



BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<http://bmjopen.bmj.com>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email info.bmjopen@bmj.com

BMJ Open

Vagus Nerve Stimulation as a Novel Treatment for Systemic Lupus Erythematosus: Study protocol for a randomized, parallel group, sham-controlled investigator initiated clinical trial, the SLE-VNS Study.

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2022-064552
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	05-May-2022
Complete List of Authors:	Zinglarsen, Amanda; Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen Research Center for Autoimmune Connective Tissue Diseases (COPEACT); University of Copenhagen Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, Department of Clinical Medicine Drange, Ida Lynghøj; Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen Research Center for Autoimmune Connective Tissue Diseases (COPEACT) Aagaard Myhr, Katrine; Rigshospitalet, Department of Cardiology; University of Copenhagen Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, Department of Clinical Medicine Fuchs, Andreas; Rigshospitalet, Department of Cardiology Pfeiffer-Jensen, Mogens; Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen Center for Arthritis Research (COPECARE); University of Copenhagen Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, Department of Clinical Medicine Brock, Christina; Aalborg University Hospital, Mech-Sense; Aalborg University Faculty of Medicine, Clinical Institute Jacobsen, Søren ; Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen Research Center for Autoimmune Connective Tissue Diseases (COPEACT); University of Copenhagen Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, Department of Clinical Medicine
Keywords:	RHEUMATOLOGY, NEUROPHYSIOLOGY, IMMUNOLOGY

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

TITLE PAGE

Title

Vagus Nerve Stimulation as a Novel Treatment for Systemic Lupus Erythematosus: Study protocol for a randomized, parallel group, sham-controlled investigator initiated clinical trial, the SLE-VNS Study.

Author names and affiliations

Amanda Hempel Zinglensen^{a,b}, Ida Lynghøj Drange^a, Katrine Aagaard Myhr^{b,c}, Andreas Fuchs^c, Mogens Pfeiffer-Jensen^{b,d}, Christina Brock^{e,f}, Søren Jacobsen^{a,b}

^a: Copenhagen Research Center for Autoimmune Connective Tissue Diseases (COPEACT), Rigshospitalet, Juliane Maries Vej 10, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

^b: Department of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Blegdamsvej 3B, 2200 Copenhagen, Denmark

^c: Department of Cardiology, Rigshospitalet, Inge Lehmanns Vej 8, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

^d: Copenhagen Center for Arthritis Research (COPECARE), Rigshospitalet, Valdemar Hansens Vej 13-17, 2600 Glostrup, Denmark

^e: Mech-Sense, Aalborg University Hospital, Mølleparkvej 4, level 4, 9000 Aalborg, Denmark

^f: Clinical institute, Faculty of Medicine, Frederik Bajers Vej 7D, 9220 Aalborg, Denmark

Corresponding author

Amanda Hempel Zinglensen, amanda.hempel.zinglensen.01@regionh.dk

Word count: 3961

ABSTRACT

Introduction:

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is a chronic autoimmune disease. SLE is treated with immunosuppressants with suboptimal efficacy and high risk of serious side effects. SLE patients have increased risk of mortality, organ damage and debilitating treatment-resistant fatigue.

Autonomic nervous system dysfunction (AD) is present in approximately half of the patients and may promote autoimmunity by weakening the vagally mediated anti-inflammatory reflex. Recent studies suggest that transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation (tVNS) has few side effects and beneficial effects on fatigue, pain, disease activity and organ function. This study investigates whether adjuvant tVNS improves measures of fatigue (primary endpoint), AD, clinical disease activity, inflammation, pain, organ function and quality of life.

Hence, this study will contribute to the understanding of AD as a potentially important precursor of fatigue, disease activity, progression and complications in SLE, and how tVNS mechanistically may attenuate this. As adjuvant tVNS use may reduce the need for traditional immunosuppressive therapy, this trial may prompt a shift in the treatment of SLE and potentially other autoimmune disorders.

Methods and analysis:

Eighty-four SLE patients with fatigue and AD will be randomized 1:1 to active or sham-tVNS in this double-blinded parallel-group study. In Period 1 (1 week), participants will receive a 4-min tVNS 4 times daily and report on fatigue daily. After a 2-week pause, Period 2 (8 weeks) will entail tVNS twice daily and participants will report on fatigue, pain and disease activity weekly. Secondary endpoints will be assessed before and after each period and after one week in Period 2.

Ethics and dissemination:

The study is approved by the Danish Medical Research Ethical Committees (case no: 2120231) and results will be published in international peer-reviewed journals.

Trial registration number: NCT05315739.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This is one of the first studies investigating the effects of transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation (tVNS) in patients with autoimmune diseases using a randomized, double-blinded, sham-controlled design.
- Fatigue is reported as the most frequent, invalidating and burdensome disease manifestation of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), and thus chosen as a primary outcome.
- Compared to previous studies, we will include more and less selected patients, assess effects across the most relevant organ systems, conduct extensive baseline characterization and explore dose-response qualities of tVNS, and thus put tVNS into a clinical context.
- tVNS is performed by the patient at home, which limits verification of correct stimulation intensity, duration and anatomical location, but reflects real-life use.
- A cross-over design is stronger than a parallel study design, but the latter was chosen to ensure optimal blinding.

KEYWORDS

Rheumatology, neurophysiology, immunology

INTRODUCTION

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is a systemic chronic autoimmune disease with a heterogenous presentation that may lead to numerous organ manifestations, comorbidities and decreased quality of life.¹ The life expectancy of SLE patients in Denmark is reduced with 25 years compared with the background population,² and patients with comorbidities including nephritis, neuropsychiatric or cardiovascular diseases have the worst prognosis. For the uncomplicated patient, the 10-year cumulative pure medical costs are roughly 16,000 euro, but increase tenfold with organ damage.³ Fatigue occurs in more than 80%^{4,5} and is reported as the main barrier to maintaining employment in patients with SLE.⁶ Fatigue and musculoskeletal pain are reported as the subjectively most burdensome symptom for SLE patients.⁷ Consequently, SLE has marked impact on morbidity, mortality, healthcare costs and quality of life.

Immunosuppressants, the cornerstone of current care, can have multiple adverse effects, including diabetes, osteoporosis and opportunistic infections,^{8,9} and may have only limited effect on controlling disease activity,¹⁰ fatigue and other constitutional symptoms.⁷ Thus, alternative treatments that can attenuate autoimmune inflammation and treatment resistant symptoms with few adverse effects are in demand.

Recent studies suggest that stimulating the autonomic nervous system holds this potential.

Autonomic nervous system dysfunction (AD), occurs in a large proportion (54%) of Danish SLE patients and is characterized by impaired, especially, parasympathetic vagally mediated function.¹¹

AD further relates to a wide range of disease manifestations that are highly prevalent in SLE:

Fatigue,¹² impaired quality of life,¹¹ pain,¹³ inflammation,¹⁴ as well as impaired vascular,^{15,16} cardiac^{17,18} and renal functions.¹⁹ Increasing the parasympathetic vagus nerve activity by

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation (tVNS) may reverse such consequences of AD. tVNS has decreased fatigue induced in healthy humans²⁰ and in patients with inflammatory rheumatic diseases.^{21 22} Further, tVNS has improved pain tolerance in healthy humans²³ and reduced pain related to cluster headache and migraine.^{24 25} Additionally, vagus nerve stimulation has been shown to decrease inflammation in animals,^{26 27} healthy humans²⁸ and patients with systemic autoimmune diseases,^{21 29-32} which may be vagally mediated via the cholinergic anti-inflammatory reflex.³³ Cardiovascular organ dysfunction may be alleviated by tVNS, which can improve microcirculation³⁴ and reduce aortic stiffening³⁵ as well as improve cardiac function in rats³⁶ and human patients.³⁷ All together this suggest that tVNS may effectively reduce adverse manifestations of SLE.

In contrast to traditional immunosuppressive treatment, tVNS with intended device holds a good safety profile. To the best of our knowledge, no serious adverse events related to this tVNS device have been reported and the most common side effects typically resolve immediately after the stimulation and entail lip or facial drooping (11%), headache (8%), dizziness (3%) and application site discomfort (2.5%).^{24 38-41}

Based on the above we aim to conduct a comprehensive clinical trial with the hypothesis, that adjuvant treatment with tVNS in addition to standard care in SLE patients improves patient reported fatigue. Further, we will investigate how tVNS influences other important SLE disease outcomes that reflect the systemic and heterogenic nature of SLE, including AD, disease activity, pain tolerability, as well as renal and cardiovascular functions.

METHODS AND ANALYSES

Study design and overview

The SLE-VNS study is a 1:1 randomized, parallel group, sham-controlled investigator initiated clinical trial. The study is expected to run from May 2022 to ultimo 2024 including data analyses. First participant first visit is expected to take place in May 2022, and last participant last visit in June 2023. The study will be conducted at the Copenhagen Research Center for Autoimmune Connective Tissue Diseases (COPEACT), Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark. It is designed with a patient representative (SLE Europe) and in the framework of an ongoing study, investigating tVNS in diabetic patients with diabetic autonomic neuropathy.⁴² The study is composed of two work packages (WP; Figure 1).

Work package I:

In WP-I, the participants will self-administer either bilateral active or sham tVNS at the cervical part of the vagus nerve 4 times daily for 7 days. The participants will report on fatigue (primary outcome) daily in a subject diary, and secondary outcomes will be assessed at baseline (Day 0) and Day 7.

Work package II:

After two weeks without intervention, all participants will proceed with their allocation into WP-II. tVNS will be self-administered bilaterally 2 times daily for 8 weeks. In weekly online surveys, participants will report on fatigue, musculoskeletal pain and disease activity, as described below. Secondary outcomes will be assessed at baseline (Day 0, WP-II), Day 7 and Week 8.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

One week after cessation of the intervention in WP-II a follow-up safety visit with clinical blood samples and ECG will be conducted. Further, the participants will be asked whether they believe they received active or sham treatment.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

Study participants

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

Eighty-four patients with SLE, diagnosed according to the internationally accepted disease classification criteria,⁴³ with signs of fatigue and AD (see inclusion criteria, Table 1) will be included.

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

Recruitment and enrollment:

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

Potential participants will be identified at the COPEACT and receive oral and written information about the trial from information screens and leaflets or their regular physician. Screening and inclusion of candidates will be performed by a medical doctor. Eligible participants will have signed the informed consent after meeting all the inclusion criteria and none of the exclusion criteria listed in Table 1.

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

Participants may be discontinued from the study if they are considered non-compliant, withdraw their consent or experience unacceptable adverse events. The discontinued participants will be replaced by new eligible participants in the same treatment arm (active/sham) to ensure sufficient study power.

Table 1 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
Age ≥ 18	Significant cardiovascular disease, including congestive heart failure, known severe coronary artery disease, or recent myocardial infarction (within 5 years) as assessed by a physician
SLE diagnosis* with disease duration of ≥ 1 year	Blood pressure $< 100/60$ or $> 160/105$
Stable disease and medication the past 28 days as defined by: 1) No change of immunosuppressing therapy 2) Receiving maximally 10 mg prednisone daily	Clinically significant bradycardia or tachycardia
Signs of fatigue: FACIT-Fatigue questionnaire-score ≤ 40	History of abnormal baseline ECG, including prolonged QTc-interval, or arrhythmia
Signs of autonomic dysfunction: One or more of the following: 1) AD-score ≥ 1 † 2) Electrochemical resistance $< 50\mu S$ (hands) or $< 70\mu S$ (feet) ‡ 3) COMPASS-31 questionnaire-score > 12	Previous surgery on the vagus nerve or abnormal cervical anatomy
Ability to read and understand Danish	Implanted or portable electro-mechanical medical devices, e.g. pacemaker, defibrillator, cochlear implant and infusion pump
Willingness and ability to comply with the scheduled visits, treatment plan, laboratory tests and other trial procedures	Metallic device such as a stent, bone plate or bone screw implanted at or near the neck
Signed and dated informed consent document	Receiving active laser treatment for proliferative retinopathy
	Active cancer or cancer in remission
	History of brain tumor, aneurysm, bleed, head trauma, clinically significant syncope or seizures
	Any clinical abnormalities that, in the opinion of the investigator, may increase the risk associated with trial participation or may interfere with the interpretation of the trial results
	Ongoing lactation, pregnancy, intended pregnancy (for both females and males) during the trial
	Participation in other clinical trials less than three months prior to inclusion, unless such a participation is judged to have no influence on the recordings

Table notes:

Abbreviations: FACIT= Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy; ECG = electrocardiography; AD = autonomic dysfunction; COMPASS = Composite Autonomic Symptoms Score.

*as per the internationally accepted disease classification criteria.

†Measured by the Vagus™ device (elaborated under “Outcomes and experimental procedure”).

‡Measured by the SUDOSCAN device (elaborated under the sections “Outcomes and experimental procedure”).

Table 1 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Baseline characterization:

Participant characteristics will be recorded at WP-I baseline to assess group similarity and allow for stratified responder analyses. The general characteristics will include age, sex, race, height, weight, education, employment status, medication affecting autonomic function, and former cardiovascular and other diseases. SLE characteristics will include items from the disease classification criteria,⁴³ disease activity score,⁴⁴ damage index⁴⁵ and immunosuppressive medication. Finally, biochemical and immunological evaluations will be performed as part of the SLE characterization, including autoantibodies against dsDNA, SSA, SSB, U1RNP, Smith antigen, cardiolipin, beta-2-glycoprotein, lupus anticoagulant and direct agglutinin test unless documented within the previous year.

Intervention

The active tVNS device:

tVNS will be carried out with the handheld, battery-powered gammaCore Sapphire device (electroCore, Inc., NJ, USA) that sends electrical signals through the skin and soft tissue of the neck to activate the vagus nerve. The device is a class IIa medical device and is CE marked (CE 571753) for: (a) acute and/or prophylactic treatment of certain primary headaches (migraine, cluster headache and hemicrania continua) and medication overuse headache; (b) treatment or prevention of symptoms of reactive airway disease; (c) adjunctive therapy to reduce the symptoms of certain anxiety and depression conditions; (d) adjunctive therapy in the prevention of partial onset and generalized seizures associated with epilepsy; and (e) adjunctive therapy to reduce the symptoms of gastric motility disorders and irritable bowel syndrome.

Stimulation with the device is provided through two steel contact electrodes covered with conductive gel (Sigma gel, Parker Laboratories, New Jersey, USA). When activated, the device produces a proprietary low-voltage electrical signal comprising a 5-kHz sine wave burst lasting for

1
2
3
4 1 millisecond. Bursts are repeated once every 40 ms (25 Hz), generating a 24 V peak voltage and 60
5
6 mA peak output current. Upon activation, the electrical current is transmitted for 120 seconds. The
7
8 intensity of the stimulation is adjusted by the user in the range of 1–40 arbitrary units via the digital
9
10 user interface.
11
12
13
14

15 Sham-device:

16
17 Sham tVNS will be administered by a sham device identical to the active device in appearance and
18
19 application. The sham device can, however, not produce electrical stimulation upon activation but
20
21 provide a light “vibrational sound” to mimic the active treatment.
22
23
24
25

26 Instruction:

27
28 The participants will be thoroughly instructed in the use of the device by research personnel, who is
29
30 not otherwise involved in the study to minimize any risk of unblinding. Accordingly, the
31
32 participants will be instructed to refrain from sharing information about the sensation of the
33
34 treatment to the study personnel. A Danish user guide and a subject diary will be handed out along
35
36 with the device. The participants will be instructed to perform daily self-administered stimulations
37
38 during the two WPs. During the initial instruction session, the participants will be instructed to
39
40 position the device at the cervical course of the vagus nerve, anteriorly to the sternocleidomastoid
41
42 muscles and laterally to the carotid arteries. The correct placement will be marked with a permanent
43
44 marker on the skin and the participants will be encouraged to refresh the markings throughout the
45
46 trial and take a picture of the location. The participants will receive their first treatment during the
47
48 instruction session to ensure correct use.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Interventional stimulation:

During WP-I, participants will perform 4 stimulation doses daily (every 6 hours), and during WP-II, only 2 stimulation doses daily (every 12 hours). Each stimulation dose consists of bilateral tVNS: 120 seconds to each vagus nerve. The participants will be instructed to use the highest tolerable stimulation intensity and note the intensity and time of each stimulation in the subject diary.

