at risk as a suicide prevention strategy [23–30]. Telephone management in a clinical-practice setting could be a useful and not expensive programme to implement in mental health centres [23, 31].

In 2015, Milner et al. [32] conducted a systematic review and meta-analyses of 14 randomized controlled trials (RCTs) using brief contact interventions and found that

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

#### **BMJ** Open

> considerable differences in outcomes are likely to exist depending on the intervention condition and time period over which the study was conducted (i.e., studies that reported on the effectiveness of the intervention condition in reducing suicide attempts were conducted a some decades ago and were rated as having a high risk of bias (RoB), whereas recent studies find more conservative results). Given the possible benefits, low cost and unlikely adverse effects, largescale trials in clinical populations would be worthwhile; however, the authors do not recommend widespread clinical implementation of brief contact interventions. Also in 2015, Noh et al. [33] examined five RCTs comparing telephone-delivered interventions for preventing suicide reattempts with no telephone intervention. The results suggest that, in the case of providing telephone-delivered intervention only, more aggressive, structured, and theory-based telephone interventions led by mental health professionals should be designed and examined in the form of large-scale RCTs.

> Although there is no clear consensus on the effect of these programmes in previous systematic reviews and meta-analyses [32, 33], there are data that appear to support the efficacy of providing active contact to individuals who have made a suicide attempt [12, 17, 34].

Overall, there are studies with positive results in the reduction of suicide-related outcomes [23, 26, 29, 30] and others that have found conflicting or inconclusive evidence [25, 35, 36], suggesting the suitability of conducting a systematic review with meta-analysis of the current scientific literature. Despite evidence describing a broad range of telecommunications-based suicide prevention approaches [21, 37], we are not aware of available publications that provide a synthesis of the literature on interventions that develop the use of synchronous strategies in suicide prevention. Based on the concept of connectivity [34], combined with a component of immediacy in the communication system; synchronous communication can increase accessibility, adherence, and treatment efficacy.

This study aims to collect and synthesize information on the efficacy and effectiveness of remote suicide prevention strategies implemented through technology-based synchronous

interventions (i.e., via digital tools that allow interactive and immediate real-time communication conducted remotely).

#### **METHODS AND ANALYSIS**

The primary source used to describe the methods of this protocol was the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (version 6.2) [39], specifically Part 2: Core methods "Chapter 2: Determining the scope of the review and the questions it will address" to "Chapter 10: Analysing data and undertaking meta-analyses". The protocol was constructed according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P) [40, 41] (see Supplementary File 1). A version of the protocol was registered in the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO), under identification number CRD42021275044.

#### Systematic review question

The research question was built according to PICOS criteria (Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcomes, and deSign) [38]. In adolescents and adults ( $\geq$  12 years of age) with suicidal ideation or prior suicide attempts (P), what is the efficacy and effectiveness of synchronous remote-based interventions (I) in the prevention of non-fatal suicide attempts and completed suicide (O) compared to actives or inactives control groups (C), with any follow-up length?

#### Criteria for included and excluded studies

#### Types of studies

The review will consider published empirical research with the following study designs: randomized clinical trial, quasi-experimental trials, and observational case-controlled studies. Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Primary data from cohort study designs or qualitative studies and secondary sources (e.g., systematic reviews, meta-analyses) will be excluded.

#### Types of participants

The population of interest will include adolescents and adults, defined as anyone over the age of 12 years, that reported suicidal ideation or prior suicide attempts. No restriction will be placed on gender, geographical provenance, or diagnosis. Participants with non-suicidal self-injury will be excluded.

#### Types of interventions

Synchronous remote-based interventions will be defined as programmes delivered through a technology device that is featured by (a) ensuring interactive and immediate communication, and (b) not requiring the patient to be at the same physical location as the mental health provider. Interventions should aim to reduce suicide risk by communicating with patients through telephone follow-up or active contact (i.e., contact to healthcare services made spontaneously by participants at elevated risk for suicidal behaviour, such as phone call or hotline, instant text messaging, or videoconference. The synchronous remote communication should include some, but not necessarily all, of the following elements: improving compliance with medication and follow-up appointments, addressing any problems, stressors, or risk factors, and reducing re-attempts. No restriction will be placed on the intensity or duration of the intervention.

We will include interventions delivered via remote-communication synchronous technologies only or multicomponent interventions, employing minimal face-to-face contact (one session) or multimedia-delivered materials. Studies using asynchronous telecommunication devices such online forums and communities, social networking sites/apps, video sharing sites, automated one-way text or voice messages, and self-directed web-based

#### **BMJ** Open

programmes will be excluded. Studies that describe treatments focused on the prevention of non-suicidal self-harm will be excluded. In addition, the interventions for issues such as psychosis, eating disorders, and depression, which are not intending to specifically address suicidal behaviour, are out of the scope of this review.

All comparisons identified in the eligible studies will be included, such as treatment as usual (TAU), enhanced treatment as usual, no treatment, placebo, waiting list, and historical control. Therefore, the review will include active (i.e., participants engaged in some tasks unrelated to suicide prevention during the study period) or inactive control groups. The control group or time frame may involve a combination of strategies: visits to mental health services, non-psychological therapies (e.g., pharmacotherapy), and other expected interventions. Studies that do not include a control group will be excluded (e.g., cross-sectional trials).

#### Types of outcomes measures

The main outcomes will be the repetition of suicide attempt, suicide ideation and complete suicide. Suicide is defined as a self-inflicted and potentially injurious behaviour that is performed as a deliberate method to die [42]. Suicide attempts are defined as self-inflicted harm with a non-fatal outcome for which there is evidence, explicit or implicit, of the intention to die [3]. Furthermore, suicidal ideation is described by thoughts, ideas, or ruminations about the possibility of ending one's life [43].

The assessment can be conducted at any time (baseline, during, and after the intervention) with no limit on the length of follow-up, employing quantitative measurement of suicidal-related outcomes. The suicidal ideation outcome may be measured using different validated instruments, such as the Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS) [44]. The non-fatal suicide attempts outcome will be measured by the number of suicides attempts a person has made within a certain timeframe. The suicide death outcome will be measured by the count of the number of people who have died by suicide.

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies

#### 

#### Data collection and analysis

#### Information sources and search strategy

Literature searches will be conducted in the following electronic databases: PubMed (by NCBI-NLM-NIH website), PsycInfo (by ProQuest), Scopus (by ww.scopus.com), and Web of Science Core Collection (by www.clarivate.com). Grey literature and unpublished records will be searched on the following websites: ClinicalTrials.gov and Google Scholar.

Authors of published articles will be contacted to retrieve relevant information about their study that was either not reported or unclear. The references cited in the included articles will be considered for data collection. We will also examine the reference lists of existing systematic reviews on similar topics to identify other relevant articles. In addition, the personnel files of the workgroup members will be checked and experts in the field of suicide will be consulted regarding relevant publications.

The search strategy will be performed using relevant subject headings and search syntax appropriate to each database, including variations and combinations of free-text terms and Thersaurus of psychological index terms (American Psychological Association, APA) or Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms, combining with appropriate boolean operators. The general structure of search syntax was: (suicid\* OR self-injur\* OR self-harm OR "self-destructive behavio\*" OR self-poisoning) AND (intervention OR therap\* OR treatment OR psychotherap\* OR prevention OR follow-up OR contact OR post-discharge) AND (synchron\* OR remote OR nonpresential OR non-face-to-face OR distance OR digital OR online OR telehealth OR telemedicine OR eHealth OR mHealth OR telephone OR phone OR call OR hotline OR helpline OR "suicide line" OR chat OR videoconferen\* OR App OR text messag\* OR SMS) AND ("randomized controlled trial" OR "controlled clinical trials" OR "clinical studies") NOT (review OR protocol). The drafted electronic search strategy for PubMed database is included in the Supplementary File 2.

#### **BMJ** Open

The search is scheduled to be completed by Abril 2023. All searches will be re-run, before publication of the article, if more than 12 months have elapsed since the date of the initial search. The search will be limited to English or Spanish language, performed with no restrictions on the time of publication.

The search strategy was developed by the research team with the collaboration of an experienced health science librarian (GC) adhering to the Peer Review of Electronic Search Strategies (PRESS) [45]. Sensitivity and specificity criteria were considered; however, sensitivity was prioritised.

#### Data management

Results from the literature search will be imported into Rayyan Systems Inc. [46], an Internetbased software programme that facilitates collaboration and pursuit accelerated screening process. During the review process, this tool will be used to identify duplicate records and to extract and manage the data. Mendeley (version 1.19.8) will be employed as a reference management software.

#### Selection process

In the first phase, duplicate articles in the databases will be automatically removed by Rayyan Systems Inc. and manually by the first reviewer (LC). In the second phase, two authors (LC and MPJ) will blind-screen all articles based on titles, abstracts, and keywords. In the third phase, the two reviewers (LC and MPJ) will independently evaluate the full-texts articles according to eligibility criteria. The reasons for excluding articles will be recorded. If necessary, a third reviewer (AS) will be requested for discrepancies that may not be resolved by consensus of the two reviewers (LC and MPJ). Inter-rater agreement will be calculated by Cohen's Kappa in the second and third phases, prior to reaching consensus on the discrepancies between the two

#### **BMJ** Open

reviewers or contrasting them with a third reviewer. The article selection process will be described in a PRISMA flow diagram [47].

#### Data collection process

Data extraction will be conducted independently by two authors (LC and MPJ), using a standard extraction form in line with the template from The Cochrane Collaboration [48]. Data will be managed using Microsoft Excel (16.56 version). Inter-rater agreement will be calculated by Cohen's Kappa. Disagreements will be resolved by consensus, and unresolved disagreements will be adjudicated by a third reviewer (AS). For missing information or data that needs to be clarified, first or corresponding authors of primary studies will be contacted by email; one follow-up email will be sent if no response is received to the first email. To ensure consistency across reviewers, training exercises will be conducted before starting the data extraction process.

#### Data items

Data will be extracted from the following categories: a) general characteristics of the study (authors, date of publication, setting and geographic location, research design, sample size, participant sociodemographic and baseline characteristics), b) intervention and control group details (type of intervention or control group, sample sizes, follow-up time, dropout rates), c) outcomes (descriptive and comparative statistical indexes of efficacy and effectiveness, assessment measures and procedures), and d) limitations reported by study authors.

#### **Risk of bias assessment**

The RoB assessment will be conducted independently by two reviewers (LC and MPJ), employing the Revised Cochrane risk-of-bias tool for randomised trials (RoB 2.0) [49], and Risk-of-bias In Non-randomized Studies – of Interventions (ROBINS-I) [50].

#### **BMJ** Open

 Inter-rater agreement will be calculated by Cohen's Kappa. Disagreements will be resolved by consensus with a third blind reviewer (AS). Ratings of bias for each study will be classified as low, high, or unclear RoB, according to standardised methodology. Intramethodological quality evaluation will be synthesised in tables that will comprise the summary of each study individually, identifying their RoB. Studies will not be excluded based on their level of RoB.

#### Data synthesis

A descriptive summary and explanation of the characteristics and findings of all included studies will be displayed in a comprehensive table. A narrative synthesis will be conducted, and a random-effects meta-analysis will be computed when a suicidal-related outcome is reported in at least three studies.

Mean differences between control group and intervention group will be transformed to Hedges' g standardized effect sizes [51]. Effect sizes will be considered small ( $g \ge 0.2$ ), medium ( $g \ge 0.5$ ), or large ( $g \ge 0.8$ ) [52]. The Q and  $Tau^2$  statistics will be calculated to assess for statistical heterogeneity of effect sizes. Specific functions will be used to examine: (a) the profile likelihood plots of the variance components; (b) the potential outlying and influential studies and/or outcomes; and (c) the potential publication bias. All analyses will be performed using the Metafor package (version 4.0-0) for R.

#### Sensitivity analysis

The potential effect on the results due to the research design and the RoB of the studies will be analysed.

Analysis of subgroups or subsets

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

#### **BMJ** Open

> Subgroup and subsets analyses will be carried out if feasible and warranted, to examine potential effects modifiers based on sociodemographic characteristics of participants, length, and type of treatment. Meta-regression will be performed to analyse quantitative potential effect modifiers or covariates that might influence the size of intervention effect (e.g., age). We plan to summarise and categorise the below subgroups or subsets analyses if there is enough data:

- a) Age: adolescents (12 to 17 years of age), adults (18 to 65 years of age), and older adults (over 65 years of age).
- b) Type of intervention: type of synchronous remote-based interventions (telephone calls, instant text messaging, 24/7 hotlines, videoconferencing).
- c) Number of follow-up contacts: hotline (24-hour consultation with a non-standardized number of follow-up contacts), 1 to 3 contacts, 3 to 6 contacts, and more than 6 contacts.
- d) Length of contact period: hotlines (24-hour consultation with a non-standardized period of follow-up contacts), up to 1-month follow-up, 1 to 3-month follow-up, 3 to 6-month follow-up, and longer than 6-month follow-up.

#### **Publication bias**

Publication bias will be evaluated using Egger's test [53] and funnel plots [54] if  $\geq$  10 studies are available.

#### Confidence in cumulative evidence

The overall quality of evidence will be evaluated according to the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) [55, 56] by two independent researchers (LC and MPJ). Discrepancies will be resolved in a discussion with a third researcher (AS).

#### DISCUSSION

The wide variety of remotely delivered distance-based programmes for suicide prevention [20, 23, 26–28] and the current lack of guidance on their implementation warrants further research to improve and standardise patient care.

To the best of the researchers' knowledge, no systematic review and meta-analysis has been reported that examined the efficacy of synchronous and remote telepsychiatry interventions, assessing suicide-specific outcomes. We aim to address a gap in research by examining the efficacy of synchronous remote-based interventions that are specifically designed for suicide prevention. The proposed approach is pertinent given the recent increase in the development and usage of technology communication devices for this purpose [19].

It has been anticipated that the systematic review has predicted limitations that should be considered. The inconsistency of terms used in suicidology is a limiting factor regarding the search for articles and the subsequent eligibility of studies. In addition, suicide is a rare event, making the design of studies with high statistical power particularly challenging. Furthermore, people who attempt suicide are typified by poor treatment-seeking and limited adherence to treatment [57], making it important to provide individuals at risk of suicide with appropriate and cost-effectiveness treatment options.

A limited number of available studies is expected; this explains why the search strategy has prioritised sensitivity over specificity. Moreover, RCTs may not provide sufficient evidence to exclude data from non-randomised studies. The inclusion of studies examining a wide range of remote-communication synchronous technologies rather than a specific intervention is intended to address this issue. Similarly, including no restriction on the mental health condition should allow for the collection of comprehensive and relevant data. Research studies that meet eligibility criteria may have a substantial degree of heterogeneity. In response, we initially planned subgroups and subsets analyses. However, the categorisation of interventions into Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

different typologies may be difficult since multiple research studies combine several interventions simultaneously.

Aside from several limitations, there are potential strengths. The objective is contributed to the body of evidence on suicide. The expected results will provide guidance for further research, contributing to globally suicide prevention efforts.

The current registration of the protocol for this review at PROSPERO may undergo changes, approved by all authors. Any changes to the protocol will be described and explained in the final manuscript.

#### ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

Ethics approval is not needed as systematic review is based on published studies. The results will be disseminated through peer-reviewed publications.

è le v

#### **Ethics statements**

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

**Contributors** AS is the guarantor. LC, JML, DP, AC, and AS: Writing - Original Draft. LC, AS, MPJ, JPS, and CM: Software. LC, JML, DP and AS: Project administration, Supervision. All authors: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - Review & Editing. JML, AS, JPS, and CM provided statistical expertise. DP and AC provided expertise on suicidal behaviours. All authors approved the final manuscript.

**Acknowledgements** Authors' thanks Guillem Cebrián (GC), director of the Library Hospital Universitari Parc Taulí and head of the Unitat de Gestió del Coneixement de l'Institut d'Investigació i Innovació Parc Taulí (I3PT), for his invaluable support in the refinement of the

#### **BMJ** Open

search strategies. Authors' gratitude goes to Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona and Hospital Universitari Parc Taulí for critically analysing the study proposal and motivational support to conduct this protocol. DP thanks the support of Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation/ISCIII/FEDER (PI21/01148); the Secretaria d'Universitats i Recerca del Departament d'Economia i Coneixement of the Generalitat de Catalunya (2021 SGR 01431); the CERCA program of the I3PT; the Instituto de Salud Carlos III; and the CIBER of Mental Health (CIBERSAM). The research has been previously presented at a conference and has been published as a conference abstract [58].

**Funding** This research was funded by Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Subdirección General de Evaluación y Fomento de la Investigación (ISCIII) and Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional (FEDER), grant number PI21/01148 - Estudio de la relación entre cognición social y dolor psicológico con el riesgo de presentar conductas suicidas (COGNISUI) - Fundación Parc Taulí. The APC was funded by Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Subdirección General de Evaluación y Fomento de la Investigación (ISCIII) and Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional (FEDER). The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results. The Department of Mental Health of Hospital Universitari Parc Taulí, Unitat Mixta de Neurociència Traslacional I3PT-INc-UAB, is the sponsor.

**Competing interests** D.P. has received grants and also served as consultant or advisor for Rovi, Angelini, Janssen, Lundbeck and Servier. The other authors declare no conflict of interest.

**Patient and public involvement** Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, conduct, reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**Supplemental material** Supplementary File 1. PRISMA-P 2015 Checklist (DOCX 35 KB). Supplementary File 2. PubMed search strategy (DOCX 14 KB)

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/</u>.