The tVNS will be applied as an add-on treatment to the participant’s standard of care immunosuppressing medication. If clinically indicated, this medication can be changed during the trial, and these changes will be recorded.

Outcomes and experimental procedures

The outcomes and methods of assessment are summarized in Table 2 and described in detail below.

Table 2 Outcomes, methods and timepoints of assessment

Outcomes	Methods of assessment	Timepoint WP-I	Timepoint WP-II
Patient reported outcomes			
Fatigue (primary outcome)	FACIT-Fatigue questionnaire	Daily	Weekly
Autonomic symptoms	COMPASS-31 questionnaire	Baseline, Day 7	Baseline, Day 7, Week 8
SLE disease activity	The SLAQ and PtGA questionnaires	Baseline, Day 7	Weekly
Pain	Subjective pain on visual analog scale	Baseline, Day 7	Weekly
Quality of life	SF-12 questionnaire	Baseline, Day 7	Baseline, Day 7, Week 8
Autonomic nervous system function			
Resting autonomic function	5-min resting HRV and cardiac vagal tone 5-min resting blood pressure and heart rate Stimulation of sweat glands	Baseline, Day 7	Baseline, Day 7, Week 8

Cardiovascular autonomic reflex function	Four cardiovascular reflex tests and response in changes to heart rate and blood pressure	Baseline, Day 7	Baseline, Day 7, Week 8
Continuous autonomic function	Holter HRV monitoring	Continuously during WP-I	Continuously first week of WP-II
SLE disease activity indices			
SLE disease activity	SLEDAI-2K, SRI-50, SLE-DAS, PGA, DAS-28 clinical disease evaluations	Baseline, Day 7	Baseline, Day 7, Week 8
Treatment (tertiary outcome)	Medication history	Retrospective change from inclusion to WP-I until three months after WP-II.	
Organ function			
Pain tolerability	Cold pressor test, conditioned pain modulation	Baseline, Day 7	Baseline, Day 7, Week 8
Cardiac function	Echocardiography	Baseline, Day 7	Baseline, Day 7, Week 8
Vascular function	Capillaroscopy and arterial stiffness	Baseline, Day 7	Baseline, Day 7, Week 8
Biochemical function			
SLE routine status	Routine assessment of hematological, serological, and urinary markers	Baseline, Day 7	Baseline, Day 7, Week 8
SLE inflammatory status	Multiplex plasma cytokines, whole blood expression analyses, flow cytometry, whole blood stimulation assays	Baseline, Day 7	Baseline, Day 7, Week 8
Renal function	eGFR and urine albumin- and protein /creatinine-ratio, spot-urine	Baseline, Day 7	Baseline, Day 7, Week 8
Metabolic control	Plasma lipid and glucose profiles	Baseline, Day 7	Baseline, Day 7, Week 8
Table notes:			
WP = Work Package; FACIT = Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy; COMPASS = Composite Autonomic Symptoms Score; SLE = systemic lupus erythematosus; SLAQ = Systemic Lupus Activity Questionnaire; PtGA = Patient Global Assessment; SF = Short Form; HRV = Heart rate variability, SLEDAI-2K = SLE Disease Activity Index 2000; SRI = SLEDAI Responder Index; SLE-DAS = SLE Disease Activity Score; PGA = Physician Global Assessment; DAS-28 = Disease Activity Score; eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate.			

Table 2 Outcomes, methods and timepoints of assessment

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Primary outcome:

The Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy Fatigue (FACIT-F) scale is a validated 13-item questionnaire that assesses patient-perceived fatigue and its impact upon daily activities and function over the past 7 days.⁴⁶ It has been used in numerous clinical SLE trials, has superior internal consistency and higher sensitivity compared to other fatigue measures^{47 48} and is, thus, included as the primary outcome measure of fatigue.

Other patient-reported outcomes:

The Composite Autonomic Symptoms Score (COMPASS)-31 questionnaire will be applied to provide a quantitative measure of the participants self-reported AD symptoms.⁴⁹ The participants self-reported SLE disease activity will be evaluated with the Systemic Lupus Activity Questionnaire (SLAQ)⁵⁰ and the Patient Global Assessment (PtGA).⁵¹ Further, the participants will assess the average musculoskeletal pain on an 11-point visual analog scale.⁵² Quality of life will be evaluated with the validated 12-item short form (SF-12) questionnaire, derived from the original SF-36,⁵³ and a physical and mental component score of patient-reported health-related quality of life will be calculated.

Autonomic nervous system function:

The visit-based tests of autonomic nervous system function will be undertaken in the morning in a quiet room according to recommended protocol⁵⁴ where smoking, food and caffeine intake are restricted prior to testing.

Resting autonomic function will be assessed in four ways: 1) a 5-minute resting heart rate variability (HRV) will be measured with the handheld ECG Vagus™-device (Medicus Engineering,

Aarhus, Denmark)⁵⁵; (2) 5-minute resting cardiac vagal tone will be measured with the non-invasive ECG eMotion Faros-device (Mega ElectronicsLtd, Kuopio, Finland)⁵⁶; 3) after the 5-minute rest, blood pressure and heart rate will be measured with standard equipment; and 4) stimulated sweat secretion will be measured as the electrochemical reaction mediated by chloride ions after stimulation of sweat glands in hands and feet with the non-invasive SUDOSCAN-device (Impeto Medical, California, San Diego, USA).⁵⁷

Cardiovascular autonomic reflexes will be assessed in 2 ways: 1) by 3 consecutive heart rate-based cardiovascular reflex tests with the VagusTM-device, in which the ratio of the maximal and minimal beat-to-beat intervals in relation to standing, deep breathing and the Valsalva maneuver are compared with age-dependent cut-off levels to assess the degree of AD: no, early (1 abnormal) and manifest (>1 abnormal test) dysfunction;⁵⁸ and 2) by assessment of orthostatic blood pressure changes with the participant standing for 5 minutes after supine rest and blood pressure measurements each minute.

Continuous autonomic function will be assessed with a small patch sensor Holter device (ePatch®, BioTelemetry Technology ApS, Hørsholm, DK) that records a 3-lead ECG for 7 consecutive days.⁵⁹ Participants will press a button on the device just prior to the tVNS, leaving a location mark in the data set that allows for HRV analyses in relation to the tVNS. Time and frequency domain HRV parameters will be calculated based on the ePatch- and VagusTM-measurements.⁶⁰

SLE disease activity:

Disease activity will be evaluated by clinical and laboratory examination according to three different activity scores (SLEDAI-2K: *SLE Disease Activity Index-2000*, SRI-50: *SLEDAI Responder Index-*

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

50% and SLE-DAS: *SLE-Disease Activity Score*). The SLEDAI-2K⁴⁴ is most commonly used for activity assessment, whereas SRI-50 accounts for clinically significant improvements between visits,⁶¹ and SLE-DAS is suggested to have improved sensitivity to change and specificity compared with the SLEDAI-2K.⁶² Furthermore, the physician’s judgement of overall disease activity will be scored in the *Physician Global Assessment* (PGA)⁶³ by answering “How do you rate your patient’s current disease activity?” with mild=1 to 3=most active disease imaginable. The physician-assessed number of painful and swollen joints according to the Disease Activity Score-28⁶⁴ will be evaluated. Finally, based on the medication history, any changes to the patient’s regular SLE medication will be noted throughout and until 3 months after the study.

Pain tolerability:

The tolerance to sensory pain stimuli will be assessed with bone and muscle pressure with a handheld pressure algometer (Type 2, Somedic Production AB, Sweden) and a circulating ice-chilled water (2°C) bath. At first, the algometer will apply pressure (30kPA/s) to the tibia and quadriceps muscle. Thereafter, the hand will be immersed into the water for 120 seconds or until the pain becomes intolerable. Pain intensity will be rated regularly by the visual analogue scale during the immersion. Immediately after the immersion, the quadriceps muscle pressure will be reapplied, which allows for quantification of the conditioned pain modulation capacity.⁶⁵

Organ function:

A transthoracic echocardiographic ultrasound examination (LOGIQ S8, GE Electronic) will be performed in order to assess cardiac geometry, ventricular mass, diastolic and systolic function.⁶⁶ Arterial stiffness will be assessed with pulse wave velocity measured by ECG traced pulse-wave doppler ultrasound at the carotid and external iliac artery.⁶⁷ Further, microvascular morphology will

be assessed by in-vivo nailfold video capillaroscopy with the Dino-Lite digital microscope (Vodskov, Denmark), revealing both the architecture of capillary rows and fine details of each vessel.⁶⁸ To characterize renal function, urine and blood samples will be analyzed for eGFR and urinary protein-creatinine ratio.

Biochemical and immunological function:

Routine: SLE biochemical status based on plasma and serum routine analyses will be performed to assess changes relevant to disease activity and other disease properties.

Experimental: To assess immunological function, the following will be measured: a) plasma cytokines reflecting inflammatory activation and inhibition, b) interferon-regulated gene expression (nCounter platform, NanoString Technologies, Seattle, WA), c) immune cell population distribution in whole blood (fluorescence-activated cell sorting), and d) functional immune cell stimulation (TruCulture®). To characterize the effects on metabolic control, plasma lipid and glucose profiles will be performed.

Randomization and blinding

Included participants will be provided with a unique randomization ID number. The collaborative site at Aalborg University Hospital will be responsible for the block-randomization (8 participants) with www.randomization.com. The randomization list will be kept at Aalborg Hospital, and only sealed envelopes containing the treatment allocation for each participant will be kept at a secure location at the COPEACT for individual unblinding in case of medical emergencies. Hence, all personnel involved in the study and participants will be blinded to the randomization. Following the last participant's last visit, a blinded data set divided into treatment "A" and "B" will be prepared for all outcomes to allow for blinded data analyses.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Adverse events

The participants will be instructed to report on adverse events at every visit and to contact the research personnel during WP-I and -II if adverse events arise. All adverse events will be recorded in the case report form (CRF). A physician investigator will assess all adverse events for causality with tVNS. Study personnel must immediately report any serious adverse event or serious adverse device effect to the primary investigator. All device effects will be reported to the manufacturer yearly and any serious adverse events within 7 days. Additionally, all adverse events and -effects will be reported to the Danish medical research ethical authority after the study end. Based on occurrence of serious adverse events, the primary investigator will be able to terminate the study. The participants will be covered by the regular patient insurance during their participation in the trial.

Data collection and data management

Data will be collected by experienced research personnel trained in good clinical practice (GCP) and entered to electronic CRFs using RedCAP Electronic Data Capture Tool pertaining to the given approval by the Danish Data Protection Agency (P-2022-114). Data from physical questionnaires and participant diaries will be entered manually to the electronic CRF by two different researchers to limit errors. Digital source data from e.g. image-based or autonomic outcomes will be saved on a secure drive with the participant identification number and analyzed blinded after trial end. Blood and urine samples will be labelled and stored in a secure research biobank for analyzation after trial end and stored for a maximum of 10 years. All other experimental data will be entered directly into the CRFs. Digitalized data will be backed up and stored for 5 years under the responsibility of the principal investigator, whereas physical CRFs with source material will be kept at a secure location for 5 years.

Data analysis

The primary outcome will be analyzed by intention-to-treat approach, meaning that all randomized participants will be included in their initially assigned study arm regardless of adherence to study protocol. Changes in the primary outcome measure will be compared between the two groups by Student's t-test. Secondary endpoints will be analyzed by per-protocol approach by general linear modeling of repeated measures and application of relevant post-hoc analyses or Fisher's exact test as appropriate. The potential effect of differences in baseline values and possible unblinding will be investigated by appropriate adjustments in general linear models or stratified analyses.

For all analyses, $P \leq 0.05$ will be considered statistically significant. The applied statistical program will be SPSS statistics (version 25, IBM Corporation).

Sample size calculation

This study is powered to detect a minimal clinically important difference of 5.9 points on the FACIT-Fatigue scale⁶⁹ between the active and sham tVNS treated groups after 1 (WP-I) or 8 weeks (WP-II) weeks of stimulation. Based on a mean $\pm$ SD baseline score of 20 ± 8.0 ,⁷⁰ 29 participants per group are required with the use of the intended significance level to provide a statistical power of 80%. With allowance of a 30% dropout rate, we aim to include 42 participants in each arm.

Monitoring

Internal monitoring will be conducted weekly to ensure that the protocol, national regulations and GCP standards are followed. The monitor will review source documents and medical records to confirm CRF-recorded data and will monitor all signed informed consent documents and adverse events logs. Quality assurance audits by relevant regulatory authorities may be performed.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Patient and public involvement

The study outcomes were discussed and chosen in collaboration with a SLE patient representative. Instead of choosing an objective measure as primary outcome, we chose patient reported fatigue as the primary objective of the study, as it is highly prevalent and burdensome in SLE and an objective measure may not correlate with patient evaluation and satisfaction with the treatment. After study completion, the participants will be informed on their study allocation (active/sham), and study results will be disseminated to relevant patient associations. No public involvement was included in the design phase of the study.

Ethics and dissemination

The study protocol has been approved by the Danish Medical Research Ethical Committees (case no: 2120231). The study will be performed in accordance with this published protocol and the registration at ClinicalTrials.gov, the principles of GCP (DS/EN ISO 14155:2020), the guidelines of the revised Helsinki declaration and applicable local regulatory requirements and laws. All publication rights belong to the principal investigator. Positive as well as negative and inconclusive trial results will be published in international peer-reviewed journals. A primary author will be subscribed according to the Vancouver system.

DISCUSSION

This study was designed to provide novel substantial evidence on the effect of tVNS on fatigue in SLE. The design further allows for a detailed and comprehensive description of effects on other disease manifestations relevant to SLE patients.

1
2
3
4 In other patient populations, tVNS has ameliorated manifestations frequently observed in SLE.
5
6 Unfortunately, only few of the studies have been systematically controlled, and until recently, the
7
8 implications of tVNS treatment of SLE patients remained undescribed. Interestingly, a recent
9
10 randomized, double-blinded, sham-controlled pilot study of 18 SLE patients showed attenuating
11
12 effects on pain, fatigue and number of swollen joints following four days of 5-min auricular
13
14 tVNS.⁷⁰ However, the study only included few and highly selected participants with high levels of
15
16 musculoskeletal pain and disease activity and followed the participants for 12 days. Further, the
17
18 study did not find effects on other markers of inflammation and disease activity. We speculate that
19
20 power and follow-up length may influence these results. Hence, we aim to complete a
21
22 comprehensive study that could account for this.
23
24
25

26
27 The current study holds the overall strength that it aims to put tVNS into a clinical context. This
28
29 will be done by a) including participants that represent the majority of SLE patients, as fatigue and
30
31 AD are common in SLE; b) conducting extensive baseline characterization that will enable
32
33 identification of markers related to possible tVNS responders; and c) providing extended follow-up
34
35 and assessment of dose-response qualities of tVNS, which should give insights to dynamic of tVNS
36
37 effects. All together, these factors could help facilitate clinical implementation if tVNS is found
38
39 effective. Supplementary to the primary outcome, this study will also investigate the effects of
40
41 tVNS across the most relevant organ systems implicated in SLE. This will give insights to the
42
43 prospect of using tVNS as an alternative to the current standard treatment with
44
45 immunosuppressants. Further, this may enable a better understanding of the diverse clinical picture
46
47 presented by SLE patients and the pathophysiological mechanisms of fatigue, AD and inflammatory
48
49 activity, which hitherto is poorly described.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

The study does hold some limitations. We will not be able to verify whether each active stimulation is performed correctly, as the treatment will be self-administered at home. Therefore, participants will undergo a thorough introduction and perform the first stimulation under supervision, including emphasis on the correct device position by marking it on their skin, and every stimulation will be logged in diaries. Also, there is a risk of some participants guessing if they receive sham treatment based on missing signs of muscle and skin nerve activation. To quantify the latter, subjects will be asked about this after completion of the study. The chosen sham-method was, however, judged the best possible comparator. To optimize the blinding and overall study quality, the treatment will be tested in a parallel-group design, the tVNS participant instruction will be performed by a person not otherwise engaged in the study in a similar manner regardless of allocated treatment-arm, and participants will be instructed to refrain from sharing information about the sensation of the treatment to study personnel. As for randomization method, the time for the effects of tVNS to fade should be considered but has not previously been investigated. In the SLE pilot study, the effects of tVNS on fatigue and pain remained 7 days after the intervention.⁷⁰ Therefore, randomizing the order of the WPs could be advantageous. However, as the study is conducted in the framework of another study to allow for comparison with effects of tVNS in diabetic patients, we chose the current study design.

With this study we aim to provide novel clinical evidence about the effects of tVNS on fatigue and other important clinical and paraclinical manifestations of SLE. This study may contribute to the introduction of a safe and effective treatment of SLE as an alternative or supplement to the current standard of care immunosuppression. Such treatments would constitute a paradigmatic shift in the care of patients with SLE and other chronic inflammatory diseases.

DATA STATEMENT

Within the limitations of the national regulations on data sharing and after the publication of trial results, the data generated can be provided in anonymized form upon reasonable request from researchers who provide a methodological sound proposal.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Amanda Hempel Zinglersen: Conceptualization, methodology, validation, formal analysis, investigation, writing – original draft, writing – review & editing, visualization, supervision, project administration, funding acquisition. *Ida Lynghøj Drange*: Investigation, writing – original draft, writing – review & editing, visualization. *Katrine Aagaard Myhr*: Methodology, writing – review & editing, project administration. *Andreas Fuchs*: Methodology, writing – review & editing, supervision, project administration, funding acquisition. *Mogens Pfeiffer-Jensen*: Conceptualization, methodology, validation, resources, writing – review & editing, supervision, funding acquisition. *Christina Brock*: Conceptualization, methodology, validation, resources, writing – review & editing, supervision, project administration, funding acquisition. *Søren Jacobsen*: Conceptualization, methodology, formal analysis, resources, writing – review & editing, visualization, supervision, project administration, funding acquisition.

FUNDING

This work is supported by the *Danish Rheumatism Association* (Gigtforeningen) [R198-A7026, R209-A7483]; the *Per Henriksen* Foundation. Applications for further covering of running expenses and analyses with various other foundations will be submitted ongoingly. The funding sources have not had and will not have any involvement in study design, collection, analyses and

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

interpretation of data, writing of the report or decision to submit the subsequent article(s) for publication.

DECLARATION OF COMPETING INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We express our gratitude towards Jullie Rudnicki, Anne-Marie Wangenberg and Kasper Yde Jensen for aiding project logistics and methodologic sparing during the design phase of the trial.

REFERENCES

1. Kaul A, Gordon C, Crow MK, et al. Systemic lupus erythematosus. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* 2016;2:16039. doi: 10.1038/nrdp.2016.39 [published Online First: 2016/06/17]

2. Jacobsen S, Petersen J, Ullman S, et al. Mortality and causes of death of 513 Danish patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Scandinavian journal of rheumatology* 1999;28(2):75-80. doi: 10.1080/030097499442522 [published Online First: 1999/05/06]

3. Barber MRW, Hanly JG, Su L, et al. Economic Evaluation of Damage Accrual in an International Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Inception Cohort Using a Multistate Model Approach. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2020;72(12):1800-08. doi: 10.1002/acr.24092 [published Online First: 2019/10/15]

4. Tench CM, McCurdie I, White PD, et al. The prevalence and associations of fatigue in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Rheumatology (Oxford, England)* 2000;39(11):1249-54. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/39.11.1249 [published Online First: 2000/11/21]

5. Zonana-Nacach A, Roseman JM, McGwin G, Jr., et al. Systemic lupus erythematosus in three ethnic groups. VI: Factors associated with fatigue within 5 years of criteria diagnosis. LUMINA Study Group. LUpus in MInority populations: NAture vs Nurture. *Lupus* 2000;9(2):101-9. doi: 10.1191/096120300678828046 [published Online First: 2000/04/29]

6. Booth S, Price E, Walker E. Fluctuation, invisibility, fatigue - the barriers to maintaining employment with systemic lupus erythematosus: results of an online survey. *Lupus* 2018;27(14):2284-91. doi: 10.1177/0961203318808593 [published Online First: 2018/11/20]

7. Petrocchi V, Visintini E, De Marchi G, et al. Patient experiences of systemic lupus erythematosus: Findings from a systematic review, meta-summary and meta-synthesis.

- Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2021 doi: 10.1002/acr.24639 [published Online First: 2021/06/17]
8. Oglesby A, Shaul AJ, Pokora T, et al. Adverse event burden, resource use, and costs associated with immunosuppressant medications for the treatment of systemic lupus erythematosus: a systematic literature review. *Int J Rheumatol* 2013;2013:347520. doi: 10.1155/2013/347520 [published Online First: 2013/06/14]
 9. Al Sawah S, Zhang X, Zhu B, et al. Effect of corticosteroid use by dose on the risk of developing organ damage over time in systemic lupus erythematosus-the Hopkins Lupus Cohort. *Lupus Sci Med* 2015;2(1):e000066. doi: 10.1136/lupus-2014-000066 [published Online First: 2015/04/11]
 10. Golder V, Tsang ASMWP. Treatment targets in SLE: remission and low disease activity state. *Rheumatology (Oxford, England)* 2020;59(Suppl5):v19-v28. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/keaa420 [published Online First: 2020/12/07]
 11. Zinglersen AH, Iversen KK, Leffers HCB, et al. Characteristics of cardiovascular autonomic dysfunction and association with quality of life in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Lupus Sci Med* 2021;8(1) doi: 10.1136/lupus-2021-000507 [published Online First: 2021/07/25]
 12. Sander C, Hildebrandt H, Schlake HP, et al. Subjective Cognitive Fatigue and Autonomic Abnormalities in Multiple Sclerosis Patients. *Front Neurol* 2017;8:475. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2017.00475 [published Online First: 2017/09/29]
 13. Nahman-Averbuch H, Granovsky Y, Sprecher E, et al. Associations between autonomic dysfunction and pain in chemotherapy-induced polyneuropathy. *Eur J Pain* 2014;18(1):47-55. doi: 10.1002/j.1532-2149.2013.00349.x [published Online First: 2013/06/19]
 14. Provan SA, Olstad DS, Solberg EE, et al. Evidence of reduced parasympathetic autonomic regulation in inflammatory joint disease: A meta-analyses study. *Seminars in arthritis and rheumatism* 2018;48(1):134-40. doi: 10.1016/j.semarthrit.2017.11.010 [published Online First: 2018/01/03]
 15. Di Franco M, Paradiso M, Ricciari V, et al. Autonomic dysfunction and microvascular damage in systemic sclerosis. *Clin Rheumatol* 2007;26(8):1278-83. doi: 10.1007/s10067-006-0492-y [published Online First: 2007/01/20]
 16. Kim JS, Lee SH, Oh YS, et al. Arterial Stiffness and Cardiovascular Autonomic Dysfunction in Patients with Parkinson's Disease. *Neurodegener Dis* 2017;17(2-3):89-96. doi: 10.1159/000450613 [published Online First: 2016/10/27]
 17. Spallone V. Update on the Impact, Diagnosis and Management of Cardiovascular Autonomic Neuropathy in Diabetes: What Is Defined, What Is New, and What Is Unmet. *Diabetes & metabolism journal* 2019;43(1):3-30. doi: 10.4093/dmj.2018.0259 [published Online First: 2019/02/23]
 18. Jin J, Wang W, Zhu L, et al. Cardiovascular Autonomic Neuropathy Is an Independent Risk Factor for Left Ventricular Diastolic Dysfunction in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. *Biomed Res Int* 2017;2017:3270617. doi: 10.1155/2017/3270617 [published Online First: 2017/06/24]
 19. Weinrauch LA, Kennedy FP, Gleason RE, et al. Relationship between autonomic function and progression of renal disease in diabetic proteinuria: clinical correlations and implications for blood pressure control. *American journal of hypertension* 1998;11(3 Pt 1):302-8. doi: 10.1016/s0895-7061(97)00472-x [published Online First: 1998/04/17]
 20. McIntire LK, McKinley RA, Goodyear C, et al. Cervical transcutaneous vagal nerve stimulation (ctVNS) improves human cognitive performance under sleep deprivation stress. *Commun Biol* 2021;4(1):634. doi: 10.1038/s42003-021-02145-7 [published Online First: 2021/06/12]

21. Tarn J, Legg S, Mitchell S, et al. The Effects of Noninvasive Vagus Nerve Stimulation on Fatigue and Immune Responses in Patients With Primary Sjogren's Syndrome. *Neuromodulation* 2019;22(5):580-85. doi: 10.1111/ner.12879 [published Online First: 2018/10/18]
22. Courties A, Deprouw C, Maheu E, et al. Transcutaneous auricular stimulation of the vagus nerve for erosive hand osteoarthritis an open label pilot study. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage* 2020;28:S360. doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2020.02.562
23. Frokjaer JB, Bergmann S, Brock C, et al. Modulation of vagal tone enhances gastroduodenal motility and reduces somatic pain sensitivity. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2016;28(4):592-8. doi: 10.1111/nmo.12760 [published Online First: 2016/01/06]
24. Gaul C, Diener HC, Silver N, et al. Non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation for PREvention and Acute treatment of chronic cluster headache (PREVA): A randomised controlled study. *Cephalalgia* 2016;36(6):534-46. doi: 10.1177/0333102415607070 [published Online First: 2015/09/24]
25. Puledra F, Goadsby PJ. An Update on Non-Pharmacological Neuromodulation for the Acute and Preventive Treatment of Migraine. *Headache* 2017;57(4):685-91. doi: 10.1111/head.13069 [published Online First: 2017/03/16]
26. Zhang Y, Popovic ZB, Bibevski S, et al. Chronic vagus nerve stimulation improves autonomic control and attenuates systemic inflammation and heart failure progression in a canine high-rate pacing model. *Circ Heart Fail* 2009;2(6):692-9. doi: 10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.109.873968 [published Online First: 2009/11/19]
27. Meregnani J, Clarencon D, Vivier M, et al. Anti-inflammatory effect of vagus nerve stimulation in a rat model of inflammatory bowel disease. *Autonomic neuroscience : basic & clinical* 2011;160(1-2):82-9. doi: 10.1016/j.autneu.2010.10.007 [published Online First: 2010/11/13]
28. Brock C, Brock B, Aziz Q, et al. Transcutaneous cervical vagal nerve stimulation modulates cardiac vagal tone and tumor necrosis factor-alpha. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2017;29(5):e12999. doi: 10.1111/nmo.12999 [published Online First: 2016/12/14]
29. Rasmussen SE, Pfeiffer-Jensen M, Drewes AM, et al. Vagal influences in rheumatoid arthritis. *Scandinavian journal of rheumatology* 2018;47(1):1-11. doi: 10.1080/03009742.2017.1314001 [published Online First: 2017/08/03]
30. Koopman FA, Chavan SS, Miljko S, et al. Vagus nerve stimulation inhibits cytokine production and attenuates disease severity in rheumatoid arthritis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2016;113(29):8284-9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1605635113 [published Online First: 2016/07/07]
31. Brock C, Rasmussen SE, Drewes AM, et al. Vagal Nerve Stimulation-Modulation of the Anti-Inflammatory Response and Clinical Outcome in Psoriatic Arthritis or Ankylosing Spondylitis. *Mediators Inflamm* 2021;2021:9933532. doi: 10.1155/2021/9933532 [published Online First: 2021/06/18]
32. Drewes AM, Brock C, Rasmussen SE, et al. Short-term transcutaneous non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation may reduce disease activity and pro-inflammatory cytokines in rheumatoid arthritis: results of a pilot study. *Scandinavian journal of rheumatology* 2021;50(1):20-27. doi: 10.1080/03009742.2020.1764617 [published Online First: 2020/10/14]
33. Bonaz B, Sinniger V, Pellissier S. Anti-inflammatory properties of the vagus nerve: potential therapeutic implications of vagus nerve stimulation. *J Physiol* 2016;594(20):5781-90. doi: 10.1113/JP271539 [published Online First: 2016/04/10]
34. Dasari TW, Gabor F, Csipo T, et al. Non-invasive Neuromodulation of Vagus Activity Improves Endothelial Function in Patients with Heart Failure with Reduced Ejection

- Fraction: A Randomized Study. *Journal of Cardiac Failure* 2018;24(8):S59-S60. doi: 10.1016/j.cardfail.2018.07.266
35. Chapleau MW, Rotella DL, Reho JJ, et al. Chronic vagal nerve stimulation prevents high-salt diet-induced endothelial dysfunction and aortic stiffening in stroke-prone spontaneously hypertensive rats. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 2016;311(1):H276-85. doi: 10.1152/ajpheart.00043.2016 [published Online First: 2016/05/22]
 36. Zhou L, Filiberti A, Humphrey MB, et al. Low-level transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation attenuates cardiac remodelling in a rat model of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Exp Physiol* 2019;104(1):28-38. doi: 10.1113/EP087351 [published Online First: 2018/11/07]
 37. Stavrakis S, Elkholey K, Morris L, et al. Neuromodulation of Inflammation to Treat Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction: A Pilot Randomized Clinical Trial. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2022;11(3):e023582. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.121.023582 [published Online First: 2022/01/14]
 38. Silberstein SD, Mechtler LL, Kudrow DB, et al. Non-Invasive Vagus Nerve Stimulation for the ACute Treatment of Cluster Headache: Findings From the Randomized, Double-Blind, Sham-Controlled ACT1 Study. *Headache* 2016;56(8):1317-32. doi: 10.1111/head.12896 [published Online First: 2016/09/07]
 39. Goadsby PJ, de Coe IF, Silver N, et al. Non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation for the acute treatment of episodic and chronic cluster headache: A randomized, double-blind, sham-controlled ACT2 study. *Cephalalgia* 2018;38(5):959-69. doi: 10.1177/0333102417744362 [published Online First: 2017/12/13]
 40. Grazi L, Tassorelli C, de Tommaso M, et al. Practical and clinical utility of non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation (nVNS) for the acute treatment of migraine: a post hoc analysis of the randomized, sham-controlled, double-blind PRESTO trial. *J Headache Pain* 2018;19(1):98. doi: 10.1186/s10194-018-0928-1 [published Online First: 2018/10/21]
 41. Diener HC, Goadsby PJ, Ashina M, et al. Non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation (nVNS) for the preventive treatment of episodic migraine: The multicentre, double-blind, randomised, sham-controlled PREMIUM trial. *Cephalalgia* 2019;39(12):1475-87. doi: 10.1177/0333102419876920 [published Online First: 2019/09/17]
 42. Okdahl T, Bertoli D, Brock B, et al. Study protocol for a multicentre, randomised, parallel group, sham-controlled clinical trial investigating the effect of transcutaneous vagal nerve stimulation on gastrointestinal symptoms in people with diabetes complicated with diabetic autonomic neuropathy: the DAN-VNS Study. *BMJ Open* 2021;11(1):e038677. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-038677 [published Online First: 2021/01/08]
 43. Aringer M, Costenbader K, Daikh D, et al. 2019 European League Against Rheumatism/American College of Rheumatology classification criteria for systemic lupus erythematosus. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2019;78(9):1151-59. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2018-214819 [published Online First: 2019/08/07]
 44. Gladman DD, Ibanez D, Urowitz MB. Systemic lupus erythematosus disease activity index 2000. *The Journal of rheumatology* 2002;29(2):288-91. [published Online First: 2002/02/13]
 45. Gladman D, Ginzler E, Goldsmith C, et al. The development and initial validation of the Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics/American College of Rheumatology damage index for systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis and rheumatism* 1996;39(3):363-9. doi: 10.1002/art.1780390303 [published Online First: 1996/03/01]
 46. Raymond K, Park J, Joshi AV, et al. Patient Experience With Fatigue and Qualitative Interview-Based Evidence of Content Validation of The FACIT-Fatigue in Systemic Lupus