#### **ORCID** iDs

Laura Comendador Vázquez https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5221-4794 María P. Jiménez-Villamizar https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2264-7422 Josep-Maria Losilla https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5140-5847 Juan P. Sanabria-Mazo https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1688-435X Corel Mateo-Canedo https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0620-9257 Ana Isabel Cebrià Meca https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2632-8130 Antoni Sanz Ruíz https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7952-4477 Diego J. Palao Vidal https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3323-6568

#### REFERENCES

2	
2	
2	
4	
5	
6	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
20	
37 38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
40 49	
49 50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	
00	

- 1. World Health Organization. Suicide. 2021. Available: <u>https://www.who.int/news-</u> room/fact-sheets/detail/suicide
- 2. World Health Organization. Suicide worldwide in 2019. 2021. Available: <a href="https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/9789240026643">https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/9789240026643</a>
- 3. World Health Organization. Suicide in the world: Global health estimates. 2019. Available: <a href="https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/326948/WHO-MSD-MER-19.3-">https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/326948/WHO-MSD-MER-19.3-</a>
  - eng.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y
- Artieda-Urrutia P, Parra-Uribe I, Garcia-Pares G, et al. Management of suicidal behaviour: Is the world upside down? Aust N Z J Psychiatry 2014;48:399–401. https://doi.org/10.1177/0004867414525847
- Mokdad AH, Forouzanfar MH, Daoud F, et al. Global burden of diseases, injuries, and risk factors for young people's health during 1990–2013: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. Lancet 2016;387:2383–401. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)00648-6</u>
- Parra-Uribe I, Blasco-Fontecilla H, García-Parés G, et al. Attempted and completed suicide: Not what we expected? J Affect Disord 2013;150:840–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2013.03.013</u>
- Instituto Nacional de Estadística. Defunciones según la causa de muerte 2017. 2021. Available: <u>https://www.ine.es/jaxi/Datos.htm?path=/t15/p417/a2017/l0/&file=05008.px</u>
- Zalsman G, Hawton K, Wasserman D, *et al.* Evidence-based national suicide prevention taskforce in Europe: A consensus position paper. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol* 2017;27:418– 21. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euroneuro.2017.01.012</u>
- Olfson M, Wall M, Wang S, et al. Suicide following deliberate self-harm. Am J Psychiat 2017;174:765–74. <u>https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2017.16111288</u>
- 10. Shand F, Vogl L, Robinson J. Improving patient care after a suicide attempt. *Australas Psychiatry* 2018;26:145–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1039856218758560</u>

- Parra-Uribe I, Blasco-Fontecilla H, Garcia-Parés G, et al. Risk of re-attempts and suicide death after a suicide attempt: A survival analysis. BMC Psychiatry 2017;17:163. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-017-1317-z
- 12. Inagaki M, Kawashima Y, Yonemoto N, et al. Active contact and follow-up interventions to prevent repeat suicide attempts during high-risk periods among patients admitted to emergency departments for suicidal behavior: A systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Psychiatry 2019;19:44. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-019-2017-7</u>
- 13. Ganz D, Braquehais MD, Sher L. Secondary Prevention of Suicide. *PLoS Med* 2010;7:e1000271. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000271
- World Health Organization. The European Mental Health Action Plan 2013–2020. 2013.
   Available: <u>https://www.euro.who.int/\_\_data/assets/pdf\_\_file/0020/280604/WHO-Europe-</u> <u>Mental-Health-Acion-Plan-2013-2020.pdf</u>
- 15. World Health Organization. Preventing suicide: A global imperative. 2014. Available: https://www.who.int/mental\_health/suicide-prevention/exe\_summary\_english.pdf?ua=1
- World Health Organization. Comprehensive mental health action plan 2013–2030. 2021.
   Available: <u>https://apps.who.int/iris/rest/bitstreams/1371507/retrieve</u>
- 17. Inagaki M, Kawashima Y, Kawanishi C, *et al.* Interventions to prevent repeat suicidal behavior in patients admitted to an emergency department for a suicide attempt: A metaanalysis. *J Affect Disord* 2015;175:66–78. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2014.12.048</u>
- Zalsman G, Hawton K, Wasserman D, *et al.* Suicide prevention strategies revisited: 10-year systematic review. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2016;3:646–59. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(16)30030-X</u>
- Lin T, Stone SJ, Heckman TG, et al. Zoom-in to zone-out: Therapists report less therapeutic skill in telepsychology versus face-to-face therapy during the COVID-19 pandemic. Psychotherapy 2021;58:449. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/pst0000398</u>

- 20. Gilat I, Shahar G. Emotional first aid for a suicide crisis: Comparison between telephonic hotline and Internet. Psychiatry-Interpers *Biol Process* 2007;70:12–8. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1521/psyc.2007.70.1.12">https://doi.org/10.1521/psyc.2007.70.1.12</a>
- Seong JM, Cho Y, Cho GC, *et al.* Effects of mobile messenger counseling on case management success for individuals engaging in self-harm or suicide attempts who were discharged from emergency departments. *Clin Exp Emerg Med* 2021;8:48–54. <a href="https://doi.org/10.15441/CEEM.20.133">https://doi.org/10.15441/CEEM.20.133</a>
- 22. Vijayakumar L, Umamaheswari C, Shujaath Ali Z, *et al*. Intervention for suicide attempters: A randomized controlled study. *Indian J Psychiatry* 2011;53:244-8. <u>https://doi.org/10.4103/0019-5545.86817</u>
- Cebrià AI, Parra I, Pàmias M, *et al.* Effectiveness of a telephone management programme for patients discharged from an emergency department after a suicide attempt: Controlled study in a Spanish population. *J Affect Disord* 2013;147:269–76. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2012.11.016">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2012.11.016</a>
- 24. Cedereke M, Monti K, Öjehagen A. Telephone contact with patients in the year after a suicide attempt: Does it affect treatment attendance and outcome? A randomised controlled study. *Eur Psychiat* 2002;17:82–91. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-9338(02)00632-6</u>
- 25. De Leo D, Buono MD, Dwyer J. Suicide among the elderly: The long-term impact of a telephone support and assessment intervention in northern Italy. Br J Psychiatry 2002;181:226–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.181.3.226</u>
- 26. Fleischmann A, Bertolote JM, Wasserman D, et al. Effectiveness of brief intervention and contact for suicide attempters: A randomized controlled trial in five countries. Bull World Health Organ 2008;86:703–9. https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.07.046995

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

- 27. Gould MS, Munfakh JLH, Kleinman M, *et al.* National Suicide Prevention Lifeline: Enhancing mental health care for suicidal individuals and other people in crisis. *Suicide Life-Threat Behav* 2012;42:22–35. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1943-278X.2011.00068.x</u>
- Miller IW, Camargo CA, Arias SA, *et al.* Suicide prevention in an emergency department population: The ED-SAFE study. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2017;74:563-70. <u>https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2017.0678</u>
- 29. Mousavi S, Zohreh R, Sharbafchi M, *et al*. The efficacy of telephonic follow up in prevention of suicidal reattempt in patients with suicide attempt history. *Adv Biomed Res* 2014;3:198. <u>https://doi.org/10.4103/2277-9175.142043</u>
- Vaiva G, Ducrocq F, Meyer P, et al. Effect of telephone contact on further suicide attempts in patients discharged from an emergency department: Randomised controlled study. BMJ 2006;332:1241–5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.332.7552.1241</u>
- Cebrià AI, Pérez-Bonaventura I, Cuijpers P, et al. Telephone management program for patients discharged from an emergency department after a suicide attempt. Crisis 2015;36:345–52. <u>https://doi.org/10.1027/0227-5910/a000331</u>
- 32. Milner AJ, Carter G, Pirkis J, et al. Letters, green cards, telephone calls and postcards: Systematic and meta-analytic review of brief contact interventions for reducing self-harm, suicide attempts and suicide. Br J Psychiatry 2015;206:184–90. <u>https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.114.147819</u>
- Noh D, Park YS, Oh EG. Effectiveness of telephone-delivered interventions following suicide attempts: A systematic review. *Arch Psychiatr Nurs* 2016;30:114–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apnu.2015.10.012
- 34. Luxton DD, June JD, Comtois KA. Can postdischarge follow-up contacts prevent suicide and suicidal behavior? A Review of the Evidence. *Crisis* 2013;34:32–41. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1027/0227-5910/a000158">https://doi.org/10.1027/0227-5910/a000158</a>

3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
/ 0	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
20	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
54 55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

- 35. Bertolote JM, Fleischmann A, De Leo D, *et al.* Repetition of suicide attempts data from emergency care settings in five culturally different low- and middle-income countries participating in the WHO SUPRE-MISS study. *Crisis* 2010;31:194–201. https://doi.org/10.1027/0027-5910/a000052
- Mouaffak F, Marchand A, Castaigne E, *et al*. OSTA program: A French follow up intervention program for suicide prevention. *Psychiatry Res* 2015;230:913–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2015.11.024
- 37. Krysinska KE, De Leo D. Telecommunication and suicide prevention: Hopes and challenges for the new century. *Omega-J Death Dying* 2007;55:237–53. <u>https://doi.org/10.2190/OM.55.3.e</u>
- Saimbert M. Developing clinical questions for a systematic review. In: Holly C, Salmond S, Saimbert M, eds. Comprehensive Systematic Review for Advanced Practice Nursing. 3rd ed. United States: Springer Publishing Company; 2021. p. 85–101. <u>https://doi.org/10.1891/9780826152268.0005</u>
- 39. Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, *et al*. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 6.2. 2021. Available: <u>https://training.cochrane.org/handbook</u>
- 40. Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Syst Rev 2015;4. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/2046-4053-4-1</u>
- Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, *et al.* Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: Elaboration and explanation. *BMJ* 2015;349:g7647–7. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.g7647
- 42. Gómez A, Silva H, Amon R. El Suicidio. Teoría, Clínica y Manejo. Barcelona: Editorial Mediterráneo; 2018.
- 43. World Health Organization. ICD-11 for mortality and morbidity statistics. 2021. Available: <a href="https://icd.who.int/browse11/l-m/en#/http://id.who.int/icd/entity/778734771">https://id.who.int/icd/entity/778734771</a>

**BMJ** Open

- 44. Posner K, Brent D, Lucas C, *et al.* Columbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS). New York: Columbia University Medical Center; 2008.
- McGowan J, Sampson M, Salzwedel DM, *et al.* PRESS Peer Review of Electronic Search Strategies: 2015 Guideline Statement. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2016;75:40–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2016.01.021
- 46. Ouzzani M, Hammady H, Fedorowicz Z, *et al*. Rayyan—a web and mobile app for systematic reviews. *Syst Rev* 2016;5(1):1-10. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-016-0384-4</u>
- 47. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, *et al.* Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. *Int J Surg* 2010;8:336–41. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2010.02.007</u>
- 48. The Cochrane Collaboration. Data collection form for intervention reviews for RCTs and non-RCTs - template. 2019. Available: <u>https://dplp.cochrane.org/data-extraction-forms</u>
- 49. Sterne JA, Savović J, Page MJ, et al. RoB 2: A revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ 2019;366:I4898. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.I4898
- 50. Sterne JA, Hernán MA, Reeves BC, *et al.* ROBINS-I: A tool for assessing risk of bias in nonrandomised studies of interventions. *BMJ* 2016;366:i4919. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.i4919
- Hedges LV. Distribution Theory for Glass's Estimator of Effect Size and Related Estimators. J. Stat. Educ. 1981;6:107-128. <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/1164588</u>
- 52. Cohen J. Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences. New York: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 1988.
- Egger M, Smith GD, Schneider M, *et al*. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ* 1997;315:629–34. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.315.7109.629</u>
- 54. Liu JL. The role of the funnel plot in detecting publication and related biases in meta-analysis.
   *Evid-Based Dent* 2011;12(4):121–2. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ebd.6400831</u>

55. Schünei	mann H, Brożek J,	. Guyatt G,	et al. GRADE Handbook for	Grading Quali	ty of Evidence
and	Strength	of	Recommendations.	2013.	Available:
https://	gdt.gradepro.org	/app/hand	lbook/handbook.html		

- 56. Ryan R, Hill S. How to GRADE the quality of the evidence. Cochrane Consumers and Communication Group, Version 3.0. 2016. Available: <u>https://cccrg.cochrane.org/author-resources</u>
- Bruffaerts R, Demyttenaere K, Hwang I, *et al.* Treatment of suicidal people around the world.
   *Br J Psychiatry* 2011;199:64–70. <u>https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.110.084129</u>
- 58. Comendador L, Cebrià A, Sanz A, *et al.* Efficacy of synchronous remote-based interventions for suicide prevention among adolescent and adult patients: A systematic review and metaanalysis. *Eur Psychiatry* [Internet]. 2022;65:S295–6. http://dx.doi.org/10.1192/j.eurpsy.2022.754

Review only

 
 BMJ Open
 Pa

 PRISMA-P 2015 Checklist
 Preferred reporting

 This checklist has been adapted for use with protocol submissions to Systematic Reviews from Table 3 in Moher D et al: Preferred reporting
 items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Systematic Review 2015 4:1

Section/topic	#	Checklist item	Informatior Yes	n reported Line No numl	ber(s)
ADMINISTRATIVE IN	FORMAT		165		501(3)
Title					
Identification	1a	Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review		1-2	
Update	1b	If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such		N/A	
Registration	2	If registered, provide the name of the registry (e.g., PROSPERO) and registration number Abstract		23	
Authors	•	<b>G</b> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		<b>i</b>	,
Contact	3а	Provide name, institutional affiliation, and e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide paysigal mailing address of corresponding author		Title p	age
Contributions	3b	Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review		351-3	55
Amendments	4	If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, edentify a such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments a	is 🗌	N/A	
Support		sin t		· · ·	
Sources	5a	Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review		369-3	78
Sponsor	5b	Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor		369-3	78
Role of sponsor/funder	5c	Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol		374-3	76
INTRODUCTION		ies. 25			
Rationale	6	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known		39-10	6
Objectives	7	Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO)		118-1	24
METHODS	•	ġ,		<u> </u>	
Eligibility criteria	8	Specify the study characteristics (e.g., PICO, study design, setting, time frame) and report characteristics (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for		126-1	81
	•	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	<u> </u>		

Page 27	of 29
---------	-------

3 4

Section/topic #	Ŧ	Checklist item	Yes		
nformation sources ୁ			100	No	number(s)
nformation sources		eligibility for the review			207-210
	9	Describe all intended information sources (e.g., electronic databases, contact with study authors, trial registers, or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage			183-194 207
Search strategy 1	10	Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including denoted limits, such that it could be repeated			Supplementary File 2
STUDY RECORDS		53.			
Data management	11a	Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the 😥 🖉 🍕			216-221
Selection process	11b	State the process that will be used for selecting studies (e.g., two independent reviewers) and a second phase of the review (i.e., screening, eligibility, and inclusion in meta-analysis)	$\square$		223-233
Data collection 1 process	11c	Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (e.g., piloting forms, done indep dently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators	$\square$		235-244
Data items	12	List and define all variables for which data will be sought (e.g., PICO items, funding sources) any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications	$\square$		246-252
Dutcomes and 1 prioritization	13	List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and by additional outcomes, with rationale	$\square$		168-181
Risk of bias in ndividual studies	14	Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used and data synthesis			254-268
DATA					<u> </u>
1	15a	Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesized 🦳 🧵 🦉			268-269
Synthesis		If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data, and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned explored of consistency (e.g., I <sup>2</sup> , Kendall's tau)	F 🛛		270-276
1	15c	Describe any proposed additional analyses (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression			278-298
1	15d	If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned			266-269
/leta-bias(es) 1	16	Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (e.g., publication bias across studies, selective reporting within studies)			300-302
Confidence in cumulative evidence	17	Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (e.g., GRADE)			304-307

## Supplementary File 2. PubMed search strategy

## Search strategy

1 2 3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50

51

52

53 54

55

56 57

58

59

60

("suicide"[MeSH Terms] OR suicid\*[Title] OR "suicidal ideation"[MeSH Terms] OR "suicide ideation"[Title] OR "suicide, attempted"[MeSH Terms] OR "attempted suicide"[Title] OR "suicidal behavio\*"[Title] OR "non-fatal attempt"[Title] OR "unsuccessful attempt"[Title] OR "suicide, completed"[MeSH Terms] OR "completed suicide"[Title] OR "fatal attempt"[Title] OR "self-injurious behavior"[MeSH Terms] OR self-injur\*[Title] OR self-harm\*[Title] OR "selfdestructive behavio\*"[Title] OR self-poisoning[Title] OR "repeated suicide"[Title] OR suiciderisk[Title])

outcome"[MeSH AND ("treatment Terms] OR treatment[Title/Abstract] OR therap\*[Title/Abstract] OR intervention\*[Title/Abstract] OR "crisis intervention"[MeSH Terms] OR prevention[Title/Abstract] OR "follow-up studies"[MeSH Terms] OR followup[Title/Abstract] OR contact\*[Title/Abstract] OR management[Title/Abstract] OR brief"[MeSH program\*[Title/Abstract] OR "psychotherapy, Terms] OR "brief psychotherap\*"[Title/Abstract] OR "brief contact intervention\*"[Title/Abstract] OR "postdischarge intervention\*"[Title/Abstract] OR effectiv\*[Title/Abstract] OR efficacy[Title/Abstract])

AND (synchron\*[Title/Abstract] OR "online systems"[MeSH Terms] OR real-time[Title/Abstract] OR "immediate communication\*"[Title/Abstract] OR "remote consultation"[MeSH Terms] OR remote\*[Title/Abstract] OR non-presential[Title/Abstract] OR non-face-to-face[Title/Abstract] OR non-attend\*[Title/Abstract] OR "distance counseling"[MeSH Terms OR distance[Title/Abstract] OR digital[Title/Abstract] OR "telemedicine"[MeSH Terms] OR "telecommunications"[MeSH telemedicine[Title/Abstract] OR OR Terms] "telecommunication\*"[Title/Abstract] OR telehealth[Title/Abstract] OR teleassistance[Title/Abstract] OR telepsychology[Title/Abstract] OR telepsychiatry[Title/Abstract] OR telecare[Title/Abstract] OR telemonitoring[Title/Abstract] OR teleconsult\*[Title/Abstract] OR telecounsel\*[Title/Abstract] OR "telemental health"[Title/Abstract] OR online[Title/Abstract] OR on-line[Title/Abstract] OR "information and communication technolog\*"[Title/Abstract] OR ICT[Title/Abstract] OR e-therap\*[Title/Abstract] "electronic therap\*"[Title/Abstract] OR e-health[Title/Abstract] OR "electronic OR health"[Title/Abstract] OR m-health[Title/Abstract] OR "mobile health"[Title/Abstract] OR "telephone"[MeSH Terms] OR telephon\*[Title/Abstract] OR "cell phone"[MeSH Terms] OR phone\*[Title/Abstract] OR "phone call\*"[Title/Abstract] OR call\*[Title/Abstract] OR "telephone contact\*"[Title/Abstract] OR "hotlines"[MeSH Terms] OR hotline\*[Title/Abstract] OR "hot line service\*"[Title/Abstract] OR "call centers"[MeSH Terms] OR helpline\*[Title/Abstract] OR lifeline\*[Title/Abstract] OR "suicide prevention lifeline"[Title/Abstract] OR "crisis line\*"[Title/Abstract] OR video\*[Title/Abstract] OR "videoconferencing"[MeSH Terms] OR video-call\*[Title/Abstract] OR "clinical videoconferencing"[Title/Abstract] OR chat\*[Title/Abstract] CVT[Title/Abstract] OR OR chatbot[Title/Abstract] OR "text messaging"[MeSH Terms] OR "text messaging"[Title/Abstract] OR "instant messag\*"[Title/Abstract] OR SMS[Title/Abstract] OR "mobile applications"[MeSH Terms] OR "mobile application\*"[Title/Abstract] OR App[Title/Abstract] OR "phone application\*"[Title/Abstract])

AND ("randomized controlled trials as Topic"[Mesh] OR "randomized controlled trial"[Title/Abstract] OR "controlled clinical trials as Topic"[Mesh] OR "controlled clinical trial"[Title/Abstract] OR trial\*[Title/Abstract] OR "clinical studies as Topic"[MeSH Terms] OR

"clinical stud\*"[Title/Abstract] OR "random allocation"[MeSH Terms] OR random\*[Title/Abstract] OR "intervention group\*"[Title/Abstract] OR "control group\*"[Title/Abstract])

NOT (systematic review\*[Title] OR review\*[Title] OR meta\*[Title] OR protocol[Title])

### Filters

The following filters were applied: text availability (Full text), article type (Clinical Study, Clinical Trial, Controlled Clinical Trial, Randomized Controlled Trial, Journal Article), language (English, Spanish), age (Adolescent: 13-18 years, Adult: 19+ years).

to beet teries only

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies

**BMJ** Open

## **BMJ Open**

# The effect of synchronous remote-based interventions on suicidal behaviours: Protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2023-075116.R1
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	05-Sep-2023
Complete List of Authors:	Comendador, Laura; Autonomous University of Barcelona, Psychiatry and Forensic Medicine; Parc Taulí Research and Innovation Institute Jimenz Villamizar, Mara Paola; Autonomous University of Barcelona, Basic, Developmental and Educational Psychology Losilla, Josep-Maria; Autonomous University of Barcelona, Department of Psychobiology and Methodology of Health Science Area of Behavioral Science Methodology Sanabria-Mazo, Juan; Institut de Recerca Sant Joan de Déu; Autonomous University of Barcelona, Department of Basics Mateo Canedo, Corel; Autonomous University of Barcelona, Basic, Developmental and Educational Psychology Cebria, Ana Isabel ; Autonomous University of Barcelona, Psychiatry and Forensic Medicine; Parc Taulí Research and Innovation Institute Sanz, Antoni; Autonomous University of Barcelona, Basic, Developmental and Educational Psychology Palao, Diego; Autonomous University of Barcelona, Psychiatry and Forensic Medicine; Parc Taulí Research and Innovation Institute
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Mental health
Secondary Subject Heading:	Public health
Keywords:	Suicide & self-harm < PSYCHIATRY, Telemedicine < BIOTECHNOLOGY & BIOINFORMATICS, PREVENTIVE MEDICINE

## SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts

_, <b>"</b> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
The effect of synchronous remote-based interventions on suicidal behaviours:
Protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis
rotocorror a systematic review and meta analysis
Leves Concerded and 2 María D. Vierán de Villanciana <sup>3</sup> Leven Maria Levillad, Luca D. Concluia Marca <sup>35</sup> Conclu
Laura Comendador <sup>1,2</sup> , María P. Jiménez-Villamizar <sup>3</sup> , Josep-Maria Losilla <sup>4</sup> , Juan P. Sanabria-Mazo <sup>3,5</sup> , Corel
Mateo-Canedo <sup>3</sup> , Ana Isabel Cebrià <sup>2,6,7</sup> , Antoni Sanz <sup>3,8</sup> , Diego Palao <sup>1,2,7</sup>
<sup>1</sup> Department of Psychiatry and Forensic Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universitat Autònoma de
Barcelona. 08193 Cerdanyola del Vallès, Spain.
<sup>2</sup> Unitat Mixta de Neurociència Traslacional I3PT-INc-UAB, Institut d'Investigació i Innovació Parc Taulí
I3PT, Department of Mental Health, University Hospital Parc Taulí. 08208 Sabadell, Spain.
<sup>3</sup> Department of Basic, Developmental and Educational Psychology, Faculty of Psychology, Universitat
Autònoma de Barcelona. 08193 Cerdanyola del Vallès, Spain.
<sup>4</sup> Department of Psychobiology and Methodology of Health Sciences, Faculty of Psychology, Universitat
Autònoma de Barcelona. 08193 Cerdanyola del Vallès, Spain.
<sup>5</sup> Teaching, Research & Innovation Unit, Parc Sanitari Sant Joan de Déu. 08830 Sant Boi de Llobregat, Spain.
<sup>6</sup> Department of Clinical and Health Psychology, Faculty of Psychology, Universitat Autònoma de
Barcelona. 08193 Cerdanyola del Vallès, Spain.
<sup>7</sup> Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Salud Mental (CIBERSAM), Instituto de Salud Carlos III.
28029 Madrid, Spain.
<sup>8</sup> Stress and Health Research Group (GIES). Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona. 08193 Cerdanyola del
Vallès, Spain.
Corresponding authors
Antoni Sanz, PhD
Department of Basics, Developmental and Educational Psychology, Faculty of Psychology, Universitat
Autònoma de Barcelona.
Carrer de la Fortuna, s/n. Campus de Bellaterra, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona. 08193 Cerdanyola
del Vallès (Spain).
E-mail: antonio.sanz@uab.cat
Ana Isabel Cebrià, PhD
Unitat Mixta de Neurociència Traslacional I3PT-INc-UAB, Institut d'Investigació i Innovació Parc Taulí I3PT,
Department of Mental Health, University Hospital Parc Taulí.
Parc Taulí, 1. 08208 Sabadell, Barcelona (Spain).
E-mail: acebria@tauli.cat
Author Note
Laura Comendador Vázquez, MSc. E-mail: laura.comendador@uab.cat
ORCID 0000-0002-5221-4794
María P. Jiménez-Villamizar, MSc. E-mail: mariapaola.jimenez@autonoma.cat
ORCID 0000-0003-2264-7422
Josep-Maria Losilla, PhD. E-mail: JosepMaria.Losilla@uab.cat
ORCID 0000-0002-5140-5847
Juan P. Sanabria-Mazo, MSc. E-mail: juanpablo.sanabria@sjd.es
ORCID 0000-0003-1688-435X
Corel Mateo-Canedo, MSc. E-mail: Corel.Mateo@uab.cat
ORCID 0000-0002-0620-9257
Ana Isabel Cebrià Meca, PhD. E-mail: <u>acebria@tauli.cat</u>
ORCID 0000-0002-2632-8130
Antoni Sanz Ruíz, PhD. E-mail: antonio.sanz@uab.cat
ORCID 0000-0002-7952-4477
Diego J. Palao Vidal, MD, PhD. E-mail: dpalao@tauli.cat
ORCID 0000-0002-3323-6568
Word count (excluding title page, abstract, tables, acknowledgements, contributions, and
Word count (excluding title page, abstract, tables, acknowledgements, contributions, and references): 3796 words

## For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

**BMJ** Open

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

### 1 The effect of synchronous remote-based interventions on suicidal behaviours:

## Protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis

3 ABSTRACT

Introduction Suicide is among the leading causes of preventable death worldwide. The impact of suicide affects the personal, social, and economic levels. Therefore, its prevention is a priority for public health systems. Previous studies seem to support the efficacy of providing active contact to people who have made a suicide attempt. The current systematic review and metaanalysis aim to investigate the efficacy of distance suicide prevention strategies implemented through synchronous technology-based interventions.

Methods and analysis This protocol is designed according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P). The bibliographic searches were conducted in the databases PubMed, PsycInfo, Scopus, and Web of Science in April 2022, with no restrictions on the time of publication and limited to publications in English or Spanish. The search strategy was performed using free-text terms and Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) suicide, follow-up, synchronous, remote, telehealth, telephone, hotline, terms: videoconference, and text message. Two reviewers will independently conduct study screening, selection process, data extraction, and risk of bias (RoB) assessment. The analysis and synthesis of the results will be both gualitative and guantitative. A narrative synthesis, presented in a comprehensive table, will be performed and meta-analysis will be conducted, as appropriate, if sufficient data is provided.

Ethics and dissemination The present review and meta-analysis will not require ethical approval, as it will use data collected from previously published primary studies. The findings of this review will be published in peer-reviewed journals and widely disseminated.

**PROSPERO registration number** CRD42021275044.

**Keywords** Suicide, Telemedicine, Preventive Medicine.

28

1

Study screening, quality assessment, and data extraction will be reported according to

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42 43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
52 53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

29 the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols 30 (PRISMA-P) to maximise transparency, accuracy, and significance. 31 The systematic review will focus on peer-reviewed articles, and findings will be limited 32 to articles written in English or Spanish. 33 Randomised clinical trials, quasi-experimental trials, and observational case-controlled 34 studies will be included to obtain sufficient data and adequate statistical power for 35 meta-analysis. There is a potential limitation attributed to the expected small sample size of the 36 37 included studies and the heterogeneity of the study designs. 38 39 INTRODUCTION 40 Suicide is a universal, complex, and multifaceted public health problem that ranks annually 41 among the leading causes of preventable death worldwide. More than 700,000 people die by 42 suicide per year [1], becoming the seventeenth leading cause of death in 2019 in global 43 epidemiology [2]. Annual suicide rates account for 1.4% of all deaths worldwide [3]. Suicide rates 44 in European regions (10.5 per 100,000) were higher than the global average (9.0 per 100,000) in 45 2019, while the lowest suicide rate was in the Eastern Mediterranean region (6.4 per 100,000) 46 [2, 3]. For each suicide death, there are twenty suicide attempts [4], constituting one of the 47 leading causes of disease burden in the world [5, 6]. While most of the world's suicides occur in 48 low- and-middle-income countries, high-income countries have the highest age-standardised 49 suicide rate (10.9 per 100,000) [2, 3]. Moreover, suicide represents the fourth leading cause of 50 death among people aged 15-29 years in global epidemiology [1, 3]. The number of adolescent 51 deaths due to suicide has increased dramatically, with data reflecting that suicide represents a

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

#### **BMJ** Open

> rate per year of 0.19/100,000 in people under 15 years of age and a rate per year of 2.23/100,000 in the 15-19 age group, according to the Spanish National Institute of Statistics [7]. Suicide prevention is an emerging priority for the public health system due to its high social burden [8]. Evidence suggests that a prior suicide attempt is one of the most important risk factors for suicide, which supports the efforts to protect patients who attempt suicide during the acute period following an episode of self-harm [9, 10]. It is estimated that 20% of people who had engaged in suicidal behaviour showed a subsequent episode, and that 88% of these reattempts occurred within two years of the initial episode [11]. Furthermore, a lack of follow-up care provided by healthcare professionals has been identified as a risk factor for repeat suicide attempts in patients discharged from the emergency department (ED) [12].

> Over the last decades, the relevance of developing evidence-based prevention strategies focused on reducing the likelihood of suicide attempts in high-risk patients has become evident [13–16]. Suicide prevention programmes include a wide range of follow-up actions that promote connectivity between the patient and the mental health provider (sending letters, conducting telephone calls, texting via SMS, providing follow-up visits in specialised healthcare centres, or implementing 24/7 hotlines) [17, 18]. The development of Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs) has created opportunities and challenges in prevention, research, and clinical practise. eHealth interventions represent tools that allow reaching a larger number of at-risk populations, facilitating proactive follow-up compared to face-to-face treatments [19].

> Considering that remotely delivered distance-based programmes can reach affected people regardless of their location, it is reasonable to expect that these interventions could be part of future suicide prevention efforts [17, 18]. Remotely brief contact-based interventions can be a cost-effective strategy for suicide prevention in healthcare settings [20–22]. In a recent meta-analysis, Inagaki *et al.* [12] found that secondary prevention programmes involving active contact and follow-up can be effective in reducing the risk of a repeat suicide attempt within six

Page 5 of 33

## **BMJ** Open

months of admission to an ED for suicidal behaviour. Moreover, promising results seem to be
reported in studies that conduct telephone follow-up interventions for individuals at risk as a
suicide prevention strategy [23–30]. Telephone management in a clinical-practise setting could
be a useful and not expensive programme to implement in mental health centres [23, 31].

In 2015, Milner et al. [32] conducted a systematic review and meta-analyses of 14 randomised controlled trials (RCTs) using brief contact interventions and found that considerable differences in outcomes are likely to exist depending on the intervention condition and time period over which the study was conducted (i.e., studies that reported on the effectiveness of the intervention condition in reducing suicide attempts were conducted some decades ago and were rated as having a high risk of bias (RoB), whereas recent studies find more conservative results). Given the possible benefits, low cost and unlikely adverse effects, large-scale trials in clinical populations would be worthwhile; however, the authors do not recommend widespread clinical implementation of brief contact interventions. In 2016, Noh et al. [33] examined five RCTs comparing telephone-delivered interventions for preventing suicide reattempts with no telephone intervention. The results suggest that, in the case of providing telephone-delivered intervention only, more aggressive, structured, and theory-based telephone interventions led by mental health professionals should be designed and examined in the form of large-scale RCTs. It should be noted that there is an overlap in the studies included in the Milner *et al.* [32] and Noh *et al.* [33] meta-analyses.

Although there is no clear consensus on the effect of these programmes in previous
systematic reviews and meta-analyses [32, 33], there are data that appear to support the
efficacy of providing active contact to individuals who have made a suicide attempt [12, 17, 34].
Overall, there are studies with positive results in the reduction of suicide-related outcomes [23,
26, 29, 30] and others that have found conflicting or inconclusive evidence [25, 35, 36],
suggesting the suitability of conducting a systematic review with meta-analysis of the current
scientific literature. Despite evidence describing a broad range of telecommunications-based

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

## **BMJ** Open

suicide prevention approaches [21, 37], we are not aware of any publications that provide a synthesis of the literature on interventions that develop the use of synchronous strategies in suicide prevention. Based on the concept of connectivity [34], combined with a component of immediacy in the communication system; synchronous communication can increase accessibility, adherence, and treatment efficacy.

109 This study aims to collect and synthesise information on the efficacy and effectiveness 110 of remote suicide prevention strategies implemented through technology-based synchronous 111 interventions (i.e., via digital tools that allow interactive and immediate real-time 112 communication conducted remotely).

# 114 METHODS AND ANALYSIS

The primary source used to describe the methods of this protocol was the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (version 6.2) [38], specifically Part 2: Core methods "Chapter 2: Determining the scope of the review and the questions it will address" to "Chapter 10: Analysing data and undertaking meta-analyses". The protocol was constructed according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P) [39, 40] (see Supplementary File 1). A version of the protocol was registered in the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO), under identification number CRD42021275044.

# 124 Systematic review question

The research question was built according to PICOS criteria (Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcomes, and deSign) [41]. In adolescents and adults (≥ 12 years of age) with suicidal ideation or prior suicide attempts (P), what is the efficacy and effectiveness of synchronous remote-based interventions (I) in the prevention of non-fatal suicide attempts and suicide deaths (O) compared to active or inactive control groups (C) with any follow-up length?

2 3 4	130	
- 5 6	131	Criteria for included and excluded studies
7 8	132	Types of studies
9 10	133	The review will consider published empirical research with the following study designs:
11 12 13	134	randomised clinical trials, quasi-experimental trials, and observational case-controlled studies.
14 15	135	Primary data from cohort study designs or qualitative studies and secondary sources (e.g.,
16 17	136	systematic reviews, meta-analyses) will be excluded.
18 19	137	
20 21 22	138	Types of participants
23 24	139	The population of interest will include adolescents and adults, defined as anyone over the age
25 26	140	of 12 years, who have reported suicidal ideation or prior suicide attempts. No restriction will be
27 28	141	placed on gender, geographical provenance, or diagnosis. Participants with non-suicidal self-
29 30 31	142	injury will be excluded.
32 33	143	
34 35	144	Types of interventions
36 37	145	Synchronous remote-based interventions will be defined as programmes delivered through a
38 39 40	146	technology device that is characterised by (a) ensuring interactive and immediate
41 42	147	communication, and (b) not requiring the patient to be at the same physical location as the
43 44	148	mental health provider. Interventions should aim to reduce suicide risk by communicating with
45 46	149	patients through telephone follow-up or active contact (i.e., contact with healthcare services
47 48 49	150	made spontaneously by participants at elevated risk for suicidal behaviour, such as a phone call
50 51	151	or hotline), instant text messaging, or videoconference. The synchronous remote
52 53	152	communication should include some, but not necessarily all, of the following elements:
54	450	improving compliance with medication and follow-up appointments, addressing any problems,
55	153	
55 56 57 58	153	stressors, or risk factors, and reducing re-attempts. No restriction will be placed on the intensity

## **BMJ** Open

> We will include interventions delivered via synchronous remote-communication technologies; however, synchronous remote-based programmes that include minimal face-to-face contact (i.e., in-person contact for a maximum of 1 session) or are complemented with multimedia-delivered materials will be also considered. Studies using asynchronous telecommunication devices such as online forums and communities, social networking sites, video sharing sites, automated one-way text or voice messages, and self-directed web-based programmes will be excluded. Studies that describe treatments focused on the prevention of non-suicidal self-harm will be excluded. In addition, the interventions for issues such as psychosis, eating disorders, and depression, which are not intended to specifically address suicidal behaviour, are out of the scope of this review.

> All comparisons identified in the eligible studies will be included, such as treatment as usual (TAU), enhanced treatment as usual, no treatment, placebo, waiting list, and historical control. Therefore, the review will include active (i.e., participants engaged in some tasks unrelated to suicide prevention during the study period) or inactive control groups. The control group may involve a combination of strategies: visits to mental health services, nonpsychological therapies (e.g., pharmacotherapy), and other expected interventions. Studies that do not include a control group will be excluded (e.g., cross-sectional trials).

174 Types of outcomes measures

The main outcomes will be the repetition of suicide attempt, suicide ideation and suicide death. Suicide is defined as a self-inflicted and potentially injurious behaviour that is performed as a deliberate method to die [42]. Suicide attempts are defined as self-inflicted harm with a nonfatal outcome for which there is evidence, explicit or implicit, of the intention to die [3]. Furthermore, suicidal ideation is described by thoughts, ideas, or ruminations about the possibility of ending one's life [43].

# **BMJ** Open

The assessment can be conducted post-intervention with no limit on the length of follow-up, employing quantitative measurement of suicidal-related outcomes. The suicidal ideation outcome may be measured using different validated instruments (Table 1). According to a recent systematic review [44], the most common instruments are the Beck Scale for Suicide Ideation (BSI) and the Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS). The non-fatal suicide attempts outcome will be measured by the number of suicide attempts a person has made within a certain timeframe. The suicide death outcome will be measured by the number of people who have died by suicide. 

**Table 1.** Instruments most cited in the literature for assessing suicide risk.

Instrument	Reference
Beck Scale for Suicide Ideation (BSI)	Beck <i>et al.</i> [45]
The Columbia – Suicide Severity Rat	ing Scale (C-SSRS) Posner <i>et al.</i> [46]
Beck Suicidal Intent Scale (SIS)	Beck <i>et al.</i> [47]
Paykel Suicide Scale (PSS)	Fonseca-Pedrero <i>et al.</i> [48
Beck Suicide Scale – worst ever vers	ion (BSSw) Beck & Steer [49]
Suicidal Ideation Questionnaire (SIC	; SIQ-Junior) Reynolds [50]
Mini-International Neuropsychiatric	Interview (MINI) Sheehan et al. [51]
Risk of Suicide Questionnaire (RSQ;	RSQ-Revised) Horowitz <i>et al.</i> [52]
Suicide Score Scale (SSS)	Innamorati <i>et al.</i> [53]
Suicide Opinion Questionnaire (SOC	) Domino <i>et al.</i> [54]
WMH Composite International Diag	nostic Interview (WMH-CIDI) Kessler & Ustün [55]
InterSePT Suicide Scale (ISST)	Lindenmayer <i>et al.</i> [56]
Plutchik Suicide Risk Scale	Koslowsky <i>et al.</i> [57]
Harkavy-Asnis Suicide Scale (HASS)	Friedman & Asnis [58]
Suicide Probability Scale (SPS)	Cull & Gill [59]

192 Data collection and analysis

193 Information sources and search strategy

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

Page 10 of 33

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

**BMJ** Open

Literature searches were conducted in the following electronic databases: PubMed (by NCBINLM-NIH website), PsycInfo (by ProQuest), Scopus (by ww.scopus.com), and Web of Science
Core Collection (by www.clarivate.com). Grey literature and unpublished records were searched
on the following websites: ClinicalTrials.gov and Google Scholar.

Authors of published articles will be contacted to retrieve relevant information about their study that was either not reported or unclear. The references cited in the included articles will be considered for data collection. We will also examine the reference lists of existing systematic reviews on similar topics to identify other relevant articles. In addition, the personnel files of the workgroup members will be checked and experts in the field of suicide will be consulted regarding relevant publications.

The search strategy was performed using relevant subject headings and search syntax appropriate to each database, including variations and combinations of free-text terms and Thersaurus of psychological index terms (American Psychological Association, APA) or Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms, combining with appropriate boolean operators. The general structure of search syntax was: (suicid\* OR self-injur\* OR self-harm OR "self-destructive behavio\*" OR self-poisoning) AND (intervention OR therap\* OR treatment OR psychotherap\* OR prevention OR follow-up OR contact OR post-discharge) AND (synchron\* OR remote OR non-presential OR non-face-to-face OR distance OR digital OR online OR telehealth OR telemedicine OR eHealth OR mHealth OR telephone OR phone OR call OR hotline OR helpline OR "suicide line" OR chat OR videoconferen\* OR App OR text messag\* OR SMS) AND ("randomised controlled trial" OR "controlled clinical trials" OR "clinical studies") NOT (review OR protocol). The drafted electronic search strategy for PubMed database is included in the Supplementary File 2.

216The search was scheduled to be completed by April 2022. All searches have been re-run,33217before publication of the article, as more than 12 months have elapsed since the date of the36218initial search. The search was limited to English or Spanish and was performed with no383921939219restrictions on the time of publication.

Page 11 of 33

1

# BMJ Open

2		
3	220	The search strategy was developed by the research team with the collaboration of an
4 5		
6	221	experienced health science librarian (GC), adhering to the Peer Review of Electronic Search
7 8	222	Strategies (PRESS) [60]. Sensitivity (i.e., retrieval rate) and specificity (i.e., precision rate) criteria
9 10 11	223	were considered in the development of the literature search strategy [61, 62]; however,
11 12 13	224	sensitivity was prioritised.
14 15	225	
16 17	226	Data management
18 19 20	227	Results from the literature search will be imported into Rayyan Systems Inc. [63], an Internet-
21 22	228	based software programme that facilitates collaboration and pursuit accelerated screening
23 24	229	process. During the review process, this tool will be used to identify duplicate records and
25 26 27	230	manage the data. Mendeley (version 1.19.8) will be employed as reference management
28 29	231	software.
30 31	232	
32 33	233	Selection process
34 35 36	234	In the first phase, duplicate articles in the databases will be automatically removed by Rayyan
37 38	235	Systems Inc. and manually by the first reviewer (LC). In the second phase, two authors (LC and
39 40	236	MPJ) will blind-screen all articles based on titles, abstracts, and keywords. In the third phase,
41 42	237	the two reviewers (LC and MPJ) will independently evaluate the full-text articles according to
43 44 45	238	eligibility criteria. The reasons for excluding articles will be recorded. If necessary, a third
46 47	239	reviewer (AS) will be requested for discrepancies that may not be resolved by consensus among
48 49	240	the two reviewers (LC and MPJ). Inter-rater agreement will be calculated by Cohen's Kappa in
50 51	241	the second and third phases, prior to reaching consensus on the discrepancies between the two
52 53 54	242	reviewers or contrasting them with a third reviewer. The article selection process will be
54 55 56	243	described in a PRISMA flow diagram [64].
57 58	244	
59 60	245	Data collection process

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Data extraction will be conducted independently by two authors (LC and MPJ), using a standard extraction form in line with the template from The Cochrane Collaboration [65]. Data will be managed using Microsoft Excel (16.56 version). For missing information or data that needs to be clarified, first or corresponding authors of primary studies will be contacted by email; one follow-up email will be sent if no response is received to the first email.