- Erythematosus. *Rheumatol Ther* 2021;8(1):541-54. doi: 10.1007/s40744-021-00292-1 [published Online First: 2021/03/10]
47. Barbacki A, Petri M, Avina-Zubieta A, et al. Fatigue Measurements in Systemic Lupus Erythematosus. *The Journal of rheumatology* 2019;46(11):1470-77. doi: 10.3899/jrheum.180831 [published Online First: 2019/02/03]
 48. Petri MA, Martin RS, Scheinberg MA, et al. Assessments of fatigue and disease activity in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus enrolled in the Phase 2 clinical trial with blisibimod. *Lupus* 2017;26(1):27-37. doi: 10.1177/0961203316654767 [published Online First: 2016/06/30]
 49. Sletten DM, Suarez GA, Low PA, et al. COMPASS 31: a refined and abbreviated Composite Autonomic Symptom Score. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2012;87(12):1196-201. doi: 10.1016/j.mayocp.2012.10.013 [published Online First: 2012/12/12]
 50. Karlson EW, Daltroy LH, Rivest C, et al. Validation of a Systemic Lupus Activity Questionnaire (SLAQ) for population studies. *Lupus* 2003;12(4):280-6. doi: 10.1191/0961203303lu332oa [published Online First: 2003/05/06]
 51. Ward MM, Marx AS, Barry NN. Comparison of the validity and sensitivity to change of 5 activity indices in systemic lupus erythematosus. *The Journal of rheumatology* 2000;27(3):664-70. [published Online First: 2000/04/01]
 52. Safikhani S, Gries KS, Trudeau JJ, et al. Response scale selection in adult pain measures: results from a literature review. *J Patient Rep Outcomes* 2017;2(1):40. doi: 10.1186/s41687-018-0053-6 [published Online First: 2018/09/22]
 53. Ware J, Jr., Kosinski M, Keller SD. A 12-Item Short-Form Health Survey: construction of scales and preliminary tests of reliability and validity. *Medical care* 1996;34(3):220-33. doi: 10.1097/00005650-199603000-00003 [published Online First: 1996/03/01]
 54. Spallone V, Bellavere F, Scionti L, et al. Recommendations for the use of cardiovascular tests in diagnosing diabetic autonomic neuropathy. *Nutrition, metabolism, and cardiovascular diseases : NMCD* 2011;21(1):69-78. doi: 10.1016/j.numecd.2010.07.005 [published Online First: 2011/01/21]
 55. Gulichsen E, Fleischer J, Ejksjaer N, et al. Screening for diabetic cardiac autonomic neuropathy using a new handheld device. *Journal of diabetes science and technology* 2012;6(4):965-72. doi: 10.1177/193229681200600430 [published Online First: 2012/08/28]
 56. Brock C, Jessen N, Brock B, et al. Cardiac vagal tone, a non-invasive measure of parasympathetic tone, is a clinically relevant tool in Type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Diabet Med* 2017;34(10):1428-34. doi: 10.1111/dme.13421 [published Online First: 2017/07/14]
 57. Casellini CM, Parson HK, Richardson MS, et al. Sudoscan, a noninvasive tool for detecting diabetic small fiber neuropathy and autonomic dysfunction. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2013;15(11):948-53. doi: 10.1089/dia.2013.0129 [published Online First: 2013/07/31]
 58. Spallone V, Ziegler D, Freeman R, et al. Cardiovascular autonomic neuropathy in diabetes: clinical impact, assessment, diagnosis, and management. *Diabetes/metabolism research and reviews* 2011;27(7):639-53. doi: 10.1002/dmrr.1239 [published Online First: 2011/06/23]
 59. Ackermans PA, Solosko TA, Spencer EC, et al. A user-friendly integrated monitor-adhesive patch for long-term ambulatory electrocardiogram monitoring. *J Electrocardiol* 2012;45(2):148-53. doi: 10.1016/j.jelectrocard.2011.10.007 [published Online First: 2011/12/14]
 60. Heart rate variability: standards of measurement, physiological interpretation and clinical use. Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and the North American Society of Pacing and Electrophysiology. *Circulation* 1996;93(5):1043-65. [published Online First: 1996/03/01]

61. Touma Z, Gladman DD, Ibanez D, et al. Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index 2000 Responder Index-50 enhances the ability of SLE Responder Index to identify responders in clinical trials. *The Journal of rheumatology* 2011;38(11):2395-9. doi: 10.3899/jrheum.110550 [published Online First: 2011/09/03]
62. Jesus D, Matos A, Henriques C, et al. Derivation and validation of the SLE Disease Activity Score (SLE-DAS): a new SLE continuous measure with high sensitivity for changes in disease activity. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2019;78(3):365-71. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2018-214502 [published Online First: 2019/01/11]
63. Petri M, Genovese M, Engle E, et al. Definition, incidence, and clinical description of flare in systemic lupus erythematosus. A prospective cohort study. *Arthritis and rheumatism* 1991;34(8):937-44. doi: 10.1002/art.1780340802 [published Online First: 1991/08/01]
64. Wells G, Becker JC, Teng J, et al. Validation of the 28-joint Disease Activity Score (DAS28) and European League Against Rheumatism response criteria based on C-reactive protein against disease progression in patients with rheumatoid arthritis, and comparison with the DAS28 based on erythrocyte sedimentation rate. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2009;68(6):954-60. doi: 10.1136/ard.2007.084459 [published Online First: 2008/05/21]
65. Arendt-Nielsen L, Andresen T, Malver LP, et al. A double-blind, placebo-controlled study on the effect of buprenorphine and fentanyl on descending pain modulation: a human experimental study. *Clin J Pain* 2012;28(7):623-7. doi: 10.1097/AJP.0b013e31823e15cb [published Online First: 2011/12/14]
66. Lang RM, Badano LP, Mor-Avi V, et al. Recommendations for cardiac chamber quantification by echocardiography in adults: an update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2015;16(3):233-70. doi: 10.1093/ehjci/jev014 [published Online First: 2015/02/26]
67. Jiang B, Liu B, McNeill KL, et al. Measurement of pulse wave velocity using pulse wave Doppler ultrasound: comparison with arterial tonometry. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2008;34(3):509-12. doi: 10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2007.09.008 [published Online First: 2007/11/23]
68. Chojnowski MM, Felis-Giemza A, Olesinska M. Capillaroscopy - a role in modern rheumatology. *Reumatologia* 2016;54(2):67-72. doi: 10.5114/reum.2016.60215 [published Online First: 2016/07/14]
69. Goligher EC, Pouchot J, Brant R, et al. Minimal clinically important difference for 7 measures of fatigue in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *The Journal of rheumatology* 2008;35(4):635-42. [published Online First: 2008/03/07]
70. Aranow C, Atish-Fregoso Y, Lesser M, et al. Transcutaneous auricular vagus nerve stimulation reduces pain and fatigue in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus: a randomised, double-blind, sham-controlled pilot trial. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2021;80(2):203-08. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-217872 [published Online First: 2020/11/05]

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1 Overview of the systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE)-vagus nerve stimulation (VNS) study

SECONDARY AIMS

PRIMARY AIM

Patient-reported
level of fatigue

- Patient-reported pain, disease activity and quality of life
- Autonomic nervous system function
- SLE disease activity and inflammation
- Pain tolerability
- Cardiovascular and kidney function

Work package I

Short-term high intensity (4 times daily)
transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation

Screening

Inclusion and
randomization (n= 84)

Active stimulation (n=42)

Sham stimulation (n=42)

Day 0

Day 7

Work package II

Long-term medium intensity (2 times daily)
transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation

Active stimulation (n=42)

Sham stimulation (n=42)

2-week pause

Day 0

Day 7

Week 8

Reporting checklist for protocol of a clinical trial.

Based on the SPIRIT guidelines.

Instructions to authors

Complete this checklist by entering the page numbers from your manuscript where readers will find each of the items listed below.

Your article may not currently address all the items on the checklist. Please modify your text to include the missing information. If you are certain that an item does not apply, please write "n/a" and provide a short explanation.

Upload your completed checklist as an extra file when you submit to a journal.

In your methods section, say that you used the SPIRIT reporting guidelines, and cite them as:

Chan A-W, Tetzlaff JM, Gøtzsche PC, Altman DG, Mann H, Berlin J, Dickersin K, Hróbjartsson A, Schulz KF, Parulekar WR, Krleža-Jerić K, Laupacis A, Moher D. SPIRIT 2013 Explanation and Elaboration: Guidance for protocols of clinical trials. BMJ. 2013;346:e7586

			Page
Reporting Item			Number
Administrative information			
Title	#1	Descriptive title identifying the study design, population, interventions, and, if applicable, trial acronym	1

1	Trial registration	#2a	Trial identifier and registry name. If not yet registered,	2
2			name of intended registry	
3				
4				
5				
6	Trial registration:	#2b	All items from the World Health Organization Trial	
7			Registration Data Set	
8	data set			
9				
10				
11				
12	Protocol version	#3	Date and version identifier	
13				
14				
15	Funding	#4	Sources and types of financial, material, and other	22
16			support	
17				
18				
19				
20	Roles and	#5a	Names, affiliations, and roles of protocol contributors	22
21				
22	responsibilities:			
23				
24	contributorship			
25				
26				
27				
28	Roles and	#5b	Name and contact information for the trial sponsor	NA
29				
30	responsibilities:			
31				
32	sponsor contact			
33				
34	information			
35				
36				
37				
38	Roles and	#5c	Role of study sponsor and funders, if any, in study	NA
39			design; collection, management, analysis, and	
40	responsibilities:		interpretation of data; writing of the report; and the	
41			decision to submit the report for publication, including	
42	sponsor and funder		whether they will have ultimate authority over any of	
43			these activities	
44				
45				
46				
47				
48				
49				
50				
51				
52	Roles and	#5d	Composition, roles, and responsibilities of the	NA
53			coordinating centre, steering committee, endpoint	
54	responsibilities:		adjudication committee, data management team, and	
55				
56	committees			
57				
58				
59				
60				

other individuals or groups overseeing the trial, if applicable (see Item 21a for data monitoring committee)

Introduction

Background and rationale	#6a	Description of research question and justification for undertaking the trial, including summary of relevant studies (published and unpublished) examining benefits and harms for each intervention	4
Background and rationale: choice of comparators	#6b	Explanation for choice of comparators	4, 13-16
Objectives	#7	Specific objectives or hypotheses	5
Trial design	#8	Description of trial design including type of trial (eg, parallel group, crossover, factorial, single group), allocation ratio, and framework (eg, superiority, equivalence, non-inferiority, exploratory)	6
Methods:			
Participants, interventions, and outcomes			
Study setting	#9	Description of study settings (eg, community clinic, academic hospital) and list of countries where data will be collected. Reference to where list of study sites can be obtained	6

Participant timeline	#13	Time schedule of enrolment, interventions (including any run-ins and washouts), assessments, and visits for participants. A schematic diagram is highly recommended (see Figure)	Figure 1
Sample size	#14	Estimated number of participants needed to achieve study objectives and how it was determined, including clinical and statistical assumptions supporting any sample size calculations	18
Recruitment	#15	Strategies for achieving adequate participant enrolment to reach target sample size	7
Methods:			
Assignment of interventions (for controlled trials)			
Allocation: sequence generation	#16a	Method of generating the allocation sequence (eg, computer-generated random numbers), and list of any factors for stratification. To reduce predictability of a random sequence, details of any planned restriction (eg, blocking) should be provided in a separate document that is unavailable to those who enrol participants or assign interventions	16
Allocation concealment mechanism	#16b	Mechanism of implementing the allocation sequence (eg, central telephone; sequentially numbered, opaque,	16

1		sealed envelopes), describing any steps to conceal the	
2			
3		sequence until interventions are assigned	
4			
5			
6	Allocation:	#16c Who will generate the allocation sequence, who will enrol	16
7			
8	implementation	participants, and who will assign participants to	
9			
10		interventions	
11			
12			
13	Blinding (masking)	#17a Who will be blinded after assignment to interventions (eg,	16
14			
15		trial participants, care providers, outcome assessors, data	
16			
17		analysts), and how	
18			
19			
20			
21	Blinding (masking):	#17b If blinded, circumstances under which unblinding is	16
22			
23	emergency	permissible, and procedure for revealing a participant's	
24			
25	unblinding	allocated intervention during the trial	
26			
27			
28			
29	Methods: Data		
30			
31	collection,		
32			
33	management, and		
34			
35	analysis		
36			
37			
38			
39	Data collection plan	#18a Plans for assessment and collection of outcome,	17
40			
41		baseline, and other trial data, including any related	
42			
43		processes to promote data quality (eg, duplicate	
44			
45		measurements, training of assessors) and a description	
46			
47		of study instruments (eg, questionnaires, laboratory tests)	
48			
49		along with their reliability and validity, if known. Reference	
50			
51		to where data collection forms can be found, if not in the	
52			
53			
54		protocol	
55			
56			
57			
58			
59			
60			

1	Data collection plan:	#18b	Plans to promote participant retention and complete	17
2				
3	retention		follow-up, including list of any outcome data to be	
4			collected for participants who discontinue or deviate from	
5			intervention protocols	
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11	Data management	#19	Plans for data entry, coding, security, and storage,	17
12			including any related processes to promote data quality	
13			(eg, double data entry; range checks for data values).	
14			Reference to where details of data management	
15			procedures can be found, if not in the protocol	
16				
17				
18	Statistics: outcomes	#20a	Statistical methods for analysing primary and secondary	18
19			outcomes. Reference to where other details of the	
20			statistical analysis plan can be found, if not in the protocol	
21				
22				
23	Statistics: additional	#20b	Methods for any additional analyses (eg, subgroup and	18
24	analyses		adjusted analyses)	
25				
26	Statistics: analysis	#20c	Definition of analysis population relating to protocol non-	18
27	population and		adherence (eg, as randomised analysis), and any	
28	missing data		statistical methods to handle missing data (eg, multiple	
29			imputation)	
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				
38				
39				
40				
41				
42				
43				
44				
45				
46	Methods: Monitoring			
47				
48				
49	Data monitoring:	#21a	Composition of data monitoring committee (DMC);	18
50	formal committee		summary of its role and reporting structure; statement of	
51			whether it is independent from the sponsor and	
52			competing interests; and reference to where further	
53				
54				
55				
56				
57				
58				
59				
60				

1		details about its charter can be found, if not in the	
2		protocol. Alternatively, an explanation of why a DMC is	
3		not needed	
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	Data monitoring:	#21b Description of any interim analyses and stopping	NA
9	interim analysis	guidelines, including who will have access to these	
10		interim results and make the final decision to terminate	
11		the trial	
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18	Harms	#22 Plans for collecting, assessing, reporting, and managing	17
19		solicited and spontaneously reported adverse events and	
20		other unintended effects of trial interventions or trial	
21		conduct	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	Auditing	#23 Frequency and procedures for auditing trial conduct, if	18
29		any, and whether the process will be independent from	
30		investigators and the sponsor	
31			
32			
33			
34			
35	Ethics and		
36	dissemination		
37			
38			
39			
40			
41	Research ethics	#24 Plans for seeking research ethics committee / institutional	19
42	approval	review board (REC / IRB) approval	
43			
44			
45			
46	Protocol	#25 Plans for communicating important protocol modifications	NA
47	amendments	(eg, changes to eligibility criteria, outcomes, analyses) to	
48		relevant parties (eg, investigators, REC / IRBs, trial	
49		participants, trial registries, journals, regulators)	
50			
51			
52			
53			
54			
55			
56			
57			
58			
59			
60			

Consent or assent	#26a	Who will obtain informed consent or assent from potential trial participants or authorised surrogates, and how (see Item 32)	7
Consent or assent: ancillary studies	#26b	Additional consent provisions for collection and use of participant data and biological specimens in ancillary studies, if applicable	NA
Confidentiality	#27	How personal information about potential and enrolled participants will be collected, shared, and maintained in order to protect confidentiality before, during, and after the trial	17
Declaration of interests	#28	Financial and other competing interests for principal investigators for the overall trial and each study site	23
Data access	#29	Statement of who will have access to the final trial dataset, and disclosure of contractual agreements that limit such access for investigators	22
Ancillary and post trial care	#30	Provisions, if any, for ancillary and post-trial care, and for compensation to those who suffer harm from trial participation	17
Dissemination policy: trial results	#31a	Plans for investigators and sponsor to communicate trial results to participants, healthcare professionals, the public, and other relevant groups (eg, via publication, reporting in results databases, or other data sharing arrangements), including any publication restrictions	19

1	Dissemination policy: #31b	Authorship eligibility guidelines and any intended use of	19
2			
3	authorship	professional writers	
4			
5			
6	Dissemination policy: #31c	Plans, if any, for granting public access to the full	22
7			
8	reproducible	protocol, participant-level dataset, and statistical code	
9			
10	research		
11			
12			
13			

14 **Appendices**

17	Informed consent	#32	Model consent form and other related documentation	NA
18				
19	materials		given to participants and authorised surrogates	
20				
21				
22	Biological specimens	#33	Plans for collection, laboratory evaluation, and storage of	NA
23				
24			biological specimens for genetic or molecular analysis in	
25				
26			the current trial and for future use in ancillary studies, if	
27				
28			applicable	
29				
30				
31				

32

33 The SPIRIT Explanation and Elaboration paper is distributed under the terms of the Creative

34 Commons Attribution License CC-BY-NC. This checklist was completed on 05. May 2022 using

35 <https://www.goodreports.org/>, a tool made by the [EQUATOR Network](#) in collaboration with

36

37 [Penelope.ai](#)

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

BMJ Open

Vagus Nerve Stimulation as a Novel Treatment for Systemic Lupus Erythematosus: Study protocol for a randomized, parallel group, sham-controlled investigator initiated clinical trial, the SLE-VNS Study.

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2022-064552.R1
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	22-Aug-2022
Complete List of Authors:	Zinglarsen, Amanda; Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen Research Center for Autoimmune Connective Tissue Diseases (COPEACT); University of Copenhagen Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, Department of Clinical Medicine Drange, Ida Lynghøj; Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen Research Center for Autoimmune Connective Tissue Diseases (COPEACT) Aagaard Myhr, Katrine; Rigshospitalet, Department of Cardiology; University of Copenhagen Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, Department of Clinical Medicine Fuchs, Andreas; Rigshospitalet, Department of Cardiology Pfeiffer-Jensen, Mogens; Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen Center for Arthritis Research (COPECARE); University of Copenhagen Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, Department of Clinical Medicine Brock, Christina; Aalborg University Hospital, Mech-Sense; Aalborg University Faculty of Medicine, Clinical Institute Jacobsen, Søren ; Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen Research Center for Autoimmune Connective Tissue Diseases (COPEACT); University of Copenhagen Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, Department of Clinical Medicine
Primary Subject Heading:	Rheumatology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Patient-centred medicine
Keywords:	RHEUMATOLOGY, NEUROPHYSIOLOGY, IMMUNOLOGY

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

TITLE PAGE

Title

Vagus Nerve Stimulation as a Novel Treatment for Systemic Lupus Erythematosus: Study protocol for a randomized, parallel group, sham-controlled investigator initiated clinical trial, the SLE-VNS Study.

Author names and affiliations

Amanda Hempel Zinglensen^{a,b}, Ida Lynghøj Drange^a, Katrine Aagaard Myhr^{b,c}, Andreas Fuchs^c, Mogens Pfeiffer-Jensen^{b,d}, Christina Brock^{e,f}, Søren Jacobsen^{a,b}

^a: Copenhagen Research Center for Autoimmune Connective Tissue Diseases (COPEACT), Rigshospitalet, Juliane Maries Vej 10, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

^b: Department of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Blegdamsvej 3B, 2200 Copenhagen, Denmark

^c: Department of Cardiology, Rigshospitalet, Inge Lehmanns Vej 8, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

^d: Copenhagen Center for Arthritis Research (COPECARE), Rigshospitalet, Valdemar Hansens Vej 13-17, 2600 Glostrup, Denmark

^e: Mech-Sense, Aalborg University Hospital, Mølleparkvej 4, level 4, 9000 Aalborg, Denmark

^f: Clinical institute, Faculty of Medicine, Frederik Bajers Vej 7D, 9220 Aalborg, Denmark

Corresponding author

Amanda Hempel Zinglensen, amanda.hempel.zinglensen.01@regionh.dk

Word count: 4031

ABSTRACT

Introduction:

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is a chronic autoimmune disease. SLE is treated with immunosuppressants with suboptimal efficacy and high risk of serious side effects. SLE patients have increased risk of mortality, organ damage and debilitating treatment-resistant fatigue. Autonomic nervous system dysfunction (AD) is present in approximately half of the patients and may promote autoimmunity by weakening the vagally mediated anti-inflammatory reflex. Recent studies suggest that transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation (tVNS) has few side effects and beneficial effects on fatigue, pain, disease activity and organ function. This study investigates whether adjuvant tVNS improves measures of fatigue (primary endpoint), AD, clinical disease activity, inflammation, pain, organ function and quality of life.

Hence, this study will contribute to the understanding of AD as a potentially important precursor of fatigue, disease activity, progression and complications in SLE, and how tVNS mechanistically may attenuate this. As adjuvant tVNS use may reduce the need for traditional immunosuppressive therapy, this trial may prompt a shift in the treatment of SLE and potentially other autoimmune disorders.

Methods and analysis:

Eighty-four SLE patients with fatigue and AD will be randomized 1:1 to active or sham-tVNS in this double-blinded parallel-group study. In Period 1 (1 week), participants will receive a 4-min tVNS 4 times daily and report on fatigue daily. After a 2-week pause, Period 2 (8 weeks) will entail tVNS twice daily and participants will report on fatigue, pain and disease activity weekly. Secondary endpoints will be assessed before and after each period and after one week in Period 2.

Ethics and dissemination:

The study is approved by the Danish Medical Research Ethical Committees (case no: 2120231) and results will be published in international peer-reviewed journals.

Trial registration number: NCT05315739.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This is one of the first studies investigating the effects of transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation (tVNS) in patients with autoimmune diseases using a randomized, double-blinded, sham-controlled design.
- Fatigue is reported as the most frequent, invalidating and burdensome disease manifestation of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), and thus chosen as a primary outcome.
- Compared to previous studies, we will include more and less selected patients, assess effects across the most relevant organ systems, conduct extensive baseline characterization and explore dose-response qualities of tVNS, and thus put tVNS into a clinical context.
- tVNS is performed by the patient at home, which limits verification of correct stimulation intensity, duration and anatomical location, but reflects real-life use.
- A cross-over design is stronger than a parallel study design, but the latter was chosen to ensure optimal blinding.

KEYWORDS

Rheumatology, neurophysiology, immunology

INTRODUCTION

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is a systemic chronic autoimmune disease with a heterogenous presentation that may lead to numerous organ manifestations, comorbidities and decreased quality of life.¹ The life expectancy of SLE patients in Denmark is reduced with 25 years compared with the background population,² and patients with comorbidities including nephritis, neuropsychiatric or cardiovascular diseases have the worst prognosis. For the uncomplicated patient, the 10-year cumulative pure medical costs are roughly 16,000 euro, but increase tenfold with organ damage.³ Fatigue occurs in more than 80%^{4,5} and is reported as the main barrier to maintaining employment in patients with SLE.⁶ Fatigue and musculoskeletal pain are reported as the subjectively most burdensome symptom for SLE patients.⁷ Consequently, SLE has marked impact on morbidity, mortality, healthcare costs and quality of life.

Immunosuppressants, the cornerstone of current care, can have multiple adverse effects, including diabetes, osteoporosis and opportunistic infections,^{8,9} and may have only limited effect on controlling disease activity,¹⁰ fatigue and other constitutional symptoms.⁷ Thus, alternative treatments that can attenuate autoimmune inflammation and treatment resistant symptoms with few adverse effects are in demand.

Recent studies suggest that stimulating the autonomic nervous system holds this potential.

Autonomic nervous system dysfunction (AD), occurs in a large proportion (54%) of Danish SLE patients and is characterized by impaired, especially, parasympathetic vagally mediated function.¹¹

AD further relates to a wide range of disease manifestations that are highly prevalent in SLE:

Fatigue,¹² impaired quality of life,¹¹ pain,¹³ inflammation,¹⁴ as well as impaired vascular,^{15,16} cardiac^{17,18} and renal functions.¹⁹ Increasing the parasympathetic vagus nerve activity by

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation (tVNS) may reverse such consequences of AD. tVNS has decreased fatigue induced in healthy humans²⁰ and in patients with inflammatory rheumatic diseases.^{21 22} Further, tVNS has improved pain tolerance in healthy humans²³ and reduced pain related to cluster headache and migraine.^{24 25} Additionally, vagus nerve stimulation has been shown to decrease inflammation in animals,^{26 27} healthy humans²⁸ and patients with systemic autoimmune diseases,^{21 29-32} which may be vagally mediated via the cholinergic anti-inflammatory reflex.³³ Cardiovascular organ dysfunction may be alleviated by tVNS, which can improve microcirculation³⁴ and reduce aortic stiffening³⁵ as well as improve cardiac function in rats³⁶ and human patients.³⁷ All together this suggest that tVNS may effectively reduce adverse manifestations of SLE.

In contrast to traditional immunosuppressive treatment, tVNS with intended device holds a good safety profile. To the best of our knowledge, no serious adverse events related to this tVNS device have been reported and the most common side effects typically resolve immediately after the stimulation and entail lip or facial drooping (11%), headache (8%), dizziness (3%) and application site discomfort (2.5%).^{24 38-41}

Based on the above we aim to conduct a comprehensive clinical trial with the hypothesis, that adjuvant treatment with tVNS in addition to standard care in SLE patients improves patient reported fatigue (primary outcome). Further, we will investigate how tVNS influences other important SLE disease outcomes that reflect the systemic and heterogenic nature of SLE, including AD, disease activity, pain tolerability, as well as renal and cardiovascular functions (secondary outcomes).

METHODS AND ANALYSES

Study design and overview

The SLE-VNS study is a 1:1 randomized, parallel group, sham-controlled investigator initiated clinical trial. The study is expected to run from May 2022 to ultimo 2024 including data analyses. First participant first visit is expected to take place in May 2022, and last participant last visit in June 2023. The study will be conducted at the Copenhagen Research Center for Autoimmune Connective Tissue Diseases (COPEACT), Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark. It is designed with a patient representative (SLE Europe) and in the framework of an ongoing study, investigating tVNS in diabetic patients with diabetic autonomic neuropathy.⁴² The study is composed of two work packages (WP; Figure 1).

Work package I:

In WP-I, the participants will self-administer either bilateral active or sham tVNS at the cervical part of the vagus nerve 4 times daily for 7 days. The participants will report on fatigue daily in a subject diary, and all secondary outcomes will be assessed at baseline (Day 0) and Day 7 (Figure 1; Table 1).

Work package II:

After two weeks without intervention, all participants will proceed with their allocation into WP-II. tVNS will be self-administered bilaterally 2 times daily for 8 weeks. In weekly online surveys, participants will report on fatigue, musculoskeletal pain and disease activity, as described below. Other secondary outcomes will be assessed at baseline (Day 0, WP-II), Day 7 and Week 8 (Figure 1; Table 1). After all assessments at the final week 8-visit, the participants will be asked whether they believe they received active or sham treatment.

A safety visit is conducted one week after cessation of the intervention in WP-II including blood

Table 1 Primary and secondary outcomes, methods and timepoints of assessment

Outcomes	Methods of assessment	Timepoint WP-I	Timepoint WP-II
Patient reported outcomes			
Fatigue (primary outcome)	FACIT-Fatigue questionnaire	Daily	Weekly
Autonomic symptoms	COMPASS-31 questionnaire	Baseline, Day 7	Baseline, Day 7, Week 8
SLE disease activity	The SLAQ and PtGA questionnaires	Baseline, Day 7	Weekly
Pain	Subjective pain on visual analog scale	Baseline, Day 7	Weekly
Quality of life	SF-12 questionnaire	Baseline, Day 7	Baseline, Day 7, Week 8
Autonomic nervous system function			
Resting autonomic function	5-min resting HRV and cardiac vagal tone 5-min resting blood pressure and heart rate Stimulation of sweat glands	Baseline, Day 7	Baseline, Day 7, Week 8
Cardiovascular autonomic reflex function	Four cardiovascular reflex tests and response in changes to heart rate and blood pressure	Baseline, Day 7	Baseline, Day 7, Week 8
Continuous autonomic function	Holter HRV monitoring	Continuously during WP-I	Continuously first week of WP-II
SLE disease activity indices			
SLE disease activity	SLEDAI-2K, SRI-50, SLE-DAS, PGA, DAS-28 clinical disease evaluations	Baseline, Day 7	Baseline, Day 7, Week 8
Treatment	Medication history	Retrospective change from inclusion to WP-I until three months after WP-II.	
Organ function			
Pain tolerability	Cold pressor test, conditioned pain modulation	Baseline, Day 7	Baseline, Day 7, Week 8
Cardiac function	Echocardiography	Baseline, Day 7	Baseline, Day 7, Week 8
Vascular function	Capillaroscopy and arterial stiffness	Baseline, Day 7	Baseline, Day 7, Week 8
Biochemical function			

samples and ECG not related to the outcomes of the study.

SLE routine status	Routine assessment of hematological, serological, and urinary markers	Baseline, Day 7	Baseline, Day 7, Week 8
SLE inflammatory status	Multiplex plasma cytokines, whole blood expression analyses, flow cytometry, whole blood stimulation assays	Baseline, Day 7	Baseline, Day 7, Week 8
Renal function	eGFR and urine albumin- and protein /creatinine-ratio, spot-urine	Baseline, Day 7	Baseline, Day 7, Week 8
Metabolic control	Plasma lipid and glucose profiles	Baseline, Day 7	Baseline, Day 7, Week 8

Table notes:

WP = Work Package; FACIT = Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy; COMPASS = Composite Autonomic Symptoms Score; SLE = systemic lupus erythematosus; SLAQ = Systemic Lupus Activity Questionnaire; PtGA = Patient Global Assessment; SF = Short Form; HRV = Heart rate variability, SLEDAI-2K = SLE Disease Activity Index 2000; SRI = SLEDAI Responder Index; SLE-DAS = SLE Disease Activity Score; PGA = Physician Global Assessment; DAS-28 = Disease Activity Score; eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate.

Table 1 Outcomes, methods and timepoints of assessment

Study participants

Eighty-four patients with SLE, diagnosed according to the internationally accepted disease classification criteria,⁴³ with signs of fatigue and AD (see inclusion criteria, Table 2) will be included.

Recruitment and enrollment:

Potential participants will be identified at the COPEACT and receive oral and written information about the trial from information screens and leaflets or their regular physician. Screening and inclusion of candidates will be performed by a medical doctor. Eligible participants will have signed the informed consent after meeting all the inclusion criteria and none of the exclusion criteria listed in Table 2.

Participants may be discontinued from the study if they are considered non-compliant, withdraw their consent or experience unacceptable adverse events. The discontinued participants will be

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

replaced by new eligible participants in the same treatment arm (active/sham) to ensure sufficient study power.

For peer review only

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

Table 2 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
Age ≥ 18	Significant cardiovascular disease, including congestive heart failure, known severe coronary artery disease, or recent myocardial infarction (within 5 years) as assessed by a physician
SLE diagnosis* with disease duration of ≥ 1 year	Blood pressure $< 100/60$ or $> 160/105$
Stable disease and medication the past 28 days as defined by: 1) No change of immunosuppressing therapy 2) Receiving maximally 10 mg prednisone daily	Clinically significant bradycardia or tachycardia
Signs of fatigue: FACIT-Fatigue questionnaire-score ≤ 40	History of abnormal baseline ECG, including prolonged QTc-interval, or arrhythmia
Signs of autonomic dysfunction: One or more of the following: 1) AD-score ≥ 1 † 2) Electrochemical resistance $< 50\mu S$ (hands) or $< 70\mu S$ (feet) ‡ 3) COMPASS-31 questionnaire-score > 12	Previous surgery on the vagus nerve or abnormal cervical anatomy
Ability to read and understand Danish	Implanted or portable electro-mechanical medical devices, e.g. pacemaker, defibrillator, cochlear implant and infusion pump
Willingness and ability to comply with the scheduled visits, treatment plan, laboratory tests and other trial procedures	Metallic device such as a stent, bone plate or bone screw implanted at or near the neck
Signed and dated informed consent document	Receiving active laser treatment for proliferative retinopathy
	Active cancer or cancer in remission
	History of brain tumor, aneurysm, bleed, head trauma, clinically significant syncope or seizures
	Any clinical abnormalities that, in the opinion of the investigator, may increase the risk associated with trial participation or may interfere with the interpretation of the trial results
	Ongoing lactation, pregnancy, intended pregnancy (for both females and males) during the trial
	Participation in other clinical trials less than three months prior to inclusion, unless such a participation is judged to have no influence on the recordings

Table notes:

Abbreviations: FACIT= Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy; ECG = electrocardiography; AD = autonomic dysfunction; COMPASS = Composite Autonomic Symptoms Score.