252 Data items

Data will be extracted from the following categories: a) general characteristics of the study
(authors, date of publication, setting and geographic location, research design, sample size,
participant sociodemographic and baseline characteristics), b) intervention and control group
details (type of intervention or control group, sample sizes, follow-up time, dropout rates), c)
outcomes (descriptive and comparative statistical indexes of efficacy and effectiveness,
assessment measures, and procedures), and d) limitations reported by study authors.

**Risk of bias assessment** 

The RoB assessment will be conducted independently by two reviewers (LC and MPJ), employing
the Revised Cochrane risk-of-bias tool for randomised trials (RoB 2.0) [66], and Risk-of-bias In
Non-randomised Studies – of Interventions (ROBINS-I) [67].

Inter-rater agreement will be calculated by Cohen's Kappa. Disagreements will be
resolved by consensus with a third blind reviewer (AS). Ratings of bias for each study will be
classified as low, high, or unclear RoB, according to standardised methodology. Intramethodological quality evaluation will be synthesised in tables that will comprise the summary
of each study individually, identifying their RoB. Studies will not be excluded based on their level
of RoB.

7 270

9 271 Data synthesis

## **BMJ** Open

A descriptive summary and explanation of the characteristics and findings of all included studies will be displayed in a comprehensive table. A narrative synthesis will be conducted, and a random-effects meta-analysis will be computed when a suicidal-related outcome is reported in at least three studies. To ensure that the data we are combining from different studies is comparable and can be appropriately synthesised, several adjustments may be necessary. These adjustments could involve contacting study authors to request more detailed data or transforming the data (e.g., if we encounter a situation where some studies report suicide attempts as a binary outcome while others report them as a count); conducting sensitivity analyses to assess the impact of the articles; performing subgroup analyses for each type of data; or adopting a narrative synthesis approach when a quantitative combination of studies is not feasible. Any data transformations will be documented in the manuscript, and the limitations introduced by differences in data reporting between studies should be acknowledged.

Three types of meta-analyses will be conducted according to the type of outcome measure: count (number of suicide attempts), quantitative (standardised mean differences of suicidal ideation), and binary (death by suicide). The length of the follow-up period will be included as an exposure (offset) variable in meta-analyses of the number of suicide attempts. In the meta-analyses of the suicidal ideation and death by suicide outcomes, responses will be analysed at different follow-up time intervals, as indicated below in the description of subgroup analyses. Mean differences between the control group and intervention group will be transformed into Hedges' g standardised effect sizes [68], which means different tools for measuring suicidal ideation will be combined. Effect sizes will be considered small ( $q \ge 0.2$ ), medium ( $g \ge 0.5$ ), or large ( $g \ge 0.8$ ) [69]. The Q and Tau<sup>2</sup> statistics will be calculated to assess the statistical heterogeneity of effect sizes. Specific functions will be used to examine: (a) the profile likelihood plots of the variance components; (b) the potential outlying and influential studies Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

3 4	297	and/or outcomes; and (c) the potential publication bias. All analyses will be performed using the		
5 6	298	Metafor package (version 4.0-0) for R.		
7 8	299			
9 10 11	300	Sensitivity analysis		
12 13	301	The potential effect on the results due to the trial design (i.e., pragmatic vs. explanatory trials)		
14 15 16	302	and the RoB of the studies will be analysed, if feasible.		
17 18	303			
19 20	304	Analysis of subgroups or subsets		
21 22	305	Subgroup and subset analyses will be carried out if feasible and warranted to examine potential		
23 24 25	306	effect modifiers based on sociodemographic characteristics of participants, length, type of		
25 26 27	307	treatment, research design, and RoB assessment. Meta-regression will be performed to analyse		
27 28 29	308	quantitative potential effect modifiers or covariates that might influence the size of the		
30 31	309	intervention effect (e.g., age). We plan to summarise and categorise the below subgroup or		
32 33	310	subset analyses if there is enough data:		
34 35 36	311	a) Age: adolescents (12 to 17 years of age), adults (18 to 65 years of age), and older adults		
37 38	312	(over 65 years of age).		
39 40	313	b) Type of intervention: type of synchronous remote-based interventions (telephone calls,		
41 42	314	instant text messaging, 24/7 hotlines, videoconferencing).		
43 44	315	c) Number of follow-up contacts: hotline (24-hour consultation with a non-standardised		
45 46 47	316	number of follow-up contacts), 1 to 3 contacts, 3 to 6 contacts, and more than 6		
48 49	317	contacts.		
50 51	318	d) Length of contact period: hotlines (24-hour consultation with a non-standardised period		
52 53	319	of follow-up contacts), up to 1-month follow-up, 1 to 3-month follow-up, 3 to 6-month		
54 55	320	follow-up, and longer than 6-month follow-up.		
56 57 58	321	e) Research design: RCTs, quasi-experimental trials, and observational case-controlled		
59 60	322	studies.		

2 3	323	f) RoB assessment: low, high, and unclear RoB.
4 5 6	324	
7 8	325	Publication bias
9 10 11	326	Publication bias will be evaluated using Egger's test [70], funnel plots [71], and trim-and-fill
11 12 13	327	approaches [72].
14 15	328	
16 17	329	Confidence in cumulative evidence
18 19 20	330	The overall quality of evidence will be evaluated according to the Grading of Recommendations
21 22	331	Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) [73, 74] by two independent researchers
23 24	332	(LC and MPJ). Discrepancies will be resolved in a discussion with a third researcher (AS).
25 26 27	333	
28 29	334	Patient and public involvement
30 31	335	Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, conduct, reporting, or dissemination
32 33 34	336	plans of this research.
35 36	337	
37 38	338	DISCUSSION
39 40	339	The wide variety of remotely delivered distance-based programmes for suicide prevention [20,
41 42 43	340	23, 26–28] and the current lack of guidance on their implementation warrant further research
44 45	341	to improve and standardise patient care.
46 47	342	To the best of the researchers' knowledge, no systematic review and meta-analysis has
48 49	343	been reported that examined the efficacy of synchronous and remote telepsychiatry
50 51 52	344	interventions, assessing suicide-specific outcomes. We aim to address a gap in research by
53 54	345	examining the efficacy of synchronous remote-based interventions that are specifically designed
55 56	346	for suicide prevention. The proposed approach is pertinent given the recent increase in the
57 58 59 60	347	development and usage of technology communication devices for this purpose [19].

### **BMJ** Open

348It is anticipated that the systematic review will have predicted limitations that should be349considered. The inconsistency of terms used in suicidology is a limiting factor regarding the350search for articles and the subsequent eligibility of studies. In addition, suicide is a rare event,351making the design of studies with high statistical power particularly challenging. Furthermore,352people who attempt suicide are typified by poor treatment-seeking and limited adherence to353treatment [75], making it important to provide individuals at risk of suicide with appropriate and354cost-effectiveness treatment options.

A limited number of available studies is expected, which explains why the search strategy prioritises sensitivity over specificity. Moreover, RCTs may not provide sufficient evidence to exclude data from non-randomised studies. The inclusion of studies examining a wide range of synchronous remote-communication technologies rather than a specific intervention is intended to address this issue. Similarly, including no restriction on the mental health condition should allow for the collection of comprehensive and relevant data. Research studies that meet eligibility criteria may have a substantial degree of heterogeneity. In response, we initially planned subgroup and subset analyses. However, the categorisation of interventions into different typologies may be difficult since multiple research studies combine several interventions simultaneously.

Aside from several limitations, there are potential strengths. The aim is to contribute to the body of evidence on suicide. The development of the research proposed in the present protocol will allow to analyse the quality and methodology used in the research of remote-based synchronous interventions for suicide prevention, synthesizing scientific evidence, generating hypotheses, and establishing lines of research. In addition, the study protocol per se will provide more transparency in the methods and processes involved, decrease the possibility of duplication, and reduce bias. The meta-analysis of the studies found can allow the quantification of their global efficacy and effectiveness. Likewise, the subgroups or subsets analyses can

BMJ Open

1 2		
2 3 4	373	provide useful information to guide the design of more efficient and effective efficacy or
5 6	374	effectiveness of remote-based synchronous programs for suicide prevention in the future.
7 8	375	The current registration of the protocol for this review at PROSPERO may undergo
9 10 11	376	changes, if approved by all authors. Any changes to the protocol will be described and explained
12 13	377	in the final manuscript. The research has been previously presented at a conference and has
14 15	378	been published as a conference abstract [76].
16 17	379	
18 19	380	ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION
20 21 22	381	Ethics approval is not needed, as systematic reviews are based on published studies. The results
23 24	382	will be disseminated through peer-reviewed publications.
25 26	383	
27 28	384	Ethics statements
29 30 31	385	Patient consent for publication
32 33	386	Not applicable.
34 35	387	
36 37 38	388	Contributors AS is the guarantor. LC, JML, DP, AC, and AS: Writing - Original Draft. LC, AS, MPJ,
39 40	389	JPS, and CM: Software. LC, JML, DP and AS: Project administration, Supervision. All authors:
41 42	390	Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - Review & Editing. JML, AS, JPS, and CM provided
43 44	391	statistical expertise. DP and AC provided expertise on suicidal behaviours. All authors approved
45 46 47	392	the final manuscript.
47 48 49	393	
50 51	394	Acknowledgements Authors' thanks Guillem Cebrián (GC), director of the Library Hospital
52 53	395	Universitari Parc Taulí and head of the Unitat de Gestió del Coneixement de l'Institut
54 55	396	d'Investigació i Innovació Parc Taulí (I3PT), for his invaluable support in the refinement of the
56 57 58	397	search strategies. Authors' gratitude goes to Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona and Hospital
59 60	398	Universitari Parc Taulí for critically analysing the study proposal and motivational support to

## **BMJ** Open

> conduct this protocol. DP thanks the support of Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation/ISCIII/FEDER (PI21/01148); the Secretaria d'Universitats i Recerca del Departament d'Economia i Coneixement of the Generalitat de Catalunya (2021 SGR 01431); the CERCA programme of the I3PT; the Instituto de Salud Carlos III; and the CIBER of Mental Health (CIBERSAM). The research has been previously presented at a conference and has been published as a conference abstract [76].

Funding This research was funded by the Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Subdirección General de Evaluación y Fomento de la Investigación (ISCIII) and Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional (FEDER), grant number PI21/01148 - Estudio de la relación entre cognición social y dolor psicológico con el riesgo de presentar conductas suicidas (COGNISUI) - Fundación Parc Taulí, and by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and "ERDF A way of making Europe", grant number PID2022-141403NB-I00. The APC was funded by the Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Subdirección General de Evaluación y Fomento de la Investigación (ISCIII) and Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional (FEDER). The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analysis, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results. The Department of Mental Health of Hospital Universitari Parc Taulí, Unitat Mixta de Neurociència Traslacional I3PT-INc-UAB, is the sponsor.

Competing interests D.P. has received grants and also served as a consultant or advisor for Rovi, Angelini, Janssen, Lundbeck and Servier. The other authors declare no conflicts of interest.

- - Patient consent for publication Not applicable.
- Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

BMJ Open

2 3	425	
4	425	
5 6	426	Supplemental material Supplementary File 1. PRISMA-P 2015 Checklist (DOCX 35 KB).
7 8 9	427	Supplementary File 2. PubMed search strategy (DOCX 14 KB).
10 11	428	
12 13	429	Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons
14 15	430	Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix,
16 17 18	431	adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different
19 20	432	terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes are
21 22	433	made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-</u>
23 24	434	<u>nc/4.0/</u> .
25 26 27	435	nc/4.0/. ORCID iDs
27 28 29	436	ORCID iDs
30 31	437	Laura Comendador Vázquez https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5221-4794
32 33	438	María P. Jiménez-Villamizar https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2264-7422
34 35 36	439	Josep-Maria Losilla https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5140-5847
37 38	440	Juan P. Sanabria-Mazo https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1688-435X
39 40	441	Corel Mateo-Canedo https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0620-9257
41 42	442	Ana Isabel Cebrià Meca https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2632-8130
43 44 45	443	Antoni Sanz Ruíz https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7952-4477
46 47	444	Diego J. Palao Vidal https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3323-6568
48 49	445	
50 51	446	REFERENCES
52 53	447	1. World Health Organization. Suicide [online]. 2021. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-
54 55 56	448	sheets/detail/suicide (accessed 30 Jan 2022).
57 58	449	2. World Health Organization. Suicide worldwide in 2019 [online]. 2021.
59 60	450	https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/9789240026643 (accessed 30 Jan 2022).

Page 20 of 33

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

BMJ Open

2			
2 3 4	451	3.	World Health Organization. Suicide in the world: Global health estimates [online]. 2019.
5	452		https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/326948/WHO-MSD-MER-19.3-
7 8	453		eng.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y (accessed 30 Jan 2022).
9 10	454	4.	Artieda-Urrutia P, Parra-Uribe I, Garcia-Pares G, et al. Management of suicidal behaviour: Is
11 12	455		the world upside down? Aust N Z J Psychiatry 2014;48:399–401.
13 14	456		https://doi.org/10.1177/0004867414525847
15 16	457	5.	Mokdad AH, Forouzanfar MH, Daoud F, et al. Global burden of diseases, injuries, and risk
17 18		5.	
19 20	458		factors for young people's health during 1990–2013: A systematic analysis for the Global
21 22	459		Burden of Disease Study 2013. Lancet 2016;387:2383–401. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-
23 24	460		<u>6736(16)00648-6</u>
25 26	461	6.	Parra-Uribe I, Blasco-Fontecilla H, García-Parés G, et al. Attempted and completed suicide:
27 28	462		Not what we expected? J Affect Disord 2013;150:840–6.
29 30 21	463		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2013.03.013
31 32 33	464	7.	Instituto Nacional de Estadística. Defunciones según la causa de muerte 2017 [online]. 2021.
34 35	465		https://www.ine.es/jaxi/Datos.htm?path=/t15/p417/a2017/l0/&file=05008.px (accessed
36 37	466		11 Mar 2022).
38 39	467	Q	Zalsman G, Hawton K, Wasserman D, et al. Evidence-based national suicide prevention
40 41		0.	O,
42	468		taskforce in Europe: A consensus position paper. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol 2017;27:418-
43 44	469		21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euroneuro.2017.01.012
45 46	470	9.	Olfson M, Wall M, Wang S, et al. Suicide following deliberate self-harm. Am J Psychiat
47 48 49	471		2017;174:765–74. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2017.16111288
49 50 51	472	10.	Shand F, Vogl L, Robinson J. Improving patient care after a suicide attempt. Australas
52 53	473		Psychiatry 2018;26:145–8. https://doi.org/10.1177/1039856218758560
54 55	474	11.	Parra-Uribe I, Blasco-Fontecilla H, Garcia-Parés G, <i>et al</i> . Risk of re-attempts and suicide death
56 57	475		after a suicide attempt: A survival analysis. BMC Psychiatry 2017;17:163.
58 59	476		https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-017-1317-z
60	770		<u>mtps//doi.org/10.1100/312000/01//131/2</u>

# BMJ Open

3 4	477	12. Inagaki M, Kawashima Y, Yonemoto N, et al. Active contact and follow-up interventions to
5 6	478	prevent repeat suicide attempts during high-risk periods among patients admitted to
7 8	479	emergency departments for suicidal behavior: A systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC
9 10 11	480	Psychiatry 2019;19:44. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-019-2017-7</u>
12 13	481	13. Ganz D, Braquehais MD, Sher L. Secondary Prevention of Suicide. PLoS Med
14 15	482	2010;7:e1000271. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000271</u>
16 17	483	14. World Health Organization. The European Mental Health Action Plan 2013–2020 [online].
18 19 20	484	2013. <u>https://www.euro.who.int/_data/assets/pdf_file/0020/280604/WHO-Europe-</u>
20 21 22	485	Mental-Health-Acion-Plan-2013-2020.pdf (accessed 15 Feb 2022).
23 24	486	15. World Health Organization. Preventing suicide: A global imperative [online]. 2014.
25 26	487	https://www.who.int/mental_health/suicide-prevention/exe_summary_english.pdf?ua=1
27 28	488	(accessed 15 Feb 2022).
29 30 31	489	16. World Health Organization. Comprehensive mental health action plan 2013–2030 [online].
32 33	490	2021. https://apps.who.int/iris/rest/bitstreams/1371507/retrieve (accessed 15 Feb 2022).
34 35	491	17. Inagaki M, Kawashima Y, Kawanishi C, et al. Interventions to prevent repeat suicidal
36 37	492	behavior in patients admitted to an emergency department for a suicide attempt: A meta-
38 39	493	analysis. J Affect Disord 2015;175:66–78. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2014.12.048</u>
40 41 42	494	18. Zalsman G, Hawton K, Wasserman D, et al. Suicide prevention strategies revisited: 10-year
43 44	495	systematic review. Lancet Psychiatry 2016;3:646–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-
45 46	496	<u>0366(16)30030-X</u>
47 48	497	19. Lin T, Stone SJ, Heckman TG, et al. Zoom-in to zone-out: Therapists report less therapeutic
49 50	498	skill in telepsychology versus face-to-face therapy during the COVID-19 pandemic.
51 52 53	499	Psychotherapy 2021;58:449. http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/pst0000398
54 55	500	20. Gilat I, Shahar G. Emotional first aid for a suicide crisis: Comparison between telephonic
56 57	501	hotline and Internet. Psychiatry-Interpers Biol Process 2007;70:12-8.
58 59 60	502	https://doi.org/10.1521/psyc.2007.70.1.12

21. Seong JM, Cho Y, Cho GC, et al. Effects of mobile messenger counseling on case management success for individuals engaging in self-harm or suicide attempts who were discharged from emergency departments. Clin Exp Emerg Med 2021;8:48-54. https://doi.org/10.15441/CEEM.20.133 22. Vijayakumar L, Umamaheswari C, Shujaath Ali Z, et al. Intervention for suicide attempters: А randomized controlled study. Indian Psychiatry 2011;53:244-8. https://doi.org/10.4103/0019-5545.86817 23. Cebrià AI, Parra I, Pàmias M, et al. Effectiveness of a telephone management programme for patients discharged from an emergency department after a suicide attempt: Controlled study in Spanish population. Affect Disord 2013;147:269-76. а https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2012.11.016 24. Cedereke M, Monti K, Öjehagen A. Telephone contact with patients in the year after a suicide attempt: Does it affect treatment attendance and outcome? A randomised controlled study. Eur **Psychiat** 2002;17:82-91. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-9338(02)00632-6 25. De Leo D, Buono MD, Dwyer J. Suicide among the elderly: The long-term impact of a telephone support and assessment intervention in northern Italy. Br J Psychiatry 2002;181:226-9. https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.181.3.226 26. Fleischmann A, Bertolote JM, Wasserman D, et al. Effectiveness of brief intervention and contact for suicide attempters: A randomized controlled trial in five countries. Bull World Health Organ 2008;86:703–9. https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.07.046995 27. Gould MS, Munfakh JLH, Kleinman M, et al. National Suicide Prevention Lifeline: Enhancing mental health care for suicidal individuals and other people in crisis. Suicide Life-Threat Behav 2012;42:22–35. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1943-278X.2011.00068.x 

1 2 3

## **BMJ** Open

28. Miller IW, Camargo CA, Arias SA, et al. Suicide prevention in an emergency department

Protected by c
Enseignement Superieur (ABES) Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.
ES) . ining, Al training, and similar technologies.