*as per the internationally accepted disease classification criteria.

†Measured by the Vagus™ device (elaborated under “Outcomes and experimental procedure”).

‡Measured by the SUDOSCAN device (elaborated under the sections “Outcomes and experimental procedure”).

Table 2 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Baseline characterization:

Participant characteristics will be recorded at WP-I baseline to assess group similarity and allow for stratified responder analyses. The general characteristics will include age, sex, race, height, weight, education, employment status, medication affecting autonomic function, and former cardiovascular and other diseases. SLE characteristics will include items from the disease classification criteria,⁴³ disease activity score,⁴⁴ damage index⁴⁵ and immunosuppressive medication such as antimalarials, corticosteroids and synthetic and biological disease modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs). Finally, biochemical and immunological evaluations will be performed as part of the SLE characterization, including autoantibodies against dsDNA, SSA, SSB, U1RNP, Smith antigen, cardiolipin, beta-2-glycoprotein, lupus anticoagulant and direct agglutinin test unless documented within the previous year.

Intervention

The active tVNS device:
tVNS will be carried out with the handheld, battery-powered gammaCore Sapphire device (electroCore, Inc., NJ, USA) that sends electrical signals through the skin and soft tissue of the neck to activate the vagus nerve. The device is a class IIa medical device and is CE marked (CE 571753) for: (a) acute and/or prophylactic treatment of certain primary headaches (migraine, cluster headache and hemicrania continua) and medication overuse headache; (b) treatment or prevention of symptoms of reactive airway disease; (c) adjunctive therapy to reduce the symptoms of certain anxiety and depression conditions; (d) adjunctive therapy in the prevention of partial onset and generalized seizures associated with epilepsy; and (e) adjunctive therapy to reduce the symptoms of gastric motility disorders and irritable bowel syndrome.

Stimulation with the device is provided through two steel contact electrodes covered with conductive gel (Sigma gel, Parker Laboratories, New Jersey, USA). When activated, the device produces a proprietary low-voltage electrical signal comprising a 5-kHz sine wave burst lasting for 1 millisecond. Bursts are repeated once every 40 ms (25 Hz), generating a 24 V peak voltage and 60 mA peak output current. Upon activation, the electrical current is transmitted for 120 seconds. The intensity of the stimulation is adjusted by the user in the range of 1–40 arbitrary units via the digital user interface.

Sham-device:

Sham tVNS will be administered by a sham device identical to the active device in appearance and application. The sham device can, however, not produce electrical stimulation upon activation but provide a light “vibrational sound” to mimic the active treatment.

Instruction:

The participants will be thoroughly instructed in the use of the device by research personnel, who is not otherwise involved in the study to minimize any risk of unblinding. Accordingly, the participants will be instructed to refrain from sharing information about the sensation of the treatment to the study personnel. A Danish user guide and a subject diary will be handed out along with the device. The participants will be instructed to perform daily self-administered stimulations during the two WPs. During the initial instruction session, the participants will be instructed to position the device at the cervical course of the vagus nerve, anteriorly to the sternocleidomastoid muscles and laterally to the carotid arteries. The correct placement will be marked with a permanent marker on the skin and the participants will be encouraged to refresh the markings throughout the

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

trial and take a picture of the location. The participants will receive their first treatment during the instruction session to ensure correct use.

Interventional stimulation:

During WP-I, participants will perform 4 stimulation doses daily (every 6 hours), and during WP-II, only 2 stimulation doses daily (every 12 hours). Each stimulation dose consists of bilateral tVNS: 120 seconds to each vagus nerve. The participants will be instructed to use the highest tolerable stimulation intensity and note the intensity and time of each stimulation in the subject diary.

The tVNS will be applied as an add-on treatment to the participant’s standard of care immunosuppressing medication. If clinically indicated, this medication can be changed during the trial, and these changes will be recorded.

Outcomes and experimental procedures

The outcomes and methods of assessment are summarized in Table 1 and described in detail below.

Primary outcome:

The Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy Fatigue (FACIT-F) scale is a validated 13-item questionnaire that assesses patient-perceived fatigue and its impact upon daily activities and function over the past 7 days.⁴⁶ It has been used in numerous clinical SLE trials, has superior internal consistency and higher sensitivity compared to other fatigue measures^{47 48} and is, thus, included as the primary outcome measure of fatigue.

Other patient-reported outcomes:

The Composite Autonomic Symptoms Score (COMPASS)-31 questionnaire will be applied to provide a quantitative measure of the participants self-reported AD symptoms.⁴⁹ The participants self-reported SLE disease activity will be evaluated with the Systemic Lupus Activity Questionnaire (SLAQ)⁵⁰ and the Patient Global Assessment (PtGA).⁵¹ Further, the participants will assess the average musculoskeletal pain on an 11-point visual analog scale.⁵² Quality of life will be evaluated with the validated 12-item short form (SF-12) questionnaire, derived from the original SF-36,⁵³ and a physical and mental component score of patient-reported health-related quality of life will be calculated.

Autonomic nervous system function:

The visit-based tests of autonomic nervous system function will be undertaken in the morning in a quiet room according to recommended protocol⁵⁴ where smoking, food and caffeine intake are restricted prior to testing.

Resting autonomic function will be assessed in four ways: 1) a 5-minute resting heart rate variability (HRV) will be measured with the handheld ECG Vagus™-device (Medicus Engineering, Aarhus, Denmark)⁵⁵; (2) 5-minute resting cardiac vagal tone will be measured with the non-invasive ECG eMotion Faros-device (Mega ElectronicsLtd, Kuopio, Finland)⁵⁶; 3) after the 5-minute rest, blood pressure and heart rate will be measured with standard equipment; and 4) stimulated sweat secretion will be measured as the electrochemical reaction mediated by chloride ions after stimulation of sweat glands in hands and feet with the non-invasive SUDOSCAN-device (Impeto Medical, California, San Diego, USA).⁵⁷

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Cardiovascular autonomic reflexes will be assessed in 2 ways: 1) by 3 consecutive heart rate-based cardiovascular reflex tests with the VagusTM-device, in which the ratio of the maximal and minimal beat-to-beat intervals in relation to standing, deep breathing and the Valsalva maneuver are compared with age-dependent cut-off levels to assess the degree of AD: no, early (1 abnormal) and manifest (>1 abnormal test) dysfunction;⁵⁸ and 2) by assessment of orthostatic blood pressure changes with the participant standing for 5 minutes after supine rest and blood pressure measurements each minute.

Continuous autonomic function will be assessed with a small patch sensor Holter device (ePatch®, BioTelemetry Technology ApS, Hørsholm, DK) that records a 3-lead ECG for 7 consecutive days.⁵⁹ Participants will press a button on the device just prior to the tVNS, leaving a location mark in the data set that allows for HRV analyses in relation to the tVNS. Time and frequency domain HRV parameters will be calculated based on the ePatch- and VagusTM-measurements.⁶⁰

SLE disease activity:

Disease activity will be evaluated by clinical and laboratory examination according to three different activity scores (SLEDAI-2K: *SLE Disease Activity Index-2000*, SRI-50: *SLEDAI Responder Index-50%* and SLE-DAS: *SLE-Disease Activity Score*). The SLEDAI-2K⁴⁴ is most commonly used for activity assessment, whereas SRI-50 accounts for clinically significant improvements between visits,⁶¹ and SLE-DAS is suggested to have improved sensitivity to change and specificity compared with the SLEDAI-2K.⁶² Furthermore, the physician's judgement of overall disease activity will be scored in the *Physician Global Assessment (PGA)*⁶³ by answering "How do you rate your patient's current disease activity?" with mild=1 to 3=most active disease imaginable. The physician-assessed number of painful and swollen joints according to the Disease Activity Score-

28⁶⁴ will be evaluated. Finally, based on the medication history, any changes to the patient's regular SLE medication will be noted throughout and until 3 months after the study. Anti-inflammatory medication will be grouped into the following groups: Antimalarials, glucocorticoids, synthetic- and biologic DMARDs. Changes will be analyzed based on introduction, termination and dosage of drugs during the course of the study.

Pain tolerability:

The tolerance to sensory pain stimuli will be assessed with bone and muscle pressure with a handheld pressure algometer (Type 2, Somedic Production AB, Sweden) and a circulating ice-chilled water (2°C) bath. At first, the algometer will apply pressure (30kPA/s) to the tibia and quadriceps muscle. Thereafter, the hand will be immersed into the water for 120 seconds or until the pain becomes intolerable. Pain intensity will be rated regularly by the visual analogue scale during the immersion. Immediately after the immersion, the quadriceps muscle pressure will be reapplied, which allows for quantification of the conditioned pain modulation capacity.⁶⁵

Organ function:

A transthoracic echocardiographic ultrasound examination (LOGIQ S8, GE Electronic) will be performed in order to assess cardiac geometry, ventricular mass, diastolic and systolic function.⁶⁶ Arterial stiffness will be assessed with pulse wave velocity measured by ECG traced pulse-wave doppler ultrasound at the carotid and external iliac artery.⁶⁷ Further, microvascular morphology will be assessed by in-vivo nailfold video capillaroscopy with the Dino-Lite digital microscope (Vodskov, Denmark), revealing both the architecture of capillary rows and fine details of each vessel.⁶⁸ To characterize renal function, urine and blood samples will be analyzed for eGFR and urinary protein-creatinine ratio.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Biochemical and immunological function:

Routine: SLE biochemical status based on plasma and serum routine analyses will be performed to assess changes relevant to disease activity and other disease properties.

Experimental: To assess immunological function, the following will be measured: a) plasma cytokines reflecting inflammatory activation and inhibition, b) interferon-regulated gene expression (nCounter platform, NanoString Technologies, Seattle, WA), c) immune cell population distribution in whole blood (fluorescence-activated cell sorting), and d) functional immune cell stimulation (TruCulture®). To characterize the effects on metabolic control, plasma lipid and glucose profiles will be performed.

Randomization and blinding

Included participants will be provided with a unique randomization ID number. The collaborative site at Aalborg University Hospital will be responsible for the block-randomization (8 participants) with www.randomization.com. The randomization list will be kept at Aalborg Hospital, and only sealed envelopes containing the treatment allocation for each participant will be kept at a secure location at the COPEACT for individual unblinding in case of medical emergencies. Hence, all personnel involved in the study and participants will be blinded to the randomization. Following the last participant's last visit, a blinded data set divided into treatment "A" and "B" will be prepared for all outcomes to allow for blinded data analyses.

Adverse events

The participants will be instructed to report on adverse events at every visit and to contact the research personnel during WP-I and -II if adverse events arise. All adverse events will be recorded in the case report form (CRF). A physician investigator will assess all adverse events for causality with tVNS. Study personnel must immediately report any serious adverse event or serious adverse

device effect to the primary investigator. All device effects will be reported to the manufacturer yearly and any serious adverse events within 7 days. Additionally, all adverse events and -effects will be reported to the Danish medical research ethical authority after the study end. Based on occurrence of serious adverse events, the primary investigator will be able to terminate the study. The participants will be covered by the regular patient insurance during their participation in the trial.

Data collection and data management

Data will be collected by experienced research personnel trained in good clinical practice (GCP) and entered to electronic CRFs using RedCAP Electronic Data Capture Tool pertaining to the given approval by the Danish Data Protection Agency (P-2022-114). Data from physical questionnaires and participant diaries will be entered manually to the electronic CRF by two different researchers to limit errors. Digital source data from e.g. image-based or autonomic outcomes will be saved on a secure drive with the participant identification number and analyzed blinded after trial end. Blood and urine samples will be labelled and stored in a secure research biobank for analyzation after trial end and stored for a maximum of 10 years. All other experimental data will be entered directly into the CRFs. Digitalized data will be backed up and stored for 5 years under the responsibility of the principal investigator, whereas physical CRFs with source material will be kept at a secure location for 5 years.

Data analysis

The primary outcome will be analyzed by intention-to-treat approach, meaning that all randomized participants will be included in their initially assigned study arm regardless of adherence to study protocol. Changes in the primary outcome measure will be compared between the two groups by

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Student's t-test. Secondary endpoints will be analyzed by per-protocol approach by general linear modeling of repeated measures and application of relevant post-hoc analyses or Fisher's exact test as appropriate. The potential effect of differences in baseline values and possible unblinding will be investigated by appropriate adjustments in general linear models or stratified analyses. For all analyses, $P \leq 0.05$ will be considered statistically significant. The applied statistical program will be SPSS statistics (version 25, IBM Corporation).

Sample size calculation

This study is powered to detect a minimal clinically important difference of 5.9 points on the FACIT-Fatigue scale⁶⁹ between the active and sham tVNS treated groups after 1 (WP-I) or 8 weeks (WP-II) weeks of stimulation. Based on a mean $\pm$ SD baseline score of 20 $\pm$ 8.0,⁷⁰ 29 participants per group are required with the use of the intended significance level to provide a statistical power of 80%. With allowance of a 30% dropout rate, we aim to include 42 participants in each arm.

Monitoring

Internal monitoring will be conducted weekly to ensure that the protocol, national regulations and GCP standards are followed. The monitor will review source documents and medical records to confirm CRF-recorded data and will monitor all signed informed consent documents and adverse events logs. Quality assurance audits by relevant regulatory authorities may be performed.

Patient and public involvement

The study outcomes were discussed and chosen in collaboration with a SLE patient representative. Instead of choosing an objective measure as primary outcome, we chose patient reported fatigue as

the primary objective of the study, as it is highly prevalent and burdensome in SLE and an objective measure may not correlate with patient evaluation and satisfaction with the treatment.

After study completion, the participants will be informed on their study allocation (active/sham), and study results will be disseminated to relevant patient associations. No public involvement was included in the design phase of the study.

Ethics and dissemination

The study protocol has been approved by the Danish Medical Research Ethical Committees (case no: 2120231). The study will be performed in accordance with this published protocol and the registration at ClinicalTrials.gov, the principles of GCP (DS/EN ISO 14155:2020), the guidelines of the revised Helsinki declaration and applicable local regulatory requirements and laws.

All publication rights belong to the principal investigator. Positive as well as negative and inconclusive trial results will be published in international peer-reviewed journals. A primary author will be subscribed according to the Vancouver system.

DISCUSSION

This study was designed to provide novel substantial evidence on the effect of tVNS on fatigue in SLE. The design further allows for a detailed and comprehensive description of effects on other disease manifestations relevant to SLE patients.

In other patient populations, tVNS has ameliorated manifestations frequently observed in SLE. Unfortunately, only few of the studies have been systematically controlled, and until recently, the implications of tVNS treatment of SLE patients remained undescribed. Interestingly, a recent randomized, double-blinded, sham-controlled pilot study of 18 SLE patients showed attenuating

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

effects on pain, fatigue and number of swollen joints following four days of 5-min auricular tVNS.⁷⁰ However, the study only included few and highly selected participants with high levels of musculoskeletal pain and disease activity and followed the participants for 12 days. Further, the study did not find effects on other markers of inflammation and disease activity. We speculate that power and follow-up length may influence these results. Hence, we aim to complete a comprehensive study that could account for this.

The current study holds the overall strength that it aims to put tVNS into a clinical context. This will be done by a) including participants that represent the majority of SLE patients, as fatigue and AD are common in SLE; b) conducting extensive baseline characterization that will enable identification of markers related to possible tVNS responders; and c) providing extended follow-up and assessment of dose-response qualities of tVNS, which should give insights to dynamic of tVNS effects. All together, these factors could help facilitate clinical implementation if tVNS is found effective. Supplementary to the primary outcome, this study will also investigate the effects of tVNS across the most relevant organ systems implicated in SLE. This will give insights to the prospect of using tVNS as an alternative to the current standard treatment with immunosuppressants. Further, this may enable a better understanding of the diverse clinical picture presented by SLE patients and the pathophysiological mechanisms of fatigue, AD and inflammatory activity, which hitherto is poorly described.