4 5 528 population: The **ED-SAFE** study. JAMA Psychiatry 2017;74:563-70. 6 7 529 https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2017.0678 8 9 530 29. Mousavi S, Zohreh R, Sharbafchi M, et al. The efficacy of telephonic follow up in prevention 10 11 12 531 of suicidal reattempt in patients with suicide attempt history. Adv Biomed Res 2014;3:198. 13 14 532 https://doi.org/10.4103/2277-9175.142043 15 16 533 30. Vaiva G, Ducrocq F, Meyer P, et al. Effect of telephone contact on further suicide attempts 17 18 534 in patients discharged from an emergency department: Randomised controlled study. BMJ 19 20 21 535 2006;332:1241-5. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.332.7552.1241 22 23 536 31. Cebrià Al, Pérez-Bonaventura I, Cuijpers P, et al. Telephone management program for 24 25 537 patients discharged from an emergency department after a suicide attempt. Crisis 26 27 538 2015;36:345–52. https://doi.org/10.1027/0227-5910/a000331 28 29 30 539 32. Milner AJ, Carter G, Pirkis J, et al. Letters, green cards, telephone calls and postcards: 31 32 540 Systematic and meta-analytic review of brief contact interventions for reducing self-harm, 33 34 541 suicide attempts and suicide. Br Psychiatry 2015;206:184-90. 35 36 542 https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.114.147819 37 38 543 33. Noh D, Park YS, Oh EG. Effectiveness of telephone-delivered interventions following suicide 39 40 41 544 attempts: systematic Arch Psychiatr 2016;30:114-9. А review. Nurs 42 43 545 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apnu.2015.10.012 44 45 546 34. Luxton DD, June JD, Comtois KA. Can postdischarge follow-up contacts prevent suicide and 46 47 547 suicidal 48 behavior? А Review of the Evidence. Crisis 2013;34:32-41. 49 50 548 https://doi.org/10.1027/0227-5910/a000158 51 52 549 35. Bertolote JM, Fleischmann A, De Leo D, et al. Repetition of suicide attempts data from 53 54 550 emergency care settings in five culturally different low- and middle-income countries 55 56 551 SUPRE-MISS participating in the WHO study. Crisis 2010;31:194-201. 57 58 59 552 https://doi.org/10.1027/0027-5910/a000052 60 2

36. Mouaffak F, Marchand A, Castaigne E, et al. OSTA program: A French follow up intervention program for suicide prevention. Psychiatry Res 2015;230:913-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2015.11.024 37. Krysinska KE, De Leo D. Telecommunication and suicide prevention: Hopes and challenges for the century. Omega-J Death Dying 2007;55:237-53. new https://doi.org/10.2190/OM.55.3.e 38. Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, et al. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 6.2. [online]. 2021. https://training.cochrane.org/handbook (accessed 30 Jan 2022). 39. Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015;4. meta-analysis statement. Rev Syst https://doi.org/10.1186/2046-4053-4-1 40. Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: Elaboration and explanation. BMJ 2015;349:g7647-7. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.g7647 41. Saimbert M. Developing clinical questions for a systematic review. In: Holly C, Salmond S, Saimbert M, eds. Comprehensive Systematic Review for Advanced Practice Nursing. 3rd ed. United USA: Publishing States, Springer Company 2021:85-101. https://doi.org/10.1891/9780826152268.0005 42. Gómez A, Silva H, Amon R. El Suicidio. Teoría, Clínica y Manejo. Barcelona, ES: Editorial Mediterráneo 2018. 43. World Health Organization. ICD-11 for mortality and morbidity statistics [online]. 2021. https://icd.who.int/browse11/l-m/en#/http://id.who.int/icd/entity/778734771 (accessed 15 Feb 2022). 

Page 25 of 33

# **BMJ** Open

44.	Andreotti ET, Ipuchima JR, Cazella SC, et al. Instruments to assess suicide risk: a systematic	
	review. Trends Psychiatry Psychother 2020;42:276-281. https://doi.org/10.1590/2237-	-
	<u>6089-2019-0092</u>	-
45.	Beck AT, Kovacs M, Weissman A. Assessment of suicidal ideation: the scale for suicidal	-
	ideation. J Consult Clin Psychol 1979;47:343–352. <u>https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-</u>	
	<u>006x.47.2.343</u>	Prot
46.	Posner K, Brent D, Lucas C, et al. Columbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS). New York,	ected
	USA: Columbia University Medical Center 2008.	bv cor
47.	Beck AT, Schuyler D, Herman I. Development of suicidal intent scales. In: Beck AT, Resnik	ovriaht
	HLP, Lettieri DJ, eds. The Prediction of Suicide. Philadelphia, PA: Charles Press 1974:45–56.	inclu
48.	Fonseca-Pedrero E, Pérez de Albéniz A. Evaluación de la conducta suicida en adolescentes:	dina fo
	A propósito de la escala Paykel de suicidio. <i>Papeles del Psicol</i> 2020; 41:106-115.	En:
	https://dx.doi.org/10.23923/pap.psicol2020.2928	seigne s relate
49.	Beck AT, Steer RA. Manual for the Beck scale for suicide ideation. San Antonio, TX:	ment S
	Psychological Corporation 1991.	Superie
50.	Reynolds WM. Suicidal ideation questionnaire (SIQ). Odessa, UKR: Psychological Assessment	Enseignement Superieur (ABE Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mi
	Resources 1987.	BES) .
51.	Sheehan DV, Lecrubier Y, Sheehan KH, et al. The Mini-International Neuropsychiatric	. Al tra
	Interview (M.I.N.I.): the development and validation of a structured diagnostic psychiatric	' ining.
	interview for DSM-IV and ICD-10. J Clin Psychiatry 1998;59 Suppl 20:22-33.	, and si
52.	Horowitz LM, Wang PS, Koocher GP, et al. Detecting suicide risk in a pediatric emergency	milar t
	department: development of a brief screening tool. <i>Pediatrics</i> 2001;107:1133–7.	ES) . ining. Al training, and similar technologies.
	https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.107.5.1133	loaies
53.	Innamorati M, Pompili M, Lester D, et al. Recreational drug use and suicidality among Italian	
	young adults. <i>J Addict Dis</i> 2008;27:51-9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/10550880802324796</u>	

45. Beck AT, Kovacs M, Weissman A. Assessment of ideation. J Consult Clin Psychol 1979;47:34 006x.47.2.343 46. Posner K, Brent D, Lucas C, et al. Columbia-Suicide USA: Columbia University Medical Center 2008. 47. Beck AT, Schuyler D, Herman I. Development of su HLP, Lettieri DJ, eds. The Prediction of Suicide. Phila 48. Fonseca-Pedrero E, Pérez de Albéniz A. Evaluación A propósito de la escala Paykel de suicidio. https://dx.doi.org/10.23923/pap.psicol2020.2928 49. Beck AT, Steer RA. Manual for the Beck scale Psychological Corporation 1991. 50. Reynolds WM. Suicidal ideation questionnaire (SIQ) Resources 1987. 51. Sheehan DV, Lecrubier Y, Sheehan KH, et al. T Interview (M.I.N.I.): the development and validation interview for DSM-IV and ICD-10. J Clin Psychiatry 1 52. Horowitz LM, Wang PS, Koocher GP, et al. Detecti department: development of a brief screening https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.107.5.1133 53. Innamorati M, Pompili M, Lester D, et al. Recreation young adults. J Addict Dis 2008;27:51-9. https://do

**BMJ** Open

 54. Domino G, Moore D, Westlake L, et al. Attitudes toward suicide: a factor analytic approach. J Clin Psychol 1982;38:257-62. https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-4679(198204)38:2<257::aid-jclp2270380205>3.0.co;2-i 55. Kessler RC, Ustün TB. The World Mental Health (WMH) Survey Initiative Version of the World Health Organization (WHO) Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI). Int J Methods Psychiatr Res 2004;13:93-121. https://doi.org/10.1002/mpr.168 56. Lindenmayer JP, Czobor P, Alphs L, et al. The InterSePT scale for suicidal thinking reliability and validity. Schizophr Res 2003;63:161-70. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0920-9964(02)00335-57. Koslowsky M, Bleich A, Greenspoon A, et al. Assessing the validity of the Plutchik Suicide Risk Scale. J Psychiatr Res 1991;25:155-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3956(91)90019-7 58. Friedman JMH, Asnis GM. Assessment of suicidal behavior: A new instrument. Psychiatr Ann 1989;19:382-387. https://doi.org/10.3928/0048-5713-19890701-11 59. Cull JG, Gill WW. Suicide Probability Scale (SPS) Manual. Los Angeles, USA: Western Psychological Services 1988. 60. McGowan J, Sampson M, Salzwedel DM, et al. PRESS Peer Review of Electronic Search Strategies: Guideline Statement. Clin Epidemiol 2016;75:40-6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2016.01.021 61. Amezcua M. La Búsqueda Bibliográfica en diez pasos. Index Enferm 2015;24:14-14. https://dx.doi.org/10.4321/S1132-12962015000100028 62. Rada GG, Andrade AM, Leyton-Sch V, et al. Búsqueda de información en medicina basada en evidencia. Rev méd Chile 2004;132:253-259. https://doi.org/10.4067/S0034-

63. Ouzzani M, Hammady H, Fedorowicz Z, *et al*. Rayyan—a web and mobile app for systematic
reviews. *Syst Rev* 2016;5(1):1-10. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-016-0384-4

# **BMJ** Open

3 4	62
5 6	62
7 8	62
9 10 11	63
12 13	63
14 15	63
16 17	63
18 19 20	63
21 22	63
23 24	63
25 26	63
27 28 29	63
30 31	63
32 33	64
34 35	64
36 37 38	64
39 40	64
41 42	64
43 44	64
45 46 47	64
47 48 49	64
50 51	64
52 53	64
54 55 56	65
50 57 58	65
59	

627	64. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and
628	meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. Int J Surg 2010;8:336–41.
629	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2010.02.007
630	65. The Cochrane Collaboration. Data collection form for intervention reviews for RCTs and non-
631	RCTs – template [online]. 2019. <u>https://dplp.cochrane.org/data-extraction-forms</u> (accessed
632	30 Feb 2022).
633	66. Sterne JA, Savović J, Page MJ, et al. RoB 2: A revised tool for assessing risk of bias in
634	randomised trials. <i>BMJ</i> 2019;366:I4898. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.I4898</u>
635	67. Sterne JA, Hernán MA, Reeves BC, et al. ROBINS-I: A tool for assessing risk of bias in non-
636	randomised studies of interventions. <i>BMJ</i> 2016;366:i4919.
637	https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.i4919
638	68. Hedges LV. Distribution Theory for Glass's Estimator of Effect Size and Related Estimators. J
639	Stat Educ 1981;6:107-128. <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/1164588</u>
640	69. Cohen J. Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences. New York, US: Lawrence
641	Erlbaum Associates 1988.
642	70. Egger M, Smith GD, Schneider M, et al. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical
643	test. <i>BMJ</i> 1997;315:629–34. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.315.7109.629</u>
644	71. Liu JL. The role of the funnel plot in detecting publication and related biases in meta-analysis.
645	Evid-Based Dent 2011;12(4):121–2. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ebd.6400831</u>
646	72. Shi L, Lin L. The trim-and-fill method for publication bias: practical guidelines and

- 647 recommendations based on a large database of meta-analyses. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 
  - 648 2019;98:e15987. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000015987</u>
    - 649 73. Schünemann H, Brożek J, Guyatt G, *et al.* GRADE Handbook for Grading Quality of Evidence
      650 and Strength of Recommendations [online]. 2013.
  - 651 <u>https://gdt.gradepro.org/app/handbook/handbook.html</u> (accessed 30 Feb 2022).

**BMJ** Open

> 74. Ryan R, Hill S. How to GRADE the quality of the evidence. Cochrane Consumers and Communication Group, Version 3.0. [online]. 2016. https://cccrg.cochrane.org/author-resources (accessed 30 Feb 2022).

- 75. Bruffaerts R, Demyttenaere K, Hwang I, et al. Treatment of suicidal people around the world.
- Br J Psychiatry 2011;199:64–70. https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.110.084129
- 76. Comendador L, Cebrià A, Sanz A, et al. Efficacy of synchronous remote-based interventions
- .doles. 2;65:5295-6. for suicide prevention among adolescent and adult patients: A systematic review and meta-

analysis. Eur Psychiatry 2022;65:S295–6. http://dx.doi.org/10.1192/j.eurpsy.2022.754

29 of 33 BMJ Open PRISMA-P 2015 Checklist This checklist has been adapted for use with protocol submissions to *Systematic Reviews* from Table in Moher D et al: Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic Reviews* and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic Reviews* and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic Reviews* from Table in the formatic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic Reviews* from Table in the formatic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic Reviews* from Table in the formatic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic Reviews* from Table in the formatic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic Reviews* from Table in the formatic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic Reviews* from Table in the formatic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic Reviews* from Table in the formatic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic Reviews* from Table in the formatic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic Reviews* from Table in the formatic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Ens

Section/topic	#	Checklist item	Informatio		Line number(s
ADMINISTRATIVE IN			Yes	No	
Title					
Identification	1a	Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review			1-2
Update	1b				N/A
Registration	2	If registered, provide the name of the registry (e.g., PROSPERO) and registration number			24
Authors					
Contact	За	Provide name, institutional affiliation, and e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide provide mailing address of corresponding author			Title page
Contributions	3b	Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review			379-383
Amendments	4	If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, dentify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendered			N/A
Support					
Sources	5a	Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review			397-407
Sponsor	5b	Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor			397-407
Role of sponsor/funder	5c	Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol			404-406
INTRODUCTION					
Rationale	6	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known			39-112
Objectives	7	Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO)			124-129
METHODS					

		BMJ Open con			Page 3
		BMJ Open by copyright,			:
Section/topic	#	Checklist item	Information Yes	n reported No	Line number(s)
Eligibility criteria	8	Specify the study characteristics (e.g., PICO, study design, setting, time frame) and report characteristics (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review			131-190 215-218
nformation sources	9	Describe all intended information sources (e.g., electronic databases, contact with study at trial registers, or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage			191-202 215
Search strategy	10	Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including database limits, such that it could be repeated			Supplementary
STUDY RECORDS					
Data management	11a	Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the			225-230
Selection process	11b	State the process that will be used for selecting studies (e.g., two independent reviewers)			232-242
Data collection process	11c	Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (e.g., piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators			244-249
Data items	12	List and define all variables for which data will be sought (e.g., PICO items, funding source), any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications			251-257
Outcomes and prioritization	13	List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and and additional outcomes, with rationale			174-190
Risk of bias in ndividual studies	14	Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used to be synthesis			259-268
DATA		nno 1			
	15a	Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesized			273-274
Synthesis	15b	If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data, and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (e.g., <i>I</i> <sup>2</sup> , Kendall's tau)			284 - 290 290-297
	15c	Describe any proposed additional analyses (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-			299-322
	15d	If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned			271-274

1	31 of 33		BMJ Open	d by copyright,	njopen-2023-07			3
2 3 4	Section/topic	#	Checklist item	includi	<sup>7</sup> 5116 o	Information Yes	n reported No	Line number(s)
5 6 7	Meta-bias(es)	16	Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (e.g., publication bias across studies, reporting within studies)	q	ĕ			324-326
8 9	Confidence in cumulative evidence	17	Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (e.g., GRADE)	Enseig Jses rei	ember			328-331
$\begin{array}{c} 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ 25\\ 26\\ 27\\ 28\\ 29\\ 30\\ 31\\ 32\\ 33\\ 34\\ 35\\ 36\\ 37\\ 38\\ 39\\ 40\\ 41\\ 42\\ 43\\ 44\\ 45\\ 46\\ 45\\ 46\\ \end{array}$			Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (e.g., GRADE)	ŝ	2023. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 14, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l			

# Supplementary File 2. PubMed search strategy

# Search strategy

1 2 3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50

51

52

53 54

55

56 57

58

59

60

("suicide"[MeSH Terms] OR suicid\*[Title] OR "suicidal ideation"[MeSH Terms] OR "suicide ideation"[Title] OR "suicide, attempted"[MeSH Terms] OR "attempted suicide"[Title] OR "suicidal behavio\*"[Title] OR "non-fatal attempt"[Title] OR "unsuccessful attempt"[Title] OR "suicide, completed"[MeSH Terms] OR "completed suicide"[Title] OR "fatal attempt"[Title] OR "self-injurious behavior"[MeSH Terms] OR self-injur\*[Title] OR self-harm\*[Title] OR "selfdestructive behavio\*"[Title] OR self-poisoning[Title] OR "repeated suicide"[Title] OR suiciderisk[Title])

outcome"[MeSH AND ("treatment Terms] OR treatment[Title/Abstract] OR therap\*[Title/Abstract] OR intervention\*[Title/Abstract] OR "crisis intervention"[MeSH Terms] prevention[Title/Abstract] OR "follow-up studies"[MeSH OR Terms] OR followup[Title/Abstract] OR contact\*[Title/Abstract] OR management[Title/Abstract] OR program\*[Title/Abstract] brief"[MeSH OR "psychotherapy, Terms] OR "brief psychotherap\*"[Title/Abstract] OR "brief contact intervention\*"[Title/Abstract] OR "postdischarge intervention\*"[Title/Abstract] OR effectiv\*[Title/Abstract] OR efficacy[Title/Abstract])

AND (synchron\*[Title/Abstract] OR "online systems"[MeSH Terms] OR real-time[Title/Abstract] OR "immediate communication\*"[Title/Abstract] OR "remote consultation"[MeSH Terms] OR remote\*[Title/Abstract] OR non-presential[Title/Abstract] OR non-face-to-face[Title/Abstract] OR non-attend\*[Title/Abstract] OR "distance counseling"[MeSH Terms] OR distance[Title/Abstract] OR digital[Title/Abstract] OR "telemedicine"[MeSH Terms] OR "telecommunications"[MeSH telemedicine[Title/Abstract] OR OR Terms] "telecommunication\*"[Title/Abstract] OR telehealth[Title/Abstract] OR teleassistance[Title/Abstract] OR telepsychology[Title/Abstract] OR telepsychiatry[Title/Abstract] OR telecare[Title/Abstract] OR telemonitoring[Title/Abstract] OR teleconsult\*[Title/Abstract] OR telecounsel\*[Title/Abstract] OR "telemental health"[Title/Abstract] OR online[Title/Abstract] OR on-line[Title/Abstract] OR "information and communication technolog\*"[Title/Abstract] OR ICT[Title/Abstract] OR e-therap\*[Title/Abstract] "electronic therap\*"[Title/Abstract] OR e-health[Title/Abstract] OR "electronic OR health"[Title/Abstract] OR m-health[Title/Abstract] OR "mobile health"[Title/Abstract] OR "telephone"[MeSH Terms] OR telephon\*[Title/Abstract] OR "cell phone"[MeSH Terms] OR phone\*[Title/Abstract] OR "phone call\*"[Title/Abstract] OR call\*[Title/Abstract] OR "telephone contact\*"[Title/Abstract] OR "hotlines"[MeSH Terms] OR hotline\*[Title/Abstract] OR "hot line service\*"[Title/Abstract] OR "call centers"[MeSH Terms] OR helpline\*[Title/Abstract] OR lifeline\*[Title/Abstract] OR "suicide prevention lifeline"[Title/Abstract] OR "crisis line\*"[Title/Abstract] OR video\*[Title/Abstract] OR "videoconferencing"[MeSH Terms] OR video-call\*[Title/Abstract] OR "clinical videoconferencing"[Title/Abstract] OR CVT[Title/Abstract] OR chat\*[Title/Abstract] OR chatbot[Title/Abstract] OR "text messaging"[MeSH Terms] OR "text messaging"[Title/Abstract] OR "instant messag\*"[Title/Abstract] OR SMS[Title/Abstract] OR "mobile applications"[MeSH Terms] OR "mobile application\*"[Title/Abstract] OR App[Title/Abstract] OR "phone application\*"[Title/Abstract])

AND ("randomized controlled trials as Topic"[Mesh] OR "randomized controlled trial"[Title/Abstract] OR "controlled clinical trials as Topic"[Mesh] OR "controlled clinical trial"[Title/Abstract] OR trial\*[Title/Abstract] OR "clinical studies as Topic"[MeSH Terms] OR

1	
2	
3	"clinical stud*"[Title/Abstract] OR "random allocation"[MeSH Terms] OR
4	random*[Title/Abstract] OR "intervention group*"[Title/Abstract] OR "control
5	group*"[Title/Abstract])
6	
7 8	NOT (systematic review*[Title] OR review*[Title] OR meta*[Title] OR protocol[Title])
9	
10	Filters
11	The following filters were applied: text availability (Full text), language (English, Spanish), age
12	(Adolescent: 13-18 years, Adult: 19+ years).
13	(Audiescent. 15-16 years, Audit. 15+ years).
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
20	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31 32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42 43	
45 44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52 53	
53 54	
54 55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

# **BMJ Open**

# The effect of synchronous remote-based interventions on suicidal behaviours: Protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2023-075116.R2
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	15-Oct-2023
Complete List of Authors:	Comendador, Laura; Autonomous University of Barcelona, Psychiatry and Forensic Medicine; Parc Taulí Research and Innovation Institute Jimenz Villamizar, Mara Paola; Autonomous University of Barcelona, Basic, Developmental and Educational Psychology Losilla, Josep-Maria; Autonomous University of Barcelona, Department of Psychobiology and Methodology of Health Science Area of Behavioral Science Methodology Sanabria-Mazo, Juan; Institut de Recerca Sant Joan de Déu; Autonomous University of Barcelona, Department of Basics Mateo Canedo, Corel; Autonomous University of Barcelona, Basic, Developmental and Educational Psychology Cebria, Ana Isabel ; Autonomous University of Barcelona, Psychiatry and Forensic Medicine; Parc Taulí Research and Innovation Institute Sanz, Antoni; Autonomous University of Barcelona, Basic, Developmental and Educational Psychology Palao, Diego; Autonomous University of Barcelona, Psychiatry and Forensic Medicine; Parc Taulí Research and Innovation Institute
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Mental health
Secondary Subject Heading:	Public health
Keywords:	Suicide & self-harm < PSYCHIATRY, Telemedicine < BIOTECHNOLOGY & BIOINFORMATICS, PREVENTIVE MEDICINE

# SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts

1	
2	
3	The effect of synchronous remote-based interventions on suicidal behaviours:
4	Protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis
5	Frotocorror a systematic review and meta-analysis
6	
7	Laura Comendador <sup>1,2</sup> , María P. Jiménez-Villamizar <sup>3</sup> , Josep-Maria Losilla <sup>4</sup> , Juan P. Sanabria-Mazo <sup>3,5</sup> , Corel
8	Mateo-Canedo <sup>3</sup> , Ana Isabel Cebrià <sup>2,6,7</sup> , Antoni Sanz <sup>3,8</sup> , Diego Palao <sup>1,2,7</sup>
9	
10	<sup>1</sup> Department of Psychiatry and Forensic Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universitat Autònoma de
11	Barcelona. 08193 Cerdanyola del Vallès, Spain.
12	<sup>2</sup> Department of Mental Health. e-MH-PEMN – 2021 SGR 01431. Parc Taulí Hospital Universitari. Institut
13	d'Investigació i Innovació Parc Taulí (I3PT-CERCA), Unitat de Neurociències Traslacional I3PT-INc UAB,
14	Institut de Neurociències. Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona. 08208 Sabadell, Spain.
15	<sup>3</sup> Department of Basic, Developmental and Educational Psychology, Faculty of Psychology, Universitat
16	Autònoma de Barcelona. 08193 Cerdanyola del Vallès, Spain.
17	<sup>4</sup> Department of Psychobiology and Methodology of Health Sciences, Faculty of Psychology, Universitat
18	Autònoma de Barcelona. 08193 Cerdanyola del Vallès, Spain.
19	<sup>5</sup> Teaching, Research & Innovation Unit, Parc Sanitari Sant Joan de Déu. 08830 Sant Boi de Llobregat, Spain.
20	<sup>6</sup> Department of Clinical and Health Psychology, Faculty of Psychology, Universitat Autonoma de
21	Barcelona. 08193 Cerdanyola del Vallès, Spain.
22	<sup>7</sup> Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Salud Mental (CIBERSAM), Instituto de Salud Carlos III.
23	28029 Madrid, Spain.
24	<sup>8</sup> Stress and Health Research Group (GIES). Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona. 08193 Cerdanyola del
25	Vallès, Spain.
26	
27	Corresponding authors
28	Antoni Sanz, PhD
29	Department of Basics, Developmental and Educational Psychology, Faculty of Psychology, Universitat
30	Autònoma de Barcelona.
31	Carrer de la Fortuna, s/n. Campus de Bellaterra, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona. 08193 Cerdanyola
32	del Vallès (Spain).
33	
34	E-mail: antonio.sanz@uab.cat
35	
36	Ana Isabel Cebrià, PhD
37	Department of Mental Health. e-MH-PEMN – 2021 SGR 01431. Parc Taulí Hospital Universitari. Institut
38	d'Investigació i Innovació Parc Taulí (I3PT-CERCA), Unitat de Neurociències Traslacional I3PT-INc UAB,
39	Institut de Neurociències. Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona. 08208 Sabadell, Spain.
40	Parc Taulí, 1. 08208 Sabadell, Barcelona (Spain).
41	E-mail: acebria@tauli.cat
42	
43	Author Note
44	E-mail: acebria@tauli.cat           Author Note           Laura Comendador Vázquez, MSc. E-mail: laura.comendador@uab.cat           ORCID 0000-0002-5221-4794
45	ORCID 0000-0002-5221-4794
46	María P. Jiménez-Villamizar, MSc. E-mail: mariapaola.jimenez@autonoma.cat
47	ORCID 0000-0003-2264-7422
48	Josep-Maria Losilla, PhD. E-mail: JosepMaria.Losilla@uab.cat
40 49	ORCID 0000-0002-5140-5847
50	Juan P. Sanabria-Mazo, MSc. E-mail: juanpablo.sanabria@sjd.es ORCID 0000-0003-1688-435X
50	Corel Mateo-Canedo, MSc. E-mail: Corel.Mateo@uab.cat
52	ORCID 0000-0002-0620-9257
52	Ana Isabel Cebrià Meca, PhD. E-mail: <u>acebria@tauli.cat</u>
55 54	ORCID 0000-0002-2632-8130
54 55	Antoni Sanz Ruíz, PhD. E-mail: <u>antonio.sanz@uab.cat</u>
	ORCID 0000-0002-7952-4477
56 57	Diego J. Palao Vidal, MD, PhD. E-mail: dpalao@tauli.cat
57 59	ORCID 0000-0002-3323-6568
58 50	
59 60	Word count (excluding title page, abstract, tables, acknowledgements, contributions, and references):
60	4231 words

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

# 1 The effect of synchronous remote-based interventions on suicidal behaviours:

# Protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis

3 ABSTRACT

Introduction Suicide is among the leading causes of preventable death worldwide. The impact of suicide affects the personal, social, and economic levels. Therefore, its prevention is a priority for public health systems. Previous studies seem to support the efficacy of providing active contact to people who have made a suicide attempt. The current systematic review and metaanalysis aim to investigate the efficacy of distance suicide prevention strategies implemented through synchronous technology-based interventions.

Methods and analysis This protocol is designed according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P). The bibliographic searches were conducted in the databases PubMed, PsycInfo, Scopus, and Web of Science in April 2022, with no restrictions on the time of publication and limited to publications in English or Spanish. The search strategy was performed using free-text terms and Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) suicide, follow-up, synchronous, remote, telehealth, telephone, hotline, terms: videoconference, and text message. Two reviewers will independently conduct study screening, selection process, data extraction, and risk of bias (RoB) assessment. The analysis and synthesis of the results will be both gualitative and guantitative. A narrative synthesis, presented in a comprehensive table, will be performed and meta-analysis will be conducted, as appropriate, if sufficient data is provided.

Ethics and dissemination The present review and meta-analysis will not require ethical approval, as it will use data collected from previously published primary studies. The findings of this review will be published in peer-reviewed journals and widely disseminated.

**PROSPERO registration number** CRD42021275044.

**Keywords** Suicide, Telemedicine, Preventive Medicine.

3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
12	
13 14	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
22	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
41	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
~	

60

27	STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

- Study screening, quality assessment and data extraction will be determined by
   transparency, precision, and significance according to the Preferred Reporting Items for
   Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA).
- The systematic review will focus on peer-reviewed articles, and findings will be limited
   to articles written in English or Spanish.
- Randomised clinical trials, quasi-experimental trials, and observational case-controlled
   studies will be included to obtain sufficient data and adequate statistical power for
   meta-analysis.
  - There is a potential limitation attributed to the expected small sample size of the
     included studies and the heterogeneity of the study designs.
  - 38

# 39 INTRODUCTION

40 Suicide is a universal, complex, and multifaceted public health problem that ranks annually 41 among the leading causes of preventable death worldwide. More than 700,000 people die by 42 suicide per year [1], becoming the seventeenth leading cause of death in 2019 in global 43 epidemiology [2]. Annual suicide rates account for 1.4% of all deaths worldwide [3]. Suicide rates 44 in European regions (10.5 per 100,000) were higher than the global average (9.0 per 100,000) in 45 2019, while the lowest suicide rate was in the Eastern Mediterranean region (6.4 per 100,000) 46 [2, 3]. For each suicide death, there are twenty suicide attempts [4], constituting one of the 47 leading causes of disease burden in the world [5, 6]. While most of the world's suicides occur in 48 low- and-middle-income countries, high-income countries have the highest age-standardised 49 suicide rate (10.9 per 100,000) [2, 3]. Moreover, suicide represents the fourth leading cause of 50 death among people aged 15-29 years in global epidemiology [1, 3]. The number of adolescent 51 deaths due to suicide has increased dramatically, with data reflecting that suicide represents a

## **BMJ** Open

> rate per year of 0.19/100,000 in people under 15 years of age and a rate per year of 2.23/100,000 in the 15-19 age group, according to the Spanish National Institute of Statistics [7]. Suicide prevention is an emerging priority for the public health system due to its high social burden [8]. Evidence suggests that a prior suicide attempt is one of the most important risk factors for suicide, which supports the efforts to protect patients who attempt suicide during the acute period following an episode of self-harm [9, 10]. It is estimated that 20% of people who had engaged in suicidal behaviour showed a subsequent episode, and that 88% of these reattempts occurred within two years of the initial episode [11]. Furthermore, a lack of follow-up care provided by healthcare professionals has been identified as a risk factor for repeat suicide attempts in patients discharged from the emergency department (ED) [12].

> Over the last decades, the relevance of developing evidence-based prevention strategies focused on reducing the likelihood of suicide attempts in high-risk patients has become evident [13–16]. Suicide prevention programmes include a wide range of follow-up actions that promote connectivity between the patient and the mental health provider (sending letters, conducting telephone calls, texting via SMS, providing follow-up visits in specialised healthcare centres, or implementing 24/7 hotlines) [17, 18]. The development of Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs) has created opportunities and challenges in prevention, research, and clinical practise. eHealth interventions represent tools that allow reaching a larger number of at-risk populations, facilitating proactive follow-up compared to face-to-face treatments [19].

> Considering that remotely delivered distance-based programmes can reach affected people regardless of their location, it is reasonable to expect that these interventions could be part of future suicide prevention efforts [17, 18]. Remotely brief contact-based interventions can be a cost-effective strategy for suicide prevention in healthcare settings [20–22]. In a recent meta-analysis, Inagaki *et al.* [12] found that secondary prevention programmes involving active contact and follow-up can be effective in reducing the risk of a repeat suicide attempt within six

Page 5 of 33

## **BMJ** Open

months of admission to an ED for suicidal behaviour. Moreover, promising results seem to be
reported in studies that conduct telephone follow-up interventions for individuals at risk as a
suicide prevention strategy [23–30]. Telephone management in a clinical-practise setting could
be a useful and not expensive programme to implement in mental health centres [23, 31].

In 2015, Milner et al. [32] conducted a systematic review and meta-analyses of 14 randomised controlled trials (RCTs) using brief contact interventions and found that considerable differences in outcomes are likely to exist depending on the intervention condition and time period over which the study was conducted (i.e., studies that reported on the effectiveness of the intervention condition in reducing suicide attempts were conducted some decades ago and were rated as having a high risk of bias (RoB), whereas recent studies find more conservative results). Given the possible benefits, low cost and unlikely adverse effects, large-scale trials in clinical populations would be worthwhile; however, the authors do not recommend widespread clinical implementation of brief contact interventions. In 2016, Noh et al. [33] examined five RCTs comparing telephone-delivered interventions for preventing suicide reattempts with no telephone intervention. The results suggest that, in the case of providing telephone-delivered intervention only, more aggressive, structured, and theory-based telephone interventions led by mental health professionals should be designed and examined in the form of large-scale RCTs. It should be noted that there is an overlap in the studies included in the Milner *et al.* [32] and Noh *et al.* [33] meta-analyses.

Although there is no clear consensus on the effect of these programmes in previous
systematic reviews and meta-analyses [32, 33], there are data that appear to support the
efficacy of providing active contact to individuals who have made a suicide attempt [12, 17, 34].
Overall, there are studies with positive results in the reduction of suicide-related outcomes [23,
26, 29, 30] and others that have found conflicting or inconclusive evidence [25, 35, 36],
suggesting the suitability of conducting a systematic review with meta-analysis of the current
scientific literature. Despite evidence describing a broad range of telecommunications-based

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

## **BMJ** Open

suicide prevention approaches [21, 37], we are not aware of any publications that provide a synthesis of the literature on interventions that develop the use of synchronous strategies in suicide prevention. Based on the concept of connectivity [34], combined with a component of immediacy in the communication system; synchronous communication can increase accessibility, adherence, and treatment efficacy.

109 This study aims to collect and synthesise information on the efficacy and effectiveness 110 of remote suicide prevention strategies implemented through technology-based synchronous 111 interventions (i.e., via digital tools that allow interactive and immediate real-time 112 communication conducted remotely).

# 114 METHODS AND ANALYSIS

The primary source used to describe the methods of this protocol was the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (version 6.2) [38], specifically Part 2: Core methods "Chapter 2: Determining the scope of the review and the questions it will address" to "Chapter 10: Analysing data and undertaking meta-analyses". The protocol was constructed according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P) [39, 40] (see Supplementary File 1). A version of the protocol was registered in the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO), under identification number CRD42021275044.

# 124 Systematic review question

The research question was built according to PICOS criteria (Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcomes, and deSign) [41]. In adolescents and adults (≥ 12 years of age) with suicidal ideation or prior suicide attempts (P), what is the efficacy and effectiveness of synchronous remote-based interventions (I) in the prevention of non-fatal suicide attempts and suicide deaths (O) compared to active or inactive control groups (C) with any follow-up length?

2 3 4	130	
- 5 6	131	Criteria for included and excluded studies
7 8	132	Types of studies
9 10	133	The review will consider published empirical research with the following study designs:
11 12 13	134	randomised clinical trials, quasi-experimental trials, and observational case-controlled studies.
14 15	135	Primary data from cohort study designs or qualitative studies and secondary sources (e.g.,
16 17	136	systematic reviews, meta-analyses) will be excluded.
18 19	137	
20 21 22	138	Types of participants
23	139	The population of interest will include adolescents and adults, defined as anyone over the age
24 25 26 27 28 29	140	of 12 years, who have reported suicidal ideation or prior suicide attempts. No restriction will be
	141	placed on gender, geographical provenance, or diagnosis. Participants with non-suicidal self-
29 30 31	142	injury will be excluded.
32 33	143	
34 35	144	Types of interventions
36 37	145	Synchronous remote-based interventions will be defined as programmes delivered through a
37 38 39 40	146	technology device that is characterised by (a) ensuring interactive and immediate
41 42	147	communication, and (b) not requiring the patient to be at the same physical location as the
43 44	148	mental health provider. Interventions should aim to reduce suicide risk by communicating with
45 46	149	patients through telephone follow-up or active contact (i.e., contact with healthcare services
47 48 49	150	made spontaneously by participants at elevated risk for suicidal behaviour, such as a phone call
50 51	151	or hotline), instant text messaging, or videoconference. The synchronous remote
52 53	152	communication should include some, but not necessarily all, of the following elements:
54	450	improving compliance with medication and follow-up appointments, addressing any problems,
55	153	
55 56 57 58	153	stressors, or risk factors, and reducing re-attempts. No restriction will be placed on the intensity

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

#### **BMJ** Open

> We will include interventions delivered via synchronous remote-communication technologies; however, synchronous remote-based programmes that include minimal face-to-face contact (i.e., in-person contact for a maximum of 1 session) or are complemented with multimedia-delivered materials will be also considered. Studies using asynchronous telecommunication devices such as online forums and communities, social networking sites, video sharing sites, automated one-way text or voice messages, and self-directed web-based programmes will be excluded. Studies that describe treatments focused on the prevention of non-suicidal self-harm will be excluded. In addition, the interventions for issues such as psychosis, eating disorders, and depression, which are not intended to specifically address suicidal behaviour, are out of the scope of this review.

> All comparisons identified in the eligible studies will be included, such as treatment as usual (TAU), enhanced treatment as usual, no treatment, placebo, waiting list, and historical control. Therefore, the review will include active (i.e., participants engaged in some tasks unrelated to suicide prevention during the study period) or inactive control groups. The control group may involve a combination of strategies: visits to mental health services, nonpsychological therapies (e.g., pharmacotherapy), and other expected interventions. Studies that do not include a control group will be excluded (e.g., cross-sectional trials).

174 Types of outcomes measures

The main outcomes will be the repetition of suicide attempt, suicide ideation and suicide death. Suicide is defined as a self-inflicted and potentially injurious behaviour that is performed as a deliberate method to die [42]. Suicide attempts are defined as self-inflicted harm with a nonfatal outcome for which there is evidence, explicit or implicit, of the intention to die [3]. Furthermore, suicidal ideation is described by thoughts, ideas, or ruminations about the possibility of ending one's life [43].

#### **BMJ** Open

The assessment can be conducted post-intervention with no limit on the length of follow-up, employing quantitative measurement of suicidal-related outcomes. The suicidal ideation outcome may be measured using different validated instruments (Table 1). According to a recent systematic review [44], the most common instruments are the Beck Scale for Suicide Ideation (BSI) and the Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS). The non-fatal suicide attempts outcome will be measured by the number of suicide attempts a person has made within a certain timeframe. The suicide death outcome will be measured by the number of people who have died by suicide. 

**Table 1.** Instruments most cited in the literature for assessing suicide risk.

Instrument	Reference
Beck Scale for Suicide Ideation (BSI)	Beck <i>et al.</i> [45]
The Columbia – Suicide Severity Rat	ing Scale (C-SSRS) Posner <i>et al.</i> [46]
Beck Suicidal Intent Scale (SIS)	Beck <i>et al.</i> [47]
Paykel Suicide Scale (PSS)	Fonseca-Pedrero <i>et al.</i> [48
Beck Suicide Scale – worst ever vers	ion (BSSw) Beck & Steer [49]
Suicidal Ideation Questionnaire (SIC	; SIQ-Junior) Reynolds [50]
Mini-International Neuropsychiatric	Interview (MINI) Sheehan et al. [51]
Risk of Suicide Questionnaire (RSQ;	RSQ-Revised) Horowitz <i>et al.</i> [52]
Suicide Score Scale (SSS)	Innamorati <i>et al.</i> [53]
Suicide Opinion Questionnaire (SOC	) Domino <i>et al.</i> [54]
WMH Composite International Diag	nostic Interview (WMH-CIDI) Kessler & Ustün [55]
InterSePT Suicide Scale (ISST)	Lindenmayer <i>et al.</i> [56]
Plutchik Suicide Risk Scale	Koslowsky <i>et al.</i> [57]
Harkavy-Asnis Suicide Scale (HASS)	Friedman & Asnis [58]
Suicide Probability Scale (SPS)	Cull & Gill [59]

192 Data collection and analysis

193 Information sources and search strategy

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

Page 10 of 33

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

**BMJ** Open

Literature searches were conducted in the following electronic databases: PubMed (by NCBINLM-NIH website), PsycInfo (by ProQuest), Scopus (by ww.scopus.com), and Web of Science
Core Collection (by www.clarivate.com). Grey literature and unpublished records were searched
on the following websites: ClinicalTrials.gov and Google Scholar.

Authors of published articles will be contacted to retrieve relevant information about their study that was either not reported or unclear. The references cited in the included articles will be considered for data collection. We will also examine the reference lists of existing systematic reviews on similar topics to identify other relevant articles. In addition, the personnel files of the workgroup members will be checked and experts in the field of suicide will be consulted regarding relevant publications.

The search strategy was performed using relevant subject headings and search syntax appropriate to each database, including variations and combinations of free-text terms and Thersaurus of psychological index terms (American Psychological Association, APA) or Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms, combining with appropriate boolean operators. The general structure of search syntax was: (suicid\* OR self-injur\* OR self-harm OR "self-destructive behavio\*" OR self-poisoning) AND (intervention OR therap\* OR treatment OR psychotherap\* OR prevention OR follow-up OR contact OR post-discharge) AND (synchron\* OR remote OR non-presential OR non-face-to-face OR distance OR digital OR online OR telehealth OR telemedicine OR eHealth OR mHealth OR telephone OR phone OR call OR hotline OR helpline OR "suicide line" OR chat OR videoconferen\* OR App OR text messag\* OR SMS) AND ("randomised controlled trial" OR "controlled clinical trials" OR "clinical studies") NOT (review OR protocol). The drafted electronic search strategy for PubMed database is included in the Supplementary File 2.