The study does hold some limitations. We will not be able to verify whether each active stimulation is performed correctly, as the treatment will be self-administered at home. Therefore, participants will undergo a thorough introduction and perform the first stimulation under supervision, including emphasis on the correct device position by marking it on their skin, and every stimulation will be logged in diaries. Also, there is a risk of some participants guessing if they receive sham treatment

1
2
3
4 based on missing signs of muscle and skin nerve activation. To quantify the latter, subjects will be
5
6 asked about this after completion of the study. The chosen sham-method was, however, judged the
7
8 best possible comparator. To optimize the blinding and overall study quality, the treatment will be
9
10 tested in a parallel-group design, the tVNS participant instruction will be performed by a person not
11
12 otherwise engaged in the study in a similar manner regardless of allocated treatment-arm, and
13
14 participants will be instructed to refrain from sharing information about the sensation of the
15
16 treatment to study personnel. As for randomization method, the time for the effects of tVNS to fade
17
18 should be considered but has not previously been investigated. In the SLE pilot study, the effects of
19
20 tVNS on fatigue and pain remained 7 days after the intervention.⁷⁰ Therefore, randomizing the
21
22 order of the WPs could be advantageous. However, as the study is conducted in the framework of
23
24 another study to allow for comparison with effects of tVNS in diabetic patients, we chose the
25
26 current study design.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 With this study we aim to provide novel clinical evidence about the effects of tVNS on fatigue and
35
36 other important clinical and paraclinical manifestations of SLE. This study may contribute to the
37
38 introduction of a safe and effective treatment of SLE as an alternative or supplement to the current
39
40 standard of care immunosuppression. Such treatments would constitute a paradigmatic shift in the
41
42 care of patients with SLE and other chronic inflammatory diseases.
43
44
45
46
47

48 DATA STATEMENT

49 Within the limitations of the national regulations on data sharing and after the publication of trial
50
51 results, the data generated can be provided in anonymized form upon reasonable request from
52
53 researchers who provide a methodological sound proposal.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Amanda Hempel Zinglersen: Conceptualization, methodology, validation, formal analysis, investigation, writing – original draft, writing – review & editing, visualization, supervision, project administration, funding acquisition. *Ida Lynghøj Drange*: Investigation, writing – original draft, writing – review & editing, visualization. *Katrine Aagaard Myhr*: Methodology, writing – review & editing, project administration. *Andreas Fuchs*: Methodology, writing – review & editing, supervision, project administration, funding acquisition. *Mogens Pfeiffer-Jensen*: Conceptualization, methodology, validation, resources, writing – review & editing, supervision, funding acquisition. *Christina Brock*: Conceptualization, methodology, validation, resources, writing – review & editing, supervision, project administration, funding acquisition. *Søren Jacobsen*: Conceptualization, methodology, formal analysis, resources, writing – review & editing, visualization, supervision, project administration, funding acquisition.

FUNDING

This work is supported by the *Danish Rheumatism Association* (Gigtforeningen) [R198-A7026, R209-A7483]; the *Per Henriksen* Foundation. Applications for further covering of running expenses and analyses with various other foundations will be submitted ongoingly. The funding sources have not had and will not have any involvement in study design, collection, analyses and interpretation of data, writing of the report or decision to submit the subsequent article(s) for publication.

DECLARATION OF COMPETING INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We express our gratitude towards Jullie Rudnicki, Anne-Marie Wangenberg and Kasper Yde Jensen for aiding project logistics and methodologic sparing during the design phase of the trial.

REFERENCES

1. Kaul A, Gordon C, Crow MK, et al. Systemic lupus erythematosus. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* 2016;2:16039. doi: 10.1038/nrdp.2016.39 [published Online First: 2016/06/17]
2. Jacobsen S, Petersen J, Ullman S, et al. Mortality and causes of death of 513 Danish patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Scandinavian journal of rheumatology* 1999;28(2):75-80. doi: 10.1080/030097499442522 [published Online First: 1999/05/06]
3. Barber MRW, Hanly JG, Su L, et al. Economic Evaluation of Damage Accrual in an International Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Inception Cohort Using a Multistate Model Approach. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2020;72(12):1800-08. doi: 10.1002/acr.24092 [published Online First: 2019/10/15]
4. Tench CM, McCurdie I, White PD, et al. The prevalence and associations of fatigue in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Rheumatology (Oxford, England)* 2000;39(11):1249-54. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/39.11.1249 [published Online First: 2000/11/21]
5. Zonana-Nacach A, Roseman JM, McGwin G, Jr., et al. Systemic lupus erythematosus in three ethnic groups. VI: Factors associated with fatigue within 5 years of criteria diagnosis. LUMINA Study Group. LUPus in MInority populations: NAture vs Nurture. *Lupus* 2000;9(2):101-9. doi: 10.1191/096120300678828046 [published Online First: 2000/04/29]
6. Booth S, Price E, Walker E. Fluctuation, invisibility, fatigue - the barriers to maintaining employment with systemic lupus erythematosus: results of an online survey. *Lupus* 2018;27(14):2284-91. doi: 10.1177/0961203318808593 [published Online First: 2018/11/20]
7. Petrocchi V, Visintini E, De Marchi G, et al. Patient experiences of systemic lupus erythematosus: Findings from a systematic review, meta-summary and meta-synthesis. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2021 doi: 10.1002/acr.24639 [published Online First: 2021/06/17]
8. Oglesby A, Shaul AJ, Pokora T, et al. Adverse event burden, resource use, and costs associated with immunosuppressant medications for the treatment of systemic lupus erythematosus: a systematic literature review. *Int J Rheumatol* 2013;2013:347520. doi: 10.1155/2013/347520 [published Online First: 2013/06/14]
9. Al Sawah S, Zhang X, Zhu B, et al. Effect of corticosteroid use by dose on the risk of developing organ damage over time in systemic lupus erythematosus-the Hopkins Lupus Cohort. *Lupus Sci Med* 2015;2(1):e000066. doi: 10.1136/lupus-2014-000066 [published Online First: 2015/04/11]
10. Golder V, Tsang ASMWP. Treatment targets in SLE: remission and low disease activity state. *Rheumatology (Oxford, England)* 2020;59(Suppl5):v19-v28. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/keaa420 [published Online First: 2020/12/07]
11. Zinglersen AH, Iversen KK, Leffers HCB, et al. Characteristics of cardiovascular autonomic dysfunction and association with quality of life in patients with systemic lupus

- erythematosus. *Lupus Sci Med* 2021;8(1) doi: 10.1136/lupus-2021-000507 [published Online First: 2021/07/25]
12. Sander C, Hildebrandt H, Schlake HP, et al. Subjective Cognitive Fatigue and Autonomic Abnormalities in Multiple Sclerosis Patients. *Front Neurol* 2017;8:475. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2017.00475 [published Online First: 2017/09/29]
 13. Nahman-Averbuch H, Granovsky Y, Sprecher E, et al. Associations between autonomic dysfunction and pain in chemotherapy-induced polyneuropathy. *Eur J Pain* 2014;18(1):47-55. doi: 10.1002/j.1532-2149.2013.00349.x [published Online First: 2013/06/19]
 14. Provan SA, Olstad DS, Solberg EE, et al. Evidence of reduced parasympathetic autonomic regulation in inflammatory joint disease: A meta-analyses study. *Seminars in arthritis and rheumatism* 2018;48(1):134-40. doi: 10.1016/j.semarthrit.2017.11.010 [published Online First: 2018/01/03]
 15. Di Franco M, Paradiso M, Riccieri V, et al. Autonomic dysfunction and microvascular damage in systemic sclerosis. *Clin Rheumatol* 2007;26(8):1278-83. doi: 10.1007/s10067-006-0492-y [published Online First: 2007/01/20]
 16. Kim JS, Lee SH, Oh YS, et al. Arterial Stiffness and Cardiovascular Autonomic Dysfunction in Patients with Parkinson's Disease. *Neurodegener Dis* 2017;17(2-3):89-96. doi: 10.1159/000450613 [published Online First: 2016/10/27]
 17. Spallone V. Update on the Impact, Diagnosis and Management of Cardiovascular Autonomic Neuropathy in Diabetes: What Is Defined, What Is New, and What Is Unmet. *Diabetes & metabolism journal* 2019;43(1):3-30. doi: 10.4093/dmj.2018.0259 [published Online First: 2019/02/23]
 18. Jin J, Wang W, Zhu L, et al. Cardiovascular Autonomic Neuropathy Is an Independent Risk Factor for Left Ventricular Diastolic Dysfunction in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. *Biomed Res Int* 2017;2017:3270617. doi: 10.1155/2017/3270617 [published Online First: 2017/06/24]
 19. Weinrauch LA, Kennedy FP, Gleason RE, et al. Relationship between autonomic function and progression of renal disease in diabetic proteinuria: clinical correlations and implications for blood pressure control. *American journal of hypertension* 1998;11(3 Pt 1):302-8. doi: 10.1016/s0895-7061(97)00472-x [published Online First: 1998/04/17]
 20. McIntire LK, McKinley RA, Goodyear C, et al. Cervical transcutaneous vagal nerve stimulation (ctVNS) improves human cognitive performance under sleep deprivation stress. *Commun Biol* 2021;4(1):634. doi: 10.1038/s42003-021-02145-7 [published Online First: 2021/06/12]
 21. Tarn J, Legg S, Mitchell S, et al. The Effects of Noninvasive Vagus Nerve Stimulation on Fatigue and Immune Responses in Patients With Primary Sjogren's Syndrome. *Neuromodulation* 2019;22(5):580-85. doi: 10.1111/ner.12879 [published Online First: 2018/10/18]
 22. Courties A, Deprouw C, Maheu E, et al. Transcutaneous auricular stimulation of the vagus nerve for erosive hand osteoarthritis an open label pilot study. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage* 2020;28:S360. doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2020.02.562
 23. Frokjaer JB, Bergmann S, Brock C, et al. Modulation of vagal tone enhances gastroduodenal motility and reduces somatic pain sensitivity. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2016;28(4):592-8. doi: 10.1111/nmo.12760 [published Online First: 2016/01/06]
 24. Gaul C, Diener HC, Silver N, et al. Non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation for PREvention and Acute treatment of chronic cluster headache (PREVA): A randomised controlled study. *Cephalalgia* 2016;36(6):534-46. doi: 10.1177/0333102415607070 [published Online First: 2015/09/24]

25. Puledda F, Goadsby PJ. An Update on Non-Pharmacological Neuromodulation for the Acute and Preventive Treatment of Migraine. *Headache* 2017;57(4):685-91. doi: 10.1111/head.13069 [published Online First: 2017/03/16]
26. Zhang Y, Popovic ZB, Bibevski S, et al. Chronic vagus nerve stimulation improves autonomic control and attenuates systemic inflammation and heart failure progression in a canine high-rate pacing model. *Circ Heart Fail* 2009;2(6):692-9. doi: 10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.109.873968 [published Online First: 2009/11/19]
27. Meregnani J, Clarencon D, Vivier M, et al. Anti-inflammatory effect of vagus nerve stimulation in a rat model of inflammatory bowel disease. *Autonomic neuroscience : basic & clinical* 2011;160(1-2):82-9. doi: 10.1016/j.autneu.2010.10.007 [published Online First: 2010/11/13]
28. Brock C, Brock B, Aziz Q, et al. Transcutaneous cervical vagal nerve stimulation modulates cardiac vagal tone and tumor necrosis factor-alpha. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2017;29(5):e12999. doi: 10.1111/nmo.12999 [published Online First: 2016/12/14]
29. Rasmussen SE, Pfeiffer-Jensen M, Drewes AM, et al. Vagal influences in rheumatoid arthritis. *Scandinavian journal of rheumatology* 2018;47(1):1-11. doi: 10.1080/03009742.2017.1314001 [published Online First: 2017/08/03]
30. Koopman FA, Chavan SS, Miljko S, et al. Vagus nerve stimulation inhibits cytokine production and attenuates disease severity in rheumatoid arthritis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2016;113(29):8284-9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1605635113 [published Online First: 2016/07/07]
31. Brock C, Rasmussen SE, Drewes AM, et al. Vagal Nerve Stimulation-Modulation of the Anti-Inflammatory Response and Clinical Outcome in Psoriatic Arthritis or Ankylosing Spondylitis. *Mediators Inflamm* 2021;2021:9933532. doi: 10.1155/2021/9933532 [published Online First: 2021/06/18]
32. Drewes AM, Brock C, Rasmussen SE, et al. Short-term transcutaneous non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation may reduce disease activity and pro-inflammatory cytokines in rheumatoid arthritis: results of a pilot study. *Scandinavian journal of rheumatology* 2021;50(1):20-27. doi: 10.1080/03009742.2020.1764617 [published Online First: 2020/10/14]
33. Bonaz B, Sinniger V, Pellissier S. Anti-inflammatory properties of the vagus nerve: potential therapeutic implications of vagus nerve stimulation. *J Physiol* 2016;594(20):5781-90. doi: 10.1113/JP271539 [published Online First: 2016/04/10]
34. Dasari TW, Gabor F, Csipo T, et al. Non-invasive Neuromodulation of Vagus Activity Improves Endothelial Function in Patients with Heart Failure with Reduced Ejection Fraction: A Randomized Study. *Journal of Cardiac Failure* 2018;24(8):S59-S60. doi: 10.1016/j.cardfail.2018.07.266
35. Chapleau MW, Rotella DL, Reho JJ, et al. Chronic vagal nerve stimulation prevents high-salt diet-induced endothelial dysfunction and aortic stiffening in stroke-prone spontaneously hypertensive rats. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 2016;311(1):H276-85. doi: 10.1152/ajpheart.00043.2016 [published Online First: 2016/05/22]
36. Zhou L, Filiberti A, Humphrey MB, et al. Low-level transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation attenuates cardiac remodelling in a rat model of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Exp Physiol* 2019;104(1):28-38. doi: 10.1113/EP087351 [published Online First: 2018/11/07]
37. Stavarakis S, Elkholey K, Morris L, et al. Neuromodulation of Inflammation to Treat Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction: A Pilot Randomized Clinical Trial. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2022;11(3):e023582. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.121.023582 [published Online First: 2022/01/14]

38. Silberstein SD, Mechtler LL, Kudrow DB, et al. Non-Invasive Vagus Nerve Stimulation for the ACute Treatment of Cluster Headache: Findings From the Randomized, Double-Blind, Sham-Controlled ACT1 Study. *Headache* 2016;56(8):1317-32. doi: 10.1111/head.12896 [published Online First: 2016/09/07]
39. Goadsby PJ, de Coo IF, Silver N, et al. Non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation for the acute treatment of episodic and chronic cluster headache: A randomized, double-blind, sham-controlled ACT2 study. *Cephalalgia* 2018;38(5):959-69. doi: 10.1177/0333102417744362 [published Online First: 2017/12/13]
40. Grazi L, Tassorelli C, de Tommaso M, et al. Practical and clinical utility of non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation (nVNS) for the acute treatment of migraine: a post hoc analysis of the randomized, sham-controlled, double-blind PRESTO trial. *J Headache Pain* 2018;19(1):98. doi: 10.1186/s10194-018-0928-1 [published Online First: 2018/10/21]
41. Diener HC, Goadsby PJ, Ashina M, et al. Non-invasive vagus nerve stimulation (nVNS) for the preventive treatment of episodic migraine: The multicentre, double-blind, randomised, sham-controlled PREMIUM trial. *Cephalalgia* 2019;39(12):1475-87. doi: 10.1177/0333102419876920 [published Online First: 2019/09/17]
42. Okdahl T, Bertoli D, Brock B, et al. Study protocol for a multicentre, randomised, parallel group, sham-controlled clinical trial investigating the effect of transcutaneous vagal nerve stimulation on gastrointestinal symptoms in people with diabetes complicated with diabetic autonomic neuropathy: the DAN-VNS Study. *BMJ Open* 2021;11(1):e038677. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-038677 [published Online First: 2021/01/08]
43. Aringer M, Costenbader K, Daikh D, et al. 2019 European League Against Rheumatism/American College of Rheumatology classification criteria for systemic lupus erythematosus. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2019;78(9):1151-59. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2018-214819 [published Online First: 2019/08/07]
44. Gladman DD, Ibanez D, Urowitz MB. Systemic lupus erythematosus disease activity index 2000. *The Journal of rheumatology* 2002;29(2):288-91. [published Online First: 2002/02/13]
45. Gladman D, Ginzler E, Goldsmith C, et al. The development and initial validation of the Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics/American College of Rheumatology damage index for systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis and rheumatism* 1996;39(3):363-9. doi: 10.1002/art.1780390303 [published Online First: 1996/03/01]
46. Raymond K, Park J, Joshi AV, et al. Patient Experience With Fatigue and Qualitative Interview-Based Evidence of Content Validation of The FACIT-Fatigue in Systemic Lupus Erythematosus. *Rheumatol Ther* 2021;8(1):541-54. doi: 10.1007/s40744-021-00292-1 [published Online First: 2021/03/10]
47. Barbacki A, Petri M, Avina-Zubieta A, et al. Fatigue Measurements in Systemic Lupus Erythematosus. *The Journal of rheumatology* 2019;46(11):1470-77. doi: 10.3899/jrheum.180831 [published Online First: 2019/02/03]
48. Petri MA, Martin RS, Scheinberg MA, et al. Assessments of fatigue and disease activity in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus enrolled in the Phase 2 clinical trial with blisibimod. *Lupus* 2017;26(1):27-37. doi: 10.1177/0961203316654767 [published Online First: 2016/06/30]
49. Sletten DM, Suarez GA, Low PA, et al. COMPASS 31: a refined and abbreviated Composite Autonomic Symptom Score. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2012;87(12):1196-201. doi: 10.1016/j.mayocp.2012.10.013 [published Online First: 2012/12/12]