216The search was scheduled to be completed by April 2022. All searches have been re-run,33217before publication of the article, as more than 12 months have elapsed since the date of the36218initial search. The search was limited to English or Spanish and was performed with no383921939219restrictions on the time of publication.

Page 11 of 33

1

#### BMJ Open

2		
3	220	The search strategy was developed by the research team with the collaboration of an
4 5		
6	221	experienced health science librarian (GC), adhering to the Peer Review of Electronic Search
7 8	222	Strategies (PRESS) [60]. Sensitivity (i.e., retrieval rate) and specificity (i.e., precision rate) criteria
9 10 11	223	were considered in the development of the literature search strategy [61, 62]; however,
11 12 13	224	sensitivity was prioritised.
14 15	225	
16 17	226	Data management
18 19 20	227	Results from the literature search will be imported into Rayyan Systems Inc. [63], an Internet-
21 22	228	based software programme that facilitates collaboration and pursuit accelerated screening
23 24	229	process. During the review process, this tool will be used to identify duplicate records and
25 26 27	230	manage the data. Mendeley (version 1.19.8) will be employed as reference management
28 29	231	software.
30 31	232	
32 33	233	Selection process
34 35 36	234	In the first phase, duplicate articles in the databases will be automatically removed by Rayyan
37 38	235	Systems Inc. and manually by the first reviewer (LC). In the second phase, two authors (LC and
39 40	236	MPJ) will blind-screen all articles based on titles, abstracts, and keywords. In the third phase,
41 42	237	the two reviewers (LC and MPJ) will independently evaluate the full-text articles according to
43 44 45	238	eligibility criteria. The reasons for excluding articles will be recorded. If necessary, a third
46 47	239	reviewer (AS) will be requested for discrepancies that may not be resolved by consensus among
48 49	240	the two reviewers (LC and MPJ). Inter-rater agreement will be calculated by Cohen's Kappa in
50 51	241	the second and third phases, prior to reaching consensus on the discrepancies between the two
52 53 54	242	reviewers or contrasting them with a third reviewer. The article selection process will be
54 55 56	243	described in a PRISMA flow diagram [64].
57 58	244	
59 60	245	Data collection process

#### **BMJ** Open

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Data extraction will be conducted independently by two authors (LC and MPJ), using a standard extraction form in line with the template from The Cochrane Collaboration [65]. Data will be managed using Microsoft Excel (16.56 version). For missing information or data that needs to be clarified, first or corresponding authors of primary studies will be contacted by email; one follow-up email will be sent if no response is received to the first email.

252 Data items

Data will be extracted from the following categories: a) general characteristics of the study
(authors, date of publication, setting and geographic location, research design, sample size,
participant sociodemographic and baseline characteristics), b) intervention and control group
details (type of intervention or control group, sample sizes, follow-up time, dropout rates), c)
outcomes (descriptive and comparative statistical indexes of efficacy and effectiveness,
assessment measures, and procedures), and d) limitations reported by study authors.

**Risk of bias assessment** 

The RoB assessment will be conducted independently by two reviewers (LC and MPJ), employing
the Revised Cochrane risk-of-bias tool for randomised trials (RoB 2.0) [66], and Risk-of-bias In
Non-randomised Studies – of Interventions (ROBINS-I) [67].

Inter-rater agreement will be calculated by Cohen's Kappa. Disagreements will be
resolved by consensus with a third blind reviewer (AS). Ratings of bias for each study will be
classified as low, high, or unclear RoB, according to standardised methodology. Intramethodological quality evaluation will be synthesised in tables that will comprise the summary
of each study individually, identifying their RoB. Studies will not be excluded based on their level
of RoB.

7 270

9 271 Data synthesis

#### **BMJ** Open

A descriptive summary and explanation of the characteristics and findings of all included studies will be displayed in a comprehensive table. A narrative synthesis will be conducted, and a random-effects meta-analysis will be computed when a suicidal-related outcome is reported in at least three studies. To ensure that the data we are combining from different studies is comparable and can be appropriately synthesised, several adjustments may be necessary. These adjustments could involve contacting study authors to request more detailed data or transforming the data (e.g., if we encounter a situation where some studies report suicide attempts as a binary outcome while others report them as a count); conducting sensitivity analyses to assess the impact of the articles; performing subgroup analyses for each type of data; or adopting a narrative synthesis approach when a quantitative combination of studies is not feasible. Any data transformations will be documented in the manuscript, and the limitations introduced by differences in data reporting between studies should be acknowledged.

Three types of meta-analyses will be conducted according to the type of outcome measure: count (incidence rate ratio between groups of the number of suicide attempts), quantitative (standardised mean differences of suicidal ideation), and binary (odds-ratio between groups in the proportion of deaths by suicide). All outcomes will be analysed at different follow-up time intervals, as indicated below in the description of subgroup analyses. Comparisons adjusted for confounders between groups will be included in meta-analyses when reported in studies, and the effect of these adjustments on the meta-analytic summary will be studied using sensitivity and subgroup analyses. Mean differences between the control group and intervention group will be transformed into Hedges' q standardised effect sizes [68], which means different tools for measuring suicidal ideation will be combined. Effect sizes will be considered small ( $q \ge 0.2$ ), medium ( $q \ge 0.5$ ), or large ( $q \ge 0.8$ ) [69]. The Q and Tau<sup>2</sup> statistics will be calculated to assess the statistical heterogeneity of effect sizes. Specific functions will be used to examine: (a) the profile likelihood plots of the variance components; (b) the potential outlying 

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

BMJ Open

3 4	298	and influential studies and/or outcomes; and (c) the potential publication bias. All analyses will
5 6	299	be performed using the Metafor package (version 4.0-0) for R.
7 8 9	300	
9 10 11	301	Sensitivity analysis
12 13	302	The potential effect on the results due to the trial design (i.e., pragmatic vs. explanatory trials),
14 15	303	the adjustment for confounding, and the RoB of the studies will be analysed, if feasible.
16 17 18	304	
19 20	305	Analysis of subgroups or subsets
21 22	306	Subgroup and subset analyses will be carried out if feasible and warranted to examine potential
23 24 25	307	effect modifiers based on sociodemographic characteristics of participants, length, type of
26	308	treatment, research design, adjustment for confounding, and RoB assessment. Meta-regression
27 28 29	309	will be performed to analyse quantitative potential effect modifiers or covariates that might
30 31	310	influence the size of the intervention effect (e.g., age). We plan to summarise and categorise
32 33	311	the below subgroup or subset analyses if there is enough data:
34 35 36	312	a) Age: adolescents (12 to 17 years of age), adults (18 to 65 years of age), and older adults
37 38	313	(over 65 years of age).
39 40	314	b) Type of intervention: type of synchronous remote-based interventions (telephone calls,
41 42	315	instant text messaging, 24/7 hotlines, videoconferencing).
43 44	316	c) Number of follow-up contacts: hotline (24-hour consultation with a non-standardised
45 46 47	317	number of follow-up contacts), 1 to 3 contacts, 3 to 6 contacts, and more than 6
48 49	318	contacts.
50 51	319	d) Length of contact period: hotlines (24-hour consultation with a non-standardised period
52 53	320	of follow-up contacts), up to 1-month follow-up, 1 to 3-month follow-up, 3 to 6-month
54 55	321	follow-up, and longer than 6-month follow-up.
56 57 58	322	e) Research design: RCTs, quasi-experimental trials, and observational case-controlled
59 60	323	studies.

BMJ Open

2 3	324	f) Adjustment for confounding: adjusted for confounding variables, or no adjustment.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	325	
		g) RoB assessment: low, high, and unclear RoB.
	326	
	327	Publication bias
12 13	328	Publication bias will be evaluated using Egger's test [70], funnel plots [71], and trim-and-fill
14 15	329	approaches [72].
16 17	330	
18 19	331	Confidence in cumulative evidence
20 21	332	The overall quality of evidence will be evaluated according to the Grading of Recommendations
22 23 24	333	Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) [73, 74] by two independent researchers
25 26	334	(LC and MPJ). Discrepancies will be resolved in a discussion with a third researcher (AS).
27 28 29	335	
29 30 31	336	Patient and public involvement
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	337	Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, conduct, reporting, or dissemination
	338	plans of this research.
	339	
	340	DISCUSSION
40 41 42	341	The wide variety of remotely delivered distance-based programmes for suicide prevention [20,
43 44	342	23, 26–28] and the current lack of guidance on their implementation warrant further research
45 46	343	to improve and standardise patient care.
47 48 40	344	To the best of the researchers' knowledge, no systematic review and meta-analysis has
49 50 51	345	been reported that examined the efficacy of synchronous and remote telepsychiatry
52 53	346	interventions, assessing suicide-specific outcomes. We aim to address a gap in research by
54 55	347	examining the efficacy of synchronous remote-based interventions that are specifically designed
56 57	348	for suicide prevention. The proposed approach is pertinent given the recent increase in the
58 59 60	349	development and usage of technology communication devices for this purpose [19].
		1.

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

#### **BMJ** Open

350 It is anticipated that the systematic review will have predicted limitations that should be
351 considered. The inconsistency of terms used in suicidology is a limiting factor regarding the
352 search for articles and the subsequent eligibility of studies. In addition, suicide is a rare event,
353 making the design of studies with high statistical power particularly challenging. Furthermore,
354 people who attempt suicide are typified by poor treatment-seeking and limited adherence to
355 treatment [75], making it important to provide individuals at risk of suicide with appropriate and
356 cost-effectiveness treatment options.

A limited number of available studies is expected, which explains why the search strategy prioritises sensitivity over specificity. Moreover, RCTs may not provide sufficient evidence to exclude data from non-randomised studies. The inclusion of studies examining a wide range of synchronous remote-communication technologies rather than a specific intervention is intended to address this issue. Similarly, including no restriction on the mental health condition should allow for the collection of comprehensive and relevant data. Research studies that meet eligibility criteria may have a substantial degree of heterogeneity. In response, we initially planned subgroup and subset analyses. However, the categorisation of interventions into different typologies may be difficult since multiple research studies combine several interventions simultaneously.

Aside from several limitations, there are potential strengths. The aim is to contribute to the body of evidence on suicide. The development of the research proposed in the present protocol will allow to analyse the quality and methodology used in the research of remote-based synchronous interventions for suicide prevention, synthesizing scientific evidence, generating hypotheses, and establishing lines of research. In addition, the study protocol per se will provide more transparency in the methods and processes involved, decrease the possibility of duplication, and reduce bias. The meta-analysis of the studies found can allow the quantification of their global efficacy and effectiveness. Likewise, the subgroups or subsets analyses can

BMJ Open

1		
2 3 4	375	provide useful information to guide the design of more efficient and effective efficacy or
5 6	376	effectiveness of remote-based synchronous programs for suicide prevention in the future.
7 8	377	The current registration of the protocol for this review at PROSPERO may undergo
9 10 11	378	changes, if approved by all authors. Any changes to the protocol will be described and explained
12 13	379	in the final manuscript. The research has been previously presented at a conference and has
14 15	380	been published as a conference abstract [76].
16 17 18	381	
19 20	382	ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION
21 22	383	Ethics approval is not needed, as systematic reviews are based on published studies. The results
23 24	384	will be disseminated through peer-reviewed publications.
25 26	385	
27 28 29	386	Ethics statements
30 31	387	Patient consent for publication
32 33	388	Not applicable.
34 35	389	
36 37 38	390	Contributors AS is the guarantor. LC, JML, DP, AC, and AS: Writing - Original Draft. LC, AS, MPJ,
39 40	391	JPS, and CM: Software. LC, JML, DP and AS: Project administration, Supervision. All authors:
41 42	392	Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - Review & Editing. JML, AS, JPS, and CM provided
43 44	393	statistical expertise. DP and AC provided expertise on suicidal behaviours. All authors approved
45 46 47	394	the final manuscript.
48 49	395	
50 51	396	Acknowledgements Authors' thanks Guillem Cebrián (GC), director of the Library Hospital
52 53	397	Universitari Parc Taulí and head of the Unitat de Gestió del Coneixement de l'Institut
54 55 56	398	d'Investigació i Innovació Parc Taulí (I3PT-CERCA), for his invaluable support in the refinement
57 58	399	of the search strategies. Authors' gratitude goes to Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona and
59 60	400	Hospital Universitari Parc Taulí for critically analysing the study proposal and motivational $1$

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

#### **BMJ** Open

> support to conduct this protocol. DP thanks the support of Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation/ISCIII/FEDER (PI21/01148); the Secretaria d'Universitats i Recerca del Departament d'Economia i Coneixement of the Generalitat de Catalunya (2021 SGR 01431); the CERCA programme of the I3PT; the Instituto de Salud Carlos III; and the CIBER of Mental Health (CIBERSAM). The research has been previously presented at a conference and has been published as a conference abstract [76].

Funding This research was funded by the Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Subdirección General de Evaluación y Fomento de la Investigación (ISCIII) and Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional (FEDER), grant number PI21/01148 - Estudio de la relación entre cognición social y dolor psicológico con el riesgo de presentar conductas suicidas (COGNISUI) - Fundación Parc Taulí. SENDO PI21/01148 (Fondo de Investigación Sanitaria-ISCiii/FEDER) Instituto Salud Carlos III, and by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and "ERDF A way of making Europe", grant number PID2022-141403NB-I00. The APC was funded by the Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Subdirección General de Evaluación y Fomento de la Investigación (ISCIII) and Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional (FEDER). The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analysis, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results. The Department of Mental Health of Hospital Universitari Parc Taulí, Unitat Mixta de Neurociència Traslacional I3PT-INc-UAB, is the sponsor.

Competing interests D.P. has received grants and also served as a consultant or advisor for Rovi,

- Angelini, Janssen, Lundbeck and Servier. The other authors declare no conflicts of interest.

- Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

428

1 2

#### BMJ Open

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

3
1
2
6
7
8
9
10
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
10
17
18
19
20
21
22
∠∠ วว
25
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 14 15 16 7 8 9 10 11 20 21 22 23 24 5 26 27 28 9 30 13 23 34 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 20 21 22 23 24 5 26 27 8 9 30 12 33 34 5 36 37 8 9 30 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 31 20 310 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20
25
26
27
28
20
29
30
31
32
33
31
25
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
57 58
59
60

429	Supplemental material Supplementary File 1. PRISMA-P 2015 Checklist (DOCX 35 KB).
430	Supplementary File 2. PubMed search strategy (DOCX 14 KB).
431	
432	<b>Open access</b> This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons
433	Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix,
434	adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different
435	terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes are
436	made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-</u>
437	<u>nc/4.0/</u> .
438	
439	ORCID iDs
440	Laura Comendador Vázquez https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5221-4794
441	María P. Jiménez-Villamizar https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2264-7422
442	Josep-Maria Losilla https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5140-5847
443	Juan P. Sanabria-Mazo https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1688-435X
444	Corel Mateo-Canedo https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0620-9257
445	Ana Isabel Cebrià Meca https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2632-8130
446	Antoni Sanz Ruíz https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7952-4477
447	Diego J. Palao Vidal https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3323-6568
448	
449	REFERENCES
450	1. World Health Organization. Suicide [online]. 2021. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-
451	sheets/detail/suicide (accessed 30 Jan 2022).

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

BMJ Open

2 3 4	452	2.	World Health Organization. Suicide worldwide in 2019 [online]. 2021.
5 6 7 8 9	453		https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/9789240026643 (accessed 30 Jan 2022).
	454	3.	World Health Organization. Suicide in the world: Global health estimates [online]. 2019.
9 10 11	455		https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/326948/WHO-MSD-MER-19.3-
12 13	456		eng.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y (accessed 30 Jan 2022).
14 15	457	4.	Artieda-Urrutia P, Parra-Uribe I, Garcia-Pares G, et al. Management of suicidal behaviour: Is
16 17	458		the world upside down? Aust N Z J Psychiatry 2014;48:399–401.
18 19 20	459		https://doi.org/10.1177/0004867414525847
21 22	460	5.	Mokdad AH, Forouzanfar MH, Daoud F, et al. Global burden of diseases, injuries, and risk
23 24	461		factors for young people's health during 1990–2013: A systematic analysis for the Global
25 26	462		Burden of Disease Study 2013. Lancet 2016;387:2383–401. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-
27 28 29	463		<u>6736(16)00648-6</u>
30 31	464	6.	Parra-Uribe I, Blasco-Fontecilla H, García-Parés G, et al. Attempted and completed suicide:
32 33	465		Not what we expected? J Affect Disord 2013;150:840–6.
34 35 36 37 38 39 40	466		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2013.03.013
	467	7.	Instituto Nacional de Estadística. Defunciones según la causa de muerte 2017 [online]. 2021.
	468		https://www.ine.es/jaxi/Datos.htm?path=/t15/p417/a2017/l0/&file=05008.px (accessed
41 42	469		11 Mar 2022).
43 44	470	8.	Zalsman G, Hawton K, Wasserman D, et al. Evidence-based national suicide prevention
45 46 47	471		taskforce in Europe: A consensus position paper. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol 2017;27:418-
48 49	472		21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euroneuro.2017.01.012
50 51	473	9.	Olfson M, Wall M, Wang S, et al. Suicide following deliberate self-harm. Am J Psychiat
52 53	474		2017;174:765–74. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2017.16111288
54 55 56	475	10.	Shand F, Vogl L, Robinson J. Improving patient care after a suicide attempt. Australas
50 57 58	476		Psychiatry 2018;26:145–8. https://doi.org/10.1177/1039856218758560
59 60			1

BMJ Open

npts and suicide death
hiatry 2017;17:163.
w-up interventions to
patients admitted to
d meta-analysis. BMC
Suicide. PLoS Med
n 2013–2020 [online].
280604/WHO-Europe-
ative [online]. 2014.
<pre>iry_english.pdf?ua=1</pre>
n 2013–2030 [online].
cessed 15 Feb 2022).
event repeat suicidal
cide attempt: A meta-
d.2014.12.048
gies revisited: 10-year
oi.org/10.1016/S2215-
ni.org/10.1016/S2215-
eport less therapeutic

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

**BMJ** Open

503 20. Gilat I, Shahar G. Emotional first aid for a suicide crisis: Comparison between telephonic
504 hotline and Internet. Psychiatry-Interpers *Biol Process* 2007;70:12–8.
505 https://doi.org/10.1521/psyc.2007.70.1.12

506 21. Seong JM, Cho Y, Cho GC, *et al.* Effects of mobile messenger counseling on case management
507 success for individuals engaging in self-harm or suicide attempts who were discharged from
508 emergency departments. *Clin Exp Emerg Med* 2021;8:48–54.
509 https://doi.org/10.15441/CEEM.20.133

- 510 22. Vijayakumar L, Umamaheswari C, Shujaath Ali Z, *et al.* Intervention for suicide attempters:
  511 A randomized controlled study. *Indian J Psychiatry* 2011;53:244-8.
  512 https://doi.org/10.4103/0019-5545.86817
- 513 23. Cebrià AI, Parra I, Pàmias M, *et al.* Effectiveness of a telephone management programme
  514 for patients discharged from an emergency department after a suicide attempt: Controlled
  515 study in a Spanish population. *J Affect Disord* 2013;147:269–76.
  516 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2012.11.016
- 517 24. Cedereke M, Monti K, Öjehagen A. Telephone contact with patients in the year after a
  518 suicide attempt: Does it affect treatment attendance and outcome? A randomised
  519 controlled study. *Eur Psychiat* 2002;17:82–91. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-01">https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-01</a>
  520 9338(02)00632-6
- 521 25. De Leo D, Buono MD, Dwyer J. Suicide among the elderly: The long-term impact of a 526 522 telephone support and assessment intervention in northern Italy. *Br J Psychiatry*
- 8 523 2002;181:226–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.181.3.226</u>
  - 524 26. Fleischmann A, Bertolote JM, Wasserman D, *et al.* Effectiveness of brief intervention and
     525 contact for suicide attempters: A randomized controlled trial in five countries. *Bull World* 526 *Health Organ* 2008;86:703–9. https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.07.046995

#### BMJ Open

1 2		
2 3 4	527	27. Gould MS, Munfakh JLH, Kleinman M, et al. National Suicide Prevention Lifeline: Enhancing
5 6 7 8	528	mental health care for suicidal individuals and other people in crisis. Suicide Life-Threat
	529	Behav 2012;42:22–35. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1943-278X.2011.00068.x</u>
9 10 11	530	28. Miller IW, Camargo CA, Arias SA, et al. Suicide prevention in an emergency department
12 13	531	population: The ED-SAFE study. JAMA Psychiatry 2017;74:563-70.
14 15	532	https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2017.0678
16 17	533	29. Mousavi S, Zohreh R, Sharbafchi M, et al. The efficacy of telephonic follow up in prevention
18 19	534	of suicidal reattempt in patients with suicide attempt history. Adv Biomed Res 2014;3:198.
20 21 22	535	https://doi.org/10.4103/2277-9175.142043
23 24	536	30. Vaiva G, Ducrocq F, Meyer P, et al. Effect of telephone contact on further suicide attempts
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	537	in patients discharged from an emergency department: Randomised controlled study. BMJ
	538	2006;332:1241–5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.332.7552.1241</u>
	539	31. Cebrià AI, Pérez-Bonaventura I, Cuijpers P, et al. Telephone management program for
	540	patients discharged from an emergency department after a suicide attempt. Crisis
	541	2015;36:345–52. https://doi.org/10.1027/0227-5910/a000331
	542	32. Milner AJ, Carter G, Pirkis J, et al. Letters, green cards, telephone calls and postcards:
	543	Systematic and meta-analytic review of brief contact interventions for reducing self-harm,
40 41 42	544	suicide attempts and suicide. Br J Psychiatry 2015;206:184–90.
43 44	545	https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.114.147819
45 46	546	33. Noh D, Park YS, Oh EG. Effectiveness of telephone-delivered interventions following suicide
47 48	547	attempts: A systematic review. Arch Psychiatr Nurs 2016;30:114–9.
49 50	548	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apnu.2015.10.012
51 52 53	549	34. Luxton DD, June JD, Comtois KA. Can postdischarge follow-up contacts prevent suicide and
54 55	550	suicidal behavior? A Review of the Evidence. <i>Crisis</i> 2013;34:32–41.
56 57	551	https://doi.org/10.1027/0227-5910/a000158
58 59 60		

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

**BMJ** Open

35. Bertolote JM, Fleischmann A, De Leo D, *et al.* Repetition of suicide attempts data from
emergency care settings in five culturally different low- and middle-income countries
participating in the WHO SUPRE-MISS study. *Crisis* 2010;31:194–201.