50. Karlson EW, Daltroy LH, Rivest C, et al. Validation of a Systemic Lupus Activity Questionnaire (SLAQ) for population studies. *Lupus* 2003;12(4):280-6. doi: 10.1191/0961203303lu3320a [published Online First: 2003/05/06]
51. Ward MM, Marx AS, Barry NN. Comparison of the validity and sensitivity to change of 5 activity indices in systemic lupus erythematosus. *The Journal of rheumatology* 2000;27(3):664-70. [published Online First: 2000/04/01]
52. Safikhani S, Gries KS, Trudeau JJ, et al. Response scale selection in adult pain measures: results from a literature review. *J Patient Rep Outcomes* 2017;2(1):40. doi: 10.1186/s41687-018-0053-6 [published Online First: 2018/09/22]
53. Ware J, Jr., Kosinski M, Keller SD. A 12-Item Short-Form Health Survey: construction of scales and preliminary tests of reliability and validity. *Medical care* 1996;34(3):220-33. doi: 10.1097/00005650-199603000-00003 [published Online First: 1996/03/01]
54. Spallone V, Bellavere F, Scionti L, et al. Recommendations for the use of cardiovascular tests in diagnosing diabetic autonomic neuropathy. *Nutrition, metabolism, and cardiovascular diseases : NMCD* 2011;21(1):69-78. doi: 10.1016/j.numecd.2010.07.005 [published Online First: 2011/01/21]
55. Gulichsen E, Fleischer J, Ejksjaer N, et al. Screening for diabetic cardiac autonomic neuropathy using a new handheld device. *Journal of diabetes science and technology* 2012;6(4):965-72. doi: 10.1177/193229681200600430 [published Online First: 2012/08/28]
56. Brock C, Jessen N, Brock B, et al. Cardiac vagal tone, a non-invasive measure of parasympathetic tone, is a clinically relevant tool in Type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Diabet Med* 2017;34(10):1428-34. doi: 10.1111/dme.13421 [published Online First: 2017/07/14]
57. Casellini CM, Parson HK, Richardson MS, et al. Sudoscan, a noninvasive tool for detecting diabetic small fiber neuropathy and autonomic dysfunction. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2013;15(11):948-53. doi: 10.1089/dia.2013.0129 [published Online First: 2013/07/31]
58. Spallone V, Ziegler D, Freeman R, et al. Cardiovascular autonomic neuropathy in diabetes: clinical impact, assessment, diagnosis, and management. *Diabetes/metabolism research and reviews* 2011;27(7):639-53. doi: 10.1002/dmrr.1239 [published Online First: 2011/06/23]
59. Ackermans PA, Solosko TA, Spencer EC, et al. A user-friendly integrated monitor-adhesive patch for long-term ambulatory electrocardiogram monitoring. *J Electrocardiol* 2012;45(2):148-53. doi: 10.1016/j.jelectrocard.2011.10.007 [published Online First: 2011/12/14]
60. Heart rate variability: standards of measurement, physiological interpretation and clinical use. Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and the North American Society of Pacing and Electrophysiology. *Circulation* 1996;93(5):1043-65. [published Online First: 1996/03/01]
61. Touma Z, Gladman DD, Ibanez D, et al. Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index 2000 Responder Index-50 enhances the ability of SLE Responder Index to identify responders in clinical trials. *The Journal of rheumatology* 2011;38(11):2395-9. doi: 10.3899/jrheum.110550 [published Online First: 2011/09/03]
62. Jesus D, Matos A, Henriques C, et al. Derivation and validation of the SLE Disease Activity Score (SLE-DAS): a new SLE continuous measure with high sensitivity for changes in disease activity. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2019;78(3):365-71. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2018-214502 [published Online First: 2019/01/11]
63. Petri M, Genovese M, Engle E, et al. Definition, incidence, and clinical description of flare in systemic lupus erythematosus. A prospective cohort study. *Arthritis and rheumatism* 1991;34(8):937-44. doi: 10.1002/art.1780340802 [published Online First: 1991/08/01]

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

64. Wells G, Becker JC, Teng J, et al. Validation of the 28-joint Disease Activity Score (DAS28) and European League Against Rheumatism response criteria based on C-reactive protein against disease progression in patients with rheumatoid arthritis, and comparison with the DAS28 based on erythrocyte sedimentation rate. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2009;68(6):954-60. doi: 10.1136/ard.2007.084459 [published Online First: 2008/05/21]

65. Arendt-Nielsen L, Andresen T, Malver LP, et al. A double-blind, placebo-controlled study on the effect of buprenorphine and fentanyl on descending pain modulation: a human experimental study. *Clin J Pain* 2012;28(7):623-7. doi: 10.1097/AJP.0b013e31823e15cb [published Online First: 2011/12/14]

66. Lang RM, Badano LP, Mor-Avi V, et al. Recommendations for cardiac chamber quantification by echocardiography in adults: an update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2015;16(3):233-70. doi: 10.1093/ehjci/jev014 [published Online First: 2015/02/26]

67. Jiang B, Liu B, McNeill KL, et al. Measurement of pulse wave velocity using pulse wave Doppler ultrasound: comparison with arterial tonometry. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2008;34(3):509-12. doi: 10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2007.09.008 [published Online First: 2007/11/23]

68. Chojnowski MM, Felis-Giemza A, Olesinska M. Capillaroscopy - a role in modern rheumatology. *Reumatologia* 2016;54(2):67-72. doi: 10.5114/reum.2016.60215 [published Online First: 2016/07/14]

69. Goligher EC, Pouchot J, Brant R, et al. Minimal clinically important difference for 7 measures of fatigue in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *The Journal of rheumatology* 2008;35(4):635-42. [published Online First: 2008/03/07]

70. Aranow C, Atish-Fregoso Y, Lesser M, et al. Transcutaneous auricular vagus nerve stimulation reduces pain and fatigue in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus: a randomised, double-blind, sham-controlled pilot trial. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2021;80(2):203-08. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-217872 [published Online First: 2020/11/05]

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1 Overview of the systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE)-vagus nerve stimulation (VNS) study

PRIMARY AIM

Patient-reported
level of fatigue

SECONDARY AIMS

- Patient-reported pain, disease activity and quality of life
- Autonomic nervous system function
- SLE disease activity and inflammation
- Pain tolerability
- Cardiovascular and kidney function

Work package I

Short-term high intensity (4 times daily)
transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation

Screening

Inclusion and
randomization (n= 84)

Active stimulation (n=42)

Sham stimulation (n=42)

Day 0

Day 7

Work package II

Long-term medium intensity (2 times daily)
transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation

Active stimulation (n=42)

Sham stimulation (n=42)

2-week pause

Day 0

Day 7

Week 8

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Reporting checklist for protocol of a clinical trial.

Based on the SPIRIT guidelines.

Instructions to authors

Complete this checklist by entering the page numbers from your manuscript where readers will find each of the items listed below.

Your article may not currently address all the items on the checklist. Please modify your text to include the missing information. If you are certain that an item does not apply, please write "n/a" and provide a short explanation.

Upload your completed checklist as an extra file when you submit to a journal.

In your methods section, say that you used the SPIRIT reporting guidelines, and cite them as:

Chan A-W, Tetzlaff JM, Gøtzsche PC, Altman DG, Mann H, Berlin J, Dickersin K, Hróbjartsson A, Schulz KF, Parulekar WR, Krleža-Jerić K, Laupacis A, Moher D. SPIRIT 2013 Explanation and Elaboration: Guidance for protocols of clinical trials. BMJ. 2013;346:e7586

			Page
Reporting Item			Number
Administrative information			
Title	#1	Descriptive title identifying the study design, population, interventions, and, if applicable, trial acronym	1

1	Trial registration	#2a	Trial identifier and registry name. If not yet registered,	2
2			name of intended registry	
3				
4				
5				
6	Trial registration:	#2b	All items from the World Health Organization Trial	
7			Registration Data Set	
8	data set			
9				
10				
11				
12	Protocol version	#3	Date and version identifier	
13				
14				
15	Funding	#4	Sources and types of financial, material, and other	22
16			support	
17				
18				
19				
20	Roles and	#5a	Names, affiliations, and roles of protocol contributors	22
21				
22	responsibilities:			
23				
24	contributorship			
25				
26				
27				
28	Roles and	#5b	Name and contact information for the trial sponsor	NA
29				
30	responsibilities:			
31				
32	sponsor contact			
33				
34	information			
35				
36				
37				
38	Roles and	#5c	Role of study sponsor and funders, if any, in study	NA
39			design; collection, management, analysis, and	
40	responsibilities:		interpretation of data; writing of the report; and the	
41			decision to submit the report for publication, including	
42	sponsor and funder		whether they will have ultimate authority over any of	
43			these activities	
44				
45				
46				
47				
48				
49				
50				
51				
52	Roles and	#5d	Composition, roles, and responsibilities of the	NA
53			coordinating centre, steering committee, endpoint	
54	responsibilities:		adjudication committee, data management team, and	
55				
56	committees			
57				
58				
59				
60				

1		other individuals or groups overseeing the trial, if	
2		applicable (see Item 21a for data monitoring committee)	
3			
4			
5			
6	Introduction		
7			
8			
9	Background and	#6a Description of research question and justification for	4
10			
11	rationale	undertaking the trial, including summary of relevant	
12		studies (published and unpublished) examining benefits	
13			
14		and harms for each intervention	
15			
16			
17			
18	Background and	#6b Explanation for choice of comparators	4, 13-16
19			
20	rationale: choice of		
21			
22	comparators		
23			
24			
25			
26	Objectives	#7 Specific objectives or hypotheses	5
27			
28			
29	Trial design	#8 Description of trial design including type of trial (eg,	6
30		parallel group, crossover, factorial, single group),	
31		allocation ratio, and framework (eg, superiority,	
32		equivalence, non-inferiority, exploratory)	
33			
34			
35			
36			
37			
38			
39	Methods:		
40			
41	Participants,		
42			
43	interventions, and		
44			
45	outcomes		
46			
47			
48			
49	Study setting	#9 Description of study settings (eg, community clinic,	6
50		academic hospital) and list of countries where data will be	
51		collected. Reference to where list of study sites can be	
52		obtained	
53			
54			
55			
56			
57			
58			
59			
60			

Eligibility criteria	#10	Inclusion and exclusion criteria for participants. If applicable, eligibility criteria for study centres and individuals who will perform the interventions (eg, surgeons, psychotherapists)	7-8
Interventions: description	#11a	Interventions for each group with sufficient detail to allow replication, including how and when they will be administered	9-11
Interventions: modifications	#11b	Criteria for discontinuing or modifying allocated interventions for a given trial participant (eg, drug dose change in response to harms, participant request, or improving / worsening disease)	7
Interventions: adherence	#11c	Strategies to improve adherence to intervention protocols, and any procedures for monitoring adherence (eg, drug tablet return; laboratory tests)	10
Interventions: concomitant care	#11d	Relevant concomitant care and interventions that are permitted or prohibited during the trial	11
Outcomes	#12	Primary, secondary, and other outcomes, including the specific measurement variable (eg, systolic blood pressure), analysis metric (eg, change from baseline, final value, time to event), method of aggregation (eg, median, proportion), and time point for each outcome. Explanation of the clinical relevance of chosen efficacy and harm outcomes is strongly recommended	11-16

sealed envelopes), describing any steps to conceal the sequence until interventions are assigned

Allocation: [#16c](#) Who will generate the allocation sequence, who will enrol participants, and who will assign participants to interventions 16

Blinding (masking) [#17a](#) Who will be blinded after assignment to interventions (eg, trial participants, care providers, outcome assessors, data analysts), and how 16

Blinding (masking): [#17b](#) If blinded, circumstances under which unblinding is permissible, and procedure for revealing a participant's allocated intervention during the trial 16

Methods: Data collection, management, and analysis

Data collection plan [#18a](#) Plans for assessment and collection of outcome, baseline, and other trial data, including any related processes to promote data quality (eg, duplicate measurements, training of assessors) and a description of study instruments (eg, questionnaires, laboratory tests) along with their reliability and validity, if known. Reference to where data collection forms can be found, if not in the protocol 17

details about its charter can be found, if not in the protocol. Alternatively, an explanation of why a DMC is not needed

Data monitoring: interim analysis	#21b	Description of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines, including who will have access to these interim results and make the final decision to terminate the trial	NA
Harms	#22	Plans for collecting, assessing, reporting, and managing solicited and spontaneously reported adverse events and other unintended effects of trial interventions or trial conduct	17
Auditing	#23	Frequency and procedures for auditing trial conduct, if any, and whether the process will be independent from investigators and the sponsor	18
Ethics and dissemination			
Research ethics approval	#24	Plans for seeking research ethics committee / institutional review board (REC / IRB) approval	19
Protocol amendments	#25	Plans for communicating important protocol modifications (eg, changes to eligibility criteria, outcomes, analyses) to relevant parties (eg, investigators, REC / IRBs, trial participants, trial registries, journals, regulators)	NA

1	Consent or assent	#26a	Who will obtain informed consent or assent from potential	7
2			trial participants or authorised surrogates, and how (see	
3			Item 32)	
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9	Consent or assent:	#26b	Additional consent provisions for collection and use of	NA
10			participant data and biological specimens in ancillary	
11	ancillary studies		studies, if applicable	
12				
13				
14				
15				
16	Confidentiality	#27	How personal information about potential and enrolled	17
17			participants will be collected, shared, and maintained in	
18			order to protect confidentiality before, during, and after	
19			the trial	
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26	Declaration of	#28	Financial and other competing interests for principal	23
27			investigators for the overall trial and each study site	
28	interests			
29				
30				
31				
32	Data access	#29	Statement of who will have access to the final trial	22
33			dataset, and disclosure of contractual agreements that	
34			limit such access for investigators	
35				
36				
37				
38				
39	Ancillary and post	#30	Provisions, if any, for ancillary and post-trial care, and for	17
40			compensation to those who suffer harm from trial	
41	trial care		participation	
42				
43				
44				
45				
46				
47	Dissemination policy:	#31a	Plans for investigators and sponsor to communicate trial	19
48			results to participants, healthcare professionals, the	
49	trial results		public, and other relevant groups (eg, via publication,	
50			reporting in results databases, or other data sharing	
51			arrangements), including any publication restrictions	
52				
53				
54				
55				
56				
57				
58				
59				
60				

Dissemination policy: [#31b](#) Authorship eligibility guidelines and any intended use of authorship professional writers 19

Dissemination policy: [#31c](#) Plans, if any, for granting public access to the full reproducible protocol, participant-level dataset, and statistical code research 22

Appendices

Informed consent [#32](#) Model consent form and other related documentation materials given to participants and authorised surrogates NA

Biological specimens [#33](#) Plans for collection, laboratory evaluation, and storage of biological specimens for genetic or molecular analysis in the current trial and for future use in ancillary studies, if applicable NA

The SPIRIT Explanation and Elaboration paper is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY-NC. This checklist was completed on 05. May 2022 using <https://www.goodreports.org/>, a tool made by the [EQUATOR Network](#) in collaboration with [Penelope.ai](#)