- b 555 <u>https://doi.org/10.1027/0027-5910/a000052</u>
- 556 36. Mouaffak F, Marchand A, Castaigne E, *et al*. OSTA program: A French follow up intervention
  557 program for suicide prevention. *Psychiatry Res* 2015;230:913–8.
  558 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2015.11.024
- 559 37. Krysinska KE, De Leo D. Telecommunication and suicide prevention: Hopes and challenges
   560 for the new century. *Omega-J Death Dying* 2007;55:237–53.
   561 <u>https://doi.org/10.2190/OM.55.3.e</u>
- 562 38. Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, et al. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of
   563 Interventions version 6.2. [online]. 2021. <u>https://training.cochrane.org/handbook</u>
   564 (accessed 30 Jan 2022).
- 565 39. Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, *et al.* Preferred reporting items for systematic review and
  566 meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Syst Rev* 2015;4.
  567 https://doi.org/10.1186/2046-4053-4-1
- 568 40. Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, *et al.* Preferred reporting items for systematic review and
   569 meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: Elaboration and explanation. *BMJ* 570 2015;349:g7647-7. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.g7647
  - 571 41. Saimbert M. Developing clinical questions for a systematic review. In: Holly C, Salmond S,
    572 Saimbert M, eds. Comprehensive Systematic Review for Advanced Practice Nursing. 3rd ed.
    573 United States, USA: Springer Publishing Company 2021:85–101.
    574 <u>https://doi.org/10.1891/9780826152268.0005</u>
    - 575 42. Gómez A, Silva H, Amon R. El Suicidio. Teoría, Clínica y Manejo. Barcelona, ES: Editorial
      576 Mediterráneo 2018.

BMJ Open

1 2		
- 3 4	577	43. World Health Organization. ICD-11 for mortality and morbidity statistics [online]. 2021.
5 6 7	578	https://icd.who.int/browse11/l-m/en#/http://id.who.int/icd/entity/778734771 (accessed
7 8	579	15 Feb 2022).
9 10	580	44. Andreotti ET, Ipuchima JR, Cazella SC, et al. Instruments to assess suicide risk: a systematic
11 12 13	581	review. Trends Psychiatry Psychother 2020;42:276-281. https://doi.org/10.1590/2237-
14 15	582	<u>6089-2019-0092</u>
16 17	583	45. Beck AT, Kovacs M, Weissman A. Assessment of suicidal ideation: the scale for suicidal
18 19	584	ideation. J Consult Clin Psychol 1979;47:343–352. <u>https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-</u>
20 21	585	006x.47.2.343
22 23 24	586	46. Posner K, Brent D, Lucas C, et al. Columbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS). New York,
25 26	587	USA: Columbia University Medical Center 2008.
27 28	588	47. Beck AT, Schuyler D, Herman I. Development of suicidal intent scales. In: Beck AT, Resnik
29 30 31	589	HLP, Lettieri DJ, eds. The Prediction of Suicide. Philadelphia, PA: Charles Press 1974:45–56.
31 32 33	590	48. Fonseca-Pedrero E, Pérez de Albéniz A. Evaluación de la conducta suicida en adolescentes:
34 35	591	A propósito de la escala Paykel de suicidio. Papeles del Psicol 2020; 41:106-115.
36 37	592	https://dx.doi.org/10.23923/pap.psicol2020.2928
38 39	593	49. Beck AT, Steer RA. Manual for the Beck scale for suicide ideation. San Antonio, TX:
40 41 42	594	Psychological Corporation 1991.
42 43 44	595	50. Reynolds WM. Suicidal ideation questionnaire (SIQ). Odessa, UKR: Psychological Assessment
45 46	596	Resources 1987.
47 48	597	51. Sheehan DV, Lecrubier Y, Sheehan KH, et al. The Mini-International Neuropsychiatric
49 50	598	Interview (M.I.N.I.): the development and validation of a structured diagnostic psychiatric
51 52 53	599	interview for DSM-IV and ICD-10. J Clin Psychiatry 1998;59 Suppl 20:22-33.
53 54 55	600	52. Horowitz LM, Wang PS, Koocher GP, et al. Detecting suicide risk in a pediatric emergency
56 57	601	department: development of a brief screening tool. Pediatrics 2001;107:1133-7.
58 59	602	https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.107.5.1133
60		2.

Page 26 of 33

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

**BMJ** Open

53. Innamorati M, Pompili M, Lester D, et al. Recreational drug use and suicidality among Italian young adults. J Addict Dis 2008;27:51-9. https://doi.org/10.1080/10550880802324796 54. Domino G, Moore D, Westlake L, et al. Attitudes toward suicide: a factor analytic approach. J Clin Psychol 1982;38:257-62. https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-4679(198204)38:2<257::aid-jclp2270380205>3.0.co;2-i 55. Kessler RC, Ustün TB. The World Mental Health (WMH) Survey Initiative Version of the World Health Organization (WHO) Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI). Int J Methods Psychiatr Res 2004;13:93-121. https://doi.org/10.1002/mpr.168 56. Lindenmayer JP, Czobor P, Alphs L, et al. The InterSePT scale for suicidal thinking reliability and validity. Schizophr Res 2003;63:161-70. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0920-9964(02)00335-57. Koslowsky M, Bleich A, Greenspoon A, et al. Assessing the validity of the Plutchik Suicide Risk Scale. J Psychiatr Res 1991;25:155-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3956(91)90019-7 58. Friedman JMH, Asnis GM. Assessment of suicidal behavior: A new instrument. Psychiatr Ann 1989;19:382-387. https://doi.org/10.3928/0048-5713-19890701-11 59. Cull JG, Gill WW. Suicide Probability Scale (SPS) Manual. Los Angeles, USA: Western Psychological Services 1988. 60. McGowan J, Sampson M, Salzwedel DM, et al. PRESS Peer Review of Electronic Search Strategies: Guideline Statement. Clin Epidemiol 2016;75:40-6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2016.01.021 61. Amezcua M. La Búsqueda Bibliográfica en diez pasos. Index Enferm 2015;24:14-14. https://dx.doi.org/10.4321/S1132-12962015000100028 62. Rada GG, Andrade AM, Leyton-Sch V, et al. Búsqueda de información en medicina basada evidencia. Rev méd Chile 2004;132:253-259. https://doi.org/10.4067/S0034en 

2 3 4	628	63. Ou	uzzani M, Hammady H, Fedorowicz Z, <i>et al</i> . Rayyan—a web and mobile app for systematic							
4 5 6	629	rev	reviews. Syst Rev 2016;5(1):1-10. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-016-0384-4</u>							
7 8	630	64. Mc	oher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and							
9 10 11	631	me	eta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. Int J Surg 2010;8:336–41.							
12 13	632	<u>htt</u>	tps://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2010.02.007							
14 15 16 17	633	65. Th	The Cochrane Collaboration. Data collection form for intervention reviews for RCTs and non-							
	634	RC	RCTs – template [online]. 2019. https://dplp.cochrane.org/data-extraction-forms (accessed							
18 19 20	635	30	) Feb 2022).							
20 21 22	636	66. Ste	erne JA, Savović J, Page MJ, et al. RoB 2: A revised tool for assessing risk of bias in							
23 24	637	rar	ndomised trials. <i>BMJ</i> 2019;366:l4898. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.l4898</u>							
25 26	638	67. Ste	Sterne JA, Hernán MA, Reeves BC, et al. ROBINS-I: A tool for assessing risk of bias in non-							
27 28 29	639	rar	ndomised studies of interventions. <i>BMJ</i> 2016;366:i4919.							
30 31	640	<u>htt</u>	tps://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.i4919							
32 33	641	68. He	edges LV. Distribution Theory for Glass's Estimator of Effect Size and Related Estimators. J							
34 35	642	Sta	Stat Educ 1981;6:107-128. <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/1164588</u>							
36 37 38	643	69. Cohen J. Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences. New York, US: Law								
39 40	644	Erl	Ibaum Associates 1988.							
41 42	645	70. Egg	gger M, Smith GD, Schneider M, et al. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical							
43 44	646	tes	st. <i>BMJ</i> 1997;315:629–34. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.315.7109.629</u>							
45 46 47	647	71. Liu	71. Liu JL. The role of the funnel plot in detecting publication and related biases in meta-analysis.							
47 48 49	648	Evi	vid-Based Dent 2011;12(4):121–2. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ebd.6400831</u>							
50 51 52 53	649	72. Shi	ni L, Lin L. The trim-and-fill method for publication bias: practical guidelines and							
	650	rec	recommendations based on a large database of meta-analyses. Medicine (Baltimore)							
54 55	651	20	019;98:e15987. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000015987</u>							
56 57 58										
59 60										
			2							

Enseignement Superieur (ABES) . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

**BMJ** Open

652 73. Schünemann H, Brożek J, Guyatt G, *et al.* GRADE Handbook for Grading Quality of Evidence
653 and Strength of Recommendations [online]. 2013.
654 https://gdt.gradepro.org/app/handbook/handbook.html (accessed 30 Feb 2022).

# 655 74. Ryan R, Hill S. How to GRADE the quality of the evidence. Cochrane Consumers and 656 Communication Group, Version 3.0. [online]. 2016. <u>https://cccrg.cochrane.org/author-</u> 657 <u>resources</u> (accessed 30 Feb 2022).

- 658 75. Bruffaerts R, Demyttenaere K, Hwang I, *et al*. Treatment of suicidal people around the world.
- 659 Br J Psychiatry 2011;199:64–70. <u>https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.110.084129</u>
  - 660 76. Comendador L, Cebrià A, Sanz A, et al. Efficacy of synchronous remote-based interventions
- 661 for suicide prevention among adolescent and adult patients: A systematic review and meta-

662 analysis. *Eur Psychiatry* 2022;65:S295–6. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1192/j.eurpsy.2022.754</u>

29 of 33 BMJ Open PRISMA-P 2015 Checklist This checklist has been adapted for use with protocol submissions to *Systematic Reviews* from Table in Moher D et al: Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic Reviews* and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic Reviews* and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic Reviews* from Table in the formatic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic Reviews* from Table in the formatic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic Reviews* from Table in the formatic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic Reviews* from Table in the formatic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic Reviews* from Table in the formatic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic Reviews* from Table in the formatic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic Reviews* from Table in the formatic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic Reviews* from Table in the formatic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic Reviews* from Table in the formatic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. iem En

Section/topic	#	Checklist item	Informatio	Information reported Line		
section/topic	#		Yes	No	number(s	
ADMINISTRATIVE IN	FORMA					
Title						
Identification	1a	Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review identify as such			1-2	
Update	1b	If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such			N/A	
Registration	2	If registered, provide the name of the registry (e.g., PROSPERO) and registration number Abstract			24	
Authors						
Contact	За	Provide name, institutional affiliation, and e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide p view and mailing address of corresponding author			Title page	
Contributions	3b	Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review			390-394	
Amendments	4	If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, deriging as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendances			N/A	
Support						
Sources	5a	Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review			408-419	
Sponsor	5b	Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review       Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review         Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor       Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review			408-419	
Role of sponsor/funder	5c	Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol			416-419	
INTRODUCTION						
Rationale	6	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known			39-112	
Objectives	7	Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO)			124-129	
METHODS		ai p n q u				

		BMJ Open BMJ Open BMJ Open			Page 3
Section/topic	#	Checklist item	Information Yes	n reported No	Line number(s)
Eligibility criteria	8	Specify the study characteristics (e.g., PICO, study design, setting, time frame) and report of characteristics (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review			131-191 216-219
nformation sources	9	Describe all intended information sources (e.g., electronic databases, contact with study at the sources) with planned dates of coverage			192-203 216
Search strategy	10	Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including			Supplementary File 2
STUDY RECORDS					
Data management	11a	Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the			226-231
Selection process	11b	State the process that will be used for selecting studies (e.g., two independent reviewers)			233-243
Data collection process	11c	Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (e.g., piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators			245-250
Data items	12	List and define all variables for which data will be sought (e.g., PICO items, funding source), any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications			252-258
Dutcomes and prioritization	13	List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and and additional outcomes, with rationale			174-191
Risk of bias in ndividual studies	14	Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used to be synthesis			260-269
DATA		nno 1			
	15a	Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesized			273-275 285 - 299
Synthesis	15b	If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data, and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration for consistency (e.g., <i>I</i> <sup>2</sup> , Kendall's tau)			292-299
	15c	Describe any proposed additional analyses (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-			301-303
	15d	If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned			272-275

1	31 of 33		BMJ Open		njopen-2023-07			3
2 3 4	Section/topic	#	Checklist item	by copyright, includi	75116 o	Information Yes	n reported No	Line number(s)
5 6 7	Meta-bias(es)	16	Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (e.g., publication bias across studies, reporting within studies)	¥	õ			327-329
8 9	Confidence in cumulative evidence	17	Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (e.g., GRADE)	ruses rel	ember 2			331-334
$\begin{array}{c} 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ 25\\ 26\\ 27\\ 28\\ 29\\ 30\\ 31\\ 32\\ 33\\ 34\\ 35\\ 36\\ 37\\ 38\\ 39\\ 40\\ 41\\ 42\\ 43\\ 44\\ 45\\ 46\\ \end{array}$			becribe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (e.g., GRADE)	ŝ	2023. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on June 14, 2025 at Agence Bibliographique de l			

# Supplementary File 2. PubMed search strategy

## Search strategy

("suicide"[MeSH Terms] OR suicid\*[Title] OR "suicidal ideation"[MeSH Terms] OR "suicide ideation"[Title] OR "suicide, attempted"[MeSH Terms] OR "attempted suicide"[Title] OR "suicidal behavio\*"[Title] OR "non-fatal attempt"[Title] OR "unsuccessful attempt"[Title] OR "suicide, completed"[MeSH Terms] OR "completed suicide"[Title] OR "fatal attempt"[Title] OR "self-injurious behavior"[MeSH Terms] OR self-injur\*[Title] OR self-harm\*[Title] OR "selfdestructive behavio\*"[Title] OR self-poisoning[Title] OR "repeated suicide"[Title] OR suiciderisk[Title])

outcome"[MeSH AND ("treatment Terms] OR treatment[Title/Abstract] OR therap\*[Title/Abstract] OR intervention\*[Title/Abstract] OR "crisis intervention"[MeSH Terms] prevention[Title/Abstract] OR "follow-up studies"[MeSH OR Terms] OR followup[Title/Abstract] OR contact\*[Title/Abstract] OR management[Title/Abstract] OR program\*[Title/Abstract] brief"[MeSH OR "psychotherapy, Terms] OR "brief psychotherap\*"[Title/Abstract] OR "brief contact intervention\*"[Title/Abstract] OR "postdischarge intervention\*"[Title/Abstract] OR effectiv\*[Title/Abstract] OR efficacy[Title/Abstract])

AND (synchron\*[Title/Abstract] OR "online systems"[MeSH Terms] OR real-time[Title/Abstract] OR "immediate communication\*"[Title/Abstract] OR "remote consultation"[MeSH Terms] OR remote\*[Title/Abstract] OR non-presential[Title/Abstract] OR non-face-to-face[Title/Abstract] OR non-attend\*[Title/Abstract] OR "distance counseling"[MeSH Terms] OR distance[Title/Abstract] OR digital[Title/Abstract] OR "telemedicine"[MeSH Terms] OR "telecommunications"[MeSH telemedicine[Title/Abstract] OR OR Terms] "telecommunication\*"[Title/Abstract] OR telehealth[Title/Abstract] OR teleassistance[Title/Abstract] OR telepsychology[Title/Abstract] OR telepsychiatry[Title/Abstract] OR telecare[Title/Abstract] OR telemonitoring[Title/Abstract] OR teleconsult\*[Title/Abstract] OR telecounsel\*[Title/Abstract] OR "telemental health"[Title/Abstract] OR online[Title/Abstract] OR on-line[Title/Abstract] OR "information and communication technolog\*"[Title/Abstract] OR ICT[Title/Abstract] OR e-therap\*[Title/Abstract] "electronic therap\*"[Title/Abstract] OR e-health[Title/Abstract] OR "electronic OR health"[Title/Abstract] OR m-health[Title/Abstract] OR "mobile health"[Title/Abstract] OR "telephone"[MeSH Terms] OR telephon\*[Title/Abstract] OR "cell phone"[MeSH Terms] OR phone\*[Title/Abstract] OR "phone call\*"[Title/Abstract] OR call\*[Title/Abstract] OR "telephone contact\*"[Title/Abstract] OR "hotlines"[MeSH Terms] OR hotline\*[Title/Abstract] OR "hot line service\*"[Title/Abstract] OR "call centers"[MeSH Terms] OR helpline\*[Title/Abstract] OR lifeline\*[Title/Abstract] OR "suicide prevention lifeline"[Title/Abstract] OR "crisis line\*"[Title/Abstract] OR video\*[Title/Abstract] OR "videoconferencing"[MeSH Terms] OR video-call\*[Title/Abstract] OR "clinical videoconferencing"[Title/Abstract] OR CVT[Title/Abstract] OR chat\*[Title/Abstract] OR chatbot[Title/Abstract] OR "text messaging"[MeSH Terms] OR "text messaging"[Title/Abstract] OR "instant messag\*"[Title/Abstract] OR SMS[Title/Abstract] OR "mobile applications"[MeSH Terms] OR "mobile application\*"[Title/Abstract] OR App[Title/Abstract] OR "phone application\*"[Title/Abstract])

AND ("randomized controlled trials as Topic"[Mesh] OR "randomized controlled trial"[Title/Abstract] OR "controlled clinical trials as Topic"[Mesh] OR "controlled clinical trial"[Title/Abstract] OR trial\*[Title/Abstract] OR "clinical studies as Topic"[MeSH Terms] OR

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50

51

52

53 54

55

56 57

58

59

60

1 2 3

4 5

"clinical stud\*"[Title/Abstract] OR "random allocation"[MeSH Terms] OR random\*[Title/Abstract] OR "intervention group\*"[Title/Abstract] OR "control group\*"[Title/Abstract])

NOT (systematic review\*[Title] OR review\*[Title] OR meta\*[Title] OR protocol[Title])

### Filters

The following filters were applied: text availability (Full text), language (English, Spanish), age (Adolescent: 13-18 years, Adult: 19+ years).

to occurrence on the terms on the terms of the terms on the terms of terms